One-Pot Synthesis of 2-Arylbenzoxazoles Using Cu(OTf)₂-Catalyzed Condensation and Oxidative Tandem Reaction

S.Y. LUO^{1,2}, L.Q. QIN^{1,2}, K. WANG^{1,*}, X.X. LIU^{1,*} and X.H. YI¹

¹Department of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Guilin Normal College, Guilin 541001, P.R. China

²School of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, P.R. China

*Corresponding authors: Fax: +86 773 5803930; Tel: + 86 773 5846279; E-mail: chemwang2006@aliyun.com; lizhangdong2005@163.com

(Received: 20 March 2013;

Accepted: 18 November 2013)

AJC-14408

An efficient one-pot condensation and oxidative tandem process has been developed for the synthesis of 2-arylbenzoxazoles derivatives from 2-aminophenols and substituted aldehydes in presence of the catalytic amounts Cu(OTf)₂. Reactions were conducted with short reaction times, ligands free, high conversion, high functional group tolerability and straight forward procedure under reflux conditions in air. This protocol provides a straight-forward approach to synthesize 2-arylbenzoxazoles.

Key Words: Tandem process, 2-Arylbenzoxazoles, Cu(OTf)₂-catalyzed.

INTRODUCTION

2-Arylbenzoxazoles are an important class of compounds with a variety of biological activities, such as antimicrobial, antitumor and antiviral properties¹. The applications of 2-arylbenzoxazoles as photo chromic agents² and laser dyes³ in material sciences were also reported. Although several synthetic routes to 2-arylbenzoxazoles have been developed⁴, but these methods have some limitations such as multistep procedures, harsh conditions and poor selectivity. Recently, other alternative route to 2-arylbenzoxazoles through one-step intramolecular cross-coupling have been reported but special precursors should be prepared⁵. Accordingly, new approaches allowing for the selective assembly of different 2-arylbenzoxazoles skeletons with diverse substitution patterns catalyzed by simple, efficient, inexpensive and ligand-free catalysts from facile precursors is still needed.

During the past decades, tandem processes involve multiple chemical transformations in a single-pot has undergone great development⁶. Especially, utilizing a single catalyst that could promotes multiple transformation in a selective manner attracted greater attention. This innovative strategy result in a reduced number of operations, giving significant time-cost benefits as well as preventing side product formation and loss of starting material⁷. As a part of continuing efforts towards the transition-metal-catalyzed reaction in our group, herein, we wish to report a highly efficient tandem reaction for the synthesis of 2-arylbenzoxazoles start from the facile 2-aminophenols and substituted aldehydes using Cu(OTf)₂ as single catalyst. This

one-pot transformation involves the condensation of above start material and subsequent the oxidative cyclization of phenolic schiff bases resulting 2-arylbenzoxazoles compounds.

EXPERIMENTAL

Melting points were uncorrected. NMR spectra were in CDCl₃ (¹H at 500 MHz and ¹³C at 125 MHz). Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (300-400 mesh). Unless otherwise noted, all reagents were obtained commercially and used without further purification.

Synthesis: A 10 mL round bottom flask was charged with 2-amino-phenol (**1**) (1 mmol), the substituted aldehyde (**2**) (1 mmol), Cu(OTf)₂ (10 mol %), followed by 2 mL chlorobenzene. The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux and monitored periodically by TLC. Upon completion, the chlorobenzene was removed under reduced pressure by an aspirator and then the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane) to afford 2-arylbenzoxazoles (**3aa-3cg**). The structures of all the products were unambiguously established on the basis of their spectral analysis.

Characterization data

2-Phenylbenzo[d]oxazole (3aa): White solid; yield: 90 %; m.p.: 101.7-103.5 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.35-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.53-7.55 (m, 3H), 7.57-7.61 (m, 1H), 7.77-7.82 (m, 1H), 8.27-8.29 (m, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 110.59, 120.04, 124.58, 125.11, 127.21, 127.64, 128.91, 131.52, 142.14, 150.79, 163.06 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 196.05 [M + H]⁺.

2-(4-Methylphenyl)benzo[d]oxazole (3ab): Colourless solid; yield: 87 %; m.p.: 110.2–111.7 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.42 (s, 3H), 7.30–7.34 (m, 4H), 7.55–7.57 (m, 1H), 7.77–7.78 (m, 1H), 8.15 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 21.61, 110.48, 119.85, 124.46, 124.85, 127.60, 129.62, 142.00, 142.23, 150.71, 163.28 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 210.34 [M + H]⁺.

2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)benzo[d]oxazole (3ac): Colourless solid; yield: 91 %; m.p.: 101.2–102.5 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.88 (s, 3H), 7.01–7.03 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.54–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.73 (dd, *J* = 5.5, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 8.18–8.20 (m, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 54.88, 109.83, 113.86, 119.12, 119.27, 123.87, 124.04, 128.88, 141.84, 150.21, 161.86, 162.66 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 226.1 [M + H]⁺.

4-(Benzo[d]oxazol-2-yl)-2-methoxyphenol (3ad): Pink solid; yield: 93 %; m.p.: 158.7–159.6 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.01 (s, 3H), 6.25 (br, s, 1H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.32–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.55–7.57 (m, 1H), 7.74–7.78 (m, 2H), 7.82 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.7 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 56.22, 109.91, 110.35, 114.87, 119.36, 119.58, 121.93, 142.23, 124.45, 124.64, 146.90, 149.10, 150.72, 163.25 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 242.01 [M + H]⁺.

4-(Benzo[d]oxazol-2-yl)-N,N-dimethylaniline (3ae): White solid; yield: 79 %; m.p.: 182.3–183.7 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.09 (s, 6H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.28–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 40.11, 110.09, 111.59, 119.12, 123.88, 124.14, 129.09, 142.64, 150.60, 152.42, 164.21 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 239.07 [M + H]⁺.

2-(Benzo[d]oxazol-2-yl)phenol (3af): White solid; yield: 86 %; m.p.: 123.1–124 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.03 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.46 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.61–7.63 (m, 1H), 7.74–7.75 (m, 1H), 8.05 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 11.49 (s, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 110.10, 116.91, 118.73, 119.01, 124.46, 124.83, 126.60, 133.01, 139.57, 148.66, 158.27, 162.42 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 212.09 [M + H]⁺.

2-(4-Bromophenyl)benzo[d]oxazole (3ag): White solid; yield: 81 %; m.p. 157.3–157.8 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.37–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.56–7.59 (m, 1H), 7.67–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.78–7.79 (m, 1H), 8.12–14 (m, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 110.61, 120.13, 124.46, 124.75, 125.37, 126.22, 129.02, 132.23, 142.07, 150.80, 162.15 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 273.91 [M + H]⁺.

4-(Benzo[d]oxazol-2-yl)benzonitrile (3ah): White solid; yield: 75 %; m.p.: 207.4–209.3 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.41–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.62–63 (m, 1H), 7.83–7.83 (m, 3H), 8.36–8.38 (m, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 110.85, 114.80, 118.10, 120.59, 125.11, 126.14, 127.98, 131.18, 132.66, 141.93, 150.95, 160.94 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 221.13 [M + H]⁺.

2-(Pyridin-2-yl)benzo[d]oxazole (3ai): White solid; yield: 71 %; m.p.: 114.6–115.7 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.36–7.48 (m, 3H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.38 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.83 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 111.23, 120.66, 123.46, 124.92, 125.53, 126.03,

137.06, 141.82, 146.15, 150.31, 151.31, 161.48 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 197.05 [M + H]⁺.

2-(Thiophenyl)benzoxazole (3aj): White solid; yield: 67 %; m.p. 108.9–111.2 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃): δ = 7.20–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.37 (m, 2H), 7.56–7.59 (m, 2H), 7.75–7.76 (m, 1H), 7.93–7.94 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 110.41, 119.83, 124.71, 125.06, 128.23, 129.70, 129.93, 130.21, 142.05, 150.47, 159.06 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 202.53 [M + H]⁺.

2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)benzo[d]oxazole (3ak): White solid; yield 85 %; m.p. 115.3–116.7 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.43 (dd, *J* = 5.8, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 7.61–7.64 (m, 2H), 7.67–7.68 (m, 1H) 7.75 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.92–7.97 (m, 2H), 8.05 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.47 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 9.53 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 110.52, 120.32, 123.68, 124.51, 124.94, 125.29, 126.36, 126.47, 127.91, 128.68, 129.34, 130.8, 132.31, 134.02, 142.39, 150.24, 162.85 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 246.21 [M + H]⁺.

2-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)benzo[d]oxazole (3al): Pink solid; yield: 74 %; m.p.: 150.5–152.3 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.02 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (dd, *J* = 8.0 Hz, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 7.29–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.52–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.73–7.74 (m, 1H), 8.81 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 101.72, 107.66, 108.65, 110.35, 119.70, 121.17, 122.76, 124.44, 124.70, 142.23, 148.19, 150.53, 150.68, 162.82 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 240.15 [M + H]⁺.

6-Methyl-2-phenylbenzo[d]oxazole (3ba): Pale yellow solid; yield: 92 %; m.p.: 90.4–91.3 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.50 (s, 3H), 7.17 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 0.83, 1H), 7.37–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.53 (m, 3H), 7.67–7.65 (d, *J* = 8.1, 1H), 8.23–8.26 (m, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 21.78, 110.75, 119.35, 125.80, 127.38, 127.47, 128.85, 131.25, 135.55, 139.95, 151.07, 162.56 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 210.06 [M + H]⁺.

6-Nitro-2-phenylbenzo[d]oxazole (3ca): Yellow solid; yield: 86 %; m.p.: 237.5–238.7 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.55–7.65 (m, 3H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 8.8, 1H), 8.28–8.34 (m, 3H), 8.49 (d, *J* = 2.0, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 107.20, 119.79, 120.82, 125.99, 128.25, 129.18, 132.85, 145.18, 147.43, 149.92, 167.43 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 241.14 [M + H]⁺.

6-Methyl-2-(*p*-tolyl)benzo[d]oxazole (3bb): Pale Yellow solid; yield: 88 %; m.p.: 105.2–106.4 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.50 (s, 3H), 7.15 (dd, *J* = 0.7, 8.11, H), 7.30–7.35 (m, 3H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 8.1, 1H), 8.12 (d, *J* = 8.2, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 21.59, 21.76, 110.67, 119.17, 124.61, 125.67, 127.43, 129.58, 135.27, 140.00, 141.73, 150.99, 162.82 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 240.32 [M + H]⁺.

6-Nitro-2-(*p*-tolyl)benzo[d]oxazole (3cb): Yellow solid; yield: 83 %; m.p.: 160.2–161.9 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.47 (s, 3H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.0, 2H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 8.8, 2H), 8.15 (d, *J* = 8.2, 2H), 8.30 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.1, 1H), 8.45 (d, *J* = 2.1, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 21.75, 107.06, 119.52, 120.76, 123.19, 128.22, 129.91, 143.77, 144.98, 147.58, 149.83, 167.69 ppm; *m/z* (MS): 271.29 [M + H]⁺.

2-(4-Bromophenyl)-6-methylbenzo[d]oxazole (3bg): White solid; yield: 86 %; m.p.: 157.5–158.9 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.51 (s, 3H), 7.17–7.19 (m, 1H), 7.38–7.39 (m, 1H), 7.63–7.67 (m, 3H), 8.08–8.11 (m, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR

(100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 21.80, 110.78, 119.44, 125.92, 126.01, 126.32, 128.85, 132.18, 135.91, 139.85, 151.08, 161.66. ppm; m/z (MS): 288.12 [M + H]⁺.

2-(4-Bromophenyl)-6-nitrobenzo[d]oxazole (3cg):

White solid; yield: 78 %; m.p.: 175.8-177.3 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.71-7.74 (m, 2H), 7.86 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.14-8.17 (m, 2H), 8.34 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 8.50 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 107.27, 119.95, 120.98, 124.91, 127.90, 129.56, 132.59, 145.36, 147.27, 149.92, 166.53 ppm; m/z (MS): 318.88 [M + H]⁺.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Initially, 2-aminophenols (**1a**) (1 mmol) was treated with benzaldehyde (**2a**) (1 mmol) in the presence of 10 mol % of InCl₃ in chlorobenzene after reflux for 4 h and the desired product (**3aa**) was isolated in 76 % (Table-1, entry 1). With other Lewis acids such as FeCl₃, ZnCl₂, CuSO₄ and Cu(NO₃)₂, similar results were obtained after 18-24 h (Table-1, entries 2-5), but CuCl resulted with low yield (Table-1, entry 6) which might due to its low oxidizability. Remarkably, trifluoromethanesulfonic salts such as AgOTf and Zn(OTf)₂ gave benzoxazole (**3aa**) in good yields (Table-1, entries 7 and 8), especially Cu(OTf)₂ obtain an excellent yield of 90 % (Table-1, entry 9). However, the yield decreased when the amount of Cu(OTf)₂ was 5 mol % (Table-1, entry 10 vs. 9), while there was no prominent difference when the catalytic amount of Cu(OTf)₂ was increased from 10 mol % to 15 mol % (Table-1, entry 11 vs. 9).

TABLE-1

OPTIMIZATION OF REACTION CONDITIONS^a

Entry	Catalyst	Mol (%)	Solvents	Time (h)	Yield (%) ^b
1	InCl ₃	10	PhCl	4	76
2	ZnCl ₂	10	PhCl	18	80
3	FeCl ₃	10	PhCl	18	82
4	CuSO ₄	10	PhCl	24	85
5	Cu(NO ₃) ₂	10	PhCl	24	83
6	CuCl	10	PhCl	18	25
7	AgOTf	10	PhCl	4	87
8	Zn(OTf) ₂	10	PhCl	4	85
9	Cu(OTf) ₂	10	PhCl	4	90
10	Cu(OTf) ₂	5	PhCl	12	82
11	Cu(OTf) ₂	15	PhCl	4	89
12	Cu(OTf) ₂	10	Toluene	18	50
13	Cu(OTf) ₂	10	THF	4	77
14	Cu(OTf) ₂	10	CH ₃ CN	4	82
15	Cu(OTf) ₂	10	DMSO	24	Trace
16	Cu(OTf) ₂	10	CH ₃ NO ₂	18	27
17	Cu(OTf) ₂	10	DME	4	76

^aReaction conditions: 2-aminophenol (1.0 mmol), benzaldehyde (1.0 mmol) and catalyst in solvent (2 mL) at reflux;

^bIsolated yields.

To further improve the efficiency of this synthetic approach, a screening of the suitable solvents for the desired reaction was established. As shown in Table-1, other conven-

tional solvents such as toluene, THF, CH₃CN, DMSO, CH₃NO₂ and DME (Table-1, entries 12-17) were also screened. Among these solvents, THF, CH₃CH and DME were also able to facilitate the reaction with common yields (Table-1, entries 13, 14, 17). However, the use of toluene and CH₃NO₂ obviously increased the reaction time from 4 to 18 h with low yields (Table-1, entries 12 and 16 vs. 9). Unexpectedly, the use of DMSO only obtained trace yield although reacted for 24 h (Table-1, entry 15). The results showed that all various factors of solvents, such as polarity, boiling point and pH *etc.* might influence the reaction yields and time. However, the detailed mechanism is still unclear. In brief, chlorobenzene was proved to be the best partner for the Cu(OTf)₂ comparing reaction time and yields with other solvents. So the reaction conditions were then finally established as shown in entry 9 (Table-1).

With the optimal conditions in hand, we examined the scope of this reaction. As shown in Table-2, the reaction proceeds smoothly at suitable temperature in good to excellent yields. Among the substituted aldehydes examined, (**2d**) gave the most desirable result, providing the (**3ad**) with an excellent yield (Table-2, entry 4). Other benzaldehydes with electron-donating groups at the aryl ring also reacted smoothly affording good yields (Table-2, entries 2 and 3) except (**2e**) obtain a tolerable yield of 79 % (Table-2, entry 5). Substrates (**2g**) and (**2h**) possessing electron withdrawing group at the aryl ring were also successfully employed in the reaction to give the 2-arylbenzoxazoles (**3ag**) and (**3ah**) with 81 and 75 % yields, respectively (Table-2, entries 7 and 8). The results showed that the reaction yield decreased to some extent when the electro-withdrawing power of the substituent in the substituted benzaldehydes increases. Interestingly, although steric hindrance of (**2f**) might hampered the reaction, benzoxazoles **3af** was obtained in good yield (Table-2, entry 6). This might owe to the promotion effects of the hydrogen bond in reaction as well as the electron-donating effect of hydroxyl group. In this reaction conditions, heterocyclic aldehydes (**2i** and **2j**) could also be used for efficient preparation of various 2-heterocyclic substituted benzoxazoles (**3ai** and **3aj**) with comparing yields of 71 and 67 %, respectively (Table-2, entries 9 and 10). Moreover, this reaction conditions is not only limited to above common aldehydes, other special aldehydes such as (**2k**) and (**2l**) could also obtain (**3ak**) and (**3al**) with satisfied yields, respectively (Table-2, entries 11 and 12). On the other hand, 2-aminophenols with electron-donating or electron-drawing group (methyl or nitro group) were also used to examine the generality of this reaction conditions. Both of them could react to different aldehydes with satisfied yields of substituted 2-arylbenzoxazoles (Table-2, entries 13-18). When react to the same aldehyde, the general order of products yields was: 2-amino-5-methylphenol > 2-aminophenol > 2-amino-5-nitrophenol (Table-2, entries 1 vs. 13 vs. 14, 2 vs. 15 vs. 16, 7 vs. 17 vs. 18). Just as the effects to the reaction by substituted groups in aryl ring of aldehydes, electron-drawing groups in 2-aminophenol also decreased the reaction yields contrary with that of the electron-donating group.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have reported a highly efficient and green protocol for one-pot synthesis of 2-arylbenzoxazoles

TABLE-2
Cu(OTf)₂-CATALYZED FACILE SYNTHESIS OF 2-ARYLBENZOXAZOLES^a

Entry	2-Amino-phenol	Aldehydes	Products	Yield (%) ^b
1	1a : R ₁ = H	2a : R ₂ = Ph	3aa	90
2	1a : R ₁ = H	2b : R ₂ = 4-MeC ₆ H ₄	3ab	87
3	1a : R ₁ = H	2c : R ₂ = 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	3ac	91
4	1a : R ₁ = H	2d : R ₂ = 3-OH-4-OMeC ₆ H ₄	3ad	93
5	1a : R ₁ = H	2e : R ₂ = 4-(NMe ₂)C ₆ H ₄	3ae	79
6	1a : R ₁ = H	2f : R ₂ = 2-OHC ₆ H ₄	3af	86
7	1a : R ₁ = H	2g : R ₂ = 4-BrC ₆ H ₄	3ag	81
8	1a : R ₁ = H	2h : R ₂ = 4-CNC ₆ H ₄	3ah	75
9	1a : R ₁ = H	2i : R ₂ = 2- pyridine	3ai	71
10	1a : R ₁ = H	2j : R ₂ = 2- thienyl	3aj	67
11	1a : R ₁ = H	2k : R ₂ = 2-naphthyl	3ak	85
12	1a : R ₁ = H	2l : R ₂ = Piperonyl	3al	74
13	1b : R ₁ = CH ₃	2a : R ₂ = Ph	3ba	92
14	1c : R ₁ = NO ₂	2a : R ₂ = Ph	3ca	86
15	1b : R ₁ = CH ₃	2b : R ₂ = 4-MeC ₆ H ₄	3bb	88
16	1c : R ₁ = NO ₂	2b : R ₂ = 4-MeC ₆ H ₄	3cb	83
17	1b : R ₁ = CH ₃	2g : R ₂ = 4-BrC ₆ H ₄	3bg	86
18	1c : R ₁ = NO ₂	2g : R ₂ = 4-BrC ₆ H ₄	3cg	78

^aReaction conditions: 2-aminophenol (1 mmol), benzaldehyde (1 mmol) and Cu(OTf)₂ (10 Mol %) in chlorobenzene (2 mL) at reflux;

^bIsolated yields.

derivatives starting from substituted 2-aminophenols and substituted benzaldehydes. This newly developed procedure offers several advantages such as broad application scope, good to excellent yields, mild reaction conditions, facile precursors, simple operation and environmental friendliness. The present study provided a useful supplement for the synthesis of 2-arylbenzoxazoles.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by 973 projects (2011CB512005), Guangxi Universities Scientific Research Project (201203Y-B180, 201203YB181) and The Scientific Research and Technological Development Project of Guilin (No. 20110106-2, 20130103-6).

REFERENCES

- (a) M.R. Deluca and S. M. Kerwin, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **38**, 199 (1997); (b) Y. Sato, M. Yamada, S. Yoshida, T. Soneda, M. Ishikawa, T. Nizato, K. Suzuki and F. Konno, *J. Med. Chem.*, **41**, 3015 (1998); (c) O. Temiz, I. Oren, E. Sener, I. Yalcin and N. Ucarturk, *Farmaco*, **53**, 337 (1998); (d) S. Sato, T. Kajiura, M. Noguchi, K. Takehana, T. Kobayashi and T. Tsuji, *J. Antibiot.*, **54**, 102 (2001).
- A. Heynderickx, R. Guglielmetti, R. Dubest, J. Aubard and A. Samant, *Synthesis*, 1112 (2003).
- A. Reser, L.J. Leyshon, D. Saunders, M.V. Mijovic, A. Bright and J. Brogie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **94**, 2444 (1972).
- (a) R. Kumar, C. Selvam, G. Kaur and A.K. Chakraborti, *Synlett.*, 1401 (2005); (b) J.A. Seijas, M.P. Vázquez-Tato, M.R. Carballido-Reboredo, J. Crecente-Campo and L. Romar-López, *Synlett.*, 313 (2007); (c) J. Chang, K. Zhao and S. Pan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **43**, 951 (2002); (d) M. Kidwai, V. Bansal, A. Saxena, S. Aerryb and S. Mozumdar, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **47**, 8049 (2006); (e) Y. Kawashita, N. Nakamichi, H. Kawabata and M. Hayashi, *Org. Lett.*, **5**, 3713 (2003); (f) Y. Riadi, R. Mamouni, R. Azzalou, M.E. Haddad, S. Routier, G. Guillaumet and S. Lazar, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **52**, 3492 (2011); (g) A.J. Blacker, M.M. Farah, M.I. Hall, S.P. Marsden, O. Saidi and J.M. Williams, *J. Org. Lett.*, **11**, 2039 (2009); (h) Y.X. Chen, L.F. Qian, W. Zhang and B. Han, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **47**, 9330 (2008); (i) Z.B. Hassan and H. N. Khadijeh, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, **29**, 4926 (2009); (j) G. Evindar and R.A. Batey, *J. Org. Chem.*, **71**, 1802 (2006).
- (a) R.D. Viirre, G. Evindar and R.A. Batey, *J. Org. Chem.*, **73**, 3452 (2008); (b) J. Bonnamour and C. Bolm, *Org. Lett.*, **10**, 2665 (2008); (c) J.X. Qiao, T.C. Wang, C. Hu, J.Q. Li, R.R. Wexler and P.Y.S. Lam, *Org. Lett.*, **13**, 1804 (2011); (d) R.G. Kalkhambkar and K.K. Laali, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **53**, 4212 (2012); (e) J.S. Peng, C.J. Zong, M. Ye, T.H. Chen, D.W. Gao, Y.F. Wang and C.X. Chen, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **9**, 1225 (2011).
- J.C. Wasilke, S.J. Obrey, R.T. Baker and G.C. Bazan, *Chem. Rev.*, **105**, 1001 (2005) and references cited therein.
- P. Liu, Y.M. Pan, Y.L. Xu and H.S. Wang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **10**, 4696 (2012).