In order to avoid security-related warning messages when switching to secured connection, you may want either to:

- confirm the exception on the next page, or
- import our CA key in your web browser

Click here to proceed.

SwissDrugDesign
SwissDock
SwissParam
SwissSidechain
SwissBioisostere
SwissTargetPrediction
SwissADME
SwissSimilarity
About us
Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics

SwissADME

- Terms of Use
- Help
- FAQ
- Home

This website allows you to compute physicochemical descriptors as well as to predict ADME parameters, pharmacokinetic properties, druglike nature and medicinal chemistry friendliness of one or multiple small molecules to support drug discovery.

The main article describing the web service and its underlying methodologies is SwissADME: a free web tool to evaluate pharmacokinetics, drug-likeness and medicinal chemistry friendliness of small molecules. *Sci. Rep.* (2017) 7:42717.
For details about development and validation of iLOG, please refer to this article: iLOGP: a simple, robust, and efficient description of *n*-octanol/water partition coefficient for drug design using the GB/SA approach. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* (2014) 54(12):3284-3301.
For details about development and validation of the BOILED-Egg, please refer to this article: A BOILED-Egg to predict gastrointestinal absorption and brain penetration of small molecules. *ChemMedChem* (2016) 11(11):1117-1121.

Developed and maintained by the Molecular Modeling Group of the SIB | Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics.

Enter a list of SMILES here:

```
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1C[C@H]2CCCN[C@H]2C1)C1(
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1C[C@@H]2CCCN[C@@H]2C1)(
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1C[C@@H]2CCCN[C@H]2C1)C1
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1C[C@H]2CCCN[C@@H]2C1)C1
CCOC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1C[C@@H]2CCCN[C@@H]2C1
OC(=O)C1=CN(C2CC2)C2=C(O)C(N3C[C@@H]4CCCN[C@@H]4C3)=C(F)C=C2(
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1CCN[C@H](C)C1)C1CC1
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1CCN[C@@H](C)C1)C1CC1
COC1=C(N2C[C@@H]3CCCN[C@@H]3C2)C(F)=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=C1
CN[C@@H]1CCCN(C1)C1=C(F)C=C2C(=O)C(=CN(C3CC3)C2=C1OC)C(O)=O
CN[C@H]1CCCN(C1)C1=C(F)C=C2C(=O)C(=CN(C3CC3)C2=C1OC)C(O)=O
COC1=C(N2C[C@@H]3CCCN[C@@H]3C2)C(OC)=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=(
CCOC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1CCN[C@H](C)C1)C1CC1
CCOC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1CCN[C@@H](C)C1)C1CC1
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1CCNCC1)C1CC1
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1NC[C@@H](C)N)C1CC1
COC1=C2C(=O)C(=CN(C3CC3)C2=CC(F)=C1N1C[C@@H]2CCCN[C@@H]2C1)(
CNC(=S)N1CCN(C[C@@H]1C)C1=C(F)C=C2C(=O)C(=CN(C3CC3)C2=C1OC)C(
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1CCN([C@H](C)C1)C(C)=O)(
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1CCN([C@@H](C)C1)C(C)=O)
```

[Fill with an example] [Clear] [Run!]

[Show BOILED-Egg]

Retrieve data: 📄 📄 POWERED BY ChemAxon

Molecule 1   ⊙

♫ ⊕ ○ ✐

                                                    Water Solubility

Log *S* (ESOL) ❓   -2.70

ESOL: Topological method implemented from

SMILES COc1c(N2C[C@H]3[C@@H](C2)CCCN3)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C21H24FN3O4
Molecular weight	401.43 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	29
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.52
Num. rotatable bonds	4
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	114.05
TPSA ⓘ	
Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.	83.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⓘ	
iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	2.24
Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⓘ	
XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry	0.61
Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⓘ	
WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	1.97
Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⓘ	1.66
MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull.	

Water Solubility

Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	
Solubility	7.93e-01 mg/ml ; 1.98e-03 mol/l
Class ⓘ	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Soluble
Log S (Ali) ⓘ	
Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-1.94
Solubility	4.57e+00 mg/ml ; 1.14e-02 mol/l
Class ⓘ	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Very soluble
Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⓘ	
SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com	-3.95
Solubility	4.50e-02 mg/ml ; 1.12e-04 mol/l
Class ⓘ	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⓘ	
Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg	High
BBB permeant ⓘ	
BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg	No
P-gp substrate ⓘ	Yes
P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77	

[Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.](#)

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

2.23

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ❓

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

1.74

[External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94](#)

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

Yes

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation) ❓

Skin permeation: QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.

-8.32 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
$MW \leq 500$
$MLOGP \leq 4.15$
N or $O \leq 10$
NH or $OH \leq 5$

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
$160 < MW < 480$
$-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6$
$40 < MR < 130$
$20 < atoms < 70$

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
$TPSA < 140$

Egan ❓

Egan (Pharmacia) filter: implemented from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
$WLOGP \leq 5.88$
$TPSA \leq 131.6$

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
$200 < MW < 600$
$-2 < XLOGP < 5$
$TPSA < 150$ Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 15
H-bond acc. ≤ 10
H-bond don. ≤ 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

Abbott Bioavailability Score: Probability of F
$> 10\%$ in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

Pan Assay Interference Structures:
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert: [implemented from Brenk R. et al. 2008 ChemMedChem](#)   0 alert

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness: [implemented from Teague SJ. 1999 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 250 < MW < 350 XLOGP < 3.5 Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 7](#)   No; 1 violation: MW>350

Synthetic accessibility ❓

Synthetic accessibility score: [from 1 (very easy) to 10 (very difficult) based on 1024 fragmental contributions (FP2) modulated by size and complexity penaties, trained on 12'782'590 molecules and tested on 40 external molecules ($r^2 = 0.94$)](#)   3.89

Molecule 2

⚕ ⊕ ◯ ✎

SMILES: COc1c(N2C[C@@H]3[C@H](C2)CCCN3)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	$C_{21}H_{24}FN_3O_4$
Molecular weight	401.43 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	29
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.52
Num. rotatable bonds	4
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	114.05
TPSA ❓	

Topological Polar Surface Area: [Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.](#)   83.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ❓

ESOL: [Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.](#)   -2.70

Solubility   7.93e-01 mg/ml ; 1.98e-03 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: [Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly](#)   Soluble

Log S (Ali) ❓

Ali: [Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.](#)   -1.94

Solubility   4.57e+00 mg/ml ; 1.14e-02 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: [Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly](#)   Very soluble

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP)

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.78

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3)

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   0.61

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP)

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   1.97

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP)

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.   1.66

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   2.23

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions   1.85

Log S (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -3.95

Solubility   4.50e-02 mg/ml ; 1.12e-04 mol/l

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87   No

CYP2C9 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

Yes

CYP3A4 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation)

Skin permeation: QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.

-8.32 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter: implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. MW ≤ 500 MLOGP ≤ 4.15 N or O ≤ 10 NH or OH ≤ 5

Yes; 0 violation

Ghose

Ghose filter: implemented from Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J. Comb. Chem. 160 < MW < 480 -0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6 40 < MR < 130 20 < atoms < 70

Yes

Veber   Yes

Veber (GSK) filter: implemented from Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Med. Chem.

Rotatable bonds ≤ 10
TPSA ≤ 140

Egan ❓

Egan (Pharmacia)
filter: implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 < MW < 600
-2 < XLOGP < 5
TPSA < 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

Abbott Bioavailability
Score: Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

Pan Assay Interference
Structures:
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP ≤ 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓ 3.89

Synthetic accessibility
score: from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,

Molecule 3

SMILES: COc1c(N2C[C@H]3[C@H](C2)CCCN3)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C21H24FN3O4
Molecular weight	401.43 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	29
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.52
Num. rotatable bonds	4
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	114.05
TPSA ⓘ	
Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.	83.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⓘ	
iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	2.60
Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⓘ	
XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry	0.61
Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⓘ	
WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	1.97

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⓘ	
ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-2.70
Solubility	7.93e-01 mg/ml ; 1.98e-03 mol/l
Class ⓘ	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Soluble
Log S (Ali) ⓘ	
Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-1.94
Solubility	4.57e+00 mg/ml ; 1.14e-02 mol/l
Class ⓘ	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Very soluble
Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⓘ	
SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com	-3.95
Solubility	4.50e-02 mg/ml ; 1.12e-04 mol/l
Class ⓘ	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⓘ	
Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg	High

Log $P_{\text{o/w}}$ (MLOGP) ❓

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.

1.66

Log $P_{\text{o/w}}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

2.23

Consensus Log $P_{\text{o/w}}$ ❓

Consensus Log $P_{\text{o/w}}$: Average of all five predictions

1.81

BBB permeant ❓

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg

No

P-gp substrate ❓

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94

Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

Yes

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓   No

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set)

and tested on 2579
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) ❓

Skin permeation:
QSPR model
implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.   -8.32 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan ❓

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score [?]

Abbott Bioavailability Score: Probability of F > 10% in rat implemented from Martin YC. 2005 J. Med. Chem.   0.55

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS [?]

Pan Assay Interference Structures: implemented from Baell JB. & Holloway GA. 2010 J. Med. Chem.   0 alert

Brenk [?]

Structural Alert: implemented from Brenk R. et al. 2008 ChemMedChem   0 alert

Leadlikeness [?]

Leadlikeness: implemented from Teague SJ. 1999 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 250 < MW < 350 XLOGP < 3.5 Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 7   No; 1 violation: MW>350

Synthetic accessibility [?]

Synthetic accessibility score: from 1 (very easy) to 10 (very difficult) based on 1024 fragmental contributions (FP2) modulated by size and complexity penalties, trained on 12'782'590 molecules and tested on 40 external molecules ($r^2 = 0.94$)   3.89

Molecule 4   [⌃]

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) [?]

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -2.70

Solubility   7.93e-01 mg/ml ; 1.98e-03 mol/l

Class [?]

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

SMILES   COc1c(N2C[C@@H]3[C@@H](C2)CCCN3)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C21H24FN3O4
Molecular weight	401.43 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	29

Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.52
Num. rotatable bonds	4
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	114.05
TPSA ⊙	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.   83.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⊙

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.68

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⊙

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   0.61

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⊙

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   1.97

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⊙

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.   1.66

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   2.23

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⊙

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions   1.83

Log S (Ali) ⊙

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -1.94

Solubility   4.57e+00 mg/ml ; 1.14e-02 mol/l
Class ⊙

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -3.95

Solubility   4.50e-02 mg/ml ; 1.12e-04 mol/l
Class ⊙

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⊙

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant ⊙

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate ⊙

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⊙   No

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90

External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

Yes

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation) ❓

Skin permeation: QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.

-8.32 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter: implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. MW < 500 MLOGP < 4.15 N or O < 10 NH or OH < 5

Yes; 0 violation

Ghose ⓘ

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 ≤ MW ≤ 480
-0.4 ≤ WLOGP ≤ 5.6
40 ≤ MR ≤ 130
20 ≤ atoms ≤ 70

Veber ⓘ

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan ⓘ

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP ≤ 5.88
TPSA ≤ 131.6

Muegge ⓘ

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. ≤ 10
H-bond don. ≤ 5

Bioavailability Score ⓘ

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

                    Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ⓘ

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ⓘ

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ⓘ   No; 1 violation: MW>350

Leadlikeness:
implemented from

Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ⑦

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions   3.89
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
($r^2 = 0.94$)

Molecule 5

⏏

👥 ⊕ ◯ ✏

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⑦

ESOL: Topological
method implemented
from   -2.95
Delaney JS. 2004 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   4.66e-01 mg/ml ; 1.12e-03 mol/l
Class ⑦

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

SMILES	CCOc1c(N2C[C@@H]3[C@H] (C2)CCCN3)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	$C_{22}H_{26}FN_3O_4$
Molecular weight	415.46 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	30
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.55
Num. rotatable bonds	5
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	118.85
TPSA ⑦	

**Topological Polar
Surface Area:**
Calculated from   83.80 Å²
Ertl P. et al. 2000 J.
Med. Chem.

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⑦

iLOGP: in-house
physics-based method
implemented from   2.96
Daina A et al. 2014 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⑦   0.98

XLOGP3: Atomistic
and knowledge-based

Log S (Ali) ⑦

Ali: Topological method
implemented from   -2.33
Ali J. et al. 2012 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   1.95e+00 mg/ml ; 4.70e-03 mol/l
Class ⑦

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⑦

SILICOS-IT:
Fragmental method
calculated by
FILTER-IT program,   -4.34
version 1.0.2, courtesy
of SILICOS-IT,
http://www.silicos-
it.com

Solubility   1.89e-02 mg/ml ; 4.55e-05 mol/l

method calculated by [XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry](#)

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ❓

WLOGP: [Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.](#)

2.36

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ❓

MLOGP: [Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.](#)

1.88

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: [Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com](#)

2.62

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ❓

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: [Average of all five predictions](#)

2.16

Class ❓

Solubility class: [Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly](#)

Moderately soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ❓

Gatrointestinal absorption: [according to the white of the BOILED-Egg](#)

High

BBB permeant ❓

BBB permeation: [according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg](#)

No

P-gp substrate ❓

P-glycoprotein substrate: [SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94](#)

Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91](#)

No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87](#)

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81](#)

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor 🔶

Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor: SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068   Yes
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

CYP3A4 inhibitor 🔶

Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor: SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2579   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) 🔶

Skin permeation:
QSPR model
implemented from   -8.14 cm/s
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

Druglikeness

Lipinski 🔶

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose 🔶

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 ≤ atoms ≤ 70

Veber 🔶

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan 🔶

Egan (Pharmacia)
filter: implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP ≤ 5.88
TPSA ≤ 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
$200 \leq MW \leq 600$
$-2 \leq XLOGP \leq 5$ Yes
$TPSA \leq 150$
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds $\leq$
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

                    Medicinal Chemistry
PAINS ❓

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
$250 < MW < 350$
$XLOGP < 3.5$
Num. rotatable bonds $\leq$
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions 4.09
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
$(r^2 = 0.94)$

Molecule 6   ⊕

🚹⊕◯✏   Water Solubility

SMILES	Fc1cc2c(=O)c(cn(c2c(c1N1C[C@@H]2[C@H](C1)CCCN2)O)C1CC1)C(=O)O

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C20H22FN3O4
Molecular weight	387.40 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	28
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.50
Num. rotatable bonds	3
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	3
Molar Refractivity	109.58
TPSA 🔵	
Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.	94.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) 🔵	
iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	2.00
Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) 🔵	
XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry	0.28
Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) 🔵	
WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	1.66
Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) 🔵	1.44
MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull.	

Log S (ESOL) 🔵

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-2.48
Solubility	1.27e+00 mg/ml ; 3.28e-03 mol/l
Class 🔵	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Soluble

Log S (Ali) 🔵

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-1.83
Solubility	5.70e+00 mg/ml ; 1.47e-02 mol/l
Class 🔵	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Very soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT) 🔵

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com	-3.26
Solubility	2.13e-01 mg/ml ; 5.51e-04 mol/l
Class 🔵	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption 🔵	
Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg	High
BBB permeant 🔵	
BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg	No
P-gp substrate 🔵	Yes
P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules	

[Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.](#)

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

1.70

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ❓

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

1.42

[(training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94](#)

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

Yes

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation) ❓

-8.46 cm/s

Skin permeation: QSPR model

implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 ≤ MW ≤ 480
-0.4 ≤ WLOGP ≤ 5.6
40 ≤ MR ≤ 130
20 ≤ atoms ≤ 70

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds ≤ 10
TPSA ≤ 140

Egan ❓

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from   Yes
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.
Med. Chem.
WLOGP ≤ 5.88
TPSA ≤ 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. ≤ 10
H-bond don. ≤ 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
≥ 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓   0 alert

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**

implemented from
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ⊘

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ⊘

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ⊘

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions   3.67
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
($r^2 = 0.94$)

Molecule 7

SMILES: COc1c(N2CCN[C@@H]
(C2)C)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	$C_{19}H_{22}FN_3O_4$
Molecular weight	375.39 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	27
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.47
Num. rotatable bonds	4
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	106.55
TPSA ⊘	83.80 Å²

**Topological Polar
Surface Area:**
Calculated from

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⊘

ESOL: Topological
method implemented
from   -1.71
Delaney JS. 2004 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   7.30e+00 mg/ml ; 1.94e-02 mol/l
Class ⊘

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Very soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

Log S (Ali) ⊘

Ali: Topological method
implemented from   -0.54
Ali J. et al. 2012 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   1.07e+02 mg/ml ; 2.86e-01 mol/l
Class ⊘   Very soluble

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly
< -6 < Moderately < -4

[Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.](#)

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⊙

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.56

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⊙

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   -0.74

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⊙

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   1.58

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⊙

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.   1.21

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   2.04

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⊙

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions   1.33

< Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -3.76

Solubility   6.54e-02 mg/ml ; 1.74e-04 mol/l
Class ⊙

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⊙

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant ⊙

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate ⊙

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87   No

12/30/22, 11:29 PM   SwissADME

CYP2C9 inhibitor 🔘

Cytochrome P450 2C9
inhibitor: SVM model
built on 5940 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2075   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.71 /
AUC=0.81

CYP2D6 inhibitor 🔘

Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor: SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

CYP3A4 inhibitor 🔘

Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor: SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2579   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) 🔘

Skin permeation:
QSPR model
implemented from   -9.12 cm/s
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

                            Druglikeness
Lipinski 🔘

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW ≤ 500
MLOGP ≤ 4.15
N or O ≤ 10
NH or OH ≤ 5

Ghose 🔘

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70

Veber 🔘   Yes

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.
Med. Chem.

Rotatable bonds ≤ 10
TPSA ≤ 140

Egan ❓

Egan (Pharmacia) filter: implemented from Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem. WLOGP < 5.88 TPSA < 131.6   Yes

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter: implemented from Muegge I. et al. 2001 J. Med. Chem. 200 < MW < 600 -2 < XLOGP < 5 TPSA < 150   Yes
Num. rings < 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds < 15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

Abbott Bioavailability Score: Probability of F > 10% in rat implemented from Martin YC. 2005 J. Med. Chem.   0.55

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

Pan Assay Interference Structures: implemented from Baell JB. & Holloway GA. 2010 J. Med. Chem.   0 alert

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert: implemented from Brenk R. et al. 2008 ChemMedChem   0 alert

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness: implemented from Teague SJ. 1999 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 250 < MW < 350 XLOGP ≤ 3.5 Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 7   No; 1 violation: MW>350

Synthetic accessibility ❓ 3.47

Synthetic accessibility score: from 1 (very easy) to 10 (very difficult) based on 1024 fragmental contributions (FP2) modulated by size and complexity penaties,

Molecule 8

SMILES COc1c(N2CCN[C@H](C2)C)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C19H22FN3O4
Molecular weight	375.39 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	27
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.47
Num. rotatable bonds	4
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	106.55
TPSA	
Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.	83.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP)	
iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	2.48
Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3)	
XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry	-0.74
Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP)	
WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	1.58

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL)	
ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-1.71
Solubility	7.30e+00 mg/ml ; 1.94e-02 mol/l
Class	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Very soluble
Log S (Ali)	
Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-0.54
Solubility	1.07e+02 mg/ml ; 2.86e-01 mol/l
Class	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Very soluble
Log S (SILICOS-IT)	
SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com	-3.76
Solubility	6.54e-02 mg/ml ; 1.74e-04 mol/l
Class	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption	
Gastrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg	High

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⊘

MLOGP: Topological
method implemented
from
Moriguchi I. et al. 1992
Chem. Pharm. Bull.   1.21
Moriguchi I. et al. 1994
Chem. Pharm. Bull.
Lipinski PA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT)
⊘

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid
fragmental/topological
method calculated by
FILTER-IT program,   2.04
version 1.0.2, courtesy
of SILICOS-IT,
http://www.silicos-
it.com

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⊘

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$:
Average of all five   1.31
predictions

BBB permeant ⊘

BBB permeation:
according to the yolk of   No
the BOILED-Egg

P-gp substrate ⊘

**P-glycoprotein
substrate:** SVM model
built on 1033 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 415   Yes
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 /
AUC=0.77
External: ACC=0.88 /
AUC=0.94

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⊘

**Cytochrome P450 1A2
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 9145 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 3000   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 /
AUC=0.90
External: ACC=0.84 /
AUC=0.91

CYP2C19 inhibitor ⊘

**Cytochrome P450
2C19 inhibitor:** SVM
model built on 9272
molecules (training set)
and tested on 3000   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.86
External: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.87

CYP2C9 inhibitor ⊘

**Cytochrome P450 2C9
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 5940 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2075   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.71 /
AUC=0.81

CYP2D6 inhibitor ⊘

**Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

CYP3A4 inhibitor ⊘   No

**Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)

and tested on 2579 molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin permeation) ❓

Skin permeation:
QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.   -9.12 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter: implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5   Yes; 0 violation

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter: implemented from Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J. Comb. Chem.
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70   Yes

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter: implemented from Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140   Yes

Egan ❓

Egan (Pharmacia) filter: implemented from Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6   Yes

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter: implemented from Muegge I. et al. 2001 J. Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5   Yes

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions 3.47
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
(r^2 = 0.94)

Molecule 9

SMILES COc1cc2c(=O)c(cn(c2c(c1N1C[C@@H]2[C@H]
        (C1)CCCN2)F)C1CC1)C(=O)O

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C21H24FN3O4
Molecular weight	401.43 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	29

Water Solubility

Log *S* (ESOL) ❓

ESOL: Topological
method implemented
from   -2.70
Delaney JS. 2004 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   7.93e-01 mg/ml ; 1.98e-03 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log *S*
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.52
Num. rotatable bonds	4
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	114.05
TPSA ❓	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.   —   83.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ❓

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   —   2.90

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ❓

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   —   0.61

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ❓

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   —   1.97

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ❓

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.   —   1.39

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   —   2.23

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ❓

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions   —   1.82

Log S (Ali) ❓

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   —   -1.94

Solubility   —   4.57e+00 mg/ml ; 1.14e-02 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   —   Very soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   —   -3.95

Solubility   —   4.50e-02 mg/ml ; 1.12e-04 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   —   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ❓

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   —   High

BBB permeant ❓

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   —   No

P-gp substrate ❓

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94   —   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓   —   No

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90

External: ACC=0.84 /
AUC=0.91

CYP2C19 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450
2C19 inhibitor:** SVM
model built on 9272
molecules (training set)
and tested on 3000   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.86
External: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.87

CYP2C9 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450 2C9
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 5940 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2075   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.71 /
AUC=0.81

CYP2D6 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068   Yes
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

CYP3A4 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2579   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation)

Skin permeation:
QSPR model   -8.32 cm/s
implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

                    Druglikeness

Lipinski

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 ≤ MW ≤ 480
-0.4 ≤ WLOGP ≤ 5.6
40 ≤ MR ≤ 130
20 ≤ atoms ≤ 70

Veber

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP ≤ 5.88
TPSA ≤ 131.6

Muegge

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. ≤ 10
H-bond don. ≤ 5

Bioavailability Score

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness   No; 1 violation: MW>350

Leadlikeness:
implemented from

[Teague SJ. 1999 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 250 < MW < 350 XLOGP < 3.5 Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 7](#)

Synthetic accessibility ⓘ

Synthetic accessibility score: from 1 (very easy) to 10 (very difficult) based on 1024 fragmental contributions (FP2) modulated by size and complexity penaties, trained on 12'782'590 molecules and tested on 40 external molecules $(r^2 = 0.94)$ 3.82

Molecule 10

SMILES CN[C@@H]1CCCN(C1)c1c(F)cc2c(c1OC)n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C20H24FN3O4
Molecular weight	389.42 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	28
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.50
Num. rotatable bonds	5
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	107.44
TPSA ⓘ	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.   83.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⓘ

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.57

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⓘ   0.58

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⓘ

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -2.55

Solubility   1.09e+00 mg/ml ; 2.79e-03 mol/l
Class ⓘ

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Log S (Ali) ⓘ

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -1.91

Solubility   4.76e+00 mg/ml ; 1.22e-02 mol/l
Class ⓘ

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⓘ

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -4.03

Solubility   3.66e-02 mg/ml ; 9.40e-05 mol/l

method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP)

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.35

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP)

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.   1.44

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   2.21

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions   1.83

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Moderately soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87   No

CYP2C9 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81   No

CYP2D6 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

Yes

CYP3A4 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation)

Skin permeation: QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.

-8.26 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter: implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. MW < 500 MLOGP < 4.15 N or O < 10 NH or OH < 5

Yes; 0 violation

Ghose

Ghose filter: implemented from Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J. Comb. Chem. 160 < MW < 480 -0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6 40 < MR < 130 20 ≤ atoms ≤ 70

Yes

Veber

Veber (GSK) filter: implemented from Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Med. Chem. Rotatable bonds < 10 TPSA < 140

Yes

Egan

Egan (Pharmacia) filter: implemented from Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem. WLOGP < 5.88 TPSA < 131.6

Yes

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. ≤ 10
H-bond don. ≤ 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions 3.58
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
($r^2 = 0.94$)

Molecule 11   🔝

♟ ⊕ ◯ ✐   Water Solubility

| SMILES | CN[C@H]1CCCN(C1)c1c(F)cc2c(c1OC)n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1 |

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C20H24FN3O4
Molecular weight	389.42 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	28
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.50
Num. rotatable bonds	5
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	107.44
TPSA	
Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.	83.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP)	
iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	2.73
Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3)	
XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry	0.58
Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP)	
WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	2.35
Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP)	1.44
MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull.	

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL)	
ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-2.55
Solubility	1.09e+00 mg/ml ; 2.79e-03 mol/l
Class	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Soluble
Log S (Ali)	
Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-1.91
Solubility	4.76e+00 mg/ml ; 1.22e-02 mol/l
Class	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Very soluble
Log S (SILICOS-IT)	
SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com	-4.03
Solubility	3.66e-02 mg/ml ; 9.40e-05 mol/l
Class	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Moderately soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption	
Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg	High
BBB permeant	
BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg	No
P-gp substrate	Yes
P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules	

Lipinski PA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid
fragmental/topological
method calculated by
FILTER-IT program,
version 1.0.2, courtesy
of SILICOS-IT,
http://www.silicos-
it.com

2.21

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$:
Average of all five
predictions

1.86

(training set)
and tested on 415
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 /
AUC=0.77
External: ACC=0.88 /
AUC=0.94

CYP1A2 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450 1A2
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 9145 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 3000
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 /
AUC=0.90
External: ACC=0.84 /
AUC=0.91

No

CYP2C19 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450
2C19 inhibitor:** SVM
model built on 9272
molecules (training set)
and tested on 3000
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.86
External: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450 2C9
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 5940 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2075
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.71 /
AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

Yes

CYP3A4 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2579
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin
permeation)

-8.26 cm/s

Skin permeation:
QSPR model

implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan ❓

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 < MW < 600
-2 < XLOGP < 5
TPSA < 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓   0 alert

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**

implemented from
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions   3.58
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penalties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
(r^2 = 0.94)

Molecule 12

SMILE   COc1cc2c(c(c1N1C[C@H]3[C@@H]
S   (C1)NCCC3)OC)n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C22H27N3O5
Molecular weight	413.47 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	30
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.55
Num. rotatable bonds	5
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	120.58
TPSA ❓	93.03 Å²

**Topological Polar
Surface Area:**
Calculated from

Water Solubility

Log *S* (ESOL) ❓

ESOL: Topological
method implemented
from   -2.62
Delaney JS. 2004 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   9.86e-01 mg/ml ; 2.38e-03 mol/l
Class ❓

Solubility class: Log *S*
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

Log *S* (Ali) ❓

Ali: Topological method
implemented from   -2.00
Ali J. et al. 2012 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   4.11e+00 mg/ml ; 9.93e-03 mol/l
Class ❓   Soluble

Solubility class: Log *S*
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly
< -6 < Moderately < -4

Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⊘

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.86

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⊘

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   0.48

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⊘

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   1.42

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⊘

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.   0.71

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⊘

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   1.87

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⊘

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions   1.47

< Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⊘

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -3.79

Solubility   6.74e-02 mg/ml ; 1.63e-04 mol/l

Class ⊘

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⊘

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant ⊘

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate ⊘

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⊘

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ⊘

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87   No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81   No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87   Yes

CYP3A4 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86   No

Log K_p (skin permeation) ⊙

Skin permeation: QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.   -8.48 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski ⊙

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter: implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. MW ≤ 500 MLOGP ≤ 4.15 N or O ≤ 10 NH or OH ≤ 5   Yes; 0 violation

Ghose ⊙

Ghose filter: implemented from Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J. Comb. Chem. 160 < MW < 480 -0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6 40 < MR < 130 20 < atoms < 70   Yes

Veber ⊙   Yes

Veber (GSK) filter: implemented from Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Med. Chem.

Rotatable bonds ≤ 10
TPSA ≤ 140

Egan ❓

Egan (Pharmacia) filter: implemented from Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem. WLOGP < 5.88 TPSA < 131.6	Yes

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter: implemented from Muegge I. et al. 2001 J. Med. Chem. 200 < MW < 600 -2 < XLOGP < 5 TPSA < 150 Num. rings < 7 Num. carbon > 4 Num. heteroatoms > 1 Num. rotatable bonds < 15 H-bond acc. < 10 H-bond don. < 5	Yes

Bioavailability Score ❓

Abbott Bioavailability Score: Probability of F > 10% in rat implemented from Martin YC. 2005 J. Med. Chem.	0.55

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

Pan Assay Interference Structures: implemented from Baell JB. & Holloway GA. 2010 J. Med. Chem.	0 alert

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert: implemented from Brenk R. et al. 2008 ChemMedChem	0 alert

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness: implemented from Teague SJ. 1999 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 250 < MW < 350 XLOGP ≤ 3.5 Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 7	No; 1 violation: MW>350

Synthetic accessibility ❓ 3.97

Synthetic accessibility score: from 1 (very easy) to 10 (very difficult) based on 1024 fragmental contributions (FP2) modulated by size and complexity penalties,

Molecule 13

SMILES: CCOc1c(N2CCN[C@@H](C2)C)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C20H24FN3O4
Molecular weight	389.42 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	28
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.50
Num. rotatable bonds	5
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	111.35
TPSA	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem. — 83.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP)

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model. — 2.69

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3)

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry — -0.37

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP)

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model. — 1.97

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL)

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model. — -1.96

Solubility — 4.31e+00 mg/ml ; 1.11e-02 mol/l

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly — Very soluble

Log S (Ali)

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model. — -0.93

Solubility — 4.61e+01 mg/ml ; 1.18e-01 mol/l

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly — Very soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com — -4.15

Solubility — 2.74e-02 mg/ml ; 7.05e-05 mol/l

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly — Moderately soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg — High

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ❓

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.

1.44

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

2.43

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ❓

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

1.63

BBB permeant ❓

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg

No

P-gp substrate ❓

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94

Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

Yes

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

No

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set)

and tested on 2579
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) ⓘ

Skin permeation:
QSPR model
implemented from   -8.94 cm/s
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

Druglikeness

Lipinski ⓘ

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ⓘ

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70

Veber ⓘ

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan ⓘ

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from   Yes
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ⓘ

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions 3.67
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
(r^2 = 0.94)

Molecule 14

SMILES: CCOc1c(N2CCN[C@H]
(C2)C)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	$C_{20}H_{24}FN_3O_4$
Molecular weight	389.42 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	28

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ❓

ESOL: Topological
method implemented
from   -1.96
Delaney JS. 2004 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   4.31e+00 mg/ml ; 1.11e-02 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Very soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.50
Num. rotatable bonds	5
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	111.35
TPSA ⓘ	
Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.	83.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⓘ	
iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	2.94
Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⓘ	
XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry	-0.37
Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⓘ	
WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	1.97
Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⓘ	
MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.	1.44
Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⓘ	
SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com	2.43
Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⓘ	
Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions	1.68

Log S (Ali) ⓘ	
Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-0.93
Solubility	4.61e+01 mg/ml ; 1.18e-01 mol/l
Class ⓘ	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Very soluble
Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⓘ	
SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com	-4.15
Solubility	2.74e-02 mg/ml ; 7.05e-05 mol/l
Class ⓘ	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Moderately soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⓘ	
Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg	High
BBB permeant ⓘ	
BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg	No
P-gp substrate ⓘ	
P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94	Yes
CYP1A2 inhibitor ⓘ	No
Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90	

External: ACC=0.84 /
AUC=0.91

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450
2C19 inhibitor:** SVM
model built on 9272
molecules (training set)
and tested on 3000   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.86
External: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.87

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 2C9
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 5940 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2075   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.71 /
AUC=0.81

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068   Yes
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2579   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) ❓

Skin permeation:
QSPR model   -8.94 cm/s
implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

                            Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose 🅰

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 ≤ MW ≤ 480
-0.4 ≤ WLOGP ≤ 5.6
40 ≤ MR ≤ 130
20 ≤ atoms ≤ 70

Veber 🅰

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan 🅰

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP ≤ 5.88
TPSA ≤ 131.6

Muegge 🅰

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score 🅰

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS 🅰

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk 🅰

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness 🅰   No; 1 violation: MW>350

Leadlikeness:
implemented from

[Teague SJ. 1999 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.](#)
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 7

Synthetic accessibility ⊙

Synthetic accessibility score: from 1 (very easy) to 10 (very difficult) based on 1024 fragmental contributions (FP2) modulated by size and complexity penaties, trained on 12'782'590 molecules and tested on 40 external molecules ($r^2 = 0.94$)

3.67

Molecule 15

SMILES COc1c(N2CCNCC2)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C18H20FN3O4
Molecular weight	361.37 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	26
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.44
Num. rotatable bonds	4
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	101.74
TPSA ⊙	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.

83.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⊙

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

2.04

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⊙   -1.07

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⊙

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

-1.43

Solubility   1.35e+01 mg/ml ; 3.74e-02 mol/l

Class ⊙

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

Very soluble

Log S (Ali) ⊙

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

-0.20

Solubility   2.28e+02 mg/ml ; 6.30e-01 mol/l

Class ⊙

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

Very soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

-3.61

Solubility   8.81e-02 mg/ml ; 2.44e-04 mol/l

method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ❓

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   1.19

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ❓

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.   0.98

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   1.94

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ❓

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions   1.02

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ❓

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant ❓

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate ❓

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87   No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81   No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ⓘ

Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor: SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

CYP3A4 inhibitor ⓘ

Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor: SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2579   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) ⓘ

Skin permeation:
QSPR model
implemented from   -9.26 cm/s
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

Druglikeness

Lipinski ⓘ

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ⓘ

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70

Veber ⓘ

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan ⓘ

Egan (Pharmacia)
filter: implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J. Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
[implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5](#)   Yes

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** [Probability of F
> 10% in rat
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.](#)   0.55

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
[implemented from
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.](#)   0 alert

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
[implemented from
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem](#)   0 alert

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
[implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7](#)   No; 1 violation: MW>350

Synthetic accessibility ❓

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** [from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
(r^2 = 0.94)](#)   2.89

Molecule 16 🔺

🍴⊕◯✎   Water Solubility

SMILES COc1c(NC[C@H](N)C)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C17H20FN3O4
Molecular weight	349.36 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	25
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.41
Num. rotatable bonds	6
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	3
Molar Refractivity	92.01
TPSA ❓	
Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.	106.58 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ❓	
iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	1.96
Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ❓	
XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry	-0.19
Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ❓	
WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	2.11
Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ❓	0.75
MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull.	

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ❓	
ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-1.79
Solubility	5.71e+00 mg/ml ; 1.64e-02 mol/l
Class ❓	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Very soluble
Log S (Ali) ❓	
Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-1.59
Solubility	8.93e+00 mg/ml ; 2.56e-02 mol/l
Class ❓	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Very soluble
Log S (SILICOS-IT) ❓	
SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com	-3.66
Solubility	7.70e-02 mg/ml ; 2.20e-04 mol/l
Class ❓	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ❓	
Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg	High
BBB permeant ❓	
BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg	No
P-gp substrate ❓	Yes
P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules	

Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

1.80

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ❓

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

1.29

(training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation) ❓

-8.57 cm/s

Skin permeation: QSPR model

implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan ❓

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 < MW < 600
-2 < XLOGP < 5
TPSA < 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓   0 alert

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**

implemented from
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ⊘

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ⊘

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   Yes
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ⊘

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions 3.30
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
($r^2 = 0.94$)

Molecule 17

⛉ ⊕ ◯ ✐

SMILES COc1c(N2C[C@@H]3[C@H]
(C2)CCCN3)c(F)cc2c1c(=O)c(cn2C1CC1)C(=O)O

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C21H24FN3O4
Molecular weight	401.43 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	29
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.52
Num. rotatable bonds	4
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	114.05
TPSA ⊘	83.80 Å²

**Topological Polar
Surface Area:**
Calculated from

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⊘

ESOL: Topological
method implemented
from   -2.70
Delaney JS. 2004 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   7.93e-01 mg/ml ; 1.98e-03 mol/l
Class ⊘

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

Log S (Ali) ⊘

Ali: Topological method
implemented from   -1.94
Ali J. et al. 2012 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   4.57e+00 mg/ml ; 1.14e-02 mol/l
Class ⊘   Very soluble

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly
< -6 < Moderately < -4

Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⊙

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

2.42

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⊙

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry

0.61

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⊙

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

1.97

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⊙

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.

1.66

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

2.23

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⊙

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

1.78

< Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

-3.95

Solubility   4.50e-02 mg/ml ; 1.12e-04 mol/l

Class ⊙

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⊙

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant ⊙

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate ⊙

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87   No

CYP2C9 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

Yes

CYP3A4 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation)

Skin permeation: QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.

-8.32 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter: implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. MW ≤ 500 MLOGP ≤ 4.15 N or O ≤ 10 NH or OH ≤ 5

Yes; 0 violation

Ghose

Ghose filter: implemented from Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J. Comb. Chem. 160 < MW < 480 -0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6 40 < MR < 130 20 < atoms < 70

Yes

Veber

Yes

Veber (GSK) filter: implemented from Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Med. Chem.

Rotatable bonds ≤ 10
TPSA < 140

Egan ❓

Egan (Pharmacia) filter: implemented from Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem. WLOGP ≤ 5.88 TPSA ≤ 131.6   Yes

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter: implemented from Muegge I. et al. 2001 J. Med. Chem. 200 < MW < 600 -2 < XLOGP < 5 TPSA < 150   Yes
Num. rings < 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds < 15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

Abbott Bioavailability Score: Probability of F > 10% in rat implemented from Martin YC. 2005 J. Med. Chem.   0.55

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

Pan Assay Interference Structures: implemented from Baell JB. & Holloway GA. 2010 J. Med. Chem.   0 alert

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert: implemented from Brenk R. et al. 2008 ChemMedChem   0 alert

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness: implemented from Teague SJ. 1999 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 250 < MW < 350   No; 1 violation: MW>350
XLOGP ≤ 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 7

Synthetic accessibility ❓ 3.90

Synthetic accessibility score: from 1 (very easy) to 10 (very difficult) based on 1024 fragmental contributions (FP2) modulated by size and complexity penaties,

trained on 12'782'590 molecules and tested on 40 external molecules ($r^2 = 0.94$)

Molecule 18

SMILES   CNC(=S)N1CCN(C[C@@H]1C)c1c(F)cc2c(c1OC)n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C21H25FN4O4S
Molecular weight	448.51 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	31
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.48
Num. rotatable bonds	6
Num. H-bond acceptors	5
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	126.85
TPSA	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.   119.13 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP)

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.90

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3)

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   2.87

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP)

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   1.79

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL)

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -4.27

Solubility   2.40e-02 mg/ml ; 5.35e-05 mol/l

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Moderately soluble

Log S (Ali)

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -5.03

Solubility   4.17e-03 mg/ml ; 9.31e-06 mol/l

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Moderately soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -3.57

Solubility   1.21e-01 mg/ml ; 2.70e-04 mol/l

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⓘ

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.

1.20

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⓘ

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

2.61

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⓘ

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

2.27

BBB permeant ⓘ

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg

No

P-gp substrate ⓘ

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94

Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⓘ

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ⓘ

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

Yes

CYP2C9 inhibitor ⓘ

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

Yes

CYP2D6 inhibitor ⓘ

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

Yes

CYP3A4 inhibitor ⓘ   Yes

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set)

and tested on 2579
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) ❓

Skin permeation:
QSPR model
implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.   -7.00 cm/s

                                    Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan ❓

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score

Abbott Bioavailability Score: Probability of F > 10% in rat implemented from Martin YC. 2005 J. Med. Chem.   0.56

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS

Pan Assay Interference Structures: implemented from Baell JB. & Holloway GA. 2010 J. Med. Chem.   0 alert

Brenk   1 alert: thiocarbonyl_group

Structural Alert: implemented from Brenk R. et al. 2008 ChemMedChem

S≡C

thiocarbonyl_group

Leadlikeness

Leadlikeness: implemented from Teague SJ. 1999 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 250 < MW < 350 XLOGP < 3.5 Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 7   No; 1 violation: MW>350

Synthetic accessibility

Synthetic accessibility score: from 1 (very easy) to 10 (very difficult) based on 1024 fragmental contributions (FP2) modulated by size and complexity penaties, trained on 12'782'590 molecules and tested on 40 external molecules $(r^2 = 0.94)$ 3.80

Molecule 19

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL)

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -3.88

Solubility   5.48e-02 mg/ml ; 1.31e-04 mol/l

Class   Soluble

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

SMILES   COc1c(N2CCN([C@@H](C2)C)C(=O)C)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula   C21H24FN3O5

Molecular weight   417.43 g/mol

Num. heavy atoms	30
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.48
Num. rotatable bonds	5
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	116.45
TPSA ❓	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.

92.08 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ❓

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

2.61

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ❓

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry

2.44

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ❓

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

1.84

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ❓

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.

1.20

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

1.93

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ❓   2.00

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

Log S (Ali) ❓

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

-4.02

Solubility   4.02e-02 mg/ml ; 9.62e-05 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

Moderately soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

-3.34

Solubility   1.89e-01 mg/ml ; 4.53e-04 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ❓

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg

High

BBB permeant ❓

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg

No

P-gp substrate ❓

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94

Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓   No

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90

External: ACC=0.84 /
AUC=0.91

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450
2C19 inhibitor:** SVM
model built on 9272
molecules (training set)
and tested on 3000   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.86
External: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.87

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 2C9
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 5940 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2075   Yes
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.71 /
AUC=0.81

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2579   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) ❓

Skin permeation:
QSPR model   -7.11 cm/s
implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

                        Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
$160 \leq MW \leq 480$
$-0.4 \leq WLOGP \leq 5.6$
$40 \leq MR \leq 130$
$20 \leq atoms \leq 70$

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan ❓

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
$200 < MW < 600$
$-2 < XLOGP < 5$
TPSA < 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds <
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.56
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

                    Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓   No; 1 violation: MW>350

Leadlikeness:
implemented from

Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ⊘

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions   3.64
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
(r^2 = 0.94)

Molecule 20   ⊕

⚕ ⊕ ◯ ✎

	Water Solubility
	Log S (ESOL) ⊘

ESOL: Topological
method implemented
from   -3.88
Delaney JS. 2004 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   5.48e-02 mg/ml ; 1.31e-04 mol/l
Class ⊘

SMILE COc1c(N2CCN([C@H]
S   (C2)C)C(=O)C)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C21H24FN3O5
Molecular weight	417.43 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	30
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.48
Num. rotatable bonds	5
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	116.45
TPSA ⊘	

Log S (Ali) ⊘

Ali: Topological method
implemented from   -4.02
Ali J. et al. 2012 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   4.02e-02 mg/ml ; 9.62e-05 mol/l
Class ⊘

**Topological Polar
Surface Area:**
Calculated from   92.08 Å²
Ertl P. et al. 2000 J.
Med. Chem.

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Moderately soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⊘

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⊘

iLOGP: in-house
physics-based method
implemented from   2.54
Daina A et al. 2014 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

SILICOS-IT:
Fragmental method
calculated by
FILTER-IT program,   -3.34
version 1.0.2, courtesy
of SILICOS-IT,
http://www.silicos-
it.com

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⊘ 2.44

XLOGP3: Atomistic
and knowledge-based

Solubility   1.89e-01 mg/ml ; 4.53e-04 mol/l

method calculated by [XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry](#)

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP)

WLOGP: [Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.](#)   1.84

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP)

MLOGP: [Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.](#)   1.20

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: [Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com](#)   1.93

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: [Average of all five predictions](#)   1.99

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale [Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly](#)   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption

Gatrointestinal absorption: [according to the white of the BOILED-Egg](#)   High

BBB permeant

BBB permeation: [according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg](#)   No

P-gp substrate

P-glycoprotein substrate: [SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94](#)   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91](#)   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87](#)   No

CYP2C9 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81](#)   Yes

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2579   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) ❓

Skin permeation:
QSPR model
implemented from   -7.11 cm/s
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan ❓

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
$200 \leq MW \leq 600$
$-2 \leq XLOGP \leq 5$
$TPSA \leq 150$ Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds $\leq$
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
$> 10\%$ in rat   0.56
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
$250 < MW < 350$
$XLOGP < 3.5$
Num. rotatable bonds $\leq$
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions   3.64
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
$(r^2 = 0.94)$

Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics - © 2022