THE LIGHT

November 2020 — A Truthpaper — thelightpaper.co.uk — Free



China Now World's Largest Economy

IMF Shows U.S. Has Slipped To Second, PAGE 14

Government's 'Disastrous Policies' Breaking Human Rights Laws

Under the guise of a public health emergency, the Johnson regime, together with the complicit silence of the Opposition, continues to breach many articles of the UK Human Rights Act 1998 legislation, inflicting pain, misery and suffering on the British people whom they have been elected to serve.

Articles 2,3,5,8 & 11 in particular have been seriously and serially broken by the UK government's disastrous policies, and although they may try to defend their actions by professing a 'pandemic', all facts and evidence point to this being a nonsense and flimsy excuse to become the most authoritarian rule on these islands since the Romans.

By confining the elderly to their care homes without personal visits, by breaking up peaceful rallies, by 'locking down' the entire country from March to May and now doing it again, there is no way the Johnson regime would be able to justify any of these harmful actions that are causing pain and loss of life to thousands in a court of law, should the people of the United Kingdom ever manage to get the justice they deserve.

Article 2 of the Human Rights Act protects your right to life. This means that nobody, including the government, can try to end your life. It also means the government should take appropriate measures to safeguard life by making laws to protect you and, in some circumstances, by taking steps to protect you if your life is at risk.

Public authorities should also consider your right to life when making decisions that might put you in danger or that affect your life expectancy.

If a member of your family dies in circumstances that involve the state, you may have the right to an investigation. The state is also required to investigate suspicious deaths and deaths in custody. Whoever told NHS Trust Managers to issue blanket DNR's is likely liable, and many of the clauses of the Coronavirus Act 2020 also break many parts of this article.

Photo credit: **IAN BURSILL**

Article 3 protects you from torture (mental or physical,) inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. So not allowing loved ones to see their elderly and dying relatives, being told to wear face masks, and being deceived, feared or forced into receiving medical treatment such as vaccinations is a breach of these rights.

by **DARREN SMITH**

Article 5 protects your right to liberty and security. This means you must not be imprisoned or detained without good reason (normally for committing a crime against another.)

A restriction to this is listed as 'you are capable of spreading infectious disease' but one would hope due to the right to a trial in a court that the UK regime would never take it that far, being as they would have to prove against many leading experts their extremely dubious claims of a 'pandemic.'

This government, despite being asked on a number of occasions, has yet to prove there is a genuine public health emergency, so it may therefore be guilty of imprisoning millions of people unreasonably and based on wildly inaccurate projections. Are the government and their advisers wholly incompetent or criminally culpable?

Article 8 protects your right to respect your private and family life, meaning you have the right to live your life privately without government interference. This includes a right to participate in essential economic, social, cultural and leisure activities. The government's closing down the country for false reasons is illegal under this right.

Article 8 also includes your right to sovereignty of your body. This means any kind of forced medical intervention is breaking the law.

Article 10 protects this paper's right to exist, and your individual right to read it, formerly known as 'freedom of the press.'

Finally, Article 11 protects your right to protest and dissent by holding meetings and demonstrations with other people

You also have the right to form and be part of a trade union, a political party or any other association or voluntary group.

Thus restricting the number of people who can gather, and sending in riot police to attack peaceful meetings, which has happened on a number of occasions during these last months is yet another illegal breach of human rights.

Amnesty International have said a series of "shockingly irresponsible" government decisions has led to key failings, including decisions to discharge thousands of untested hospital patients into care homes and the imposition of blanket DNR (Do Not Resuscitate) notices. Care home managers and staff say they were left without guidance, PPE or access to testing.

"The UK government, national agencies, and local-level bodies have taken decisions and adopted disastrous policies during the Covid-19 pandemic that have directly violated the human rights of older residents of care homes — notably their right to life, their right to health, and their right to non-discrimination. Amnesty calls for a full independent public inquiry to commence immediately, and for the revision of current restrictive visiting guidelines."

UK NEWS — Liverpool Gyms Resist Lockdown. PAGE 2

UK NEWS — Masks Are Causing Brain Damage. PAGE 5

UK NEWS — Small UK Farms Disappearing. PAGE 9

INTERNATIONAL — Lawyers Prep Lawsuit Against W.H.O. PAGE 13

ECONOMY — How The Banking System Really Works. PAGE 14

HEALTH — We Need To Reclaim Power Over Our Health. PAGE 16

THE LIGHT Truthpaper

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free from the establishment we seek to hold to account.

Original content is © 2020 **thelightpaper.co.uk**

For all distribution enquiries, please email: **lightdistribution@mailbox.org**

For news, advertising, careers, donations, etc., please visit our website at **www.thelightpaper.co.uk**

To subscribe for postal copies: **www.thelightpaper.co.uk/subscribe.htm**

Sound off in our forum: **www.thelightpaper.co.uk/forum**

Editor: Darren Smith
Layout: Ian Bursill
Administration: Cath Andrews & Matt Chell
Advertising: Nicola Kilty
Subscriptions: Michelle Waugh & Roz Lynne
Proof-Reading: Karen Dodd, Gill Flowers, Romilly Nolan
Lighthouse logo: Artist Samantha Francesca and Adrian Wakley Photographic

Special thanks to Rachel & Stu for warehousing, and all those giving their valuable time to distribute The Light around the country.

Thank you also to all those who have generously donated to make this truthpaper a reality. Although the paper is **FREE,** it costs us to produce, print and distribute it.

If you believe in what we do, please help the truth be told by supporting us.

Donate at thelightpaper.co.uk - Thank You.

Liverpool Gyms Resist Lockdown

by **STEFFANO JUDGE**

Despite armed police visits, levies of fines, and a lack of support from the city region's leadership, several gym owners in Merseyside have managed to resist rules which would have seen them close under Tier 3 restrictions imposed by the government.

Showing the true spirit of people power, on 12th October 2020 Nick Whitcombe stood outside his business Body Tech Fitness on the Wirral and recorded the following message:

"Boris has announced in the last 60 minutes that all gyms and leisure centres across Merseyside are to close from Wednesday this week. WE WILL NOT BE CLOSING OUR DOORS."

Nick's closest neighbours Thea Holden and Chris Ellerby-Hemmings, co-owners of Em-poweredFit also stood their ground. Their collective message was simple:

"We are keeping our gyms open for our members' physical and mental wellbeing and not for financial gain."

Three days later in a video that went viral on social media, armed police officers turned up at Body Tech Fitness and issued Nick with a £1000 fine. Thea and Chris were also fined.

In a show of solidarity and defiance 75% of gyms across the whole of Merseyside refused to close their doors to members. A Gofundme page set up to help pay fines received more than £50,000 in donations from across the country, which they have said will be donated to mental health charities.

Despite the support, a telephone call left open in a meeting revealed that a "select few" members from Wirral Borough Council Environmental Health intended to sabotage the gym owners because, they said, "this is embarrassing us".

Nick said, "They're trying to demonise my character…This is corruption at its finest…"

Days later, Lancashire then Manchester were put on stricter lockdown measures but gyms in those areas were allowed to remain open.

Liverpool Mayor Joe Anderson took to Twitter on 16th October and demanded "immediate clarification on why Lancashire gyms are allowed to stay open and Liverpool's close." Calling the situation an "inconsistent mess".

A fews days later a post by Thea Holden on Instagram revealed that the government had backed down. It read: "We decided together we wouldn't close and we would stand side by side throughout the battle. We had a plan (ish) and we ran with it. It WORKED! It doesn't seem real that we won.…while having 3-5 police visits a day and running a business has been INSANE but so worth it. Three wins: Gyms removed from Tier 3 across the nation. Liverpool Region gyms legally reopen. An industry united, which only helps our mission of helping people."

Several MP's Resign Front Bench Positions

by **DARREN SMITH**

Chris Green MP for Bolton West, Margaret Greenwood, and several Labour Front Bench MPs have all resigned their positions over government legislation and policies in the last weeks. Chris Green resigns as Parliamentary Private Secretary, writing in his resignation letter:

"The Greater Manchester 'local lockdown' and the more extreme economic lockdowns have both failed to control the number of positive tests within the Borough of Bolton, which has inexorably risen.

During the lockdown, Bolton has seen 20,000 fewer GP referrals to hospital when compared to last year, while many others have not accessed vital treatment because they have been too frightened to do so. By taking our current approach to Covid-19, we are creating many other health problems that are leading to pain, suffering and death.

The closure of pubs, restaurants and cafés combined with the reduction of footfall on our high streets, has brought many family-owned businesses in my constituency to the brink of collapse and pushed others over the edge. While many employees and businesses have received substantial support, a great many business owners have received nothing and will continue to receive no support.

The damage done to physical and mental health, as well as to livelihoods and businesses, would be justified if the threat from Covid-19 was as first suggested. I know that this novel pandemic virus posed a serious but little understood threat but we have learnt so much since it first emerged. I now believe that the attempted cure is worse than the disease and that this second six-month phase will not see the delivery of a solution via mass vaccination. The government will then begin its next phase of control.'

The Labour MPs resigned the failure to oppose the CHIS bill, that will legalise criminal acts by 'intelligence sources,' and Keir Starmer's instruction to abstain in a Commons vote on it. The bill has been criticised over concerns it breaches human rights by allowing undercover agents to commit criminal offences and have immunity from prosecution. The legislation does not provide for a ban on children being used as agents, or torture or murder in the line of work, and they argued it did not explicitly rule out crimes such as murder, torture or serious sexual offences.

Greville Janner Allowed To Stay Free

by **ADRIAN TROUGHTON & TOM MACK**

In yet more evidence of the cover-up of establishment child-rapists, a retired Leicestershire Police detective said the veteran Leicester politician Greville Janner was given 'preferential treatment', an official inquiry heard.

The officer provided evidence of his involvement in a Leicestershire police investigation into historical allegations concerning Lord Janner. He gave evidence at the Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse, (IICSA), today on its investigation into whether Lord Janner received 'preferential' treatment by police and other public bodies because of his social standing.

The officer stated that during the course of the investigation, a senior officer instructed him not to arrest Lord Janner because he was an MP. The officer explained that he had believed that there was sufficient evidence to provide grounds for an arrest and that he had been very disappointed by this decision. He suggested that if he had been arresting a man off the street he would have had "plenty of evidence" and "wouldn't have hesitated" but "was told not to in this case".

When asked to reflect on the decision not to arrest Lord Janner, he confirmed that it was his view that Lord Janner had been given "preferential treatment". The officer was also asked about his views of the case, and how they developed during the police enquiry, with reference to the documents that he created at the time.

Child abuse allegations against Janner were also kept from a Crown Prosecutor involved in considering whether to prosecute other suspects. One of the witnesses giving evidence on Friday was a former principal crown prosecutor and special casework lawyer of the Crown Prosecution Service, who was involved in both the 2002 and 2007 investigations, which looked at various suspects.

The witness – who has not been identified - said that despite being the reviewing lawyer for Operation Magnolia, which was active between 2000 and 2002, none of the statements alleging abuse by Lord Janner had been brought to his attention.

It was only during Operation Dauntless about five years later that the allegations against the member of the House of Lords came up. He said that if the statements had been brought to his attention in 2002, the case "would have taken a totally different course". The witness repeated that he was not told of any allegations against Lord Janner, even as an aside. He said: "It simply never happened."

The inquiry has also heard that the final police inquiry into sexual abuse allegations against Lord Janner cost around £400,000 and was on a scale comparable to a major murder investigation.

The Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse (IICSA) is investigating how public bodies handled the allegations against Lord Janner, who is said to have committed acts of abuse in children's homes, schools, a flat in London and in Parliament itself.

Lord Janner was accused of multiple sexual offences against boys between 1963 and 1988 but died shortly before Christmas 2015. He had been suffering from dementia.

Following Lord Janner's death, an official report by a retired High Court judge concluded that three chances - in 1991, 2002 and 2007 - to put the former Leicester West MP on trial were missed because of mistakes by the police or prosecutors. His family insists he is innocent of all allegations.

The hearing, parts of which are taking place in private session to protect alleged victims' names, is continuing and expected to be completed early November.

FOI Requests Show Just 100 Covid Deaths From 2.4 Million Public Sector Workers Since 'Crisis' Began

This data is taken from the replies to over 671 FOI (Freedom Of Information) requests made to 25 sectors of government. Link to the dataset at the bottom.

by **MARK OAKFORD**

These are public service workers who spend much of their time dealing with the public, sometimes in large groups and who are also in close proximity with their colleagues, therefore coming into contact with many potential harbingers of the Covid.

Considering these requests and answers cover over 2.38 million police, fire, education, NHS, council and other workers, you would expect owing to the hysteria surrounding this 'pandemic' there to be an unusually large percentage of these workers to have died from Covid-19.

In fact, the ASMR (Age-Standardised Mortality Rate) from Covid-19 for all public sector workers is 0.0042%, or 4.2 deaths per 100,000 - which begs the question: ***'Why are we locking down the country and decimating the economy again?'***

<u>We asked 5 Questions:</u>

- What is the total number of your workforce?
- What is the total number of staff who have died from Covid-19?
- What is the total number of staff who have been admitted to hospital as a result of Covid-19?
- What is the total number of staff who have been properly diagnosed with Covid-19 not requiring hospitalisation?
- What is the total number of staff who have had to take time off work through isolation procedures not counting when offices have been closed?



The data collection is called 'pillar 2' data, collected by Lighthouse Laboratories.

In the middle of a national pandemic like the world's never seen, the government should have a strategy in place to collect this data, and additionally it shouldn't be kept from the public.

But 431 out of 671 replies were not tracking the data requested in one way or another.

By not being able to answer all the questions, it means they are not automatically collecting it for the government, which begs another question: where are the government and media getting their data from, with which they are scaring the living daylights out of the general public in order to get them to comply?

The death rate is 75% less than that published by the ONS. The data also reveals massive flaws in the tracking of pillar 2 data.

The average age of those who have died from coronavirus in England and Wales since the start of the 'pandemic' is 82.4 years old.

You can see the full dataset of all FOI requests and answers here:

https://bit.ly/mark-oakford

or search for:

'mark oakford'

What has happened to the ‘Flu’?

by **SARA O’DONNELL**











Looking at the World Health Organisation’s own data from the last few years, we can see that every year many thousands of people test positive for flu.

They put the number of global annual flu deaths between 290,000 - 650,000 according to research by John Paget et al in the Journal of Global Health in December 2019 ***(http://jogh.org/documents/issue201902/jogh-09-020421.pdf).***

If we look back to the 2013/14 flu season we see a similar pattern repeated each year. There is a peak followed by a tailing off when the number of cases are lower but still significant.

However, this year something very interesting has happened. The tail is virtually non existent! This graph zooms in on the ‘tail’ for this year and last to really show what a huge difference there is.

Health officials have been very quiet on the subject. However, as Prof Carl Heneghan from Oxford University’s Centre for Evidence Based Medicine recently pointed out, hospital admissions are at normal levels for the time of year.

It could be reasonable to assume then that flu cases are being recorded as Covid. Especially with all the problems surrounding the PCR test as reported in our last issue, and by its inventor Kary Mullis who passed away in August 2019.

You can get more information from Public Health England’s weekly reports.

Police Given Access to Track and Trace

by **KATE BUCK**

Police forces across England have been granted access to people’s data who have been told to self-isolate through the Track and Trace scheme.

The move has sparked hope it could deter people getting tested for coronavirus, something which is key in controlling the spread of the panic. The Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) said police forces will have access “on a case-by-case” basis to information, enabling them to know if an individual has been told to self-isolate.

The Health Service Journal (HSJ) reported that the office of England’s chief medical officer, Professor Chris Whitty, was among those who feared it would put people off from being tested.

Liverpool City Region Mayor Steve Rotheram said the Government needed to “be careful about deterring people” from downloading the app. He told LBC’s Swarbrick on Sunday: “The problem is that we have to be careful about deterring people from actually taking those tests in case it is seen as being ‘big brother.’ So I would say a note of caution to government: let’s take people with us and explain the messages so they don’t feel as if this is being done to them yet again.”

Liberal Democrat leader Sir Ed Davey said ministers should “reverse the policy urgently”. He said: “Ministers’ decision to allow people’s Covid test data to be shared with the police is a huge mistake. Anything that further undermines the public’s dwindling trust in this government’s handling of the pandemic is damaging, and few things could have been better designed to do that, than this.” Sir Ed added: “Asking our already overstretched police service to take on this task is both self-defeating and a serious misjudgement.”

It comes as the DHSC updated its online guidance on Friday about how coronavirus testing data will be handled. People who fail to self-isolate “without reasonable justification” **could have their name, address and contact details passed on to their local authority and then to the police**, the DHSC’s website said. “This may lead to enforcement action being taken against you, which could include you being fined,” the online guidance said. “A police force may request information relating to positive Covid-19 tests from the privately-operated ‘Test & Trace’ programme directly, where they are investigating a report of someone who may not be complying with the mandatory self-isolation period.”

Currently it is a legal requirement for those who receive a positive test result to self-isolate for 10 days after showing symptoms of Covid-19 - or for 10 days after receiving the result if they are actually healthy.

Those in the allegedly-infected person’s household must also self-isolate for 14 days. Anyone who refuses to comply can be fined £1,000 for a first-time offence, but this can be raised to £10,000 for repeated breaches of the guidelines.

In a statement, a DHSC spokesperson said: “It is a legal requirement for people who have tested positive for Covid-19 and their close contacts to self-isolate when formally notified to do so.

The DHSC has agreed a memorandum of understanding with the NPCC to enable police forces to have access on a case-by-case basis to information that enables them to know if a specific individual has been notified to self-isolate.

You have been warned.

Masks Causing 'Brain Damage' says Neurologist

by **HENNA MARIA** and **CLAUDIA STAUBER**

Dr. Margarite Griesz-Brisson MD, PhD is a Consultant Neurologist and Neurophysiologist with a PhD in Pharmacology, with special interest in neurotoxicology, environmental medicine, neuroregeneration and neuroplasticity. This is what she has to say about face masks and their effects on our brains:

"The re-inhalation of our exhaled air will without a doubt create oxygen deficiency and a flooding of carbon dioxide. We know that the human brain is very sensitive to oxygen deprivation. There are nerve cells for example in the Hippocampus that can't be longer than 3 minutes without oxygen - they cannot survive.

The acute warning symptoms are headaches, drowsiness, dizziness, issues in concentration, slowing down of reaction time - reactions of the cognitive system.

However, when you have chronic oxygen deprivation, all of those symptoms disappear, because you get used to it. But your efficiency will remain impaired and the under-supply of oxygen in your brain continues to progress. We know that neurodegenerative diseases take years to decades to develop. If today you forget your phone number, the breakdown in your brain would have already started 20 or 30 years ago.

While you're thinking that you have gotten used to wearing your mask and re-breathing your own exhaled air, the degenerative processes in your brain are getting amplified as your oxygen deprivation continues.

The second problem is that the nerve cells in your brain are unable to divide themselves normally. So in case our governments will generously allow us to get rid of the masks and go back to breathing oxygen freely again in a few months, the lost nerve cells will no longer be regenerated. What is gone is gone.

I do not wear a mask, I need my brain to think. I want to have a clear head when I deal with my patients, and not be in a carbon dioxide-induced anaesthesia.

There is no unfounded medical exemption from face masks because oxygen deprivation is dangerous for every single brain. It must be the free decision of every human being whether they want to wear a mask that is absolutely ineffective to protect themselves from a virus.

For children and adolescents, masks are an absolute no-no. Children and adolescents have an extremely active and adaptive immune system and they need a constant interaction with the microbiome of the Earth. Their brain is also incredibly active, as it has so much to learn. The child's brain, or the youth's brain, is thirsting for oxygen. The more metabolically active the organ is, the more oxygen it requires. In children and adolescents every organ is metabolically active.

To deprive a child's or an adolescent's brain from oxygen, or to restrict it in any way, is not only dangerous to their health, it is absolutely criminal. Oxygen deficiency inhibits the development of the brain, and the damage that has taken place as a result CANNOT be reversed.

The child needs the brain to learn, and the brain needs oxygen to function. We don't need a clinical study for that. This is simple, indisputable physiology. Consciously and purposely induced oxygen deficiency is an absolutely deliberate health hazard, and an absolute medical contraindication.

An absolute medical contraindication in medicine means that this drug, this therapy, this method or measure should not be used, and is not allowed to be used.

To coerce an entire population to use an absolute medical contraindication by force, there must be definite and serious reasons for this, and the reasons must be presented to competent interdisciplinary and independent bodies to be verified and authorised.

When, in ten years, dementia is going to increase exponentially, and the younger generations couldn't reach their god-given potential, it won't help to say "we didn't need the masks".

How can a veterinarian, a software distributor, a businessman, an electrical car manufacturer and a physicist decide on matters regarding the health of the entire population? Please, dear colleagues, we all have to wake up.

I know how damaging oxygen deprivation is for the brain, cardiologists know how damaging it is for the heart, pulmonologists know how damaging it is for the lungs. Oxygen deprivation damages every single organ.

Where are our health departments, our health insurance, our medical associations? It would have been their duty to be vehemently against the lockdown and to stop it and stop it from the very beginning.

Why do the medical boards issue punishments to doctors who give people exemptions? Does the person or the doctor seriously have to prove that oxygen deprivation harms people? What kind of medicine are our doctors and medical associations representing?

Who is responsible for this crime? The ones who want to enforce it? The ones who let it happen and play along, or the ones who don't prevent it?

It's not about masks, it's not about viruses, it's certainly not about your health. It is about much, much more. I am not participating. I am not afraid.

You can notice, they are already taking our air to breathe. The imperative of the hour is personal responsibility.

We are responsible for what we think, not the media. We are responsible for what we do, not our superiors. We are responsible for our health, not the World Health Organisation.

And we are responsible for what happens in our country, not the government."

Heathrow Installs Bio-Security Gates

by **JAMES MOORE,** Editor, IFSEC Global

With around 80 million passengers flowing through it each year, Heathrow Airport's new biometric self-boarding gates are reportedly supporting the management of passenger flow, while keeping security standards high.

With numerous access and entrance control points across its five terminals for customers to contend with, Simon Wilcox, Heathrow Passenger Automation Programme Lead, recognised the need to handle passenger flow in the most efficient way possible – without compromising on security.

The automation programme specified required implementing biometric gates that would bring facial recognition to each access point of a departing passenger's journey. The new technology would use facial recognition at check-in, bag drops, security lanes and boarding gates to create a "seamless experience for passengers" walking through the airport.

Andy Carter, Contracting Business Sales and Operations Director at dormakaba UK said: "The final project looks to have over 400 gates installed across the whole airport. Each one has dormakaba software on the gates, incorporating Heathrow's biometric data, as well as a connection to the management server."

Across these completed terminals, passengers present their boarding pass to a reader on the gate, which then scans and checks the pass with the airline's Departure Control System (DCS) and either opens or remains closed depending on the response.

For passengers departing on domestic flights, the gate also uses facial recognition technology, with its installation being partnered with the airport's chosen biometric provider Atkins, to verify that the passenger boarding the aircraft either entered the airport through the front door or has been through a UK Border Force check since arriving on an international flight.

Teaching 'White Privilege' As Fact Is Breaking Law: UK Equalities Minister Blasts BLM, Critical Race Theory

by **SIMON VEAZEY**

Schools that teach "white privilege" as fact are breaking the law, the equalities minister has told MPs.

MP Kemi Badenoch said the underpinning ideology of critical race theory "sees my blackness as victimhood and their whiteness as oppression."

"This government stands unequivocally against critical race theory," she told MPs during a debate on Oct. 20 in which Labour MP Dawn Butler had called for the curriculum to be "decolonised."

Badenoch, MP for Saffron Waldon and also minister for equalities, said the rise of critical race theory was a "dangerous trend in race relations."

"We do not want to see teachers teaching their white pupils about white privilege and inherited racial guilt," she said. "Any school which teaches these elements of critical race theory or which promotes partisan political views such as defunding the police, without offering a balanced treatment of opposing views, is breaking the law."

The defunding of police has been a demand of many key members and supporters of Black Lives Matter.

"Some schools have decided to openly support the anti-capitalist Black Lives Matter group, often fully aware that they have a statutory duty to be politically impartial," said Badenoch. "Black lives do matter—of course they do. But we know that the Black Lives Matter movement, capital B, L, M, is political."

Some Black Lives Matter leaders and groups, including the UKBLM group, are explicitly anti-capitalist.

"What we are against is the teaching of contested political ideas as if they are accepted facts," said Badendoch. "We don't do this with communism. We don't do this with socialism. We don't do it with capitalism."

Badendoch also warned against importing the rhetoric on race from America. "Our history of race is not America's history of race. Most black British people who have come to our shores were not brought here in chains, but came voluntarily due to their connections to the UK and in search of a better life. I should know. I am one of them.

"We have our own joys and stories to tell. From the Windrush generation to the Somali diaspora, it is a story that is uniquely ours."

During the debate on education and race, MP Dawn Butler had earlier called for the curriculum to be "decolonised," saying that "history is taught to make one group of people feel inferior and another group of people feel superior."

But Badenoch said the curriculum did not need decolonising for "the simple reason that it is not colonised," adding, "We should not apologise for the fact that British children primarily study the history of these islands."

In the United States, the Trump administration recently banned agencies or contractors from "conducting training that promotes race stereotyping, for example, by portraying certain races as oppressors by virtue of their birth."

"This ideology is rooted in the pernicious and false belief that America is an irredeemably racist and sexist country; that some people, simply on account of their race or sex, are oppressors; and that racial and sexual identities are more important than our common status as human beings and Americans," Trump wrote, later calling the ideology "divisive."

The UK government last month issued guidance which says schools should not use resources "produced by organisations that take extreme political stances on matters."

Examples of unacceptable stances include "a publicly stated desire to abolish or overthrow democracy, capitalism, or to end free and fair elections," as well as opposition to free speech or the use of racist or anti-Semitic language.

Materials "promoting divisive or victim narratives that are harmful to British society," were also included as an example.

Sir Keir Starmer - Knight In Dirty Armour?

by **LOUIZE SMALL**

As the nation grows more disenfranchised by the day, thoughts turn to the efficacy of our government and its ability to steer us to a safe and prosperous future. Naturally, all eyes are on the blustering blue rogue and his team, but it is time for us to shine a light on the leader of the opposition, Sir Keir Starmer.

Starmer was born in Southwark and raised in Surrey. The child of staunch Labour supporters, he was destined for a career in politics. As a young man, he edited the radical magazine 'Socialist Alternatives' and was the legal officer for human rights group Liberty until 1990. His career developed as he represented Helen Steel and David Morris in the lengthy 'McLibel' case, which ran from 1986-1997 and involved a number of appeals.

In 1994, he represented a group of criminals who sued the prison service following a botched escape. One of the men, Liam McCotter, was a convicted IRA terrorist, found guilty of conspiracy to cause explosions. The legal battle cost the taxpayer £500,000 and the men were awarded £7,500 in damages. In 2006, he took on the case of Hilal Al-Jeddah, a jihadi suspect held in a British facility in Basra for recruiting terrorists outside of Iraq with a view to committing atrocities.

From 2003-8, Starmer was the human rights adviser to the Northern Ireland policing board; during the same period, he sat on the Foreign Office's Death Penalty Advisory Panel. He said at the time, "I came to understand that you can (make) change by being inside and getting the trust of people."

In July 2008, he was named as the new head of the Crown Prosecution Service and Director of Public Prosecutions. Around the same time, victims of Jimmy Savile had started to come forward with their accusations of abuse and molestation. Starmer decided there was insufficient evidence to prosecute the disgraced TV star yet three years later, after Savile had died, he announced that there would be an investigation into why charges were dropped.

There do seem to be some good things of note to add – Starmer questioned the legality of the invasion of Iraq and called for an end to illegal wars. He also asked for a review of U.K. arms sales, suppported abolition of university fees, demanded a tax increase for the top 5% of earners and proposed an overhaul of the Universal Credit system and the introduction of national wellbeing indicators.

But his policies are inconsistent. In 2013, following publication of a study citing the devastating impact of false allegations, he announced changes to how sexual abuse cases were handled, which resulted in overly-cautious police behaviour and a decrease in the number of rape and domestic abuse cases reported. Starmer left the CPS the same year and the Labour Party announced that he would lead an enquiry into changing the law to protect victims in cases of rape and child abuse.

Starmer accepted a knighthood in 2014 and was elected as MP for Holborn & St. Pancras a year later. He served for a while as the Shadow Minister of State for Immigration but resigned shortly afterwards in protest at Jeremy Corbyn's leadership, along with several others. In 2016, he took up the position of Shadow Brexit Secretary and was instrumental in pushing for a second referendum, which ultimately led to the defeat of the party in the 2019 election.

Amidst allegations of anti-semitism in the Labour Party, Starmer remained suspiciously quiet, and even invited the controversial anti-Israel group, CADFA, to speak with him at the House of Commons. London Rabbi, the late Dr David Goldberg, told a friend he was, "very disappointed with Keir Starmer, particularly as his wife and children are members of my synagogue. It's their community that is under threat and he has done so little. It's pathetic."

The Jewish Chronicle quotes Starmer as saying: "If the definition of Zionist is someone who believes in the State of Israel, in that sense, I am a Zionist." The same publication also notes that Starmer failed to do enough to address issues of anti-semitism in the Labour Party and sought to hide his connections to Judaism.



As Jeremy Corbyn was ousted from the party, Starmer stood up to replace him as the charming and good-looking leader, capable of winning press support. Former Labour MP Kate Hoey said of him: "Starmer likes to talk up his credentials as a human rights campaigner. However, there is quite a lot of airbrushing of his CV going on here and the truth about his career outside politics is a good deal more complicated than this… As a potential political leader, he must be much more transparent about his previous work."

It is also important to note that Keir Starmer is a member of the Trilateral Commission (TLC.) Founded by David Rockefeller and Zbigniew Brzezinski in 1973, the commission unites global leaders and thinkers in a common goal. Their 1975 report, 'The Crisis of Democracy,' states the need to, 'turn people back to passivity and obedience so they don't put too many constraints on state power.' The group were concerned that schools, universities and churches were not sufficiently indoctrinating the young and that they were too free to pursue their own initiatives and concerns and needed to be controlled better. Brzezinski was heavily involved in steering the Carter administration in the U.S. in the late 1970s and was Barack Obama's foreign Policy Adviser.

Social philosopher and cognitive scientist Noam Chomsky notes that the TLC is 'undemocratic' and 'controlled by elites.' There is no doubt that the TLC is a rich man's club, which seeks to keep wages low and voters apathetic, obedient and polarised. Annual reports and task force papers are available for the public to read.

While many viewed Jeremy Corbyn as a man of the people, we must ask whose interests does Keir Starmer serve?

Please don't bin this paper, pass it on and share some truth.

Not Sufficiently Scared

by **NIALL McCRAE**

Lockdown is the world's biggest psychological experiment – and we pay the price. This was an article on the World Economic Forum website (9 April 2020), in which psychologist Elke van Hoof warned of a tsunami of mental distress.

Lockdown is a drastic intervention, normally eschewed by epidemiologists and public health experts, because it tends to cause more harm than good.

As the pandemic emerged earlier this year in China, it dominated news bulletins. Everyone was talking about this new disease, while mostly carrying on with their lives as usual.

Crucial to the government's strategy in changing people's attitudes to the virus was the Behavioural Insights Team, founded after David Cameron was inspired by the book 'Nudge' by Richard Thaler and Cass Sunstein.

Instead of increasing taxes and banning unhealthy food and leisure activities, society would be gently coaxed into healthier choices. However, in response to Covid-19 the carrot was dropped in favour of the stick, with a sudden shift from soft to hard paternalism powered by propaganda.

As those responsible for the Project Fear campaign against Brexit found, it is not easy to convince the general public of a mortal danger in their midst, because there are too many sceptics and free-thinkers.

Climate change alarmists have had the same problem. In the journal Nature Human Behaviour, Jay van Bavel and colleagues (30 April 2020) explained that panic is relatively rare in a society facing a threat; instead, 'cooperation and orderly, norm-governed behaviour' prevail, with people less likely to die from over-reaction than from under-reaction'.

Behavioural science is based on the principle that people do not act rationally, but follow socially-regulated patterns. Radical behaviour change may be learned and reinforced if the status quo is overcome by sufficient force. The authorities strove to amplify fear of Covid-19: on 22nd March a document by SAGE, the government's scientific committee, on 'options for increasing adherence to social distancing measures' included use of mainstream media to escalate the sense of threat. Lockdown was imposed on the following day.

As citizens were deemed insufficiently scared, the government warned of indiscriminate slaughter from the spread of invisible microbes. Daily Covid-19 death tolls were presented in live prime minister's broadcasts, without the context that around 1200 people die of all causes every day in the UK.

With images of patients on ventilators and the prospect of ambulances turned away from overwhelmed hospitals, the general public succumbed to fear of a virus that is actually little more fatal than seasonal influenza.

Through brainwashing tactics, mass hysteria was induced by the authorities to maximise control and maintain a state of emergency, with people helplessly depending on the state for survival.

YOUR FEELINGS	MIND CONTROL STRATEGY	WHAT TO DO
Are you made to feel like an outsider for criticising the official narrative?	*Promoting a 'them and us' mentality to ostracise critical thinkers.*	*Join social networks with fellow sceptics and attend rallies to show you are one of many.*
Do you fear being associated with conspiracy theorists?	*Portraying sceptics as cranks or extremists.*	*Refer to reputable scientists and information sources (you don't need to defend anyone but yourself).*
Do you worry that your scepticism could cause social rejection or harm your career?	*Strong social pressure and expectations on employers to uphold 'values' and standards of behaviour.*	*Remind others of the need for reasoned debate, and that there is no absolute truth or consensus on responding to Covid-19.*
Are you made to feel selfish or reckless for not complying with the Covid regime?	*Projection of bad traits on a minority.*	*It is not selfish to maintain social contact whenever possible, while basic human rights are being denied.*
Do you worry about being seen as a danger to others, potentially spreading germs that could kill a vulnerable person?	*Public health used as a means of totalitarianism.*	*Every winter we are at risk of catching or spreading a cold or the 'flu, but life goes on.*
Have you felt criminalised?	*Coercive fear.*	*Be aware of your rights (e.g. not to wear a mask); if you are apprehended, do not accept a caution or pay a fine as many Covid-19 rules are legally dubious.*

The internet is a double-edged sword in times of perceived crisis. Political polarisation leads to people taking opposing perspectives on the threat, with beliefs perpetuated by online 'echo chambers'. Much of the populace may distrust the governing party, with conjecture on ulterior motives of emergency powers.

While promoting solidarity (the fabled 'Blitz spirit') and referring to scientific expertise rather than partisan politics, the British government waged an information war on social, broadcast, print and outdoor public media, disseminating the official narrative and de-legitimising differing or contrary views. No second medical opinions were allowed.

Collaborating with multinational technology companies, the authorities quelled 'fake news' and 'conspiracy theories' by giving prominence to favourably-slanted fact-checking websites, including the BBC anti-disinformation unit.

An important role was given to 77 Brigade, the British Army's cyber-warfare unit, to suppress 'dangerous' ideas about Covid-19.

To some extent, denunciation of dissidents on social media may have been orchestrated, and petitions blocked. A demonstration outside the Behavioural Insights office demanded that the government stop all 'psy ops' imposing a 'New Normal' (Kevin Corbett, 27 August 2020), but organisers of such protests risked arrest and a hefty fine.

The success of scaremongering over Covid-19 is readily apparent, with our society transformed from individualist diversity to uncritical conformity (as evidenced by most adhering to the unnecessary mask wearing, distancing from friends and family, and a mistaken belief that only a vaccine will allow a semblance of normality to resume.)

Indeed, the strategy was particularly effective in the UK, with citizens more scared of Covid-19 than in other countries (Daily Telegraph, 6 May 2020).

Alongside criminal enforcement, a moral imperative was boosted by an 'us versus them' mentality, exploiting the tribal nature of human beings, with masks symbolising social inclusion and virtue. Anyone refusing to comply was accused of 'killing grannies.' A falsehood aggravated by the likelihood that the regime's own lockdown and emergency policies killed many of the elderly.

As Simon Jenkins noted in the Guardian (21 September 2020), scientific advisors are 'determined to cause alarm', selectively using data or predictive modelling of a worst-case scenario. Fear is elevated by tricks such as using the term 'cases' not for people who are ill or infected, but for anyone with a positive test result, using a PCR method that was not designed for screening of infectious disease. The known problem of false positives is ignored, even though acknowledged by the Prime Minister in interview.

In government press conferences no denominator is given either for tests or for deaths. No comparison is made with previous 'flu outbreaks, in which perhaps double the official total died from the disease, as many had pneumonia recorded as cause of death. Covid-19 recording is likely to be over-estimated due to testing anomalies and low diagnostic threshold. Meanwhile, tens of thousands die from other illnesses due to reduced access to the NHS and general fear. Doctors and nurses are not being allowed to do their job.

Mass hysteria is a collective flight from reason, but it does not necessarily manifest in people running around panicking like headless chickens.

Indeed, the authorities have created a controlled anxiety, whereby people's minds are focused on a single threat, which may be averted if rules are obeyed. But as Scott Atlas of the US coronavirus task force remarked on Unherd (20 October 2010), 'no competent government acts by instilling a climate of fear'. A state of terror is damaging to society, unsustainable – and deeply unethical.

Another question you may ask is: 'what makes me sure that my side is right, and that the other side is wrong, brainwashed or mad?'

A good answer would be that you have considered expertise and evidence on both sides of the argument, you cite reputable scientists and reliable sources such as the Lockdown Sceptics website (and this truthpaper), and you are using your own powers of observation.

Clearly the risk of Covid-19 has been exaggerated, and you can justify this without being callous (it is normal for frail older people to die from diseases particularly in winter).

Finally, ask yourself: why is there no debate?

Arguably, lockdown suits some people. It consolidates power and privilege. Conformity is rewarded, particularly in the professions, where dissent could have an adverse impact on career prospects. Western society is risk-averse and naïve to medical hubris.

As the authorities impose increasingly draconian restrictions on our lives, with little evidence of effectiveness, you could argue that the emperor has no clothes.

Protecting Granny

by NIAMH McGARRY-GRIBBIN

On 17th March 2020 the UK Government demanded that 25,000 hospitalised care home residents be discharged back into the community care sector in order to free up hospital beds for the anticipated pandemic curve.

Those discharged were not tested for Covid-19 prior to being transferred back to their care homes. This gross failing amounts to genocide, causing the needless deaths of thousands of vulnerable elderly people.

According to the Office of National Statistics' latest figures, updated 3rd July 2020, there were 66,112 care home resident deaths between 2nd March to 12th June 2020, this includes 28,186 excess deaths. Of these 19,394 deaths were Covid-19 related, accounting for 29.3% of deaths. I postulate that the remaining excess deaths were directly due to loneliness because of the ban on visitors.

Going forward, through winter 2020-2021, the government is pledging to work with CQC (Care Quality Commission), the care home inspectorate, in developing "designated premises" for people who have tested positive but are safe to be discharged from hospital. Surely this is a case of locking the stable door after the horse has bolted?

There were plenty of such premises available on 17th March when the government forcibly moved hospitalised care home residents back into the community. Why weren't the much lauded Nightingale hospitals used for this purpose? These makeshift hospitals costing millions of pounds, that largely remained empty, would have been the ideal care setting for these patients until they were deemed fit enough, and safe, to return to their care home environments.

The Department of Health is only now proposing those being discharged from hospital into care homes be tested. Such basic safety measures should have been enforced prior to demanding the discharge of those 25,000 elderly and vulnerable people on 17th March 2020.

In anticipation of the winter resurgence of the virus, along with the usual seasonal cases of influenza, the government is pledging £3.7 billion in emergency grant funding alongside £1.1 billion infection control fund with the provision of free PPE.

Acknowledging that WHO declared the global coronavirus pandemic on 30th January 2020, the UK government had plenty of warning in which to prepare and introduce such funding prior to making the demand of discharging all care home residents from hospitals.

Their failure to act upon relevant information means they should be tried for corporate manslaughter at best, and murder at worst. They had the chance to "protect granny" right from the start but instead chose to drag their heals with Matt Hancock, Health Minister, now refusing to apologise for obvious failings whilst insisting a "protective ring" was thrown around care homes.

Following the publication of their report titled 'As If Expendable: The UK Government's Failure To Protect Older People In Care Homes During The Covid-19 Pandemic', Amnesty International is demanding an independent inquiry into this shameful debacle.

Was it necessary to discharge these vulnerable elderly people back into their care homes at all? Statistical analysis of bed capacity demonstrates that they could have been adequately cared for in the hospitals.

With reference to the bed occupancy data recorded on the NHS England website, my local hospital, where many were brought from China in February to quarantine for a fortnight, was running at 52.2% capacity for the second quarter of this year. Hospitals up and down the country were not overflowing, with the staff run off their feet as reported by the media.

Curiously, statistics of bed occupancy have been consistently reported for every annual quarter since 2011. However, during the peak of the pandemic such statistics have not been published. It will be interesting to see the occupancy statistics during the 2020-2021 winter months, if they are ever published at all.

The Department of Health website has now produced guidelines on all aspects of adult social care in respect of the coronavirus. Blanket DNR (Do Not Resuscitate) orders have been illegal at least since the withdrawal of the Liverpool Care Pathway. As with all aspects of individualised care this has to be an informed choice involving residents with capacity, advocates of those without capacity, next of kin, doctors and senior nurses involved with the care and social workers.

The blanket imposition of DNR notices flies in the face of "protecting granny" with undertones of euthanasia. The Department of Health has explicit protocols in this area which must be followed at all times, even during a pandemic. Medical professionals, clinical commissioning groups, and any other relevant authority imposing blanket DNR notices must be held to account.

Criminal neglect has arisen by the refusal of medical attention for care home residents. Whether this has come about by care home staff declining to seek assistance, or GPs refusing to give necessary care, is inconsequential.

The fact remains that deliberately withholding medical care is illegal. The elderly, vulnerable, and sick, it seems, have been collateral damage in a wholly mismanaged situation from lack of preparation and total disregard for the sick and elderly.

Recently it has come to light that certain councils are offering additional money to care home providers by way of bribery to influence acceptance of known Covid-19 positive elderly into their care homes. Care providers, managers and senior nurses have the right to refuse admission of anyone into their care.

However, this is tempered by the fact that local social services may regard them as being uncooperative and refuse to place anyone else in their care in the future. Care homes, after all, are a business, but the health and safety of those already residing at a care home must always take priority.

In absence of these "designated premises" the government has outlined the parameters in which known Covid-19 positive patients should be accepted into care homes ensuring that great provision is given to the safety of those already living there.

The often-used adage of "lessons must be learned" certainly does apply but to little comfort of the bereaved who were denied time to express love and say "goodbye" to their parent, grandparent, sibling, or friend. The fact that any lesson has to be learned at all is a damning indictment of a criminally neglectful government.

This whole saga could have been prevented if basic principles of common sense had been applied from the beginning. Fundamental infection control policies should have been observed and followed from as early as 30th January 2020, or beforehand.

Small UK Farms Disappearing

by ABBY ALLEN of Pipers Farm

The number of US-style mega farms in the UK has increased by 30% in the past 5 years alone. This collapse in family farms has in part been driven by the rise of Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations - CAFOs.

In these mega-industrial farming units, pigs, cows and chickens are crammed by the thousand into rows of barns. Many units are semi-automated, with feeding run by computer, with periodic visits by workers.

Industrial farming dominates animal production and has an outsized influence on crop growing and land usage. The UK is now home to at least 789 mega-farms classed as CAFOs. Most highly geared industrial farms are not far off the CAFO status, and there are thousands of industrial farms taking up huge swathes of British land - once home to a tapestry of smaller farms.

The trend for the UK to follow US style agriculture is nothing new, we have been taking our lead from our cousins across the pond since the industrial revolution.

However, right now the rapid expansion of farming methods are at the heart of the row over US attempts to erode Britain's food standards and lever open access to the UK market as part of a post-Brexit trade deal.

Global corporations who control the vast majority of food supply around the world are telling UK farmers, if they want to compete against American imports, they will likely have to increase the yield of their farms to force cheaper food, and lower their welfare standards - or go out of business.

The British farming industry has been under relentless pressure to make food cheaper and cheaper. Cheap food culture is still a force to be reckoned with. When looking at the amount of disposable income each household spends on food for example, the UK comes third last in the world. It has been this singular focus that has meant we have lost sight of the true cost of our agricultural industry.

The cost to our environment. The cost to human health. Even the cost of our land, making access more and more difficult for new entrant farmers to start up enterprises.

Many farmers have not been able to sustain a working farm and have instead jumped off the treadmill and sold out to developers who have covered grade A farmland in housing developments. This has further driven up the price of land and made it more scarce and more difficult for the small family farm to survive.

How can we create a food system that supports small scale farms? There is extensive evidence of the important role that small-scale farms have in our agricultural landscape – creating jobs, supporting rural communities, and reducing inputs and waste on mixed farms.

Small farms such as these are often written off as inefficient or low-output. But a study carried out by the Prince's Countryside Fund points out top-performing small family farms are just as efficient as many of the country's much larger ones.

Especially when it comes to producing higher quality food – grass-fed, hand-reared, free range, self-sustaining and regenerating.

Here follows the transcript of the livestream from the Press Conference in Linz, Austria with Claire Edwards, after presenting the President of Austria with the Notice of Liability in Vienna, with Steven Whybrow.

The document was drawn up by a group of experts that comprehensively explains what is happening on Earth right now and why. It is also a self-help manual for a situation unprecedented in human history.

Claire Edwards is a BA Hons, MA and former United Nations Staffer of 18 years.

WHAT ON EARTH IS GOING ON?

"Each time a person stands up for an idea, or acts to improve the lot of others, or strikes out against injustice, he or she sends forth a tiny ripple of hope, and crossing each other from a million different centers of energy and daring, those ripples build a current that can sweep down the mightiest walls of oppression and resistance."

Robert F. Kennedy

"COVID-19" was long pre-planned in documents and simulation exercises emanating from the eugenicist Bill Gates and the Rockefeller Foundation.

A platform with 200 detailed levels is provided by the World Economic Forum led by Klaus Schwab, a technocrat and promoter of transhumanism, in order to provide detailed instructions on how the "COVID-19" pandemic is to be used to implement a global monetary reset and digital currency, technocracy and totalitarian government worldwide under the guise of socialism and environmentalism, with China as the model, and enslave humanity through a sinister vaccine conspiracy.

Earlier attempts were made to engineer pandemics but none succeeded. This time, the World Health Organization changed its pandemic criteria in advance so that it could declare a pandemic on spurious grounds.

Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, a terrorist and accused genocidist was appointed head of the World Health Organization in order to orchestrate the pandemic and facilitate the totalitarian takeover.

Wireless technology suppresses the immune system. 5G is implicated in COVID-19 through correlations between the locations of the 5G rollout and morbidity/mortality, as well as the prior administration of flu vaccinations in Wuhan and Milan.

The symptoms of "COVID" are virtually identical to the symptoms of exposure to electromagnetic radiation (EMR).

Extensive military research over many decades was kept secret and regulatory agencies were co-opted in order to prevent the public learning about the extreme dangers of electromagnetic radiation.

Doctors receive no training on the risks to health of exposure to EMR and therefore misdiagnose EMR symptoms. Hospitals are extensively equipped with 5G, putting patients' lives at risk.

5G serves many purposes. It is a depopulation and military weapon and facilitates the introduction of technocracy and totalitarian control by enabling surveillance, facial recognition, 24/7 monitoring of individuals, mind and body control, and – in combination with vaccines and chemtrails containing nanoparticles – the torture or murder of targeted individuals.

EMR can be used to simulate pathogens and overwhelm the immune system and cell phones may be being used to simulate "COVID-19 contagion" among co-workers or family members.

5G has been widely installed terrestrially and in space to target and control populations. The illegal coronavirus measures were used by governments to accelerate the 5G rollout and install 60 GHz public access points in schools in order to target children.

Illegal legislation has been put in place in numerous countries:

- *To remove civil liberties*
- *To destroy economies*
- *To close down small and medium-sized businesses*
- *To separate, isolate and terrorize family members*
- *To impoverish people, including by destroying jobs*
- *To remove children from their families*
- *To intern dissenters in concentration camps*
- *To grant immunity to government operatives to commit murder, rape and torture (UK)*
- *To use police, army and mercenaries to control populations*
- *To force-vaccinate populations with a non-medical vaccine containing population control mechanisms without their informed consent.*

There is and was no pandemic since "the curve" was flattening before the lockdown measures were put in place. Mortality is at a lower level than in previous years.

The PCR test, which was never designed as a diagnostic test and gives up to 94% false positive results, is used by the oligarch and government-controlled mainstream and social media platforms to terrorize populations for the purpose of obtaining obedience.

The illusion of a pandemic is stoked by doctors being forced to attribute virtually every death to "COVID". Medical staff and doctors are intimidated to prevent them speaking the truth about the fake pandemic.

In the UK, the death certificate is being changed to prevent relatives being able to question the cause of death.

Tens of thousands of doctors have now come out to confirm that "COVID-19" is a hoax.

There were no "COVID" deaths in Ireland until 20 April and since then, the lockdowns have been based on 98 deaths out of 5 million people, while 30 thousand die annually from other diseases.

Only people with a "hot" infection, with symptoms such as headaches or a sore throat, are contagious – 86% of "COVID" "cases" are asymptomatic carriers and therefore harmless.

A Stanford University antibody study concluded the death rate to be between 0.1 to 0.2%, right in line with the seasonal flu. Initial projected death rates from the World Health Organization "were 20 to 30 times higher."

In June, the US Centers for Disease Control confirmed the overall infection fatality rate (IFR) to be just 0.26%, way lower than the 3.4% estimate of WHO, which helped drive the panic and the lockdowns.

But even that is an overestimate: the infection fatality rate for non-nursing home residents is likely only 0.1% or 1 in 1,000.

The UK and German governments stated in documents that they were deliberately ramping up the fear level, including traumatizing children by making them believe that they would torture and kill their relatives if they failed to wash their hands and obey the corona measures.

Children were made to believe that they could show their love for their grandparents by not coming near them. Social distancing is a torture technique devised to traumatize and its purpose is to condition people to distance themselves from others so that they can be seen and targeted by the 5G weapon.

Government and WHO policies are deliberately aimed at killing people. In many countries, doctors were ordered not to admit the elderly to intensive care units and to withdraw all health care, and national health systems stopped providing health care other than that for "COVID", abandoning the sick to die.

In France, the government issued a decree ordering doctors to administer to the elderly a drug restricted since 2012 as it was contra-indicated for respiratory problems.

In the US, hospitals were heavily bribed to diagnose "COVID" and put patients on ventilators that killed them.

WHO and governments suppressed successful treatments, one in particular in use for 70 years, and harassed doctors successfully saving patients. Autopsies that would reveal true cause of death were mostly prevented.

Forensic Pathologist Professor Klaus Püschel declared having not seen a single case of "COVID-19" in autopsy that did not include other serious pre-existing diseases.

Governments and WHO promoted and enforced mask-wearing by the public in full knowledge that they provide no protection from any virus, but cause serious neurological and respiratory damage, putting people's lives and health at risk.

In the UK, the death rate rose only after the general lockdown was implemented. Top economists are warning that the UK government is "killing more people than it could possibly save" through lockdowns.

The UK Daily Mail carried out an audit of 130 studies from journals, academics and charities, documenting the social and health devastation and deaths caused by lockdowns.

In one county in the US, suicides among young people rose 100%. A million New Yorkers can no longer afford food. Britain's WHO envoy has said that world poverty will double by 2021 as a result of lockdowns.

THE 'COVID' VACCINE

Bill Gates wants to reduce the world population. He introduced his vaccine containing the electronic nanochip "marker" intended to "mark" and control 7 billion humans at the ID2020 Conference in 2019.

ID2020 is intended to provide a unique digital identity for all humans by 2030 that closely interlocks this digital identity with access to commerce and secure access systems. This is the electronic enslavement of humanity.

The subcutaneous chip will be able to:

- *Influence the behaviour of the chip-bearer (manipulate and control crowds)*
- *Eliminate categories of people (reduce the world population)*
- *Ensure vaccine compliance*
- *Permanently locate the bearer (exit civil liberties)*
- *Integrate 7 billion people into the cloud and operate with an all-digital system that is the equivalent of a credit on a company store*

Nanochips and liquid crystals in vaccines can influence human behaviour, without concern for political ethics. And the upcoming vaccine is intended to genetically modify humanity for all future generations, in effect deleting humanity altogether as humans become transhumans or robots.

South Korea has just had 94 flu shot deaths and 432 adverse reactions, while 5 million doses of vaccine were not refrigerated.

One volunteer in AstraZeneca's new "COVID" vaccine has just died and two of the trials have been halted because participants became sick.

These "COVID" vaccines are not following normal trial procedures and are being rushed out in case the pandemic hoax peters out too soon to convince people to take the vaccine. Governments are giving the pharmaceutical companies full immunity from injury lawsuits.

Increasing numbers of people are saying that they will refuse the COVID-19 vaccine, with about half the US and UK populations saying so.

And yet certain governments are planning to force vaccinations on populations using the army while others are planning to ban vaccine refuseniks from work, school or travel.

EVENT 201

A GLOBAL PANDEMIC EXERCISE

HUMANITY IS IN EXTREME AND IMMINENT DANGER

Some good people are launching legal cases to stop this war on humanity, among them the Italian Catholic Association, Simon Dolan and the Bernician in the UK.

Children's Health Defense and the Environmental Health Trust are bringing cases against the Federal Communications Commission for its refusal to review its outdated thermal exposure guidelines on electromagnetic radiation. Common law movements are under way in several countries.

But all of this is too slow. The perpetrators of the COVID scam are still imposing lockdowns and destroying lives, businesses and families.

The entity behind all of this, the World Economic Forum, told us in 2017 about the world they want to see by 2030:

- *You'll own nothing – you'll be renting everything*
- *The U.S. won't be the world's leading superpower – because everything will be under totalitarian, technocratic control and there will be no nation states*
- *You'll eat much less meat – you won't be allowed to*
- *A billion people will be displaced by [fake] climate change – countries will have to welcome more refugees*
- *Polluters will have to pay to emit carbon dioxide – "polluters" will include farmers trying to grow food crops*
- *Western values will have been tested to the breaking point – your culture will be eliminated and replaced with Maoist technocratic slogans*

They are engineering food shortages in numerous different ways. They are building concentration camps for dissenters. They want to impose a forced vaccine full of nanochips for immunity passports and cryptocurrency, and nanoparticles so they can track, surveil and control us, including our minds. This vaccine is intended to genetically modify humanity for all future generations.

In the US, Soros and 269 major corporations are funding Black Lives Matter and destroying America with the complicity of corrupt Democrat politicians. They want civil war everywhere.

In Austria, France, Switzerland, the US and other countries, many people are armed and trained to fight. We cannot give them what they want. We must stop this agenda in its tracks, take power ourselves and arrest the perpetrators.

CONCLUSION

Governments are corporations obeying orders from the World Economic Forum and are no longer serving their peoples. They are acting in contravention of international and national laws and no longer have any legitimacy. We are witnessing the collapse of the rule of law.

Governments, elected representatives and international institutions, including the UN, and private clubs such as the International Commission on Non-Ionising Radiation Protection, and the World Economic Forum are complicit in this "greatest crime against humanity ever committed".

Only the sovereign peoples of this world have legitimacy under these circumstances.

The perpetrators, including Klaus Schwab, Prince Charles, Bill and Melinda Gates, George Soros, UN Secretary-General Antonio Guterres, and WHO's Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus and Michael Ryan, the New Zealand Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern, UK Prime Minister Boris Johnson and UK Health Minister Matt Hancock, French President Emmanuel Macron and Prime Minister Edouard Philippe, German Chancellor Angela Merkel, Austrian Chancellor Sebastian Kurz, Elon Musk, Mark Zuckerberg, mainstream journalists and others must be seized and brought to justice in new Nuremberg trials.

Politicians, journalists peddling fake news for the mainstream media, and others may be granted immunity if they change sides now, join the people before it is too late, and become whistleblowers.

We call upon the human population to shun these genocidal monsters in hotels, in restaurants, in taxis, wherever you come across them. Turn your back on them and show them the contempt they deserve for their psychopathic behaviour and genocidal intent.

We call upon the armies and the national police to stand with the people against the conspirators, who aim to expropriate you, too, and take your children, too, and genocide as many of us as they find convenient.

Police and armies will be replaced by machines in the New World Order. You, too, belong with the people and we ask you to stand with us in defending our humanity, our health, our families, our children, indigenous peoples and all of the natural life on Earth that sustains and protects us.

94 Dead in South Korea After Flu Shot

The number of South Koreans who have died after getting flu shots has risen to 94 the Korean Disease Control and Prevention Agency (KDCA) said on Thursday 5th November.

The death toll among those who have been vaccinated has nearly doubled in a week.

The alarming rise in the number of deaths has caused some doctors and politicians to call for a halt to the government campaign to vaccinate about 30 million of the country's 54 million people.

The deaths include a 17-year-old boy and a man in his 70s who suffered from Parkinson's disease. In response to the deaths, the authorities maintained there was no reason to believe the vaccines caused the fatalities. The deaths come amid an inoculation drive in the country to stop flu and Covid patients overloading hospitals. Most deaths are among the elderly

The boy died two days after receiving the flu shot in Incheon, near the capital Seoul. The man in his 70s, who also had a heart problem, was the most recent case. He died in Daegu on Wednesday, a day after receiving the flu vaccine. Medical officials said the

man had received vaccines since 2015 with no prior adverse reactions.

This year, countries are more aggressively carrying out flu vaccinations, as one way to potentially mitigate the continuing effects of the Covid-19 pandemic. However, concerns about the deaths led health officials in Singapore to temporarily halt vaccinations with two flu vaccines in wide use there—one made by South Korean company SK Bioscience, and the other by the French multinational Sanofi—according to the South China Morning Post.

Each flu season in the U.S., the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) estimates that anywhere from 140,000 to 810,000 people are hospitalized for influenza, and up to 61,000 people die from the disease. If fewer people need to go to the hospital for flu-related reasons, that provides more staff and resources to devote to caring for Covid-19 patients, the thinking seems to be.

The national flu vaccine campaign in South Korea did experience earlier stumbles. In September, the government temporarily suspended immunizations due to cold-chain problems, and in early October, about 600,000 doses of vaccines from one manufacturer were recalled after contaminants were found in some of the vials.

The program was suspended for three weeks after it was discovered that some 5 million doses, which need to be refrigerated, had been exposed to room temperature while being transported to a medical facility. South Korea's vaccines come from a variety of sources. Manufacturers include local drug makers GC Pharma, SK Bioscience and Ilyang Pharmaceutical Co, along with France's Sanofi and Britain's Glaxosmithkline. Distributors include LG Chem Ltd and Boryung Biopharma Co. Ltd., a unit of Boryung Pharm Co. Ltd..

GC Pharma, LG Chem, SK Bioscience and Boryung declined to comment. Ilyang Pharmaceutical, Sanofi and GSK could not immediately be reached for comment.

South Korea had extended its seasonal vaccine program this year to ward off any potential Covid-19 complications and overburdening hospitals over the winter.

Officials said 8.3 million people have been inoculated with the free flu vaccine since it resumed on October 13, with over 400 cases of adverse reactions reported.

The highest number of deaths linked to the seasonal flu vaccination previously was six in 2005, according to the Yonhap news agency. Officials have said it is difficult to make comparisons to previous years because of the greater numbers of people taking the vaccine this year.

In a stunning denial of reality, health authorities said on Wednesday they had no plans to suspend a program to inoculate around 19 million people for free after their preliminary investigation into six deaths found no direct connection to the vaccines.

No toxic substances had been found in the vaccines apparently, and at least five of the six people investigated had underlying conditions, officials said. KDCA said that the vaccines would continue to reduce the chance of having simultaneous epidemics, despite the vaccines causing a frighteningly large, 16 times larger than normal number of deaths in those who received it.

The fact that this itself is not a cause for alarm is quite stunning - but readers would do well to be warned - stay away from any 'flu vaccinations this year, and certainly any Covid vaccines that are promoted and pushed on the general public all over the world at such short notice.

The sheer brazen denial of reality in order to get millions of people injected with vaccines should be serious cause for alarm for most right-thinking individuals. especially after so many deaths in South Korea.

Colorado Care Home Residents' Protest Against Restrictions

Residents of a long-term nursing and rehabilitation facility in Colorado organised a protest against the state's Covid-19 restrictions on Thursday.

According to KCNC-TV, the protest took place at Fairacres Manor in Greeley.

The station reported that many of the facility's residents — many of them in wheelchairs — protested outside of the facility and held signs that read "Rather die from Covid than loneliness," "Give us freedom," "Prisoners in our own home," and more.

In a statement, Ben Gonzales — assistant administrator at the facility — said that Fairacres' residents are not happy.

"They want to be able to hug their grandchildren, they want to be able to hold the hands of their loved ones," he said.

Resident Council President Sharon Peterson — a 75-year-old woman — told the outlet that she and other residents are desperate for a change.

"We used to be lucky here at Fairacres to show each other what we mean to one another and we cannot do that anymore," Peterson said. "Fairacres follows the rules and, with that, we think they would keep us safe while being able to be with our families again."

Peterson, who helped to organize the demonstration, said that the protest was necessary because "one thing we have to look forward to is a simple hug."

"It gives us meaning," she said. "Fairacres needs to be commended on how well they have cared for all of us, but it's time for our voices to be heard."

Gonzales said that several staff members joined those at the protest, and pledged that the staff wants residents to know "that their voice does matter."

Gonzales also said that Fairacres staff and residents sent letters to the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment as well as Gov. Jared Polis's office in August, begging for rules to be relaxed to permit physical contact. Gonzales said that no one received a response to the inquiries.

In response to the protest, Polis issued a statement. "We absolutely understand how difficult it has been for residents of residential care facilities and their families," Polis's office said on Friday. "Social interaction is essential to physical and mental health, and so we have provided guidance to residential care facilities that allows for that interaction while also keeping residents safe from Covid-19."

The statement continued, "Restrictions have been in place previously, but residents are now able to visit loved ones both indoors and outdoors. In addition, we are doing everything possible to help long-term care facilities mitigate and prevent the spread of Covid-19 by working directly with facilities on proper infection control practices that have been proven."

According to the Greeley Tribune, the nursing facility was the site of an outbreak in the early days of the coronavirus pandemic.

"The outbreak was discovered in late March," the outlet reported. "From then until mid-May, 16 residents were lab-confirmed positive for Covid-19 with another two dozen residents determined to be probable cases, according to CDPHE data."

International Lawyers Prepare Lawsuit Against WHO

An international group of lawyers is preparing a massive lawsuit against the World Health Organization that seeks damages for populations negatively impacted by COVID-19 lockdowns, citing "crimes against humanity."

The attorneys, led by California-based German lawyer Dr. Reiner Fuellmich, are demanding the public receives "full compensation for their losses" if they have been "harmed" by coronavirus lockdown measures.

Dr. Fuellmich explains the case in a lengthy video released on October 3rd: "Under the rules of civil tort law, all those who have been harmed by these PCR test-induced lockdowns are entitled to receive full compensation for their losses," Fuellmich says.

"In particular, there is a duty to compensate, i.e. a duty to pay damages for the loss of profits suffered by companies and self-employed persons as a result of the lockdown and other measures."



Dr. Reiner Fuellmich

Fuellmich's team argues that PCR tests, as promoted by the WHO and numerous public health officials, are to blame for the lockdowns.

Contrary to assertions by the WHO, German virologist Christian Drosten and Lothar Wieler of the German Robert Koch-Institut (roughly equivalent to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), PCR tests "do not give any indication of an infection with any virus, let alone an infection with SARS-CoV-2," Fuellmich said.

"Not only are PCR tests expressly not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests, and as the inventor of the PCR test, Kary Mullis, has repeatedly emphasized," he continued.

"Instead, they are simply incapable of diagnosing any disease."

"Contrary to the assertions of Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, which they have been making since the proclamation of the pandemic, a positive PCR test result does not mean that an infection is present," Fuellmich emphasized.

"If someone tests positive, it does not mean that they are infected with anything, let alone with a contagious SARS-CoV-2 virus."

In this context, Fuellmich referred to a publication of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) that stated, "Detection of viral RNA may not indicate the presence of infectious virus or that 2019-nCoV is the causative agent for clinical symptoms."

"The PCR swabs take one or two sequences of a molecule that are invisible to the human eye and therefore need to be amplified in many cycles to make it visible," the lawyer explained.

"Everything over 35 cycles is — as reported by The New York Times and others publications — considered completely unreliable and scientifically unjustifiable."

In fact, the PCR test used to test for COVID-19 was first developed by Drosten, according to LifeSite News.

These tests, as Fuellmich said, "are set to 45 cycles. Can that be because of the desire to produce as many positive results as possible and thereby provide the basis for the false assumption that a large number of infections have been detected?"

"The test cannot distinguish inactive and reproductive matter," he continued.

"That means that a positive result may happen because the test detects e.g. a piece of debris, a fragment of a molecule, which may signal nothing else than that the immune system of the person tested won a battle with the common cold in the past."

Even Drosten had recognized this problem in 2014, Fuellmich argued, when the virologist said in the context of MERS, another viral respiratory illness, "If, for example, such a pathogen scurries over the nasal mucosa of a nurse for a day or so without her getting sick or noticing anything else, then she is suddenly a MERS case."

Fuellmich summarized, "in short: This test cannot detect any infection, contrary to all false claims stating that it can.

An infection, a so-called hot infection, requires that the virus, or rather: a fragment of a molecule which may be a virus is not just found somewhere, e.g. in the throat of a person without causing any damage (that would be a cold infection).

Rather, a hot infection requires that the virus penetrates into the cells, replicates there and causes symptoms such as headaches, or a sore throat.

Only then is a person really infected in the sense of a hot infection, because only then is a person contagious, that is: able to infect others.

Until then, it is completely harmless for both the host and all other people that the host comes into contact with."

Parents in France Vow to Fight Planned Home-Schooling Ban

Parents who educate their children at home have vowed to fight President Macron's plan to ban home-schooling from September 2021 unless they have a medical reason.

In a speech on anti-separatism, Mr Macron said that Education Authority inspectors regularly discover children who are 'outside the system' and every week prefects close illegal schools, which he said were administered by religious extremists.

"Confronted by these abuses which exclude thousands of children from education and citizenship, access to culture, our history, our values, the experience of otherness which is the heart of the Republican school, I have taken a decision: from September 2021, instruction at school will be made obligatory for everyone from age three years. Instruction at home will be strictly limited to health reasons," he said.

Currently, education is obligatory for all children, but not attendance at a school. The Ministry of Education website currently says families have a choice – either to send their children to a private or public educational establishment or be responsible for the education of their children. Today, some 50,000 children in France are home schooled.

Gwenaëlle Spenlé, a member of association Les Enfants d'Abord, has home-schooled all five of her children who are now aged 13 – 23. Two are still at home, and one has elected to go to Lycée next year, while the other wants to stay at home. She said it is up to her children to decide how they want to be educated: "When I first heard the news that our right to home-school was to end I thought it was a joke. It is incomprehensible, inadmissible and takes away an essential freedom of people in this country."

She said the argument that children are more likely to be radicalised at home is false: "We are controlled by the education authorities every year and surely inspectors would be able to pick up any indoctrination straight away.

"There is no proof terrorists were educated at home, it is more likely they went to school. Our families defend the Republican values of democracy, and encourage open-mindedness."

She said home-schooling is often misunderstood: "I think there are two main reasons why parents do not send their children to school.

"One is a philosophical choice for an alternative type of education where children learn at their own rhythm and gain in independence of thought, and the second is when a child has tried school and been miserable because they have found they just do not fit in.

"This could be for a year, or more long term. Since this announcement several parents have got in touch with me saying their child suffered so much at school, they just don't know how they can send them back next year."

The number of home-schooled children is rising: "We only make up 0.4% of school-age children, but more are making this choice. A few years ago there were around 30,000. The reasons are that school is now obligatory from three, that people are scared of the virus and that with the internet there are more resources and it is easier for home-schooling families and children to be in touch with each other."

Mrs Spenlé said the association is discussing how to fight the announcement and urged anyone who considers the ban excessive to contact their MP or Senate representative before the announcement becomes law.

China Now World's Largest Economy

China has now displaced the U.S. to become the largest economy in the world.

Measured by the more refined yardstick that both the IMF and CIA now judge to be the single best metric for comparing national economies, the IMF Report shows that China's economy is one-sixth larger than America's ($24.2 trillion versus the U.S.'s $20.8 trillion). Why can't we admit reality? What does this mean?

This week, the IMF presented its 2020 World Economic Outlook providing an overview of the global economy and the challenges ahead. The most inconvenient fact in the Report is one Americans don't want to hear—and even when they read it, refuse to accept: China has now displaced the U.S. to become the largest economy in the world.

Measured by the more refined yardstick that both the IMF and CIA now judge to be the single best metric for comparing national economies, the IMF Report shows that China's economy is one-sixth larger than America's ($24.2 trillion versus the U.S.'s $20.8 trillion).

Despite this unambiguous statement from the two most authoritative sources, most of the mainstream press—with the exception of The Economist—continue reporting that the U.S. economy is No. 1. So, what's going on?

Obviously, measuring the size of a nation's economy is more complicated than it might appear. In addition to collecting data, it requires selecting a proper yardstick.

Traditionally, economists have used a metric called MER (market exchange rates) to calculate GDP. The U.S. economy is taken as the baseline—reflecting the fact that when this method was developed in the years after World War II, the U.S. accounted for almost half of global

by **GRAHAM ALLISON**

GDP. For other nations' economies, this method adds up all goods and services produced by their economy in their own currency and then converts that total into U.S. dollars at the current "market exchange rate."

For 2020, the value of all goods and services produced in China is projected to be 102 trillion yuan. Converted to U.S. dollars at a market rate of 7 yuan to 1 dollar, China will have an MER GDP of $14.6 trillion versus the U.S. GDP of $20.8 trillion.

But this comparison assumes that 7 yuan buy the same amount of goods in China as $1 does in the U.S. And obviously, that's not the case.

To make this point easier to understand, The Economist Magazine created the "Big Mac Index" from which the graph at the top of this piece is derived. As this index shows, for 21 yuan, a Chinese consumer can buy an entire Big Mac in Beijing. If he converted those yuan at the current exchange rate, he would have $3, which will only buy half a Big Mac in the U.S. In other words, when buying most products from burgers and smartphones, to missiles and naval bases, the Chinese get almost twice as much bang for each buck.

Recognizing this reality, over the past decade, the CIA and the IMF have developed a more appropriate yardstick for comparing national economies, which is called PPP (purchasing power parity).

As the IMF Report explains, PPP "eliminates differences in price levels between economies" and thus compares national economies in terms of how much each nation can buy with its own currency at the prices items sell for there. While MER answers how much Chinese would get at American prices, PPP answers how much Chinese do get at Chinese prices.

If the Chinese converted their yuan to dollars, bought Big Macs in the U.S., and took them home on the plane to China to consume them, comparing the Chinese and U.S. economies using the MER yardstick would be appropriate. But instead, they buy them at one of the 3300 McDonald's locations in their home country where they cost half what Americans pay.

Explaining its decision to switch from MER to PPP in its annual assessment of national economies—which is available online in the CIA Factbook—the CIA noted that "GDP at the official exchange rate [MER GDP] substantially understates the actual level of China's output vis-a-vis the rest of the world."

Thus, in its view, PPP "provides the best available starting point for comparisons of economic strength and wellbeing between economies." The IMF adds further that "market rates are more volatile and using them can produce quite large swings in aggregate measures of growth even when growth rates in individual countries are stable."

In sum, while the yardstick most Americans are accustomed to still shows that the Chinese economy is one-third smaller than the U.S., when one recognizes the fact that $1 buys nearly twice as much in China than in the U.S., the Chinese economy today is one-sixth larger than the U.S. economy.

So what?

If this were simply a contest for bragging rights, picking a measuring rod that allows Americans to feel better about ourselves has a certain logic. But in the real world, a nation's GDP is the substructure of its global power. Over the past generation, as China has created the largest economy in the world, it has displaced the U.S. as the largest trading partner of nearly every major nation (just last year adding Germany to that list).

It has become the manufacturing workshop of the world, including for face masks and other protective equipment as we are now seeing.

Thanks to double-digit growth in its defence budget, its military forces have steadily shifted the seesaw of power in potential regional conflicts, in particular over Taiwan. And this year, China will surpass the U.S. in R&D spending, leading the U.S. to a "tipping point in R&D" and future competitiveness.

For the U.S. to meet the China challenge, Americans must wake up to the ugly fact: China has already passed us in the race to be the number one economy in the world.

Moreover, in 2020, China will be the only major economy that records positive growth: the only economy that will be bigger at the end of the year than it was when the year began.

The consequences for American security are not difficult to predict. Diverging economic growth will embolden an ever more assertive geopolitical player on the world stage.



U.S. UNEMPLOYMENT
26.9% (Shadowstats)

U.S. NATIONAL DEBT:
$21,178,379,987,302 (World Debt Clock)

WEST TEXAS INTERMEDIATE OIL:
$38.89 (Trading View)

Silver US Oz:
$24.84 (Trading View)

How The Banking System Really Works

by **SAM ROBERTS**

Henry Ford is quoted as saying "It is well enough that people of the nation do not understand our banking and monetary system, for if they did, I believe there would be a revolution before tomorrow morning".

Although this is paraphrasing him, what he did say is that the people are on the side of sound money, and thanks to the bankers' actions and tricks, these days we do not have a sound money system.

Every modern society creates their currency in a similar way. The system is a duopoly of central banks and governments. A politician gets into office because they promise the people as much as possible. But in order to deliver, the government has to go into debt. This is called deficit spending. The central bank buys government bonds and private sector assets, e.g. £300bn worth, from an account with a balance of £0. Now wait a minute - if you or I wrote out a string of bad cheques like this, they'd not only bounce, but we'd be put in prison for fraud!

In effect, when the central bank pays for these bonds, currency that never existed before is magically created. The government and central bank are simply swapping glorified IOUs with nothing to back them but more IOUs. This process repeats and repeats, enriching those closest to this money creation due to the Cantillon effect, and indebting the public ever more by raising the national debt.

The other part to this money creation is via the commercial banks. They have also been given a license to legally counterfeit currency. Although we might assume this, the banks do not actually hold and look after our money. When we deposit we become a creditor of the bank, we do not own that money any more. They can do what they like with it, including gambling it on the stock market and loaning it out and charging interest. They only have to keep a tiny fraction of it in reserve, if any. This is called fractional reserve lending.

It is naïvely assumed (and never corrected) that banks were successful companies that had built up over time and lent out their hard earned money to us; that they deserved the interest they received as profit. However, every time you take a loan out, including a mortgage, this is almost entirely currency created out of thin air by the banks, and they have the cheek to charge compound interest on it.

This is the sign of a broken society, when those who do no work earn more than those that do all the work. If you look at the breakdown of who earns from a house sale you will see that over the course of a mortgage the bank receives more money than the company and workers that built the house in the first place!

When governments deficit spend they create currency in the billions and trillions these days. Yet amazingly, around 95% of currency is created not by this method, but by bank loans.

But we the people have to work for some of that currency supply. We give value to that currency that someone else just typed into existence on a computer. We work hard and we pay tax to the government so that they can pay back the central bank plus interest, for borrowing off them when they didn't actually have a penny to lend in the first place! More and more of our taxes don't even go to schools, hospitals and other public services, they go to paying off the interest on these debts.

Bailouts and stimulus programs supposedly to help the country are not helping the people – they are plundering the people. You can't produce true wealth by just printing currency. True wealth is all the goods and services that we create for each other. A free market transaction benefits both parties and the prosperity of both parties is raised. The free market is responsible for our prosperity. It *is* the economy. Not the numbers they conjure up on computers. This is why the GDP goes down and asset prices go up, and we end up in a very painful stagflation.

This expansion of the currency supply is called inflation. Prices increase merely to match the supply. Inflation is a stealth tax, constantly stealing wealth from us the vast majority of workers not at the top of the chain, and transferring to those nearest to the funnel of the newly created currency - those groups that don't earn their wealth - namely the bankers, politicians and their many cronies in many industries.

Our current monetary system is nothing but a form of legalised theft. They know it, and they rely on the public being ignorant. The banking system is based on large scale fraud, as was proved in the aftermath of the 2008 crisis, and many recent news stories. Yet no one was sent to prison because they self-regulate and have too much influence in the halls of power.

The concentration of wealth has only grown since the last crash, because of the bankers' increasing share of power and influence via lobbying and rent-seeking, corruption and stamping out market newcomers.

But ultimately, you can't beat money printing for power. You can't expect to give the power to create money to a small special interest group and not expect it to corrupt them. Deficit spending and inflation means they can create currency to pay for anything, including wars and huge losses of life that would not be possible without this system.

If people knew about this fraud en-masse, then there could be action taken to abolish it. But, of course, our education system does not teach us about money and how the system actually works, so the vast majority of us remain ignorant. For generations we have been taught to be prudent and save. We have taught those good values to our children, unaware that this is bad advice, now more so than ever.

It is not our fault that we don't know the intricacies of the system and that this is bad advice. It is the criminal actions of the banks that make it so. All this manipulation is the cause of artificial booms and busts of modern Keynesian economies.

And when there's a crash who gets wiped out? Is it ever the banking elites? Or do they squirrel their fortunes away, earn even more by shorting the market, and buy even more in the fire sale soon after?

This system that serves the few over the many doesn't work. Currently we are stuck in a terribly elitist system that needs abolishing. But this is incredibly hard to achieve until more people understand, object and withdraw from this fraudulent and corrupt system that is undermining the economy and ability of ordinary people to build wealth and capital.

Those who understand the current monetary system can help protect themselves against what is going on, and what is very likely to emerge in the coming months as the banking cartel move towards their ultimate goal - an indebted, completely cashless society where all economic transactions of any size can be tracked, taxed and curtailed for any reason of their choosing, leading to the end of freedom of choice for most individuals on earth.

'For the golden rule is: he who controls the gold makes the rules.' Precious metals are indeed well-known as a good line of defence during any currency problems that may lie ahead.

Why We Need to Reclaim Power Over our Health

by **KELLIE JONES**

On the 10th October 2020 in Berlin, scientists, doctors and activists, under the umbrella of the 'Extra-Parliamentary Corona Investigation Committee', announced the newly formed 'World Doctors' Alliance - uniting around the world with integrity and right action' (www.acu2020.org).

With transparency and facts, these experts and concerned citizens have united to support the values of freedom of movement, freedom of speech and the protection of our right to be informed about true health.

If you take a little time to listen to what these intelligent, decent and compassionate professionals have to say, you will most certainly be surprised to find out that they have all at some point during this 'pandemic' been either censored, arrested or cast as conspiracy theorists for simply trying to save humanity with the truth.

So as more and more disinformation goes viral and people become more confused as to what to believe, it compelled me to bring our attention back to something much more basic and trustworthy - ourselves.

With separation from each other and our own minds on the elite agenda, it seems to be a rebellious act to remember that we are natural creations and just like any creature on earth we have instincts that aid us to react without conscious thought or learning and the intuition to know something immediately, based on feelings not facts.

Trouble is, because of the constant, drip fed, stripping away of our identities, self-esteem and ability to think critically, we really don't know ourselves or who we are at all anymore.

The fear of judgement, the brain's constant need to survive impending danger and the complete overload of information, not to mention the toxic food, water and air, have seemingly stripped away our innate ability to trust ourselves.

To make it clear, I am here to encourage independent thinking: To start an inner conversation with yourself about who you really are, how do you really feel, why do you believe what you believe, is it really your belief or has it been adopted without proper examination?

When we are in fight or flight fear response, no matter how minimal, our body believes it may die and will shut down our ability to think clearly. The urge to exercise and get into nature during lockdown was not just because we were all cooped up indoors.

When we get into our bodies and out of our heads, when we ground and earth ourselves, we feel safer and can make better decisions. The surge in popularity to take up yoga and meditation is also no coincidence, as these practices encourage stillness and inward exploration, freeing the mind from the external noise and the recovering of our natural wisdom.



I made the decision nearly 7 years ago now, to stop watching TV, to turn off the bad news and fearmongering adverts, to no longer read a 'newspaper' and to instead, fill myself up with what I like to read, watch and hear.

This made some people so irritated! They told me I 'should' know what's going on in the world. Why?

Actually what it did is help me to rediscover who I really am, or who I was before so much 'programming' was 'channelled' into me by the 'tell-lie-vision'.

I gradually weaned myself off of needing to know too much about everyone else's business and most importantly what I was supposed to be fearful of. I can say with my hand on my heart that I have not for one moment been scared of catching a virus. Partially because I understand what a virus is (www.shotsoftruth.com), but mostly because I do not listen to complete strangers about what is best for *my* body, mind and soul.

I hear people scoff at that because deep down inside us all somewhere we are realising we have been lied to, to an almost too unfathomable amount to accept into our psyche. No one wants to realise the shame of being fooled.

Guilt, shame and apathy are at the bottom of the vibrational scale, in fact according to Dr David Hawkins, who defined an emotional scale in his book 'Power vs Force'. With this in mind it is no wonder that those committing crimes against humanity will never confess and why those who believe them don't want to listen.

So jumping back to the original subject of Health Sovereignty, what can you do to take authority and power back over your own health?

Whilst I could be sharing information in regards to our inalienable rights as sovereign beings on Earth, I have a feeling that before we can step into a better normal, a golden age or even a new earth, there is a responsibility for every single one of us to start empowering ourselves with knowledge, to come together to learn and share instead of needing to be right, and to use our miraculous natural gifts to birth a sovereign future for our children.

My best advice right now, is to turn off the noise, and get to 'Know Thyself' first. The safe and secure feeling you are seeking is inside of you.

GM Food Deregulation Derailed For Now

by LIZ O'NEILL

At the end of July, the House of Lords debated an amendment to the Agriculture Bill that would have given the Environment Minister the power to decide what does, and does not, count in English law as a GMO.

The agritech lobby had been trying to persuade the Government to adopt the proposal, designed to lead to the deregulation of gene editing techniques, since the Spring (Thin Ice 55). However, late in the evening of the last day before Parliament's summer recess, Amendment 275 was withdrawn.

GM Freeze worked in partnership with Beyond GM and GM Watch to demonstrate to Ministers that British people want proper safeguards, not an open door for new kinds of GMO.

Many of our members and supporters wrote to Ministers and their own MPs our voices made a real difference – thank you for stepping up when it was needed.

However, this was just the first stage in what could be a long and difficult fight to safeguard our food and our farms. The Agriculture Bill has a long way to go before becoming law and it is possible that other damaging amendments will be proposed.

Regardless of the Bill, Lord Gardiner of Kimble (who is a junior minister in the Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs – Defra), announced during the debate that a public consultation on the status of gene editing will be launched in the autumn.

There needs to be much more public discussion about just what gene (or genome) editing is and how its use could impact our food, our farms, and the natural environment. However, no details are available yet on the format or scope of the planned consultation so it is hard to know whether it will actually consider our concerns in a meaningful way.



The debate in the House of Lords revealed a great deal of support for high tech approaches to farming and a scathing attitude toward those of us who recognise that GM has no place in a responsible, fair and sustainable food system.

The risks of using gene editing to produce our food are well understood by those who have been watching developments, but new studies are always helpful in demonstrating just why this new form of GM needs to be very tightly regulated.

In July the well-respected scientific journal Nature ran a news story with the headline "CRISPR editing wreaks chromosomal mayhem in human embryos". Highlighting new research showing that the most high profile gene editing method can cause large unwanted changes to DNA, the article stated that three new studies "heighten safety concerns about heritable genome editing".

The research was focused specifically on embryos, but we hope that those claiming that gene editing is precise and predictable will take on board the words of a genome editing specialist quoted in the article: "This is something that all of us in the scientific community will, starting immediately, take more seriously than we already have."

In August, the journal Environmental Services Europe published a scientific paper highlighting a wide range of errors associated with genome editing. The paper states that "genetic errors, caused by the genome editing process, have potential implications for food, animal feed and environmental safety" and that genome editing should not be used in agriculture unless there is a robust, expanded GMO risk assessment that includes protocols to identify genetic errors and how they could impact on safety.

Two interesting findings of the study are that significant changes can be made without the addition of new genes and rather than replacing older GM techniques, the production of many genome-edited plants actually incorporates older techniques as part of the process.

In September, a group of NGOs and associations including Greenpeace and the International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements (IFOAM) published details of a new detection method for the first gene edited crop being grown at scale – SU Canola (an oilseed rape engineered by US company Cibus to withstand spraying with certain herbicides).

Peer reviewed and validated as meeting the appropriate EU legal standards, the new test is open-source so is not controlled by the company that produces the GM crop. SU Canola is not authorised for import into Europe but, as it is not treated as GM in the countries where it is grown (the US and Canada), testing is urgently required to ensure that it is kept from our food chain.

With the GM industry dragging its heels on detection, the new test is an important step toward the overdue proper regulation of gene edited GMOs.

Greenpeace EU food policy director Franziska Achterberg said: "Some have claimed that gene-edited crops cannot be found and therefore cannot be regulated under the EU's GMO regime. We have shown that GM crops created with gene editing can be detected. There are no more excuses for failing to apply existing GMO safety and labelling requirements to these new GMOs."

The Light Word Puzzle

by GEOFF DYKES

The second of our crosswords with a twist.

You can use the clues to complete both the crossword and the wordsearch puzzle, although the wordsearch only contains 12 of the 13 answers.

Solve both by identifying the missing wordsearch answer.

Note: Apologies for the error in the puzzle in Issue Two. The error referred to clue 9 (name of the German professor arrested in September) which we had given as STREECK, when it should have been SCHONING.

CLUES

ACROSS

1 Name for 2nd full moon in a month
4 Ancient Hawaiian practice of forgiveness
6 Scheduling or writing code
8 Place for speaking of minds
10 Russian social media platform
12 Borat's "very nice" country
13 Origin of words study

DOWN

2 Inter-bank lending mechanism
3 Industry badly affected by pandemic
5 Maximising profit by shortening life
7 Classic board game
9 Winner/loser of US presidentail election
11 US social media platform gaining ground



SOLUTION to Issue Two's Puzzle

ACROSS

3 Yin, 7 Esoteric, 8 Ophiuchus, 10 Chaldean, 11 Libra, 12 Tesla, 13 Glossa.

DOWN

1 Anarchy, 2 Apocalypse, 4 Georgia, 5 Moonshot, 6 Lux, 9 Streek.

MISSING WORD - GLOSSA

M	T	P	A	G	Z	N	M	W	K	U	E	K	G	N
V	S	I	A	R	H	S	B	O	M	C	R	A	V	V
G	E	G	A	R	I	T	J	O	N	V	B	Z	B	G
D	G	D	I	R	L	J	R	E	R	W	S	A	V	B
R	A	X	U	K	P	I	C	U	N	U	C	K	W	L
F	X	O	G	T	A	S	A	K	M	K	H	H	E	U
K	T	Y	C	C	E	N	B	M	G	P	N	S	T	E
Q	R	S	M	L	E	F	X	A	E	W	K	T	K	L
S	P	R	O	G	R	A	M	M	I	N	G	A	A	F
N	A	S	G	W	U	M	P	T	C	D	T	N	T	P
U	B	X	Y	G	O	L	O	M	Y	T	E	E	N	O
O	A	G	J	N	J	U	U	N	X	K	K	L	O	A
K	J	Z	R	C	N	A	F	L	V	H	D	C	K	E
L	I	B	O	R	Q	W	R	J	K	Z	N	I	V	F
Q	J	R	V	B	L	M	O	Q	V	I	I	V	B	L

CONSPIRACY
TSHIRTS.CO.UK

CONSPIRACY DESIGNS FOR EXPANDING MINDS

Rebellious apparel for free-thinking individuals

• Made to order in the UK • Fast dispatch • FREE UK delivery

10% OFF for THE LIGHT readers

*Use code: LIGHT10 at checkout.**

** Can be used in conjunction with other discounts. Code expires: 31/12/2020*

www.conspiracytshirts.co.uk

> Upload Truth <
< Download Freedom >

Bluecat Live

bluecat.live

New Uncensored Video Platform

AEVA

woman earth soul

In these times of ever increasing censorship, it is wise for the truth seekers and freedom lovers amongst us to support independent media outlets ('New Media'). In the face of so much destruction, devastation and chaos across our beautiful planet it is easy to feel overwhelmed and hopeless. AEVA Magazine finds hope in the vast number of formidable women and communities that are standing up, speaking out and envisioning ways through the current insanity. Because these voices are rarely celebrated or given air time on mainstream media, and when 'new media' (true news) is increasingly censored on social media and the Internet, the pages of AEVA are compiled to offer a platform to elevate these voices and to support the radical awakening of humanity and the dismantling of the old paradigm that is now imperative if we as a humanity are to sustain life on this beautiful planet we call Earth.

Please join AEVA's membership on Patreon and download your digital edition of AEVA, 122 rich, educational, inspiring and beautiful pages from women on the front lines of sacred activism, as well as a wealth of herbal and earth based wisdom and creativity. When we reach 3000 members we will be able to return to print and many will be able to hold these educational and inspiring pages in their hands. Please support our work and sign up today. Limited edition printed copies are available for £20.

This really is essential reading in these times for women, men and all folk.

Join at www.patreon.com/aevamagazine

www.aevamagazine.co.uk

CERTIFY AS A SOUND THERAPIST USING SOLFEGGIO TUNING FORKS

chisoundhealing.co.uk

SOUND HEALING

WWW.CHISOUNDHEALING.CO.UK

TEL 07940 804098

Linda M. Dame

MEd, MSW RSW

Clinical Counsellor &
Registered Social Worker

Individual, couple, and family therapist specialising in anxiety, depression, addictions, and stress/trauma recovery.

lindamdame@gmail.com

HEWES

INTRUDER CCTV FIRE ACCESS

Hewes has been keeping Families and Businesses Safe and Secure since 1961

- Intruder Alarms
- CCTV
- Fire Alarms
- Access Control
- 24 hour Monitoring
- Police Response

NASIC NSI GOLD UKAS PRODUCT CERTIFICATION 0142 UKAS MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS 0142 THE BRITISH FIRE CONSORTIUM

0345 371 3245

HewesGroup.com

Nationwide Service With Local People

REWARD

£10,000

CALVIN of the family **STROUD**
wanted for the following crimes;
free thinking - truth speaking - 'Freeman of the land' ideology
For details, youtube channel Calvin Stroud

To claim this £10,000 cash reward,
email 10000cashreward@gmail.com with your video evidence.

WANTED

Earth's Missing Curvature

FREEDOM BECKONS!

PEOPLE ARE SETTING UP A FREEDOM NETWORK ACROSS THE COUNTRY!

Do you like meeting people, having a chat, having a laugh over a cuppa or a pint of best?

Do you value being able to sit where you please, with whom you please until you please?

Do you want to socialise without being tracked or constantly questioned?

Fed up of constantly sanitizing your hands or being shot in the head with a laser gun?

Would you like the option to pay by cash instead of forced to tap your card or microchip?

Do you love breathing natural, free, fresh air - unrestricted?

Do you enjoy sharing smiles with passing strangers?

Do you want to regain a sense of normality?

NONE OF THIS WILL HAPPEN WITHOUT YOUR HELP!

YOUR COUNTRY NEEDS YOU!

We need people to set up and join freedom hubs across the nation, in **YOUR** area where like-minded people can meet.

- ***If you are feeling lonely, vulnerable, confused, looking for answers then get in touch.***
- ***If you know something ain't quite right, get in touch.***
- ***If you want to do something to help but don't know where to start, get in touch.***
- ***If you want to help take back our country, then definitely get in touch.***

We are looking for people to help set up FreedomHubs across the country to spread the word in your area and unite across the nation, where we will work together, simultaneously for **FREEDOM!**

We will assist you in setting up a Freedom Hub in your area which can develop into a branch network quickly. We will support you and provide guidance for talks, share information and work together simultaneously by taking positive steps and actions.

The first successful Freedom Hub with a six branch network has been up and running in East Sussex since August; we must replicate this across England. This is a non-political truly inclusive movement. All like-minded people are welcome.

Want to learn more? Get involved in this vital Call to Action.

Email now at **freeusnow@protonmail.com**

We WILL unite in returning freedom to the people!

This Light Truthpaper is distributed by: