An official website of the United States Government.

Back to Document Comments (/document/EPA-HQ-OLEM-2024-0360-0001/comment)

Share

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Comment submitted by John G. Palfrey

Posted by the **Environmental Protection Agency** on Feb 13, 2025

Docket (/docket/EPA-HQ-OLEM-2024-0360)
/ Document (EPA-HQ-OLEM-2024-0360-0001) (/document/EPA-HQ-OLEM-2024-0360-0001) / Comment

Comment

1) Assuring that the evaluation of harms and benefits of any action is studied in a peer-reviewed and rigorously scientific manner and then published universally is critical to the health and success of living beings on this planet and elsewhere. Studies of all perspectives must be funded and published through rigorous procedures and discussed at the highest, most scientifically respected levels of the EPA and related organizations.
2) As a physician, I see too many decisions and proposals a) concealed and b) implemented without thorough health and environmental scrutiny, and c) tainted with partisan "opinion" as contrasted to scientific rigor.

Comment ID
EPA-HQ-OLEM-2024-0360-0018

Tracking Number
m6w-r6c3-cq61

Give Feedback

Comment Details

Document Subtype
Public Comment

Received Date

Feb 8, 2025

Postmark Date

Feb 8, 2025

Page Count

1

Your Voice in Federal Decision Making