ML
- Recording further temperature data sets, these datasets are very small, but it's getting bigger
- Trying to better pre-process the data
- Training own ML model

Figure 13 Demonstrator with accelerator integrated

Minimal sufficient adjustment sets for estimating the total effect of Target on Post:
1. Illu, Road Type
2. Road Type, Time of Day, Weather

Independence Criteria
1. Road Type ⊥ Illu
2. Road Type ⊥ Weather
3. Road Type ⊥ Time of Day
4. Target ⊥ Illu | Time of Day, Weather
5. Post ⊥ Weather | Illu, Road Type, Target
6. Post ⊥ Time of Day | Illu, Road Type, Target
7. Weather ⊥ Time of Day

- Environmental variables influence the ML model.
- Influence can be direct (Road Type) or indirect through a mediator (Illumination)
- We always have some unobserved noise somewhere in the system.

Figure 9 Arc testbench measurement with constant load

Figure 12 Arc testbench measurement with variable Load

- Arc Detection

Variable	Meaning	Observed (Run-Time)	Annotated (Training)
Arc	Arc Occurrence	no	yes
I_sens	Sensed current	yes	yes
Load	Load Profile (incl. fixed or flexible connect. loads)	(yes)	yes
Post	Classification (Arc occurred / not occurred)	no	yes
Pro	Property of fault (Serial or Parallel arc)	no	yes
Typ	Type of installation (material, classification fix or flexible)	yes (constant)	yes
U/I	Sensor Noise	no	no

Testable independence criteria
1. Typ ⊥ Post | I_s
2. Arc ⊥ Post | I_s
3. Post ⊥ Load | I_s

Minimal sufficient adjustment sets for estimating the total effect of Arc on Post:
1. Load, Pro

- Motor Condition Classification

Variable	Meaning	observed (Run-Time)	annotated (Training)
Flow	Airflow	no	yes
H_s	Magnetic Field measured	yes	no
Loc	Location of installation	yes	yes
P	Air Pressure outside	no	no
Post	Classification (Flow OK / NOK)	no	yes
rpm	Rotations per minute	yes	yes
s	Slip	no	no
Spec	Motor Specification	yes	yes
T	Temperature	no	yes
T_s	Temperature measured	yes	no
tau	Torque	no	no
U1, U2, U3	Sensor noises	no	no
V_s	Vibrations measured	yes	no
vib	Vibrations	no	no
Φ	Magnetic Flux	no	no

Testable independence criteria
1. Spec ⊥ T_s | Flow, rpm
2. Spec ⊥ Post | H_s, Loc, T_s, V_s, rpm
3. Spec ⊥ Post | Flow, H_s, Loc, T_s, V_s, rpm
4. Spec ⊥ Loc
5. Flow ⊥ Post | H_s, Loc, T_s, V_s, rpm
6. Flow ⊥ Post | Loc, Spec, T_s, rpm
7. Flow ⊥ V_s | Spec
8. Flow ⊥ V_s | rpm
9. Flow ⊥ H_s
10. T_s ⊥ Loc | Flow, rpm
11. T_s ⊥ V_s | Spec, rpm
12. T_s ⊥ V_s | Flow, rpm
13. T_s ⊥ H_s | Spec, rpm
14. T_s ⊥ H_s | Flow, rpm
15. T_s ⊥ Loc | Spec, rpm
16. V_s ⊥ Loc
17. Loc ⊥ rpm
18. V_s ⊥ H_s

Minimal sufficient adjustment sets for estimating the total effect of Flow on Post:
1. H_s, Loc, V_s, rpm
2. H_s, P, V_s, rpm
3. Loc, Spec
4. P, Spec

Figure 2 Evolved Architecture for Motor Condition Classification

Figure 6 Example of data for the motor use case