Model Validity Measures

Validity Analysis

	CR	AVE	MSV	MaxR(H)	Abussss	RoleOve	SupFus	OCBT	CsssTT	GuiltTT
Abussss	0.960	0.650	0.465	0.961	**0.806**					
RoleOve	0.949	0.698	0.189	0.950	0.067	**0.836**				
SupFus	0.841	0.639	0.591	0.860	0.217***	0.308***	**0.800**			
OCBT	0.926	0.611	0.591	0.927	0.274***	0.435***	0.769***	**0.782**		
CsssTT	0.804	0.678	0.095	0.904	0.091	-0.065	0.224**	0.308***	**0.824**	
GuiltTT	0.963	0.928	0.465	0.991	0.682***	0.213***	0.313***	0.453***	0.293***	**0.963**

Validity Concerns

No validity concerns here.

HTMT Analysis

	Abussss	RoleOve	SupFus	OCBT	CsssTT	GuiltTT
Abussss						
RoleOve	0.073					
SupFus	0.212	0.340				
OCBT	0.273	0.441	0.778			
CsssTT	0.064	0.056	0.223	0.305		
GuiltTT	0.673	0.217	0.299	0.454	0.224	

HTMT Warnings

There are no warnings for this HTMT analysis.

References

Significance of Correlations:
† p < 0.100
* p < 0.050
** p < 0.010
*** p < 0.001

Thresholds From:
Hu, L., Bentler, P.M. (1999), "Cutoff Criteria for Fit Indexes in Covariance Structure Analysis: Conventional Criteria Versus New Alternatives" SEM vol. 6(1), pp. 1-55.
Henseler, J., C. M. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt (2015). A New Criterion for Assessing Discriminant Validity in Variance-based Structural Equation Modeling, Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 43 (1), 115-135.
Thresholds are 0.850 for strict and 0.900 for liberal discriminant validity.

--If you would like to cite this tool directly, please use the following: Gaskin, J., James, M., and Lim, J. (2019), "Master Validity Tool", AMOS Plugin. Gaskination's StatWiki.