In order to avoid security-related warning messages when switching to secured connection, you may want either to:

- confirm the exception on the next page, or
- import our CA key in your web browser

Click here to proceed.

SwissDrugDesign
SwissDock
SwissParam
SwissSidechain
SwissBioisostere
SwissTargetPrediction
SwissADME
SwissSimilarity
About us
Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics

SwissADME

- Terms of Use
- Help
- FAQ
- Home

This website allows you to compute physicochemical descriptors as well as to predict ADME parameters, pharmacokinetic properties, druglike nature and medicinal chemistry friendliness of one or multiple small molecules to support drug discovery.

The main article describing the web service and its underlying methodologies is SwissADME: a free web tool to evaluate pharmacokinetics, drug-likeness and medicinal chemistry friendliness of small molecules. *Sci. Rep.* (2017) 7:42717.
For details about development and validation of iLOG, please refer to this article: iLOGP: a simple, robust, and efficient description of *n*-octanol/water partition coefficient for drug design using the GB/SA approach. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* (2014) 54(12):3284-3301.
For details about development and validation of the BOILED-Egg, please refer to this article: A BOILED-Egg to predict gastrointestinal absorption and brain penetration of small molecules. *ChemMedChem* (2016) 11(11):1117-1121.

Developed and maintained by the Molecular Modeling Group of the SIB | Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics.

Enter a list of SMILES here:

```
C[C@H]1COC2=C3N1C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C3=CC(F)=C2N1CCN(C)CC1
C[C@@H]1COC2=C3N1C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C3=CC(F)=C2N1CCN(C)CC1
C[C@H]1CN2C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C3=CC(F)=C(N4CCN(C)CC4)C(O1)=C23
C[C@@H]1CN2C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C3=CC(F)=C(N4CCN(C)CC4)C(O1)=C23
CN1CCN(CC1)C1=C(F)C=C2C(=O)C(=CN3CCOC1=C23)C(O)=O
C[C@H]1COC2=C3N1C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C3=CC=C2N1CCN(C)CC1
C[C@@H]1COC2=C3N1C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C3=CC=C2N1CCN(C)CC1
C[C@H]1COC2=C3N1C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C3=CC(F)=C2N(CCN(C)C=O)C=O
C[C@@H]1COC2=C3N1C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C3=CC(F)=C2N(CCN(C)C=O)C=O
CNCCNC1=C(F)C=C2C(=O)C(=CN3[C@@H](C)COC1=C23)C(O)=O
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1CCN([C@@H](C)C1)C(C)=O)
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1CCN([C@H](C)C1)C(C)=O)(
C[C@H]1COC2=C3N1C(=CC(=O)C3=CC(F)=C2N1CCN(C)CC1)C(O)=O
C[C@H]1COC2=C3N1C(=CC(=O)C3=CC(F)=C2N1CCN(C)CC1)C(O)=O
CCOC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1CCN[C@H](C)C1)C1CC1
CCOC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1CCN[C@@H](C)C1)C1CC1
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1CCNCC1)C1CC1
CN1CCN(CC1)C1=C(F)C=C2C(=O)C(=CN3C(C)=COC1=C23)C(O)=O
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1CCN[C@@H](C)C1)C1CC1
COC1=C2N(C=C(C(O)=O)C(=O)C2=CC(F)=C1N1CCN[C@H](C)C1)C1CC1
```

Fill with an example   Clear   Run!

Show BOILED-Egg   Retrieve data: POWERED BY ChemAxon

Molecule 1

SMILES CN1CCN(CC1)c1c(F)cc2c3c1OC[C@@H](n3cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C

Physicochemical Properties

Formula   C18H20FN3O4

Water Solubility

Log *S* (ESOL)   -1.99

ESOL: Topological method implemented from

Molecular weight	361.37 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	26
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.44
Num. rotatable bonds	2
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	101.83
TPSA ⊙	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.   75.01 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⊙

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.25

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⊙

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   -0.39

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⊙

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   1.20

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⊙

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.   0.98

Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility	3.72e+00 mg/ml ; 1.03e-02 mol/l
Class ⊙	

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

Log S (Ali) ⊙

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -0.72

Solubility	6.86e+01 mg/ml ; 1.90e-01 mol/l
Class ⊙	

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -3.01

Solubility	3.56e-01 mg/ml ; 9.86e-04 mol/l
Class ⊙	

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⊙

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant ⊙

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate ⊙   Yes

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

1.47

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ❓

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

1.10

External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation) ❓

Skin permeation: QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.

-8.78 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW ≤ 500
MLOGP ≤ 4.15
N or O ≤ 10
NH or OH ≤ 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds ≤ 10
TPSA ≤ 140

Egan ❓

Egan (Pharmacia)
filter: implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP ≤ 5.88
TPSA ≤ 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. ≤ 10
H-bond don. ≤ 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

Abbott Bioavailability
Score: Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

Pan Assay Interference
Structures:
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk

Structural Alert:
[implemented from](#)
[Brenk R. et al. 2008](#)
[ChemMedChem](#)   0 alert

Leadlikeness

Leadlikeness:
[implemented from](#)
[Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.](#)
[Chem. Int. Ed.](#)   No; 1 violation: MW>350
[250 < MW < 350](#)
[XLOGP < 3.5](#)
[Num. rotatable bonds ≤](#)
[7](#)

Synthetic accessibility

Synthetic accessibility
[score: from 1 (very](#)
[easy) to 10 (very](#)
[difficult)](#)
[based on 1024](#)
[fragmental contributions](#)   3.63
[(FP2) modulated by size](#)
[and complexity penaties,](#)
[trained on 12'782'590](#)
[molecules and tested on](#)
[40 external molecules](#)
[($r^2 = 0.94$)](#)

Molecule 2

SMILES CN1CCN(CC1)c1c(F)cc2c3c1OC[C@H](n3cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	$C_{18}H_{20}FN_3O_4$
Molecular weight	361.37 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	26
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.44
Num. rotatable bonds	2
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	101.83
TPSA	

Topological Polar Surface Area:
[Calculated from](#)
[Ertl P. et al. 2000 J.](#)   75.01 Å²
[Med. Chem.](#)

Lipophilicity

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL)

ESOL: [Topological](#)
[method implemented](#)
[from](#)   -1.99
[Delaney JS. 2004 J.](#)
[Chem. Inf. Model.](#)

Solubility   3.72e+00 mg/ml ; 1.03e-02 mol/l
Class

Solubility class: Log S
[scale](#)
[Insoluble < -10 < Poorly](#)   Very soluble
[< -6 < Moderately < -4](#)
[< Soluble < -2 Very < 0](#)
[< Highly](#)

Log S (Ali)

Ali: [Topological method](#)
[implemented from](#)
[Ali J. et al. 2012 J.](#)   -0.72
[Chem. Inf. Model.](#)

Solubility   6.86e+01 mg/ml ; 1.90e-01 mol/l
Class

Solubility class: Log S
[scale](#)
[Insoluble < -10 < Poorly](#)   Very soluble
[< -6 < Moderately < -4](#)
[< Soluble < -2 Very < 0](#)
[< Highly](#)

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⊙

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.49

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⊙

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   -0.39

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⊙

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   1.20

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⊙

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.   0.98

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   1.47

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⊙

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions   1.15

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -3.01

Solubility   3.56e-01 mg/ml ; 9.86e-04 mol/l

Class ⊙

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⊙

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant ⊙

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate ⊙

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87   No

CYP2C9 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP3A4 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation)

Skin permeation: QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.

-8.78 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter: implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. MW ≤ 500 MLOGP ≤ 4.15 N or O ≤ 10 NH or OH ≤ 5

Yes; 0 violation

Ghose

Ghose filter: implemented from Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J. Comb. Chem. 160 < MW < 480 -0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6 40 < MR < 130 20 < atoms < 70

Yes

Veber   Yes

Veber (GSK) filter: implemented from Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Med. Chem.

Rotatable bonds ≤ 10
TPSA ≤ 140

Egan ❓

Egan (Pharmacia)
filter: implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 < MW < 600
-2 < XLOGP < 5
TPSA < 150   Yes
Num. rings < 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds <
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

Abbott Bioavailability
Score: Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

Pan Assay Interference
Structures:
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP ≤ 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓ 3.63

Synthetic accessibility
score: from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penalties,

Molecule 3

SMILES	CN1CCN(CC1)c1c(F)cc2c3c1O[C@@H](C)Cn3cc(c2=O)C(=O)O

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C18H20FN3O4
Molecular weight	361.37 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	26
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.44
Num. rotatable bonds	2
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	101.83
TPSA ⊙	
Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.	75.01 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⊙	
iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	2.50
Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⊙	
XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry	-0.39
Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⊙	
WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	1.03

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⊙	
ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-1.99
Solubility	3.72e+00 mg/ml ; 1.03e-02 mol/l
Class ⊙	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Very soluble
Log S (Ali) ⊙	
Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-0.72
Solubility	6.86e+01 mg/ml ; 1.90e-01 mol/l
Class ⊙	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Very soluble
Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⊙	
SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com	-3.01
Solubility	3.56e-01 mg/ml ; 9.86e-04 mol/l
Class ⊙	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⊙	
Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg	High

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP)

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.

0.98

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

1.47

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

1.12

BBB permeant

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg

No

P-gp substrate

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94

Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

No

CYP2C19 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP3A4 inhibitor

No

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set)

and tested on 2579
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) ❓

Skin permeation:
QSPR model
implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.   -8.78 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan ❓

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ⊙

Abbott Bioavailability Score: Probability of F > 10% in rat implemented from Martin YC. 2005 J. Med. Chem.   0.55

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ⊙

Pan Assay Interference Structures: implemented from Baell JB. & Holloway GA. 2010 J. Med. Chem.   0 alert

Brenk ⊙

Structural Alert: implemented from Brenk R. et al. 2008 ChemMedChem   0 alert

Leadlikeness ⊙

Leadlikeness: implemented from Teague SJ. 1999 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 250 < MW < 350 XLOGP < 3.5 Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 7   No; 1 violation: MW>350

Synthetic accessibility ⊙

Synthetic accessibility score: from 1 (very easy) to 10 (very difficult) based on 1024 fragmental contributions (FP2) modulated by size and complexity penaties, trained on 12'782'590 molecules and tested on 40 external molecules ($r^2 = 0.94$)   3.60

Molecule 4

👥 ⊕ ◯ ✏

SMILES   CN1CCN(CC1)c1c(F)cc2c3c1O[C@H](C)Cn3cc(c2=O)C(=O)O

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	$C_{18}H_{20}FN_3O_4$
Molecular weight	361.37 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	26

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⊙

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -1.99

Solubility   3.72e+00 mg/ml ; 1.03e-02 mol/l

Class ⊙

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.44
Num. rotatable bonds	2
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	101.83
TPSA ⓘ	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.   75.01 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⓘ

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.49

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⓘ

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   -0.39

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⓘ

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   1.03

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⓘ

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.   0.98

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⓘ

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   1.47

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⓘ

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions   1.11

Log S (Ali) ⓘ

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -0.72

Solubility   6.86e+01 mg/ml ; 1.90e-01 mol/l
Class ⓘ

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⓘ

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -3.01

Solubility   3.56e-01 mg/ml ; 9.86e-04 mol/l
Class ⓘ

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⓘ

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant ⓘ

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate ⓘ

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⓘ   No

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90

External: ACC=0.84 /
AUC=0.91

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450
2C19 inhibitor:** SVM
model built on 9272
molecules (training set)
and tested on 3000   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.86
External: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.87

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 2C9
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 5940 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2075   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.71 /
AUC=0.81

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2579   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) ❓

Skin permeation:
QSPR model   -8.78 cm/s
implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
$160 \leq MW \leq 480$
$-0.4 \leq WLOGP \leq 5.6$
$40 \leq MR \leq 130$
$20 \leq atoms \leq 70$

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan ❓

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
$200 < MW < 600$
$-2 < XLOGP < 5$
TPSA < 150   Yes
Num. rings $\leq$ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds $\leq$
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓   No; 1 violation: MW>350

Leadlikeness:
implemented from

Teague SJ. 1999 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 7

Synthetic accessibility ⍰

Synthetic accessibility score: from 1 (very easy) to 10 (very difficult) based on 1024 fragmental contributions (FP2) modulated by size and complexity penaties, trained on 12'782'590 molecules and tested on 40 external molecules ($r^2 = 0.94$)   3.60

Molecule 5

⚕ ⊕ ⬡ ✏

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⍰

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -1.64

Solubility   7.94e+00 mg/ml ; 2.29e-02 mol/l
Class ⍰

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

SMILES   CN1CCN(CC1)c1c(F)cc2c3c1OCCn3cc(c2=O)C(=O)O

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C17H18FN3O4
Molecular weight	347.34 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	25
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.41
Num. rotatable bonds	2
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	97.03
TPSA ⍰	

Log S (Ali) ⍰

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -0.28

Solubility   1.84e+02 mg/ml ; 5.30e-01 mol/l
Class ⍰

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.   75.01 Å²

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⍰

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.30

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⍰

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -2.86

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⍰   -0.82

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based

Solubility   4.81e-01 mg/ml ; 1.38e-03 mol/l

method calculated by [XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry](#)

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⊘

WLOGP: [Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.](#)   0.64

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⊘

MLOGP: [Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.](#)   0.75

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⊘

SILICOS-IT: [Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com](#)   1.37

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⊘

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: [Average of all five predictions](#)   0.85

Class ⊘

Solubility class: Log S scale [Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly](#)   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⊘

Gatrointestinal absorption: [according to the white of the BOILED-Egg](#)   High

BBB permeant ⊘

BBB permeation: [according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg](#)   No

P-gp substrate ⊘

P-glycoprotein substrate: [SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94](#)   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⊘

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91](#)   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ⊘

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87](#)   No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ⊘

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81](#)   No

CYP2D6 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP3A4 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation)

Skin permeation: QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.

-9.00 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter: implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. MW < 500 MLOGP < 4.15 N or O < 10 NH or OH < 5

Yes; 0 violation

Ghose

Ghose filter: implemented from Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J. Comb. Chem. 160 < MW < 480 -0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6 40 < MR < 130 20 ≤ atoms ≤ 70

Yes

Veber

Veber (GSK) filter: implemented from Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Med. Chem. Rotatable bonds < 10 TPSA < 140

Yes

Egan

Egan (Pharmacia) filter: implemented from Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem. WLOGP < 5.88 TPSA < 131.6

Yes

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. ≤ 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   Yes
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions   3.02
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
($r^2 = 0.94$)

Molecule 6

🔆 ⊕ ⬭ ✎   Water Solubility

SMILE C[C@H]1COc2c3n1cc(C(=O)O)c(=O)c3ccc2N1CCN(C
S   C1)C

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C18H21N3O4
Molecular weight	343.38 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	25
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.44
Num. rotatable bonds	2
Num. H-bond acceptors	5
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	101.87
TPSA ❓	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.   75.01 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ❓

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.64

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ❓

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   -0.49

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ❓

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   0.64

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ❓

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.   0.60

Log S (ESOL) ❓

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -1.82

Solubility   5.15e+00 mg/ml ; 1.50e-02 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

Log S (Ali) ❓

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -0.62

Solubility   8.28e+01 mg/ml ; 2.41e-01 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -2.74

Solubility   6.26e-01 mg/ml ; 1.82e-03 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ❓

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant ❓

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate ❓   Yes

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid
fragmental/topological
method calculated by
FILTER-IT program,   1.04
version 1.0.2, courtesy
of SILICOS-IT,
http://www.silicos-
it.com

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ❓

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$:
Average of all five   0.89
predictions

(training set)
and tested on 415
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 /
AUC=0.77
External: ACC=0.88 /
AUC=0.94

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 1A2
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 9145 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 3000   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 /
AUC=0.90
External: ACC=0.84 /
AUC=0.91

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450
2C19 inhibitor:** SVM
model built on 9272
molecules (training set)
and tested on 3000   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.86
External: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.87

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 2C9
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 5940 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2075   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.71 /
AUC=0.81

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

**Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2579   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin   -8.74 cm/s
permeation) ❓

Skin permeation:
QSPR model

implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

	Druglikeness	
Lipinski ❓		
Lipinski (Pfizer) filter: implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. MW < 500 MLOGP < 4.15 N or O < 10 NH or OH < 5	Yes; 0 violation	
Ghose ❓		
Ghose filter: implemented from Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J. Comb. Chem. 160 < MW < 480 -0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6 40 < MR < 130 20 < atoms < 70	Yes	
Veber ❓		
Veber (GSK) filter: implemented from Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Med. Chem. Rotatable bonds < 10 TPSA < 140	Yes	
Egan ❓		
Egan (Pharmacia) filter: implemented from Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem. WLOGP < 5.88 TPSA < 131.6	Yes	
Muegge ❓		
Muegge (Bayer) filter: implemented from Muegge I. et al. 2001 J. Med. Chem. 200 < MW < 600 -2 < XLOGP < 5 TPSA < 150 Num. rings < 7 Num. carbon > 4 Num. heteroatoms > 1 Num. rotatable bonds < 15 H-bond acc. < 10 H-bond don. < 5	Yes	
Bioavailability Score ❓		
Abbott Bioavailability Score: Probability of F > 10% in rat implemented from Martin YC. 2005 J. Med. Chem.	0.55	

	Medicinal Chemistry	
PAINS ❓	0 alert	
Pan Assay Interference Structures:		

implemented from
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   Yes
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions 3.54
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
($r^2 = 0.94$)

Molecule 7

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ❓

ESOL: Topological
method implemented
from   -1.82
Delaney JS. 2004 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

SMILES C[C@@H]1COc2c3n1cc(C(=O)O)c(=O)c3ccc2N1CCN(CC1)C

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C18H21N3O4
Molecular weight	343.38 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	25
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.44
Num. rotatable bonds	2
Num. H-bond acceptors	5
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	101.87
TPSA ❓	75.01 Å²

**Topological Polar
Surface Area:**
Calculated from

Solubility   5.15e+00 mg/ml ; 1.50e-02 mol/l
Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Very soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

Log S (Ali) ❓

Ali: Topological method
implemented from   -0.62
Ali J. et al. 2012 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   8.28e+01 mg/ml ; 2.41e-01 mol/l
Class ❓   Very soluble

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly
< -6 < Moderately < -4

Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⦾

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

2.84

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⦾

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry

-0.49

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⦾

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

0.64

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⦾

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.

0.60

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⦾

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

1.04

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⦾

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

0.93

< Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⦾

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

-2.74

Solubility   6.26e-01 mg/ml ; 1.82e-03 mol/l

Class ⦾

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⦾

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant ⦾

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate ⦾

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⦾

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ⦾

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87   No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81   No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87   No

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86   No

Log K_p (skin permeation) ❓

Skin permeation: QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.   -8.74 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter: implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. MW ≤ 500 MLOGP ≤ 4.15 N or O ≤ 10 NH or OH ≤ 5   Yes; 0 violation

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter: implemented from Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J. Comb. Chem. 160 < MW < 480 -0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6 40 < MR < 130 20 < atoms < 70   Yes

Veber ❓   Yes

Veber (GSK) filter: implemented from Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Med. Chem.

Rotatable bonds ≤ 10
TPSA ≤ 140

Egan ❓

Egan (Pharmacia)
filter: implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 < MW < 600
-2 < XLOGP < 5
TPSA < 150   Yes
Num. rings < 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds <
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

Abbott Bioavailability
Score: Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

                    Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

Pan Assay Interference
Structures:
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   Yes
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP ≤ 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓ 3.54

Synthetic accessibility
score: from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,

Molecule 8

SMILE S O=CN(c1c(F)cc2c3c1OC[C@@H](n3cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C)CCN(C=O)C

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C18H18FN3O6
Molecular weight	391.35 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	28
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.33
Num. rotatable bonds	7
Num. H-bond acceptors	7
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	98.27
TPSA	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem. — 109.15 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP)

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model. — 1.22

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3)

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry — 0.84

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP)

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model. — 1.26

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL)

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model. — -2.60

Solubility — 9.88e-01 mg/ml ; 2.52e-03 mol/l

Class — **Solubility class:** Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly — Soluble

Log S (Ali)

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model. — -2.72

Solubility — 7.54e-01 mg/ml ; 1.93e-03 mol/l

Class — **Solubility class:** Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly — Soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com — -2.58

Solubility — 1.03e+00 mg/ml ; 2.63e-03 mol/l

Class — **Solubility class:** Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly — Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg — High

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ❓

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.

0.89

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

0.95

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ❓

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

1.03

BBB permeant ❓

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg

No

P-gp substrate ❓

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94

No

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

No

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set)

and tested on 2579 molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin permeation) ❓

Skin permeation:
QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.

-8.09 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Yes; 0 violation

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J. Comb. Chem.
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70

Yes

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Yes

Egan ❓

Egan (Pharmacia) filter: implemented from Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Yes

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from Muegge I. et al. 2001 J. Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Yes

Bioavailability Score ⊙

Abbott Bioavailability Score: Probability of F > 10% in rat implemented from Martin YC. 2005 J. Med. Chem.
0.56

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ⊙

Pan Assay Interference Structures: implemented from Baell JB. & Holloway GA. 2010 J. Med. Chem.
0 alert

Brenk ⊙
1 alert: aldehyde ⊙

Structural Alert: implemented from Brenk R. et al. 2008 ChemMedChem

aldehyde

Leadlikeness ⊙

Leadlikeness: implemented from Teague SJ. 1999 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 250 < MW < 350 XLOGP < 3.5 Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 7
No; 1 violation: MW>350

Synthetic accessibility ⊙

Synthetic accessibility score: from 1 (very easy) to 10 (very difficult) based on 1024 fragmental contributions (FP2) modulated by size and complexity penaties, trained on 12'782'590 molecules and tested on 40 external molecules ($r^2 = 0.94$)
3.63

Molecule 9

⚀ ⊕ ◯ ✎

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⊙

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.
-2.60

Solubility
9.88e-01 mg/ml ; 2.52e-03 mol/l

Class ⊙
Soluble

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

SMILES O=CN(c1c(F)cc2c3c1OC[C@H] (n3cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C)CCN(C=O)C

Physicochemical Properties

Formula
C18H18FN3O6

Molecular weight
391.35 g/mol

Num. heavy atoms	28
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.33
Num. rotatable bonds	7
Num. H-bond acceptors	7
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	98.27
TPSA ❓	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.
109.15 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ❓

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.
1.49

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ❓

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry
0.84

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ❓

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.
1.26

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ❓

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.
0.89

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com
0.95

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ❓   1.09

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

Log S (Ali) ❓

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.
-2.72

Solubility   7.54e-01 mg/ml ; 1.93e-03 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly
Soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com
-2.58

Solubility   1.03e+00 mg/ml ; 2.63e-03 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly
Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ❓

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg
High

BBB permeant ❓

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg
No

P-gp substrate ❓

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94
No

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓   No

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90

External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation) ❓

Skin permeation: QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.

-8.09 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter: implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. MW < 500 MLOGP < 4.15 N or O < 10 NH or OH < 5

Yes; 0 violation

Ghose 🔹

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 ≤ MW ≤ 480
-0.4 ≤ WLOGP ≤ 5.6
40 ≤ MR ≤ 130
20 ≤ atoms ≤ 70

Veber 🔹

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan 🔹

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP ≤ 5.88
TPSA ≤ 131.6

Muegge 🔹

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. ≤ 10
H-bond don. ≤ 5

Bioavailability Score 🔹

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
≥ 10% in rat   0.56
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

                    Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS 🔹

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

                               1 alert: aldehyde 🔹
Brenk 🔹

Structural Alert:
implemented from
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

aldehyde

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.
$250 \leq MW \leq 350$
$XLOGP \leq 3.5$
Num. rotatable bonds $\leq$
7

No; 1 violation: MW>350

Synthetic accessibility ❓

Synthetic accessibility score: from 1 (very easy) to 10 (very difficult)
based on 1024 fragmental contributions (FP2) modulated by size and complexity penaties, trained on 12'782'590 molecules and tested on 40 external molecules $(r^2 = 0.94)$

3.63

Molecule 10 ⊛

SMILES	CNCCNc1c(F)cc2c3c1OC[C@@H](n3cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C16H18FN3O4
Molecular weight	335.33 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	24
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.38
Num. rotatable bonds	5
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	3
Molar Refractivity	87.29
TPSA ❓	

Topological Polar Surface Area:
Calculated from
Ertl P. et al. 2000 J.
Med. Chem.

92.59 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ❓

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

2.01

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ❓

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

-1.41

Solubility — 1.30e+01 mg/ml ; 3.87e-02 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

Very soluble

Log S (Ali) ❓

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

-0.70

Solubility — 6.74e+01 mg/ml ; 2.01e-01 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

Very soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ❓ — -4.15

SILICOS-IT:
Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT,

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⊙

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   -0.77

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⊙

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   1.65

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⊙

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.   0.51

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   1.62

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⊙

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions   1.00

http://www.silicos-it.com

Solubility   2.35e-02 mg/ml ; 7.01e-05 mol/l

Class ⊙

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Moderately soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⊙

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant ⊙

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate ⊙

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87   No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ⊙   No

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

CYP2D6 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP3A4 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation)

Skin permeation: QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.

-8.89 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter: implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. MW < 500 MLOGP < 4.15 N or O < 10 NH or OH < 5

Yes; 0 violation

Ghose

Ghose filter: implemented from Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J. Comb. Chem. $160 \leq$ MW ≤ 480 $-0.4 \leq$ WLOGP ≤ 5.6 $40 \leq$ MR ≤ 130 $20 \leq$ atoms ≤ 70

Yes

Veber

Veber (GSK) filter: implemented from Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Med. Chem. Rotatable bonds < 10 TPSA < 140

Yes

Egan

Yes

Egan (Pharmacia) filter: implemented from Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem. WLOGP < 5.88 TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 < MW < 600
-2 < XLOGP < 5
TPSA < 150   Yes
Num. rings < 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. ≤ 10
H-bond don. ≤ 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

                         Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   Yes
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions   3.49
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
($r^2 = 0.94$)

Molecule 11   ⊼

🍴 ⊕ ⬭ 🖊   Water Solubility

SMILES COc1c(N2CCN([C@H](C2)C)C(=O)C)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C21H24FN3O5
Molecular weight	417.43 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	30
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.48
Num. rotatable bonds	5
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	116.45
TPSA ⊙	
Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.	92.08 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⊙	
iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	2.54
Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⊙	
XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry	2.44
Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⊙	
WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	1.84
Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⊙	1.20
MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull.	

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⊙	
ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-3.88
Solubility	5.48e-02 mg/ml ; 1.31e-04 mol/l
Class ⊙	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Soluble
Log S (Ali) ⊙	
Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-4.02
Solubility	4.02e-02 mg/ml ; 9.62e-05 mol/l
Class ⊙	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Moderately soluble
Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⊙	
SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com	-3.34
Solubility	1.89e-01 mg/ml ; 4.53e-04 mol/l
Class ⊙	
Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⊙	
Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg	High
BBB permeant ⊙	
BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg	No
P-gp substrate ⊙	Yes
P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules	

[Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.](#)

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: [Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com](#)   1.93

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ❓

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: [Average of all five predictions](#)   1.99

[(training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94](#)

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91](#)   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87](#)   No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81](#)   Yes

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87](#)   No

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86](#)   No

Log K_p (skin permeation) ❓   -7.11 cm/s

Skin permeation: [QSPR model](#)

implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 ≤ MW ≤ 480
-0.4 ≤ WLOGP ≤ 5.6
40 ≤ MR ≤ 130
20 ≤ atoms ≤ 70

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds ≤ 10
TPSA ≤ 140

Egan ❓

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP ≤ 5.88
TPSA ≤ 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. ≤ 10
H-bond don. ≤ 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
≥ 10% in rat   0.56
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓   0 alert

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**

implemented from
[Baell JB. & Holloway](#)
[GA. 2010 J. Med.](#)
[Chem.](#)

Brenk ⊘

Structural Alert:
[implemented from](#)   0 alert
[Brenk R. et al. 2008](#)
[ChemMedChem](#)

Leadlikeness ⊘

Leadlikeness:
[implemented from](#)
[Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.](#)
[Chem. Int. Ed.](#)   No; 1 violation: MW>350
[250 < MW < 350](#)
[XLOGP < 3.5](#)
[Num. rotatable bonds ≤](#)
[7](#)

Synthetic accessibility ⊘

Synthetic accessibility
score: [from 1 (very](#)
[easy) to 10 (very](#)
[difficult)](#)
[based on 1024](#)
[fragmental contributions](#)   3.64
[(FP2) modulated by size](#)
[and complexity penaties,](#)
[trained on 12'782'590](#)
[molecules and tested on](#)
[40 external molecules](#)
[(r^2 = 0.94)](#)

Molecule 12

⚤ ⊕ ◯ 🖊

SMILES COc1c(N2CCN([C@@H]
(C2)C)C(=O)C)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C21H24FN3O5
Molecular weight	417.43 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	30
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.48
Num. rotatable bonds	5
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	116.45
TPSA ⊘	92.08 Å²

Topological Polar
Surface Area:
[Calculated from](#)

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⊘

ESOL: [Topological](#)
[method implemented](#)
[from](#)   -3.88
[Delaney JS. 2004 J.](#)
[Chem. Inf. Model.](#)

Solubility   5.48e-02 mg/ml ; 1.31e-04 mol/l
Class ⊘

Solubility class: [Log S](#)
[scale](#)
[Insoluble < -10 < Poorly](#)   Soluble
[< -6 < Moderately < -4](#)
[< Soluble < -2 Very < 0](#)
[< Highly](#)

Log S (Ali) ⊘

Ali: [Topological method](#)
[implemented from](#)   -4.02
[Ali J. et al. 2012 J.](#)
[Chem. Inf. Model.](#)

Solubility   4.02e-02 mg/ml ; 9.62e-05 mol/l
Class ⊘   Moderately soluble

Solubility class: [Log S](#)
[scale](#)
[Insoluble < -10 < Poorly](#)
[< -6 < Moderately < -4](#)

Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP)

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.61

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3)

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   2.44

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP)

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   1.84

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP)

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.   1.20

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   1.93

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions   2.00

< Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

Log S (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -3.34

Solubility   1.89e-01 mg/ml ; 4.53e-04 mol/l
Class

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87   No

CYP2C9 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

Yes

CYP2D6 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP3A4 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation)

Skin permeation: QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.

-7.11 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter: implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. MW ≤ 500 MLOGP ≤ 4.15 N or O ≤ 10 NH or OH ≤ 5

Yes; 0 violation

Ghose

Ghose filter: implemented from Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J. Comb. Chem. 160 < MW < 480 -0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6 40 < MR < 130 20 < atoms < 70

Yes

Veber   Yes

Veber (GSK) filter: implemented from Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Med. Chem.

Rotatable bonds ≤ 10
TPSA ≤ 140

Egan ❓

Egan (Pharmacia)
filter: implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP ≤ 5.88
TPSA ≤ 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 < MW < 600
-2 < XLOGP < 5
TPSA < 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. ≤ 10
H-bond don. ≤ 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

Abbott Bioavailability
Score: Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.56
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

Pan Assay Interference
Structures:
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP ≤ 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓ 3.64

Synthetic accessibility
score: from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,

Molecule 13

SMILES   CN1CCN(CC1)c1c(F)cc2c3c1OC[C@@H](n3c(cc2=O)C(=O)O)C

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C18H20FN3O4
Molecular weight	361.37 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	26
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.44
Num. rotatable bonds	2
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	101.83
TPSA	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.   75.01 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP)

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.27

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3)

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   -0.94

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP)

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   1.20

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL)

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -1.64

Solubility   8.26e+00 mg/ml ; 2.29e-02 mol/l

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

Log S (Ali)

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -0.15

Solubility   2.55e+02 mg/ml ; 7.06e-01 mol/l

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -3.01

Solubility   3.56e-01 mg/ml ; 9.86e-04 mol/l

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ❓

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.

0.98

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

1.47

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ❓

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

1.00

BBB permeant ❓

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg

No

P-gp substrate ❓

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94

Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ❓

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP3A4 inhibitor ❓   No

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set)

and tested on 2579
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) ❓

Skin permeation:
QSPR model
implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

-9.17 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.
160 < MW < 480   Yes
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan ❓

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score

Abbott Bioavailability Score: Probability of F > 10% in rat implemented from Martin YC. 2005 J. Med. Chem.

0.55

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS

Pan Assay Interference Structures: implemented from Baell JB. & Holloway GA. 2010 J. Med. Chem.

0 alert

Brenk

Structural Alert: implemented from Brenk R. et al. 2008 ChemMedChem

0 alert

Leadlikeness

Leadlikeness: implemented from Teague SJ. 1999 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. $250 < MW < 350$ $XLOGP < 3.5$ Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 7

No; 1 violation: MW>350

Synthetic accessibility

Synthetic accessibility score: from 1 (very easy) to 10 (very difficult) based on 1024 fragmental contributions (FP2) modulated by size and complexity penaties, trained on 12'782'590 molecules and tested on 40 external molecules $(r^2 = 0.94)$

3.66

Molecule 14

SMILES: CN1CCN(CC1)c1c(F)cc2c3c1OC[C@@H](n3c(cc2=O)C(=O)O)C

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	$C_{18}H_{20}FN_3O_4$
Molecular weight	361.37 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	26

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL)

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

-1.64

Solubility   8.26e+00 mg/ml ; 2.29e-02 mol/l

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

Very soluble

Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.44
Num. rotatable bonds	2
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	101.83
TPSA ⊙	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.   75.01 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⊙

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.27

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⊙

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   -0.94

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⊙

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   1.20

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⊙

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.   0.98

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   1.47

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⊙

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions   1.00

Log S (Ali) ⊙

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -0.15

Solubility   2.55e+02 mg/ml ; 7.06e-01 mol/l
Class ⊙

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -3.01

Solubility   3.56e-01 mg/ml ; 9.86e-04 mol/l
Class ⊙

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⊙

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant ⊙

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate ⊙

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⊙   No

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90

External: ACC=0.84 /
AUC=0.91

CYP2C19 inhibitor ⊘

**Cytochrome P450
2C19 inhibitor:** SVM
model built on 9272
molecules (training set)
and tested on 3000   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.86
External: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.87

CYP2C9 inhibitor ⊘

**Cytochrome P450 2C9
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 5940 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2075   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.71 /
AUC=0.81

CYP2D6 inhibitor ⊘

**Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

CYP3A4 inhibitor ⊘

**Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2579   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) ⊘

Skin permeation:
QSPR model   -9.17 cm/s
implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

Druglikeness

Lipinski ⊘

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter: implemented from Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J. Comb. Chem. 160 ≤ MW ≤ 480 -0.4 ≤ WLOGP ≤ 5.6 40 ≤ MR ≤ 130 20 ≤ atoms ≤ 70	Yes

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter: implemented from Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Med. Chem. Rotatable bonds < 10 TPSA < 140	Yes

Egan ❓

Egan (Pharmacia) filter: implemented from Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem. WLOGP ≤ 5.88 TPSA ≤ 131.6	Yes

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter: implemented from Muegge I. et al. 2001 J. Med. Chem. 200 ≤ MW ≤ 600 -2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5 TPSA ≤ 150 Num. rings ≤ 7 Num. carbon > 4 Num. heteroatoms > 1 Num. rotatable bonds ≤ 15 H-bond acc. < 10 H-bond don. < 5	Yes

Bioavailability Score ❓

Abbott Bioavailability Score: Probability of F > 10% in rat implemented from Martin YC. 2005 J. Med. Chem.	0.55

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

Pan Assay Interference Structures: implemented from Baell JB. & Holloway GA. 2010 J. Med. Chem.	0 alert

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert: implemented from Brenk R. et al. 2008 ChemMedChem	0 alert

Leadlikeness ❓	No; 1 violation: MW>350

Leadlikeness: implemented from

[Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7](#)

Synthetic accessibility ⊙

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions   3.66
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
($r^2 = 0.94$)

Molecule 15   ☎

🍴 ⊕ ○ ✏

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⊙

ESOL: Topological
method implemented
from   -1.96
Delaney JS. 2004 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   4.31e+00 mg/ml ; 1.11e-02 mol/l
Class ⊙

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Very soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

SMILE CCOc1c(N2CCN[C@@H]
S   (C2)C)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C20H24FN3O4
Molecular weight	389.42 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	28
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.50
Num. rotatable bonds	5
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	111.35
TPSA ⊙	

**Topological Polar
Surface Area:**
Calculated from   83.80 Å²
Ertl P. et al. 2000 J.
Med. Chem.

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⊙

iLOGP: in-house
physics-based method
implemented from   2.69
Daina A et al. 2014 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⊙   -0.37

XLOGP3: Atomistic
and knowledge-based

Log S (Ali) ⊙

Ali: Topological method
implemented from   -0.93
Ali J. et al. 2012 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   4.61e+01 mg/ml ; 1.18e-01 mol/l
Class ⊙

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Very soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT:
Fragmental method
calculated by
FILTER-IT program,   -4.15
version 1.0.2, courtesy
of SILICOS-IT,
http://www.silicos-
it.com

Solubility   2.74e-02 mg/ml ; 7.05e-05 mol/l

method calculated by [XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry](#)

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⊘

WLOGP: [Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.](#)

1.97

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⊘

MLOGP: [Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.](#)

1.44

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⊘

SILICOS-IT: [Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com](#)

2.43

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⊘

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: [Average of all five predictions](#)

1.63

Class ⊘

Solubility class: [Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly](#)   Moderately soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⊘

Gatrointestinal absorption: [according to the white of the BOILED-Egg](#)   High

BBB permeant ⊘

BBB permeation: [according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg](#)   No

P-gp substrate ⊘

P-glycoprotein substrate: [SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94](#)   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⊘

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91](#)   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ⊘

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87](#)   No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ⊘

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81](#)   No

CYP2D6 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068   Yes
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

CYP3A4 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2579   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation)

Skin permeation:
QSPR model   -8.94 cm/s
implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

Druglikeness

Lipinski

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 ≤ atoms ≤ 70

Veber

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from   Yes
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.
Med. Chem.
WLOGP ≤ 5.88
TPSA ≤ 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
$200 \leq MW \leq 600$
$-2 \leq XLOGP \leq 5$
$TPSA \leq 150$ Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds $\leq$
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

Abbott Bioavailability
Score: Probability of F
$> 10\%$ in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

                    Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

Pan Assay Interference
Structures:
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
$250 < MW < 350$
$XLOGP < 3.5$
Num. rotatable bonds $\leq$
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓

Synthetic accessibility
score: from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions   3.67
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
$(r^2 = 0.94)$

Molecule 16   🔼

👥 ⊕ ⬡ ✏   Water Solubility

SMILES   CCOc1c(N2CCN[C@H](C2)C)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C20H24FN3O4
Molecular weight	389.42 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	28
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.50
Num. rotatable bonds	5
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	111.35
TPSA ❓	

Topological Polar Surface Area: Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.   83.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ❓

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   2.94

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ❓

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry   -0.37

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ❓

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   1.97

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ❓   1.44

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull.

Log S (ESOL) ❓

ESOL: Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -1.96

Solubility   4.31e+00 mg/ml ; 1.11e-02 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

Log S (Ali) ❓

Ali: Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.   -0.93

Solubility   4.61e+01 mg/ml ; 1.18e-01 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Very soluble

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ❓

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com   -4.15

Solubility   2.74e-02 mg/ml ; 7.05e-05 mol/l

Class ❓

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly   Moderately soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ❓

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg   High

BBB permeant ❓

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg   No

P-gp substrate ❓   Yes

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules

[Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.](#)

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⊘

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

2.43

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⊘

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

1.68

(training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⊘

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

No

CYP2C19 inhibitor ⊘

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ⊘

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ⊘

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

Yes

CYP3A4 inhibitor ⊘

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation) ⊘

-8.94 cm/s

Skin permeation: QSPR model

implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 ≤ MW ≤ 480
-0.4 ≤ WLOGP ≤ 5.6
40 ≤ MR ≤ 130
20 ≤ atoms ≤ 70

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds ≤ 10
TPSA ≤ 140

Egan ❓

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP ≤ 5.88
TPSA ≤ 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. ≤ 10
H-bond don. ≤ 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
≥ 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓   0 alert

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**

implemented from
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ⊘

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ⊘

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ⊘

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions 3.67
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
($r^2 = 0.94$)

Molecule 17

SMILES COc1c(N2CCNCC2)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1C
        C1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C18H20FN3O4
Molecular weight	361.37 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	26
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.44
Num. rotatable bonds	4
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	101.74
TPSA ⊘	83.80 Å²

**Topological Polar
Surface Area:**
Calculated from

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⊘

ESOL: Topological
method implemented
from   -1.43
Delaney JS. 2004 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   1.35e+01 mg/ml ; 3.74e-02 mol/l
Class ⊘

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly Very soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

Log S (Ali) ⊘

Ali: Topological method
implemented from   -0.20
Ali J. et al. 2012 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   2.28e+02 mg/ml ; 6.30e-01 mol/l
Class ⊘   Very soluble

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly
< -6 < Moderately < -4

Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP)

iLOGP: in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

2.04

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3)

XLOGP3: Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry

-1.07

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP)

WLOGP: Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.

1.19

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP)

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.

0.98

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

1.94

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

1.02

< Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

Log S (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

-3.61

Solubility   8.81e-02 mg/ml ; 2.44e-04 mol/l

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly

Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption

Gatrointestinal absorption: according to the white of the BOILED-Egg

High

BBB permeant

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg

No

P-gp substrate

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94

Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

No

CYP2C19 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ⍰

Cytochrome P450 2C9
inhibitor: SVM model
built on 5940 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2075   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.71 /
AUC=0.81

CYP2D6 inhibitor ⍰

Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor: SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

CYP3A4 inhibitor ⍰

Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor: SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2579   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) ⍰

Skin permeation:
QSPR model   -9.26 cm/s
implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

Druglikeness

Lipinski ⍰

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW ≤ 500
MLOGP ≤ 4.15
N or O ≤ 10
NH or OH ≤ 5

Ghose ⍰

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70

Veber ⍰   Yes

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.
Med. Chem.

Rotatable bonds ≤ 10
TPSA ≤ 140

Egan ❓

Egan (Pharmacia)
filter: implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 < MW < 600
-2 < XLOGP < 5
TPSA < 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. ≤ 10
H-bond don. ≤ 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

Abbott Bioavailability
Score: Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

Pan Assay Interference
Structures:
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP ≤ 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓ 2.89

Synthetic accessibility
score: from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,

Molecule 18

SMILES CN1CCN(CC1)c1c(F)cc2c3c1occ(n3cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C18H18FN3O4
Molecular weight	359.35 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	26
Num. arom. heavy atoms	13
Fraction Csp3	0.33
Num. rotatable bonds	2
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	1
Molar Refractivity	101.58
TPSA ⓘ **Topological Polar Surface Area:** Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.	78.40 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⓘ **iLOGP:** in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	2.95
Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⓘ **XLOGP3:** Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry	-0.45
Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⓘ **WLOGP:** Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	1.60

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⓘ **ESOL:** Topological method implemented from Delaney JS. 2004 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-2.02
Solubility	3.41e+00 mg/ml ; 9.50e-03 mol/l
Class ⓘ **Solubility class:** Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Soluble
Log S (Ali) ⓘ **Ali:** Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.	-0.73
Solubility	6.68e+01 mg/ml ; 1.86e-01 mol/l
Class ⓘ **Solubility class:** Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Very soluble
Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⓘ **SILICOS-IT:** Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com	-3.84
Solubility	5.24e-02 mg/ml ; 1.46e-04 mol/l
Class ⓘ **Solubility class:** Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly	Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⓘ **Gatrointestinal absorption:** according to the white of the BOILED-Egg	High

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⊙

MLOGP: Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.

0.83

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⊙

SILICOS-IT: Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com

1.75

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⊙

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: Average of all five predictions

1.34

BBB permeant ⊙

BBB permeation: according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg

No

P-gp substrate ⊙

P-glycoprotein substrate: SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94

Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91

Yes

CYP2C19 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP2C9 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81

No

CYP2D6 inhibitor ⊙

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP3A4 inhibitor ⊙   No

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set)

and tested on 2579
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation) ❓

Skin permeation:
QSPR model   -8.81 cm/s
implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

Druglikeness

Lipinski ❓

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose ❓

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.   Yes
160 < MW < 480
-0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6
40 < MR < 130
20 < atoms < 70

Veber ❓

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan ❓

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from   Yes
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
200 ≤ MW ≤ 600
-2 ≤ XLOGP ≤ 5
TPSA ≤ 150   Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score ⊘

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ⊘

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ⊘

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ⊘

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ⊘

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions   3.13
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
($r^2 = 0.94$)

Molecule 19

⚲ ⊕ ◯ ✐

SMILE   COc1c(N2CCN[C@H]
S   (C2)C)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C19H22FN3O4
Molecular weight	375.39 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	27

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⊘

ESOL: Topological
method implemented
from   -1.71
Delaney JS. 2004 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.

Solubility   7.30e+00 mg/ml ; 1.94e-02 mol/l
Class ⊘

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Very soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.47
Num. rotatable bonds	4
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	106.55
TPSA ⊘	
Topological Polar Surface Area: [Calculated from Ertl P. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem.](#)	83.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⊘	
iLOGP: [in-house physics-based method implemented from Daina A et al. 2014 J. Chem. Inf. Model.](#)	2.48
Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⊘	
XLOGP3: [Atomistic and knowledge-based method calculated by XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry](#)	-0.74
Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP) ⊘	
WLOGP: [Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.](#)	1.58
Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP) ⊘	
MLOGP: [Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.](#)	1.21
Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT) ⊘	
SILICOS-IT: [Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com](#)	2.04
Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$ ⊘	
Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: [Average of all five predictions](#)	1.31

Log S (Ali) ⊘	
Ali: [Topological method implemented from Ali J. et al. 2012 J. Chem. Inf. Model.](#)	-0.54
Solubility	1.07e+02 mg/ml ; 2.86e-01 mol/l
Class ⊘	
Solubility class: [Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly](#)	Very soluble
Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⊘	
SILICOS-IT: [Fragmental method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com](#)	-3.76
Solubility	6.54e-02 mg/ml ; 1.74e-04 mol/l
Class ⊘	
Solubility class: [Log S scale Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly](#)	Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption ⊘	
Gatrointestinal absorption: [according to the white of the BOILED-Egg](#)	High
BBB permeant ⊘	
BBB permeation: [according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg](#)	No
P-gp substrate ⊘	
P-glycoprotein substrate: [SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94](#)	Yes
CYP1A2 inhibitor ⊘	No
Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90](#)	

External: ACC=0.84 /
AUC=0.91

CYP2C19 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450
2C19 inhibitor:** SVM
model built on 9272
molecules (training set)
and tested on 3000   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.86
External: ACC=0.80 /
AUC=0.87

CYP2C9 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450 2C9
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 5940 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2075   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.71 /
AUC=0.81

CYP2D6 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450 2D6
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 3664 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 1068   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.81 /
AUC=0.87

CYP3A4 inhibitor

**Cytochrome P450 3A4
inhibitor:** SVM model
built on 7518 molecules
(training set)
and tested on 2579   No
molecules (test set)
10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 /
AUC=0.85
External: ACC=0.78 /
AUC=0.86

Log K_p (skin
permeation)

Skin permeation:
QSPR model   -9.12 cm/s
implemented from
Potts RO and Guy RH.
1992 Pharm. Res.

          Druglikeness

Lipinski

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter:
implemented from
Lipinski CA. et al. 2001
Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.   Yes; 0 violation
MW < 500
MLOGP < 4.15
N or O < 10
NH or OH < 5

Ghose 🔘

Ghose filter:
implemented from
Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J.
Comb. Chem.
$160 \leq MW \leq 480$ Yes
$-0.4 \leq WLOGP \leq 5.6$
$40 \leq MR \leq 130$
$20 \leq atoms \leq 70$

Veber 🔘

Veber (GSK) filter:
implemented from
Veber DF. et al. 2002 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
Rotatable bonds < 10
TPSA < 140

Egan 🔘

**Egan (Pharmacia)
filter:** implemented
from
Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J.   Yes
Med. Chem.
WLOGP < 5.88
TPSA < 131.6

Muegge 🔘

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
$200 < MW < 600$
$-2 < XLOGP < 5$
$TPSA \leq 150$ Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds $\leq$
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. < 5

Bioavailability Score 🔘

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
> 10% in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS 🔘

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk 🔘

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness 🔘   No; 1 violation: MW>350

Leadlikeness:
implemented from

Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.
250 < MW < 350
XLOGP < 3.5
Num. rotatable bonds ≤
7

Synthetic accessibility ⓘ

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions
(FP2) modulated by size
and complexity penaties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
($r^2 = 0.94$)   3.47

Molecule 20

⚥ ⊕ ◯ ✎

SMILES: COc1c(N2CCN[C@@H](C2)C)c(F)cc2c1n(cc(c2=O)C(=O)O)C1CC1

Physicochemical Properties

Formula	C19H22FN3O4
Molecular weight	375.39 g/mol
Num. heavy atoms	27
Num. arom. heavy atoms	10
Fraction Csp3	0.47
Num. rotatable bonds	4
Num. H-bond acceptors	6
Num. H-bond donors	2
Molar Refractivity	106.55
TPSA ⓘ	

**Topological Polar
Surface Area:**
Calculated from
Ertl P. et al. 2000 J.
Med. Chem.   83.80 Å²

Lipophilicity

Log $P_{o/w}$ (iLOGP) ⓘ

iLOGP: in-house
physics-based method
implemented from
Daina A et al. 2014 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.   2.56

Log $P_{o/w}$ (XLOGP3) ⓘ   -0.74

XLOGP3: Atomistic
and knowledge-based

Water Solubility

Log S (ESOL) ⓘ

ESOL: Topological
method implemented
from
Delaney JS. 2004 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.   -1.71

Solubility   7.30e+00 mg/ml ; 1.94e-02 mol/l
Class ⓘ

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Very soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

Log S (Ali) ⓘ

Ali: Topological method
implemented from
Ali J. et al. 2012 J.
Chem. Inf. Model.   -0.54

Solubility   1.07e+02 mg/ml ; 2.86e-01 mol/l
Class ⓘ

Solubility class: Log S
scale
Insoluble < -10 < Poorly   Very soluble
< -6 < Moderately < -4
< Soluble < -2 Very < 0
< Highly

Log S (SILICOS-IT) ⓘ

SILICOS-IT:
Fragmental method
calculated by
FILTER-IT program,
version 1.0.2, courtesy
of SILICOS-IT,
http://www.silicos-
it.com   -3.76

Solubility   6.54e-02 mg/ml ; 1.74e-04 mol/l

method calculated by [XLOGP program, version 3.2.2, courtesy of CCBG, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry](#)

Log $P_{o/w}$ (WLOGP)

WLOGP: [Atomistic method implemented from Wildman SA and Crippen GM. 1999 J. Chem. Inf. Model.](#)   1.58

Log $P_{o/w}$ (MLOGP)

MLOGP: [Topological method implemented from Moriguchi I. et al. 1992 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Moriguchi I. et al. 1994 Chem. Pharm. Bull. Lipinski PA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev.](#)   1.21

Log $P_{o/w}$ (SILICOS-IT)

SILICOS-IT: [Hybrid fragmental/topological method calculated by FILTER-IT program, version 1.0.2, courtesy of SILICOS-IT, http://www.silicos-it.com](#)   2.04

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$

Consensus Log $P_{o/w}$: [Average of all five predictions](#)   1.33

Class

Solubility class: Log S scale [Insoluble < -10 < Poorly < -6 < Moderately < -4 < Soluble < -2 Very < 0 < Highly](#)   Soluble

Pharmacokinetics

GI absorption

Gatrointestinal absorption: [according to the white of the BOILED-Egg](#)   High

BBB permeant

BBB permeation: [according to the yolk of the BOILED-Egg](#)   No

P-gp substrate

P-glycoprotein substrate: [SVM model built on 1033 molecules (training set) and tested on 415 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.72 / AUC=0.77 External: ACC=0.88 / AUC=0.94](#)   Yes

CYP1A2 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 1A2 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 9145 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.83 / AUC=0.90 External: ACC=0.84 / AUC=0.91](#)   No

CYP2C19 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C19 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 9272 molecules (training set) and tested on 3000 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.86 External: ACC=0.80 / AUC=0.87](#)   No

CYP2C9 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2C9 inhibitor: [SVM model built on 5940 molecules (training set) and tested on 2075 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.71 / AUC=0.81](#)   No

CYP2D6 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 2D6 inhibitor: SVM model built on 3664 molecules (training set) and tested on 1068 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.79 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.81 / AUC=0.87

No

CYP3A4 inhibitor

Cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor: SVM model built on 7518 molecules (training set) and tested on 2579 molecules (test set) 10-fold CV: ACC=0.77 / AUC=0.85 External: ACC=0.78 / AUC=0.86

No

Log K_p (skin permeation)

Skin permeation: QSPR model implemented from Potts RO and Guy RH. 1992 Pharm. Res.

-9.12 cm/s

Druglikeness

Lipinski

Lipinski (Pfizer) filter: implemented from Lipinski CA. et al. 2001 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. MW < 500 MLOGP < 4.15 N or O < 10 NH or OH < 5

Yes; 0 violation

Ghose

Ghose filter: implemented from Ghose AK. et al. 1999 J. Comb. Chem. 160 < MW < 480 -0.4 < WLOGP < 5.6 40 < MR < 130 20 < atoms < 70

Yes

Veber

Veber (GSK) filter: implemented from Veber DF. et al. 2002 J. Med. Chem. Rotatable bonds < 10 TPSA < 140

Yes

Egan

Egan (Pharmacia) filter: implemented from Egan WJ. et al. 2000 J. Med. Chem. WLOGP < 5.88 TPSA < 131.6

Yes

Muegge ❓

Muegge (Bayer) filter:
implemented from
Muegge I. et al. 2001 J.
Med. Chem.
$200 \leq MW \leq 600$
$-2 \leq XLOGP \leq 5$
$TPSA \leq 150$ Yes
Num. rings ≤ 7
Num. carbon > 4
Num. heteroatoms > 1
Num. rotatable bonds $\leq$
15
H-bond acc. < 10
H-bond don. ≤ 5

Bioavailability Score ❓

**Abbott Bioavailability
Score:** Probability of F
$> 10\%$ in rat   0.55
implemented from
Martin YC. 2005 J.
Med. Chem.

Medicinal Chemistry

PAINS ❓

**Pan Assay Interference
Structures:**
implemented from   0 alert
Baell JB. & Holloway
GA. 2010 J. Med.
Chem.

Brenk ❓

Structural Alert:
implemented from   0 alert
Brenk R. et al. 2008
ChemMedChem

Leadlikeness ❓

Leadlikeness:
implemented from
Teague SJ. 1999 Angew.
Chem. Int. Ed.   No; 1 violation: MW>350
$250 < MW < 350$
$XLOGP < 3.5$
Num. rotatable bonds $\leq$
7

Synthetic accessibility ❓

**Synthetic accessibility
score:** from 1 (very
easy) to 10 (very
difficult)
based on 1024
fragmental contributions
(FP2) modulated by size   3.47
and complexity penalties,
trained on 12'782'590
molecules and tested on
40 external molecules
$(r^2 = 0.94)$

Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics - © 2022