Appendixes
Ronald R. Krebs and Robert Ralston, "Communal Minorities and Casualty Sensitivity: Evidence from Israel, the United Kingdom, and the United States"

Appendix 1
Race and Casualty Sensitivity

1 Sample Demographics to Known Benchmarks

United States Sample			
Variable	**Lucid Data**	**Benchmark**	**Source[1]**
Female	51%	52%	CPS 2017
College	34%	30%	CPS 2017
Black	12%	12%	CPS 2017
White	72%	65%	CPS 2017
Hispanic	8%	15%	CPS 2017
Asian	4%	6%	CPS 2017
Native American	1%	1%	CPS 2017
Other/None of Above	3%	1%	CPS 2017
Democrat	37%	34%	ANES 2016
Republican	28%	28%	ANES 2016
Independent	27%	32%	ANES 2016
Mean Age	46	47	ANES 2016
Median Income	$40,000 - $69,999	$55,000- $59,000	ANES 2016

United Kingdom Sample			
Variable	**Dynata Data**	**Benchmark**	**Source[2]**
Female	53%	51%	UK 2011 Census
White	88%	86%	UK 2011 Census
British Asian/Asian	6%	8%	UK 2011 Census
Black	3%	3%	UK 2011 Census
Other (incl. Mixed, Arab, and Other)	3%	3%	UK 2011 Census
A Level/ equivalent or above	65%	63%	UK 2011 Census
Median Age	35-44	35-44	UK 2011 Census
England	86%	84%	UK 2011 Census
Scotland	7%	8%	UK 2011 Census
Wales	5%	5%	UK 2011 Census
NI	3%	3%	UK 2011 Census

[1] CPS= Current Population Survey of the US Census Bureau 2017; ANES= American National Election Study 2016
[2] UK census data provided every 10 years, with 2011 the most recent census date. See www.ons.gov.uk

Israel Sample			
Variable	**iPanel Data**	**Benchmark**	**Source**[3]
Female	51%	51%	iPanel
Secular	42.50	43%	iPanel
Traditional	36.05	36%	iPanel
Religious	11.03	11%	iPanel
Orthodox	10.01	10%	iPanel
Median Age	30-39	30-39	iPanel
Higher Education	59%	60%	iPanel
Ashkenazi	39%	44%	Lewin-Epstein and Cohen (2018)
Mizrahi or Sephardi	39%	45%	Lewin-Epstein and Cohen (2018)
Mixed	12%	8%	Lewin-Epstein and Cohen (2018)
Other/Does not Identify by Ethnicity	10%	N/A	N/A

2 Casualty Sensitivity Descriptives





[3] Benchmark provided by iPanel



3 Additional Tables

Table 1: Casualty Sensitivity Across Samples – including "Consent"[4]

Israel	(1)	United Kingdom	(2)	United States	(3)
Sephardi	-0.41**	Nonwhite	-0.24	Nonwhite	-0.31**
	(0.14)		(0.15)		(0.09)
Mizrachi	-0.53***				
	(0.15)				
Mixed	-0.07				
	(0.16)				
Other	0.14				
	(0.18)				
Consent	-1.81***	Consent	-1.52***	Consent	-1.51***
	(0.24)		(0.17)		(0.14)
Additional Controls?	Yes	Additional Controls?	Yes	Additional Controls?	Yes
N	1510	N	2448	N	2451
pseudo R2	0.060	pseudo R2	0.073	pseudo R2	0.057

Standard errors in parentheses. + p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

[4] Ordered Logistic Regressions with all respondents. Controls in Israel include: RWA, SDO, BP, IDF feeling thermometer, hawkishness, ideology, age, religiosity, education, income, military service, and gender. Controls in the US and UK include: RWA, SDO, BP, military feeling thermometer, hawkishness, ideology, age, education, income, household service, military service, and gender.

Table 2: Casualty Sensitivity – Interacting Race and Consent (US Sample)

	(1)
nonwhite	-1.03**
	(0.29)
consent	-0.27***
	(0.03)
nonwhite# consent	0.14**
	(0.06)
miltherm	0.70**
	(0.19)
hawk	0.70**
	(0.19)
ideo	0.33+
	(0.18)
age	0.51**
	(0.15)
edu	0.19
	(0.20)
income	0.13
	(0.21)
household	0.18+
	(0.10)
selfserv	0.22
	(0.16)
female	-0.28**
	(0.09)
rwa	-0.09
	(0.27)
sdo	-0.14
	(0.29)
bp	0.78**
	(0.23)
prior: patriot	0.21
	(0.17)
prior: citizen	0.19
	(0.19)
prior: employee	0.34*
	(0.16)
_cons	-0.39
	(0.29)
N	2451
pseudo R^2	0.095

Standard errors in parentheses
+ p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

Table 3: Cameron's Perceived Race Across Treatment Conditions (US Sample)

Patriotism Frame		
Cameron's Race	**White Respondents**	**Nonwhite Respondents**
White	81.86	71.7
Nonwhite	18.14	28.3

Citizenship Frame		
Cameron's Race	**White Respondents**	**Nonwhite Respondents**
White	80.49	72.16
Nonwhite	19.51	27.84

Desperate Frame		
Cameron's Race	**White Respondents**	**Nonwhite Respondents**
White	52.73	44.44
Nonwhite	47.27	55.56

Pay/Benefits Frame		
Cameron's Race	**White Respondents**	**Nonwhite Respondents**
White	74.91	56.1
Nonwhite	25.09	43.9

Table 4 – Prior Beliefs about Why People Join ("pay/benefits" base category, UK Sample)

	Citizen	Patriot	Desperate
Ideology	0.28	0.50**	-0.32
	(0.27)	(0.21)	(0.26)
Self-Served	-0.35	-0.26	0.04
	(0.37)	(0.24)	(0.33)
Household	-0.25	-0.02	-0.23
	(0.19)	(0.14)	(0.19)
Military therm	0.17	1.85***	-2.44***
	(0.34)	(0.31)	(0.28)
Hawkishness	0.72**	1.14***	0.70**
	(0.30)	(0.24)	(0.31)
Blind Patriot	0.96**	1.12***	-0.41
	(0.38)	(0.30)	(0.38)
RWA	-0.38	0.09	0.29
	(0.51)	(0.41)	(0.50)
SDO	0.65	-0.01	0.90+
	(0.50)	(0.39)	(0.49)
Age	-2.00***	-1.33***	-0.83**
	(0.25)	(0.18)	(0.24)
Education	-0.49**	-0.55**	-0.08
	(0.21)	(0.17)	(0.20)
Female	0.15	0.14	-0.44**
	(0.14)	(0.11)	(0.14)
Income	0.09	-0.77**	0.15
	(0.32)	(0.25)	(0.31)
Nonwhite	0.32	0.40**	-0.25
	(0.20)	(0.17)	(0.23)
Observations	2,448	2,448	2,448
Log-L	-2708.3732	-2708.3732	-2708.3732
Chi-Squared	515.33	515.33	515.33

Multinomial logistic regression. Reference category is "Pay, benefits." Standard errors in parentheses. + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. All variables normalized to fall between 0 and 1.

Table 5

Pairwise Comparisons-Control Variables -UK (Based on Table 4 above)

Variable: Nonwhite	
Pairwise Comparison	**Coefficient**
Sense of Duty vs. Love of Country	-0.08
Sense of Duty vs. Pay/Benefits	0.32
Sense of Duty vs. No other Options	0.58*
Love of Country vs. Pay/Benefits	0.40*
Love of Country vs. No other Options	0.64**
Pay/Benefits vs. No other Options	0.25

Table 6: Prior Beliefs about Why People Join ("pay/benefits" base category, Israeli Sample)

Base Category Patriotism	(1) Citizenship	(2) Pay/benefits
Sephardi	-0.40*	-0.06
	(0.21)	(0.17)
Mizrahi	-0.53*	0.12
	(0.24)	(0.18)
Mixed	-0.25	0.06
	(0.26)	(0.20)
Other	-0.41	-0.27
	(0.28)	(0.22)
IDF therm	0.00	-0.02***
	(0.01)	(0.00)
hawk	-0.08	0.27
	(0.34)	(0.27)
ideology	-0.55	-0.42
	(0.35)	(0.28)
age	-0.14	-1.68***
	(0.28)	(0.23)
religiosity	-0.00	-0.33
	(0.28)	(0.23)
edu	-0.76*	-0.28
	(0.31)	(0.25)
income	0.33	-0.11
	(0.33)	(0.25)
selfserv	-0.43+	-0.43*
	(0.24)	(0.20)
female	-0.12	-0.07
	(0.16)	(0.12)
rwa	0.08	0.13
	(0.60)	(0.47)
sdo	0.21	-0.65
	(0.60)	(0.46)
bp	-0.11	-1.88**
	(0.80)	(0.59)
_cons	0.09	4.47***
	(0.85)	(0.63)
N	1528	1528
pseudo R^2	0.069	0.069

Table 7: Race of Respondent and Belief that Cameron is/is not White (US Sample)

Race of Respondent	% that Say Cameron is White	% that Say Cameron is Not White
Non-Hispanic White (Caucasian)	73.77	26.23
Black or African American	58.56	41.44
Hispanic or Latino/a	68.06	31.94
Asian	60	40
American Indian	62.5	37.5
Other/None of the Above	65.79	34.21

Table 8: Race of Respondent and Belief that Baker is/is not White (UK Sample)

Race of Respondent	% that Say Baker is White	% that Say Baker is Not White
White	91.92	8.08
Black	80	20
British Indian	85.71	14.29
Other Asian/Asian British	90.48	9.52
Mixed/Multiple Groups	88.64	11.36
Arab	50	50
Other ethnic group	76.47	23.53

Table 9: Ethnicity of Respondent and Belief that Officer D's Ethnicity (Israel Sample)

	Respondent: Ashkenazi	Respondent: Sephardi	Respondent: Mizrahi	Respondent: Mixed	Respondent: Did not disclose
D- Ashkenazi	12.22	6.78	9.32	4.17	2.37
D- Mizrahi	3.97	12.43	13.98	3.65	2.37
D- Mix	3.02	1.69	5.02	5.73	2.96
D- Can't tell	80.79	79.1	71.68	86.46	92.31

Table 10: Interacting Cameron's Perceived Race and Respondent Race (US Sample)

	(1)
nonwhite	-0.24*
	(0.11)
cam = white	0.09
	(0.10)
nonwhite# cam = white	-0.26
	(0.19)
miltherm	0.78***
	(0.18)
hawk	0.81***
	(0.18)
ideo	0.73***
	(0.17)
age	0.52**
	(0.13)
edu	0.27
	(0.18)
income	0.10
	(0.19)
household	0.16+
	(0.09)
selfserv	0.35**
	(0.13)
female	-0.49***
	(0.08)
rwa	-0.40
	(0.25)
sdo	0.28
	(0.26)
bp	0.74**
	(0.20)
prior: patriot	-0.05
	(0.09)
prior: desperate	-0.29+
	(0.15)
prior: citizen	-0.19
	(0.12)
N	2451
pseudo R^2	0.043

Standard errors in parentheses
+ p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

Table 11: Interacting Baker's Perceived Race and Respondent Race (UK Sample)

	(1)
nonwhite	-0.16
	(0.16)
baker = white	0.17
	(0.16)
nonwhite # baker = white	-0.98*
	(0.44)
miltherm	1.28***
	(0.23)
hawk	1.06***
	(0.19)
ideo6	0.39*
	(0.17)
age	0.67***
	(0.15)
edu	0.32*
	(0.13)
income	0.16
	(0.20)
household	0.06
	(0.11)
selfserv	0.31+
	(0.19)
female	-0.72***
	(0.09)
rwa	0.42
	(0.32)
sdo	1.15**
	(0.31)
bp	0.45+
	(0.23)
prior: patriot	-0.02
	(0.10)
prior: desperate	0.08
	(0.14)
prior: citizen	0.02
	(0.14)
N	2448
pseudo R^2	0.060

Standard errors in parentheses
+ p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

Table 12: Interacting D's Perceived Group and Respondent Group (Israeli Sample)

	(1)
1.SorM	-0.48***
	(0.11)
1.Dmizr	-0.56
	(0.36)
Sep or Miz # D = Miz	0.39
	(0.43)
rwa	-0.39
	(0.39)
sdo	0.71+
	(0.38)
bp	1.40**
	(0.49)
IDFtherm	0.00
	(0.00)
hawk	1.42***
	(0.22)
ideology	0.03
	(0.23)
age	0.35+
	(0.18)
religiosity	-0.13
	(0.19)
edu	0.60**
	(0.20)
income	0.66**
	(0.20)
selfserv	0.39*
	(0.16)
female	-0.40***
	(0.10)
prior: citizen	0.26+
	(0.15)
prior: patriotism	0.32**
	(0.11)
N	1528
pseudo R^2	0.046

Standard errors in parentheses
+ p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

Table 13: Blind Patriotism (Israeli Sample)

	(1)
Sephardi	0.02**
	(0.01)
Mizrachi	0.03**
	(0.01)
Mixed	-0.00
	(0.01)
Other	-0.01
	(0.01)
rwa	0.10***
	(0.02)
sdo	-0.00
	(0.02)
IDFtherm	0.00***
	(0.00)
hawk	0.05***
	(0.01)
ideology	0.13***
	(0.01)
age	0.04***
	(0.01)
religiosity	0.02*
	(0.01)
edu	0.00
	(0.01)
income	0.02*
	(0.01)
selfserv	0.01
	(0.01)
female	0.01
	(0.01)
_cons	0.39***
	(0.02)
N	1528
R^2	0.411

Standard errors in parentheses
+ p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

Table 14: Blind Patriotism (UK Sample)

	(1)
nonwhite	0.02
	(0.01)
rwa	0.42***
	(0.03)
sdo	0.02
	(0.03)
miltherm	0.18***
	(0.02)
hawk	0.18***
	(0.02)
ideo6	0.09***
	(0.01)
age	-0.00
	(0.01)
edu	-0.02
	(0.01)
income	0.02
	(0.02)
household	0.00
	(0.01)
selfserv	0.02
	(0.02)
female	0.03**
	(0.01)
_cons	-0.06**
	(0.02)
N	2448
R^2	0.327

Standard errors in parentheses
+ p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

Table 15: Blind Patriotism (US Sample)

	(1)
sensitivity	0.01**
	(0.00)
nonwhite	-0.03**
	(0.01)
miltherm	0.17***
	(0.02)
hawk	0.18***
	(0.02)
ideo	0.03+
	(0.02)
age	-0.00
	(0.01)
edu	-0.08***
	(0.02)
income	0.06**
	(0.02)
household	0.01
	(0.01)
selfserv	0.01
	(0.01)
female	0.00
	(0.01)
rwa	0.47***
	(0.02)
sdo	0.21***
	(0.03)
_cons	-0.01
	(0.02)
N	2451
R^2	0.432

Standard errors in parentheses
+ p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

Table 16: Consent and Sephardi or Mizrachi Interaction: Israel

	(1)
citizen	0.04
	(0.10)
Sephardi or Mizrachi	-0.93*
	(0.42)
consent	-1.95***
	(0.29)
SorM # consent	0.62
	(0.49)
rwa	-0.08
	(0.39)
sdo	0.40
	(0.38)
IDFtherm	0.01**
	(0.00)
hawk	1.42***
	(0.22)
ideology	0.36
	(0.22)
age	0.54**
	(0.19)
religiosity	-0.13
	(0.19)
edu	0.58**
	(0.21)
income	0.70**
	(0.21)
selfserv	0.27+
	(0.16)
female	-0.33**
	(0.10)
Prior: Citizen	0.37*
	(0.15)
Prior: Patriot	0.36**
	(0.11)
N	1510
pseudo R^2	0.057

Standard errors in parentheses
+ p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

Table 17: Casualty Sensitivity Across Samples – including "Consent" and Feeling Toward Knesset, Parliament, and Congress (respectively) [5]

Israel	(1)	United Kingdom	(2)	United States	(3)
Sephardi	-0.40**	Nonwhite	-0.28+	Nonwhite	-0.30**
	(0.14)		(0.15)		(0.10)
Mizrachi	-0.53***				
	(0.15)				
Mixed	-0.06				
	(0.16)				
Other	0.14				
	(0.17)				
Consent	-1.80***	Consent	-1.53***	Consent	-1.51***
	(0.24)		(0.17)		(0.14)
Additional Controls including Knesset?	Yes	Additional Controls including Parliament?	Yes	Additional Controls including Congress?	Yes
N	1510	N	2448	N	2451
pseudo R2	0.0596	pseudo R2	0.0739	pseudo R2	0.058

Standard errors in parentheses. + p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

[5] Ordered Logistic Regressions with all respondents. Controls in Israel include: RWA, SDO, BP, IDF feeling thermometer, hawkishness, ideology, age, religiosity, education, income, military service, and gender. Controls in the US and UK include: RWA, SDO, BP, military feeling thermometer, hawkishness, ideology, age, education, income, household service, military service, and gender.

Table 18: Negative Binomial Regression of Main Findings

Israel	(1)	United Kingdom	(2)	United States	(3)
Sephardi or Mizrachi	-0.19***	Nonwhite	-0.09+	Nonwhite	-0.09*
	(0.05)		(0.05)		(0.04)
Mixed	-.0.08				
	(0.06)				
Other	0.07				
	(0.07)				
Additional Controls?	Yes	Additional Controls?	Yes	Additional Controls?	Yes
N	1528	N	2448	N	2451
Pseudo R2	0.0369		0.0400		0.0400

Standard errors in parentheses. + p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

4 Causal Mediation Analysis and Additional Figures

Figure 1: Israel



ACME: Consent Theory
ADE: Sephardi/Mizrachi

Figure 2: USA



ACME: Consent Theory
ADE: Nonwhite

Figure 3: UK



ACME: Consent Theory
ADE: White

Figure 4: Predicted Probability of Tolerating ANY Casualties across Levels of Consent – UK (no controls)



Appendix 2
Race and Casualty Sensitivity Replications

The following replications of Gelpi, Feaver, and Reifler 2005/2006 and Kriner and Shen 2014 provide consistent results regarding the impact of minority status on casualty sensitivity.

Section 1: Replication of Gelpi, Feaver, and Reifler 2005/2006.

Table 1: Success Matters Replication (October 2004 Data - OLS)[6]

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
minority	-0.90***	-0.84***	-0.35**	-0.32**
	(0.10)	(0.10)	(0.10)	(0.09)
female		-0.29**	-0.22**	-0.19**
		(0.08)	(0.07)	(0.06)
education		0.12**	0.07+	0.16***
		(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.03)
age		0.01	0.07+	0.09**
		(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.03)
party id (r to d)			-0.23***	-0.06**
			(0.02)	(0.02)
dovishness			-0.44***	-0.18**
			(0.05)	(0.05)
iraq right				0.26***
				(0.04)
iraq success				0.52***
				(0.05)
iraq part of GWOT				0.05
				(0.04)
_cons	1.97***	2.06***	3.89***	0.70**
	(0.04)	(0.20)	(0.22)	(0.26)
N	1116	1116	1022	1013
R^2	0.067	0.086	0.285	0.477

Standard errors in parentheses
+ p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

[6] The results are consistent when doing this with ordered logistic regression models.

Table 2: Success Matters Replication (October 2003-June 2004 Data - OLS)[7]

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
minority	-0.55***	-0.54***	-0.31***	-0.20***
	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.03)
female		-0.39***	-0.33***	-0.29***
		(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.02)
education		0.12***	0.10***	0.17***
		(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
age		0.03+	0.04**	0.08***
		(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
party id (r to d)			-0.21***	-0.06***
			(0.01)	(0.01)
iraq right				0.32***
				(0.01)
iraq success				0.43***
				(0.02)
_cons	1.77***	1.95***	2.71***	0.37***
	(0.02)	(0.07)	(0.08)	(0.08)
N	7336	7333	7251	7177
R^2	0.031	0.063	0.164	0.378

Standard errors in parentheses
+ p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

[7] The results are consistent when doing this with ordered logistic regression models.

Section 2: Replication of Kriner and Shen 2014.

Table 3: Somalia CS Kriner and Shen JCR Replication (Ologit, August 2010)[8]

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
nonwhite	-0.53*	-0.53*	-0.38			
(base = w)	(0.23)	(0.23)	(0.24)			
income		0.15**	0.10+		0.16**	0.11+
		(0.06)	(0.06)		(0.06)	(0.06)
male		0.31*	0.28+		0.32+	0.29+
		(0.15)	(0.16)		(0.16)	(0.16)
age		-0.00	-0.01		-0.01	-0.01
		(0.01)	(0.01)		(0.01)	(0.01)
education		-0.00	0.03		0.03	0.06
		(0.06)	(0.06)		(0.06)	(0.06)
gop			1.16***			1.17***
			(0.20)			(0.21)
dem			-0.16			-0.10
			(0.17)			(0.18)
black				-0.63+	-0.61+	-0.37
(base = w)				(0.36)	(0.36)	(0.36)
latino				-0.60*	-0.62*	-0.52+
(base = w)				(0.29)	(0.30)	(0.30)
N	628	614	614	562	562	562
pseudo R^2	0.003	0.010	0.030	0.004	0.012	0.032

Standard errors in parentheses
+ p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

[8] Pooled all respondents here, regardless of what prime they received (but all read about Somalia).

Table 4: Ukraine CS Kriner and Shen JCR Replication (Ologit, August 2010)[9]

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
nonwhite	-0.61**	-0.60*	-0.52*			
(base = w)	(0.23)	(0.24)	(0.24)			
income		0.15**	0.14*		0.15*	0.14*
		(0.06)	(0.06)		(0.06)	(0.06)
male		0.75***	0.74***		0.91***	0.90***
		(0.17)	(0.17)		(0.19)	(0.19)
age		-0.00	-0.00		-0.00	-0.00
		(0.01)	(0.01)		(0.01)	(0.01)
education		-0.01	0.01		-0.01	0.02
		(0.06)	(0.06)		(0.06)	(0.06)
gop			0.72**			0.58*
			(0.22)			(0.24)
dem			-0.07			-0.14
			(0.18)			(0.19)
black				-0.75*	-0.69*	-0.57+
(base = w)				(0.30)	(0.31)	(0.32)
latino				-0.64+	-0.65+	-0.62+
(base = w)				(0.35)	(0.36)	(0.36)
N	582	558	558	489	489	489
pseudo R^2	0.004	0.019	0.026	0.006	0.025	0.030

Standard errors in parentheses
+ p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

[9] Pooled all respondents here, regardless of what prime they received (but all read about Ukraine).

Table 5: Ukraine & Somalia CS Kriner and Shen JCR Replication (Ologit, August 2010)

	(1) Ukraine Inequality Prime	(2) Ukraine Shared Sacrifice Prime	(3) Ukraine Control Group	(4) Somalia Inequality Prime	(5) Somalia Shared Sacrifice Prime	(6) Somalia Control Group
nonwhite	-0.97*	-0.29	-0.31	-0.93*	-0.32	-0.38
	(0.43)	(0.48)	(0.36)	(0.43)	(0.43)	(0.36)
N	161	209	212	203	212	213
pseudo R^2	0.017	0.001	0.001	0.009	0.001	0.002

Standard errors in parentheses. + p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001

Table 6: Ukraine & Somalia CS Kriner and Shen JCR Replication (Ologit, August 2010) – CARAVAN Phone study

	(1) Liberia	(2) Al Qaeda	(3) Iran	(4) Darfur
black	0.60	0.34	0.10	0.23
	(0.42)	(0.43)	(0.33)	(0.33)
inequality prime	-0.16	-0.22	-0.17	0.08
	(0.20)	(0.20)	(0.21)	(0.20)
black X inequality prime	-1.31+	-1.38+	-0.53	-1.07
	(0.73)	(0.82)	(0.58)	(0.69)
latino	0.71	0.50	0.40	0.53
	(0.47)	(0.38)	(0.47)	(0.40)
latino X inequality prime	-0.08	0.28	0.03	0.16
	(0.78)	(0.58)	(0.78)	(0.68)
gop5	0.26	1.10**	0.43	0.50
	(0.65)	(0.35)	(0.69)	(0.64)
dem5	-0.19	0.52	-0.39	0.27
	(0.67)	(0.33)	(0.71)	(0.66)
male	0.41+	0.57**	0.80***	0.50*
	(0.21)	(0.19)	(0.20)	(0.19)
education	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.06
	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.06)	(0.07)
income	-0.03	-0.03	0.02	-0.00
	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)
age	-0.12**	-0.08*	-0.08**	-0.08**
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
married	-0.42+	-0.20	-0.45*	-0.27
	(0.22)	(0.22)	(0.22)	(0.21)
N	749	744	727	730
pseudo R^2	0.046	0.035	0.041	0.024

Standard errors in parentheses. + p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.0001