German Election Panel Study, 1983

ZENTRALARCHIV Study Number 1276

First Pre-Election Survey : November 18-25, 1982
Second Pre-Election Survey: February 11-24, 1983
Post-Election Survey : March 16-29, 1983

Principal Investigators: Manfred Berger, Wolfgang G.Gibowski
Dieter Roth, Wolfgang Schulte
Forschungsgruppe Wahlen e.V.,
Mannheim

in collaboration with Max Kaase, Mannheim
Hans-Dieter Klingemann, Berlin
Manfred Kuechler, Mannheim
Uwe Schleth, Heidelberg

ZENTRALARCHIV FUER EMPIRISCHE SOZIALFORSCHUNG
Universitaet zu Koeln
Bachemerstr.40
D-5000 Koeln 41
Tel. (0221) 44 40 86

Acknowledgement for Assistance

All manuscripts utilizing data made available through the ZENTRALARCHIV or the Consortium should acknowledge that fact as well as identify the original collectors of the data.

We kindly ask the users to follow some adaptation of the following statement:

> The data utilized in this <publication> were documented and made available by the ZENTRALARCHIV FUER EMPIRISCHE SOZIALFORSCHUNG.
> The data for the "German Election Panel Study, 1983" were originally collected by the Forschungsgruppe Wahlen e.V., Mannheim, in collaboration with Max Kaase, Hans-Dieter Klingemann, Manfred Kuechler and Uwe Schleth.
> Neither the original collectors nor the ZENTRALARCHIV bear any responsibility for the analyses or interpretation presented here.

In order to provide funding agencies with essential information about the use of archival resources, and to facilitate the exchange of information about research activities based on the ZENTRALARCHIV's holdings, each user is expected to send two copies of each completed manuscript to the ZENTRALARCHIV or the ICPSR.

Table of Contents

The "German Election Panel Study, 1983" in the context of the German Election Data Project 4

Study Description 6

Publications 8

Sampling Information 9

Codebook Information 10

Variable Description List 12

Variable Cross-Reference List 16

Codebook 17

Notes 235

The "German Election Panel Study, 1983" in the context of the German Election Data Project

Election studies are a focal point of the ZENTRALARCHIV's data collection. In the "German Election Data Project" 15 election studies covering the period from 1953 to 1976 have been released and documented. This project started as joint venture of the ZENTRALARCHIV FUER EMPIRISCHE SOZIAL-FORSCHUNG (ZA, Koeln), the ZENTRUM FUER UMFRAGEN, METHODEN UND ANALYSEN (ZUMA, Mannheim) and the INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL AND SOCIAL RESEARCH (ICPSR, Ann Arbor, Michigan). The 1980 German Election Study was cleaned and documented in German by the ZENTRALARCHIV; the translation into English was provided by the ICPSR. Recently, trend and panel studies for the 1983 and for the 1987 elections for the Bundestag were added to the collection by the ZENTRALARCHIV.

Furthermore, a Continuity Guide has been prepared for all election studies to facilitate the search for specific indicators or questions across time.

Study No.	Title	Study No.
ZA 145	Social Bases of West German Politics, 1953	ICPSR 7104
ZA 55	German Election Studies: July 1961	ICPSR 7099
ZA 56	September 1961	ICPSR 7100
ZA 57	November 1961	ICPSR 7101
ZA 556	German Election Study, September 1965	ICPSR 7103
ZA 314	German Election Study, October 1965	ICPSR 7105
ZA 426-427	German Pre- and Post-Election Study 1969	ICPSR 7098
ZA 525	German Election Study, August-September, 1969	ICPSR 7108
ZA 635-637	German Election Panel Study, 1972	ICPSR 7102
ZA 823-825	German Election Panel Study, 1976	ICPSR 7513
ZA 1053	German Election Study, 1980	ICPSR 7963
ZA 1275	German Election Study, 1983	
ZA 1276	German Election Panel Study, 1983	
ZA 1536	German Election Study, 1987	
ZA 1537	German Election Panel Study, 1987	

The German Election Panel Study, 1983

This codebook documents the second part of the data available for the 1983 elections for the Bundestag; part 1 - a trend study - is documented separately.
The "German Election Panel Study, 1983" consists of two pre- and one post-election survey. The design of the questionnaire and the question wording attempted to assure continuity and comparability with previous election panel studies, namely those carried out in relation to the 1972 and 1976 elections for the Bundestag. In addition, as many questions as possible were asked identically in all three waves (see Variable Cross-Reference List).
The study was conducted by the FORSCHUNGSGRUPPE WAHLEN e.V. in collaboration with Max Kaase (University of Mannheim), Hans-Dieter Klingemann (Free University, Berlin), Manfred Kuechler (ZUMA, Mannheim) and Uwe Schleth (University of Heidelberg). Fieldwork was carried out by MARPLAN, Offenbach. The two pre-election surveys were financed by the Second Television Network (ZDF). The post-election survey was made possible by a grant from the German Science Foundation (DFG) to Manfred Kuechler and Hans-Dieter Klingemann.

The study was cleaned and the German codebook was prepared at the ZENTRALARCHIV FUER EMPIRISCHE SOZIALFORSCHUNG (Koeln) by Renate Klever, Gisela Roellgen, Rolf Roentgen and Rolf Uher.
The English documentation was prepared by Maria Wieken-Mayser. Where appropriate the translations from earlier studies in the German Election Study Series have been used to provide continuity in question wording.

Study Description

German Election Panel Study, 1983

ZA No. 1276 Accessibility: class A ZA category: 15

Time Dimension
Three-wave panel, November 1982 to March 1983

Principal Investigators
M.Berger, W.G.Gibowski, D.Roth, W.Schulte (Forschungsgruppe Wahlen e.V.) in collaboration with M.Kaase, H.-D. Klingemann, M.Kuechler and U.Schleth

Fieldwork
MARPLAN, Offenbach

Study Content
Evaluation of the general economic situation; interest in politics; voting intention for the 1983 elections; voting behavior in 1980; party preference ranking; which parties the respondent would never vote for; scalometers for the CDU/CSU, SPD, FDP, the Greens and for leading politicians; satisfaction with past and present government performance; respondents own present and future economic situation and whether it makes a difference who governs; experience with unemployment; issue importance; issue competence of past and present government; evaluation of the peace movement; satisfaction with democracy and with present leaders; evaluation of the present government and the political system in the Federal Republic with respect to fairness and the observation of civil rights; ranking of political goals; the role of the churches and of the unions in politics; party preference; left-right placement of the parties, the top candidates and of the respondent himself; expectation with regard the election outcome; approval of early elections; coalition preferences; likes and dislikes about the parties; evaluation of the change in government and of the role of the FDP; candidate and party vote in 1983; evaluation of the election campaign, TV discussion among top candidates and 1983 election outcome; personal problems the respondent has to cope with and who should provide help; how good or bad specific goals are for the best of the country and whether the individual parties are for or against these goals.

Universe and Sample
Voting age population of the German Federal Republic
Multi-stage random sample

Method of Data Collection
Oral interview, structured questionnaire

Dimensions of the Dataset
Number of units(cases): 1622
Number of variables per case: 400
Number of decks per case: 8
Single punch, OSIRIS, Z.A.R.Codebook

References to Related Studies
The German Election Panel Studies 1972 (ZA-Nos.635-637) and 1976 (ZA Nos.823-825). The German Election Study, 1983 integrates five trend surveys carried out August 1982 to March 1983.

Publications

Manfred Berger, Wolfgang Gibowski, Dieter Roth, Wolfgang Schulte,
Konstanz und Wechsel von Waehlerverhalten bei der Bundestagswahl 1983,
in: Juergen Falter, Christian Feller, Michael T.Greven (eds.), Politische Willensbildung und Interessenvermittlung, Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag 1984, pp.

Manfred Berger, Wolfgang Gibowski, Dieter Roth, Wolfgang Schulte,
Legitimierung des Regierungswechsels. Eine Analyse der Bundestagswahl 1983,
in: Hans-Dieter Klingemann, Max Kaase (eds.), Wahlen und politischer Prozess. Analysen aus Anlass der Bundestagswahl 1983, Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag 1986, pp.251-288.

Manfred Berger, Wolfgang Gibowski, Dieter Roth, Wolfgang Schulte,
Regierungswechsel und politische Einstellungen. Eine Analyse der Bundestagswahl 1983,
in: Zeitschrift fuer Parlamentsfragen, vol.14,4, December 1983, pp. 556-582.

Hans-Dieter Klingemann,
Der vorsichtig abwaegende Waehler,
in: Hans-Dieter Klingemann, Max Kaase (eds.), Wahlen und politischer Prozess. Analysen aus Anlass der Bundestagswahl 1983, Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag, pp.385-426.

Manfred Kuechler,
Persoenliche Probleme und politische Praeferenzen,
in: Hans-Dieter Klingemann, Max Kaase (eds.), Wahlen und politischer Prozess. Analysen aus Anlass der Bundestagswahl 1983, Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag, pp.338-365.

Sampling Information

The sample was drawn to represent all German citizens over age 18 who reside in private households. West Berlin was excluded from the sample.

The sample was drawn using a stratified multi-stage random sample with three levels. First, the regional sample points (election districts = Wahlbezirke) were chosen, then the households within each sample point, and finally the persons within each household.

In the regional selection each community was represented with its election districts from the 1976 elections to the Bundestag. From the total of all election districts (which was stratified according to size of town) 201 election districts were chosen as sample points, one for each of the interviewers.

The selection of household addresses within each election district proceeds in each study according to the random-route procedure. Each interviewer was instructed which streets to walk and which private households to select. In each sample point a maximum of twelve interviews were to be conducted.

The resulting addresses constitute the "gross household sample" for the survey. Using a random procedure, the respondent was chosen from the members of the household who were eligible to vote.

This dataset includes two weight variables to be used in the analyses. First, the sample representative of households was transformed into a sample representative of individuals to account for the fact that the chances of each household member to be interviewed are inversely proportional to the size of the household. The sample of individuals. Separately for each Bundesland, the sample was then weighted by sex and age to account for age and sex biases in the data. The average weights by sex and age are shown in the table below.

The weighted survey is representative for the voting population of the Federal Republic. Since this is a random sample, a confidence interval can be calculated for each result within which the true population value will be found with a certain probability.

Age	18-24	25-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60+	Total
Men	1.19	0.92	1.02	1.11	0.94	1.00	1.03
Women	1.05	0.94	1.00	0.99	0.83	1.01	0.97

Codebook Information

The example below is a reproduction of information appearing in the machine readable codebook.
The numbers in angular brackets < > do not appear in the codebook, but are references to the descriptions which follow.

Example

```
<1>    <2>                              <1>
V127 - 2:ECON SITUATION TODAY -         REF.NO.:0127
<4>              <5>             <3>          <13>
Location  173    Deck    3       MD1: 0       _____

<6>
Q.1  How would you, quite generally, evaluate the present
economic situation in the Federal Republic: very good, good,
part good/part bad, bad or very bad.
(Interviewer:Hand list no.1 to the respondent)
```

<8>	<9>	Unweighted Abs. <10>	Unweighted % <11>	% N= 1191 <12>
1.	Very good	0	0.00	0.00
2.	Good	147	9.06	12.34
3.	Part good / part bad	583	35.94	48.95
4.	Bad	423	26.08	35.52
5.	Very bad	38	2.34	3.19
9.	NA	3	0.18	.
0.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	99.99	100.00

Explanation

<1> A variable number and a reference number have been assigned to each item in the study. In the present codebook which documents the archived data set, these numbers are identical. Should the data set be subsetted or rearranged the variable numbers might change to reflect the order of the new data set while the reference numbers would remain unchanged to provide a link to the archived data set.

<2> Indicates the abbreviated (24 characters maximum) variable label used within OSIRIS and SPSS system files.

<3> "MD" indicates the designation of missing data. MD1: indicates a specific single value declared as missing; MD2: designates a single value or a value range, i.e. all values equal or greater than this value have been declared missing. Although these categories are defined as missing data categories, this does not mean that the user should not or cannot use these codes if so desired.

<4> Indicates the starting "location" and the "width" of the variable when the data set is stored in OSIRIS. These locations may also used to access the data with software not using the OSIRIS dictionary.

<5> "Deck" and "Column" indicate the location of the variable by deck and column(s) when the data are in card image form (80 column format).

<6> Indicates the full question text taken from the original questionnaires including interviewer instructions. Wherever possible the original sequence of the questions has been retained, although some changes were necessary to integrate the different questionnaires.

<7> Indicates commentaries and explanations added during the processing of the study. < > within question or answer texts may indicate whether the questionnaire in a particular survey deviated from the general format.

<8> Indicates the code values for the single answer categories. These values are the same whether the positional information in a system file <4> is used or the information in a card image file <5>.

<9> Indicates the textual definition of the codes. Abbreviations commonly used are "DK" (don't know), "NA" (not ascertained) and "INAP." (inappropriate).

<10> Indicates absolute frequencies of occurence of each code value.

<11> Indicates percentages based on the total number of unweightes cases.

<12> Indicates percentages based on "valid cases" only, e.g. all cases with code values declared missing data (MD1 or MD2) were excluded from percentaging.

<13> If a variable contains implied decimals the message "Dec. places" followed by a number indicates the number of decimal places (see weight variables).

Variable Description List

	1	ZENTRALARCHIV Study Number 1276
	2	Respondent number
	3	Panel Filter
4 -	5	Weight variables

First Pre-Election Survey

6 -	7	R's evaluation of the general economic situation
	8	R's interest in politics
9 -	11	Voting intention for next elections
	12	Should there be early elections
	13	Party vote in 1980
14 -	18	Preference rank which R gives to the parties
19 -	23	Parties R would never vote for
24 -	28	What R thinks of the parties
29 -	30	Satisfaction with government and with opposition
31 -	39	What R thinks of individual politicians
40 -	43	R's evaluation of his/her own economic situation and whether it makes a difference who governs
	44	Has R or have R's close friends been unemployed or worked reduced hours
45 -	54	How important are specific current problems
55 -	64	Who is qualified to solve these current problems
65 -	68	R's position toward specific issues
69 -	72	Who would be qualified to handle these issues according to R's wishes
73 -	74	What R thinks about cuts in scholarships for high school and university students
75 -	76	Is the peace movement necessary and would R participate in a demonstration
	77	Satisfaction with democracy in the Federal Republic
	78	Are the right people in the leadership positions
	79	Should a completely new party be founded to better represent R's interests
80 -	81	Does R want the FDP and the Greens in the Bundestag
82 -	83	Is the current government just and fair and does it protects civil rights
84 -	85	Is the current political system just and fair and does it protect civil rights
	86	R's view on the relationship between state and citizen
87 -	90	Importance of political goals
91 -	95	Placement of the parties on left-right scale
	96	Self-placement on left-right scale
	97	R's view on the role of the churches and politics
	98	R's view on the role of the unions and politics
99 -	100	R's evaluation of the recent change in government and of the role the FDP had in this context
	101	Does R expect early elections to be held in March

102	Would it be good if one party received the absolute majority in the election
103	Which parties should form the government together
104	Who does R think will win the next elections
105	Will the FDP be represented in the next Bundestag
106	R's preference for the chancellorship candidate
121 - 122	R's party preference

Demographic Variables

107 - 108	Total number of persons and number of persons over 18 years permanently living in R's household
109 - 114	R's sex, age, marital status, educational background, employment status, occupational group
115	R's relationship to the head of household
116 - 117	Employment status and occupational group of head of household
118	Is R or someone in the household a union member
119 - 120	R's religious preference and church attendance
123	Occupational groups represented in R's neighborhood
124	Federal state where the interview was conducted
125	Administrative district identification code
126	Size of town

Second Pre-Election Survey

127 - 128	R's evaluation of the general economic situation
129	R's interest in politics
130 - 134	Voting intention for the March 1983 elections
135	Does R approve of early elections in March
136 - 137	Constituency candidate and party vote in 1980
138 - 142	Preference rank which R gives to parties
143 - 147	Parties R would never vote for
148 - 152	What R thinks of parties
153 - 154	Satisfaction with government and with opposition
155	Satisfaction with leading candidate of R's party
156 - 163	What R thinks of individual politicians
164 - 175	Good and bad aspects of political parties
176 - 179	R's evaluation of his/her own economic situation and whether it makes a difference who governs
180 - 181	Has R or have R's close friends been unemployed or worked reduced hours
182 - 190	How important are specific current problems
191 - 199	Who is qualified to solve these current problems
200 - 208	How satisfied was R with the way these problems were handled by the previous government
209 - 212	R's position toward specific issues
213 - 216	Who would be qualified to handle these issues according to R's wishes
217 - 220	How satisfied was R with the way these issues were handled by the previous government

221 - 224	Does R live in rented accomodation; does R expect rent to be raised; R's opinion on rent increases in relation to the creation of new housing space
225	Satisfaction with democracy in the Federal Republic
226 - 227	Would it be good if the FDP and the Greens were represented in the next Bundestag
228	Which party has the most active supporters in the present campaign
229 - 230	Is the current government just and fair and does it protect civil rights
231 - 232	Is the current political system just and fair and does it protect civil rights
233	Should members of parliament follow their own view or comply with their party
234 - 238	Placement of the parties on left-right scale
239 - 240	Placement of Kohl and Vogel on left-right scale
241	Self-placement on left-right scale
242 - 243	R's evaluation of the recent change in government and of the role the FDP had in this context
244	Would it be good if one party received the absolute majority in the election
245 - 246	Which parties should form the government together
247	Who does R think will win the next elections
248 - 249	Will the FDP and the Greens be represented in the next Bundestag
250	R's preference for a chancellorship candidate
264 - 265	R's party preference
	Demographic variables
251 - 252	Total number of persons and number of persons over 18 years permanently living in R's household
253 - 259	R's sex, age, marital status, educational background, employment status, occupational group and type of enterprise
260	R's relationship to the head of household
261 - 263	Employment status, occupational group and type of enterprise of head of household
266	Interviewer identification
267	Federal state where the interview was conducted
268	Date of interview

Post-Election Survey

269 - 270	R's evaluation of the general economic situation
271	R's interest in politics
272 - 276	Voting behavior in the March 1983 elections
277 - 278	Constituency candidate and party vote in 1980
279 - 283	Preference rank which R gives to parties
284 - 288	What R thinks of the parties
289 - 293	What R thinks of individual politicians

294 - 295	Does R approve of the FDP and the Greens being represented in the Bundestag
296	Would R have preferred a CDU/CSU government without the FDP
297	Why did the SPD loose so many votes
298 - 300	Did R see the TV discussion among top-candidates; who came out best and who worst in R's view
301 - 302	R's evaluation of election campaigns in general and specifically the campaign of 1983
303	Which party had the most active supporters in this campaign
304 - 305	Is the peace movement necessary and would R participate in a demonstration
306	Satisfaction with democracy in the Federal Republic
307 - 308	Is the current political system just and fair and does it protect civil rights
309 - 312	Importance of political goals
313	Self-placement on left-right scale
314 - 319	Which personal problems R has to cope with and who should provide help
320 - 333	How good or bad are specific goals which may be pursued for the best of the country in R's view
334 - 389	Are the individual parties for or against these goals
395 - 396	R's party preference
	Demographic variables
390 - 391	Total number of persons and number of persons over 18 years permanently living in R's household
392 - 394	R's sex, age, marital status
397	Interviewer identification
398	Federal state where the interview was conducted
399	Date of interview
400	Filter for panel analyses

Variable Cross-Reference List

Variables for all questions which were asked in more than one wave appear in the following cross-reference list.

Wave 1	Wave 2	Wave 3	!	Wave 1	Wave 2	Wave 3
6	127	269	!	58	194	-
7	128	270	!	59	195	-
8	129	271	!	60	196	-
9	130	-	!	61	197	-
10	132	-	!	62	198	-
11	133	-	!	64	199	-
-	136	277	!	65-68	209-212	-
13	137	278	!	69-72	213-216	-
14	138	279	!	75	-	304
15	139	280	!	76	-	305
16	140	281	!	77	225	306
17	141	282	!	80	226	-
18	142	283	!	81	227	-
19	143	-	!	-	228	303
20	144	-	!	82	229	-
21	145	-	!	83	230	-
22	146	-	!	84	231	307
23	147	-	!	85	232	308
24	148	284	!	87	-	309
25	149	285	!	88	-	310
26	150	286	!	89	-	311
27	151	287	!	90	-	312
28	152	288	!	91	234	284
29	153	-	!	92	235	-
30	154	-	!	93	236	-
32	156	-	!	94	237	-
33	157	289	!	95	238	-
34	158	290	!	96	241	313
35	159	-	!	99	242	-
36	160	291	!	100	243	-
37	161	-	!	102	244	-
38	162	292	!	104	247	-
39	163	293	!	105	248	-
40	176	-	!	106	250	-
41	177	-	!	107	251	390
42	178	-	!	108	252	391
43	179	-	!	109	253	392
44	180	-	!	110	254	393
45	182	-	!	111	255	394
46	183	-	!	112	256	-
47	184	-	!	113	257	-
48	185	-	!	114	258	-
49	186	-	!	115	260	-
50	187	-	!	116	261	-
51	188	-	!	117	262	-
52	189	-	!	121	264	395
54	190	-	!	122	265	396
55	191	-	!	-	266	397
56	192	-	!	124	267	398
57	193	-	!	-	268	399

V1 ZA-STUDY NUMBER 1276

Position: 1
Length: 4

ZENTRALARCHIV Study Number 1276

V2 RESPONDENT NUMBER

Position: 5
Length: 4

Respondent Number

V3 PANEL FILTER

Position: 9
Length: 1

Panel Filter

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. First wave interview only	428	26.39
2. First and second wave interview only	180	11.10
3. First, second and third wave interview	1014	62.52
	1622	100.00

V4 WEIGHT - HOUSEHOLD

Position: 10
Length: 3 Decimal places: 2

Weight - Household

This variable creates a sample representative of all households of the Federal Republic

V5 WEIGHT - REPRESENTATIVE

Position: 13
Length: 3 Decimal places: 2

Weight - Representative

This variable corrects for sex and age biases in the data and produces a sample representative of the voting age population of the Federal Republic



V6 1:ECON SITUATION TODAY

Position: 16
Length: 1

Q.1 How would you, quite generally, evaluate the present economic situation in the Federal Republic: very good, good, part good/part bad, bad, or very bad?
(Interviewer: Hand list no.1 to the respondent.)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Very good	3	0.18
2. Good	180	11.10
3. Part good/part bad	695	42.85
4. Bad	619	38.16
5. Very bad	125	7.71
	1622	100.00

V7 1:ECON SITUATN IN A YEAR

Position: 17
Length: 1

Q.2 And how will it be in a year? Do you expect that the general economic situation in the Federal Republic will then be substantially better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse, or substantially worse?
(Interviewer: Hand list no.2 to the respondent.)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Substantially better	11	0.68
2. Somewhat better	251	15.47
3. The same	770	47.47
4. Somewhat worse	495	30.52
5. Substantially worse	95	5.86
	1622	100.00

V8 1:INTEREST IN POLITICS

Position: 18
Length: 1

Q.3 Quite generally, are you interested in politics?

Q.3A (If R is interested in politics) How strongly are you interested in politics: very strongly, strongly, or not so strongly?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Yes, very strongly	126	7.77
2. Yes, strongly	392	24.17
3. Yes, not so strongly	250	15.41
4. No, not particularly	592	36.50
5. No, not at all	262	16.15
	1622	100.00

V9 1:VOTE NEXT SUNDAY

Position: 19
Length: 1

Q.4 If elections for the Bundestag were held next Sunday, would you vote?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Yes	1334	82.24
2. Don't know	168	10.36
3. No	120	7.40
	1622	100.00

V10 1:PARTY VOTE INTENTION

Position: 20 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.5 (If R would vote or does not know)
For which party would you vote? Please, tell me the number on this list.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.3 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1425
1. (1) CDU	620	38.22	43.51
2. (2) SPD	671	41.37	47.09
3. (3) FDP	40	2.47	2.81
4. (4) The Greens	90	5.55	6.32
5. (5) NPD	2	0.12	0.14
6. (6) DKP	2	0.12	0.14
9. NA	77	4.75	.
0. INAP., coded 3 in Q.4	120	7.40	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V11 1:SURELY VOTE LIKE THIS

Position: 21 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.6 Are you sure that you will make this same decision in the elections for the Bundestag on March 6 or aren't you quite sure?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1574
1. Sure	1207	74.41	76.68
2. Not quite sure	367	22.63	23.32
9. NA	48	2.96	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V12 1:LIKE NEW ELECT I MARCH

Position: 22 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.7 Which of the following represents your opinion: Should there be early elections for the Bundestag in March 1983 or should there be no early elections for the Bundestag?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. There should be elections	1369	84.40
2. There should be no elections	231	14.24
9. NA	22	1.36
	1622	100.00

V13 1:PARTY VOTE 1980

Position: 23 FW1: 7
Length: 1 FW2: 8

Q.8 How about the elections for the Bundestag in October 1980:
For which party did you then vote? Please, tell me using this list again.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.3 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1422
1. (1) CDU	555	34.22	39.03
2. (2) SPD	732	45.13	51.48
3. (3) FDP	100	6.17	7.03
4. (4) The Greens	30	1.85	2.11
5. (5) NPD	2	0.12	0.14
6. (6) DKP	3	0.18	0.21
7. Did not vote	95	5.86	.
8. Was not eligible in 1980	58	3.58	.
9. NA	47	2.90	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V14 1:SPD RANKING

Position: 24 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.9 Here are five cards with the names of political parties in the Federal Republic. Would you please rank these cards according to how well you like the parties. On top should be the party which you like best, at the bottom then will be the party which you like least.
(Interviewer: Shuffle white deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.)

Q.9(1) Preference rank which R gives to SPD

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1532
1. First rank (likes best)	750	46.24	48.96
2. Second rank	208	12.82	13.58
3. Third rank	213	13.13	13.90
4. Fourth rank	310	19.11	20.23
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	51	3.14	3.33
9. NA	90	5.55	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V15 1:CDU RANKING

Position: 25 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.9(2) Preference rank which R gives to CDU

<see Q.9 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1516
1. First rank (likes best)	537	33.11	35.42
2. Second rank	513	31.63	33.84
3. Third rank	299	18.43	19.72
4. Fourth rank	149	9.19	9.83
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	18	1.11	1.19
9. NA	106	6.54	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V16 1:CSU RANKING

Position: 26 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.9(3) Preference rank which R gives to CSU

<see Q.9 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1494
1. First rank (likes best)	144	8.88	9.64
2. Second rank	408	25.15	27.31
3. Third rank	343	21.15	22.96
4. Fourth rank	344	21.21	23.03
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	255	15.72	17.07
9. NA	128	7.89	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V17 1:FDP RANKING

Position: 27 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.9(4) Preference rank which R gives to FDP

<see Q.9 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1486
1. First rank (likes best)	39	2.40	2.62
2. Second rank	228	14.06	15.34
3. Third rank	507	31.26	34.12
4. Fourth rank	443	27.31	29.81
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	269	16.58	18.10
9. NA	136	8.38	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V18 1:GREENS RANKING

Position: 28 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.9(5) Preference rank which R gives to the Greens

<see Q.9 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1492
1. First rank (likes best)	92	5.67	6.17
2. Second rank	170	10.48	11.39
3. Third rank	115	7.09	7.71
4. Fourth rank	231	14.24	15.48
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	884	54.50	59.25
9. NA	130	8.01	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V19 1:NEVER VOTE SPD

Position: 29 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.9A Is there a party or are there several parties for whom you would not vote under any circumstances? If yes, which party/parties are these?

Q.9A(1) Yes, would never vote for the SPD

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1318
1. Mentioned	149	9.19	11.31
0. Not mentioned	1169	72.07	88.69
9. NA entire question	304	18.74	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V20 1:NEVER VOTE CDU

Position: 30 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.9A(2) Yes, R would never vote for the CDU

<see Q.9A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1318
1. Mentioned	173	10.67	13.13
0. Not mentioned	1145	70.59	86.87
9. NA entire question	304	18.74	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V21 1:NEVER VOTE CSU

Position: 31 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.9A(3) Yes, R would never vote for the CSU

<see Q.9A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1318
1. Mentioned	369	22.75	28.00
0. Not mentioned	949	58.51	72.00
9. NA entire question	304	18.74	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V22 1:NEVER VOTE FDP

Position: 32 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.9A(4) Yes, R would never vote for the FDP

<see Q.9A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1318
1. Mentioned	460	28.36	34.90
0. Not mentioned	858	52.90	65.10
9. NA entire question	304	18.74	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V23 1:NEVER VOTE GREENS

Position: 33 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.9A(5) Yes, R would never vote for the Greens

<see Q.9A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1318
1. Mentioned	860	53.02	65.25
0. Not mentioned	458	28.24	34.75
9. NA entire question	304	18.74	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V24 1:THINK OF SPD

Position: 34 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.10 And what do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.4 to the respondent and read.)
+5 means that you think a great deal of the party. -5 means that you do not think much of it at all. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q.10(A) What do you think of the SPD?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1606
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	65	4.01	4.05
02.		41	2.53	2.55
03.		92	5.67	5.73
04.		73	4.50	4.55
05.		84	5.18	5.23
06.	Undecided	180	11.10	11.21
07.		182	11.22	11.33
08.		194	11.96	12.08
09.		300	18.50	18.68
10.		186	11.47	11.58
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	209	12.89	13.01
99.	NA	16	0.99	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V25 1:THINK OF CDU

Position: 36 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.10(B) And what do you think of the CDU?

<see Q.10 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1605
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	62	3.82	3.86
02.		46	2.84	2.87
03.		62	3.82	3.86
04.		85	5.24	5.30
05.		86	5.30	5.36
06.	Undecided	238	14.67	14.83
07.		195	12.02	12.15
08.		205	12.64	12.77
09.		237	14.61	14.77
10.		191	11.78	11.90
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	198	12.21	12.34
99.	NA	17	1.05	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V26 1:THINK OF CSU

Position: 38 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.10(C) And what do you think of the CSU?

<see Q.10 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1598
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	194	11.96	12.14
02.		73	4.50	4.57
03.		83	5.12	5.19
04.		99	6.10	6.20
05.		111	6.84	6.95
06.	Undecided	255	15.72	15.96
07.		169	10.42	10.58
08.		152	9.37	9.51
09.		189	11.65	11.83
10.		150	9.25	9.39
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	123	7.58	7.70
99.	NA	24	1.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V27 1:THINK OF FDP

Position: 40 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.10(D) And what do you think of the FDP?

<see Q.10 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1602
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	254	15.66	15.86
02.		106	6.54	6.62
03.		125	7.71	7.80
04.		121	7.46	7.55
05.		147	9.06	9.18
06.	Undecided	307	18.93	19.16
07.		203	12.52	12.67
08.		163	10.05	10.17
09.		98	6.04	6.12
10.		51	3.14	3.18
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	27	1.66	1.69
99.	NA	20	1.23	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V28 1:THINK OF GREENS

Position: 42 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.10(E) And what do you think of the Greens?

<see Q.10 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1601
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	447	27.56	27.92
02.		154	9.49	9.62
03.		155	9.56	9.68
04.		101	6.23	6.31
05.		112	6.91	7.00
06.	Undecided	268	16.52	16.74
07.		137	8.45	8.56
08.		93	5.73	5.81
09.		75	4.62	4.68
10.		38	2.34	2.37
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	21	1.29	1.31
99.	NA	21	1.29	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V29 1:SATISFIED W GOVERNMENT

Position: 44 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.11 Are you more satisfied or more dissatisfied with what the present CDU-CSU-FDP government in Bonn has accomplished so far?
Please tell me with the aid of this scale. +5 means that you are completely satisfied with the present government in Bonn -5 means that you are not at all satisfied with what the government has accomplished.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.5 to the respondent)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1600
01.	-5 -- not at all satisfied	133	8.20	8.31
02.		60	3.70	3.75
03.		100	6.17	6.25
04.		96	5.92	6.00
05.		92	5.67	5.75
06.	Undecided	346	21.33	21.63
07.		183	11.28	11.44
08.		198	12.21	12.38
09.		183	11.28	11.44
10.		106	6.54	6.63
11.	+5 -- completely satisfied	103	6.35	6.44
99.	NA	22	1.36	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V30 1:SATISFIED W OPPOSITION

Position: 46 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.11A And how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the performance of the SPD opposition in Bonn? Please, tell tell me again with the aid of this scale.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.5 to the respondent)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1597
01.	-5 -- not at all satisfied	68	4.19	4.26
02.		48	2.96	3.01
03.		67	4.13	4.20
04.		92	5.67	5.76
05.		127	7.83	7.95
06.	Undecided	488	30.09	30.56
07.		240	14.80	15.03
08.		168	10.36	10.52
09.		147	9.06	9.20
10.		83	5.12	5.20
11.	+5 -- completely satisfied	69	4.25	4.32
99.	NA	25	1.54	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V31 1:THINK OF BAUM

Position: 48 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.12 Please tell me by using this scale what you think about some leading politicians.
(Interviewer: Shuffle pink deck of cards and present the cards one at a time together with list no.6)
Please tell me with the aid of this scale. +5 means that you think a great deal of the particular politician. -5 means that you do not think much of him at all. If you do not know one or the other of the politicians, you do not have to rate him, of course.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.6 to the respondent)
Q.12A What do you think of Gerhard Baum?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1538
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	97	5.98	6.31
02.		53	3.27	3.45
03.		86	5.30	5.59
04.		109	6.72	7.09
05.		146	9.00	9.49
06.	Undecided	447	27.56	29.06
07.		222	13.69	14.43
08.		166	10.23	10.79
09.		140	8.63	9.10
10.		49	3.02	3.19
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	23	1.42	1.50
99.	NA	84	5.18	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V32 1:THINK OF BRANDT

Position: 50 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.12B What do you think of Willy Brandt?

<see Q.12 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1601
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	161	9.93	10.06
02.		63	3.88	3.94
03.		103	6.35	6.43
04.		109	6.72	6.81
05.		124	7.64	7.75
06.	Undecided	183	11.28	11.43
07.		190	11.71	11.87
08.		200	12.33	12.49
09.		218	13.44	13.62
10.		148	9.12	9.24
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	102	6.29	6.37
99.	NA	21	1.29	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V33 1:THINK OF GENSCHER

Position: 52 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.12C What do you think of Hans-Dietrich Genscher?

<see Q.12 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1601
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	262	16.15	16.36
02.		112	6.91	7.00
03.		143	8.82	8.93
04.		144	8.88	8.99
05.		131	8.08	8.18
06.	Undecided	235	14.49	14.68
07.		205	12.64	12.80
08.		163	10.05	10.18
09.		118	7.27	7.37
10.		66	4.07	4.12
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	22	1.36	1.37
99.	NA	21	1.29	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V34 1:THINK OF KOHL

Position: 54 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.12D And what do you think of Helmut Kohl?

<see Q.12 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1602
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	107	6.60	6.68
02.		48	2.96	3.00
03.		91	5.61	5.68
04.		87	5.36	5.43
05.		89	5.49	5.56
06.	Undecided	213	13.13	13.30
07.		201	12.39	12.55
08.		175	10.79	10.92
09.		217	13.38	13.55
10.		177	10.91	11.05
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	197	12.15	12.30
99.	NA	20	1.23	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V35 1:THINK OF LAMBSDORFF

Position: 56 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.12E What do you think of Otto Graf Lambsdorff?

<see Q.12 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1581
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	190	11.71	12.02
02.		93	5.73	5.88
03.		111	6.84	7.02
04.		116	7.15	7.34
05.		143	8.82	9.04
06.	Undecided	330	20.35	20.87
07.		201	12.39	12.71
08.		164	10.11	10.37
09.		143	8.82	9.04
10.		65	4.01	4.11
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	25	1.54	1.58
99.	NA	41	2.53	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V36 1:THINK OF SCHMIDT

Position: 58 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.12F And what do you think of Helmut Schmidt?

<see Q.12 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1605
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	17	1.05	1.06
02.		19	1.17	1.18
03.		34	2.10	2.12
04.		43	2.65	2.68
05.		53	3.27	3.30
06.	Undecided	128	7.89	7.98
07.		183	11.28	11.40
08.		191	11.78	11.90
09.		261	16.09	16.26
10.		266	16.40	16.57
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	410	25.28	25.55
99.	NA	17	1.05	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V37 1:THINK OF STOLTENBERG

Position: 60 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.12G What do you think of Gerhard Stoltenberg?

<see Q.12 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1578
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	39	2.40	2.47
02.		26	1.60	1.65
03.		53	3.27	3.36
04.		77	4.75	4.88
05.		87	5.36	5.51
06.	Undecided	277	17.08	17.55
07.		267	16.46	16.92
08.		230	14.18	14.58
09.		259	15.97	16.41
10.		173	10.67	10.96
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	90	5.55	5.70
99.	NA	44	2.71	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V38 1:THINK OF STRAUSS

Position: 62 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.12H And what do you think of Franz-Josef Strauss?

<see Q.12 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1604
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	282	17.39	17.58
02.		95	5.86	5.92
03.		103	6.35	6.42
04.		90	5.55	5.61
05.		106	6.54	6.61
06.	Undecided	208	12.82	12.97
07.		150	9.25	9.35
08.		151	9.31	9.41
09.		160	9.86	9.98
10.		149	9.19	9.29
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	110	6.78	6.86
99.	NA	18	1.11	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V39 1:THINK OF VOGEL

Position: 64 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.12I What do you think of Jochen Vogel?

<see Q.14 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1588
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	38	2.34	2.39
02.		17	1.05	1.07
03.		33	2.03	2.08
04.		40	2.47	2.52
05.		89	5.49	5.60
06.	Undecided	347	21.39	21.85
07.		254	15.66	15.99
08.		221	13.63	13.92
09.		243	14.98	15.30
10.		166	10.23	10.45
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	140	8.63	8.82
99.	NA	34	2.10	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V40 1:OWN FINANCL SITUATION

Position: 66
Length: 1

Q.13 How do you evaluate your own financial situation today: very good, good, part good/part bad, bad, or very bad?
(Interviewer: Hand list no.1 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Very good	26	1.60
2. Good	655	40.38
3. Part good/part bad	738	45.50
4. Bad	174	10.73
5. Very bad	29	1.79
	1622	100.00

V41 1:OWN FINANC SIT IN YEAR

Position: 67
Length: 1

Q.14 What do you think your own financial situation will be in a year from now? Do you expect that your own financial situation then will be substantially better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse, or substantially worse?
(Interviewer: Hand list no.2 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Substantially better	8	0.49
2. Somewhat better	166	10.23
3. The same	1071	66.03
4. Somewhat worse	332	20.47
5. Substantially worse	45	2.77
	1622	100.00

V42 1:GOVNMT MAKE DIFFERENCE

Position: 68
Length: 1

Q.15 Does it make a great difference, some difference or practically no difference at all in your financial situation who governs in Bonn ?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Great difference	316	19.48
2. Some difference	545	33.60
3. No difference at all	761	46.92
	1622	100.00

V43 1:WHCH GOVNMT BETTER

Position: 69 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.15A (If it makes a difference in R's financial situation who governs in Bonn) And who would have to govern in Bonn in order for you to be satisfied with your financial situation?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 816
1. CDU/CSU plus FDP	39	2.40	4.78
2. SPD plus CDU/CSU	16	0.99	1.96
3. SPD plus the Greens	36	2.22	4.41
4. SPD plus FDPCDU (CDU/CSU)	6	0.37	0.74
5. All parties	1	0.06	0.12
6. CDU/CSU alone	312	19.24	38.24
7. SPD alone	362	22.32	44.36
8. Other responses	44	2.71	5.39
9. NA	45	2.77	.
0. INAP., coded 3 or 9 in Q.15	761	46.92	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V44 1:UNEMPLD OR REDCD HRS

Position: 70 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.16 Have you personally or any of your close friends been unemployed or worked reduced hours?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1592
1. Yes, only myself	56	3.45	3.52
2. Yes, only close friends	374	23.06	23.49
3. Yes, myself and close friends	56	3.45	3.52
4. No	1106	68.19	69.47
9. NA	30	1.85	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V45 1:ISS IMP FGHT RISG PRIC

Position: 71
Length: 1

Q.17 Here we have put together several tasks and goals which are being talked about in the Federal Republic. Please tell us for each of these problems whether you personally consider it to be very important, important, not so important, or completely unimportant.
(Interviewer: Shuffle blue deck of cards and hand it to the respondent. Also hand list no.7 to the respondent.)
Please distribute the cards in the respective fields of this table.

Q.17A Fight rising prices -- How important is this issue in R's view?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Very important	995	61.34
2. Important	554	34.16
3. Not so important	70	4.32
4. Completely unimportant	3	0.18
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	0	
	1622	100.00

V46 1:ISS IMP FGHT UNEMPLMT

Position: 72
Length: 1

Q.17B Fight unemployment -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Very important	1398	86.19
2. Important	214	13.19
3. Not so important	9	0.55
4. Completely unimportant	1	0.06
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	0	
	1622	100.00

V47 1:ISS IMP LAW AND ORDER

Position: 73
Length: 1

Q.17C Work for law and order in the Federal Republic -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Very important	760	46.86
2. Important	633	39.03
3. Not so important	174	10.73
4. Completely unimportant	42	2.59
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	13	0.80
	1622	100.00

V48 1:ISS IMP EAST EUR RLTNS

Position: 74
Length: 1

Q.17D Improve relations with the Eastern European states -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Very important	422	26.02
2. Important	780	48.09
3. Not so important	340	20.96
4. Completely unimportant	64	3.95
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	16	0.99
	1622	100.00

V49 1:ISS IMP GOOD RLTN USA

Position: 75
Length: 1

Q.17E Good relations with the United States -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Very important	465	28.67
2. Important	789	48.64
3. Not so important	293	18.06
4. Completely unimportant	63	3.88
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	12	0.74
	1622	100.00

V50 1:ISS IMP PROTCT ENVRNM

Position: 76
Length: 1

Q.17F Work for efficient environmental protection -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Very important	712	43.90
2. Important	735	45.31
3. Not so important	152	9.37
4. Completely unimportant	20	1.23
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	3	0.18
	1622	100.00

V51 1:ISS IMP SECURE PENSNS

Position: 77
Length: 1

Q.17G Secure pensions -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Very important	1059	65.29
2. Important	494	30.46
3. Not so important	57	3.51
4. Completely unimportant	10	0.62
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	2	0.12
	1622	100.00

V52 1:ISS IMP REDC PBL DEBT

Position: 78
Length: 1

Q.17H Reduce public debt -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Very important	863	53.21
2. Important	567	34.96
3. Not so important	170	10.48
4. Completely unimportant	20	1.23
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	2	0.12
	1622	100.00

V53 1:ISS IMP IMPR RLTN GDR

Position: 79
Length: 1

Q.17I Improve relations with the GDR -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Very important	335	20.65
2. Important	804	49.57
3. Not so important	368	22.69
4. Completely unimportant	84	5.18
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	31	1.91
	1622	100.00

V54 1:ISS IMP CITIZN INFLNCE

Position: 80
Length: 1

Q.17K Give the citizens more influence over the decisions of the state -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Very important	431	26.57
2. Important	723	44.57
3. Not so important	380	23.43
4. Completely unimportant	73	4.50
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	15	0.92
	1622	100.00

V55 1:ISS COM FGHT RISG PRIC

Position: 81 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.17A (If R designated tasks and goals in Q.17 as "very important" or "important")
Who would be more qualified to solve each of these problems to your satisfaction? Do you think that would be the present CDU/CSU-FDP government, or would that be a government led by the SPD ?
(Interviewer: Shuffle cards designated as "very important" and "important" and hand them to the respondent again; make respondent indicate respective alphabetic letter.)

Q.17A(A) Keep prices stable -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1531
1. CDU/CSU-FDP	609	37.55	39.78
2. SPD	350	21.58	22.86
3. Both	376	23.18	24.56
4. Neither	196	12.08	12.80
9. NA	18	1.11	.
0. INAP., coded 3 to 5 in Q.17A	73	4.50	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V56 1:ISS COM FGHT UNEMPLMT

Position: 82 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.17A(B) Secure jobs -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1596
1. CDU/CSU-FDP	599	36.93	37.53
2. SPD	384	23.67	24.06
3. Both	355	21.89	22.24
4. Neither	258	15.91	16.17
9. NA	16	0.99	.
0. INAP., coded 3 to 5 in Q.17B	10	0.62	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V57 1:ISS COM LAW AND ORDER

Position: 83 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.17A(C) Work for law and order in the Federal Republic -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17A for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1378
1.	CDU/CSU-FDP	645	39.77	46.81
2.	SPD	274	16.89	19.88
3.	Both	396	24.41	28.74
4.	Neither	63	3.88	4.57
9.	NA	15	0.92	.
0.	INAP., coded 3 to 5 in Q.17C	229	14.12	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V58 1:ISS COM EAST EUR RLTNS

Position: 84 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.17A(D) Improve relations with the Eastern European states -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17A for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1193
1.	CDU/CSU-FDP	233	14.36	19.53
2.	SPD	618	38.10	51.80
3.	Both	309	19.05	25.90
4.	Neither	33	2.03	2.77
9.	NA	9	0.55	.
0.	INAP., coded 3 to 5 in Q.17D	420	25.89	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V59 1:ISS COM GOOD RLTN USA

Position: 85 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.17A(E) Good relations with the United States -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17A for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1243
1.	CDU/CSU-FDP	622	38.35	50.04
2.	SPD	250	15.41	20.11
3.	Both	350	21.58	28.16
4.	Neither	21	1.29	1.69
9.	NA	11	0.68	.
0.	INAP., coded 3 to 5 in Q.17E	368	22.69	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V60 1:ISS COM PROTCT ENVRNM

Position: 86 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.17A(F) Better environmental protection -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17A for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1432
1.	CDU/CSU-FDP	299	18.43	20.88
2.	SPD	405	24.97	28.28
3.	Both	504	31.07	35.20
4.	Neither	224	13.81	15.64
9.	NA	15	0.92	.
0.	INAP., coded 3 to 5 in Q.17F	175	10.79	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V61 1:ISS COM SECURE PENSNS

Position: 87 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.17A(G) Secure pensions -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17A for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1534
1.	CDU/CSU-FDP	551	33.97	35.92
2.	SPD	451	27.81	29.40
3.	Both	404	24.91	26.34
4.	Neither	128	7.89	8.34
9.	NA	19	1.17	.
0.	INAP., coded 3 to 5 in Q.17G	69	4.25	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V62 1:ISS COM REDC PBL DEBT

Position: 88 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.17A(H) Reduce public debt -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17A for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1406
1.	CDU/CSU-FDP	701	43.22	49.86
2.	SPD	212	13.07	15.08
3.	Both	281	17.32	19.99
4.	Neither	212	13.07	15.08
9.	NA	24	1.48	.
0.	INAP., coded 3 to 5 in Q.17H	192	11.84	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V63 1:ISS COM IMPRV RLTN GDR

Position: 89 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.17A(I) Improve relations with the GDR -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1129
1. CDU/CSU-FDP	225	13.87	19.93
2. SPD	580	35.76	51.37
3. Both	287	17.69	25.42
4. Neither	37	2.28	3.28
9. NA	10	0.62	.
0. INAP., coded 3 to 5 in Q.17I	483	29.78	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V64 1:ISS COM CITIZN INFLNCE

Position: 90 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.17A(K) Give the citizens more influence over the decisions of the state -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.17A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1132
1. CDU/CSU-FDP	233	14.36	20.58
2. SPD	425	26.20	37.54
3. Both	291	17.94	25.71
4. Neither	183	11.28	16.17
9. NA	22	1.36	.
0. INAP., coded 3 to 5 in Q.17	468	28.85	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V65 1:PRO-EXPNS NUCLR PLANTS

Position: 91 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.18 Here are some more political issues.
Please, tell me for each of these, whether you tend to be for or against it.
(Interviewer: Present yellow deck of cards)

Q.18(A) Steady development of nuclear plants -- R's position

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1605
1. More for	813	50.12	50.65
2. More against	792	48.83	49.35
9. NA	17	1.05	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V66 1:PRO-CUT SOCIAL EXPDTRS

Position: 92 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.18(B) Cut public moneys for social programs -- R's position

<see Q.18 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1606
1. More for	486	29.96	30.26
2. More against	1120	69.05	69.74
9. NA	16	0.99	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V67 1:PRO-ADDITIONL MISSILS

Position: 93 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.18(C) No new missiles in the Federal Republic, no matter what the East does -- R's position

<see Q.18 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1589
1. More for	893	55.06	56.20
2. More against	696	42.91	43.80
9. NA	33	2.03	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V68 1:PRO-FEWER FRGN WORKRS

Position: 94 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.18(D) Reduction in the number of foreigners -- R's position

<see Q.18 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1598
1. More for	1286	79.28	80.48
2. More against	312	19.24	19.52
9. NA	24	1.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V69 1:COMP-EXPNS NUCLR PLNTS

Position: 95 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.18A Who would be more qualified to solve each of these problems according to your wishes? Would that be the present CDU/CSU-FDP government, or would that be a government led by the SPD?
(Interviewer: Present yellow deck of cards and ask each question individually)

Q.18A(A) Steady development of nuclear plants -- who would be more qualified to solve this issue?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1594
1. CDU/CSU-FDP government	720	44.39	45.17
2. SPD-led government	336	20.72	21.08
3. Both	342	21.09	21.46
4. None	196	12.08	12.30
9. NA	28	1.73	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V70 1:COMP-CUT SOCL EXPDTRS

Position: 96 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.18A(B) Cut public moneys for social programs -- who would be more qualified to solve this issue?

<see Q.18A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1595
1. CDU/CSU-FDP government	751	46.30	47.08
2. SPD-led government	396	24.41	24.83
3. Both	303	18.68	19.00
4. None	145	8.94	9.09
9. NA	27	1.66	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V71 1:COMP-ADDITNL MISSILS

Position: 97 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.18A(C) No new missiles in the Federal Republic, no matter what the East does -- who would be more qualified to solve this issue?

<see Q.18A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1592
1. CDU/CSU-FDP government	365	22.50	22.93
2. SPD-led government	584	36.00	36.68
3. Both	319	19.67	20.04
4. None	324	19.98	20.35
9. NA	30	1.85	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V72 1:COMP-FEWER FRGN WORKRS

Position: 98 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.18A(D) Reduction in the number of foreigners -- who would be more qualified to solve this issue?

<see Q.18A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1600
1. CDU/CSU-FDP government	751	46.30	46.94
2. SPD-led government	277	17.08	17.31
3. Both	375	23.12	23.44
4. None	197	12.15	12.31
9. NA	22	1.36	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V73 1:CUT GVT AID FOR PUPILS

Position: 99 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.19 Cuts in the federal scholarships for high school students ("Schueler-Bafoeg") should be included in measures to reduce the budget. Do you think this is a good idea or don’t you think this is a good idea?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1601
1. Is good	642	39.58	40.10
2. Is not good	959	59.12	59.90
9. NA	21	1.29	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V74 1:CUT GVT AID F STUDNTS

Position: 100 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.19A In addition scholarships for university students ("Bafoeg") should also be cut. Do you think that is a good thing, or don't you think this is good ?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1599
1. Is good	693	42.73	43.34
2. Is not good	906	55.86	56.66
9. NA	23	1.42	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V75 1:PEACE MVMT NECESSARY

Position: 101 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.20 There has been a peace movement for some time in the Federal Republic. To some extent it disagrees with the government and the political parties represented in the Bundestag on the policies necessary for maintaining peace.

Do you think this peace movement is necessary, superfluous, dangerous, or do you feel indifferent to the peace movement?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1617
1. Neccessary	671	41.37	41.50
2. Superfluous	404	24.91	24.98
3. Dangerous	128	7.89	7.92
4. Feel indifferent	414	25.52	25.60
9. NA	5	0.31	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V76 1:PARTCPT PEACE DEMO

Position: 102 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.20A (If R thinks that the peace movement is necessary) Would you be willing to participate in a peaceful demonstration sponsored by the peace movement or would you not be willing to do so?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 667
1. Would participate	375	23.12	56.22
2. Would not participate	292	18.00	43.78
9. NA	4	0.25	.
0. INAP., coded 2-9 in Q.20	951	58.63	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V77 1:DEMOCRACY IN GFR

Position: 103 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.21 What would you generally say about democracy the Federal Republic of Germany, that is about our political parties and our entire political system? Are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with it?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1618
1. Very satisfied	216	13.32	13.35
2. Somewhat satisfied	1048	64.61	64.77
3. Somewhat dissatisfied	302	18.62	18.67
4. Very dissatisfied	52	3.21	3.21
9. NA	4	0.25	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V78 1:RIGHT LEADERS

Position: 104
Length: 1

Q.22 Do you think that at the present time the right people are, by and large, in the leadership positions here in the Federal Republic, or don't you think so?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Yes, I think so	595	36.68
2. No, I don't think so	660	40.69
3. Don't know	367	22.63
	1622	100.00

V79 1:NEW PARTY NECESSARY

Position: 105
Length: 1

Q.23 There now is a new party: the Greens. Do you think that beyond this a completely new party should be founded to better represent your interests, or isn't that necessary ?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Necessary	174	10.73
2. Not necessary	1181	72.81
3. Don't know	267	16.46
	1622	100.00

V80 1:LIKE FDP IN PARLMNT

Position: 106 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.24 The CDU/CSU, the SPD and the FDP are all represented in the Bundestag. Do you think that it is good that the FDP is in the Bundestag, or don't you think so?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1599
1. Is good	912	56.23	57.04
2. Is not good	687	42.36	42.96
9. NA	23	1.42	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V81 1:LIKE GREENS IN PARLMT

Position: 107 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.25 And what about the Greens? Do you think it would be good if the Greens were represented in the Bundestag, or do you think that wouldn't be good?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1603
1. Would be good	466	28.73	29.07
2. Wouldn't be good	1137	70.10	70.93
9. NA	19	1.17	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V82 1:PRESENT GVT FAIR

Position: 108 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.26 Please think about the Federal Government. This list contains two statements describing the present Federal Government. Please tell me for each sentence whether you agree completely, agree more or less, disagree more or less or disagree completely.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.8 to the respondent)

Q.26A The present Federal Government behaves in a just and fair manner

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1516
1. Agree completely	358	22.07	23.61
2. Agree more or less	684	42.17	45.12
3. Disagree more or less	351	21.64	23.15
4. Disagree completely	123	7.58	8.11
9. DK	106	6.54	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V83 1:GVT PRTCT CIV RIGTS

Position: 109 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.26B The present Federal Government protects basic liberties

<see Q.26 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1507
1. Agree completely	461	28.42	30.59
2. Agree more or less	768	47.35	50.96
3. Disagree more or less	205	12.64	13.60
4. Disagree completely	73	4.50	4.84
9. DK	115	7.09	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V84 1:PRESENT POL SYST FAIR

Position: 110 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.27 And what about the type of political system we have in this country? Once again judge the two statements with the help of the scale.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.9 to the respondent)

Q.27A The political system of the Federal Republic behaves in a just and fair manner

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1539
1. Agree completely	474	29.22	30.80
2. Agree more or less	868	53.51	56.40
3. Disagree more or less	153	9.43	9.94
4. Disagree completely	44	2.71	2.86
9. DK	83	5.12	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V85 1:POL SYS PRTCT CIV RGT

Position: 111 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.27B The political system of the Federal Republic protects civil liberties

<see Q.27 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1535
1. Agree completely	534	32.92	34.79
2. Agree more or less	844	52.03	54.98
3. Disagree more or less	131	8.08	8.53
4. Disagree completely	26	1.60	1.69
9. DK	87	5.36	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V86 1:STATE-CITIZEN RELATION

Position: 112 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.28 Some people say that the state interferes too much in life of citizens and thereby weakens their willingness to contribute personally to the solution of their problems. Others say that the state does not do enough for citizens.
What do you think: Does the state interfere too much in the life of citizens, does the state not do enough or are you quite satisfied with the present situation?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1596
1. State interferes too much	300	18.50	18.80
2. State doesn't do enough	312	19.24	19.55
3. I am satisfied with the present situation	984	60.67	61.65
9. DK	26	1.60	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V87 1:POL GOAL 1RST

Position: 113 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.29 In politics, as elsewhere, one cannot do everything all at once. In this list you will find several goals which one can pursue in politics.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.10 to the respondent. Allow one response only)

Q.29(1) If you had to chose between these different goals, which goal would be most important to you?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1595
1. (A) Maintaining order in the nation	507	31.26	31.79
2. (B) Giving the people more say in government decisions	204	12.58	12.79
3. (C) Fighting rising prices	650	40.07	40.75
4. (D) Protecting the freedom of speech	234	14.43	14.67
9. DK, refused	27	1.66	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V88 1:POL GOAL 2ND

Position: 114 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.29(2) Which goal would be second most important?

<see Q.29 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1585
1.	(A) Maintaining order in the nation	518	31.94	32.68
2.	(B) Giving the people more say in government decisions	294	18.13	18.55
3.	(C) Fighting rising prices	468	28.85	29.53
4.	(D) Protecting the freedom of speech	305	18.80	19.24
9.	DK	37	2.28	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V89 1:POL GOAL 3RD

Position: 115 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.29(3) Which goal would be third most important to you?

<see Q.29 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1564
1.	(A) Maintaining order in the nation	306	18.87	19.57
2.	(B) Giving the people more say in government decisions	426	26.26	27.24
3.	(C) Fighting rising prices	309	19.05	19.76
4.	(D) Protecting the freedom of speech	523	32.24	33.44
9.	DK	58	3.58	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V90 1:POL GOAL 4RTH

Position: 116 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.29(4) Which goal would be fourth most important to you?

<see Q.29 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1563
1.	(A) Maintaining order in the nation	253	15.60	16.19
2.	(B) Giving the people more say in government decisions	651	40.14	41.65
3.	(C) Fighting rising prices	149	9.19	9.53
4.	(D) Protecting the freedom of speech	510	31.44	32.63
9.	DK	59	3.64	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V91 1:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE SPD

Position: 117 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.30 There are various terms which are frequently used when talking about political parties, such as "left" and "right". Please mark this scale where you would place the SPD, the CDU, the FDP, the CSU, and the Greens.
(Interviewer: Hand scale to the respondent.)

Q.30(A) Where would you place the SPD?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1561
01.	Left	124	7.64	7.94
02.	.	129	7.95	8.26
03.	.	312	19.24	19.99
04.	.	370	22.81	23.70
05.	.	254	15.66	16.27
06.	.	243	14.98	15.57
07.	.	58	3.58	3.72
08.	.	30	1.85	1.92
09.	.	19	1.17	1.22
10.	.	4	0.25	0.26
11.	Right	18	1.11	1.15
99.	NA	61	3.76	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V92 1:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE CDU

Position: 119 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.30(B) And where would you place the CDU?

<see Q.30 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1559
01.	Left	17	1.05	1.09
02.	.	7	0.43	0.45
03.	.	7	0.43	0.45
04.	.	6	0.37	0.38
05.	.	19	1.17	1.22
06.	.	155	9.56	9.94
07.	.	199	12.27	12.76
08.	.	329	20.28	21.10
09.	.	365	22.50	23.41
10.	.	224	13.81	14.37
11.	Right	231	14.24	14.82
99.	NA	63	3.88	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V93 1:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE FDP

Position: 121 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.30(C) And where would you place the FDP?

<see Q.30 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1559
01.	Left	18	1.11	1.15
02.	.	12	0.74	0.77
03.	.	17	1.05	1.09
04.	.	51	3.14	3.27
05.	.	121	7.46	7.76
06.	.	441	27.19	28.29
07.	.	328	20.22	21.04
08.	.	246	15.17	15.78
09.	.	177	10.91	11.35
10.	.	67	4.13	4.30
11.	Right	81	4.99	5.20
99.	NA	63	3.88	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V94 1:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE CSU

Position: 123 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.30(D) And where would you place the CSU?

<see Q.30 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1559
01.	Left	13	0.80	0.83
02.	.	10	0.62	0.64
03.	.	6	0.37	0.38
04.	.	14	0.86	0.90
05.	.	13	0.80	0.83
06.	.	97	5.98	6.22
07.	.	102	6.29	6.54
08.	.	193	11.90	12.38
09.	.	286	17.63	18.35
10.	.	354	21.82	22.71
11.	Right	471	29.04	30.21
99.	NA	63	3.88	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V95 1:LEFT-RIGHT SCAL GREENS

Position: 125 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.30(E) And where would you place the Greens?

<see Q.30 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1547
01.	Left	440	27.13	28.44
02.	.	246	15.17	15.90
03.	.	235	14.49	15.19
04.	.	186	11.47	12.02
05.	.	108	6.66	6.98
06.	.	189	11.65	12.22
07.	.	59	3.64	3.81
08.	.	31	1.91	2.00
09.	.	16	0.99	1.03
10.	.	13	0.80	0.84
11.	Right	24	1.48	1.55
99.	NA	75	4.62	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V96 1:LEFT-RIGHT SELF-PLACMT

Position: 127 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.30A And now we would like to know from you where you would place yourself on the scale.

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1587
01.	Left	36	2.22	2.27
02.	.	38	2.34	2.39
03.	.	92	5.67	5.80
04.	.	166	10.23	10.46
05.	.	204	12.58	12.85
06.	.	491	30.27	30.94
07.	.	152	9.37	9.58
08.	.	149	9.19	9.39
09.	.	122	7.52	7.69
10.	.	54	3.33	3.40
11.	Right	83	5.12	5.23
99.	NA	35	2.16	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V97 1:CHURCH AND POLITICS

Position: 129 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.31 Here we have noted two opposing points of view on the issue of church and politics. Please tell us with the help of this scale which view you hold. The more you hold one of the two viewpoints, the more you move your mark toward that side.
(Interviewer: Hand scale to the respondent)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1608
01.	The churches should have a say in politics	54	3.33	3.36
02.	.	35	2.16	2.18
03.	.	68	4.19	4.23
04.	.	93	5.73	5.78
05.	.	89	5.49	5.53
06.	.	148	9.12	9.20
07.	.	74	4.56	4.60
08.	.	104	6.41	6.47
09.	.	146	9.00	9.08
10.	.	178	10.97	11.07
11.	The churches should keep out of politics	619	38.16	38.50
99.	NA	14	0.86	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V98 1:UNIONS AND POLITICS

Position: 131 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.32 Here are once again two opposing points of view on the topic of labour unions and politics. Please use this scale again.
(Interviewer: Hand scale to respondent)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1605
01.	The labor unions should have a say in politics	183	11.28	11.40
02.	.	107	6.60	6.67
03.	.	174	10.73	10.84
04.	.	161	9.93	10.03
05.	.	140	8.63	8.72
06.	.	208	12.82	12.96
07.	.	98	6.04	6.11
08.	.	102	6.29	6.36
09.	.	103	6.35	6.42
10.	.	77	4.75	4.80
11.	The labor unions should keep out of politics	252	15.54	15.70
99.	NA	17	1.05	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V99 1:APPROVE GVT CHANGE

Position: 133 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.33 In October the SPD-FDP government was replaced by a new CDU/CSU and FDP government. Do you agree with the manner in which this change was brought about or do you not agree?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1603
1. Agree	525	32.37	32.75
2. Do not agree	1078	66.46	67.25
9. NA	19	1.17	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V100 1:FDP NOT LOYAL

Position: 134 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.34 After the SPD and the FDP parted the FDP was reproached for disloyalty and betrayal. Do you think this reproach is correct or not correct?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1581
1. Correct	938	57.83	59.33
2. Not correct	643	39.64	40.67
9. NA	41	2.53	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V101 1:EXPCT ELECT IN MARCH

Position: 135 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.35 Do you think that early elections for the Bundestag will be held in March 1983 or don't you think so?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1605
1. Yes, there will be early elections	1293	79.72	80.56
2. No, there will be no early elections	312	19.24	19.44
9. NA	17	1.05	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V102 1:MAJORTY PARTY GOOD

Position: 136 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.36 Would you consider it good if one of the large parties, the SPD or the CDU/CSU, would receive the absolute majority an upcoming elections for the Bundestag in March, or would you not consider that good?
(Interviewer: If "good", probe: For which party, SPD or CDU?)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1589
1. Yes, the SPD should receive the absolute majority	564	34.77	35.49
2. Yes, the CDU/CSU should receive the absolute majority	557	34.34	35.05
3. No, would not consider it good	468	28.85	29.45
9. NA	33	2.03	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V103 1:PREFERRED COALITION

Position: 137 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.37 If after the elections for the Bundestag no party can govern alone, which parties do you think should form the government together?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1394
1. CDU/CSU and FDP	453	27.93	32.50
2. SPD and CDU/CSU	462	28.48	33.14
3. SPD and the Greens	244	15.04	17.50
4. SPD and FDP	119	7.34	8.54
5. All parties	7	0.43	0.50
6. CDU/CSU alone	71	4.38	5.09
7. Other responses	38	2.34	2.73
9. NA	228	14.06	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V104 1:WHO WIN IN MARCH

Position: 138 FW1: 99
Length: 2

Q.38 What do you personally think: Who will win the elections for the Bundestag in March 1983?
(Interviewer: Allow only one response.)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1439
01.	CDU/CSU	915	56.41	63.59
02.	CDU/CSU and FDP	38	2.34	2.64
03.	SPD	426	26.26	29.60
04.	SPD and the Greens	10	0.62	0.69
05.	Kohl	4	0.25	0.28
06.	Strauss	0		
07.	Vogel	2	0.12	0.14
08.	FDP	1	0.06	0.07
09.	Other response	13	0.80	0.90
10.	No winner, neck-and-neck race, no absolute majority	30	1.85	2.08
99.	NA	183	11.28	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V105 1:FDP AGAIN IN PARLMNT ?

Position: 140 FW1: 9
Length: 1

Q.39 Do you think that in the March elections the FDP will return to the Bundestag by gaining at least 5% of the votes or do you think that the FDP will then not be represented in the Bundestag?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1588
1.	FDP will be represented in the next Bundestag	610	37.61	38.41
2.	FDP will not be represented in the next Bundestag	978	60.30	61.59
9.	NA	34	2.10	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V106 1:PREFERRED CHANCELLOR

Position: 141 FW1: 0
Length: 1

Q.40 According to you: Whom would you prefer as chancellor?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1476
1. Kohl	421	25.96	28.52
2. Strauss	85	5.24	5.76
3. Stoltenberg	60	3.70	4.07
4. Albrecht	18	1.11	1.22
5. Vogel	256	15.78	17.34
6. Schmidt	530	32.68	35.91
7. Brandt	28	1.73	1.90
8. Genscher	14	0.86	0.95
9. Other names	64	3.95	4.34
0. NA	146	9.00	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V107 1:HOUSEHOLD SIZE

Position: 142
Length: 2

S.A How many persons permanently live in your household?
I mean people who sleep and eat here, including yourself.

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
01. One person	424	26.14
02. Two persons	529	32.61
03. .	306	18.87
04. .	250	15.41
05. .	78	4.81
06. .	25	1.54
07. .	3	0.18
08. .	4	0.25
09. .	1	0.06
10. Ten or more persons	2	0.12
	1622	100.00

V108 1:PERSONS OVER 18 YRS

Position: 144
Length: 1

S.B (Informal question) Number of persons in the household aged 18 and above.

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. One person	448	27.62
2. Two persons	878	54.13
3. .	195	12.02
4. .	86	5.30
5. .	13	0.80
6. Six persons	2	0.12
	1622	100.00

V109 1:SEX OF RESPONDENT

Position: 145
Length: 1

S.C Respondent's sex is:

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Male	721	44.45
2. Female	901	55.55
	1622	100.00

V110 1:AGE OF RESPONDENT

Position: 146
Length: 2

S.D Would you please tell me your age?

Actual number is coded.

18. Eighteen years old
.
.
94. Ninety-four years old

V111 1:MARITAL STATUS

Position: 148
Length: 1

S.E Respondent's marital status is:

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Married	969	59.74
2. Single	313	19.30
3. Divorced, separated	97	5.98
4. Widowed	243	14.98
	1622	100.00

V112 1:KIND OF SCHOOL

Position: 149
Length: 1

S.F What kind of school did you last attend; I mean in which kind of school did you complete your education?
(Interviewer: Hand list S.1 to the respondent)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1.	Elementary school, without completed apprenticeship or vocational training	352	21.70
2.	Elementary school, with completed apprenticeship or vocational training	734	45.25
3.	Secondary school, trade or commercial school	145	8.94
4.	Secondary school, with tenth grade diploma ("mittlere Reife"), trade or commercial school with diploma	159	9.80
5.	Secondary school, beyond tenth grade, but without "Abitur"	45	2.77
6.	Higher specialized or technical school, with diploma ("Fachschule mit Abschluss")	41	2.53
7.	Secondary school, through thirteenth grade ("Abitur")	78	4.81
8.	University, without degree	20	1.23
9.	University, with degree	48	2.96
		1622	100.00

V113 1:EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Position: 150
Length: 2

S.G Are you presently employed, or were you ever employed,
(Interviewer: Hand list S.2 to the respondent)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%
01.	Presently in full-time employment (including family dependents who help in a family-owned business), not apprentices	638	39.33
02.	Presently in part-time employment (including family dependents who help in a family-owned business), not apprentices	99	6.10
03.	Presently working reduced hours	13	0.80
04.	Temporarily unemployed	42	2.59
05.	At present not employed; previously employed	271	16.71
06.	Retired, receiving pension, etc.	300	18.50
07.	Never been employed	160	9.86
08.	Presently in vocational training as apprentice	16	0.99
09.	Presently in other type of vocational training, e.g.higher specialized or technical school, or reschooling	12	0.74
10.	Presently in school, including university	71	4.38
		1622	100.00

V114 1:OCCUPATIONAL GROUP

Position: 152 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

S.H (If R is or was employed) To which of these occupational groups do you (or did you) belong?
(Interviewer: Hand list S.3 to the respondent)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1351
01.	Self-employed - lower level (e.g., small shop keeper, craftsman)	49	3.02	3.63
02.	Self-employed - medium level (e.g., proprietor of a larger store, chief sales representative)	23	1.42	1.70
03.	Owner or executive of a large business	4	0.25	0.30
04.	Professional	15	0.92	1.11
05.	Salaried white-collar employee - lower level (e.g., sales clerk, secretary)	303	18.68	22.43
06.	Salaried white-collar employee - medium level (e.g., accountant, cashier)	224	13.81	16.58
07.	Salaried white-collar employee with university education ("wissenschaftliche Angestellte")	27	1.66	2.00
08.	Salaried white-collar employee in a managerial position (e.g., department head, direction)	37	2.28	2.74
09.	Civil servant - low level ("Beamte des einfachen Dienstes")	14	0.86	1.04
10.	Civil servant - medium level ("Beamte des mittleren Dienstes")	56	3.45	4.15
11.	Civil servant - high level ("Beamte des gehobenen Dienstes")	28	1.73	2.07
12.	Civil servant - highest level ("Beamte des hoeheren Dienstes")	13	0.80	0.96
13.	Semi-skilled or unskilled worker	193	11.90	14.29
14.	Skilled worker	319	19.67	23.61
15.	Farm worker	13	0.80	0.96
16.	Farmer, small farm	15	0.92	1.11
17.	Farmer, medium-sized farm	17	1.05	1.26
18.	Farmer, large farm	1	0.06	0.07
99.	NA	12	0.74	.
00.	INAP., coded 07-10 in S.G	259	15.97	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V115 1:RELATIONSHIP TO HH

Position: 154
Length: 1

S.J Are you the head of this household, or what is your relation to the head of household?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1.	R is head of household	1016	62.64
2.	R is the spouse of the head of household	479	29.53
3.	R is a child (including son- or daughter-in-law) of head of household	108	6.66
4.	R is parent (or parent-in-law) of head of household	4	0.25
5.	Other	15	0.92
		1622	100.00

V116 1:HH EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Position: 155 FW1: 0
Length: 2

S.K (If R is not the head of the household)
Is the head of the household employed? Which of the categories on this list is appropriate?
(Interviewer: Hand list S.2 to the respondent again)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 606
01.	Presently in full-time employment (including family dependents who help in a family-owned business), not apprentices	477	29.41	78.71
02.	Presently in part-time employment (including family dependents who help in a family-owned business), not apprentices	8	0.49	1.32
03.	Presently working reduced hours	4	0.25	0.66
04.	Temporarily unemployed	12	0.74	1.98
05.	At present not employed; previously employed	4	0.25	0.66
06.	Retired, receiving pension, etc.	96	5.92	15.84
07.	Never been employed	2	0.12	0.33
08.	Presently in vocational training as apprentice	0		
09.	Presently in other type of vocational training, e.g., reschooling	1	0.06	0.17
10.	Presently in school, including university	2	0.12	0.33
00.	INAP., coded 1 in S.J	1016	62.64	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V117 1:HH OCCUPATIONAL GROUP

Position: 157 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

S.L (If HH is or was employed and R is not head of household) To which occupational group does (or did) the head of the household belong?
(Interviewer: Hand list S.3 to the respondent again)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 593
01.	Self-employed - lower level (e.g., small shop keeper, craftsman)	36	2.22	6.07
02.	Self-employed - medium level (e.g., proprietor of a larger store, chief sales representative)	23	1.42	3.88
03.	Owner or executive of a large business	5	0.31	0.84
04.	Professional	8	0.49	1.35
05.	Salaried white-collar employee - lower level (e.g., sales clerk, secretary)	39	2.40	6.58
06.	Salaried white-collar employee - medium level (e.g., accountant, cashier)	110	6.78	18.55
07.	Salaried white-collar employee with university education ("wissenschaftliche Angestellte")	6	0.37	1.01
08.	Salaried white-collar employee in a managerial position (e.g., department head, direction)	34	2.10	5.73
09.	Civil servant - low level ("Beamte des einfachen Dienstes")	14	0.86	2.36
10.	Civil servant - medium level ("Beamte des mittleren Dienstes")	20	1.23	3.37
11.	Civil servant - high level ("Beamte des gehobenen Dienstes")	20	1.23	3.37
12.	Civil servant - highest level ("Beamte des hoeheren Dienstes")	12	0.74	2.02
13.	Semi-skilled or unskilled worker	56	3.45	9.44
14.	Skilled worker	194	11.96	32.72
15.	Farm worker	6	0.37	1.01
16.	Farmer, small farm	4	0.25	0.67
17.	Farmer, medium-sized farm	6	0.37	1.01
18.	Farmer, large farm	0		
99.	NA	13	0.80	.
00.	INAP., coded 1 in S.J	1016	62.64	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V118 1:UNION MEMBERSHIP

Position: 159 FW1: 9
Length: 1

S.M Are you or is someone else in your household a member of a labor union?
(Interviewer: Allow only one response)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1581
1.	Yes, respondent	277	17.08	17.52
2.	Yes, only someone else	185	11.41	11.70
3.	Yes, R and other	42	2.59	2.66
4.	No	1077	66.40	68.12
9.	DK	41	2.53	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V119 1:RELIGION

Position: 160
Length: 1

S.N What is your religious preference?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Catholic	686	42.29
2. Protestant/Lutheran	817	50.37
3. Other	19	1.17
4. None	100	6.17
	1622	100.00

V120 1:CHURCH ATTENDANCE

Position: 161 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

S.0 (If R has a religious preference) Generally, how frequently do you attend church? Please give just the appropriate letter on this list.
(Interviewer: Hand list S.4 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1520
1. (A) Every Sunday	150	9.25	9.87
2. (B) Almost every Sunday	179	11.04	11.78
3. (C) Now and then	408	25.15	26.84
4. (D) Once a year	274	16.89	18.03
5. (E) Less often	308	18.99	20.26
6. (F) Never	201	12.39	13.22
9. NA	2	0.12	.
0. INAP., coded 4 or 9 in S.N	100	6.17	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V121 1:PARTY PREFERENCE

Position: 162 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 8

S.P Many people in the Federal Republic lean toward a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party. How about you? Do you in general lean toward a particular party? If so, which one?
(Interviewer: Allow only one response)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1138
1. SPD	557	34.34	48.95
2. CDU	313	19.30	27.50
3. CDU/CSU	105	6.47	9.23
4. CSU	78	4.81	6.85
5. FDP	34	2.10	2.99
6. The Greens	44	2.71	3.87
7. Other	7	0.43	0.62
8. No party leaning	361	22.26	.
9. DK	42	2.59	.
0. Refused to answer	81	4.99	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V122 1:STRENGTH OF PART PREF

Position: 163 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

S.Q (If R leans toward a party) Taken altogether, how strongly or weakly do you lean toward this particular party: very strongly, fairly strongly, moderately, fairly weakly, or very weakly?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1134
1. Very strongly	158	9.74	13.93
2. Fairly strongly	462	28.48	40.74
3. Moderately	441	27.19	38.89
4. Fairly weakly	51	3.14	4.50
5. Very weakly	22	1.36	1.94
9. NA	4	0.25	.
0. INAP., coded 0, 8 or 9 in S.P	484	29.84	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V123 1:NEIGHBORHD OCCUPATIONS

Position: 164 FW1: 9
Length: 1

S.R Let us assume a visitor from elsewhere asked you which occupational groups are particularly strongly represented in this neighborhood. Which of the descriptions on this list would you give as an answer? Please simply name the appropriate letter.
(Interviewer: Hand list S.5 to the respondent; allow only one response)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1552
1.	(A) Almost only workers	128	7.89	8.25
2.	(B) Predominantly workiers, but also civil servants and salaried white-collar employees	388	23.92	25.00
3.	(C) Almost only salaried white-collar employees, civil servants and self-employed	188	11.59	12.11
4.	(D) Predominantly salaried white-collar employees and civil servants, but also workers	251	15.47	16.17
5.	(E) Almost only farmers	11	0.68	0.71
6.	(F) Predominantly farmers, but also workers	40	2.47	2.58
7.	(G) Predominantly farmers, but also salaried white-collar employees, and civil servants	21	1.29	1.35
8.	Mixed	525	32.37	33.83
9.	DK	70	4.32	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V124 1:STATE - BUNDESLAND

Position: 165
Length: 2

S.S Federal state in which interview was conducted.

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%
01.	Sleswig-Holstein ("Schleswig-Holstein")	78	4.81
02.	Hamburg	49	3.02
03.	Lower Saxony	204	12.58
04.	Bremen	24	1.48
05.	Northrhine-Westphalia ("Nordrhein-Westfalen")	483	29.78
06.	Hesse ("Hessen")	156	9.62
07.	Rhineland-Palatinate ("Rheinland-Pfalz")	87	5.36
08.	Baden-Wuerttemberg	226	13.93
09.	Bavaria ("Bayern")	289	17.82
10.	Saarland	26	1.60
		1622	100.00

V125 1:ADMIN DISTRCT IDENTIFI

Position: 167
Length: 5

S.T1 Administrative District Identification Code

Siehe Note Nr. 1

V126 1:SIZE OF TOWN

Position: 172
Length: 1

S.T2 Size of Town

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1. Less than 2,000 inhabitants	97	5.98
2. 2,000-3,000	35	2.16
3. 3,000-5,000	57	3.51
4. 5,000-20,000	383	23.61
5. 20,000-30,000	138	8.51
6. 30,000-50,000	138	8.51
7. 50,000-100,000	133	8.20
8. 100,000-200,000	178	10.97
9. 200,000-500,000	186	11.47
0. 500,000 and more	277	17.08

++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
I I
I Second Pre-Election Survey I
I I
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++

V127 2:ECON SITUATION TODAY

Position: 173 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.1 How would you, quite generally, evaluate the present economic situation in the Federal Republic: very good, good, part good/part bad, bad, or very bad?
(Interviewer: Hand list no.1 to the respondent.)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1191
1. Very good	0		
2. Good	147	9.06	12.34
3. Part good/part bad	583	35.94	48.95
4. Bad	423	26.08	35.52
5. Very bad	38	2.34	3.19
9. NA	3	0.18	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V128 2:ECON SITUATN IN A YEAR

Position: 174 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.2 And how will it be in a year? Do you expect that the general economic situation in the Federal Republic will then be substantially better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse, or substantially worse?
(Interviewer: Hand list no.2 to the respondent.)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1186
1. Substantially better	9	0.55	0.76
2. Somewhat better	356	21.95	30.02
3. The same	636	39.21	53.63
4. Somewhat worse	170	10.48	14.33
5. Substantially worse	15	0.92	1.26
9. NA	8	0.49	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V129 2:INTEREST IN POLITICS

Position: 175 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.3 Quite generally, are you interested in politics?

Q.3A (If R is interested in politics) How strongly are you interested in politics: very strongly, strongly, or not so strongly?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1191
1. Yes, very strongly	90	5.55	7.56
2. Yes, strongly	293	18.06	24.60
3. Yes, not so strongly	206	12.70	17.30
4. No, not particularly	451	27.81	37.87
5. No, not at all	151	9.31	12.68
9. NA	3	0.18	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V130 2:VOTE MARCH ELECTION

Position: 176 FW1: 0
Length: 1

Q.4 Elections for the Bundestag on March 6, are you going to vote?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1194
1. Yes	1056	65.10	88.44
2. Yes, will vote by absentee vote	22	1.36	1.84
3. Don't know	86	5.30	7.20
4. No	30	1.85	2.51
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V131 2:CANDIDATE VOTE INTENT

Position: 177 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.5 (If R would vote on March 6)
Here is another voting ballot similar to the one you will receive for the upcoming elections for the Bundestag. Again, you have two votes. The first vote is for a candidate here in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party.

For which candidate will you vote? Please, tell me the number of the candidate.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.3 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1112
1. (1) CDU candidate	493	30.39	44.33
2. (2) SPD candidate	538	33.17	48.38
3. (3) FDP candidate	25	1.54	2.25
4. (4) The Greens candidate	45	2.77	4.05
5. (5) NPD candidate	1	0.06	0.09
6. (6) DKP candidate	2	0.12	0.18
7. Candidate of another party	8	0.49	0.72
9. NA	52	3.21	.
0. INAP., coded 4 in Q.4; no second wave interview	458	28.24	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V132 2:PARTY VOTE INTENTION

Position: 178 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.5A (If R would vote on March 6)
And for which party would you vote? Please, tell me the number of the party.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.3 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1114
1. (1) CDU	486	29.96	43.63
2. (2) SPD	522	32.18	46.86
3. (3) FDP	44	2.71	3.95
4. (4) The Greens	53	3.27	4.76
5. (5) NPD	1	0.06	0.09
6. (6) DKP	2	0.12	0.18
7. Candidate of another party	6	0.37	0.54
9. NA	50	3.08	.
0. INAP., coded 4 in Q.4; no second wave interview	458	28.24	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V133 2:SURELY VOTE LIKE THIS

Position: 179 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.5B Are you sure that you will stick to your decision or aren't you quite sure?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1170
1. Sure	966	59.56	82.56
2. Not quite sure	204	12.58	17.44
9. NA	24	1.48	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V134 2:WHEN DID R DECIDE VOTE

Position: 180 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.5C Can you please tell me when you reached this decision? Was this a long time ago, only a few months ago, or did you make this decision in the last few weeks?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1156
1. A long time ago	760	46.86	65.74
2. A few months ago	215	13.26	18.60
3. In the last few weeks	181	11.16	15.66
9. NA	38	2.34	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V135 2:APPROVE MARCH ELECTN

Position: 181 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.6 Do you think it is a good thing that there will be early elections for the Bundestag on March 6 or don't you think that is good ?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1168
1. Is good	982	60.54	84.08
2. Is not good	186	11.47	15.92
9. NA	26	1.60	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V136 2:CANDIDATE VOTE 1980

Position: 182 FW1: 0
Length: 2 FW2: 8

Q.7 How about the elections for the Bundestag in October 1980: How did you then decide? Here is a voting ballot similar to the one you received in 1980.

For which candidate did you vote? Please, tell me the number of the candidate.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.3 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1083
01. (1) CDU candidate	440	27.13	40.63
02. (2) SPD candidate	561	34.59	51.80
03. (3) FDP candidate	58	3.58	5.36
04. (4) The Greens candidate	16	0.99	1.48
05. (5) NPD candidate	0		
06. (6) DKP candidate	2	0.12	0.18
07. Candidate of another party	6	0.37	0.55
08. Did not vote	42	2.59	.
09. Was not eligible to vote in 1980	35	2.16	.
99. NA	34	2.10	.
00. INAP., coded 4 in Q.4; no second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V137 2:PARTY VOTE 1980

Position: 184 FW1: 0
Length: 2 FW2: 8

Q.7A And for which party did you then vote? Please, tell me the number of the party.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.3 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1081
01. (1) CDU	430	26.51	39.78
02. (2) SPD	549	33.85	50.79
03. (3) FDP	81	4.99	7.49
04. (4) The Greens	14	0.86	1.30
05. (5) NPD	0		
06. (6) DKP	1	0.06	0.09
07. Voted for another party	6	0.37	0.56
08. Did not vote	41	2.53	.
09. Was not eligible to vote in 1980	35	2.16	.
99. NA	37	2.28	.
00. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V138 2:SPD RANKING

Position: 186 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8 Here are five cards with the names of political parties in the Federal Republic. Would you please rank these cards according to how well you like the parties. On top should be the party which you like best, at the bottom then will be the party which you like least.
(Interviewer: Shuffle white deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.)

Q.8(1) Preference rank which R gives to SPD

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1146
1. First rank (likes best)	548	33.79	47.82
2. Second rank	123	7.58	10.73
3. Third rank	146	9.00	12.74
4. Fourth rank	301	18.56	26.27
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	28	1.73	2.44
9. NA	48	2.96	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V139 2:CDU RANKING

Position: 187 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8(2) Preference rank which R gives to CDU

<see Q.8 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1135
1. First rank (likes best)	421	25.96	37.09
2. Second rank	393	24.23	34.63
3. Third rank	201	12.39	17.71
4. Fourth rank	107	6.60	9.43
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	13	0.80	1.15
9. NA	59	3.64	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V140 2:CSU RANKING

Position: 188 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8(3) Preference rank which R gives to CSU

<see Q.8 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1116
1. First rank (likes best)	107	6.60	9.59
2. Second rank	306	18.87	27.42
3. Third rank	240	14.80	21.51
4. Fourth rank	252	15.54	22.58
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	211	13.01	18.91
9. NA	78	4.81	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V141 2:FDP RANKING

Position: 189 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8(4) Preference rank which R gives to FDP

<see Q.8 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1119
1. First rank (likes best)	27	1.66	2.41
2. Second rank	154	9.49	13.76
3. Third rank	454	27.99	40.57
4. Fourth rank	307	18.93	27.44
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	177	10.91	15.82
9. NA	75	4.62	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V142 2:GREENS RANKING

Position: 190 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8(5) Preference rank which R gives to the Greens

<see Q.8 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1122
1. First rank (likes best)	58	3.58	5.17
2. Second rank	162	9.99	14.44
3. Third rank	75	4.62	6.68
4. Fourth rank	139	8.57	12.39
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	688	42.42	61.32
9. NA	72	4.44	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V143 2:NEVER VOTE SPD

Position: 191 FW1: 8
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8A Is there a party or are there several parties for whom you would not vote under any circumstances? If yes, which party/parties are these?

Q.8A(1) Yes, would never vote for the SPD

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 967
1. Mentioned	118	7.27	12.20
0. Not mentioned	849	52.34	87.80
8. NA entire question	227	14.00	.
9. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V144 2:NEVER VOTE CDU

Position: 192 FW1: 8
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8A(2) Yes, R would never vote for the CDU

<see Q.8A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 967
1. Mentioned	113	6.97	11.69
0. Not mentioned	854	52.65	88.31
8. NA entire question	227	14.00	.
9. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V145 2:NEVER VOTE CSU

Position: 193 FW1: 8
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8A(3) Yes, R would never vote for the CSU

<see Q.8A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 967
1. Mentioned	250	15.41	25.85
0. Not mentioned	717	44.20	74.15
8. NA entire question	227	14.00	.
9. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V146 2:NEVER VOTE FDP

Position: 194 FW1: 8
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8A(4) Yes, R would never vote for the FDP

<see Q.8A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 967
1. Mentioned	312	19.24	32.26
0. Not mentioned	655	40.38	67.74
8. NA entire question	227	14.00	.
9. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V147 2:NEVER VOTE GREENS

Position: 195 FW1: 8
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8A(5) Yes, R would never vote for the Greens

<see Q.8A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 967
1. Mentioned	642	39.58	66.39
0. Not mentioned	325	20.04	33.61
8. NA entire question	227	14.00	.
9. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V148 2:THINK OF SPD

Position: 196 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.9 And what do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.4 to the respondent and read.)
+5 means that you think a great deal of the party. -5 means that you do not think much of it at all. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q.9(A) What do you think of the SPD?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1188
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	45	2.77	3.79
02.		33	2.03	2.78
03.		82	5.06	6.90
04.		65	4.01	5.47
05.		65	4.01	5.47
06.	Undecided	120	7.40	10.10
07.		133	8.20	11.20
08.		144	8.88	12.12
09.		191	11.78	16.08
10.		151	9.31	12.71
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	159	9.80	13.38
99.	NA	6	0.37	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V149 2:THINK OF CDU

Position: 198 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.9(B) And what do you think of the CDU?

<see Q.9 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1188
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	39	2.40	3.28
02.		29	1.79	2.44
03.		53	3.27	4.46
04.		59	3.64	4.97
05.		63	3.88	5.30
06.	Undecided	138	8.51	11.62
07.		151	9.31	12.71
08.		144	8.88	12.12
09.		203	12.52	17.09
10.		160	9.86	13.47
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	149	9.19	12.54
99.	NA	6	0.37	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V150 2:THINK OF CSU

Position: 200 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.9(C) And what do you think of the CSU?

<see Q.9 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1186
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	131	8.08	11.05
02.		65	4.01	5.48
03.		64	3.95	5.40
04.		66	4.07	5.56
05.		84	5.18	7.08
06.	Undecided	162	9.99	13.66
07.		127	7.83	10.71
08.		130	8.01	10.96
09.		151	9.31	12.73
10.		104	6.41	8.77
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	102	6.29	8.60
99.	NA	8	0.49	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V151 2:THINK OF FDP

Position: 202 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.9(D) And what do you think of the FDP?

<see Q.9 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1183
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	142	8.75	12.00
02.		80	4.93	6.76
03.		107	6.60	9.04
04.		106	6.54	8.96
05.		96	5.92	8.11
06.	Undecided	197	12.15	16.65
07.		162	9.99	13.69
08.		138	8.51	11.67
09.		110	6.78	9.30
10.		29	1.79	2.45
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	16	0.99	1.35
99.	NA	11	0.68	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V152 2:THINK OF GREENS

Position: 204 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.9(E) And what do you think of the Greens?

<see Q.9 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1180
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	353	21.76	29.92
02.		127	7.83	10.76
03.		110	6.78	9.32
04.		83	5.12	7.03
05.		87	5.36	7.37
06.	Undecided	155	9.56	13.14
07.		90	5.55	7.63
08.		71	4.38	6.02
09.		61	3.76	5.17
10.		30	1.85	2.54
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	13	0.80	1.10
99.	NA	14	0.86	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V153 2:SATISFIED W GOVERNMENT

Position: 206 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.10 Are you more satisfied or more dissatisfied with what the present CDU/CSU-FDP government in Bonn has accomplished so far?
Please, tell me with the aid of this scale.
+5 means that you are completely satisfied with the present government in Bonn. -5 means that you are not at all satisfied with what the government has accomplished so far.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.5 to the respondent)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1189
01.	-5 -- not at all satisfied	55	3.39	4.63
02.		51	3.14	4.29
03.		77	4.75	6.48
04.		74	4.56	6.22
05.		67	4.13	5.63
06.	Undecided	195	12.02	16.40
07.		164	10.11	13.79
08.		162	9.99	13.62
09.		182	11.22	15.31
10.		88	5.43	7.40
11.	+5 -- completely satisfied	74	4.56	6.22
99.	NA	5	0.31	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V154 2:SATISFIED W OPPOSITION

Position: 208 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.10A And how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the performance of the SPD opposition in Bonn?
Please, tell me with the aid of this scale.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.5 to the respondent)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1187
01.	-5 -- not at all satisfied	43	2.65	3.62
02.		43	2.65	3.62
03.		73	4.50	6.15
04.		86	5.30	7.25
05.		108	6.66	9.10
06.	Undecided	247	15.23	20.81
07.		179	11.04	15.08
08.		153	9.43	12.89
09.		130	8.01	10.95
10.		79	4.87	6.66
11.	+5 -- completely satisfied	46	2.84	3.88
99.	NA	7	0.43	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V155 2:SATISFIED W TOP CAND

Position: 210 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.10B Are you satisfied or are you rather dissatisfied with the leading candidate put forward by your party?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 972
1.	Satisfied	853	52.59	87.76
2.	Dissatisfied	119	7.34	12.24
9.	NA	222	13.69	.
0.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V156 2:THINK OF BRANDT

Position: 211 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.11 Please tell by using this scale what you think about some leading politicians.
(Interviewer: Shuffle pink deck of cards and present the cards one at a time together with list no.6 to the respondent)
+5 means that you think a great deal of the particular politician. -5 means that you do not think much of him at all. If you do not know one or the other of the politicians you do not have to rate them, of course.

Q.11A What do you think of Willy Brandt?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1188
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	126	7.77	10.61
02.		63	3.88	5.30
03.		75	4.62	6.31
04.		81	4.99	6.82
05.		82	5.06	6.90
06.	Undecided	155	9.56	13.05
07.		151	9.31	12.71
08.		137	8.45	11.53
09.		160	9.86	13.47
10.		86	5.30	7.24
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	72	4.44	6.06
99.	NA	6	0.37	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V157 2:THINK OF GENSCHER

Position: 213 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.11B What do you think of Hans-Dietrich Genscher?

<see Q.11 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1189
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	190	11.71	15.98
02.		90	5.55	7.57
03.		107	6.60	9.00
04.		97	5.98	8.16
05.		106	6.54	8.92
06.	Undecided	187	11.53	15.73
07.		150	9.25	12.62
08.		128	7.89	10.77
09.		90	5.55	7.57
10.		30	1.85	2.52
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	14	0.86	1.18
99.	NA	5	0.31	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V158 2:THINK OF KOHL

Position: 215 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.11C And what do you think of Helmut Kohl?

<see Q.11 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1191
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	55	3.39	4.62
02.		58	3.58	4.87
03.		72	4.44	6.05
04.		54	3.33	4.53
05.		68	4.19	5.71
06.	Undecided	148	9.12	12.43
07.		129	7.95	10.83
08.		137	8.45	11.50
09.		164	10.11	13.77
10.		151	9.31	12.68
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	155	9.56	13.01
99.	NA	3	0.18	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V159 2:THINK OF LAMBSDORFF

Position: 217 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.11D What do you think of Otto Graf Lambsdorff?

<see Q.11 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1176
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	131	8.08	11.14
02.		75	4.62	6.38
03.		88	5.43	7.48
04.		82	5.06	6.97
05.		107	6.60	9.10
06.	Undecided	218	13.44	18.54
07.		189	11.65	16.07
08.		138	8.51	11.73
09.		97	5.98	8.25
10.		37	2.28	3.15
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	14	0.86	1.19
99.	NA	18	1.11	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V160 2:THINK OF SCHMIDT

Position: 219 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.11E And what do you think of Helmut Schmidt?

<see Q.11 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1190
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	10	0.62	0.84
02.		15	0.92	1.26
03.		17	1.05	1.43
04.		32	1.97	2.69
05.		48	2.96	4.03
06.	Undecided	115	7.09	9.66
07.		137	8.45	11.51
08.		144	8.88	12.10
09.		202	12.45	16.97
10.		187	11.53	15.71
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	283	17.45	23.78
99.	NA	4	0.25	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V161 2:THINK OF STOLTENBERG

Position: 221 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.11F What do you think of Gerhard Stoltenberg?

<see Q.11 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1178
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	19	1.17	1.61
02.		37	2.28	3.14
03.		46	2.84	3.90
04.		48	2.96	4.07
05.		57	3.51	4.84
06.	Undecided	221	13.63	18.76
07.		204	12.58	17.32
08.		176	10.85	14.94
09.		177	10.91	15.03
10.		132	8.14	11.21
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	61	3.76	5.18
99.	NA	16	0.99	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V162 2:THINK OF STRAUSS

Position: 223 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.11G And what do you think of Franz-Josef Strauss?

<see Q.11 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1188
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	207	12.76	17.42
02.		94	5.80	7.91
03.		86	5.30	7.24
04.		88	5.43	7.41
05.		74	4.56	6.23
06.	Undecided	128	7.89	10.77
07.		105	6.47	8.84
08.		95	5.86	8.00
09.		120	7.40	10.10
10.		0		
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	191	11.78	16.08
99.	NA	6	0.37	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V163 2:THINK OF VOGEL

Position: 225 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.11H What do you think of Jochen Vogel?

<see Q.11 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1186
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	45	2.77	3.79
02.		33	2.03	2.78
03.		55	3.39	4.64
04.		69	4.25	5.82
05.		60	3.70	5.06
06.	Undecided	157	9.68	13.24
07.		138	8.51	11.64
08.		166	10.23	14.00
09.		175	10.79	14.76
10.		133	8.20	11.21
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	155	9.56	13.07
99.	NA	8	0.49	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V164 2:GOOD/BAD SPD -- FIRST

Position: 227 FW1: 00000
Length: 5 FW2: 99999

Now could you please tell me what, in your opinion, are the good and the bad points of the following political parties: the SPD, the CDU/CSU, and the FDP and the Greens.

Q.12 Let's take the SPD first: What do you like about the SPD?

(Interviewer: Record actual words as completely as possible, even where R repeats him/herself.
Probe: What else do you like about the SPD? Is there anything else?
Accept "don't know" only after intensive probing and if R insists that he/she cannot think of anything at all.)

Q.13 And what do you dislike about the SPD?

Q.12(1) and Q.13(1) Likes and dislikes about the SPD -- first mention

Siehe Note Nr. 2

99999. NA
00000. No second wave interview

V165 2:GOOD/BAD SPD -- SECOND

Position: 232 FW1: 00000
Length: 5 FW2: 99999

Q.12(2) and Q.13(2) Likes and dislikes about the SPD -- second mention

<see Q.12, Q.13 for complete question text>

Siehe Note Nr. 2

99999. NA
00000. No second wave interview

V166 2:GOOD/BAD SPD -- THIRD

Position: 237 FW1: 00000
Length: 5 FW2: 99999

Q.12(3) and Q.13(3) Likes and dislikes about the SPD -- third mention

<see Q.12, Q.13 for complete question text>

Siehe Note Nr. 2

00000. No third mention, no second wave interview

V167 2:GOOD/BAD CDU -- FIRST

Position: 242 FW1: 00000
Length: 5 FW2: 99999

Q.14 And now, how about the good and the bad points of the CDU/CSU. What do you like about the CDU/CSU?

Q.15 And what do you dislike about the CDU/CSU?

Q.14(1) and Q.15(1) Likes and dislikes about CDU/CSU -- first mention

Siehe Note Nr. 2

99999. NA
00000. No second wave interview

V168 2:GOOD/BAD CDU -- SECOND

Position: 247 FW1: 00000
Length: 5 FW2: 99999

Q.14(2) and Q.15(2) Likes and dislikes about CDU/CSU -- second mention

<see Q.14, Q.15 for complete question text>

Siehe Note Nr. 2

99999. NA
00000. No second wave interview

V169 2:GOOD/BAD CDU -- THIRD

Position: 252 FW1: 00000
Length: 5 FW2: 99999

Q.14(3) and Q.15(3) Likes and dislikes about CDU/CSU -- third mention

<see Q.14, Q.15 for complete question text>

Siehe Note Nr. 2

99999. NA
00000. No second wave interview

V170 2:GOOD/BAD FDP -- FIRST

Position: 257 FW1: 00000
Length: 5 FW2: 99999

Q.16 And now, how about the good and the bad points of the FDP. What do you like about the FDP?

Q.17 What do you dislike about the FDP?

Q.16(1) and Q.17(1) Likes and dislikes about the FDP -- first mention

Siehe Note Nr. 2

99999. NA
00000. No second wave interview

V171 2:GOOD/BAD FDP -- SECOND

Position: 262 FW1: 00000
Length: 5 FW2: 99999

Q.16(2) and 17(2) Likes and dislikes about the FDP -- second mention

<see Q.16, Q.17 for complete question text>

Siehe Note Nr. 2

99999. NA
00000. No second wave interview

V172 2:GOOD/BAD FDP -- THIRD

Position: 267 FW1: 00000
Length: 5 FW2: 99999

Q.16(3) and Q.17(3) Likes and dislikes about FDP -- third mention

<see Q.16, Q.17 for complete question text>

Siehe Note Nr. 2

99999. NA
00000. No second wave interview

V173 2:GOOD/BAD GREENS - FIRS

Position: 272 FW1: 00000
Length: 5 FW2: 99999

Q.18 And now, how about the good and the bad points of the Greens. What do you like about the Greens?

Q.19 And what do you dislike about the Greens?

Q.18(1) and Q.19(1) Likes and dislikes about the Greens -- first mention

Siehe Note Nr. 2

99999. NA
00000. No second wave interview

V174 2:GOOD/BAD GREENS - SECN

Position: 277 FW1: 00000
Length: 5 FW2: 99999

Q.18(2) and Q.19(2) Likes and dislikes about the Greens -- second mention

<see Q.18, Q.19 for complete question text>

Siehe Note Nr. 2

99999. NA
00000. No second wave interview

V175 2:GOOD/BAD GREENS - THIR

Position: 282 FW1: 00000
Length: 5 FW2: 99999

Q.18(3) and Q.19(3) Likes and dislikes about the Greens -- third mention

<see Q.18, Q.19 for complete question text>

Siehe Note Nr. 2

99999. NA
00000. No second wave interview

V176 2:PERS FINANCL SITUATION

Position: 287 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.20 How do you evaluate your own financial situation today: very good, good, part good/part bad, bad, or very bad? (Interviewer: Hand list no.1 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1190
1. Very good	8	0.49	0.67
2. Good	552	34.03	46.39
3. Part good/part bad	506	31.20	42.52
4. Bad	111	6.84	9.33
5. Very bad	13	0.80	1.09
9. NA	4	0.25	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V177 2:PERS FINC STN IN A YR

Position: 288 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.20A What do you think your own financial situation will be in a year from now? Do you expect that your own financial situation then will be substantially better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse, or substantially worse? (Interviewer: Hand list no.2 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1187
1. Substantially better	7	0.43	0.59
2. Somewhat better	191	11.78	16.09
3. The same	848	52.28	71.44
4. Somewhat worse	130	8.01	10.95
5. Substantially worse	11	0.68	0.93
9. NA	7	0.43	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V178 2:GOVNMT MAKE DIFFERENCE

Position: 289 FW1: 0
Length: 1

Q.21 Does it make a great difference, some difference or practically no difference at all in your financial situation who governs in Bonn ?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1194
1. Great difference	260	16.03	21.78
2. Some difference	452	27.87	37.86
3. No difference at all	482	29.72	40.37
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V179 2:WHICH GOVNMT BETTER

Position: 290 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.21A (If it makes a difference in R's financial situation who governs in Bonn) And who would have to govern in Bonn in order for you to be satisfied with your financial situation?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 649
1. CDU/CSU plus FDP	53	3.27	8.17
2. SPD plus CDU/CSU	10	0.62	1.54
3. SPD plus the Greens	38	2.34	5.86
4. SPD plus FDPCDU (CDU/CSU)	4	0.25	0.62
5. All parties	0		
6. CDU/CSU alone	262	16.15	40.37
7. SPD alone	272	16.77	41.91
8. Other responses	10	0.62	1.54
9. NA	63	3.88	.
0. INAP., coded 3 in Q.21; no second wave interview	910	56.10	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V180 2:EXPERNCE W UNEMPLOYMNT

Position: 291 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.22 Have you personally, someone in your family or any of your close friends been unemployed?
(Interviewer: Ask one by one)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1193
1. Yes, only myself	16	0.99	1.34
2. Yes, someone in my family	58	3.58	4.86
3. Yes, only close friends	250	15.41	20.96
4. Yes, myself and someone in my family	10	0.62	0.84
5. Yes, myself and close friends	20	1.23	1.68
6. Yes, someone in my family and close friends	69	4.25	5.78
7. No	770	47.47	64.54
9. NA	1	0.06	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V181 2:EXPERNCE W REDUCD HRS

Position: 292 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.22A Have you personally, someone in your family or any of your close friends worked reduced hours?
(Interviewer: Ask one by one)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1193
1. Yes, only myself	9	0.55	0.75
2. Yes, someone in my family	40	2.47	3.35
3. Yes, only close friends	213	13.13	17.85
4. Yes, myself and someone in my family	8	0.49	0.67
5. Yes, myself and close friends	8	0.49	0.67
6. Yes, someone in my family and close friends	69	4.25	5.78
7. No	846	52.16	70.91
9. NA	1	0.06	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V182 2:ISS IMP FGHT RISG PRIC

Position: 293 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23 Here we have put together several tasks and goals which are being talked about in the Federal Republic. Please tell us for each of these problems whether you personally consider it to be very important, important, not so important, or completely unimportant.
(Interviewer: Shuffle blue deck of cards and hand it to the respondent together with list no.9)
Please distribute the cards in the respective fields of this table.

Q.23A Fight rising prices -- How important is this issue in R's view?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1190
1. Very important	657	40.51	55.21
2. Important	469	28.91	39.41
3. Not so important	56	3.45	4.71
4. Completely unimportant	8	0.49	0.67
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	0		
9. NA	4	0.25	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V183 2:ISS IMP FGHT UNEMPLMT

Position: 294 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23B Fight unemployment -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1191
1. Very important	1048	64.61	87.99
2. Important	141	8.69	11.84
3. Not so important	1	0.06	0.08
4. Completely unimportant	1	0.06	0.08
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	0		
9. NA	3	0.18	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V184 2:ISS IMP LAW AND ORDER

Position: 295 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23C Work for law and order in the Federal Republic --
How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1187
1. Very important	498	30.70	41.95
2. Important	526	32.43	44.31
3. Not so important	134	8.26	11.29
4. Completely unimportant	26	1.60	2.19
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	3	0.18	0.25
9. NA	7	0.43	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V185 2:ISS IMP EAST EUR RLTNS

Position: 296 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23D Improve relations with the Eastern European states --
How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1187
1. Very important	294	18.13	24.77
2. Important	605	37.30	50.97
3. Not so important	247	15.23	20.81
4. Completely unimportant	37	2.28	3.12
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	4	0.25	0.34
9. NA	7	0.43	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V186 2:ISS IMP GOOD RLTN USA

Position: 297 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23E Good relations with the United States -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1188
1. Very important	331	20.41	27.86
2. Important	623	38.41	52.44
3. Not so important	193	11.90	16.25
4. Completely unimportant	39	2.40	3.28
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	2	0.12	0.17
9. NA	6	0.37	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V187 2:ISS IMP PROTCT ENVRNM

Position: 298 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23F Work for efficient environmental protection -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1191
1. Very important	571	35.20	47.94
2. Important	564	34.77	47.36
3. Not so important	53	3.27	4.45
4. Completely unimportant	3	0.18	0.25
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	0		
9. NA	3	0.18	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V188 2:ISS IMP SECURE PENSNS

Position: 299 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23G Secure pensions -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1190
1. Very important	782	48.21	65.71
2. Important	374	23.06	31.43
3. Not so important	30	1.85	2.52
4. Completely unimportant	3	0.18	0.25
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	1	0.06	0.08
9. NA	4	0.25	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V189 2:ISS IMP REDC PBL DEBT

Position: 300 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23H Reduce public debt -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1190
1. Very important	697	42.97	58.57
2. Important	384	23.67	32.27
3. Not so important	98	6.04	8.24
4. Completely unimportant	8	0.49	0.67
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	3	0.18	0.25
9. NA	4	0.25	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V190 2:ISS IMP CITIZN INFLNCE

Position: 301 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23K Give the citizens more influence over the decisions of the state -- How important is this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1189
1. Very important	298	18.37	25.06
2. Important	484	29.84	40.71
3. Not so important	328	20.22	27.59
4. Completely unimportant	71	4.38	5.97
5. Respondent is opposed to this goal	8	0.49	0.67
9. NA	5	0.31	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V191 2:ISS COM FGHT RISG PRIC

Position: 302 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23A Who would be more qualified to solve each of these problems to your satisfaction? Do you think that would be a government led by the CDU/CSU under Helmut Kohl, or would that be a government led by the SPD under Hans Jochen Vogel? (Interviewer: Ask for each card)

Q.23A(A) Keep prices stable -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1190
1. CDU/CSU-FDP	534	32.92	44.87
2. SPD	278	17.14	23.36
3. Both	272	16.77	22.86
4. Neither	106	6.54	8.91
9. NA	4	0.25	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V192 2:ISS COM FGHT UNEMPLMT

Position: 303 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23A(B) Secure jobs -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1189
1. CDU/CSU-FDP	543	33.48	45.67
2. SPD	304	18.74	25.57
3. Both	187	11.53	15.73
4. Neither	155	9.56	13.04
9. NA	5	0.31	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V193 2:ISS COM LAW AND ORDER

Position: 304 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23A(C) Work for law and order in the Federal Republic -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1188
1. CDU/CSU-FDP	536	33.05	45.12
2. SPD	239	14.73	20.12
3. Both	378	23.30	31.82
4. Neither	35	2.16	2.95
9. NA	6	0.37	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V194 2:ISS COM EAST EUR RLTNS

Position: 305 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23A(D) Improve relations with the Eastern European states -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1187
1. CDU/CSU-FDP	247	15.23	20.81
2. SPD	645	39.77	54.34
3. Both	262	16.15	22.07
4. Neither	33	2.03	2.78
9. NA	7	0.43	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V195 2:ISS COM GOOD RLTN USA

Position: 306 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23A(E) Good relations with the United States -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1189
1. CDU/CSU-FDP	649	40.01	54.58
2. SPD	221	13.63	18.59
3. Both	290	17.88	24.39
4. Neither	29	1.79	2.44
9. NA	5	0.31	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V196 2:ISS COM PROTCT ENVRNM

Position: 307 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23A(F) Better environmental protection -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1188
1. CDU/CSU-FDP	281	17.32	23.65
2. SPD	299	18.43	25.17
3. Both	402	24.78	33.84
4. Neither	206	12.70	17.34
9. NA	6	0.37	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V197 2:ISS COM SECURE PENSNS

Position: 308 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23A(G) Secure pensions -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1176
1. CDU/CSU-FDP	494	30.46	42.01
2. SPD	338	20.84	28.74
3. Both	272	16.77	23.13
4. Neither	72	4.44	6.12
9. NA	18	1.11	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V198 2:ISS COM REDC PBL DEBT

Position: 309 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23A(H) Reduce public debt -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1181
1. CDU/CSU-FDP	655	40.38	55.46
2. SPD	168	10.36	14.23
3. Both	197	12.15	16.68
4. Neither	161	9.93	13.63
9. NA	13	0.80	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V199 2:ISS COM CITIZN INFLNCE

Position: 310 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23A(K) Give the citizens more influence over the decisions of the state -- Who is more qualified to solve this issue in R's view?

<see Q.23A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1183
1. CDU/CSU-FDP	246	15.17	20.79
2. SPD	426	26.26	36.01
3. Both	294	18.13	24.85
4. Neither	217	13.38	18.34
9. NA	11	0.68	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V200 2:SPD/FDP FGHT RISG PRIC

Position: 311 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.24 If you now think of the previous SPD-FDP government under Schmidt and Genscher: Were you satisfied or rather dissatisfied with what they did to solve these problems? Please, tell me with the aid of this scale.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.10 to the respondent.Ask for each problem individually)

Q.24A Fight rising prices -- How R felt about what previous SPD-FDP government did

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1180
01.	-5 Completely dissatisfied	68	4.19	5.76
02.	-4	33	2.03	2.80
03.	-3	89	5.49	7.54
04.	-2	111	6.84	9.41
05.	-1	131	8.08	11.10
06.	0	156	9.62	13.22
07.	+1	173	10.67	14.66
08.	+2	164	10.11	13.90
09.	+3	154	9.49	13.05
10.	+4	58	3.58	4.92
11.	+5 Completely satisfied	43	2.65	3.64
99.	NA	14	0.86	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V201 2:SPD/FDP COM FGHT UNEMP

Position: 313 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.24B Fight unemployment --
How R felt about what previous SPD-FDP government did

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1179
01.	-5 Completely dissatisfied	149	9.19	12.64
02.	-4	82	5.06	6.96
03.	-3	109	6.72	9.25
04.	-2	132	8.14	11.20
05.	-1	126	7.77	10.69
06.	0	154	9.49	13.06
07.	+1	165	10.17	13.99
08.	+2	118	7.27	10.01
09.	+3	84	5.18	7.12
10.	+4	30	1.85	2.54
11.	+5 Completely satisfied	30	1.85	2.54
99.	NA	15	0.92	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V202 2:SPD/FDP LAW AND ORDER

Position: 315 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.24C Work for law and order in the Federal Republic --
How R felt about what previous SPD-FDP government did

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1179
01.	-5 Completely dissatisfied	35	2.16	2.97
02.	-4	22	1.36	1.87
03.	-3	36	2.22	3.05
04.	-2	66	4.07	5.60
05.	-1	91	5.61	7.72
06.	0	205	12.64	17.39
07.	+1	170	10.48	14.42
08.	+2	186	11.47	15.78
09.	+3	203	12.52	17.22
10.	+4	102	6.29	8.65
11.	+5 Completely satisfied	63	3.88	5.34
99.	NA	15	0.92	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V203 2:SPD/FDP EAST EUR RLTNS

Position: 317 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.24D Improve relations with the Eastern European states --
How R felt about what previous SPD-FDP government did

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1177
01.	-5 Completely dissatisfied	10	0.62	0.85
02.	-4	11	0.68	0.93
03.	-3	16	0.99	1.36
04.	-2	23	1.42	1.95
05.	-1	32	1.97	2.72
06.	0	120	7.40	10.20
07.	+1	136	8.38	11.55
08.	+2	196	12.08	16.65
09.	+3	311	19.17	26.42
10.	+4	185	11.41	15.72
11.	+5 Completely satisfied	137	8.45	11.64
99.	NA	17	1.05	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V204 2:SPD/FDP GOOD RLTN USA

Position: 319 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.24E Good relations with the United States --
How R felt about what previous SDP-FDP government did

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1176
01.	-5 Completely dissatisfied	21	1.29	1.79
02.	-4	30	1.85	2.55
03.	-3	43	2.65	3.66
04.	-2	59	3.64	5.02
05.	-1	80	4.93	6.80
06.	0	177	10.91	15.05
07.	+1	192	11.84	16.33
08.	+2	229	14.12	19.47
09.	+3	209	12.89	17.77
10.	+4	75	4.62	6.38
11.	+5 Completely satisfied	61	3.76	5.19
99.	NA	18	1.11	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V205 2:SPD/FDP PROTCT ENVRNM

Position: 321 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.24F Work for efficient environmental protection --
How R felt about what previous SDP-FDP government did

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1176
01.	-5 Completely dissatisfied	30	1.85	2.55
02.	-4	18	1.11	1.53
03.	-3	46	2.84	3.91
04.	-2	88	5.43	7.48
05.	-1	127	7.83	10.80
06.	0	225	13.87	19.13
07.	+1	205	12.64	17.43
08.	+2	203	12.52	17.26
09.	+3	144	8.88	12.24
10.	+4	61	3.76	5.19
11.	+5 Completely satisfied	29	1.79	2.47
99.	NA	18	1.11	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V206 2:SPD/FDP SECURE PENSNS

Position: 323 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.24G Secure pensions --
How R felt about what previous SDP-FDP government did

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1178
01.	-5 Completely dissatisfied	61	3.76	5.18
02.	-4	51	3.14	4.33
03.	-3	76	4.69	6.45
04.	-2	90	5.55	7.64
05.	-1	104	6.41	8.83
06.	0	195	12.02	16.55
07.	+1	175	10.79	14.86
08.	+2	149	9.19	12.65
09.	+3	122	7.52	10.36
10.	+4	87	5.36	7.39
11.	+5 Completely satisfied	68	4.19	5.77
99.	NA	16	0.99	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V207 2:SPD/FDP REDC PBL DEBT

Position: 325 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.24H Reduce public debt --
How R felt about what previous SDP-FDP government did

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1175
01.	-5 Completely dissatisfied	229	14.12	19.49
02.	-4	93	5.73	7.91
03.	-3	128	7.89	10.89
04.	-2	128	7.89	10.89
05.	-1	151	9.31	12.85
06.	0	150	9.25	12.77
07.	+1	106	6.54	9.02
08.	+2	78	4.81	6.64
09.	+3	59	3.64	5.02
10.	+4	27	1.66	2.30
11.	+5 Completely satisfied	26	1.60	2.21
99.	NA	19	1.17	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V208 2:SPD/FDP CITIZN INFLNCE

Position: 327 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.24K Give the citizens more influence over the decisions of the state --
How R felt about what previous SDP-FDP government did

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1173
01.	-5 Completely dissatisfied	48	2.96	4.09
02.	-4	26	1.60	2.22
03.	-3	43	2.65	3.67
04.	-2	55	3.39	4.69
05.	-1	79	4.87	6.73
06.	0	392	24.17	33.42
07.	+1	177	10.91	15.09
08.	+2	152	9.37	12.96
09.	+3	118	7.27	10.06
10.	+4	50	3.08	4.26
11.	+5 Completely satisfied	33	2.03	2.81
99.	NA	21	1.29	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V209 2:PRO-EXPNS NUCLR PLANTS

Position: 329 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25 Here are some more political issues.
Please, tell me for each of these, whether you tend to be for or against it.
(Interviewer: Present yellow deck of cards)

Q.25(A) Steady development of nuclear plants --
R's position

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1177
1.	More for	649	40.01	55.14
2.	More against	528	32.55	44.86
9.	NA	17	1.05	.
0.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V210 2:PRO-CUT SOCIAL EXPDTRS

Position: 330 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25(B) Cut public moneys for social programs -- R's position

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1182
1. More for	407	25.09	34.43
2. More against	775	47.78	65.57
9. NA	12	0.74	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V211 2:PRO-ADDITIONL MISSILS

Position: 331 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25(C) No new missiles in the Federal Republic, no matter what the East does -- R's position

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1175
1. More for	659	40.63	56.09
2. More against	516	31.81	43.91
9. NA	19	1.17	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V212 2:PRO-FEWER FRGN WORKRS

Position: 332 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25(D) Reduction in the number of foreigners -- R's position

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1183
1. More for	977	60.23	82.59
2. More against	206	12.70	17.41
9. NA	11	0.68	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V213 2:COMP-EXPNS NUCLR PLNTS

Position: 333 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25A Who would be more qualified to solve each of these problems according to your wishes? Would that be the present CDU/CSU-FDP government, or would that be a government led by the SPD?
(Interviewer: Present yellow deck of cards and ask each question individually)

Q.25A(A) Steady development of nuclear plants -- who would be more qualified to solve this issue?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1181
1. CDU/CSU-FDP government	609	37.55	51.57
2. SPD led government	234	14.43	19.81
3. Both	194	11.96	16.43
4. None	144	8.88	12.19
9. NA	13	0.80	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V214 2:COMP-CUT SOCL EXPDTRS

Position: 334 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25A(B) Cut public moneys for social programs -- who would be more qualified to solve this issue?

<see Q.25A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1179
1. CDU/CSU-FDP government	624	38.47	52.93
2. SPD led government	310	19.11	26.29
3. Both	151	9.31	12.81
4. None	94	5.80	7.97
9. NA	15	0.92	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V215 2:COMP-ADDITNL MISSILS

Position: 335 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25A(C) No new missiles in the Federal Republic, no matter WHAT THE EAST DOES -- WHO WOULD BE MORE QUALIFIED TO SOLVE THIS ISSUE?

<see Q.25A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1177
1. CDU/CSU-FDP government	270	16.65	22.94
2. SPD led government	498	30.70	42.31
3. Both	190	11.71	16.14
4. None	219	13.50	18.61
9. NA	17	1.05	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V216 2:COMP-FEWER FRGN WORKRS

Position: 336 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25A(D) Reduction in the number of foreigners -- who would be more qualified to solve this issue?

<see Q.25A for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1181
1. CDU/CSU-FDP government	569	35.08	48.18
2. SPD led government	217	13.38	18.37
3. Both	257	15.84	21.76
4. None	138	8.51	11.69
9. NA	13	0.80	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V217 2:SPD/FDP EXPN NUCLR PLN

Position: 337 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.26 And how did the previous SPD-FDP government under Schmidt and Genscher handle these problems. Were you satisfied or rather dissatisfied?

Q.26(A) Steady development of nuclear plants -- How R felt about the previous government handling this issue

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1172
01.	-5 Completely dissatisfied	40	2.47	3.41
02.	-4	36	2.22	3.07
03.	-3	72	4.44	6.14
04.	-2	103	6.35	8.79
05.	-1	147	9.06	12.54
06.	0	256	15.78	21.84
07.	+1	214	13.19	18.26
08.	+2	140	8.63	11.95
09.	+3	101	6.23	8.62
10.	+4	38	2.34	3.24
11.	+5 Completely satisfied	25	1.54	2.13
99.	NA	22	1.36	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V218 2:SPD/FDP CUT SOCL EXPDT

Position: 339 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.26(B) Cut public moneys for social programs -- How R felt about the previous SPD-FDP government handling this issue

<see Q.26 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1174
01.	-5 Completely dissatisfied	25	1.54	2.13
02.	-4	19	1.17	1.62
03.	-3	60	3.70	5.11
04.	-2	84	5.18	7.16
05.	-1	70	4.32	5.96
06.	0	187	11.53	15.93
07.	+1	154	9.49	13.12
08.	+2	179	11.04	15.25
09.	+3	183	11.28	15.59
10.	+4	130	8.01	11.07
11.	+5 Completely satisfied	83	5.12	7.07
99.	NA	20	1.23	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V219 2:SPD/FDP ADDITNL MISSIL

Position: 341 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.26(C) No new missiles in the Federal Republic, no matter what the East does -- How R felt about the previous SPD-FDP government handling this issue

<see Q.26 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1172
01.	-5 Completely dissatisfied	28	1.73	2.39
02.	-4	22	1.36	1.88
03.	-3	58	3.58	4.95
04.	-2	77	4.75	6.57
05.	-1	103	6.35	8.79
06.	0	347	21.39	29.61
07.	+1	146	9.00	12.46
08.	+2	132	8.14	11.26
09.	+3	143	8.82	12.20
10.	+4	76	4.69	6.48
11.	+5 Completely satisfied	40	2.47	3.41
99.	NA	22	1.36	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V220 2:SPD/FDP FEWR FRGN WRKR

Position: 343 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.26(D) Reduction in the number of foreigners -- How R felt about the previous government handling this issue

<see Q.26 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1172
01.	-5 Completely dissatisfied	64	3.95	5.46
02.	-4	47	2.90	4.01
03.	-3	89	5.49	7.59
04.	-2	124	7.64	10.58
05.	-1	138	8.51	11.77
06.	0	341	21.02	29.10
07.	+1	146	9.00	12.46
08.	+2	92	5.67	7.85
09.	+3	66	4.07	5.63
10.	+4	41	2.53	3.50
11.	+5 Completely satisfied	24	1.48	2.05
99.	NA	22	1.36	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V221 2:IS R A TENANT?

Position: 345 FW1: 0
Length: 1

Q.27 Do you live in rented accomodation?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1194
1. Yes	676	41.68	56.62
2. No	518	31.94	43.38
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V222 2:EXPECT RENT INCREASE

Position: 346 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.27A (If R lives in rented accomodation) Do you expect your rent to be raised this year?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 671
1. Yes, will be raised	244	15.04	36.36
2. Yes, has already been raised	88	5.43	13.11
3. No	339	20.90	50.52
9. NA	5	0.31	.
0. INAP., coded 2 in Q.27; no second wave interview	946	58.32	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V223 2:INCREASE FAIR NEW HOUS

Position: 347 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.28 Do you think that rent increases are justified if they mean that more housing space is created?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1163
1. Yes	451	27.81	38.78
2. No	712	43.90	61.22
9. NA	31	1.91	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V224 2:INCREASE RESLT NEW HOU

Position: 348 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.28A Do you expect new housing space will be created as a result of rent increases?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1178
1. Yes	359	22.13	30.48
2. No	819	50.49	69.52
9. NA	16	0.99	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V225 2:DEMOCRACY IN GFR

Position: 349 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.29 What would you generally say about democracy the Federal Republic of Germany, that is about our political parties and our entire political system? Are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with it?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1188
1. Very satisfied	150	9.25	12.63
2. Somewhat satisfied	816	50.31	68.69
3. Somewhat dissatisfied	195	12.02	16.41
4. Very dissatisfied	27	1.66	2.27
9. NA	6	0.37	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V226 2:WANT FDP IN PARLMNT

Position: 350 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.30 Do you think that it would be good if the FDP were in the Bundestag again, or do you think that wouldn’t be good?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1182
1. Would be good	615	37.92	52.03
2. Would not good	567	34.96	47.97
9. NA	12	0.74	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V227 2:WANT GREENS IN PARLMT

Position: 351 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.30A And what about the Greens? Do you think it would be good if the Greens were represented in the Bundestag, or do you think that wouldn't be good?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1187
1. Would be goood	355	21.89	29.91
2. Wouldn't be good	832	51.29	70.09
9. NA	7	0.43	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V228 2:WHCH PARTY MST ACT SUP

Position: 352 FW1: 0
Length: 1

Q.31 What is your impression as to which party's supporters are the most active in the present campaign?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1194
1. CDU/CSU	294	18.13	24.62
2. SPD	180	11.10	15.08
3. FDP	60	3.70	5.03
4. All about equal	520	32.06	43.55
5. Cannot decide	140	8.63	11.73
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V229 2:PRESENT GVT FAIR

Position: 353 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.32 Please think about the Federal Government. This list contains two statements describing the present Federal Government. Please tell me for each sentence whether you agree completely, agree more or less, disagree more or less or disagree completely.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.11 to the respondent)

Q.32A The present Federal Government is just and fair

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1129
1. Agree completely	280	17.26	24.80
2. Agree more or less	474	29.22	41.98
3. Disagree more or less	280	17.26	24.80
4. Disagree completely	95	5.86	8.41
9. DK	65	4.01	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V230 2:GVT PRTCT CIV RIGTS

Position: 354 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.32B The present Federal Government safeguards the basic rights of citizens

<see Q.32 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1130
1. Agree completely	319	19.67	28.23
2. Agree more or less	603	37.18	53.36
3. Disagree more or less	156	9.62	13.81
4. Disagree completely	52	3.21	4.60
9. DK	64	3.95	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V231 2:PRESENT POL SYST FAIR

Position: 355 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.33 And what about the type of political system we have in this country? Once again judge the two statements with the help of the scale.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.12 to the respondent)

Q.33A The political system of the Federal Republic is just and fair

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1147
1. Agree completely	326	20.10	28.42
2. Agree more or less	670	41.31	58.41
3. Disagree more or less	125	7.71	10.90
4. Disagree completely	26	1.60	2.27
9. DK	47	2.90	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V232 2:POL SYS PRTCT CIV RGT

Position: 356 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.33B The political system of the Federal Republic safeguards the basic rights of citizens

<see Q.33 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1148
1. Agree completely	360	22.19	31.36
2. Agree more or less	676	41.68	58.89
3. Disagree more or less	88	5.43	7.67
4. Disagree completely	24	1.48	2.09
9. DK	46	2.84	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V233 2:OBLIGTN VOTE PARTY POL

Position: 357 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.34 If a member of parliament happens to disagree with his party on an important issue, what should he do: should he follow his own idea of what would be in the public interest or should he comply with the decision of his party?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1189
1. Should follow his own idea	786	48.46	66.11
2. Should comply with the decision of his party	403	24.85	33.89
9. NA	5	0.31	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V234 2:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE SPD

Position: 358 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.35 There are various terms which are frequently used when talking about political parties, such as "left" and "right" Please mark this scale where you would place the SPD, the CDU, the FDP, the CSU, and the Greens.
(Interviewer: Hand scale to the respondent.)

Q.35(A) Where would you place the SPD?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1161
01.	Left	68	4.19	5.86
02.		128	7.89	11.02
03.		261	16.09	22.48
04.		275	16.95	23.69
05.		231	14.24	19.90
06.		124	7.64	10.68
07.		33	2.03	2.84
08.		19	1.17	1.64
09.		12	0.74	1.03
10.		5	0.31	0.43
11.	Right	5	0.31	0.43
99.	NA	33	2.03	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V235 2:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE CDU

Position: 360 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.35(B) And where would you place the CDU?

<see Q.35 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1161
01.	Left	8	0.49	0.69
02.		2	0.12	0.17
03.		5	0.31	0.43
04.		10	0.62	0.86
05.		6	0.37	0.52
06.		100	6.17	8.61
07.		114	7.03	9.82
08.		265	16.34	22.83
09.		327	20.16	28.17
10.		195	12.02	16.80
11.	Right	129	7.95	11.11
99.	NA	33	2.03	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V236 2:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE FDP

Position: 362 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.35(C) And where would you place the FDP?

<see Q.35 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1157
01.	Left	15	0.92	1.30
02.		9	0.55	0.78
03.		11	0.68	0.95
04.		22	1.36	1.90
05.		59	3.64	5.10
06.		329	20.28	28.44
07.		307	18.93	26.53
08.		204	12.58	17.63
09.		108	6.66	9.33
10.		65	4.01	5.62
11.	Right	28	1.73	2.42
99.	NA	37	2.28	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V237 2:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE CSU

Position: 364 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.35(D) And where would you place the CSU?

<see Q.35 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1158
01.	Left	13	0.80	1.12
02.		2	0.12	0.17
03.		7	0.43	0.60
04.		8	0.49	0.69
05.		7	0.43	0.60
06.		58	3.58	5.01
07.		68	4.19	5.87
08.		132	8.14	11.40
09.		208	12.82	17.96
10.		282	17.39	24.35
11.	Right	373	23.00	32.21
99.	NA	36	2.22	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V238 2:LEFT-RIGHT SCAL GREENS

Position: 366 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.35(E) And where would you place the Greens?

<see Q.35 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1157
01.	Left	346	21.33	29.90
02.		233	14.36	20.14
03.		176	10.85	15.21
04.		138	8.51	11.93
05.		94	5.80	8.12
06.		98	6.04	8.47
07.		31	1.91	2.68
08.		7	0.43	0.61
09.		11	0.68	0.95
10.		13	0.80	1.12
11.	Right	10	0.62	0.86
99.	NA	37	2.28	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V239 2:LEFT-RIGHT H.KOHL

Position: 368 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.35A Please indicate where you would place Helmut Kohl and Hans Jochen Vogel?
(Interviewer: Hand scale to the respondent)

Q.35A(1) Left-right placement of Helmut Kohl

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1163
01.	Left	9	0.55	0.77
02.		4	0.25	0.34
03.		5	0.31	0.43
04.		7	0.43	0.60
05.		9	0.55	0.77
06.		141	8.69	12.12
07.		122	7.52	10.49
08.		239	14.73	20.55
09.		293	18.06	25.19
10.		178	10.97	15.31
11.	Right	156	9.62	13.41
99.	NA	31	1.91	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V240 2:LEFT-RIGHT H.J.VOGEL

Position: 370 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.35A(2) Left-right placement of Hans Jochen Vogel

<see Q.35A for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1163
01.	Left	66	4.07	5.67
02.		116	7.15	9.97
03.		243	14.98	20.89
04.		227	14.00	19.52
05.		226	13.93	19.43
06.		173	10.67	14.88
07.		44	2.71	3.78
08.		23	1.42	1.98
09.		17	1.05	1.46
10.		20	1.23	1.72
11.	Right	8	0.49	0.69
99.	NA	31	1.91	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V241 2:LEFT-RIGHT SELF-PLACMT

Position: 372 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.35B And now we would like to know from you where you would place yourself on the scale.
(Interviewer: Hand scale to the respondent)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1165
01.	Left	16	0.99	1.37
02.		30	1.85	2.58
03.		87	5.36	7.47
04.		125	7.71	10.73
05.		154	9.49	13.22
06.		342	21.09	29.36
07.		102	6.29	8.76
08.		117	7.21	10.04
09.		88	5.43	7.55
10.		57	3.51	4.89
11.	Right	47	2.90	4.03
99.	NA	29	1.79	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V242 2:APPROVE GVT CHANGE

Position: 374 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.36 In October the SPD-FDP government was replaced by a new CDU/CSU and FDP government. Do you agree with the manner in which this change was brought about or do you not agree ?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1180
1. Agree	439	27.07	37.20
2. Do not agree	741	45.68	62.80
9. NA	14	0.86	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V243 2:FDP NOT LOYAL

Position: 375 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.37 After the SPD and the FDP parted the FDP was reproached for disloyalty and betrayal. Do you think this reproach is correct or not correct?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1172
1. Correct	722	44.51	61.60
2. Not correct	450	27.74	38.40
9. NA	22	1.36	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V244 2:MAJORTY PARTY GOOD

Position: 376 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.38 Would you consider it good if one of the large parties, the SPD or the CDU/CSU, would receive the absolute majority in the elections for the Bundestag, or would you not consider that good?
(Interviewer: If "good", probe: For which party, SPD or CDU?)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1182
1. Yes, the SPD should receive the absolute majority	389	23.98	32.91
2. Yes, the CDU/CSU should receive the absolute majority	384	23.67	32.49
3. No, would not consider it good	409	25.22	34.60
9. NA	12	0.74	.
0. No second wave interview,	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V245 2:4 PARTIES- WHCH COALTN

Position: 377 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.39 If after the elections for the Bundestag four parties, that is CDU/CSU, SPD, FDP and the Greens, will be represented in the Bundestag and no party can govern alone, which parties do you think should form the government together?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1176
1. CDU/CSU and FDP	483	29.78	41.07
2. SPD and FDP	102	6.29	8.67
3. CDU/CSU and SPD	278	17.14	23.64
4. SPD and the Greens	259	15.97	22.02
5. SPD and FDP and the Greens	9	0.55	0.77
6. Other combinations including the Greens	8	0.49	0.68
7. Other combinations	37	2.28	3.15
9. NA	18	1.11	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V246 2:3 PARTIES- WHCH COALTN

Position: 378 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.39A But if only three parties, that is CDU/CSU, SPD, and the Greens, will be represented in the Bundestag and no party can govern alone, which parties do you think should then form the government together?
(Interviewer: Allow only one response)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1144
1. CDU/CSU and SPD	765	47.16	66.87
2. SPD and the Greens	327	20.16	28.58
3. CDU and the Greens	52	3.21	4.55
9. NA	50	3.08	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V247 2:WHO WIN MARCH ELECTION

Position: 379 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.40 What do you personally think: Who will win the elections for the Bundestag?
(Interviewer: Allow only one response)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1133
01.	CDU/CSU	649	40.01	57.28
02.	CDU/CSU and FDP	32	1.97	2.82
03.	SPD	375	23.12	33.10
04.	SPD and the Greens	7	0.43	0.62
05.	Kohl	24	1.48	2.12
06.	Strauss	5	0.31	0.44
07.	Vogel	25	1.54	2.21
08.	FDP	0		
09.	Other response	7	0.43	0.62
10.	No winner, neck-and-neck race, no absolute majority	9	0.55	0.79
99.	NA	61	3.76	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V248 2:FDP AGAIN IN PARLMNT?

Position: 381 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.41 Do you think that in the March elections the FDP will return to the Bundestag by gaining at least 5% of the votes or do you think that the FDP will then not be represented in the Bundestag?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1178
1.	FDP will return to the Bundestag	537	33.11	45.59
2.	FDP will not return to the Bundestag	641	39.52	54.41
9.	NA	16	0.99	.
0.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V249 2:GREENS IN NXT PARLMT?

Position: 382 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.41A And what about the Greens: will the Greens be represented in the next Bundestag or will they not be represented in the Bundestag?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1182
1.	Greens will be in the Bundestag	567	34.96	47.97
2.	Greens will not be in the Bundestag	615	37.92	52.03
9.	NA	12	0.74	.
0.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V250 2:PREFERRED CHANCELLOR

Position: 383 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.42 Whom would you prefer as chancellor: Helmut Kohl or Hans Jochen Vogel?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1169
1. Kohl	551	33.97	47.13
2. Vogel	618	38.10	52.87
9. NA	25	1.54	.
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V251 2:HOUSEHOLD SIZE

Position: 384 FW1: 0
Length: 1

S.A How many persons permanently live in your household? I mean people who sleep and eat here, including yourself.

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1194
1. One person	285	17.57	23.87
2. Two persons	398	24.54	33.33
3. .	218	13.44	18.26
4. .	207	12.76	17.34
5. .	59	3.64	4.94
6. .	17	1.05	1.42
7. .	5	0.31	0.42
8. Eight or more persons	5	0.31	0.42
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V252 2:PERSONS OVER 18 YRS

Position: 385 FW1: 0
Length: 1

S.B (Informal question) Number of persons in the household aged 18 and above.

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1194
1. One person	301	18.56	25.21
2. Two persons	649	40.01	54.36
3. .	156	9.62	13.07
4. .	70	4.32	5.86
5. .	15	0.92	1.26
6. .	2	0.12	0.17
7. Seven or more persons	1	0.06	0.08
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V253 2:SEX OF RESPONDENT

Position: 386 FW1: 0
Length: 1

S.C Respondent's sex is:

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1194
1. Male	538	33.17	45.06
2. Female	656	40.44	54.94
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V254 2:AGE OF RESPONDENT

Position: 387 FW1: 0
Length: 2

S.D Would you please tell me your age?

Actual number is coded.

18. Eighteen years old
.
.
94. Ninety-four years old

00. No second wave interview

V255 2:MARITAL STATUS

Position: 389 FW1: 0
Length: 1

S.E Respondent's marital status is:

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1194
1. Married	753	46.42	63.07
2. Single	198	12.21	16.58
3. Divorced, separated	69	4.25	5.78
4. Widowed	174	10.73	14.57
0. No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V256 2:KIND OF SCHOOL

Position: 390 FW1: 0
Length: 1

S.F What kind of school did you last attend; I mean in which kind of school did you complete your education?
(Interviewer: Hand list S.1 to the respondent.)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1194
1.	Elementary school, without completed apprenticeship or vocational training	256	15.78	21.44
2.	Elementary school, with completed apprenticeship or vocational training	561	34.59	46.98
3.	Secondary school, trade- or commercial school	97	5.98	8.12
4.	Secondary school, with tenth grade diploma ("mittlere Reife"), trade- or commercial	122	7.52	10.22
5.	Secondary school, beyond tenth grade diploma, but without "Abitur"	32	1.97	2.68
6.	Higher specialized or technical school, with diploma ("Fachschule mit Abschluss")	21	1.29	1.76
7.	Secondary school, through thirteenth grade ("Abitur")	48	2.96	4.02
8.	University, without degree	16	0.99	1.34
9.	University, with degree	41	2.53	3.43
0.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V257 2:EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Position: 391 FW1: 0
Length: 2

S.G Are you presently employed, or were you ever employed,
(Interviewer: Hand list S.2 to the respondent.)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1194
01.	Presently in full-time employment (including family dependents who help in a family-owned business), not apprentices	457	28.18	38.27
02.	Presently in part-time employment (including family dependents who help in a family-owned business), not apprentices	76	4.69	6.37
03.	Presently working reduced hours	15	0.92	1.26
04.	Temporarily unemployed	37	2.28	3.10
05.	At present not employed; previously employed	180	11.10	15.08
06.	Retired, receiving pension, etc.	260	16.03	21.78
07.	Never been employed	112	6.91	9.38
08.	Presently in vocational training as apprentice	9	0.55	0.75
09.	Presently in other type of vocational training, e.g.higher specialized or technical school, or reschooling	6	0.37	0.50
10.	Presently in school, including university	42	2.59	3.52
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V258 2:OCCUPATIONAL GROUP

Position: 393 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

S.H (If R is or was employed) To which of these occupational groups do you or did you) belong?
(Interviewer: Hand list S.3 to the respondent.)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1018
01.	Self-employed - lower level (e.g., small shop keeper, craftsman)	45	2.77	4.42
02.	Self-employed - medium level (e.g., proprietor of a larger store, chief sales representative)	13	0.80	1.28
03.	Owner or executive of a large business	2	0.12	0.20
04.	Professional	6	0.37	0.59
05.	Salaried white-collar employee - lower level (e.g., sales clerk, secretary)	231	14.24	22.69
06.	Salaried white-collar employee - medium level (e.g., accountant, cashier)	186	11.47	18.27
07.	Salaried white-collar employee with university education ("wissenschaftliche Angestellte")	14	0.86	1.38
08.	Salaried white-collar employee in a managerial position (e.g., department head, direction)	30	1.85	2.95
09.	Civil servant - low level ("Beamte des einfachen Dienstes")	11	0.68	1.08
10.	Civil servant - medium level ("Beamte des mittleren Dienstes")	45	2.77	4.42
11.	Civil servant - high level ("Beamte des gehobenen Dienstes")	21	1.29	2.06
12.	Civil servant - highest level ("Beamte des hoeheren Dienstes")	19	1.17	1.87
13.	Semi-skilled or unskilled worker	146	9.00	14.34
14.	Skilled worker	213	13.13	20.92
15.	Farm worker	6	0.37	0.59
16.	Farmer, small farm	8	0.49	0.79
17.	Farmer, medium-sized farm	19	1.17	1.87
18.	Farmer, large farm	3	0.18	0.29
99.	NA	7	0.43	.
00.	INAP., coded 07-10 in S.G; no second wave interview	597	36.81	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V259 2:TYPE OF ENTERPRISE

Position: 395 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

S.H(1) (If R is presently or was employed) Do you work in a craftman's enterprise, in an industrial enterprise, in another enterprise or in the civil service?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 583
1.	Craftman's enterprise	83	5.12	14.24
2.	Industrial enterprise	182	11.22	31.22
3.	Other enterprise	180	11.10	30.87
4.	Civil service	138	8.51	23.67
9.	NA	442	27.25	.
0.	INAP., coded 07-10 in S.G; no second wave interview	597	36.81	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V260 2:RELATIONSHIP TO HH

Position: 396 FW1: 0
Length: 1

S.J Are you the head of this household, or what is your relation to the head of household?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1194
1.	R is head of household	760	46.86	63.65
2.	R is the spouse of the head of household	363	22.38	30.40
3.	R is a child (including son- or daughter-in-law) of head of household	64	3.95	5.36
4.	R is parent (or parent-in-law) of head of household	1	0.06	0.08
5.	Other	6	0.37	0.50
0.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V261 2:HH EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Position: 397 FW1: 0
Length: 2

S.K (If R is not the head of the household)
Is the head of the household employed? Which of the categories on this list is appropriate?
(Interviewer: Hand list S.2 to the respondent again.)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 434
01.	Presently in full-time employment (including family dependents who help in a family-owned business), not apprentices	331	20.41	76.27
02.	Presently in part-time employment (including family dependents who help in a family-owned business), not apprentices	3	0.18	0.69
03.	Presently working reduced hours	11	0.68	2.53
04.	Temporarily unemployed	16	0.99	3.69
05.	At present not employed; previously employed	3	0.18	0.69
06.	Retired, receiving pension, etc.	65	4.01	14.98
07.	Never been employed	2	0.12	0.46
08.	Presently in vocational training as apprentice	0		
09.	Presently in other type of vocational training, e.g., reschooling	1	0.06	0.23
10.	Presently in school, including university	2	0.12	0.46
00.	INAP., coded 1 in S.J; no second wave interview	1188	73.24	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V262 2:HH OCCUPATIONAL GROUP

Position: 399 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

S.L (If HH is or was employed and R is not head of household) To which occupational group does (or did) the head of the household belong?
(Interviewer: Hand list S.3 to the respondent again.)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 418
01.	Self-employed - lower level (e.g., small shop keeper, craftsman)	22	1.36	5.26
02.	Self-employed - medium level (e.g., proprietor of a larger store, chief sales representative)	11	0.68	2.63
03.	Owner or executive of a large business	2	0.12	0.48
04.	Professional	7	0.43	1.67
05.	Salaried white-collar employee - lower level (e.g., sales clerk, secretary)	24	1.48	5.74
06.	Salaried white-collar employee - medium level (e.g., accountant, cashier)	80	4.93	19.14
07.	Salaried white-collar employee with university education ("wissenschaftliche Angestellte")	8	0.49	1.91
08.	Salaried white-collar employee in a managerial position (e.g., department head, direction)	25	1.54	5.98
09.	Civil servant - low level ("Beamte des einfachen Dienstes")	12	0.74	2.87
10.	Civil servant - medium level ("Beamte des mittleren Dienstes")	18	1.11	4.31
11.	Civil servant - high level ("Beamte des gehobenen Dienstes")	18	1.11	4.31
12.	Civil servant - highest level ("Beamte des hoeheren Dienstes")	4	0.25	0.96
13.	Semi-skilled or unskilled worker	38	2.34	9.09
14.	Skilled worker	144	8.88	34.45
15.	Farm worker	1	0.06	0.24
16.	Farmer, small farm	1	0.06	0.24
17.	Farmer, medium-sized farm	3	0.18	0.72
18.	Farmer, large farm	0		
99.	NA	11	0.68	.
00.	INAP., coded 07-10 in S.K; no second wave interview	1193	73.55	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V263 2:HH TYPE OF ENTERPRISE

Position: 401 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

S.L(1) (If HH is or was employed and if R is not HH) Where does the head of household work in a craftman's enterprise, in an industrial enterprise, in another enterprise or in the civil service?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 350
1.	Craftman's enterprise	69	4.25	19.71
2.	Industrial enterprise	148	9.12	42.29
3.	Other enterprise	68	4.19	19.43
4.	Civil service	65	4.01	18.57
9.	NA	79	4.87	.
0.	INAP., coded 07 to 10 or 00 in S.J; no second wave interview	1193	73.55	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V264 2:PARTY PREFERENCE

Position: 402 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 98

S.M Many people in the Federal Republic lean toward a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party. How about you? Do you in general lean toward a particular party? If so, which one?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1123
01.	SPD	431	26.57	38.38
02.	CDU	242	14.92	21.55
03.	CDU/CSU	101	6.23	8.99
04.	CSU	52	3.21	4.63
05.	FDP	29	1.79	2.58
06.	the Greens	31	1.91	2.76
07.	Other	4	0.25	0.36
08.	No party leaning	233	14.36	20.75
98.	DK	31	1.91	.
99.	Refused to answer	40	2.47	.
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V265 2:STRENGTH OF PART PREF

Position: 404 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

S.N (If R leans toward a party) Taken altogether, how strongly or weakly do you lean toward this particular party: very strongly, fairly strongly, moderately, fairly weakly, or very weakly?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 884
1.	Very strongly	117	7.21	13.24
2.	Fairly strongly	357	22.01	40.38
3.	Moderately	328	20.22	37.10
4.	Fairly weakly	63	3.88	7.13
5.	Very weakly	19	1.17	2.15
9.	NA	6	0.37	.
0.	INAP., coded 08, 98 or 99 in S.P; no second wave interview	732	45.13	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V266 2:INTERVIEWER IDENTFCTN

Position: 405 FW1: 0
Length: 5

S.P(1) Interviewer Identification

V267 2:STATE - BUNDESLAND

Position: 410 FW1: 0
Length: 2

S.P(2) Federal state in which interview was conducted.

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1194
01.	Sleswig-Holstein ("Schleswig-Holstein")	72	4.44	6.03
02.	Hamburg	42	2.59	3.52
03.	Lower Saxony	156	9.62	13.07
04.	Bremen	10	0.62	0.84
05.	Northrhine-Westphalia ("Nordrhein-Westfalen")	348	21.45	29.15
06.	Hesse ("Hessen")	102	6.29	8.54
07.	Rhineland-Palatinate ("Rheinland-Pfalz")	71	4.38	5.95
08.	Baden-Wuerttemberg	160	9.86	13.40
09.	Bavaria ("Bayern")	219	13.50	18.34
10.	Saarland	14	0.86	1.17
00.	No second wave interview	428	26.39	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V268 2:DATE OF INTERVIEW

Position: 412 FW1: 0
Length: 2

S.P(3) Date of Interview

Day of February 1983 coded

```
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
I                          I
I   Post-Election Survey   I
I                          I
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
```

V269 3:ECON SITUATION TODAY

Position: 414 FW1: 0
Length: 1

Q.1 How would you, quite generally, evaluate the present economic situation in the Federal Republic: very good, good, part good/part bad, bad, or very bad?
(Interviewer: Hand list no.1 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1014
1. Very good	1	0.06	0.10
2. Good	164	10.11	16.17
3. Part good/part bad	574	35.39	56.61
4. Bad	259	15.97	25.54
5. Very bad	16	0.99	1.58
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V270 3:ECON SITUATN IN A YEAR

Position: 415 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.2 And how will it be in a year? Do you expect that the general economic situation in the Federal Republic will then be substantially better, somewhat better, the same, somewhat worse, or substantially worse?
(Interviewer: Hand list no.2 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1011
1. Substantially better	38	2.34	3.76
2. Somewhat better	380	23.43	37.59
3. The same	470	28.98	46.49
4. Somewhat worse	116	7.15	11.47
5. Substantially worse	7	0.43	0.69
9. NA	3	0.18	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V271 3:INTEREST IN POLITICS

Position: 416 FW1: 0
Length: 1

Q.3 Quite generally, are you interested in politics?

Q.3A (If R is interested in politics) How strongly are you interested in politics: very strongly, strongly, or not so strongly?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1014
1. Yes, very strongly	92	5.67	9.07
2. Yes, strongly	283	17.45	27.91
3. Yes, not so strongly	176	10.85	17.36
4. No, not particularly	365	22.50	36.00
5. No, not at all	98	6.04	9.66
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V272 3:VOTED MARCH 6 ,1983

Position: 417 FW1: 0
Length: 1

Q.4 In the elections for the Bundestag on March 6 there were many citizens who, for good reasons, could not or did not want to participate in the elections. How about you? Did you vote or did you not vote?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1014
1. Yes, voted	934	57.58	92.11
2. Yes, voted by absentee ballot	42	2.59	4.14
3. No, did not vote	38	2.34	3.75
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V273 3:CAND VOTE MARCH 1983

Position: 418 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.5 (If R voted on March 6) Here is a voting ballot similar to the one you received for the election on March 6.
At that time, you had two votes. The first vote was for a candidate here in your constituency, the second for a party.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.3 to the respondent)

For which candidate did you vote? Please, tell me the number of the candidate.

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 960
1. (1) CDU <-candidate>	455	28.05	47.40
2. (2) SPD <-candidate>	437	26.94	45.52
3. (3) FDP <-candidate>	28	1.73	2.92
4. (4) The Greens <-candidate>	36	2.22	3.75
5. (5) NPD <-candidate>	0		
6. (6) DKP <-candidate>	1	0.06	0.10
7. Candidate of another party	3	0.18	0.31
9. NA	16	0.99	.
0. INAP., coded 0 or 3 in Q.4; no third wave interview	646	39.83	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V274 3:PARTY VOTE MARCH 1983

Position: 419 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.5A (If R voted on March 6, 1983)
And for which party did you vote? Please, tell me the number of the party.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.3 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 959
1. (1) CDU/CSU	436	26.88	45.46
2. (2) SPD	416	25.65	43.38
3. (3) FDP	50	3.08	5.21
4. (4) The Greens	52	3.21	5.42
5. (5) NPD	1	0.06	0.10
6. (6) DKP	1	0.06	0.10
7. Other party	3	0.18	0.31
9. NA	17	1.05	.
0. INAP., coded 0 or3 in Q.4; no third wave interview	646	39.83	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V275 3:ELECT RSLT-SAME PARTY?

Position: 420 FW1: 0
Length: 1

Q.6 (If R voted on March 6, 1983) If you would have known the results of the elections for the Bundestag of March 6 before hand, would you have voted for the same party?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 976
1. Yes	934	57.58	95.70
2. No	42	2.59	4.30
0. INAP., coded 0 or 3 in Q.4; no third wave interview	646	39.83	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V276 3:WHICH OTHER PARTY

Position: 421 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.6A (If R would not have voted for the same party) For which party would you have voted? Please, give me the number of the party.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.4 to the respondent)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 40
1. (1) CDU/CSU	5	0.31	12.50
2. (2) SPD	25	1.54	62.50
3. (3) FDP	3	0.18	7.50
4. (4) The Greens	5	0.31	12.50
5. (5) NPD	0		
6. (6) DKP	0		
7. Other party	1	0.06	2.50
8. Would not have voted	1	0.06	2.50
9. NA	2	0.12	.
0. INAP., coded 0 or 1 in Q.6; no third wave interview	1580	97.41	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V277 3:CAND VOTE 1980

Position: 422 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.7 How about the elections for the Bundestag in October 1980: How did you then decide?
Here is a voting ballot similar to the one you received in 1980. For which candidate did you vote? Please, tell me the number of the candidate.
(Interviewer: Hand list 5 to the respondent).

Respondent's first vote (for constituency candidate in 1980)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 994
01.	(1) CDU <-candidate>	407	25.09	40.95
02.	(2) SPD <-candidate>	471	29.04	47.38
03.	(3) FDP <-candidate>	47	2.90	4.73
04.	(4) The Greens <-candidate>	11	0.68	1.11
05.	(5) NPD <-candidate>	0		
06.	(6) DKP <-candidate>	1	0.06	0.10
07.	Candidate of another party	3	0.18	0.30
08.	Did not vote in 1980	24	1.48	2.41
09.	Was not eligible in 1980	30	1.85	3.02
99.	NA	20	1.23	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V278 3:PARTY VOTE 1980

Position: 424 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.7A And for which party did you then vote ? Please, tell me the number of the party.
(Interviewer: Hand list 5 to the respondent)

Respondent's second vote (for party list in 1980)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 994
01.	(1) CDU/CSU	397	24.48	39.94
02.	(2) SPD	459	28.30	46.18
03.	(3) FDP	70	4.32	7.04
04.	(4) The Greens	10	0.62	1.01
05.	(5) NPD	0		
06.	(6) DKP	1	0.06	0.10
07.	Another party	3	0.18	0.30
08.	Did not vote	24	1.48	2.41
09.	Was not eligible in 1980	30	1.85	3.02
99.	NA	20	1.23	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V279 3:SPD RANKING

Position: 426 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8 Here are five cards with the names of political parties in the Federal Republic. Would you please rank these cards according to how well you like the parties. On top should be the party which you like best, at the bottom then will be the party which you like least.
(Interviewer: Shuffle white deck of cards and hand it to the respondent.)

Q.8(1) Preference rank which R gives to SPD

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 975
1. First rank (likes best)	451	27.81	46.26
2. Second rank	88	5.43	9.03
3. Third rank	106	6.54	10.87
4. Fourth rank	307	18.93	31.49
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	23	1.42	2.36
9. NA	39	2.40	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V280 3:CDU RANKING

Position: 427 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8(2) Preference rank which R gives to CDU

<see Q.8 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 974
1. First rank (likes best)	365	22.50	37.47
2. Second rank	292	18.00	29.98
3. Third rank	210	12.95	21.56
4. Fourth rank	97	5.98	9.96
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	10	0.62	1.03
9. NA	40	2.47	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V281 3:CSU RANKING

Position: 428 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8(3) Preference rank which R gives to CSU

<see Q.8 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 964
1. First rank (likes best)	105	6.47	10.89
2. Second rank	284	17.51	29.46
3. Third rank	168	10.36	17.43
4. Fourth rank	231	14.24	23.96
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	176	10.85	18.26
9. NA	50	3.08	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V282 3:FDP RANKING

Position: 429 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8(4) Preference rank which R gives to FDP

<see Q.8 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 965
1. First rank (likes best)	27	1.66	2.80
2. Second rank	163	10.05	16.89
3. Third rank	414	25.52	42.90
4. Fourth rank	216	13.32	22.38
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	145	8.94	15.03
9. NA	49	3.02	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V283 3:GREENS RANKING

Position: 430 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.8(5) Preference rank which R gives to the Greens

<see Q.8 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 971
1. First rank (likes best)	41	2.53	4.22
2. Second rank	151	9.31	15.55
3. Third rank	67	4.13	6.90
4. Fourth rank	104	6.41	10.71
5. Fifth rank (likes least)	608	37.48	62.62
9. NA	43	2.65	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V284 3:THINK OF SPD

Position: 431 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.9 And what do you think, in general, about the political parties? Please tell me by using this scale.
(Interviewer: Hand list no.6 to the respondent and read.)
+5 means that you think a great deal of the party. -5 means that you do not think much of it at all. Using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q.9(A) What do you think of the SPD?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1009
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	40	2.47	3.96
02.		20	1.23	1.98
03.		53	3.27	5.25
04.		72	4.44	7.14
05.		64	3.95	6.34
06.	Undecided	114	7.03	11.30
07.		94	5.80	9.32
08.		126	7.77	12.49
09.		148	9.12	14.67
10.		148	9.12	14.67
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	130	8.01	12.88
99.	NA	5	0.31	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V285 3:THINK OF CDU

Position: 433 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.9(B) And what do you think of the CDU?

<see Q.9 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1010
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	18	1.11	1.78
02.		21	1.29	2.08
03.		47	2.90	4.65
04.		36	2.22	3.56
05.		44	2.71	4.36
06.	Undecided	110	6.78	10.89
07.		135	8.32	13.37
08.		97	5.98	9.60
09.		166	10.23	16.44
10.		163	10.05	16.14
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	173	10.67	17.13
99.	NA	4	0.25	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V286 3:THINK OF CSU

Position: 435 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.9(C) And what do you think of the CSU?

<see Q.9 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1010
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	85	5.24	8.42
02.		43	2.65	4.26
03.		46	2.84	4.55
04.		56	3.45	5.54
05.		81	4.99	8.02
06.	Undecided	129	7.95	12.77
07.		96	5.92	9.50
08.		97	5.98	9.60
09.		134	8.26	13.27
10.		139	8.57	13.76
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	104	6.41	10.30
99.	NA	4	0.25	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V287 3:THINK OF FDP

Position: 437 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.9(D) And what do you think of the FDP?

<see Q.9 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1010
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	76	4.69	7.52
02.		40	2.47	3.96
03.		83	5.12	8.22
04.		59	3.64	5.84
05.		87	5.36	8.61
06.	Undecided	155	9.56	15.35
07.		131	8.08	12.97
08.		156	9.62	15.45
09.		144	8.88	14.26
10.		43	2.65	4.26
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	36	2.22	3.56
99.	NA	4	0.25	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V288 3:THINK OF GREENS

Position: 439 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.9(E) And what do you think of the Greens?

<see Q.9 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1009
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the party at all	272	16.77	26.96
02.		88	5.43	8.72
03.		87	5.36	8.62
04.		72	4.44	7.14
05.		78	4.81	7.73
06.	Undecided	140	8.63	13.88
07.		110	6.78	10.90
08.		59	3.64	5.85
09.		56	3.45	5.55
10.		29	1.79	2.87
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the party	18	1.11	1.78
99.	NA	5	0.31	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V289 3:THINK OF GENSCHER

Position: 441 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.10 Please tell by using this scale what you think about some leading politicians.
(Interviewer: Shuffle pink deck of cards and present the cards one at a time together with list no.7 to the respondent)
+5 means that you think a great deal of the particular politician. -5 means that you do not think much of him at all. If you do not know one or the other of the politicians you do not have to rate them, of course.

Q.10(A) What do you think of Hans-Dietrich Genscher?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1007
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	101	6.23	10.03
02.		57	3.51	5.66
03.		69	4.25	6.85
04.		67	4.13	6.65
05.		68	4.19	6.75
06.	Undecided	117	7.21	11.62
07.		131	8.08	13.01
08.		163	10.05	16.19
09.		150	9.25	14.90
10.		56	3.45	5.56
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	28	1.73	2.78
99.	NA	7	0.43	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V290 3:THINK OF KOHL

Position: 443 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.10(B) And what do you think of Helmut Kohl?

<see Q.10 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1008
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	24	1.48	2.38
02.		22	1.36	2.18
03.		49	3.02	4.86
04.		41	2.53	4.07
05.		61	3.76	6.05
06.	Undecided	95	5.86	9.42
07.		97	5.98	9.62
08.		117	7.21	11.61
09.		137	8.45	13.59
10.		173	10.67	17.16
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	192	11.84	19.05
99.	NA	6	0.37	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V291 3:THINK OF SCHMIDT

Position: 445 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.11(C) And what do you think of Helmut Schmidt?

<see Q.10 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1008
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	10	0.62	0.99
02.		6	0.37	0.60
03.		19	1.17	1.88
04.		43	2.65	4.27
05.		26	1.60	2.58
06.	Undecided	93	5.73	9.23
07.		109	6.72	10.81
08.		125	7.71	12.40
09.		175	10.79	17.36
10.		161	9.93	15.97
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	241	14.86	23.91
99.	NA	6	0.37	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V292 3:THINK OF STRAUSS

Position: 447 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.10(D) And what do you think of Franz-Josef Strauss?

<see Q.10 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1008
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	142	8.75	14.09
02.		59	3.64	5.85
03.		56	3.45	5.56
04.		62	3.82	6.15
05.		66	4.07	6.55
06.	Undecided	118	7.27	11.71
07.		113	6.97	11.21
08.		97	5.98	9.62
09.		119	7.34	11.81
10.		99	6.10	9.82
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	77	4.75	7.64
99.	NA	6	0.37	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V293 3:THINK OF VOGEL

Position: 449 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.10(E) What do you think of Jochen Vogel?

<see Q.10 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1008
01.	-5 -- do not think much of the politician at all	39	2.40	3.87
02.		24	1.48	2.38
03.		40	2.47	3.97
04.		56	3.45	5.56
05.		71	4.38	7.04
06.	Undecided	140	8.63	13.89
07.		144	8.88	14.29
08.		152	9.37	15.08
09.		163	10.05	16.17
10.		109	6.72	10.81
11.	+5 -- think a great deal of the politician	70	4.32	6.94
99.	NA	6	0.37	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V294 3:LIKE FDP IN PARLIAMNT

Position: 451 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.11 And now let's talk about the results of the elections for the Bundestag.Do you think it is good that the FDP returned to the Bundestag or don't you think that this is good?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1006
1. Is good	651	40.14	64.71
2. Is not good	355	21.89	35.29
9. NA	8	0.49	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V295 3:LIKE GREENS IN PARLMNT

Position: 452 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.11A And how about the Greens. Do you think it is good that the Greens are now represented in the Bundestag or don't you think that this is good?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1008
1. Is good	419	25.83	41.57
2. Is not good	589	36.31	58.43
9. NA	6	0.37	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V296 3:CDU/CSU GVT OR COALITN

Position: 453 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.12 The CDU/CSU just barely missed the absolute majority of all parliamentary seats. Do you consider it good that the CDU/CSU will continue to govern together with the FDP or would you have preferred a government just of the CDU/CSU alone?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 991
1. Prefer government with FDP	677	41.74	68.31
2. Would have preferred CDU/CSU government	314	19.36	31.69
9. NA	23	1.42	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V297 3:WHY SPD LOST VOTES

Position: 454 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.13 What factors were, in your mind, responsible for the fact that the SPD lost so many votes?
(Interviewer: Allow only one responses)

Reference to political issues

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 932
01.	Unemployment	96	5.92	10.30
02.	Public debt, governmental indebtedness, fiscal policy	81	4.99	8.69
03.	Economic policy in general, economic crisis, budget policy	148	9.12	15.88
04.	Policy concerning pensions	0		
05.	Policy concerning aliens, foreign workers	2	0.12	0.21
06.	Education, education policy	8	0.49	0.86
07.	Other domestic policy issues	3	0.18	0.32
08.	Peace policy, defense policy, alliance policy (NATO, United States)	9	0.55	0.97
09.	Foreign policy (other than coded under 08)	2	0.12	0.21

Reference to performance of government and politicians

10.	General statements about government performance (e.g. had not done anything, passed bad laws, have messed things up, did not keep promises, bad performance)	100	6.17	10.73
11.	Attrition (e.g. had been in government too long, worn out, not credible, had lost confidence)	53	3.27	5.69
12.	Vogel (wrong candidate, too honest)	77	4.75	8.26
13.	Schmidt	46	2.84	4.94
14.	Leaders or leading team (including individual politicians like Brandt, Wehner)	6	0.37	0.64
15.	Bad politicians, bad team, not the right people	9	0.55	0.97
16.	Kohl (expect more from Kohl, has promised more)	1	0.06	0.11
17.	"The turn"	11	0.68	1.18
18.	Other statements referring to government performance or politicians	1	0.06	0.11

Reference to political parties

20.	SPD is too much to the right, not a workers'party anymore	4	0.25	0.43
21.	SPD is too much to the left, socialism fails, rapprochement to Moscow	13	0.80	1.39
22.	SPD is at variance, in disagreement	39	2.40	4.18
23.	Other statements about the SPD as a party	6	0.37	0.64
24.	References to the FDP: betrayal, breach of trust, Genscher, change towards the CDU/CSU	19	1.17	2.04
25.	References to the Greens: too much distance from the Greens, did not want to cooperate with them	3	0.18	0.32
26.	References to the Greens: too little distance from the Greens, wanted to join in with them	32	1.97	3.43
27.	References to the CDU/CSU - positive: CDU is the better party, gave hope	29	1.79	3.11
28.	References to the CDU/CSU - negative: talk about economic upswing, people were tricked in	21	1.29	2.25
29.	Other references to parties	16	0.99	1.72

V297 3:WHY SPD LOST VOTES (continued)

Reference to election campaign and voting behavior

30.	SPD had wrong campaign e.g. too factual, too technical, not persuading	40	2.47	4.29
31.	Misleading campaign of the CDU	6	0.37	0.64
32.	Other statements abbout the campaign (without reference to the SPD or CDU)	12	0.74	1.29
33.	SPD lost votes to the CDU (many turned to the CDU)	0		
34.	SPD lost votes to the Greens (many voted for the Greens)	7	0.43	0.75
35.	SPD lost votes to the FDP	0		
36.	Reference to specific groups that did not or no longer vote for the SPD or who voted for other parties	0		
37.	Good campaign of the CDU	9	0.55	0.97
38.	The press, the media	1	0.06	0.11
39.	(Good) Relations of the CDU to the business world (e.g. employers did not support the SPD)	9	0.55	0.97
40.	Other statements not elsewhere classified	13	0.80	1.39
99.	NA	82	5.06	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V298 3:TV DISCUSSION TOP CAND

Position: 456 FW1: 0
Length: 1

Q.14 Three days before the elections for the Bundestag, the first and second television networks simultaneously broadcast a discussion between the top candidates or the parties: Helmut Kohl, Hans Jochen Vogel, Franz-Josef Strauss and Hans-Dietrich Genscher. How well did you like the program? Very well, well, not so well, not at all?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1014
1.	Very well	71	4.38	7.00
2.	Well	330	20.35	32.54
3.	Not so well	136	8.38	13.41
4.	Not at all	36	2.22	3.55
5.	Did not see the programm	441	27.19	43.49
0.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V299 3:WHO WON TV DISCUSSION

Position: 457 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.14A (If R saw the discussion) All in all, what is your opinion: of the four politicians, who came out best?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 568
1. Genscher	47	2.90	8.27
2. Kohl	224	13.81	39.44
3. Vogel	134	8.26	23.59
4. Strauss	43	2.65	7.57
5. All equally good	81	4.99	14.26
6. All equally bad	39	2.40	6.87
9. NA	5	0.31	.
0. INAP. coded 5 or 0 in Q.14; no third wave interview	1049	64.67	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V300 3:WHO LOST TV DISCUSSION

Position: 458 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.14B (If R saw the discussion) And, of the four politicians, who came out worst?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 564
1. Genscher	92	5.67	16.31
2. Kohl	26	1.60	4.61
3. Vogel	206	12.70	36.52
4. Strauss	120	7.40	21.28
5. All equally good	83	5.12	14.72
6. All equally bad	37	2.28	6.56
9. NA	9	0.55	.
0. INAP. coded 5 or 0 in Q.14; no third wave interview	1049	64.67	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V301 3:CAMPAIGN USEFUL

Position: 459 FW1: 0
Length: 1

Q.15 There are different opinions about the significance of the election campaign. There are people who think it is absolutely essential, others think it is quite useful, still others think it is very harmful. What do you think? Do you regard the election campaign as absolutely essential, quite useful, fairly superfluous, or as very harmful?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 924
1.	Absolutely essential	124	7.64	13.42
2.	Quite useful	453	27.93	49.03
3.	Fairly superfluous	316	19.48	34.20
4.	Very harmful	31	1.91	3.35
5.	DK	90	5.55	9.74
0.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	109.74

V302 3:CAMPAIGN INFORMATIVE?

Position: 460 FW1: 0
Length: 1

Q.16 An election campaign should provide information on the candidates and programmes of the individual parties to help the voter in making his/her decision.
Do you think that this election campaign, the way it was carried out by the parties, fulfilled this task or do you not think so?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1014
1.	Yes, campaign was informative	307	18.93	30.28
2.	No, campaign was not informative	251	15.47	24.75
3.	Part yes, part no	335	20.65	33.04
4.	Don’t know	121	7.46	11.93
0.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V303 3:WHCH PARTY MST ACT SUP

Position: 461 FW1: 0
Length: 1

Q.17 What is your impression as to which party's supporters were the most active in the campaign?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1014
1. CDU/CSU supporters	352	21.70	34.71
2. SPD supporters	98	6.04	9.66
3. FDP supporters	32	1.97	3.16
4. Supporters of the Greens	55	3.39	5.42
5. All equally	345	21.27	34.02
6. Cannot decide	132	8.14	13.02
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V304 3:PEACE MVMT NECESSARY

Position: 462 FW1: 0
Length: 1

Q.18 There has been a peace movement for some time in the Federal Republic. To some extent it disagrees with the government and the political parties represented in the Bundestag on the policies necessary for maintaining peace.

Do you think this peace movement is necessary, superfluous, dangerous, or do you feel indifferent to the peace movement?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1014
1. Neccessary	469	28.91	46.25
2. Superfluous	269	16.58	26.53
3. Dangerous	86	5.30	8.48
4. Feel indifferent	190	11.71	18.74
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V305 3:PARTCPT PEACE DEMO

Position: 463 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.18A (If R thinks that the peace movement is necessary) Would you be willing to participate in a peaceful demonstration sponsored by the peace movement or would you not be willing to do so?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 464
1. Would participate	228	14.06	49.14
2. Would not participate	236	14.55	50.86
9. NA	5	0.31	.
0. INAP., coded 2 to 4 in Q.18; no third wave interview	1153	71.09	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V306 3:DEMOCRACY IN GFR

Position: 464 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.19 What would you generally say about democracy the Federal Republic of Germany, that is about our political parties and our entire political system? Are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with it?

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1009
1.	Very satisfied	157	9.68	15.56
2.	Somewhat satisfied	731	45.07	72.45
3.	Somewhat dissatisfied	119	7.34	11.79
4.	Very dissatisfied	2	0.12	0.20
9.	NA	5	0.31	.
0.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V307 3:PRESENT POL SYST FAIR

Position: 465 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.20 This list contains two statements describing the type of political system we have in this country? Please tell me for each sentence whether you agree completely, agree more or less, disagree more or less or disagree completely.

Q.20A The political system of the Federal Republic is just and fair.

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 995
1.	Agree completely	327	20.16	32.86
2.	Agree more or less	570	35.14	57.29
3.	Disagree more or less	86	5.30	8.64
4.	Disagree completely	12	0.74	1.21
9.	DK	19	1.17	.
0.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V308 3:POL SYS PRTCT CIV RGT

Position: 466 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.20B The political system of the Federal Republic safeguards the basic rights of citizens.

<see Q.20 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 991
1. Agree completely	330	20.35	33.30
2. Agree more or less	576	35.51	58.12
3. Disagree more or less	78	4.81	7.87
4. Disagree completely	7	0.43	0.71
9. DK	23	1.42	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V309 3:POL GOAL 1RST

Position: 467 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.21(1) In politics, as elsewhere, one cannot do everything all at once. In this list you will find several goals which one can pursue in politics. If you had to chose between these different goals, which goal would be most important to you? (Interviewer: Hand list no.9 to the respondent. Allow only one response)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1008
1. (A) Maintaining order in the nation	389	23.98	38.59
2. (B) Giving the people more say in government decisions	126	7.77	12.50
3. (C) Fighting rising prices	357	22.01	35.42
4. (D) Protecting the freedom of speech	136	8.38	13.49
9. DK, refused	6	0.37	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V310 3:POL GOAL 2ND

Position: 468 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.21(2) Which goal would be second most important?

<see Q.21 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1005
1.	(A) Maintaining order in the nation	308	18.99	30.65
2.	(B) Giving the people more say in government decisions	166	10.23	16.52
3.	(C) Fighting rising prices	317	19.54	31.54
4.	(D) Protecting the freedom of speech	214	13.19	21.29
9.	DK, refused	9	0.55	.
0.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V311 3:POL GOAL 3RD

Position: 469 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.21(3) Which goal would be third most important to you?

<see Q.21 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 980
1.	(A) Maintaining order in the nation	151	9.31	15.41
2.	(B) Giving the people more say in government decisions	275	16.95	28.06
3.	(C) Fighting rising prices	209	12.89	21.33
4.	(D) Protecting the freedom of speech	345	21.27	35.20
9.	DK, refused	34	2.10	.
0.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V312 3:POL GOAL 4RTH

Position: 470 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.21(4) Which goal would be fourth most important to you?

<see Q.21 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 981
1.	(A) Maintaining order in the nation	155	9.56	15.80
2.	(B) Giving the people more say in government decisions	422	26.02	43.02
3.	(C) Fighting rising prices	116	7.15	11.82
4.	(D) Protecting the freedom of speech	288	17.76	29.36
9.	DK, refused	33	2.03	.
0.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V313 3:LEFT-RIGHT SELF-PLACMT

Position: 471 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.22 There are various terms which are frequently used when talking about politics, such as "left" and "right".
We would like to know from you whether you would place more to the left or more to the right.
Please, indicate on this scale where you would place yourself.
(Interviewer: Hand scale to the respondent)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1004
01.	Left	12	0.74	1.20
02.	.	33	2.03	3.29
03.	.	82	5.06	8.17
04.	.	95	5.86	9.46
05.	.	121	7.46	12.05
06.	.	282	17.39	28.09
07.	.	107	6.60	10.66
08.	.	107	6.60	10.66
09.	.	82	5.06	8.17
10.	.	55	3.39	5.48
11.	Right	28	1.73	2.79
99.	NA	10	0.62	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V314 3:1RST EVERYDAY PROBLEM

Position: 473 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 99

Q.23 We would like to know what problems you have to cope with in everyday life. Please tell me in your own words what problems you are particularly concerned with at present.

Q.23(1) First problem

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 936
01.	Health	110	6.78	11.75
02.	Own economic situation	200	12.33	21.37
03.	General economic situation	309	19.05	33.01
04.	Personal issues	73	4.50	7.80
05.	Marriage, family	67	4.13	7.16
06.	Developments in society (e.g. drugs, morale at work)	9	0.55	0.96
07.	Personal security (e.g. robbery, burglary)	4	0.25	0.43
08.	Situation in world politics	34	2.10	3.63
09.	National political situation (change in government)	8	0.49	0.85
10.	Do not have any problems, I am perfectly happy	80	4.93	8.55
11.	Other responses	42	2.59	4.49
99.	NA	78	4.81	.
00.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V315 3:2ND EVERYDAY PROBLEM

Position: 475 FW1: 0
Length: 2

Q.23(2) Second problem

<see Q.23 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 642
01.	Health	56	3.45	8.72
02.	Own economic situation	138	8.51	21.50
03.	General economic situation	246	15.17	38.32
04.	Personal issues	37	2.28	5.76
05.	Marriage, family	52	3.21	8.10
06.	Developments in society (e.g. drugs, morale at work)	10	0.62	1.56
07.	Personal security (e.g. robbery, burglary)	4	0.25	0.62
08.	Situation in world politics	37	2.28	5.76
09.	National political situation (change in government)	5	0.31	0.78
11.	Other responses	57	3.51	8.88
00.	No second problem mentioned; no third wave interview	980	60.42	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V316 3:3RD EVERYDAY PROBLEM

Position: 477 FW1: 0
Length: 2

Q.23(3) Third problem

<see Q.23 for complete question text>

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 453
01.	Health	34	2.10	7.51
02.	Own economic situation	70	4.32	15.45
03.	General economic situation	141	8.69	31.13
04.	Personal issues	24	1.48	5.30
05.	Marriage, family	33	2.03	7.28
06.	Developments in society (e.g. drugs, morale at work)	13	0.80	2.87
07.	Personal security (e.g. robbery, burglary)	7	0.43	1.55
08.	Situation in world politics	56	3.45	12.36
09.	National political situation (change in government)	8	0.49	1.77
11.	Other responses	67	4.13	14.79
00.	No third problem mentioned; no third wave interview	1169	72.07	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V317 3:WHO SHLD HELP PROBLEM

Position: 479 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23A Do you think you should have to cope with <problem mentioned in Q.23(1)> yourself or should someone help you?

Q.23B Who should help you in the first instance?
(Interviewer: Allow only one response)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 856
1. Should have to cope myself	368	22.69	42.99
2. Family, friends should help	45	2.77	5.26
3. Relevant authorities, institutions should help	67	4.13	7.83
4. Government, the state should help	342	21.09	39.95
5. Other responses	34	2.10	3.97
9. NA	158	9.74	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V318 3:WHO SHLD HELP PROBLEM

Position: 480 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23C And now please take the second problem, that is <problem mentioned in Q.23(2)>. Do you think you should have to cope with this problem yourself or should someone help you?

Q.23D Who should help you in the first instance?
(Interviewer: Allow only one response)

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 670
1. Should have to cope myself	258	15.91	38.51
2. Family, friends should help	30	1.85	4.48
3. Relevant authorities, institutions should help	52	3.21	7.76
4. Government, the state should help	303	18.68	45.22
5. Other responses	27	1.66	4.03
9. NA	344	21.21	.
0. No second problem mentioned; no third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V319 3:WHO SHLD HELP PROBLEM

Position: 481 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.23E And now please take the third problem, that is <problem mentioned in Q.23(3)>. Do you think you should have to cope with this problem yourself or should someone help you?

Q.23F Who should help you in the first instance?
(Interviewer: Allow only one response)

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 501
1.	Should have to cope myself	173	10.67	34.53
2.	Family, friends should help	25	1.54	4.99
3.	Relevant authorities, institutions should help	30	1.85	5.99
4.	Government, the state should help	250	15.41	49.90
5.	Other responses	23	1.42	4.59
9.	NA	513	31.63	.
0.	No third problem mentioned; no third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V320 3:MISSIL INSTALLATION

Position: 482 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24 Opinions differ as to which goals should be pursued in the best interests of the country.
Using this scale, please tell me whether you personally consider each goal to be good or rather to be bad.

(Interviewer: Hand list no.10 to the respondent)
"1" means that you consider this goal to be "very good",
"5" means that you consider this goal to be "very bad"; using the values in between, you can express your opinion more precisely.

Q.24A Stationing of medium-range missiles in the Federal Republic in case there is no agreement between the USA and the USSR

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 926
1.	Very good	80	4.93	8.64
2.		166	10.23	17.93
3.		224	13.81	24.19
4.		179	11.04	19.33
5.	Very bad	277	17.08	29.91
9.	DK	88	5.43	.
0.	No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
		1622	100.00	100.00

V321 3:DECONTROL RENT

Position: 483 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24B House owners to have greater freedom with rent levels - R considers this goal to be

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 945
1. Very good	79	4.87	8.36
2.	157	9.68	16.61
3.	221	13.63	23.39
4.	191	11.78	20.21
5. Very bad	297	18.31	31.43
9. DK	69	4.25	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V322 3:CONTROL POLLUTION

Position: 484 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24C Stricter regulations controlling permitted levels of air and water pollution, even if this costs the man in the street more -- R considers this goal to be

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 982
1. Very good	498	30.70	50.71
2.	310	19.11	31.57
3.	133	8.20	13.54
4.	31	1.91	3.16
5. Very bad	10	0.62	1.02
9. DK	32	1.97	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V323 3:UNEMPLOYMNT COMPENSATN

Position: 485 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24D Stricter standards in the granting of earnings-related benefits for the unemployed -- R considers this goal to be

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 971
1. Very good	271	16.71	27.91
2.	274	16.89	28.22
3.	242	14.92	24.92
4.	117	7.21	12.05
5. Very bad	67	4.13	6.90
9. DK	43	2.65	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V324 3:MAX ACCORD WITH USA

Position: 486 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24E Maximum mutuality to be established with the US on all important political questions -- R considers this goal to be

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 943
1. Very good	150	9.25	15.91
2.	284	17.51	30.12
3.	332	20.47	35.21
4.	124	7.64	13.15
5. Very bad	53	3.27	5.62
9. DK	71	4.38	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V325 3:FEWR FOREIGN WORKERS

Position: 487 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24F Reduction in the number of foreign workers -- R considers this goal to be

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 968
1. Very good	367	22.63	37.91
2.	269	16.58	27.79
3.	216	13.32	22.31
4.	78	4.81	8.06
5. Very bad	38	2.34	3.93
9. DK	46	2.84	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V326 3:INCREASED COST SHARING

Position: 488 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24G Patients to pay a greater share of doctor's fees and costs of medical care -- R considers this goal to be

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 972
1. Very good	24	1.48	2.47
2.	73	4.50	7.51
3.	190	11.71	19.55
4.	274	16.89	28.19
5. Very bad	411	25.34	42.28
9. DK	42	2.59	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V327 3:EXPANSION NUCLEAR PLNT

Position: 489 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24H Steady build-up of nuclear plants -- R considers this goal to be

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 935
1. Very good	126	7.77	13.48
2.	181	11.16	19.36
3.	264	16.28	28.24
4.	196	12.08	20.96
5. Very bad	168	10.36	17.97
9. DK	79	4.87	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V328 3:ALLOW REFERENDA

Position: 490 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24I Prepare the way for important questions to be decided not by parliament but by plebiscite -- R considers this goal to be

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 898
1. Very good	194	11.96	21.60
2.	237	14.61	26.39
3.	258	15.91	28.73
4.	127	7.83	14.14
5. Very bad	82	5.06	9.13
9. DK	116	7.15	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V329 3:RICH PAY HIGHER TAXES

Position: 491 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24K Higher earnings to be taxed more heavily -- R considers this goal to be

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 968
1. Very good	343	21.15	35.43
2.	310	19.11	32.02
3.	207	12.76	21.38
4.	70	4.32	7.23
5. Very bad	38	2.34	3.93
9. DK	46	2.84	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V330 3:ABORTION MORE DIFFICLT

Position: 492 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24L Stricter statutory controls governing termination of pregnancy -- R considers this goal to be

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 919
1. Very good	160	9.86	17.41
2.	188	11.59	20.46
3.	218	13.44	23.72
4.	173	10.67	18.82
5. Very bad	180	11.10	19.59
9. DK	95	5.86	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V331 3:INVESTMT INCENTIVES

Position: 493 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24M Creation of greater investment incentives for employers -- R considers this goal to be

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 941
1. Very good	255	15.72	27.10
2.	353	21.76	37.51
3.	237	14.61	25.19
4.	69	4.25	7.33
5. Very bad	27	1.66	2.87
9. DK	73	4.50	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V332 3:REDUCE PUBLIC DEBT

Position: 494 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24N Reduction of national debt, even if as a result state services have to be curtailed -- R considers this goal to be

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 963
1. Very good	241	14.86	25.03
2.	293	18.06	30.43
3.	291	17.94	30.22
4.	95	5.86	9.87
5. Very bad	43	2.65	4.47
9. DK	51	3.14	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V333 3:LONGR ALTERNATIVE SERV

Position: 495 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24O Civil Alternative Service to last longer compared with military service in the Bundeswehr <army> --
R considers this goal to be

<see Q.24 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 894
1. Very good	141	8.69	15.77
2.	157	9.68	17.56
3.	242	14.92	27.07
4.	138	8.51	15.44
5. Very bad	216	13.32	24.16
9. DK	120	7.40	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V334 3:CDU -MISSIL INSTALLATN

Position: 496 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25 And now let's look at the political parties. Using this scale again, please tell me to what extent the CDU, the SPD, the FDP and the Greens are for, or rather against each of the goals.

(Interviewer: Hand list no.11 to the respondent. Ask the position of each party for each of the goals)

Q.25A(1) First take goal A: What is the position of the CDU? Stationing of medium-range missiles in the Federal Republic in case there is no agreement between the USA and the USSR --

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 923
1. Very much for	532	32.80	57.64
2.	297	18.31	32.18
3.	51	3.14	5.53
4.	22	1.36	2.38
5. Very much against	21	1.29	2.28
9. DK	91	5.61	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V335 3:SPD -MISSIL INSTALLATN

Position: 497 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25A(2) What is the position of the SPD?
Stationing of medium-range missiles in the Federal Republic
in case there is no agreement between the USA and the USSR --

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 903
1. Very much for	38	2.34	4.21
2.	169	10.42	18.72
3.	343	21.15	37.98
4.	230	14.18	25.47
5. Very much against	123	7.58	13.62
9. DK	111	6.84	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V336 3:FDP -MISSIL INSTALLATN

Position: 498 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25A(3) And what is the position of the FDP?
Stationing of medium-range missiles in the Federal Republic
in case there is no agreement between the USA and the USSR --

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 872
1. Very much for	228	14.06	26.15
2.	355	21.89	40.71
3.	214	13.19	24.54
4.	49	3.02	5.62
5. Very much against	26	1.60	2.98
9. DK	142	8.75	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V337 3:GREEN-MISSIL INSTALLAT

Position: 499 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25A(4) And what is the position of the Greens?
Stationing of medium-range missiles in the Federal Republic
in case there is no agreement between the USA and the USSR --

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 874
1. Very much for	9	0.55	1.03
2.	11	0.68	1.26
3.	25	1.54	2.86
4.	61	3.76	6.98
5. Very much against	768	47.35	87.87
9. DK	140	8.63	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V338 3:CDU -DECONTROL RENT

Position: 500 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25B(1) House owners to have greater freedom with rent
levels -- position of the CDU

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 898
1. Very much for	475	29.28	52.90
2.	308	18.99	34.30
3.	75	4.62	8.35
4.	23	1.42	2.56
5. Very much against	17	1.05	1.89
9. DK	116	7.15	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V339 3:SPD -DECONTROL RENT

Position: 501 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25B(2) House owners to have greater freedom with rent levels -- position of the SPD

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 891
1. Very much for	32	1.97	3.59
2.	117	7.21	13.13
3.	267	16.46	29.97
4.	269	16.58	30.19
5. Very much against	206	12.70	23.12
9. DK	123	7.58	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V340 3:FDP -DECONTROL RENT

Position: 502 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25B(3) House owners to have greater freedom with rent levels -- position of the FDP

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 838
1. Very much for	259	15.97	30.91
2.	288	17.76	34.37
3.	225	13.87	26.85
4.	45	2.77	5.37
5. Very much against	21	1.29	2.51
9. DK	176	10.85	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V341 3:GREENS-DECONTROL RENT

Position: 503 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25B(4) House owners to have greater freedom with rent levels -- position of the Greens

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 787
1. Very much for	7	0.43	0.89
2.	18	1.11	2.29
3.	60	3.70	7.62
4.	144	8.88	18.30
5. Very much against	558	34.40	70.90
9. DK	227	14.00	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V342 3:CDU -CONTROL POLLUTN

Position: 504 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25C(1) Stricter regulations controlling permitted levels of air and water pollution, even if this costs the man in the street more -- position of the CDU

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 903
1. Very much for	288	17.76	31.89
2.	304	18.74	33.67
3.	217	13.38	24.03
4.	65	4.01	7.20
5. Very much against	29	1.79	3.21
9. DK	111	6.84	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V343 3:SPD -CONTROL POLLUTN

Position: 505 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25C(2) Stricter regulations controlling permitted levels of air and water pollution, even if this costs the man in the street more -- position of the SPD

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 897
1. Very much for	262	16.15	29.21
2.	357	22.01	39.80
3.	217	13.38	24.19
4.	50	3.08	5.57
5. Very much against	11	0.68	1.23
9. DK	117	7.21	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V344 3:FDP -CONTROL POLLUTN

Position: 506 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25C(3) Stricter regulations controlling permitted levels of air and water pollution, even if this costs the man in the street more -- position of the FDP

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 887
1. Very much for	211	13.01	23.79
2.	336	20.72	37.88
3.	238	14.67	26.83
4.	77	4.75	8.68
5. Very much against	25	1.54	2.82
9. DK	127	7.83	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V345 3:GREENS-CONTROL POLLUTN

Position: 507 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25C(4) Stricter regulations controlling permitted levels of air and water pollution, even if this costs the man in the street more -- position of the Greens

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 919
1. Very much for	764	47.10	83.13
2.	72	4.44	7.83
3.	40	2.47	4.35
4.	15	0.92	1.63
5. Very much against	28	1.73	3.05
9. DK	95	5.86	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V346 3:CDU -UNEMPLOYMNT COMP

Position: 508 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25D(1) Stricter standards in the granting of earnings-related benefits for the unemployed -- position of the CDU

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 917
1. Very much for	515	31.75	56.16
2.	283	17.45	30.86
3.	87	5.36	9.49
4.	16	0.99	1.74
5. Very much against	16	0.99	1.74
9. DK	97	5.98	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V347 3:SPD -UNEMPLOYMNT COMP

Position: 509 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25D(2) Stricter standards in the granting of earnings-related benefits for the unemployed -- position of the SPD

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 903
1. Very much for	64	3.95	7.09
2.	184	11.34	20.38
3.	338	20.84	37.43
4.	217	13.38	24.03
5. Very much against	100	6.17	11.07
9. DK	111	6.84	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V348 3:FPD -UNEMPLOYMNT COMP

Position: 510 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25D(3) Stricter standards in the granting of earnings-related benefits for the unemployed -- position of the FDP

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 879
1. Very much for	276	17.02	31.40
2.	341	21.02	38.79
3.	201	12.39	22.87
4.	44	2.71	5.01
5. Very much against	17	1.05	1.93
9. DK	135	8.32	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V349 3:GREENS-UNEMPLOYMNT COM

Position: 511 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25D(4) Stricter standards in the granting of earnings-related benefits for the unemployed -- position of the Greens

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 719
1. Very much for	34	2.10	4.73
2.	55	3.39	7.65
3.	118	7.27	16.41
4.	197	12.15	27.40
5. Very much against	315	19.42	43.81
9. DK	295	18.19	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V350 3:CDU -MAX ACCORD USA

Position: 512 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25E(1) Maximum mutuality to be established with the US on all important political questions -- position of the CDU

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 922
1. Very much for	635	39.15	68.87
2.	194	11.96	21.04
3.	66	4.07	7.16
4.	16	0.99	1.74
5. Very much against	11	0.68	1.19
9. DK	92	5.67	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V351 3:SPD -MAX ACCORD USA

Position: 513 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25E(2) Maximum mutuality to be established with the US on all important political questions -- position of the SPD

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 910
1. Very much for	80	4.93	8.79
2.	239	14.73	26.26
3.	380	23.43	41.76
4.	159	9.80	17.47
5. Very much against	52	3.21	5.71
9. DK	104	6.41	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V352 3:FDP -MAX ACCORD USA

Position: 514 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25E(3) Maximum mutuality to be established with the US on all important political questions -- position of the FDP

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 891
1. Very much for	342	21.09	38.38
2.	341	21.02	38.27
3.	161	9.93	18.07
4.	33	2.03	3.70
5. Very much against	14	0.86	1.57
9. DK	123	7.58	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V353 3:GREENS-MAX ACCORD USA

Position: 515 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25E(4) Maximum mutuality to be established with the US on all important political questions -- position of the Greens

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 755
1. Very much for	15	0.92	1.99
2.	20	1.23	2.65
3.	105	6.47	13.91
4.	229	14.12	30.33
5. Very much against	386	23.80	51.13
9. DK	259	15.97	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V354 3:CDU -FEWR FRGN WORKERS

Position: 516 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25F(1) Reduction in the number of foreign workers -- position of the CDU

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 919
1. Very much for	477	29.41	51.90
2.	297	18.31	32.32
3.	119	7.34	12.95
4.	14	0.86	1.52
5. Very much against	12	0.74	1.31
9. DK	95	5.86	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V355 3:SPD -FEWR FRGN WORKERS

Position: 517 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25F(2) Reduction in the number of foreign workers -- position of the SPD

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 906
1. Very much for	87	5.36	9.60
2.	259	15.97	28.59
3.	375	23.12	41.39
4.	133	8.20	14.68
5. Very much against	52	3.21	5.74
9. DK	108	6.66	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V356 3:FDP -FEWR FRGN WORKERS

Position: 518 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25F(3) Reduction in the number of foreign workers -- position of the FDP

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 868
1. Very much for	225	13.87	25.92
2.	357	22.01	41.13
3.	232	14.30	26.73
4.	38	2.34	4.38
5. Very much against	16	0.99	1.84
9. DK	146	9.00	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V357 3:GREENS-FEWR FRGN WORKR

Position: 519 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25F(4) Reduction in the number of foreign workers -- position of the Greens

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 687
1. Very much for	74	4.56	10.77
2.	75	4.62	10.92
3.	150	9.25	21.83
4.	163	10.05	23.73
5. Very much against	225	13.87	32.75
9. DK	327	20.16	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V358 3:CDU -INCRSE COST SHARN

Position: 520 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25G(1) Patients to pay a greater share of doctor's fees and costs of medical care -- position of the CDU

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 895
1. Very much for	370	22.81	41.34
2.	379	23.37	42.35
3.	103	6.35	11.51
4.	20	1.23	2.23
5. Very much against	23	1.42	2.57
9. DK	119	7.34	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V359 3:SPD -INCRSE COST SHARN

Position: 521 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25G(2) Patients to pay a greater share of doctor's fees and costs of medical care -- position of the SPD

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 875
1. Very much for	52	3.21	5.94
2.	161	9.93	18.40
3.	305	18.80	34.86
4.	234	14.43	26.74
5. Very much against	123	7.58	14.06
9. DK	139	8.57	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V360 3:FDP -INCRSE COST SHARN

Position: 522 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25G(3) Patients to pay a greater share of doctor's fees and costs of medical care -- position of the FDP

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 853
1. Very much for	241	14.86	28.25
2.	311	19.17	36.46
3.	235	14.49	27.55
4.	41	2.53	4.81
5. Very much against	25	1.54	2.93
9. DK	161	9.93	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V361 3:GREENS-INCRSE COST SHA

Position: 523 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.25G(4) Patients to pay a greater share of doctor's fees and costs of medical care -- position of the Greens

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 671
1. Very much for	6	0.37	0.89
2.	20	1.23	2.98
3.	85	5.24	12.67
4.	179	11.04	26.68
5. Very much against	381	23.49	56.78
9. DK	343	21.15	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V362 3:CDU -EXP NUCLEAR PLNTS

Position: 524 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24H(1) Steady build-up of nuclear plants -- position of the CDU

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 932
1. Very much for	546	33.66	58.58
2.	280	17.26	30.04
3.	83	5.12	8.91
4.	9	0.55	0.97
5. Very much against	14	0.86	1.50
9. DK	82	5.06	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V363 3:SPD -EXP NUCLEAR PLNTS

Position: 525 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24H(2) Steady build-up of nuclear plants -- position of the SPD

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 919
1. Very much for	85	5.24	9.25
2.	233	14.36	25.35
3.	361	22.26	39.28
4.	178	10.97	19.37
5. Very much against	62	3.82	6.75
9. DK	95	5.86	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V364 3:FDP -EXP NUCLEAR PLNTS

Position: 526 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24H(3) Steady build-up of nuclear plants -- position of the FDP

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 889
1. Very much for	275	16.95	30.93
2.	366	22.56	41.17
3.	197	12.15	22.16
4.	29	1.79	3.26
5. Very much against	22	1.36	2.47
9. DK	125	7.71	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V365 3:GREENS-EXP NUCLEAR PLN

Position: 527 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24H(4) Steady build-up of nuclear plants -- position of the Greens

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 925
1. Very much for	9	0.55	0.97
2.	8	0.49	0.86
3.	15	0.92	1.62
4.	33	2.03	3.57
5. Very much against	860	53.02	92.97
9. DK	89	5.49	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V366 3:CDU -ALLOW REFERENDA

Position: 528 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24I(1) Prepare the way for important questions to be decided not by parliament but by plebiscite -- position of the CDU

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 731
1. Very much for	25	1.54	3.42
2.	99	6.10	13.54
3.	217	13.38	29.69
4.	193	11.90	26.40
5. Very much against	197	12.15	26.95
9. DK	283	17.45	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V367 3:SPD -ALLOW REFERENDA

Position: 529 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24I(2) Prepare the way for important questions to be decided not by parliament but by plebiscite -- position of the SPD

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 730
1. Very much for	52	3.21	7.12
2.	154	9.49	21.10
3.	312	19.24	42.74
4.	124	7.64	16.99
5. Very much against	88	5.43	12.05
9. DK	284	17.51	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V368 3:FDP -ALLOW REFERENDA

Position: 530 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24I(3) Prepare the way for important questions to be decided not by parliament but by plebiscite -- position of the FDP

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 715
1. Very much for	20	1.23	2.80
2.	99	6.10	13.85
3.	252	15.54	35.24
4.	182	11.22	25.45
5. Very much against	162	9.99	22.66
9. DK	299	18.43	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V369 3:GREENS-ALLOW REFERENDA

Position: 531 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24I(4) Prepare the way for important questions to be decided not by parliament but by plebiscite -- position of the Greens

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 714
1. Very much for	409	25.22	57.28
2.	171	10.54	23.95
3.	70	4.32	9.80
4.	32	1.97	4.48
5. Very much against	32	1.97	4.48
9. DK	300	18.50	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V370 3:CDU -RICH HIGHER TAXES

Position: 532 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24K(1) Higher earnings to be taxed more heavily -- position of the CDU

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 922
1. Very much for	211	13.01	22.89
2.	242	14.92	26.25
3.	195	12.02	21.15
4.	150	9.25	16.27
5. Very much against	124	7.64	13.45
9. DK	92	5.67	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V371 3:SPD -RICH HIGHER TAXES

Position: 533 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24K(2) Higher earnings to be taxed more heavily -- position of the SPD

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 920
1. Very much for	287	17.69	31.20
2.	342	21.09	37.17
3.	199	12.27	21.63
4.	70	4.32	7.61
5. Very much against	22	1.36	2.39
9. DK	94	5.80	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V372 3:FDP -RICH HIGHER TAXES

Position: 534 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24K(3) Higher earnings to be taxed more heavily -- position of the FDP

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 899
1. Very much for	97	5.98	10.79
2.	197	12.15	21.91
3.	261	16.09	29.03
4.	166	10.23	18.46
5. Very much against	178	10.97	19.80
9. DK	115	7.09	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V373 3:GREENS-RICH HIGHER TAX

Position: 535 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24K(4) Higher earnings to be taxed more heavily -- position of the Greens

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 788
1. Very much for	498	30.70	63.20
2.	118	7.27	14.97
3.	63	3.88	7.99
4.	48	2.96	6.09
5. Very much against	61	3.76	7.74
9. DK	226	13.93	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V374 3:CDU -ABORTION MRE DIFF

Position: 536 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24L(1) Stricter statutory controls governing termination of pregnancy -- position of the CDU

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 861
1. Very much for	493	30.39	57.26
2.	227	14.00	26.36
3.	89	5.49	10.34
4.	23	1.42	2.67
5. Very much against	29	1.79	3.37
9. DK	153	9.43	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V375 3:SPD -ABORTION MRE DIFF

Position: 537 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24L(2) Stricter statutory controls governing termination of pregnancy -- position of the SPD

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 852
1. Very much for	36	2.22	4.23
2.	88	5.43	10.33
3.	289	17.82	33.92
4.	253	15.60	29.69
5. Very much against	186	11.47	21.83
9. DK	162	9.99	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V376 3:FDP -ABORTION MRE DIFF

Position: 538 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24L(3) Stricter statutory controls governing termination of pregnancy -- position of the FDP

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 794
1. Very much for	105	6.47	13.22
2.	223	13.75	28.09
3.	275	16.95	34.63
4.	121	7.46	15.24
5. Very much against	70	4.32	8.82
9. DK	220	13.56	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V377 3:GREENS-ABORTION MRE DI

Position: 539 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24L(4) Stricter statutory controls governing termination of pregnancy -- position of the Greens

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 658
1. Very much for	32	1.97	4.86
2.	22	1.36	3.34
3.	91	5.61	13.83
4.	127	7.83	19.30
5. Very much against	386	23.80	58.66
9. DK	356	21.95	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V378 3:CDU -INVESTMT INCENTIV

Position: 540 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24M(1) Creation of greater investment incentives for employers -- position of the CDU

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 922
1. Very much for	671	41.37	72.78
2.	194	11.96	21.04
3.	46	2.84	4.99
4.	7	0.43	0.76
5. Very much against	4	0.25	0.43
9. DK	92	5.67	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V379 3:SPD -INVESTMT INCENTIV

Position: 541 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24M(2) Creation of greater investment incentives for employers -- position of the SPD

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 896
1. Very much for	81	4.99	9.04
2.	237	14.61	26.45
3.	364	22.44	40.63
4.	160	9.86	17.86
5. Very much against	54	3.33	6.03
9. DK	118	7.27	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V380 3:FDP -INVESTMT INCENTIV

Position: 542 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24M(3) Creation of greater investment incentives for employers -- position of the FDP

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 900
1. Very much for	458	28.24	50.89
2.	301	18.56	33.44
3.	113	6.97	12.56
4.	21	1.29	2.33
5. Very much against	7	0.43	0.78
9. DK	114	7.03	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V381 3:GREENS-INVESTMT INCENT

Position: 543 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24M(4) Creation of greater investment incentives for employers -- position of the Greens

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 682
1. Very much for	42	2.59	6.16
2.	48	2.96	7.04
3.	115	7.09	16.86
4.	194	11.96	28.45
5. Very much against	283	17.45	41.50
9. DK	332	20.47	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V382 3:CDU -REDUCE PBLC DEBT

Position: 544 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24N(1) Reduction of national debt, even if as a result state services have to be curtailed -- position of the CDU

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 917
1. Very much for	613	37.79	66.85
2.	235	14.49	25.63
3.	42	2.59	4.58
4.	19	1.17	2.07
5. Very much against	8	0.49	0.87
9. DK	97	5.98	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V383 3:SPD -REDUCE PBLC DEBT

Position: 545 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24N(2) Reduction of national debt, even if as a result state services have to be curtailed -- position of the SPD

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 902
1. Very much for	74	4.56	8.20
2.	187	11.53	20.73
3.	323	19.91	35.81
4.	209	12.89	23.17
5. Very much against	109	6.72	12.08
9. DK	112	6.91	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V384 3:FDP -REDUCE PBLC DEBT

Position: 546 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24N(3) Reduction of national debt, even if as a result state services have to be curtailed -- position of the FDP

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 886
1. Very much for	348	21.45	39.28
2.	321	19.79	36.23
3.	175	10.79	19.75
4.	32	1.97	3.61
5. Very much against	10	0.62	1.13
9. DK	128	7.89	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V385 3:GREENS-REDUCE PBLC DEB

Position: 547 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24N(4) Reduction of national debt, even if as a result state services have to be curtailed -- position of the Greens

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 686
1. Very much for	43	2.65	6.27
2.	60	3.70	8.75
3.	114	7.03	16.62
4.	173	10.67	25.22
5. Very much against	296	18.25	43.15
9. DK	328	20.22	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V386 3:CDU -LONGR ALTERN SERV

Position: 548 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24O(1) Civil Alternative Service to last longer compared with military service in the Bundeswehr <army> -- position of the CDU

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 854
1. Very much for	498	30.70	58.31
2.	256	15.78	29.98
3.	72	4.44	8.43
4.	12	0.74	1.41
5. Very much against	16	0.99	1.87
9. DK	160	9.86	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V387 3:SPD -LONGR ALTERN SERV

Position: 549 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24O(2) Civil Alternative Service to last longer compared with military service in the Bundeswehr <army> -- position of the SPD

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 830
1. Very much for	40	2.47	4.82
2.	120	7.40	14.46
3.	310	19.11	37.35
4.	222	13.69	26.75
5. Very much against	138	8.51	16.63
9. DK	184	11.34	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V388 3:CDU -LONGR ALTERN SERV

Position: 550 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24O(3) Civil Alternative Service to last longer compared with military service in the Bundeswehr <army> -- position of the FDP

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 794
1. Very much for	178	10.97	22.42
2.	312	19.24	39.29
3.	241	14.86	30.35
4.	45	2.77	5.67
5. Very much against	18	1.11	2.27
9. DK	220	13.56	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V389 3:GREENS-LONGR ALTN SERV

Position: 551 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

Q.24O(4) Civil Alternative Service to last longer compared with military service in the Bundeswehr <army> -- position of the Greens

<see Q.25 for complete question text>

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 756
1. Very much for	9	0.55	1.19
2.	26	1.60	3.44
3.	56	3.45	7.41
4.	89	5.49	11.77
5. Very much against	576	35.51	76.19
9. DK	258	15.91	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V390 3:HOUSEHOLD SIZE

Position: 552 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

S.A How many persons permanently live in your household? I mean people who sleep and eat here, including yourself.

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1012
1. One person	253	15.60	25.00
2.	337	20.78	33.30
3.	180	11.10	17.79
4.	169	10.42	16.70
5.	51	3.14	5.04
6.	16	0.99	1.58
7.	5	0.31	0.49
8. Eight or more	1	0.06	0.10
9. NA	2	0.12	.
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V391 3:PERSONS OVER 18 YRS

Position: 553 FW1: 0
Length: 1

S.B (Informal question) Number of persons in the household aged 18 and above.

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1014
1. One person	268	16.52	26.43
2. Two persons	551	33.97	54.34
3. .	129	7.95	12.72
4. .	51	3.14	5.03
5. .	12	0.74	1.18
6. .	2	0.12	0.20
7. Seven or more persons	1	0.06	0.10
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V392 3:SEX OF RESPONDENT

Position: 554 FW1: 0
Length: 1

S.C Respondent's sex is:

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1014
1. Male	464	28.61	45.76
2. Female	550	33.91	54.24
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V393 3:AGE OF RESPONDENT

Position: 555 FW1: 0
Length: 2

S.D Would you please tell me your age?

Actual number is coded.

18. Eighteen years old
.
.
94. Ninety-four years old

00. No third wave interview

V394 2:MARITAL STATUS

Position: 557 FW1: 0
Length: 1

S.E Respondent's marital status is:

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1014
1. Married	642	39.58	63.31
2. Single	167	10.30	16.47
3. Divorced, separated	53	3.27	5.23
4. Widowed	152	9.37	14.99
0. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V395 3:PARTY PREFERENCE

Position: 558 FW1: 00
Length: 2 FW2: 98

S.F Many people in the Federal Republic lean toward a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party. How about you? Do you in general lean toward a particular party? If so, which one?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 969
01. SPD	374	23.06	38.60
02. CDU	250	15.41	25.80
03. CDU/CSU	53	3.27	5.47
04. CSU	60	3.70	6.19
05. FDP	25	1.54	2.58
06. the Greens	28	1.73	2.89
07. Other	3	0.18	0.31
08. No party leaning	176	10.85	18.16
98. DK	24	1.48	.
99. Refused to answer	21	1.29	.
00. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V396 3:STRENGTH OF PART PREF

Position: 560 FW1: 0
Length: 1 FW2: 9

S.G (If R leans toward a party) Taken altogether, how strongly or weakly do you lean toward this particular party: very strongly, fairly strongly, moderately, fairly weakly, or very weakly?

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 788
1. Very strongly	115	7.09	14.59
2. Fairly strongly	296	18.25	37.56
3. Moderately	311	19.17	39.47
4. Fairly weakly	50	3.08	6.35
5. Very weakly	16	0.99	2.03
9. NA	5	0.31	.
0. INAP., coded 08, 98 or 99 in S.G; no third wave interview	829	51.11	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V397 3:INTERVIEWER IDENTFCTN

Position: 561 FW1: 0
Length: 5

S.H(1) Interviewer Identification

V398 3:STATE - BUNDESLAND

Position: 566 FW1: 0
Length: 2

S.H(2) Federal state in which interview was conducted.

	Ungewichtet Abs.	%	% N= 1014
01. Sleswig-Holstein ("Schleswig-Holstein")	48	2.96	4.73
02. Hamburg	41	2.53	4.04
03. Lower Saxony	135	8.32	13.31
04. Bremen	9	0.55	0.89
05. Northrhine-Westphalia ("Nordrhein-Westfalen")	289	17.82	28.50
06. Hesse ("Hessen")	83	5.12	8.19
07. Rhineland-Palatinate ("Rheinland-Pfalz")	65	4.01	6.41
08. Baden-Wuerttemberg	142	8.75	14.00
09. Bavaria ("Bayern")	192	11.84	18.93
10. Saarland	10	0.62	0.99
00. No third wave interview	608	37.48	.
	1622	100.00	100.00

V399 3:DATE OF INTERVIEW

Position: 568 FW1: 0
Length: 2

S.H(3) Date of Interview

Day of February 1983 coded

V400 3:FILTER INCONSIST CASES

Position: 570
Length: 1

Filter Variable

This variable may be used to identify respondents showing rather large differences in their demographic characteristics across the three panel waves. Cases coded 2 should not be used for panel analyses, but may be included in cross-sectional analyses.

		Ungewichtet Abs.	%
1.	Respondents without deviations	973	59.99
2.	Respondents with different value for variables Age (V110, V254, V393) Marital status (V111, V255, V394) Size of household (V108, V252, V391)	41	2.53
0.	No third wave interview	608	37.48
		1622	100.00

Note 0001

Administrative District Identification Code
THIS NOTE RELATES TO VARIABLE V125.

The following codes can be used to identify the location of each interview in terms of state ("Bundesland"), district ("Regierungsbezirk") and county ("Kreis").

The first and second digits of the code identify the state (Bundesland). The third digit identifies the administrative district (Regierungsbezirk) within each state. The fourth and the fifth digits identify the county within each district.

Unidentifiable codes have been documented.

The assignment of these codes follows the publication of the Federal Statistical Office
"Bevoelkerung und Erwerbstaetigkeit, Fachserie 1, Bevoelkerung der Gemeinden 1976"
edited by: Statistisches Bundesamt Wiesbaden
Verlag Kohlhammer, Stuttgart/Mainz

01 S C H L E S W I G - H O L S T E I N

01 0 02 KIEL, STADT
01 0 03 LUEBECK, HANSESTADT
01 0 55 OSTHOLSTEIN
01 0 56 PINNEBERG
01 0 58 RENDSBURG-ECKERNFOERDE
01 0 59 SCHLESWIG-FLENSBURG
01 0 61 STEINBURG
01 0 62 STORMARN

02 H A M B U R G

02 0 00 HAMBURG, FREIE UND HANSESTADT

03 N I E D E R S A C H S E N

03 1 REG.-BEZ. HANNOVER

03 1 12 HANNOVER, LANDESHAUPTSTADT
03 1 32 GRAFSCHAFT HOYA
03 1 35 HANNOVER
03 1 37 NIENBURG (WESER)

03 2 REG.-BEZ. HILDESHEIM

03 2 34 GOETTINGEN
03 2 35 HILDESHEIM

03 3 REG.-BEZ. LUENEBURG

03 3 32 CELLE
03 3 35 HARBURG

03 4 REG.-BEZ. STADE

03 4 34 ROTENBURG (WUEMME)
03 4 37 WASSERMUEHLE

03 5 REG.-BEZ. OSNABRUECK

03 5 11 OSNABRUECK, STADT
03 5 31 ASCHENDORF-HUEMMLING

Note 0001 (continued)

```
03 5 37 OSNABRUECK

03 6    REG.-BEZ. AURICH

03 6 31 AURICH

03 7    REG.-BEZ. BRAUNSCHWEIG

03 7 11 BRAUNSCHWEIG, STADT
03 7 13 SALZGITTER, STADT
03 7 34 GOSLAR
03 7 37 PEINE

03 8    REG.-BEZ. OLDENBURG

03 8 12 OLDENBURG, STADT
03 8 13 WILHELMSHAVEN, STADT
03 8 33 FRIESLAND


04      B R E M E N

04 0 11 BREMEN, STADT


05      N O R D R H E I N - W E S T F A L E N

05 1    REG.-BEZ. DUESSELDORF

05 1 11 DUESSELDORF, STADT
05 1 12 DUISBURG, STADT
05 1 13 ESSEN, STADT
05 1 14 KREFELD, STADT
05 1 16 MOENCHENGLADBACH, STADT
05 1 17 MUELHEIM A. D. RUHR, STADT
05 1 20 REMSCHEID, STADT
05 1 22 SOLINGEN, STADT
05 1 24 WUPPERTAL, STADT
05 1 62 NEUSS
05 1 66 VIERSEN
05 1 70 WESEL

05 3    REG.-BEZ. KOELN

05 3 13 AACHEN, STADT
05 3 15 KOELN, STADT
05 3 54 AACHEN
05 3 58 DUEREN
05 3 62 ERFTKREIS
05 3 66 EUSKIRCHEN
05 3 74 OBERBERGISCHER KREIS
05 3 78 RHEINISCH-BERGISCHER KREIS
05 3 82 RHEIN-SIEG-KREIS

05 5    REG.-BEZ. MUENSTER

05 5 12 BOTTROP, STADT
05 5 13 GELSENKIRCHEN, STADT
05 5 15 MUENSTER (WESTF.), STADT
05 5 54 BORKEN
05 5 62 RECKLINGHAUSEN
05 5 66 STEINFURT
05 5 70 WARENDORF

05 7    REG.-BEZ. DETMOLD

05 7 11 BIELEFELD, STADT
05 7 54 GUETERSLOH
05 7 66 LIPPE
```

Note 0001 (continued)

05 7 70 MINDEN-LUEBBECKE

05 9 REG.-BEZ. ARNSBERG

05 9 11 BOCHUM, STADT
05 9 13 DORTMUND, STADT
05 9 14 HAGEN, STADT
05 9 15 HAMM, STADT
05 9 16 HERNE, STADT
05 9 54 ENNEPE-RUHR-KREIS
05 9 58 HOCHSAUERLANDKREIS
05 9 62 MAERKISCHER KREIS
05 9 70 SIEGEN
05 9 78 UNNA

06 H E S S E N

06 1 REG.-BEZ. DARMSTADT

06 1 11 DARMSTADT, STADT
06 1 12 FRANKFURT A. MAIN, STADT
06 1 16 WIESBADEN, LANDESHAUPTSTADT
06 1 17 LAHN, STADT
06 1 72 DARMSTADT-DIEBURG
06 1 76 HOCHTAUNUSKREIS
06 1 77 LAHN-DILL-KREIS
06 1 78 LIMBURG-WEILBURG
06 1 79 MAIN-KINZIG-KREIS
06 1 80 MAIN-TAUNUS-KREIS
06 1 82 OFFENBACH
06 1 84 VOGELSBERGKREIS
06 1 85 WETTERAUKREIS

06 2 REG.-BEZ. KASSEL

06 2 72 FULDA
06 2 74 KASSEL
06 2 75 MARBURG-BIEDENKOPF
06 2 77 WALDECK-FRANKENBERG

07 R H E I N L A N D - P F A L Z

07 1 REG.-BEZ. KOBLENZ

07 1 11 KOBLENZ, STADT
07 1 33 BAD KREUZNACH
07 1 37 MAYEN-KOBLENZ
07 1 41 RHEIN-LAHN-KREIS

07 2 REG.-BEZ. TRIER

07 2 31 BERNKASTEL-WITTLICH

07 3 REG.-BEZ. RHEINHESSEN-PFALZ

07 3 14 LUDWIGSHAFEN AM RHEIN, STADT
07 3 15 MAINZ, STADT
07 3 20 ZWEIBRUECKEN, STADT
07 3 34 GERMERSHEIM
07 3 37 LANDAU-BAD BERGZABERN
07 3 40 PIRMASENS
07 3 47 UNIDENTIFIED CODE

08 B A D E N - W U E R T T E M B E R G

08 1 REG.-BEZ. STUTTGART

Note 0001 (continued)

```
08 1 11 STUTTGART, LANDESHAUPTSTADT
08 1 15 BOEBLINGEN
08 1 16 ESSLINGEN
08 1 18 LUDWIGSBURG
08 1 25 HEILBRONN
08 1 27 SCHWAEBISCH HALL
08 1 36 OSTALBKREIS

08 2    REG.-BEZ. KARLSRUHE

08 2 12 KARLSRUHE, STADT
08 2 15 KARLSRUHE
08 2 21 HEIDELBERG, STADT
08 2 22 MANNHEIM, STADT
08 2 26 RHEIN-NECKAR-KREIS
08 2 35 CALW

08 3    REG.-BEZ. FREIBURG

08 3 11 FREIBURG IM BREISGAU, STADT
08 3 15 BREISGAU-HOCHSCHWARZWALD
08 3 17 ORTENAUKREIS

08 4    REG.-BEZ. TUEBINGEN

08 4 16 TUEBINGEN
08 4 17 ZOLLERNALBKREIS
08 4 21 ULM, STADT


09      B A Y E R N

09 1    REG.-BEZ. OBERBAYERN

09 1 62 MUENCHEN, STADT
09 1 70 UNIDENTIFIED CODE
09 1 72 BERCHTESGADENER LAND
09 1 74 DACHAU
09 1 81 LANDSBERG A. LECH
09 1 86 PFAFFENHOFEN A. D. ILM
09 1 87 ROSENHEIM

09 2    REG.-BEZ. NIEDERBAYERN

09 2 75 PASSAU
09 2 78 STRAUBING-BOGEN

09 3    REG.-BEZ. OBERPFALZ

09 3 63 WEIDEN I.D. OPF., STADT
09 3 74 NEUSTADT A.D. WALDNAAB
09 3 77 TIRSCHENREUTH

09 4    REG.-BEZ. OBERFRANKEN

09 4 64 HOF, STADT
09 4 71 BAMBERG
09 4 77 KULMBACH

09 5    REG.-BEZ. MITTELFRANKEN

09 5 62 ERLANGEN, STADT
09 5 64 NUERNBERG, STADT
09 5 71 ANSBACH
09 5 74 NUERNBERGER LAND

09 6    REG.-BEZ. UNTERFRANKEN

09 6 61 ASCHAFFENBURG, STADT
```

Note 0001 (continued)

09 6 75 KITZINGEN
09 6 77 MAIN-SPESSART
09 6 78 SCHWEINFURT

09 7 REG.-BEZ. SCHWABEN

09 7 71 AICHACH-FRIEDBERG
09 7 74 GUENZBURG
09 7 77 OSTALLGAEU
09 7 80 OBERALLGAEU

10 S A A R L A N D

10 0 41 STADTVERBAND SAARBRUECKEN
10 0 43 NEUNKIRCHEN
10 0 44 SAARLOUIS

Note 0002

Standard Code for Good and Bad Points of Political Parties

This note gives a short description of the classification scheme used to code the open-ended questions in variables 164-175. For a complete description see Hans D. Klingemann, Standardcode zur Verschluesselung der Einstellungen zu den politischen Parteien in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland, Mannheim: ZUMA, September 1976.

This coding scheme is based on the smallest unit of the respondent's answer, the argument. Each of the respondent's answers can contain several arguments. Each argument is to be coded separately. An argument is characterized by the following structure: (A) the respondent names a particular attribute of political parties, (B) this attribute has a specific value for the respondent and is evaluated by him/her, and (C) the attribute is linked to a political party by the respondent. The evaluated attribute and the nature of the link indicate the respondent's attitude toward the political parties. The respondent can further specify the validity of the argument by indicating a temporal reference. If there is more than one argument referencing one party, the attitude of the respondent is determined as the sum of the (positive and negative) arguments.

The classification scheme is designed to allow for a systematic description of the idea elements of political belief systems. The basic unit of classification is the argument. This data set contains three arguments for each party. Stepwise each argument has been classified in the following way:

A. What type of ideas or concepts does the respondent use to describe a particular party?
B. What is the evaluative meaning of this concept?
C. What relationship does the respondent perceive between the political object and the idea element?
D. Does the argument contain a time reference?

Note that in variables 164-175 the above aspects (A-D) are coded as one 5-digits variable. The same information can be reformatted so that each argument is described by 4 separate variables.

A. Types of concepts (first two digits)

Note 0002 (continued)

I. Ideological concepts
- 11 Left
- 12 Progressive
- 13 Democratic
- 14 Liberal
- 15 Conservative
- 16 Radical
- 10 Other ideological concepts

II. Concepts related to social groups
- 21 Church
- 22 Upper class
- 23 Middle class
- 24 Lower class
- 25 The people
- 26 Senior citizens
- 27 Young people
- 20 Other social group concepts

III. Domestic policy concepts
- 31 Economic policy
- 32 Wage and price policy
- 33 Work and unemployment policy
- 34 Social policy
- 35 Tax policy
- 36 Education policy
- 37 Domestic security, law and order
- 38 Defense policy
- 39 Domestic policy in general
- 77 Fiscal policy, budget policy
- 78 Energy policy
- 79 Environmental policy
- 30 Other concepts releated to domestic policies

IV. Foreign policy concepts
- 41 "Deutschlandpolitik"
- 42 "Ostpolitik"
- 43 Western and alliance politics
- 44 Individual European countries
- 45 Third World
- 46 Foreign policy in general
- 47 Armament, disarmament
- 40 Other foreign policy concepts

V. Government, opposition and party related concepts
- 51 Performance of government and opposition
- 52 Coalition behavior
- 53 Political morale
- 54 Party platform
- 55 "Selbstdarstellung"
- 56 Party organization
- 57 Party factions and organizations
- 58 Representation in parliament
- 59 Demonstrations and rows of the Greens
- 50 Other

VI. Concepts related to politicians or party leaders
- 61 Chancellor, chancellor candidate of SPD
- 62 Chancellor, chancellor candidate of CDU/CSU
- 63 Party chairperson of FDP
- 64 Party chairperson of CSU
- 65 SPD politicians
- 66 CDU/CSU politicians
- 67 FDP politicians
- 68 Politicians in general
- 69 Politicians of the Greens
- 73 Brandt, party leader of the SPD
- 74 Schmidt

Note 0002 (continued)

60 Other concepts related to politicians

VII. Non-political concepts
71 Unreflected party identification
72 Non-political characteristics

VIII. Non-specific concepts
81 Categorical evaluation
82 No differences between parties
80 Other non-specific concepts

IX. Answers without reference to a concept
91 Political disinterest
98 Don't know
99 No concept mentioned

B. Evaluation of concepts (third digit)
1 Positive
2 Negative
9 No evaluation, no concept mentioned

C. Relationship between concept and political object
(fourth digit)
1 Associative
2 Dissociative
9 No concept mentioned

D. Time reference of the argument (fifth digit)
1 Only past, not today
2 Past as well as today
3 No specific time reference
4 Today and in the future
5 Not today, but in the future
9 No concept mentioned

Variable list

V1	ZA-STUDY NUMBER 1276	17
V2	RESPONDENT NUMBER	17
V3	PANEL FILTER	17
V4	WEIGHT - HOUSEHOLD	17
V5	WEIGHT - REPRESENTATIVE	17
V6	1:ECON SITUATION TODAY	18
V7	1:ECON SITUATN IN A YEAR	18
V8	1:INTEREST IN POLITICS	18
V9	1:VOTE NEXT SUNDAY	19
V10	1:PARTY VOTE INTENTION	19
V11	1:SURELY VOTE LIKE THIS	19
V12	1:LIKE NEW ELECT I MARCH	20
V13	1:PARTY VOTE 1980	20
V14	1:SPD RANKING	21
V15	1:CDU RANKING	21
V16	1:CSU RANKING	22
V17	1:FDP RANKING	22
V18	1:GREENS RANKING	22
V19	1:NEVER VOTE SPD	23
V20	1:NEVER VOTE CDU	23
V21	1:NEVER VOTE CSU	23
V22	1:NEVER VOTE FDP	24
V23	1:NEVER VOTE GREENS	24
V24	1:THINK OF SPD	25
V25	1:THINK OF CDU	25
V26	1:THINK OF CSU	26
V27	1:THINK OF FDP	26
V28	1:THINK OF GREENS	27
V29	1:SATISFIED W GOVERNMENT	27
V30	1:SATISFIED W OPPOSITION	28
V31	1:THINK OF BAUM	28
V32	1:THINK OF BRANDT	29
V33	1:THINK OF GENSCHER	29
V34	1:THINK OF KOHL	30
V35	1:THINK OF LAMBSDORFF	30
V36	1:THINK OF SCHMIDT	31
V37	1:THINK OF STOLTENBERG	31
V38	1:THINK OF STRAUSS	32
V39	1:THINK OF VOGEL	32
V40	1:OWN FINANCL SITUATION	33
V41	1:OWN FINANC SIT IN YEAR	33
V42	1:GOVNMT MAKE DIFFERENCE	33
V43	1:WHCH GOVNMT BETTER	34
V44	1:UNEMPLD OR REDCD HRS	34
V45	1:ISS IMP FGHT RISG PRIC	35
V46	1:ISS IMP FGHT UNEMPLMT	35
V47	1:ISS IMP LAW AND ORDER	36
V48	1:ISS IMP EAST EUR RLTNS	36
V49	1:ISS IMP GOOD RLTN USA	36
V50	1:ISS IMP PROTCT ENVRNM	37
V51	1:ISS IMP SECURE PENSNS	37
V52	1:ISS IMP REDC PBL DEBT	37
V53	1:ISS IMP IMPR RLTN GDR	38
V54	1:ISS IMP CITIZN INFLNCE	38
V55	1:ISS COM FGHT RISG PRIC	39
V56	1:ISS COM FGHT UNEMPLMT	39
V57	1:ISS COM LAW AND ORDER	40
V58	1:ISS COM EAST EUR RLTNS	40
V59	1:ISS COM GOOD RLTN USA	40
V60	1:ISS COM PROTCT ENVRNM	41
V61	1:ISS COM SECURE PENSNS	41
V62	1:ISS COM REDC PBL DEBT	41
V63	1:ISS COM IMPRV RLTN GDR	42
V64	1:ISS COM CITIZN INFLNCE	42
V65	1:PRO-EXPNS NUCLR PLANTS	43
V66	1:PRO-CUT SOCIAL EXPDTRS	43
V67	1:PRO-ADDITIONL MISSILS	43
V68	1:PRO-FEWER FRGN WORKRS	44

Variable list (continued)

V69	1:COMP-EXPNS NUCLR PLNTS	44
V70	1:COMP-CUT SOCL EXPDTRS	44
V71	1:COMP-ADDITNL MISSILS	45
V72	1:COMP-FEWER FRGN WORKRS	45
V73	1:CUT GVT AID FOR PUPILS	45
V74	1:CUT GVT AID F STUDNTS	46
V75	1:PEACE MVMT NECESSARY	46
V76	1:PARTCPT PEACE DEMO	46
V77	1:DEMOCRACY IN GFR	47
V78	1:RIGHT LEADERS	47
V79	1:NEW PARTY NECESSARY	47
V80	1:LIKE FDP IN PARLMNT	48
V81	1:LIKE GREENS IN PARLMT	48
V82	1:PRESENT GVT FAIR	48
V83	1:GVT PRTCT CIV RIGTS	49
V84	1:PRESENT POL SYST FAIR	49
V85	1:POL SYS PRTCT CIV RGT	49
V86	1:STATE-CITIZEN RELATION	50
V87	1:POL GOAL 1RST	50
V88	1:POL GOAL 2ND	51
V89	1:POL GOAL 3RD	51
V90	1:POL GOAL 4RTH	51
V91	1:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE SPD	52
V92	1:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE CDU	52
V93	1:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE FDP	53
V94	1:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE CSU	53
V95	1:LEFT-RIGHT SCAL GREENS	54
V96	1:LEFT-RIGHT SELF-PLACMT	54
V97	1:CHURCH AND POLITICS	55
V98	1:UNIONS AND POLITICS	55
V99	1:APPROVE GVT CHANGE	56
V100	1:FDP NOT LOYAL	56
V101	1:EXPCT ELECT IN MARCH	56
V102	1:MAJORTY PARTY GOOD	57
V103	1:PREFERRED COALITION	57
V104	1:WHO WIN IN MARCH	58
V105	1:FDP AGAIN IN PARLMNT ?	58
V106	1:PREFERRED CHANCELLOR	59
V107	1:HOUSEHOLD SIZE	59
V108	1:PERSONS OVER 18 YRS	59
V109	1:SEX OF RESPONDENT	60
V110	1:AGE OF RESPONDENT	60
V111	1:MARITAL STATUS	60
V112	1:KIND OF SCHOOL	61
V113	1:EMPLOYMENT STATUS	61
V114	1:OCCUPATIONAL GROUP	62
V115	1:RELATIONSHIP TO HH	62
V116	1:HH EMPLOYMENT STATUS	63
V117	1:HH OCCUPATIONAL GROUP	64
V118	1:UNION MEMBERSHIP	64
V119	1:RELIGION	65
V120	1:CHURCH ATTENDANCE	65
V121	1:PARTY PREFERENCE	66
V122	1:STRENGTH OF PART PREF	66
V123	1:NEIGHBORHD OCCUPATIONS	67
V124	1:STATE - BUNDESLAND	67
V125	1:ADMIN DISTRCT IDENTIFI	67
V126	1:SIZE OF TOWN	68
V127	2:ECON SITUATION TODAY	68
V128	2:ECON SITUATN IN A YEAR	69
V129	2:INTEREST IN POLITICS	69
V130	2:VOTE MARCH ELECTION	70
V131	2:CANDIDATE VOTE INTENT	70
V132	2:PARTY VOTE INTENTION	71
V133	2:SURELY VOTE LIKE THIS	71
V134	2:WHEN DID R DECIDE VOTE	71
V135	2:APPROVE MARCH ELECTN	72
V136	2:CANDIDATE VOTE 1980	72
V137	2:PARTY VOTE 1980	73

Variable list (continued)

V138	2:SPD RANKING	73
V139	2:CDU RANKING	74
V140	2:CSU RANKING	74
V141	2:FDP RANKING	74
V142	2:GREENS RANKING	75
V143	2:NEVER VOTE SPD	75
V144	2:NEVER VOTE CDU	75
V145	2:NEVER VOTE CSU	76
V146	2:NEVER VOTE FDP	76
V147	2:NEVER VOTE GREENS	76
V148	2:THINK OF SPD	77
V149	2:THINK OF CDU	77
V150	2:THINK OF CSU	78
V151	2:THINK OF FDP	78
V152	2:THINK OF GREENS	79
V153	2:SATISFIED W GOVERNMENT	79
V154	2:SATISFIED W OPPOSITION	80
V155	2:SATISFIED W TOP CAND	80
V156	2:THINK OF BRANDT	81
V157	2:THINK OF GENSCHER	81
V158	2:THINK OF KOHL	82
V159	2:THINK OF LAMBSDORFF	82
V160	2:THINK OF SCHMIDT	83
V161	2:THINK OF STOLTENBERG	83
V162	2:THINK OF STRAUSS	84
V163	2:THINK OF VOGEL	84
V164	2:GOOD/BAD SPD -- FIRST	85
V165	2:GOOD/BAD SPD -- SECOND	85
V166	2:GOOD/BAD SPD -- THIRD	85
V167	2:GOOD/BAD CDU -- FIRST	86
V168	2:GOOD/BAD CDU -- SECOND	86
V169	2:GOOD/BAD CDU -- THIRD	86
V170	2:GOOD/BAD FDP -- FIRST	86
V171	2:GOOD/BAD FDP -- SECOND	87
V172	2:GOOD/BAD FDP -- THIRD	87
V173	2:GOOD/BAD GREENS - FIRS	87
V174	2:GOOD/BAD GREENS - SECN	87
V175	2:GOOD/BAD GREENS - THIR	88
V176	2:PERS FINANCL SITUATION	88
V177	2:PERS FINC STN IN A YR	88
V178	2:GOVNMT MAKE DIFFERENCE	89
V179	2:WHICH GOVNMT BETTER	89
V180	2:EXPERNCE W UNEMPLOYMNT	90
V181	2:EXPERNCE W REDUCD HRS	90
V182	2:ISS IMP FGHT RISG PRIC	91
V183	2:ISS IMP FGHT UNEMPLMT	91
V184	2:ISS IMP LAW AND ORDER	92
V185	2:ISS IMP EAST EUR RLTNS	92
V186	2:ISS IMP GOOD RLTN USA	93
V187	2:ISS IMP PROTCT ENVRNM	93
V188	2:ISS IMP SECURE PENSNS	94
V189	2:ISS IMP REDC PBL DEBT	94
V190	2:ISS IMP CITIZN INFLNCE	95
V191	2:ISS COM FGHT RISG PRIC	95
V192	2:ISS COM FGHT UNEMPLMT	96
V193	2:ISS COM LAW AND ORDER	96
V194	2:ISS COM EAST EUR RLTNS	96
V195	2:ISS COM GOOD RLTN USA	97
V196	2:ISS COM PROTCT ENVRNM	97
V197	2:ISS COM SECURE PENSNS	97
V198	2:ISS COM REDC PBL DEBT	98
V199	2:ISS COM CITIZN INFLNCE	98
V200	2:SPD/FDP FGHT RISG PRIC	99
V201	2:SPD/FDP COM FGHT UNEMP	99
V202	2:SPD/FDP LAW AND ORDER	100
V203	2:SPD/FDP EAST EUR RLTNS	100
V204	2:SPD/FDP GOOD RLTN USA	101
V205	2:SPD/FDP PROTCT ENVRNM	101
V206	2:SPD/FDP SECURE PENSNS	102

Variable list (continued)

V207	2:SPD/FDP REDC PBL DEBT	102
V208	2:SPD/FDP CITIZN INFLNCE	103
V209	2:PRO-EXPNS NUCLR PLANTS	103
V210	2:PRO-CUT SOCIAL EXPDTRS	104
V211	2:PRO-ADDITIONL MISSILS	104
V212	2:PRO-FEWER FRGN WORKRS	104
V213	2:COMP-EXPNS NUCLR PLNTS	105
V214	2:COMP-CUT SOCL EXPDTRS	105
V215	2:COMP-ADDITNL MISSILS	106
V216	2:COMP-FEWER FRGN WORKRS	106
V217	2:SPD/FDP EXPN NUCLR PLN	107
V218	2:SPD/FDP CUT SOCL EXPDT	107
V219	2:SPD/FDP ADDITNL MISSIL	108
V220	2:SPD/FDP FEWR FRGN WRKR	108
V221	2:IS R A TENANT?	109
V222	2:EXPECT RENT INCREASE	109
V223	2:INCREASE FAIR NEW HOUS	109
V224	2:INCREASE RESLT NEW HOU	110
V225	2:DEMOCRACY IN GFR	110
V226	2:WANT FDP IN PARLMNT	110
V227	2:WANT GREENS IN PARLMT	111
V228	2:WHCH PARTY MST ACT SUP	111
V229	2:PRESENT GVT FAIR	111
V230	2:GVT PRTCT CIV RIGTS	112
V231	2:PRESENT POL SYST FAIR	112
V232	2:POL SYS PRTCT CIV RGT	113
V233	2:OBLIGTN VOTE PARTY POL	113
V234	2:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE SPD	114
V235	2:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE CDU	114
V236	2:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE FDP	115
V237	2:LEFT-RIGHT SCALE CSU	115
V238	2:LEFT-RIGHT SCAL GREENS	116
V239	2:LEFT-RIGHT H.KOHL	116
V240	2:LEFT-RIGHT H.J.VOGEL	117
V241	2:LEFT-RIGHT SELF-PLACMT	117
V242	2:APPROVE GVT CHANGE	118
V243	2:FDP NOT LOYAL	118
V244	2:MAJORTY PARTY GOOD	118
V245	2:4 PARTIES- WHCH COALTN	119
V246	2:3 PARTIES- WHCH COALTN	119
V247	2:WHO WIN MARCH ELECTION	120
V248	2:FDP AGAIN IN PARLMNT?	120
V249	2:GREENS IN NXT PARLMT?	120
V250	2:PREFERRED CHANCELLOR	121
V251	2:HOUSEHOLD SIZE	121
V252	2:PERSONS OVER 18 YRS	121
V253	2:SEX OF RESPONDENT	122
V254	2:AGE OF RESPONDENT	122
V255	2:MARITAL STATUS	122
V256	2:KIND OF SCHOOL	123
V257	2:EMPLOYMENT STATUS	123
V258	2:OCCUPATIONAL GROUP	124
V259	2:TYPE OF ENTERPRISE	125
V260	2:RELATIONSHIP TO HH	125
V261	2:HH EMPLOYMENT STATUS	126
V262	2:HH OCCUPATIONAL GROUP	127
V263	2:HH TYPE OF ENTERPRISE	128
V264	2:PARTY PREFERENCE	128
V265	2:STRENGTH OF PART PREF	129
V266	2:INTERVIEWER IDENTFCTN	129
V267	2:STATE - BUNDESLAND	129
V268	2:DATE OF INTERVIEW	130
V269	3:ECON SITUATION TODAY	130
V270	3:ECON SITUATN IN A YEAR	130
V271	3:INTEREST IN POLITICS	131
V272	3:VOTED MARCH 6 ,1983	131
V273	3:CAND VOTE MARCH 1983	132
V274	3:PARTY VOTE MARCH 1983	132
V275	3:ELECT RSLT-SAME PARTY?	133

Variable list (continued)

V276	3:WHICH OTHER PARTY	133
V277	3:CAND VOTE 1980	134
V278	3:PARTY VOTE 1980	134
V279	3:SPD RANKING	135
V280	3:CDU RANKING	135
V281	3:CSU RANKING	136
V282	3:FDP RANKING	136
V283	3:GREENS RANKING	136
V284	3:THINK OF SPD	137
V285	3:THINK OF CDU	137
V286	3:THINK OF CSU	138
V287	3:THINK OF FDP	138
V288	3:THINK OF GREENS	139
V289	3:THINK OF GENSCHER	139
V290	3:THINK OF KOHL	140
V291	3:THINK OF SCHMIDT	140
V292	3:THINK OF STRAUSS	141
V293	3:THINK OF VOGEL	141
V294	3:LIKE FDP IN PARLIAMNT	142
V295	3:LIKE GREENS IN PARLMNT	142
V296	3:CDU/CSU GVT OR COALITN	142
V297	3:WHY SPD LOST VOTES	143
V298	3:TV DISCUSSION TOP CAND	144
V299	3:WHO WON TV DISCUSSION	145
V300	3:WHO LOST TV DISCUSSION	145
V301	3:CAMPAIGN USEFUL	146
V302	3:CAMPAIGN INFORMATIVE?	146
V303	3:WHCH PARTY MST ACT SUP	147
V304	3:PEACE MVMT NECESSARY	147
V305	3:PARTCPT PEACE DEMO	147
V306	3:DEMOCRACY IN GFR	148
V307	3:PRESENT POL SYST FAIR	148
V308	3:POL SYS PRTCT CIV RGT	149
V309	3:POL GOAL 1RST	149
V310	3:POL GOAL 2ND	150
V311	3:POL GOAL 3RD	150
V312	3:POL GOAL 4RTH	150
V313	3:LEFT-RIGHT SELF-PLACMT	151
V314	3:1RST EVERYDAY PROBLEM	151
V315	3:2ND EVERYDAY PROBLEM	152
V316	3:3RD EVERYDAY PROBLEM	152
V317	3:WHO SHLD HELP PROBLEM	153
V318	3:WHO SHLD HELP PROBLEM	153
V319	3:WHO SHLD HELP PROBLEM	154
V320	3:MISSIL INSTALLATION	154
V321	3:DECONTROL RENT	155
V322	3:CONTROL POLLUTION	155
V323	3:UNEMPLOYMNT COMPENSATN	156
V324	3:MAX ACCORD WITH USA	156
V325	3:FEWR FOREIGN WORKERS	157
V326	3:INCREASED COST SHARING	157
V327	3:EXPANSION NUCLEAR PLNT	158
V328	3:ALLOW REFERENDA	158
V329	3:RICH PAY HIGHER TAXES	159
V330	3:ABORTION MORE DIFFICLT	159
V331	3:INVESTMT INCENTIVES	160
V332	3:REDUCE PUBLIC DEBT	160
V333	3:LONGR ALTERNATIVE SERV	161
V334	3:CDU -MISSIL INSTALLATN	161
V335	3:SPD -MISSIL INSTALLATN	162
V336	3:FDP -MISSIL INSTALLATN	162
V337	3:GREEN-MISSIL INSTALLAT	163
V338	3:CDU -DECONTROL RENT	163
V339	3:SPD -DECONTROL RENT	164
V340	3:FDP -DECONTROL RENT	164
V341	3:GREENS-DECONTROL RENT	165
V342	3:CDU -CONTROL POLLUTN	165
V343	3:SPD -CONTROL POLLUTN	166
V344	3:FDP -CONTROL POLLUTN	166

Variable list (continued)

V345 3:GREENS-CONTROL POLLUTN 167
V346 3:CDU -UNEMPLOYMNT COMP 167
V347 3:SPD -UNEMPLOYMNT COMP 168
V348 3:FPD -UNEMPLOYMNT COMP 168
V349 3:GREENS-UNEMPLOYMNT COM 169
V350 3:CDU -MAX ACCORD USA 169
V351 3:SPD -MAX ACCORD USA 170
V352 3:FDP -MAX ACCORD USA 170
V353 3:GREENS-MAX ACCORD USA 171
V354 3:CDU -FEWR FRGN WORKERS 171
V355 3:SPD -FEWR FRGN WORKERS 172
V356 3:FDP -FEWR FRGN WORKERS 172
V357 3:GREENS-FEWR FRGN WORKR 173
V358 3:CDU -INCRSE COST SHARN 173
V359 3:SPD -INCRSE COST SHARN 174
V360 3:FDP -INCRSE COST SHARN 174
V361 3:GREENS-INCRSE COST SHA 175
V362 3:CDU -EXP NUCLEAR PLNTS 175
V363 3:SPD -EXP NUCLEAR PLNTS 176
V364 3:FDP -EXP NUCLEAR PLNTS 176
V365 3:GREENS-EXP NUCLEAR PLN 177
V366 3:CDU -ALLOW REFERENDA 177
V367 3:SPD -ALLOW REFERENDA 178
V368 3:FDP -ALLOW REFERENDA 178
V369 3:GREENS-ALLOW REFERENDA 179
V370 3:CDU -RICH HIGHER TAXES 179
V371 3:SPD -RICH HIGHER TAXES 180
V372 3:FDP -RICH HIGHER TAXES 180
V373 3:GREENS-RICH HIGHER TAX 181
V374 3:CDU -ABORTION MRE DIFF 181
V375 3:SPD -ABORTION MRE DIFF 182
V376 3:FDP -ABORTION MRE DIFF 182
V377 3:GREENS-ABORTION MRE DI 183
V378 3:CDU -INVESTMT INCENTIV 183
V379 3:SPD -INVESTMT INCENTIV 184
V380 3:FDP -INVESTMT INCENTIV 184
V381 3:GREENS-INVESTMT INCENT 185
V382 3:CDU -REDUCE PBLC DEBT 185
V383 3:SPD -REDUCE PBLC DEBT 186
V384 3:FDP -REDUCE PBLC DEBT 186
V385 3:GREENS-REDUCE PBLC DEB 187
V386 3:CDU -LONGR ALTERN SERV 187
V387 3:SPD -LONGR ALTERN SERV 188
V388 3:CDU -LONGR ALTERN SERV 188
V389 3:GREENS-LONGR ALTN SERV 189
V390 3:HOUSEHOLD SIZE 189
V391 3:PERSONS OVER 18 YRS 190
V392 3:SEX OF RESPONDENT 190
V393 3:AGE OF RESPONDENT 190
V394 2:MARITAL STATUS 191
V395 3:PARTY PREFERENCE 191
V396 3:STRENGTH OF PART PREF 192
V397 3:INTERVIEWER IDENTFCTN 192
V398 3:STATE - BUNDESLAND 192
V399 3:DATE OF INTERVIEW 192
V400 3:FILTER INCONSIST CASES 193