Appendix

Table/Graph 1

gender					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid		1	2.0	2.0	2.0
	Female	43	84.3	84.3	86.3
	Male	6	11.8	11.8	98.0
	Other	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	51	100.0	100.0	



Table/Graph 2

Ethnicity					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid		1	2.0	2.0	2.0
	Asian	7	13.7	13.7	15.7
	Black or African American	13	25.5	25.5	41.2
	Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish Origin	6	11.8	11.8	52.9
	Middle Eastern or North African	2	3.9	3.9	56.9
	White	19	37.3	37.3	94.1
	Prefer not to answer	1	2.0	2.0	96.1
	Other	2	3.9	3.9	100.0
	Total	51	100.0	100.0	



Table/Graph 3

age					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	20	4	7.8	8.3	8.3
	21	3	5.9	6.3	14.6
	22	5	9.8	10.4	25.0
	23	6	11.8	12.5	37.5
	24	4	7.8	8.3	45.8
	25	2	3.9	4.2	50.0
	26	5	9.8	10.4	60.4
	27	3	5.9	6.3	66.7
	28	1	2.0	2.1	68.8
	29	3	5.9	6.3	75.0
	30	1	2.0	2.1	77.1
	31	2	3.9	4.2	81.3
	32	2	3.9	4.2	85.4
	34	2	3.9	4.2	89.6
	37	1	2.0	2.1	91.7
	38	1	2.0	2.1	93.8
	43	1	2.0	2.1	95.8
	47	1	2.0	2.1	97.9
	49	1	2.0	2.1	100.0
	Total	48	94.1	100.0	
Missing	System	3	5.9		
Total		51	100.0		



Table/Graph 4

borough					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid		1	2.0	2.0	2.0
	Staten Island	36	70.6	70.6	72.5
	Brooklyn	12	23.5	23.5	96.1
	Queens	1	2.0	2.0	98.0
	other	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	51	100.0	100.0	



Table/Graph 5

religion					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid		5	9.8	9.8	9.8
	Agnostic	1	2.0	2.0	11.8
	Awesome.	1	2.0	2.0	13.7
	Buddhism	1	2.0	2.0	15.7
	Buddhist	1	2.0	2.0	17.6
	Catholic	5	9.8	9.8	27.5
	Catholic and spiritual	1	2.0	2.0	29.4
	Catholic, nonpracticing	1	2.0	2.0	31.4
	christian	1	2.0	2.0	33.3
	Christian	4	7.8	7.8	41.2
	Christian minded	1	2.0	2.0	43.1
	Christianity	3	5.9	5.9	49.0
	Greek Orthodox	1	2.0	2.0	51.0
	Holy	1	2.0	2.0	52.9
	I am spiritual	1	2.0	2.0	54.9
	I practice and was raised Catholic	1	2.0	2.0	56.9
	Jewish modern orthodox	1	2.0	2.0	58.8
	Judaism	1	2.0	2.0	60.8
	Just Muslim	1	2.0	2.0	62.7
	Lutheran (Christian)	1	2.0	2.0	64.7
	Modern Orthodox Observant Jewish Woman	1	2.0	2.0	66.7
	Muslim	3	5.9	5.9	72.5
	N/A	1	2.0	2.0	74.5
	No comment	1	2.0	2.0	76.5
	No religion	1	2.0	2.0	78.4
	non religious	1	2.0	2.0	80.4
	Sikh	1	2.0	2.0	82.4
	Spiritual	8	15.7	15.7	98.0
	spiritualist/meditator/yoga practitioner	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	51	100.0	100.0	


religion
5
1
1
5
1
1
4
1
3
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
3
1
1
1
1
8
1
Agnostic
Awesome.
Buddhism
Buddhist
Catholic
Catholic and spiritual
Catholic, nonpracticing
christian
Christian
Christian minded
Christianity
Greek Orthodox
Holy
I am spiritual
I practice and was raised Catholic
Jewish modern orthodox
Judaism
Just Muslim
Lutheran (Christian)
Modern Orthodox Observant Jewish Woman
Muslim
N/A
No comment
No religion
non religious
Sikh
Spiritual
spiritualist/meditator/yoga practitioner

Table/Graph 6

romance					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	11	21.6	21.6	21.6
	Yes, one partner	37	72.5	72.5	94.1
	Yes, multiple partners	2	3.9	3.9	98.0
	Prefer not to specify	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	51	100.0	100.0	



Table/Graph 7

relationship

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid		12	23.5	23.5	23.5
	Married or in a civil union, and living together	10	19.6	19.6	43.1
	Married or in a civil union, and living apart	1	2.0	2.0	45.1
	Not married or in a civil union, and living together	4	7.8	7.8	52.9
	Not married or in a civil union, and living apart	23	45.1	45.1	98.0
	Prefer not to specify	1	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	51	100.0	100.0	



Table/Graph 8

graduation					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Two years, full time	29	56.9	56.9	56.9
	Three years, part time	10	19.6	19.6	76.5
	Four years, part time	1	2.0	2.0	78.4
	N/A	11	21.6	21.6	100.0
	Total	51	100.0	100.0	



Table/Graph 9

progra n					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	MSW Concentration Year-Macro	5	9.8	10.0	10.0
	MSW Concentration Year-Clinical	18	35.3	36.0	46.0
	MSW Foundation Year	14	27.5	28.0	74.0
	BSW	13	25.5	26.0	100.0
	Total	50	98.0	100.0	
Missing	System	1	2.0		
Total		51	100.0		



Table 10

Statistics[a]

		unpleasant	family	selfish	understand	easy	ideation	should	happy	help	getover	annoy	depressicide
N	Valid	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean		4.72	6.62	5.45	4.66	2.34	5.97	6.48	6.45	4.07	6.55	6.31	6.69
Median		4.00	7.00	6.00	5.00	1.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	4.00	7.00	7.00	7.00
Mode		4	7	7	5	1	7	7	7	2	7	7	7
Std. Deviation		1.750	1.083	1.526	1.542	1.675	1.783	1.056	1.121	1.870	1.088	1.339	.660
Minimum		1	2	3	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	4
Maximum		7	7	7	7	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Sum		137	192	158	135	68	173	188	187	118	190	183	194

a. Group = Experimental

Table 11

Statistics[a]

		unpleasant2	family2	selfish2	understand2	easy2	ideation2	should2	happy2	help2	getover2	annoy2	depressicide2
N	Valid	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean		4.93	6.72	5.38	4.59	2.34	6.52	6.34	6.45	4.28	6.59	6.10	6.48
Median		5.00	7.00	6.00	5.00	2.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	5.00	7.00	7.00	7.00
Mode		7	7	7	5	1	7	7	7	5	7	7	7
Std. Deviation		1.926	.702	1.860	1.615	1.653	.986	1.471	1.429	1.944	1.018	1.543	1.153
Minimum		1	4	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	2	2	1
Maximum		7	7	7	7	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Sum		143	195	156	133	68	189	184	187	124	191	177	188

a. Group = Experimental

Table 12

Statistics[a]

		unpleasant	family	selfish	understand	easy	ideation	should	happy	help	getover	annoy	depressicide
N	Valid	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean		5.18	6.59	4.27	4.73	3.32	6.00	6.64	6.55	4.86	6.55	6.00	6.77
Median		6.00	7.00	4.00	5.00	3.00	6.00	7.00	7.00	5.00	7.00	6.50	7.00
Mode		6	7	4[b]	4	1[b]	7	7	7	5	7	7	7
Std. Deviation		1.790	1.221	1.804	1.830	2.009	1.155	1.293	.912	1.552	1.101	1.345	.612
Range		6	5	6	6	6	3	6	3	6	5	4	2
Minimum		1	2	1	1	1	4	1	4	1	2	3	5
Maximum		7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Sum		114	145	94	104	73	132	146	144	107	144	132	149

a. Group = Control

b. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

Table 13

Statistics[a]

		unpleasant2	family2	selfish2	understand2	easy2	ideation2	should2	happy2	help2	getover2	annoy2	depressicide2
N	Valid	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean		5.55	6.55	4.82	4.32	2.55	6.23	6.18	6.50	4.73	6.59	6.00	6.68
Median		6.00	7.00	5.00	5.00	2.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	5.00	7.00	6.50	7.00
Mode		7	7	7	5	1	7	7	7	5[b]	7	7	7
Std. Deviation		1.792	1.224	1.967	1.729	1.819	1.110	1.763	.913	1.907	.908	1.345	.646
Range		6	5	6	6	6	3	6	3	6	4	4	2
Minimum		1	2	1	1	1	4	1	4	1	3	3	5
Maximum		7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Sum		122	144	106	95	56	137	136	143	104	145	132	147

a. Group = Control

b. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

Table/Graph 14a-d

unpleasant[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	2	6.9	6.9	6.9
	3 (Somewhat disagree)	4	13.8	13.8	20.7
	4 (Neutral)	10	34.5	34.5	55.2
	5 (Somewhat agree)	1	3.4	3.4	58.6
	6 (Agree)	6	20.7	20.7	79.3
	7 (Strongly Agree)	6	20.7	20.7	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



unpleasant2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	3	10.3	10.3	10.3
	3 (Somewhat disagree)	3	10.3	10.3	20.7
	4 (Neutral)	6	20.7	20.7	41.4
	5 (Somewhat agree)	3	10.3	10.3	51.7
	6 (Agree)	6	20.7	20.7	72.4
	7 (Strongly Agree)	8	27.6	27.6	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



Table/Graph 15a-d

family[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2 (Disagree)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
	4 (Neutral)	1	3.4	3.4	6.9
	6 (Agree)	3	10.3	10.3	17.2
	7 (Strongly Agree)	24	82.8	82.8	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



family2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	4 (Neutral)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
	5 (Somewhat agree)	1	3.4	3.4	6.9
	6 (Agree)	3	10.3	10.3	17.2
	7 (Strongly Agree)	24	82.8	82.8	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



Table/Graph 16a-d

selfish[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3 (Somewhat agree)	3	10.3	10.3	10.3
	4 (Neutral)	8	27.6	27.6	37.9
	5 (Somewhat disagree)	3	10.3	10.3	48.3
	6 (Disagree)	3	10.3	10.3	58.6
	7 (Strongly disagree)	12	41.4	41.4	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



selfish2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
	2 (Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	6.9
	3 (Somewhat agree)	4	13.8	13.8	20.7
	4 (Neutral)	4	13.8	13.8	34.5
	5 (Somewhat disagree)	2	6.9	6.9	41.4
	6 (Disagree)	4	13.8	13.8	55.2
	7 (Strongly disagree)	13	44.8	44.8	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



Table/Graph 17a-d

understand[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
	2 (Disagree)	4	13.8	13.8	17.2
	4 (Neutral)	4	13.8	13.8	31.0
	5 (Somewhat agree)	12	41.4	41.4	72.4
	6 (Agree)	6	20.7	20.7	93.1
	7 (Strongly Agree)	2	6.9	6.9	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



understand2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	3	10.3	10.3	10.3
	3 (Somewhat disagree)	2	6.9	6.9	17.2
	4 (Neutral)	7	24.1	24.1	41.4
	5 (Somewhat agree)	8	27.6	27.6	69.0
	6 (Agree)	7	24.1	24.1	93.1
	7 (Strongly Agree)	2	6.9	6.9	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



Table/Graph 18a-d

easy[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	15	51.7	51.7	51.7
	2 (Disagree)	4	13.8	13.8	65.5
	4 (Neutral)	6	20.7	20.7	86.2
	5 (Somewhat agree)	3	10.3	10.3	96.6
	6 (Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



easy2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	14	48.3	48.3	48.3
	2 (Disagree)	5	17.2	17.2	65.5
	3 (Somewhat disagree)	2	6.9	6.9	72.4
	4 (Neutral)	3	10.3	10.3	82.8
	5 (Somewhat agree)	4	13.8	13.8	96.6
	6 (Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



Table/Graph 19a-d

ideation[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	2	6.9	6.9	6.9
	2 (Disagree)	1	3.4	3.4	10.3
	4 (Neutral)	1	3.4	3.4	13.8
	5 (Somewhat agree)	2	6.9	6.9	20.7
	6 (Agree)	6	20.7	20.7	41.4
	7 (Strongly Agree)	17	58.6	58.6	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



ideation2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3 (Somewhat disagree)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
	4 (Neutral)	1	3.4	3.4	6.9
	5 (Somewhat agree)	1	3.4	3.4	10.3
	6 (Agree)	5	17.2	17.2	27.6
	7 (Strongly Agree)	21	72.4	72.4	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



Table/Graph 20a-d

should[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2 (Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
	5 (Somewhat disagree)	2	6.9	6.9	10.3
	6 (Disagree)	6	20.7	20.7	31.0
	7 (Strongly disagree)	20	69.0	69.0	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



should2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
	2 (Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	6.9
	5 (Somewhat disagree)	2	6.9	6.9	13.8
	6 (Disagree)	4	13.8	13.8	27.6
	7 (Strongly disagree)	21	72.4	72.4	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



Table/Graph 21a-d

happy[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2 (Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
	4 (Neutral)	1	3.4	3.4	6.9
	5 (Somewhat disagree)	1	3.4	3.4	10.3
	6 (Disagree)	6	20.7	20.7	31.0
	7 (Strongly disagree)	20	69.0	69.0	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



happy2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
	2 (Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	6.9
	6 (Disagree)	5	17.2	17.2	24.1
	7 (Strongly disagree)	22	75.9	75.9	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



Table/Graph 22a-d

help[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	2	6.9	6.9	6.9
	2 (Disagree)	6	20.7	20.7	27.6
	3 (Somewhat disagree)	4	13.8	13.8	41.4
	4 (Neutral)	4	13.8	13.8	55.2
	5 (Somewhat agree)	5	17.2	17.2	72.4
	6 (Agree)	5	17.2	17.2	89.7
	7 (Strongly Agree)	3	10.3	10.3	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



help2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	2	6.9	6.9	6.9
	2 (Disagree)	6	20.7	20.7	27.6
	3 (Somewhat disagree)	3	10.3	10.3	37.9
	4 (Neutral)	2	6.9	6.9	44.8
	5 (Somewhat agree)	7	24.1	24.1	69.0
	6 (Agree)	5	17.2	17.2	86.2
	7 (Strongly Agree)	4	13.8	13.8	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



Table/Graph 23a-d

getover[a]

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2 (Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
4 (Neutral)	1	3.4	3.4	6.9
6 (Disagree)	5	17.2	17.2	24.1
7 (Strongly disagree)	22	75.9	75.9	100.0
Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



getover2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2 (Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
	5 (Somewhat disagree)	1	3.4	3.4	6.9
	6 (Disagree)	5	17.2	17.2	24.1
	7 (Strongly disagree)	22	75.9	75.9	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



Table/Graph 24a-d

annoy[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2 (Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
	3 (Somewhat agree)	1	3.4	3.4	6.9
	4 (Neutral)	2	6.9	6.9	13.8
	6 (Disagree)	5	17.2	17.2	31.0
	7 (Strongly disagree)	20	69.0	69.0	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



annoy2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2 (Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
	3 (Somewhat agree)	3	10.3	10.3	13.8
	4 (Neutral)	1	3.4	3.4	17.2
	5 (Somewhat disagree)	1	3.4	3.4	20.7
	6 (Disagree)	4	13.8	13.8	34.5
	7 (Strongly disagree)	19	65.5	65.5	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



Table/Graph 25a-d

depressicide[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	4 (Neutral)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
	6 (Disagree)	6	20.7	20.7	24.1
	7 (Strongly disagree)	22	75.9	75.9	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



depressicide2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly Agree)	1	3.4	3.4	3.4
	6 (Disagree)	9	31.0	31.0	34.5
	7 (Strongly disagree)	19	65.5	65.5	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



Table/Graph 26a-d

Sensitivity[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Medium	15	51.7	51.7	51.7
	High	14	48.3	48.3	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



Sensitivity2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Medium	15	51.7	51.7	51.7
	High	14	48.3	48.3	100.0
	Total	29	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Experimental



Table/Graph 27a-d

unpleasant[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	1	4.5	4.5	4.5
	2 (Disagree)	2	9.1	9.1	13.6
	4 (Neutral)	5	22.7	22.7	36.4
	6 (Agree)	9	40.9	40.9	77.3
	7 (Strongly Agree)	5	22.7	22.7	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



unpleasant2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	2	9.1	9.1	9.1
	4 (Neutral)	3	13.6	13.6	22.7
	5 (Somewhat agree)	2	9.1	9.1	31.8
	6 (Agree)	7	31.8	31.8	63.6
	7 (Strongly Agree)	8	36.4	36.4	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



Table/Graph 28a-d

family[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2 (Disagree)	1	4.5	4.5	4.5
	4 (Neutral)	1	4.5	4.5	9.1
	6 (Agree)	1	4.5	4.5	13.6
	7 (Strongly Agree)	19	86.4	86.4	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



family2[a]					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2 (Disagree)	1	4.5	4.5	4.5
	4 (Neutral)	1	4.5	4.5	9.1
	6 (Agree)	2	9.1	9.1	18.2
	7 (Strongly Agree)	18	81.8	81.8	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



Table/Graph 29a-d

selfish[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly Agree)	2	9.1	9.1	9.1
	2 (Agree)	2	9.1	9.1	18.2
	3 (Somewhat agree)	3	13.6	13.6	31.8
	4 (Neutral)	5	22.7	22.7	54.5
	5 (Somewhat disagree)	3	13.6	13.6	68.2
	6 (Disagree)	5	22.7	22.7	90.9
	7 (Strongly disagree)	2	9.1	9.1	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



selfish2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly Agree)	2	9.1	9.1	9.1
	2 (Agree)	1	4.5	4.5	13.6
	3 (Somewhat agree)	2	9.1	9.1	22.7
	4 (Neutral)	5	22.7	22.7	45.5
	5 (Somewhat disagree)	2	9.1	9.1	54.5
	6 (Disagree)	4	18.2	18.2	72.7
	7 (Strongly disagree)	6	27.3	27.3	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



Table/Graph 30a-d

understand[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	2	9.1	9.1	9.1
	2 (Disagree)	1	4.5	4.5	13.6
	3 (Somewhat disagree)	1	4.5	4.5	18.2
	4 (Neutral)	6	27.3	27.3	45.5
	5 (Somewhat agree)	3	13.6	13.6	59.1
	6 (Agree)	5	22.7	22.7	81.8
	7 (Strongly Agree)	4	18.2	18.2	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



understand2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	2	9.1	9.1	9.1
	2 (Disagree)	2	9.1	9.1	18.2
	3 (Somewhat disagree)	2	9.1	9.1	27.3
	4 (Neutral)	4	18.2	18.2	45.5
	5 (Somewhat agree)	7	31.8	31.8	77.3
	6 (Agree)	3	13.6	13.6	90.9
	7 (Strongly Agree)	2	9.1	9.1	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



Table/Graph 31a-d

easy[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	5	22.7	22.7	22.7
	2 (Disagree)	5	22.7	22.7	45.5
	3 (Somewhat disagree)	2	9.1	9.1	54.5
	4 (Neutral)	4	18.2	18.2	72.7
	5 (Somewhat agree)	2	9.1	9.1	81.8
	6 (Agree)	2	9.1	9.1	90.9
	7 (Strongly Agree)	2	9.1	9.1	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



easy2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	8	36.4	36.4	36.4
	2 (Disagree)	7	31.8	31.8	68.2
	3 (Somewhat disagree)	1	4.5	4.5	72.7
	4 (Neutral)	2	9.1	9.1	81.8
	5 (Somewhat agree)	2	9.1	9.1	90.9
	6 (Agree)	1	4.5	4.5	95.5
	7 (Strongly Agree)	1	4.5	4.5	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



Table/Graph 32a-d

ideation[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	4 (Neutral)	4	18.2	18.2	18.2
	5 (Somewhat agree)	2	9.1	9.1	27.3
	6 (Agree)	6	27.3	27.3	54.5
	7 (Strongly Agree)	10	45.5	45.5	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



ideation2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	4 (Neutral)	3	13.6	13.6	13.6
	5 (Somewhat agree)	2	9.1	9.1	22.7
	6 (Agree)	4	18.2	18.2	40.9
	7 (Strongly Agree)	13	59.1	59.1	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



Table/Graph 33a-d

should[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly Agree)	1	4.5	4.5	4.5
	6 (Disagree)	2	9.1	9.1	13.6
	7 (Strongly disagree)	19	86.4	86.4	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



should2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly Agree)	2	9.1	9.1	9.1
	5 (Somewhat disagree)	1	4.5	4.5	13.6
	6 (Disagree)	4	18.2	18.2	31.8
	7 (Strongly disagree)	15	68.2	68.2	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



Table/Graph 34a-d

happy[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	4 (Neutral)	2	9.1	9.1	9.1
	6 (Disagree)	4	18.2	18.2	27.3
	7 (Strongly disagree)	16	72.7	72.7	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



happy2[a]					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	4 (Neutral)	2	9.1	9.1	9.1
	6 (Disagree)	5	22.7	22.7	31.8
	7 (Strongly disagree)	15	68.2	68.2	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



Table/Graph 35a-d

help[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	1	4.5	4.5	4.5
	2 (Disagree)	1	4.5	4.5	9.1
	3 (Somewhat disagree)	1	4.5	4.5	13.6
	4 (Neutral)	5	22.7	22.7	36.4
	5 (Somewhat agree)	6	27.3	27.3	63.6
	6 (Agree)	5	22.7	22.7	86.4
	7 (Strongly Agree)	3	13.6	13.6	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



help2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 (Strongly disagree)	3	13.6	13.6	13.6
	2 (Disagree)	1	4.5	4.5	18.2
	4 (Neutral)	3	13.6	13.6	31.8
	5 (Somewhat agree)	6	27.3	27.3	59.1
	6 (Agree)	6	27.3	27.3	86.4
	7 (Strongly Agree)	3	13.6	13.6	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



Table/Graph 36a-d

getover[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2 (Agree)	1	4.5	4.5	4.5
	6 (Disagree)	5	22.7	22.7	27.3
	7 (Strongly disagree)	16	72.7	72.7	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



getover2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3 (Somewhat agree)	1	4.5	4.5	4.5
	6 (Disagree)	5	22.7	22.7	27.3
	7 (Strongly disagree)	16	72.7	72.7	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



Table/Graph 37a-d

annoy[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3 (Somewhat agree)	2	9.1	9.1	9.1
	4 (Neutral)	2	9.1	9.1	18.2
	5 (Somewhat disagree)	1	4.5	4.5	22.7
	6 (Disagree)	6	27.3	27.3	50.0
	7 (Strongly disagree)	11	50.0	50.0	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



annoy2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3 (Somewhat agree)	2	9.1	9.1	9.1
	4 (Neutral)	2	9.1	9.1	18.2
	5 (Somewhat disagree)	1	4.5	4.5	22.7
	6 (Disagree)	6	27.3	27.3	50.0
	7 (Strongly disagree)	11	50.0	50.0	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



Table/Graph 38a-d

depressicide[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	5 (Somewhat disagree)	2	9.1	9.1	9.1
	6 (Disagree)	1	4.5	4.5	13.6
	7 (Strongly disagree)	19	86.4	86.4	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



depressicide2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	5 (Somewhat disagree)	2	9.1	9.1	9.1
	6 (Disagree)	3	13.6	13.6	22.7
	7 (Strongly disagree)	17	77.3	77.3	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



Table/Graph 39a-d

Sensitivity[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Mild	1	4.5	4.5	4.5
	Medium	7	31.8	31.8	36.4
	High	14	63.6	63.6	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



Sensitivity2[a]

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Mild	1	4.5	4.5	4.5
	Medium	10	45.5	45.5	50.0
	High	11	50.0	50.0	100.0
	Total	22	100.0	100.0	

a. Group = Control



Table 40

Correlations[a]

		Group	unpleasant	unpleasant2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	29	29	29
unpleasant	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.460*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.012
	N	29	29	29
unpleasant2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.460*	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.012	
	N	29	29	29

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Experimental

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 41

Correlations[a]

		Group	unpleasant	unpleasant2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	22	22	22
unpleasant	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.472*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.026
	N	22	22	22
unpleasant2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.472*	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.026	
	N	22	22	22

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Control

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 42

Correlations[a]

		Group	family	family2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	29	29	29
family	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.421*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.023
	N	29	29	29
family2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.421*	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.023	
	N	29	29	29

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Experimental

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 43

Correlations[a]

		Group	family	family2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	22	22	22
family	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.985**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	22	22	22
family2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.985**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	22	22	22

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Control

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 44

Correlations[a]

		Group	selfish	selfish2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	29	29	29
selfish	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.605**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.001
	N	29	29	29
selfish2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.605**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.001	
	N	29	29	29

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Experimental

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 45

Correlations[a]

		Group	selfish	selfish2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	22	22	22
selfish	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.779**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	22	22	22
selfish2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.779**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	22	22	22

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Control

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 46

Correlations[a]

		Group	understand	understand2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	29	29	29
understand	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.672**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	29	29	29
understand2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.672**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	29	29	29

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Experimental

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 47

Correlations[a]

		Group	understand	understand2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	22	22	22
understand	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.781**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	22	22	22
understand2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.781**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	22	22	22

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Control

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 48

Correlations[a]

		Group	easy	easy2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	29	29	29
easy	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.639**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	29	29	29
easy2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.639**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	29	29	29

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Experimental

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 49

Correlations[a]

		Group	easy	easy2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	22	22	22
easy	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.576**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.005
	N	22	22	22
easy2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.576**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.005	
	N	22	22	22

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Control

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 50

Correlations[a]

		Group	ideation	ideation2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	29	29	29
ideation	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.112
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.563
	N	29	29	29
ideation2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.112	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.563	
	N	29	29	29

a. Group = Experimental

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 51

Correlations[a]

		Group	ideation	ideation2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	22	22	22
ideation	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.706**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	22	22	22
ideation2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.706**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	22	22	22

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Control

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 52

Correlations[a]

		Group	should	should2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	29	29	29
should	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.717**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	29	29	29
should2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.717**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	29	29	29

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Experimental

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 53

Correlations[a]

		Group	should	should2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	22	22	22
should	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.657**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.001
	N	22	22	22
should2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.657**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.001	
	N	22	22	22

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Control

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 54

Correlations[a]

		Group	happy	happy2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	29	29	29
happy	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.494**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.006
	N	29	29	29
happy2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.494**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.006	
	N	29	29	29

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Experimental

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constan t.

Table 55

Correlations[a]

		Group	happy	happy2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	22	22	22
happy	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.973**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	22	22	22
happy2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.973**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	22	22	22

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Control

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 56

Correlations[a]

		Group	help	help2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	29	29	29
help	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.309
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.103
	N	29	29	29
help2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.309	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.103	
	N	29	29	29

a. Group = Experimental

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is const ınt.

Table 57

Correlations[a]

		Group	help	help2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	22	22	22
help	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.727**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	22	22	22
help2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.727**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	22	22	22

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Control

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 58

Correlations[a]

		Group	getover	getover2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	29	29	29
getover	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.987**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	29	29	29
getover2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.987**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	29	29	29

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Experimental

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 59

Correlations[a]

		Group	getover	getover2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	22	22	22
getover	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.901**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	22	22	22
getover2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.901**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	22	22	22

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Control

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 60

Correlations[a]

		Group	annoy	annoy2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	29	29	29
annoy	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.952**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	29	29	29
annoy2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.952**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	29	29	29

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Experimental

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 61

Correlations[a]

		Group	annoy	annoy2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	22	22	22
annoy	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.921**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	22	22	22
annoy2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.921**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	22	22	22

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Control

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 62

Correlations[a]

		Group	depressicide	depressicide2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	29	29	29
depressicide	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.063
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.745
	N	29	29	29
depressicide2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.063	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.745	
	N	29	29	29

a. Group = Experimental

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 63

Correlations[a]

		Group	depressicide	depressicide2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	22	22	22
depressicide	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.892**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	22	22	22
depressicide2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.892**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	22	22	22

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Control

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 64

Correlations[a]

		Group	Sensitivity	Sensitivity2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	29	29	29
Sensitivity	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.724**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.000
	N	29	29	29
Sensitivity2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.724**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	
	N	29	29	29

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Experimental

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table 65

Correlations[a]

		Group	Sensitivity	Sensitivity2
Group	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.[b]	.[b]
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.	.
	N	22	22	22
Sensitivity	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	1	.554**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.		.007
	N	22	22	22
Sensitivity2	Pearson Correlation	.[b]	.554**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.007	
	N	22	22	22

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

a. Group = Control

b. Cannot be computed because at least one of the variables is constant.

Table/Graph 66

Familiarity					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Low	27	52.9	52.9	52.9
	Medium	17	33.3	33.3	86.3
	High	7	13.7	13.7	100.0
	Total	51	100.0	100.0	



Table 67

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
unpleasant	Between Groups	8.107	2	4.054	1.318	.277
	Within Groups	147.579	48	3.075		
	Total	155.686	50			
family	Between Groups	2.275	2	1.137	.882	.421
	Within Groups	61.882	48	1.289		
	Total	64.157	50			
selfish	Between Groups	3.728	2	1.864	.608	.548
	Within Groups	147.096	48	3.064		
	Total	150.824	50			
understand	Between Groups	1.870	2	.935	.332	.719
	Within Groups	135.110	48	2.815		
	Total	136.980	50			
easy	Between Groups	1.082	2	.541	.149	.862
	Within Groups	174.095	48	3.627		
	Total	175.176	50			
ideation	Between Groups	.611	2	.305	.126	.882
	Within Groups	116.370	48	2.424		
	Total	116.980	50			
should	Between Groups	1.651	2	.826	.610	.548
	Within Groups	64.976	48	1.354		
	Total	66.627	50			
happy	Between Groups	2.550	2	1.275	1.219	.304
	Within Groups	50.195	48	1.046		
	Total	52.745	50			
help	Between Groups	6.733	2	3.366	1.080	.348
	Within Groups	149.620	48	3.117		
	Total	156.353	50			
getover	Between Groups	.297	2	.148	.122	.885
	Within Groups	58.331	48	1.215		
	Total	58.627	50			
annoy	Between Groups	1.571	2	.786	.429	.653
	Within Groups	87.841	48	1.830		
	Total	89.412	50			
depressicide	Between Groups	.515	2	.258	.630	.537
	Within Groups	19.641	48	.409		
	Total	20.157	50			

Table 68

ANOVA

Sensitivity

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	.990	2	.495	1.733	.188
Within Groups	13.716	48	.286		
Total	14.706	50			

Table 69

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.407	.322	12

Table 70

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
unpleasant	35.02	36.660	-.005	.462	.447
family	33.33	36.947	.104	.382	.398
selfish_R	36.88	27.826	.481	.435	.236
understand	35.25	37.354	-.022	.340	.448
easy	37.18	27.548	.438	.354	.247
ideation	33.96	33.918	.191	.312	.369
should_R	38.49	37.375	.067	.167	.407
happy_R	38.43	41.210	-.198	.258	.464
help	35.53	30.334	.318	.291	.311
getover_R	38.49	36.975	.115	.440	.395
annoy_R	38.12	35.666	.138	.447	.388
depressicide_R	38.67	39.627	-.047	.174	.421

Table 71

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.624	.624	6

Table 72

Summary Item Statistics

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Maximum / Minimum	Variance	N of Items
Item Means	4.624	2.765	6.608	3.843	2.390	2.363	6
Inter-Item Correlations	.216	-.067	.478	.545	-7.106	.022	6

Table 73

Total Variance Explained

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings			Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	2.451	20.421	20.421	2.451	20.421	20.421	2.196	18.300	18.300
2	2.001	16.675	37.096	2.001	16.675	37.096	2.099	17.494	35.793
3	1.637	13.640	50.736	1.637	13.640	50.736	1.716	14.299	50.093
4	1.297	10.810	61.546	1.297	10.810	61.546	1.374	11.453	61.546
5	.972	8.097	69.643						
6	.870	7.254	76.897						
7	.746	6.213	83.109						
8	.531	4.429	87.538						
9	.414	3.450	90.988						
10	.412	3.437	94.425						
11	.351	2.929	97.354						
12	.318	2.646	100.000						

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Table 74

Rotated Component Matrix[a]

	Component			
	1	2	3	4
selfish_R	.803			
easy	.699			
help	.596			-.482
getover_R		.811		
annoy_R		.740		
depressicide_R		.537		
family		-.530		-.438
understand			.818	
unpleasant			-.675	
ideation			.582	
happy_R			.419	
should_R				.796

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

a. Rotation converged in 18 iterations.

Table 75

Total Variance Explained

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings			Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	2.135	35.582	35.582	2.135	35.582	35.582	1.924	32.061	32.061
2	1.329	22.156	57.739	1.329	22.156	57.739	1.541	25.677	57.739
3	.849	14.153	71.892						
4	.762	12.692	84.584						
5	.484	8.062	92.646						
6	.441	7.354	100.000						

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Table 76

Rotated Component Matrix[a]

	Component	
	1	2
selfish_R	.787	
easy	.750	
unpleasant	.673	
help	.531	.433
family		.831
ideation		.792

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

a. Rotation converged in 3 iterations.