Minorities at Risk

# Minorities at Risk Organizational Behavior (MAROB) Middle East Codebook Version 9/2008

A project of

CIDCM Center for International Development and Conflict Management

and

START National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism

A CENTER OF EXCELLENCE OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY BASED AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND

The Minorities at Risk Organizational Behavior (MAROB) dataset is a subsidiary of the Minorities at Risk (MAR) Project. The purpose of this project is to answer fundamental questions focusing on the identification of those factors that motivate some members of ethnic minorities to become radicalized, to form activist organizations, and to move from conventional means of politics and protest into violence and terrorism. Focusing initially on the Middle East and North Africa, the MAROB project provides information on the characteristics of those ethnopolitical organizations most likely to employ violence and terrorism in the pursuit of their perceived grievances with local, national, or international authority structures. The project has identified 118 organizations representing the interests of all 22 ethnopolitical groups in 16 countries of the Middle East and North Africa, operating between 1980 and 2004.

Additional information about these and related projects can be found at the MAR website: http://www.cidcm.umd.edu/mar, or by contacting Dr. Amy Pate, Research Director, Minorities at Risk Project, at minpro@cidcm.umd.edu.

MAROB is directed by Jonathan Wilkenfeld (University of Maryland), Victor Asal (University at Albany), and Amy Pate (University of Maryland). MAR and MAROB are currently funded by the Department of Homeland Security through grants N000140510629 and 2008ST061ST004, the US Air Force under MURI grant FA95500610405, and by NSF under grant SES0718957.

---

The project developed a set of criteria for the inclusion of organizations into the MAROB dataset. These are as follows:

- The organization makes explicit claims to represent the interests of one or more ethnic groups and/or the organization's members are primarily members of a specific ethnic minority.
- The organization is political in its goals and activities.
- The organization is active at a regional and/or national level.
- The organization was not created by a government.
- The organization is active for at least three consecutive years between 1980 and 2006.
- Umbrella organizations (coalitions/alliances) are NOT coded. Instead, member organizations are coded.

Organizations were selected on the basis of their basic longevity. This was operationalized in the following manner: The first year that an organization is mentioned in a source as being active, it is put on a "watchlist" for potential inclusion. Once the organization is mentioned in sources for three consecutive years, it is included in the dataset, coded from the first year of the three consecutive years. If an organization included in the dataset disappears from source material for five consecutive years, it is no longer coded for following years. If after that time, it is again mentioned for three consecutive years, it is again included but as a separate organization.

Umbrella Organizations:

For the purposes of MAROB, umbrella organizations will not be coded, but rather the individual organizations that make up the umbrella will be coded separately. If there are actions attributed to the umbrella organization only and it is not clear which individual organizations took part, the action will be attributed to the largest/most significant organization under the umbrella. For example, PLO actions that cannot be connected to specific organizations will be attributed to Fatah.

# A. ORGANIZATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS

## ORGANIZATIONAL IDENTITY

***1.1. ORGID*** Organizational case identifier

***1.2. ORGNAME*** Name of organization

***1.3. ORGABBREV*** Abbreviation commonly used for the organizations name

***1.4. NUMCODE*** Ethnic group case identifier, (country code + group id)

***1.5. VMAR_GROUP*** Full name of MAR ethnic group the organization represents

***1.6. CCODE*** Country ID number

***1.7. COUNTRY*** Country in which the organization resides

***1.8. VMAR_REGION***

Minorities at Risk Project region ID

***1.9. ORGFOUNDED*** Year organization was founded

***1.10. ORGSPLIT*** Did the organization split this year?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No split |
| 1 | Split |
| -99 | Unclear |

### *1.11. ORGLOC* Location of organization

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 1 | Based within the country in which the group lives |
| 2 | Org. has both internal and external bases |
| 3 | Based outside the country in which the group lives |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

# *2.* TYPE OF ORGANIZATION

Refers to organization operating *within* country of group. For example, if organization operates clandestinely within country of group but has branch operating openly in neighboring country, code clandestine.

### *2.1. ORGOPEN* Is organization open?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No (clandestine) |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *2.2. ORGLEGAL* Is organization legal?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No (illegal) |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *2.3. ORGMILITANT* Is organization militant? 0 = No (nonviolent), 1 = Yes

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No (non-violent) |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

Note: Organization is deemed militant if it commits violent act or if possesses violent means (explosives, armaments, etc.). Once an organization has been coded as militant, it continues to be coded as militant until it explicitly revokes the use of violence (i.e. continue to code militant even for years when organization does not commit a violent act).

### *2.4. LEAD*

Type of leadership for organization

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 1 | Factionalized/competing leaders |
| 2 | Weak or decentralized leadership |
| 3 | Strong ruling council |
| 4 | Strong single leader |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *2.5. ORGPOP*

Popularity of organization

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 1 | Fringe- no evidence of support from group |
| 2 | One of several organizations with support from group |
| 3 | Dominant organization |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## *3.* GRIEVANCES/ MOTIVATIONS/ IDEOLOGY OF ORGANIZATION

### *3.1. ORGPOLGR*

Dominant political grievance of the organization

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 1 | Major organizational goals focused on eliminating discrimination |
| 2 | Major organizational goals focused on creating or increasing remedial policies |
| 3 | Major organizational goals focused on creating or strengthening autonomous status for group |
| 4 | Major organizational goals focused on creating a separate state for the group or revanchist change in border of state |
| -88 | Other: goal described in ORGPOLGRDES |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *3.2. ORGPOLGRDES*

Text description of ORGPOLGR “other” category (-88)

### *3.3. ORGECGR* Dominant economic grievance of the organization

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No expressed economic grievances |
| 1 | Economic grievances focused on elimination of discrimination |
| 2 | Economic grievances focused on creating or strengthening economic remedial policies |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *3.4. ORGCULTGR* Code the dominant cultural grievance of the organization

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No expressed cultural grievances |
| 1 | Cultural grievances focused on elimination of discrimination |
| 2 | Cultural grievances focused on creating or strengthening economic remedial policies (i.e., establishing or increasing state funding for cultural protection and/or promotion) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## *4.* ORGANIZATIONAL IDEOLOGY

What is the guiding ideology of the organization in addition to ethnicity? (More than one guiding ideology may be coded as present). For example an organization can be religious (Hamas), Leftist (PFLP) or purely nationalist (Fatah) and still have an ethnic agenda.

### *4.1. RELORG* Does the organization advocate policies that incorporate religion into public life?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No (non-religious) |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *4.2. LEFTORG* Does the organization advocate policies to redistribute wealth (e.g., extensive welfare policies, state control of major industries)?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes (Leftist- economic) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 4.3. RIGHTORG

Does the organization advocate free market policies OR policies that favor traditional economic elites (e.g., landowners)?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes (Rightist - economic) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 4.4. NATORG

Nationalist–claims to autonomy or independence

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes (Nationalist) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 4.5. ETHORG

Ethnicity – ethnic community but no claims to autonomy or independence

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 4.6. GENDEXC

Does organization advocate the exclusion of women from public life?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes (Gender exclusiveness) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 4.7. GENDINC

Does organization advocate the inclusion of women in public life?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes (Gender inclusiveness) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 4.8. AUTHORG

Does organization advocate authoritarian forms of government?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes (Authoritarian) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 4.9. DEMORG

Does organization advocate democratic forms of government?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes (Democratic) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 4.10. SUPREMACIST

Does organization advocate inherent superiority of racial/ethnic group and advocate for exclusive political power by that group?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes (Racially/ethnically supremacist) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 4.11. ENVORG

Does the organization advocate environmentally sustainable policies?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes (Environmental) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 4.12. OTHERORG

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes (Other) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 4.13. OTHERORGDES

Text Description of "other" guiding ideology

# B. ORGANIZATION-STATE RELATIONS

## *5.* STATE- ORGANIZATION RELATIONS

### *5.1. STORGREPRESS*

How does the state treat the organization?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 1 | Organization is legal |
| 2 | Organization legal but subjected to periodic repression by the state |
| 3 | Organization is illegal but tolerated |
| 4 | Organization is illegal and is subjected to periodic repression |
| 5 | Organization is illegal and is targeted for ongoing repression by the state |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *5.2. STATEVIOLENCE*

Does the state use violence against the organization?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 1 | State is not using lethal violence against the organization |
| 2 | State is using periodic lethal violence against the organization |
| 3 | State is using consistent lethal violence against the organization |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## 5.3. ORGSUCCESS

To what degree has the organization succeeded in obtaining government agreement in the year? (Based on agreement not implementation)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| -1 | State refuses to negotiate with the organization |
| 0 | No negotiation with state sought |
| 1 | State has negotiated with the organization in this year |
| 2 | State has made some concessions to the organization but not to its primary goal in this year |
| 3 | State has conceded to the organization its primary goal in current year |
| 4 | State has conceded to the organization its primary goal in a previous year |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## 5.4. ORGSUCIMPL

To what degree has the government implemented agreements with the organization in the year being coded?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 1 | State has not come to agreement with organization |
| 2 | State has reached agreement but has not begun implementation |
| 3 | State has started to implement agreement this year |
| 4 | State has implemented agreement intermittently in previous years and is continuing in this pattern |
| 5 | State has implemented agreement in previous years and is continuing to do so |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## 5.5. ORGIMPL

To what degree has the organization implemented agreements with the state in the year being coded?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 1 | Organization has not come to agreement with state |
| 2 | Organization has reached agreement but has not begun implementation |
| 3 | Organization has started to implement agreement this year |
| 4 | Organization has implemented agreement intermittently in previous years and is continuing in this pattern |
| 5 | Organization has implemented agreement in previous years and is continuing to do so |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

# C. EXTERNAL SUPPORT

### *5.6. DIASUP*

Has org. received support from diaspora in year being coded? Summary variable – coded 1 if and only if a subcategory of support (financial support, etc.) is coded 1

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

Note: Diaspora is technically members of an original group that have become dispersed (e.g. Kurds from Turkey now working in Germany are part of the Kurdish diapora). However, for the purposes of this variable, diaspora will be operationalized more loosely and include "close" kindred groups that are located outside the country. For example, Turks in Turkey are considered 'diaspora' of the Turks in Northern Cyprus, but the ethnic groups that comprise the "Turkish family" (Uzbeks, Kyrgyz, Turkmen, Kazakhs, Azeris) would not be considered diaspora.

.

### *5.6.1. DIAFINSUP*

Did diaspora provide non-military financial support? Coded 1 for any financial support provided for the organization that does not contribute to the purchase of military equipment. If financial support provided for humanitarian purposes (e.g., the purchase of food, etc.), coded under DIAHUMSUP.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *5.6.2. DIAHUMSUP*

Did diaspora provide humanitarian support? Coded 1 for humanitarian support provided to members of the organization OR that is provided to the organization to distribute to the general population. Humanitarian support may be financial support provided for the purchase of basic goods and services or the supplies themselves.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.6.3. DIAPOLSUP

Did diaspora provide political support? Coded 1 if members of diaspora provide observers at the request of the organization for monitoring elections, ceasefires, etc., or mediation for or hosts talks/negotiations between the organization and the regime of its "home" country.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.6.4. DIANVMILSUP

Did diaspora provide non-violent military support? Coded 1 if members of diaspora provide funds for military supplies, sanctuaries or safe havens for armed fighters, military training in exile, or advisory military personnel for organization.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.6.5. DIAVMILSUP

Did diaspora provide violent military support? Coded 1 if members of diaspora provide rescue missions, active combat units, or cross-border raids in support of organization.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.7. FORSTSUP

Has org. received support from foreign state in year being coded? Summary variable – coded 1 if and only if a subcategory of support (financial support, etc.) is coded 1.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.7.1. FORSTFINSUP

Did foreign state provide non-military financial support? Coded 1 for any financial support provided for the organization that does not contribute to the purchase of military equipment. If financial support provided for humanitarian purposes (e.g., the purchase of food, etc.), coded under FORSTHUMSUP.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.7.2. FORSTHUMSUP

Did foreign state provide humanitarian support? Coded 1 for humanitarian support provided to members of the organization OR that is provided to the organization to distribute to the general population. Humanitarian support may be financial support provided for the purchase of basic goods and services or the supplies themselves.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.7.3. FORSTPOLSUP

Did foreign state provide political support? Coded 1 if foreign state provides observers at the request of the organization for monitoring elections, ceasefires, etc., or if it provides mediation for or hosts talks/negotiations between the organization and the regime of its "home" country; also coded 1 if foreign state levies sanctions against regime because of policies towards the organization. Coded 1 if foreign state recognizes the organization as the legitimate representative of the group it claims to represent and admits organization to membership, observer status, etc. Coded 0 if state allows organization to operate within its borders but provides no other forms of political support.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.7.4. FORSTNVMILSUP

Did foreign state provide non-violent military support? Coded 1 if foreign state provides funds for military supplies, sanctuaries or safe havens for armed fighters, military training in exile, or advisory military personnel for organization being coded.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.7.5. FORSTVMILSUP

Did foreign state provide violent military support? Coded 1 if a foreign state provides rescue missions, active combat units, or cross-border raids in support of organization.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## 5.8. IGOSUP

Has org. received support from international governmental organization in year being coded? Summary variable – coded 1 if and only if a subcategory of support (financial support, etc.) is coded 1.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.8.1. IGOFINSUP

Did international government organization provide non-military financial support? Coded 1 for any financial support provided for the organization that does not contribute to the purchase of military equipment. If financial support provided for humanitarian purposes (e.g., the purchase of food, etc.), code under IGOHUMSUP.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.8.2. IGOHUMSUP

Did international government organization provide humanitarian support? Coded 1 for humanitarian support provided to members of the organization OR that is provided to the organization to distribute to the general population. Humanitarian support may be financial support provided for the purchase of basic goods and services or the supplies themselves.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.8.3. IGOPOLSUP

Did international government organization provide political support? Coded 1 if international government organization (IGO) provides observers at the request of the organization for monitoring elections, ceasefires, etc., or if IGO provides mediation for or hosts talks/negotiations between the organization and the regime of its "home" country; or if IGO levies sanction against regime because of policies towards the organization. Coded 1 if IGO recognizes the organization as the legitimate representative of the group it claims to represent and admits organization to membership, observer status, etc.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.8.4. IGONVMILSUP

Did international government organization provide non-violent military support? Coded 1 if IGO provides funds for military supplies, sanctuaries or safe havens for armed fighters, military training in exile, or advisory military personnel for organization.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.8.5. IGOVMILSUP

Did international government organization provide violent military support? Coded 1 if IGO provides rescue missions, active combat units, or cross-border raids in support of organization.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.9. INGOSUP

Has org. received support from international non-governmental organization or other non-state actor? Summary variable – coded 1 if and only if a subcategory of support (financial support, etc.) is coded 1.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.9.1. INGOFINSUP

Did international non-governmental organization provide non-military financial support? Coded 1 for any financial support provided for the organization that does not contribute to the purchase of military equipment. If financial support provided for humanitarian purposes (e.g., the purchase of food, etc.), code under INGOHUMSUP.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.9.2. INGOHUMSUP

Did international non-governmental organization provide humanitarian support? Coded 1 for humanitarian support provided to members of the organization OR that is provided to the organization to distribute to the general population. Humanitarian support may be financial support provided for the purchase of basic goods and services or the supplies themselves.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.9.3. INGOPOLSUP

Did international non-governmental organization provide political support? Coded 1 if INGO provides observers at the request of the organization for monitoring elections, ceasefires, etc., or if INGO provides mediation for or hosts talks/ negotiations between the organization and the regime of its "home" country; or if INGO levies sanction against regime because of policies towards the organization. Coded 1 here if INGO recognizes the organization as the legitimate representative of the group it claims to represent and admits organization to membership, observer status, etc.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.9.4. INGONVMILSUP

Did international non-governmental organization provide non-violent military support? Coded 1 if INGO provides funds for military supplies, sanctuaries or safe havens for armed fighters, military training in exile, or advisory military personnel for organization being coded.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 5.9.5. INGOVMILSUP

Did international non-governmental organization provide violent military support? Coded 1 if INGO provides rescue missions, active combat units, or cross-border raids in support of organization.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

# D. ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

## *6.* ORGANIZATIONAL STRATEGY

### *6.1. ORGST1*

Education & propaganda: Coded 1 if organization has monthly/quarterly radio or print publications; if they have 2 or fewer foreign offices; if they leaflet or otherwise distribute material less than weekly. Coded 2 if organization has daily/weekly radio or print publication; if they have 3 or more foreign offices; if they distribute materials on a weekly or more frequent basis; or if they satisfy two or more of the conditions to code 1

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used as a strategy |
| 1 | Minor/Infrequent strategy |
| 2 | Major/Frequent strategy |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *6.2. ORGST2*

Representing interests to officials: Coded 1 if organization occasionally sends members to lobby officials or participates in negotiations less than the equivalent of 3 months. Coded 2 if organization maintains permanent lobby/liaison with central government or participates is involved in negotiations with the government for the equivalent of 3 or more months.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used as a strategy |
| 1 | Minor/Infrequent strategy |
| 2 | Major/Frequent strategy |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *6.3. ORGST3*

Electoral politics: Coded 1 if organization currently has members in office or has announced intention to run members for office AND it is NOT an election year. Coded 2 if organization has members running for office AND it is an election year.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used as a strategy |
| 1 | Minor/Infrequent strategy |
| 2 | Major/Frequent strategy |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 6.4. ORGST4

Soliciting external support: Coded 1 if the organization maintains 1 or 2 foreign offices, or if the leadership meets with foreign officials or foreign organizations (either abroad or within the country) 1-6 times to solicit support in the year being coded. Coded 2 if the organization maintains 3 or more foreign offices; meets with foreign officials or foreign organizations (either abroad or within the country) more than 6 times to solicit support in the year being coded; or if the organization meets both of the conditions to code 1.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used as a strategy |
| 1 | Minor/Infrequent strategy |
| 2 | Major/Frequent strategy |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 6.5. ORGST5

Non-coercive collection of local support: Coded 1 if organization holds 1 to 10 events soliciting financial, material or personnel support. Coded 2 if organization holds more than 10 events soliciting financial, material or personnel support.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used as a strategy |
| 1 | Minor/Infrequent strategy |
| 2 | Major/Frequent strategy |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 6.6. ORGST6

Forcefully securing local support: Coded 1 if organization forcefully secures financial, material or personnel support 1 to 10 times in the year being coded. Coded 2 if organization forcefully secures financial, material or personnel support more than 10 times in the year being coded.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used as a strategy |
| 1 | Minor/Infrequent strategy |
| 2 | Major/Frequent strategy |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 6.7. ORGST7

Attacks on civilians (terrorist activities): This includes non-security state personnel (e.g. civil service personnel, elected representatives, and other government representatives that are not police, military, etc. Coded 1 if organization engages in attacks on civilians 1 to 10 times in the year being coded. Coded 2 if the organization engages in attacks on civilians more than 10 times in the year being coded.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used as a strategy |
| 1 | Minor/Infrequent strategy |
| 2 | Major/Frequent strategy |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 6.8. ORGST8

Insurgency: Coded 1 if ORGREB is small scale guerrilla activity or intermediate guerrilla activity due to size of militia. Coded 2 if ORGREB is intermediate guerrilla activity due to number of attacks or large scale guerrilla activity or civil war.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used as a strategy |
| 1 | Minor/Infrequent strategy |
| 2 | Major/Frequent strategy |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

#### 6.8.1. ORGST8A

Cross-border insurgency: Organization engages in cross-border violent activity. Coded 1 if organization engaged in fewer than 6 cross-border raids during the year. Coded 2 if organization engaged in 6 or more cross-border raids during the year.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used as a strategy |
| 1 | Minor/Infrequent strategy |
| 2 | Major/Frequent strategy |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

Note: Coded only if the organization crosses from the home country to attack government personnel of an external country. Notes describe which countries' territories are being entered.

### 6.8.2. ORGST8B

Cross-border insurgency alliance: If the organization engages in cross-border raids, does this involve alliances with other organizations? Coded 1 if organization engaged in cross-border alliances during the year for purposes of insurgency but usually organization acts alone. Coded 2 if most cross-border insurgency operations involved a cross-border alliance with another organization.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used as a strategy |
| 1 | Minor/Infrequent strategy |
| 2 | Major/Frequent strategy |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 6.9. ORGST9

Administering rebel areas: Coded 1 if organization controls movement into/out of/through territory but does not establish governing structures or maintain infrastructure (e.g., roads). Coded 2 if organization sets up governing structures and/or maintains infrastructure.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used as a strategy |
| 1 | Minor/Infrequent strategy |
| 2 | Major/Frequent strategy |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

Note: Coded this variable even if rebel area is controlled/administered outside of the group's "home country".

### 6.10. ORGST10

Ethnic cleansing or genocide: Coded 1 if ethnic cleansing/genocide takes place in a small geographic area, such as a town or a village. Coded 2 if ethnic cleansing/genocide takes place in a large geographic area, such as a region or district, OR if it takes place in multiple towns/villages.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used as a strategy |
| 1 | Minor/Infrequent strategy |
| 2 | Major/Frequent strategy |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *6.11. ORGST11*

Coalition building: Coded 1 if organization cooperates with another organization for single events (e.g., attacks, protests, rallies, etc.) or coordinates positions on one or two specific issues with another organization (e.g., in negotiations with the state, policies on border control/policing/etc.). Coded 2 if organization runs on a joint electoral list, forms government with, forms joint military command structures with another organization OR cooperates with another organization for multiple events.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used as a strategy |
| 1 | Minor/Infrequent strategy |
| 2 | Major/Frequent strategy |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *6.12. ORGST12*

Provision of social services: Coded 1 if organization provides some social services but not at the level of a 2. Coded 2 if organization provides social services in the area(s) of education, healthcare, poverty alleviation at a para-statal level (e.g, runs the equivalent of a school district, maintains networks of heath care facilities, etc.) in order to serve a large number of constituents on a sustained basis.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used as a strategy |
| 1 | Minor/Infrequent strategy |
| 2 | Major/Frequent strategy |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## *7.* NONVIOLENT BEHAVIOR

### *7.1. ORGLOCMOB*

Where is the organization active nonviolently?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | The organization is only using violence as a strategy |
| 1 | organizational nonviolent activity primarily domestic |
| 2 | organizational nonviolent activity domestic and international |
| 3 | organizational nonviolent activity primarily international |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## *7.2. DOMORGPROT*

Coded as highest level of DOMESTIC protest. *Not* coded if primary target was *transnational*. Coded for protests if organization is sponsor or largest organization participating.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | None reported |
| 1 | Verbal Opposition: (Public letters, petitions, posters, publications, agitation, etc.). Coded requests by a minority controlled regional organization for independence. |
| 2 | Symbolic Resistance: Scattered acts of symbolic resistance (e.g. sit-ins, blockage of traffic, sabotage, symbolic destruction of property) OR political organizing activity on a substantial scale. Coded mobilization for autonomy/secession by a minority-controlled regional government. |
| 3 | Small Demonstrations: Largest demonstration, rally, or riot had participation of fewer than 1000. |
| 4 | Medium Demonstrations: Demonstrations, rallies, strikes, and/or riots of more than 1,000 but fewer than 10,000. |
| 5 | Large Demonstrations: Mass demonstrations, rallies, strikes, and/or riots with participation greater than 10,000. |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## *7.3. TRANSPROTARG (Transnational Protest – Target)*

Coded as highest level of protest of *TRANSNATIONAL* target occurring *WITHIN* the country of minority group the organization represents. Coded for protests if organization is sponsor or largest organization participating.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | None reported |
| 1 | Verbal Opposition: (Public letters, petitions, posters, publications, agitation, etc.). Coded requests by a minority controlled regional organization for independence. |
| 2 | Symbolic Resistance: Scattered acts of symbolic resistance (e.g. sit-ins, blockage of traffic, sabotage, symbolic destruction of property) OR political organizing activity on a substantial scale. Coded mobilization for autonomy/secession by a minority-controlled regional government. |
| 3 | Small Demonstrations: Largest demonstration, rally, or riot had participation of fewer than 1000. |
| 4 | Medium Demonstrations: Demonstrations, rallies, strikes, and/or riots of more than 1,000 but fewer than 10,000. |
| 5 | Large Demonstrations: Mass demonstrations, rallies, strikes, and/or riots with participation greater than 10,000. |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## *7.4. TRANSPROTLOC (Transnational Protest – Location)*

Coded as highest level of protest of occurring *OUTSIDE* country of minority group the organization represents. Coded for protests if organization is sponsor or largest organization participating.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | None reported |
| 1 | Verbal Opposition: (Public letters, petitions, posters, publications, agitation, etc.). Coded requests by a minority controlled regional organization for independence. |
| 2 | Symbolic Resistance: Scattered acts of symbolic resistance (e.g. sit-ins, blockage of traffic, sabotage, symbolic destruction of property) OR political organizing activity on a substantial scale. Coded mobilization for autonomy/secession by a minority-controlled regional government. |
| 3 | Small Demonstrations: Largest demonstration, rally, or riot had participation of fewer than 1000. |
| 4 | Medium Demonstrations: Demonstrations, rallies, strikes, and/or riots of more than 1,000 but fewer than 10,000. |
| 5 | Large Demonstrations: Mass demonstrations, rallies, strikes, and/or riots with participation greater than 10,000. |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

# *8.* VIOLENT BEHAVIOR

## *8.1. VIOLRHETDOM*

Coded as highest level of violence targeting DOMESTIC entities justified in public statements by organizational leaders.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Organizational leaders do not justify violence in public statements |
| 1 | Organizational leaders justify or call for violence targeting infrastructure but not persons in public statements |
| 2 | Organizational leaders justify or call for violence targeting security personnel (including state security personnel and non-state armed militias), but not government non-security personnel or civilians, in public statements |
| 3 | Organizational leaders justify or call for violence targeting security personnel (including state security personnel and non-state armed militias) and/or government non-security personnel, but not civilians, in public statements |
| 4 | Organizational leaders justify or call for violence targeting civilians in addition to security personnel or government non-security personnel |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## *8.2. VIOLRHETRANS*

Coded highest level of violence targeting TRANSNATIONAL entities justified in public statements by organizational leaders.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Organizational leaders do not justify violence in public statements |
| 1 | Organizational leaders justify or call for violence targeting infrastructure but not persons in public statements |
| 2 | Organizational leaders justify or call for violence targeting security personnel (including state security personnel and non-state armed militias), but not government non-security personnel or civilians, in public statements |
| 3 | Organizational leaders justify or call for violence targeting security personnel (including state security personnel and non-state armed militias) and/or government non-security personnel, but not civilians, in public statements |
| 4 | Organizational leaders justify or call for violence targeting civilians in addition to security personnel or government non-security personnel |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## *8.3. ORGKILL*

Not available in this version.

## *8.4. ORINCID*

Not available in this version.

## *8.5. MILITIAFORM*

Does organization have a military wing?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Organization does not have organized military wing |
| 1 | Organization starting to create military wing in this year |
| 2 | Organization has standing military wing in this year |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *8.6. ORGLOCVIOL* Where is the organization using violence as a strategy?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Organization is not using violence as a strategy |
| 1 | Organizational violence used primarily domestically |
| 2 | Organizational violence used domestically and internationally |
| 3 | Organizational violence used primarily internationally |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *8.7. ORGREB* Is organization engaged in violence toward state authorities?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | None reported |
| 1 | Political banditry (fewer than 6 violent attacks) |
| 2 | Small scale campaigns of violence (6 or more violent attacks) |
| 3 | Local rebellion (Armed attempt to seize control over locale, such as a town or a village) |
| 4 | Small-scale guerrilla activity contains: a) fewer than 1000 armed fighters; b) sporadic armed clashes (less than six reported per year); c) attacks in a small part of the area occupied by the organization, or in one or two other locales |
| 5 | Intermediate guerrilla activity**:** Has one or two of the defining traits of large-scale activity and one or two of the defining traits of small-scale activity |
| 6 | Large-scale guerrilla activity contains: a) more than 1000 armed fighters; b) frequent armed clashes (more than 6 per year); c) attacks affecting a large part of the area occupied by the organization |
| 7 | Civil war (regional division of country): Fought by rebel military units with base areas |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## *8.8. INTRAORGCON*

Open intra-organizational conflict within the minority group organization?

Note: Coded only for *violent* intra-organizational conflict.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

Note: The following variables are coded based on which antagonist had the highest level of conflict for a given year. The highest level of conflict for any one year is recorded in INTRASEV1 and the name of the conflicting faction within the organization is recorded in INTRASEV1DES. The second-highest level of conflict for any one year is recorded in INTRASEV2, and the name of the conflicting faction is recorded in INTRASEV2DES. The same format is followed for INTRASEV3 as the third-highest level of conflict.

Note: An antagonistic faction within the organization can move between variables (e.g. an antagonistic faction can be recorded in INTRASEV1 for one year and INTRASEV3 for another).

### *8.8.1. INTRASEV1*

Severity of conflict with highest level of intra-organizational conflict.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 1 | Sporadic violent clashes (no weapons) involving individuals or small groups (12-15) |
| 2 | Series of assassinations or bombings (clandestine terrorist activity) |
| 3 | Rioting involving substantial numbers of people (hundreds) |
| 4 | Sporadic armed fighting |
| 5 | Protracted intra-organizational warfare |
| 6 | Other (specify) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *8.8.2. INTRASEV1DES*

Names of factions with highest level of intra-organizational conflict.

### 8.8.3. INTRASEV2

Severity of conflict with second-highest level of intra-organizational conflict.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 1 | Sporadic violent clashes (no weapons) involving individuals or small groups (12-15) |
| 2 | Series of assassinations or bombings (clandestine terrorist activity) |
| 3 | Rioting involving substantial numbers of people (hundreds) |
| 4 | Sporadic armed fighting |
| 5 | Protracted intra organizational warfare |
| 6 | Other (specify) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.8.4. INTRASEV2DES

Names of factions with second-highest level of intra-organizational conflict.

### 8.8.5. INTRASEV3

Severity of conflict with third-highest level of intra-organizational conflict

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 1 | Sporadic violent clashes (no weapons) involving individuals or small groups (12-15) |
| 2 | Series of assassinations or bombings (clandestine terrorist activity) |
| 3 | Rioting involving substantial numbers of people (hundreds) |
| 4 | Sporadic armed fighting |
| 5 | Protracted intra organizational warfare |
| 6 | Other (specify) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.8.6. INTRASEV3DES

Names of factions with third-highest level of intra-organizational conflict.

## *8.9. INTERORGCON*

Was there inter-organizational conflict?

Note: Conflict can be with an organization that represents the same ethnic group or not.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

Note: The following variables are coded based on which antagonist had the highest level of conflict for a given year. The highest level of conflict for any one year is recorded in INTERSEV1 and the name of the organization is recorded for that year in INTERSEV1DES. The second-highest level of conflict for any one year is recorded in INTERSEV2, and the name of the organization is recorded for that year in INTERSEV2DES. The same format is followed INTERSEV3 as the third-highest level of conflict.

Note: An antagonistic organization can move between variables (e.g. one organization can be recorded in INTERSEV1 for one year and INTERSEV3 for another).

### *8.9.1. INTERSEV1*

Severity of conflict with highest level of inte-organizational conflict.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No manifestations of conflict evident |
| 1 | Individual acts of harassment against property and persons, no fatalities |
| 2 | Political agitation, campaigns urging authorities to impose restrictions on the organization, etc. |
| 3 | Sporadic violent attacks by individuals, gangs or other small groups, some of them causing fatalities |
| 4 | Anti-group demonstrations, rallies, marches |
| 5 | Communal rioting, armed attacks |
| 6 | Communal warfare (protracted, large-scale inter organizational violence) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *8.9.2. INTERSEV1DES*

Name of organization with highest level of inter-organizational conflict.

### 8.9.3. INTERSEV2

Severity of conflict with second-highest level of inter-organizational conflict.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No manifestations of conflict evident |
| 1 | Individual acts of harassment against property and persons, no fatalities |
| 2 | Political agitation, campaigns urging authorities to impose restrictions on the organization, etc. |
| 3 | Sporadic violent attacks by individuals, gangs or other small groups, some of them causing fatalities |
| 4 | Anti-group demonstrations, rallies, marches |
| 5 | Communal rioting, armed attacks |
| 6 | Communal warfare (protracted, large-scale inter organizational violence) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.9.4. INTERSEV2DES

Name of organization with second-highest level of inter-organizational conflict.

### 8.9.5. INTERSEV3

Severity of conflict with third-highest level of inter-organizational conflict

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No manifestations of conflict evident |
| 1 | Individual acts of harassment against property and persons, no fatalities |
| 2 | Political agitation, campaigns urging authorities to impose restrictions on the organization, etc. |
| 3 | Sporadic violent attacks by individuals, gangs or other small groups, some of them causing fatalities |
| 4 | Anti-group demonstrations, rallies, marches |
| 5 | Communal rioting, armed attacks |
| 6 | Communal warfare (protracted, large-scale inter organizational violence) |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.9.6. INTERSEV3DES

Name of organization with third-highest level of inter-organizational conflict.

## *8.10. DOMORGVIOLENCE*

To what degree is the organization using violence DOMESTICALLY as a strategy? Organizations that score a 5 are considered terrorist organizations and organizations that are coded a 3 or 4 are considered liminal terrorist organizations.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Organization is not using violence as a strategy |
| 1 | Organization is using violence as occasional strategy but is not specifically targeting persons (coded if organization targets infrastructure and/or gives warnings before attacks) |
| 2 | Organization is using violence regularly as a strategy but is targeting security personnel (including state security personnel and non-state armed militias) and not government non-security personnel or civilians |
| 3 | Organization is using violence regularly as a strategy but is targeting security personnel (including state security personnel and non-state armed militias) and/or government non-security personnel, but not civilians |
| 4 | Organization is occasionally targeting civilians but most of its violent acts target security personnel or government non-security personnel |
| 5 | Organization is targeting civilians regularly |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## *8.11. Domestic Violence – Target*

Domestic targets of the organization. Codes are not mutually exclusive.

### *8.11.1. DCIVINFRA*

Civilian infrastructure (Civilian infrastructure only; human lives not targeted. Civilian infrastructure includes commercial buildings/financial districts, privately owned/run communications infrastructure, privately run/owned transportation infrastructure; privately run/owned utilities.)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.11.2. DGENCIV

General civilian lives (civilian targets not chosen based on ascriptive characteristics or involvement in commercial or religious sectors)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.11.3. DETHCIV

Targets chosen are based on ethnicity/ascriptive feature(s) of individuals. For civilians targets only.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.11.4. DCOMCIV

Civilian commercial lives (human lives in commercial sector targeted)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.11.5. DRELIGCIV

Civilian religious lives (human lives in religious sector targeted)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.11.6. DGOVINFRA

Government/state infrastructure, security and non-security (Government infrastructure targeted; human lives not targeted. Government infrastructure includes government buildings, government maintained roads/transportation infrastructure/ airports, government owned/run utilities, government owned/run industrial complexes.)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.11.7. DNSECGOV

Government/state lives, non-security (targets are human lives working for the state/government (e.g. civil service, elected officials, government representatives, etc.)). This does NOT include lives associated with security personnel (e.g. police, military, etc.) Also included here is targeting of non-state administrative personnel (e.g. officials working in para-statal administrative organs such as separatist states).

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.11.8. DSECGOV

Government/state lives, security (targets are human lives working for the state/government in security (e.g. police, military, etc.)). Also coded 1 for non-state armed militia members. This code does NOT include lives associated with non-security (e.g. civil service, elected officials, government representatives, etc.)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## 8.12. TRANSVIOLTARG

To what degree is the organization using violence to target TRANSNATIONAL entities as a strategy?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Organization is not using transnational violence as a strategy |
| 1 | Organization is using transnational violence as occasional strategy but is not specifically targeting persons (coded if organization targets infrastructure and/or gives warnings before attacks) |
| 2 | Organization is using transnational violence regularly as a strategy but is targeting security personnel (including state security personnel and non-state armed militias) and not government non-security personnel or civilians |
| 3 | Organization is using transnational violence regularly as a strategy but is targeting security personnel (including state security personnel and non-state armed militias) and/or government non-security personnel, but not civilians |
| 4 | Organization is using transnational violence occasionally targeting civilians but most of its violent acts target security personnel or government non-security personnel |
| 5 | Organization is using transnational violence to target civilians regularly |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## 8.13. Transnational Violence – Target

The following variables represent acts of violence directed at transnational targets *WITHIN* the country of the minority group that the organization represents. Codes are not mutually exclusive.

### 8.13.1 TTCIVINFRA

Civilian infrastructure (civilian infrastructure only; human lives not targeted. Civilian infrastructure includes commercial buildings/financial districts, privately owned/run communications infrastructure, privately run/owned transportation infrastructure, privately run/owned utilities.)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.13.2 TTGENCIV

General civilian lives (civilian targets not chosen based on ascriptive characteristics or involvement in commercial or religious sectors)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.13.3 TTETHCIV

Targets chosen are based on ethnicity/ascriptive feature(s) of individuals. For civilian targets only.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.13.4 TTCOMCIV

Civilian commercial lives (human lives in commercial sector targeted)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.13.5 TTRELIGCIV

Civilian religious lives (human lives in religious sector targeted)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.13.6 TTGOVINFRA

Government/state infrastructure, security and non-security (human lives not targeted. Government infrastructure includes government buildings, government maintained roads/transportation infrastructure/airports, government owned/run utilities, government owned/run industrial complexes.)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.13.7 TTNSECGOV

Government/state lives, non-security (targets are human lives working for the state/government (e.g. civil service, elected officials, government representatives, etc.)). This code does NOT include lives associated with security personnel (e.g. police, military, etc.).

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.13.8 TTSECGOV

Government/state lives, security (targets are human lives working for the state/government in security (e.g. police, military, etc.)). Also coded 1 for non-state armed militia members. This code does NOT include lives associated with non-security (e.g. civil service, elected officials, government representatives, etc.)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## *8.14 TRANSVIOLOC*

To what degree is the organization using violence TRANSNATIONALLY, outside the boundaries of the state in which the group lives?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Organization is not using transnational violence as a strategy |
| 1 | Organization is using transnational violence as occasional strategy but is not specifically targeting persons (coded if organization targets infrastructure and/or gives warnings before attacks) |
| 2 | Organization is using transnational violence regularly as a strategy but is targeting security personnel (including state security personnel and non-state armed militias) and not government non-security personnel or civilians |
| 3 | Organization is using transnational violence regularly as a strategy but is targeting security personnel (including state security personnel and non-state armed militias) and/or government non-security personnel, but not civilians |
| 4 | Organization is using transnational violence occasionally targeting civilians but most of its violent acts target security personnel or government non-security personnel |
| 5 | Organization is using transnational violence to target civilians regularly |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## 8.15 TRANSVIOLOC *(Transnational Violence – Location)*

The following variables represent targets of acts of violence taking place OUTSIDE the country in which the group represented by the organization resides. Codes are not mutually exclusive.

### 8.15.1 TLCIVINFRA

Civilian infrastructure (civilian infrastructure only; human lives not targeted. Civilian infrastructure includes commercial buildings/financial districts, privately owned/run communications infrastructure, privately run/owned transportation infrastructure, privately run/owned utilities.)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.15.2 TLGENCIV

General civilian lives (Civilian targets not chosen based on ascriptive characteristics or involvement in commercial or religious sectors)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.15.3 TLETHCIV

Targets chosen are based on ethnicity/ascriptive feature(s) of individuals. For civilian targets only.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.15.4 TLCOMCIV

Civilian commercial lives (human lives in commercial sector targeted)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.15.5 TLRELIGCIV

Civilian religious lives (human lives in religious sector targeted)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.15.6 TLGOVINFRA

Government/state infrastructure, security and non-security (Government infrastructure targeted; human lives not targeted. Government infrastructure includes government buildings, government maintained roads/transportation infrastructure/ airports, government owned/run utilities, government owned/run industrial complexes.)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.15.7 TLNSECGOV

Government/state lives, non-security (targets are human lives working for the state/government (e.g. civil service, elected officials, government representatives, etc.). This does NOT include lives associated with security personnel (e.g. police, military, etc.).

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.15.8 TLSECGOV

Government/state lives, security (targets are human lives working for the state/government in security (e.g. police, military, etc.)). Also coded 1 for non-state armed militia members. This code does NOT include lives associated with non-security (e.g. civil service, elected officials, government representatives, etc.)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

## *8.16 Organizational Violent Repertoire*

Specific tactics used by the organization

### *8.16.1 ARMATTACK* Armed Attack

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *8.16.2 ARSON* Arson

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *8.16.3 ASSASSIN* Assassination

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *8.16.4 HOSTAGE* Hostage-taking – members of organization seize location and persons at that location and hold them for political purposes.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *8.16.5 BOMB* Bombing

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

Note: Suicide bombing is not coded here but was instead coded under SUICIDE below.

### 8.16.6 HIJACK

Hijacking – members of organization seize means of public transportation and hold persons for political purposes.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.16.7 KIDNAP

Kidnapping – members of organization seize person(s) and remove them to a secret location for political purposes.

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.16.8 SUICIDE

Suicide Attack

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.16.9 CBRN

Chemical Biological Radiological Nuclear Attack

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.16.10 OTHERVIOL

Other form of violence

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 8.16.11 OTHERVIOLDES

Text description of "other" violent repertoire

## *8.17 CBRNORD*

Did the organization use or threaten to use chemical, biological, radiological or nuclear weapons during the year being coded?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No CBRN behavior |
| 1 | Hoax/Prank: A deception for mockery or mischief; a deceptive trick or story; a practical joke. This also includes cases lacking sufficient information concerning the perpetrator or motivation to be categorized as a threat |
| 2 | Threat: a case is classified as a threat if the motivation of the act was to coerce; the perpetrator is deemed to have a violent past; the act was politically, ideologically, or criminally motivated (unless countervailing evidence is present to label the act a Prank); the intention was to cause serious harm, including financial, physical or psychological. Other indicators of threats include: multiple related incidents; critical infrastructure or prominent targets attacked (i.e., embassy, Congress, political figures). |
| 3 | Plot only: the perpetrator planned to acquire and use CBRN materials as a weapon. Those involved in the plot cannot have the agent in their possession. |
| 4 | Attempted acquisition: there is evidence to suggest the perpetrator conspired to acquire, or actually attempted to acquire a CBRN substance for use as a weapon, but this attempt did not succeed because, for example, it was aborted or the perpetrator(s) were interdicted in process of acquiring the agent. |
| 5 | Possession only: the perpetrator possessed the CBRN agent in question and there is evidence to suggest s/he intended to or considered using it as a weapon. |
| 6 | Threat with possession: the perpetrator threatened to use a CBRN substance and had the agent in his/her possession. |
| 7 | Use of agent: a case is classified as a use of agent if the perpetrator actually used a CBRN substance in the commission of the act. |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

# 9 CRIMINAL ACTIVITY INITIATED BY ORGANIZATION

## *9.1 CRIME*

Does the organization participate in criminal activity (other than political violence)?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

Note: CRIME is a summary variable. The following variables identify each type of criminal activity in which the organization participates.

### *9.1.1 MONEYLAUN*

Money transfers or laundering

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used |
| 1 | Used |
| 2 | Used and is a major source of income for the organization |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *9.1.2 ARMS*

Arms trafficking

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used |
| 1 | Used |
| 2 | Used and is a major source of income for the organization |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *9.1.3 DRUG*

Drug production or trafficking

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used |
| 1 | Used |
| 2 | Used and is a major source of income for the organization |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 9.1.4 HUMAN

All forms of human trafficking, including prostitution

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used |
| 1 | Used |
| 2 | Used and is a major source of income for the organization |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 9.1.5 SEXT

Human trafficking involving the sex trade only

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used |
| 1 | Used |
| 2 | Used and is a major source of income for the organization |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 9.1.6 SMUGGLE

Smuggling of consumer goods

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used |
| 1 | Used |
| 2 | Used and is a major source of income for the organization |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 9.1.7 ROBBERY

Theft of private or commercial property and funds

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used |
| 1 | Used |
| 2 | Used and is a major source of income for the organization |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 9.1.8 RESOURCE

Expropriation and marketing of scarce resources (gemstones, tropical hardwoods, etc.)

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used |
| 1 | Used |
| 2 | Used and is a major source of income for the organization |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *9.1.9 KIDNAPCRIM* Kidnappings for ransom

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | Not used |
| 1 | Used |
| 2 | Used and is a major source of income for the organization |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

# 10 OFFICIAL PARTICIPATION IN CRIME

## *10.1 CRIOFFICIAL*

Do state officials and security personnel illicitly participate in, or cooperate with, the organization's criminal activity?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

Note: CRIOFFICIAL is a summary variable. The following variables identify each type of state official or security personnel that participates in criminal activity.

### *10.1.1 CRILOCOFF* Local officials

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *10.1.2 CRIPOLICE* Police

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *10.1.3 CRIMILITARY*

Military

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *10.1.4 CRIBORDER* Border officials and guards

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *10.1.5 CRIOTHER* Other

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### *10.1.6 CRIOTHERDES*

Specific description of other types of officials that participate in organization's criminal activity

# 11 DOMESTIC CRIME NETWORKS

### *11.1 DOMCRIMNET*

Has the organization established cooperative relations with domestic criminal networks?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

Note: DOMCRIMNET is a summary variable. If yes, do members of the organization share any of these common identities with the domestic criminal networks with which they cooperate?

### 11.1.1 DOMNETETH

Ethnic or ethnonational identity

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 11.1.2 DOMNETETHDES

Specify ethnic or ethnonational identity

### 11.1.3 DOMNETRELIG

Religion

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 11.1.4 DOMNETRELIGDES

Specify religious identity

### 11.1.5 DOMNETIDEO Political ideology

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 11.1.6 DOMNETIDEODES

Specify ideological identity

### 11.1.7 DOMNETOTHER

Other

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 11.1.8 DOMNETOTHERDES

Specify other identity

# 12 TRANSNATIONAL CRIME NETWORKS

## 12.1 TRANSCRIMNET

Has the organization established cooperative relations with transnational criminal networks?

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

Note: DOMCRIMNET is a summary variable. If yes, do members of the organization share any of these common identities with the international criminal networks with which they cooperate?

### 12.1.1 TRANSNETETH

Ethnic or ethnonational identity

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 12.1.2 TRANSNETETHDES

Specify ethnic or ethnonational identity

### 12.1.3 TRANSNETRELIG

Religion

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 12.1.4 TRANSNETRELIGDES

Specify religious identity

### 12.1.5 TRANSNETIDEO

Political ideology

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 12.1.6 TRANSNETIDEODES

Specify ideological identity

### 12.1.7 TRANSNETOTHER

Other

| Value | Label |
|---|---|
| 0 | No |
| 1 | Yes |
| -99 | Missing Value/No basis for judgment |

### 12.1.8 TRANSNETOTHERDES

Specify other identity