Standardization and *in vitro* Cytotoxic Studies on *Narasimha lehiyam*: A Potent Anticancer Siddha Drug†

N. RAVICHANDRAN*, P. RAJALAKSHMI, C. DAVIDRAJ, K.P. ARUN and P. BRINDHA

Centre for Advanced Research in Indian System of Medicine, SASTRA University, Thanjavur-613 401, India

*Corresponding author: E-mail: brindha@carism.sastra.edu

Published online: 5 June 2014;

AJC-15326

Cancer is one of the major killing disease, worldwide 10 million people were diagnosed every year with cancer. Chemical and radiation therapy are often recommended treatments for cancer which often leads to a variety of side effects, hence herbal drugs are resolved to as an alternative therapy due its lesser side effects. But herbal drugs need standardization and validation studies. *Narasimha lehiyam* a herbal based Siddha formulation used to treat cancer, skin diseases, ulcer, leprosy, infectious diseases, glandular enlargement, dropsy, paralysis, diabetes, sinusitis, piles and pistula. The present paper was studied the standardization and validation point of view. Attempts were made to determine the quality parameters for this siddha formulation which include determination of organoleptic and physico chemical constants such as loss on drying, ash values and specific gravity. Besides total solid contents, fat contents, reducing sugars, alkaloids flavonoids, phenols, saponins and tannins were also estimated. The compounds present in the *Narasimha lehiyam* were identified using LC-MS/MS and GC-MS. The *in vitro* anticancer potential evaluated using MTT assay, the data of the results obtained were encouraging.

Keywords: *Narasimha lehiyam*, Calcium oxalate crystals, Resin Containing cells, Micromorphology, LC-MSMS and MTT assay.

INTRODUCTION

Quality assessment is essential for the international acceptance and recognition of herbal based medicines^{1,2}. One of the major problems faced by the herbal industries is the non availability of standard quality control parameters for individual herbals and formulations. Quality parameters to determine the quality include botanical characterization, determining physical properties, identifying chemical constituents and evaluating pharmacological parameters^{3,4}. WHO encourages, recommends and promotes traditional/herbal medicine in health care programme because of their low cost, safety and affordability⁵. *Narasimha lehiyam* (NL) a polyherbal formulations contains 21 ingredients like *Asparagus racemosus* Willd. (tuber), *Sesamum indicum* L. (seeds), *Tribullus terrestris* L. (fruits), *Tinospora cordifolia* (Willd.) Hook. F. Thomas (sugar), *Ipomoea digitata* L. (tuber), *Plumbago rosea* L. (root), *Semecarpus anacardium* L. (seed), *Zingiber officinale* Rosc. (rhizome), *Piper nigrum* L. (fruits), *Piper longum* L. (fruits), *Myristica fragrans* Hout. (seed and ariel), *Syzygium aromaticum* (L.) Merrill & Perry (flower bud), *Strychnus potatorum* L. (seed), *Glycyrrhiza glabra* L. (root), *Coptis teeta* Wall. (tuber), *Elettaria cardamomum* Maton (fruit), *Datura strominium* L. (seeds),

sugar, honey and ghee^{6,7}. The *Narasimha lehiyam* is used as an anticancer drug, as an immunomodulator and aphrodisiac, also used to give strength to human body. The major phytoconstituents present in the *Narasimha lehiyam* are piperine, strchynine, brucine, plumbagine, zingiberol, zingiberene, bisobolene, gingerosol, glycyrrhizin, glycyrrhizic acid, asparagin, glycyrrhetic acid. The *Narasimha lehiyam* was procured from market and used for standardization studies.

EXPERIMENTAL

Organoleptic characterization: *Narasimha Lehiyam* was purchased from the local market of Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu, India. For organoleptic characterization the colour, taste, odour and consistency were tested according to the methods described in Ayurvedic pharmacopeia.

Microscopic characterization: Small amount of sample was treated with chloral hydrate, phloroglucinol, iodine potassium iodide (IKI), Sudan Black B and oil red. The type and shape of crystals, fibres, xylem vessels elements, starch grains, stone cells, starch grains, trichomes, parenchyma cells and presence of oil globules, aleurone grains were detected by using Carl Zeiss microscope and the photomicrographs were taken with the help of ProgRes software and digital camera⁸.

†Presented at PHYTOCONGRESS-2013, held on 7-8 March 2013, SASTRA University, Thanjavur, India

Analysis of physicochemical constants: Physicochemical constants such as ash values, extractive values, loss on drying, pH, specific gravity were analyzed as per Ayurvedic pharmacopoeia⁹ and the results were tabulated.

Preliminary and presented phytochemical analysis: Preliminary phytochemical analysis of different extracts of *Narasimha lehiyam* was carried out. *Narasimha lehiyam* extracted using hexane, ether, ethyl acetate, ethanol and water. All the extracts were used for the preliminary phytochemical studies for the qualitative analysis of steroids, glycosides, saponins, alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, proteins, amino acids and carbohydrates¹⁰.

Reducing sugars, alkaloids, flavonoids, phenolic content, saponins and tannins were estimated as per standard textual procedure¹⁰⁻¹³.

GC-MS analysis of *Narasimha lehiyam*: PerkinElmer Clarus 500 was used for GC-MS analysis. Elite-5MS Capillary Column was used (5 % phenyl 95 % dimethylpolysiloxane), the column length is 30 m and the column id: 250 µm. Turbomass ver 5.2.0 software was used.

GC Conditions: Oven Program: 50 °C@8 °C/min to 200 °C (2 min) @ 8 °C/min to 280 °C (10 min). Injector temp.: 280 °C. Carrier gas: Helium @ flow rate 1 mL/min, Split ratio: 1:10.

MS Conditions: Mass range : 40-600 amu, type of ionization: electron ionization (EI), electron energy : 70 eV, transfer line and source temperature: 200 and 150 °C, library : NIST 2005. Sample injected: 1.0 µL, the fragments mass value, retention time were analyzed and compared the data available in NIST library.

Compounds identification by LC-MS/MS: Polar and semi polar molecules of *Narasimha lehiyam* methanolic extract were separated and identified using LC-MS/MS. Methanolic extract was chromatographed over C₁₈ RP column (Acclaim 120 Å, 2.1 mm × 150 mm, 3.0 µm, Dionex, USA). Eluted compounds were then identified using MS and their respective MS/MS pattern. UHPLC was conditioned at 0.2 mL/min flow rate, with gradient mobile system start at 1 % acetonitrile for 0.2 min and 99 % water (1 % acetic acid).

This was then brought to 75 % acetonitrile at 16th min and then reaching at 100 % acetonitrile at 19th min to 5 % acetonitrile at 21st min and was maintained at same condition till run ends at 23rd min. Absorbance was read arbitrary at 325 nm. Exact mass of each eluted compound and their fragmented pattern (MS/MS) were identified using ESI-Q-II TOF (Bruker, Germany) at negative mode, nebulizer was set at 30.5 psi with 6.0 L/min N₂ flow rate. Masses were analyzed in 50-1000 *m/z* range, keeping capillary voltage of 4500 V with dry heater temperature at 280 °C.

***in vitro* anticancer studies MTT assay:** Ehrlich ascites carcinoma cell lines were cultured in 96 well plates with growth medium RPMI1640 and 10 % FCS. NL (1 mg) was dissolved in 100 µL of 1 % DMSO. Sample was filtered through 0.45 µm syringe filter. 10,000 cells/100 µL media were incubated with increasing concentrations of drug for 48 h at 37 °C in CO₂ incubator with 5 % CO₂. After incubation, 20 µL of MTT (5 mg/mL conc.) is added and then incubated for 3 h at 37 °C in CO₂ incubator. The purple colour precipitate was formed. 10 µL of DMSO was added in all the wells to dissolve MTT formazon

crystals and incubated for 10 min at 37 °C in CO₂ incubator. The absorbance was recorded at 590 nm¹⁴.

$$\text{Anticancer activity (\%)} = \frac{\text{Treated sample OD}}{\text{Control OD}} \times 10$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The organoleptic characters of the *Narasimha lehiyam* were studied and the following characters were observed and recorded in Table-1.

TABLE-1 ORGANOLEPTIC CHARACTERS OF <i>Narasimha lehiyam</i>	
Characters	Observed
Colour	Dark brown
Odour	Characteristic
Taste	Sweet
Consistency	Thick solid mass

Salient microscopic characters of *Narasimha lehiyam* observed (Fig. 1) were compared with individual powder microscopic characters of few drugs which are used to prepare the *Narasimha lehiyam* as per Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia. Calcium oxalate crystals and prism shaped calcium oxalate, lignified fibers oil globules, pitted and reticulate xylem vessels, sclereids, trichomes and starch grains were observed in *Narasimha lehiyam*. The above results are revealed that the *Narasimha lehiyam* contains all the tested ingredients as given in Table-2.

1. Acicular calcium oxalate crystals, 2. Forked sclereids, 3. Tannin content, 4. Rosette calcium crystal, 5. Parenchyma cells with starch grains, 6, 7. Elongated sclereids, 8. Isoametric stone cells, 9. Aseplate fibre, 10. Septate fibre, 11. Compound starch grain, 12. Simple starch grain, 13. Parenchyma cells with starch grains, 14. Lignified trichome, 15. Pitted xylem vessel

Fig. 1. Microscopic characterization of *Narasimha lehiyam*

Physico-chemical parameters of *Narasimha lehiyam* like total ash, water soluble ash, acid insoluble ash, sulphated ash, hexane, ether, ethanol and water soluble extractive values, moisture content, total solid content, specific gravity and pH were determined the results were presented (Table-3).

Preliminary phytochemical screening: The preliminary phytochemical analysis were carried out and the results revealed the presence of phytoconstituents like steroids, alkaloids, tannin, phenols, flavonoids and saponins in *Narasimha lehiyam* (Table-4).

The fluorescence analysis of *Narasimha lehiyam* was carried to understand the chromophores of the chemical constituents present in the *Narasimha lehiyam* (Table-5).

TABLE-2
MICROSCOPIC CHARACTERIZATION OF *Narasimha Lehiyam*

Characters	1	2	3	4	Characters	5	6	7	8	9
Trichome	–	–	+	–	Trichome	–	–	–	+	–
Rosette	–	–	+	–	Calcium crystals	–	–	–	–	–
Prismatic	–	–	+	–	Prismatic	–	–	–	–	+
Xylem vessels Pitted	+	+	+	+	Stone cells	–	+	–	–	–
Spiral	–	–	–	–	Xylem vessels Pitted	–	–	–	–	+
Reticulate	–	–	–	–	Spiral	–	–	–	–	–
Starch grains	–	–	+	+	Reticulate	–	–	–	–	–
Oil globules	–	+	+	+	Scalariform	–	–	–	–	–
Aluerone grains	–	–	+	–	Starch grains	–	+	+	–	+
Parenchyma cells	–	+	–	+	Oil globules	+	+	–	+	+
Fibres narrow	–	–	–	+	Aluerone grains	–	+	–	–	–
Fibre wide	–	–	–	–	Parenchyma cells	+	–	–	+	–
–	–	–	–	–	Fibres narrow	–	–	–	–	–
–	–	–	–	–	Fibre wide	–	–	–	–	–

1. *Asparagus racemosus* 2. *Sesamum indicum* 3. *Tribullus terrestris*; 4. *Plumbago rosea* 5. *Semecarpus anacardium*; 6. *Piper. nigrum* 7. *P. longum*; 8. *Strychnus potatorum* 9. *Glycyrrhiza glabra* (+ present; – absent).

TABLE-3
PHYSICO-CHEMICAL CHARACTERS OF *Narasimha Lehiyam*

Characters	Quantity
Loss on drying	7.74 %
Total ash	2.75 %
Acid insoluble ash	1.02 %
Water soluble ash	1.08 %
Sulphated ash	0.40 %
Hexane soluble extractive	11.28 %
Ether soluble extractive value	10.22 %
Ethanol soluble extractive value	22.10 %
Water soluble extractive value	30.60 %
Total solid content	1.437 g
pH	5.3
Specific gravity (25 °C)	0.006

TABLE-4
PRELIMINARY PHYTOCHEMICAL
SCREENING OF *Narasimha Lehiyam*

Tests for	Hexane	Ether	Ethyl acetate	Ethanol	Water
Phenols	–	–	+	+	+
Reducing sugar	–	–	–	+	+
Flavones	–	–	+	+	+
Glycosides	–	–	–	+	+
Saponin	–	–	–	+	+
Steroid	+	+	+	+	–
Alkaloids	–	–	–	+	+
Anthroquinone	+	+	–	+	–
Tannin	–	–	–	+	+

TABLE-5
FLUORESCENCE ANALYSIS OF *Narasimha lehiyam*

Treatment	In day light	In UV light
Sample	Green	Light green
Sample + 50 % H ₂ SO ₄	Brown	Brown
Sample + 1 N aqueous NaOH	Dark brown	Brown
Sample + chloroform	Yellow	Green
Sample + ethyl acetate	Light yellow	Green
Sample + hexane	Green	Green
Sample + acetone	Brown	Green
Sample + benzene	Dark green	Dark green
Sample + 1 N HCl	Yellow	Green
Sample + alcohol	Green	Green
Sample + water	Light yellow	Green

Major phytoconstituents of the *Narasimha lehiyam* were estimated such as reducing sugar, lipids, alkaloids, flavonoids, phenol, tannin and saponins were estimated and the results are given in mg/g (Table-6).

TABLE-6
ESTIMATION OF PHYTOCHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS

Constituents	Quantity (mg/g)
Total lipids	1.31 ± 0.06
Total alkaloids	0.58 ± 0.05
Total flavonoids	1.35 ± 0.04
Total phenol	1.72 ± 0.07
Total tannin	0.63 ± 0.06
Total saponin	0.89 ± 0.07
Reducing sugar	1.58 ± 0.07

Heavy metal analysis of *Narasimha lehiyam* was carried out and they were found to be within limits as per WHO (Table-7).

TABLE-7
DETERMINATION OF HEAVY
METALS IN *Narasimha Lehiyam*

Metal	Content (ppm)	WHO limits (ppm)
Mercury	1.1	0.1
Lead	BDL	10
Cadmium	BDL	1

The microbial contamination of the *Narasimha lehiyam* like total viable aerobic count, total fungal count, total enterobacteriaceae, *E. coli* and *Salmonella* were determined (Table-8).

GC-MS analysis: Compounds present in *Narasimha lehiyam* hexane extract identified by GC-MS are octanoic acid, hexanoic acid, nananoic acid, eugneol, decanoic acid, caryophyllene, myristicin, elemicin, hexadecanoic acid (palmitic acid), pentadecanoic acid, eicosanoic acid (arachidic acid), octadecanoic acid, oleyl alcohol and oleic acid were identified (Fig. 2).

LC-MS MS analysis: Compounds present in methanolic extract of *Narasimha lehiyam* were identified based on their MSMS fragmentation pattern after matching with inbuilt library and online available Mass Bank database. Vitexin,

TABLE-8
DETERMINATION OF MICROBIAL LOAD IN *Narasimha Lehiyam*

Tested microbial	Results	WHO limits	Inference
Total viable aerobic count	1.4×10^6 CFU/g	$< 10^7$ CFU/g	Within limit
Total fungal count	8.6×10^3 CFU/g	$< 10^4$ CFU/g	Within limit
Total Enterobacteriaceae	< 10 CFU/g	< 10 CFU/g	Within limit
<i>E. coli</i>	< 10 CFU/g	$< 10^3$ CFU/g	Pass
<i>Salmonella sp.</i>	Absent/10 g	Absent/10 g	Pass

Fig. 2. (a) GCMS chromatogram of compounds identified in NL, (b) Compounds identified using GCMS of NL

brucine, glycyrrhetic acid, glycyrrhizin and strychnine are the major compounds identified (Fig. 3). The presence of these marker compounds correlates with the observed biological activity of studied herbal formulation.

Fig. 3. Compounds identified in LC-MS/MS ESI

***in vitro* anticancer studies (MTT assay):** The anticancer activity was evaluated for aqueous extract of *Narasimha lehiyam* which showed the anticancer property, the data is presented in Fig. 4. The IC_{50} value of the *Narasimha lehiyam* was 68.53 mg/mL. This result showed that the *in vitro* anticancer potential of the *Narasimha lehiyam* was dose dependent as higher concentration of the lehiyam, showed higher anticancer activity (Fig. 2). The anticancer activity may be due to the presence of anticancer, immunomodulators and also antioxidants herbal drugs in *Narasimha lehiyam* such as *Tinospora cordifolia*¹⁵, *Zingiber officinale*¹⁶, *Plumbago rosea*¹⁷, *Strychnus potatorum*¹⁸, *Semecarpus anacardium*¹⁹, *Asparagus racemosus*²⁰, *Tribulus terrestris*²¹, *Sesamum indicum*²², *Ipomoea digitata*²³, *Piper nigrum*²⁴, *Piper longum*²⁵, *Myristica fragrans*²⁶, *Sygium aromaticum*²⁷, *Glycyrrhiza glabra*²⁸, *Coptis teeta*²⁹, *Elettaria cardamomum*³⁰ and *Datura strominium*³¹.

Fig. 4. *in vitro* anticancer activity of *Narasimha lehiyam*

Present study focused the quality standardization and *in vitro* anticancer efficacy of the Siddha formulation *Narasimha lehiyam*. The data obtained suggested that the tested herbal formulation might activate apoptosis because of the presence of herbals and its phytoconstituents like plumbagin, brucine, strychnine, piperine, glycyrrhizine and other constituents which play a major role in inducing apoptosis. The phytoconstituents of these ingredients not only induce apoptosis but also act as anti-oxidant by preventing the formation of free radicals and also protected from reactive oxygen species (ROS). All of the above plants and phytoconstituents act as anti cancer agents and might prevent further development of cancer by controlling the proliferation of cancer cells.

Conclusion

To conclude the standards determined in the present investigations could be useful in checking the genuineness of this Siddha herbal formulation *Narasimha lehiyam*. These parameters are being reported for the first time and could contribute towards the quality of Siddha formulation. The anticancer potential of this formulation was also evaluated

using *in vitro* methods. Data of the results obtained suggested that *Narasimha lehiyam* can be used not only as an anticancer drug but also could be a good antioxidant.

REFERENCES

1. D.K. Ved and G.S. Goraya, Demand and Supply of Medicinal Plants in India In: M.P. Singh Publishers and Distributors of Scientific Books, pp. 108-115 (2008).
2. S.N. Mishra, Bhaisajya Ratnavali of Govida Das Sen, Chaukhaba Surbharati Prakashan; Varanasi, p. 780 (2007).
3. S. Panchawat, K.S. Rathore, S.S. Sisodia and R.K. Nema. Standardization and Evaluation of Herbal Drug Formulations (2010).
4. N.N. Satheesh Madhav, K. Upadhyaya and A. Bisht, *Indian J. Physiol. Pharmacol.*, **S3**, 235 (2011).
5. WHO, The International Pharmacopeia, Quality Specifications for Pharmaceutical Substances, Excipients, and Dosage forms, World Health Organization, Geneva, edn 3 (1988).
6. S.K.M. Siddha, Ayurveda Company (India) Ltd. Therapeutic Index, SKM Siddha, Erode, Tamil Nadu, India, edn 12, p. 67 (2010).
7. S. Veeraperumal Pillai, *Narasimha lehiyam* In: Nam Nattu vaithiyam (Tamil) Veeraperumal Pillai Ed. Shanmuganadha Book Depot, Chennai, India (2006).
8. K.R. Khandelwal, Practical Pharmacognosy, Techniques and Experiments, Nirali Prakashan, Pune, pp. 149-156 (2002).
9. Anonymous, Parameters for Quality Assessment of Ayurveda and Siddha Drugs, Part A CCRAS, Department of AYUSH, Govt of India, New Delhi, pp. 8-9.
10. J.B. Harborne, Phytochemical Methods, Chapman and Hall, London, p. 113 (1973).
11. G.L. Miller, *Anal. Chem.*, **31**, 426 (1959).
12. B.A. Boham and A.C. Kocipai, *Pac. Sci.*, **48**, 458 (1994).
13. E.O. Edeoga, D.E. Okwu and B.O. Mbaebi, *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, **4**, 685 (2005).
14. T. Mosmann, *J. Immunol. Methods*, **65**, 55 (1983).
15. G.C. Jagetia and S.K. Rao, *Biol. Pharm. Bull.*, **29**, 460 (2006).
16. S. Rahman, F. Salehin and A. Iqbal, *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.*, **11**, 76 (2011).
17. P.U. Devi, F.E. Solomon and A.C. Sharada, *Indian J. Exp. Biol.*, **32**, 523 (1994).
18. K.R. Kirtikar and B.D. Basu, Indian Medicinal Plants, International Book Distributors, Deharadun, edn 4 (1996).
19. P. Mathivadhani, P. Shanthi and P. Sachdanandam, *Cell Biol. Int.*, **31**, 1198 (2007).
20. N.S. Prakash, R. Sundaram and S.K. Mitra, *Indian J. Pharmacol.*, **44**, 732 (2012).
21. H.J. Kim, J.C. Kim, J.S. Min, M.J. Kim, J.A. Kim, M.H. Kor, H.S. Yoo and J.K. Ahn, *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, **136**, 197 (2011).
22. J. Tavakkol-Afshari, A. Brook and S.H. Mousavi, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, **46**, 3443 (2008).
23. L.W. Bieber, Á.A. Da Silva Filho, R.M.O. Corrêa Lima, A. De Andrade Chiappeta, S.C. Do Nascimento, I.A. De Souza, J.F. De Mello and H.J. Veith, *Phytochemistry*, **25**, 1077 (1986).
24. K. Selvendiran, J.P. Singh, K.B. Krishnan and D. Sakthisekaran, *Fitoterapia*, **74**, 109 (2003).
25. E.S. Sunila and G. Kuttan, *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, **90**, 339 (2004).
26. Y.M. Lo, *Food Sci. Nutr.*, **1**, 1 (2013).
27. S. Banerjee and C. Kr, *Carcinogenesis*, **27**, 1645 (2005).
28. S.G. Rath, M. Suthar, P. Patel, V.H. Bhaskar and N.B. Rajgor, *J. Young Pharm.*, **1**, 239 (2009).
29. A. Singh, S. Dugga, N. Kaur and J. Singh, *J. Nat. Prod.*, **3**, 64 (2010).
30. B. Bhattacharjee and J. Chatterjee, *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.*, **14**, 3735 (2013).
31. P. Balachandran and R. Govindarajan, *Pharm. Res.*, **51**, 19 (2005).