Appendix

Appendix A: Survey Instrument

The English-language survey text for the questions investigated in this article is provided here, with variable names and re-code values indicated in parentheses. These are the questions provided to the U.K. sample; some questions are customized to the specific sample. For example, income is provided in local currency. For other languages, please contact the authors.

Energy and Foreign Policy Survey

DESCRIPTION: You are invited to participate in a research study to enhance understanding of public preferences on energy policy and foreign policy. You will be asked to answer questions on a survey, which will take approximately 15 minutes to complete.

PARTICIPANT'S RIGHTS: If you have read this form and have decided to participate in this project, please understand your participation is voluntary and you have the right to discontinue participation at any time. The alternative is not to participate.

RISKS AND BENEFITS: There are no risks or benefits associated with this study.

PRIVACY: The results of this research study may be presented at scientific or professional meetings or published in scientific journals. Your individual privacy will be maintained in all published and written data resulting from the study. All information that you provide will be anonymous.

CONTACT: If you have any questions, concerns, or complaints about this research, write to the Protocol Director at l.sukin@lse.ac.uk.

(Consent) Do you wish to participate in this study?
Yes. I wish to participate in this study and consent to all of the above. (1)
No. I do not wish to participate in this study and do not consent to all of the above. (0)

(Age) What year were you born?

[1](Gender) I am...
Female (1)
Male (0)
A different gender (98)
I prefer not to answer (99)

[2](MilExperience) Have you ever served in the military?
Yes (1)
No (0)

---

[1] We re-code this to indicate whether the respondent selected Female (1) or any other answer (0).

[2] "Veteran" refers to a binary variable indicating whether respondents selected "Yes."

I prefer not to answer (99)

[3](PolicyElite) Do you work in law, national security, government, or international organisations?
Yes (1)
No (0)
I prefer not to answer. (99)

[4](Edu) What is the highest level of education that you have completed?
Elementary (1)
Lower Secondary (2)
Upper Secondary (3)
Associate Degree (4)
Bachelor's Degree (5)
Graduate Degree, e.g., Master's Degree, Doctoral Degree (6)
I prefer not to answer. (99)

[5](Income) Please give us your best estimate of your average monthly income.
0 – 1,000 GBP (1)
1,001 – 2,000 GBP (2)
2,001 – 3,000 GBP (3)
3,001 – 4,000 GBP (4)
4,001 – 5,000 GBP (5)
5,001 – 6,000 GBP (6)
6,001 – 7,000 GBP (7)
7,001 – 8,000 GBP (8)
> 8,000 GBP (9)
I prefer not to answer. (99)

(PoliticalIdeology) Which political ideology most closely matches your own?
Very liberal (1)
Liberal (2)
A little liberal (3)
Moderate (4)
A little conservative (5)
Conservative (6)
Very conservative (7)

I prefer not to answer. (99)

(TPNW) Do you support or oppose the United Nations Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons, which seeks to ban all nuclear weapons worldwide?
Strongly support (5)
Somewhat support (4)

---

[3] "Policy Experience" refers to a binary variable indicating whether respondents selected "Yes."
[4] "Education" drops respondents who selected "I prefer not to answer."
[5] "Income" drops respondents who selected "I prefer not to answer."

Neither support nor oppose (3)
Somewhat oppose (2)
Strongly oppose (1)

(NTaboo) Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The use of nuclear weapons can be morally justified.
Highly agree (1)
Somewhat agree (2)
Somewhat disagree (3)
Highly disagree (4)

[6](AttnCheck) We want to make sure that you are still paying attention. Please read the following question carefully. Is the following true or false? The year 1920 came before the year 1910.
True (0)
False (1)

Imagine that your government decided to implement the following policies. How much would you approve or disapprove of each policy? [Highly approve (4), Somewhat approve (3), Somewhat disapprove (2), Highly disapprove (1)]
Build (more) nuclear weapons (PolicyApprove_Nuclear)

(USPolicies_3) Which of the following policies should the United States enact? Please select all that apply.
Decrease the size of the US nuclear arsenal (If selected, 1)

Would you approve or disapprove of the United States using nuclear weapons in response to the following scenarios? [Approve (0), Disapprove (2)]
Russia attacking a NATO state with conventional (i.e., non-nuclear) weapons (RC)
Russia attacking a NATO state with nuclear weapons (RN)[7]

Do you have any additional questions or comments?

PRIVACY NOTICE: Your individual privacy will be maintained in all published and written data resulting from the study. All information that you have provided will be anonymous. If you wish to withdraw from the study at this time, please write to the Protocol Director at l.sukin@lse.ac.uk. Withdrawal will result in the deletion of all data that you have provided.

---

[6] Respondents who fail the attention check are dropped from the sample.

[7] Respondents in NATO countries, as well as Brazil, Mexico, Nigeria, South Africa, and South Korea, were asked to consider a Russian attack on a NATO country (not their own). Australians were asked about China attacking Taiwan. Taiwanese respondents were asked about China attacking Japan. Egyptians were asked about Iran attacking Saudi Arabia. Saudi Arabians were asked about Iran attacking Egypt. Respondents in China were not asked this question. Respondents in India, Indonesia, and Japan were only asked to consider attacks that occurred against their own country, and majorities approved of U.S. nuclear weapon use in their country's defense in the event of a nuclear attack against it (54%, 76%, and 77%, respectively.) When respondents in India, Indonesia, and Japan were asked to consider attacks against themselves with conventional weapons, fewer respondents (43%, 54%, and 59%, respectively) approved of U.S. nuclear weapon use in their country's defense.

Appendix B: Summary Statistics

Figure A.1: Summary Statistics for Various Respondent Demographics, by Country

State	Median Age	% Female	% Veteran	% Policy Experience	Mean Education Level	Mean Income Level
Australia	46	57.06	6.61	7.58	3.31	3.87
Belgium	48	49.31	24.66	11.04	3.67	3.44
Brazil	39	50.88	15.07	10.11	4.30	3.85
China	39	46.20	17.35	11.76	4.52	6.36
Czech Republic	48	47.07	26.74	11.72	3.43	3.38
Egypt	33	42.73	36.24	14.42	4.64	5.11
France	49	53.47	25.26	8.36	4.05	3.00
Germany	51	52.26	24.98	5.05	3.55	3.19
India	33	42.96	9.37	20.79	5.18	4.58
Indonesia	38	42.25	3.54	8.29	4.17	2.19
Italy	48	46.10	31.46	7.99	3.60	2.75
Japan	55	50.00	2.34	2.92	4.22	3.00
Mexico	39	52.36	41.44	11.35	4.30	3.76
Nigeria	31	42.48	7.21	27.63	4.57	2.95
Poland	44	63.26	19.80	10.17	4.43	3.80
Saudi Arabia	36	47.03	13.63	14.03	4.39	4.06
Slovakia	46	49.83	24.91	3.71	4.03	2.24
South Africa	34	52.85	10.51	15.2	3.65	3.28
South Korea	46	40.50	56.93	7.28	4.60	4.64
Sweden	49	58.30	26.32	4.43	3.96	3.41
Taiwan	37	40.91	48.77	11.73	4.62	3.41
Turkey	38	42.89	50.28	12.04	4.28	5.18
United Kingdom	45	52.35	4.28	6.17	3.90	2.92
United States	45	51.02	8.25	4.77	3.94	3.91

Appendix C: Correlates of Contradictoriness

	Dependent Variable: Contradictoriness
Age	0.007*** (-0.0005)
Female	-0.117*** (-0.014)
Ideology[8]	-0.033*** (-0.004)
Education	0.045*** (-0.006)
Income	-0.005 (-0.003)
Constant	2.450*** (-0.038)
Observations	23,268
R^2	0.02
Adjusted R^2	0.02
Residential Standard Error	1.032 (df=23,262)

Note: *** indicates p<0.01.

[8] Higher values indicate greater conservativeness.