HARBOR PORPOISE (*Phocoena phocoena*): Southeast Alaska Stock

STOCK DEFINITION AND GEOGRAPHIC RANGE

In the eastern North Pacific Ocean, the harbor porpoise ranges from Point Barrow, along the Alaska coast, and down the west coast of North America to Point Conception, California (Gaskin 1984). The harbor porpoise primarily frequents coastal waters. Relatively high densities of harbor porpoise have been recorded along the coasts of Washington and northern Oregon and California. Relative to the waters off the U.S. West Coast, harbor porpoise do not occur in high densities in Alaska waters (Dahlheim et al. submitted). Stock discreteness in the eastern North Pacific was analyzed using mitochondrial DNA from samples collected along the west coast (Rosel 1992) and is summarized in Osmek et al. (1994). Two distinct mitochondrial DNA groupings or clades exist. One clade is present in California, Washington, British Columbia and Alaska (no samples were available from Oregon), while the other is found only in California and Washington. Although these two clades are not geographically distinct by latitude, the results may indicate a low mixing rate for harbor porpoise along the west coast of North America. Investigation of pollutant loads in harbor porpoise ranging from California to the Canadian border also suggests restricted harbor porpoise movements (Calambokidis and Barlow 1991). Further genetic testing of the same data mentioned above along with additional samples found significant genetic differences for 4 of the 6 pair-wise comparisons between the four areas investigated: California, Washington, British Columbia, and Alaska (Rosel et al. 1995). These results demonstrate that harbor porpoise along the west coast of North America are not panmictic or migratory, and that movement is sufficiently restricted to evolve genetic differences. This is consistent with low movement suggested by genetic analysis of harbor porpoise specimen from the North Atlantic. Numerous stocks have been delineated with clinal differences over areas as small as the waters surrounding the British Isles. Unfortunately, no conclusions can be drawn about the genetic structure of harbor porpoise within Alaska because of insufficient samples. Only 19 samples are available from Alaska porpoise and 12 of these come from a single area (Copper River Delta). Accordingly, harbor porpoise stock structure in Alaska remains unknown at this time.



Figure 22. Approximate distribution of harbor porpoise in Alaska waters (shaded area). The distributions of all three stocks found in Alaska waters are shown.

Although it is difficult to determine the true stock structure of harbor porpoise populations in the northeast Pacific, from a management standpoint, it would be prudent to assume that regional populations exist and that they should be managed independently (Rosel et al. 1995, Taylor et al. 1996). The Alaska SRG concurred that while the available data were insufficient to justify recognizing three biological stocks of harbor porpoise in Alaska, it did not recommend against the establishment of three management units in Alaska (DeMaster 1996, 1997). Accordingly, from the above information, three separate harbor porpoise stocks in Alaska are recommended, recognizing that the boundaries were set arbitrarily: 1) the Southeast Alaska stock - occurring from the northern border of British Columbia border to Cape Suckling, Alaska, 2) the Gulf of Alaska stock - occurring from Cape Suckling to Unimak Pass, and 3) the Bering Sea stock - occurring throughout the Aleutian Islands and all waters north of Unimak Pass (Fig. 22). Information concerning the 4 harbor porpoise stocks occurring along the U. S. West Coast(Central California, northern California, Oregon/Washington Coast, and Inland Washington) can be found in the Stock Assessment Reports for the Pacific Region.

POPULATION SIZE

In June and July of 1997, an aerial survey covering the waters of the eastern Gulf of Alaska from Dixon Entrance to Cape Suckling and offshore to the 1,000 fathom depth contour resulted in an uncorrected abundance estimate of 3,550 (CV=0.207) animals. Included were the inside waters Southeast Alaska, Yakutat Bay, and Icy Bay. The total area surveyed across inside waters, within the range of the Southeast Alaska stock, was 3,826 km^2. The areas surveyed previously were stratified into high and low density areas using the data from the 1991-1993 aerial and vessel surveys. Areas that were not surveyed previously were assigned the average density and stratified accordingly. However, only a fraction of the small bays and inlets (<5.5 km wide) of Southeast Alaska were surveyed and included in this abundance estimate, although the areas omitted represent only a small fraction of the total survey area. Correction factors for availability bias in aerial surveys of harbor porpoise have been estimated at 2.96 (CV=0.180) (Laake et al. 1997) from Puget Sound, Washington, and 3.2 (Barlow et al. 1988) from the U.S. West Coast. A correction factor for both perception and availability bias has been estimated at 3.1 (CV=0.171) (Calambokidis et al. 1993) from Puget Sound, Washington. Perception bias was estimated within the survey, so only a correction for availability bias is necessary thus the correction of Calambokidis et al. (1993) is not appropriate. The correction factor of 3.2 of Barlow et al. (1988) includes untested assumptions regarding observer behavior and visibility of harbor porpoise during surfacing intervals which though reasonable are not necessary in the treatment of Laake et al. (1997). The correction of 2.96 for availability bias should then be used for this harbor porpoise stock, as it is the result of an empirical estimate of this factor. Thus, the estimated corrected abundance from this survey is 10,508 (3550 X 2.96; CV=0.274) harbor porpoise for all waters surveyed.

Minimum Population Estimate

For the Southeast Alaska stock of harbor porpoise, the minimum population estimates (N_{MIN}) for the aerial and vessel surveys are calculated separately, using Equation 1 from the PBR Guidelines (Wade and Angliss 1997): $N_{MIN} = N/\exp(0.842*[\ln(1+[CV(N)]^2)]^{½})$. Using the population estimates (N) of 10,058 and its associated CV (0.274), N_{MIN} for this stock is 8,376.

Current Population Trend

At present, there is no reliable information on trends in abundance for the Southeast Alaska stock of harbor porpoise.

CURRENT AND MAXIMUM NET PRODUCTIVITY RATES

A reliable estimate of the maximum net productivity rate (R_{MAX}) is not currently available for the Southeast Alaska stock of harbor porpoise. Hence, until additional data become available, it is recommended that the cetacean maximum theoretical net productivity rate of 4% be employed (Wade and Angliss 1997).

POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL REMOVAL

Under the 1994 re-authorized Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA), the potential biological removal (PBR) is defined as the product of the minimum population estimate, one-half the maximum theoretical net productivity rate, and a recovery factor: $PBR = N_{MIN} \times 0.5R_{MAX} \times F_R$. The recovery factor ($F_R$) for this stock is 0.5, the value for cetacean stocks with unknown population status (Wade and Angliss 1997). Thus, for the Southeast Alaska stock of harbor porpoise, PBR =83 animals (8,376 x 0.02 x 0.5).

ANNUAL HUMAN-CAUSED MORTALITY AND SERIOUS INJURY

Fisheries Information

Some fishing effort by vessels participating in the Gulf of Alaska (GOA) groundfish longline fishery occurs in the offshore waters of Southeast Alaska. The levels of fishing effort levels are insignificant for the portion of the GOA groundfish trawl and pot fisheries operating in these waters. However, during the period from 1990 to 1998, 21-31% of the GOA longline catch occurred within the range of the Southeast Alaska harbor porpoise stock. This fishery has been monitored for incidental take by NMFS observers from 1990 to 1998 (8-21% observer coverage), although observer coverage has been very low in the offshore waters of Southeast Alaska (<1-5% observer coverage). No mortalities from this stock of harbor porpoise incidental to commercial groundfish fisheries have been observed.

The only source of information on the number of harbor porpoise killed or injured incidental to commercial fishery operations is the self-reported fisheries information required by the MMPA. During the period between 1990 and 1998, fisher self-reports from the Southeast Alaska salmon drift gillnet fishery (Table 20) resulted in an annual mean of 3.25 mortalities from interactions with commercial fishing gear. However, because logbook records (i.e., fisher self-reports required during 1990-94) are most likely negatively biased (Credle et al. 1994), this is considered to be a minimum estimate. There were no other fisher self-report mortalities for any other fishery within the range of the Southeast Alaska harbor porpoise stock. Logbook data are available for part of 1989-1994, after which incidental mortality reporting requirements were modified. Under the new system, logbooks are no longer required; instead, fishers provide self-reports. Data for the 1994-95 phase-in period is fragmentary. After 1995, the level of reporting dropped dramatically, such that the records are considered incomplete and estimates of mortality based on them represent minimums (see Appendix 4 for details).

Table 20. Summary of incidental mortality of harbor porpoise (Southeast Alaska stock) due to commercial fisheries from 1990 through 1998 and calculation of the mean annual mortality rate. Mean annual mortality in brackets represents a minimum estimate from fisher self-reports. Mean annual mortality was based on the fisher self-reports from 1991-1998 where more than 5 years of data were available. n/a indicates that data are not available.

Fishery name	Years	Data type	Range of observer coverage	Reported mortality (in given yrs.)	Estimated mortality (in given yrs.)	Mean annual mortality
Observer program total	90-98					0
Southeast Alaska salmon drift gillnet	90-98	logbooks/ self-reports	n/a	2, 2, 7, 2, n/a, n/a, 2, n/a, 1	n/a	[$2.8]
Minimum total annual mortality						$2.8

For this stock of harbor porpoise, the estimated minimum annual mortality rate incidental to commercial fisheries is 3 animals (rounded up from 2.8), based entirely on fisher self-report data. However, a reliable estimate of the mortality rate incidental to commercial fisheries is currently unavailable because of the absence of observer placements in Southeast Alaska fisheries. Therefore, it is unknown whether the kill rate is insignificant. At present, annual mortality levels less than 8.3 animals per year (i.e., 10% of PBR) can be considered to be insignificant and approaching a zero mortality and serious injury rate.

Subsistence/Native Harvest Information

Subsistence hunters in Alaska have not been reported to take from this stock of harbor porpoise.

STATUS OF STOCK

Harbor porpoise are not listed as "depleted" under the MMPA or listed as "threatened" or "endangered" under the Endangered Species Act. Logbook records are most likely negatively biased (Credle et al. 1994) resulting in an underestimate of incidental kill. However, based on the best scientific information available, the estimated level of human-caused mortality and serious injury (3) is not known to exceed the PBR (83). Therefore, the Southeast Alaska stock of harbor porpoise is not classified as a strategic stock. Population trends and status of this stock relative to OSP are currently unknown.

CITATIONS

Barlow, J., C. W. Oliver, T. D. Jackson, and B. L. Taylor. 1988. Harbor porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena*, abundance estimation for California, Oregon, and Washington: II. Aerial surveys. Fish. Bull. 86:433-444.

Calambokidis, J. and J. Barlow. 1991. Chlorinated hydrocarbon concentrations and their use for describing population discreteness in harbor porpoises from Washington, Oregon, and California. Pp. 101-110, In J. E. Reynolds III and D. K. Odell, (eds.). Proceedings of the Second Marine Mammal Stranding Workshop: 3-5 December 1987. Miami, Florida. NMFS, NOAA Technical Rep. NMFS 98.

Calambokidis, J., J. R. Evenson, J. C. Cubbage, S. D. Osmek, D. Rugh, and J. L. Laake. 1993. Calibration of sighting rates of harbor porpoise from aerial surveys. Final report to the National Marine Mammal Laboratory, AFSC, NMFS, NOAA, 7600 Sand Point Way, NE, Seattle, WA 98115. 55 pp.

Credle, V. R., D. P. DeMaster, M. M. Merklein, M. B. Hanson, W. A. Karp, and S. M. Fitzgerald (eds.). 1994. NMFS observer programs: minutes and recommendations from a workshop held in Galveston, Texas, November 10-11, 1993. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-OPR-94-1, 96 pp.

DeMaster, D. P. 1996. Minutes from the 11-13 September 1996 meeting of the Alaska Scientific Review Group. Anchorage, Alaska. 20 pp. + appendices. (available upon request - D. P. DeMaster, National Marine Mammal Laboratory, 7600 Sand Point Way, NE, Seattle, WA 98115).

DeMaster, D. P. 1997. Minutes from fifth meeting of the Alaska Scientific Review Group, 7-9 May 1997, Seattle, Washington. 21 pp. + appendices. (available upon request - D. P. DeMaster, National Marine Mammal Laboratory, 7600 Sand Point Way, NE, Seattle, WA 98115).

Gaskin, D. E. 1984. The harbor porpoise *Phocoena phocoena*(L.): Regional populations, status, and information on direct and indirect catches. Rep. Int. Whal. Comm. 34:569-586.

Laake, J.L., J. Calambokidis, S.D. Osmek, D.J. Rugh. 1997. Propability of detecting harbor porpoise from aerial surveys: estimating g(0). J. Wildl. Manag. 61(1):63-75.

Osmek, S., P. E. Rosel, A. E. Dizon, and R. L. DeLong. 1994. Harbor Porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena*, population assessment studies for Oregon and Washington in 1993. Annual report to the MMPA Assessment Program, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, NOAA, 1335 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910. 14 pp.

Rosel, P. E. 1992. Genetic population structure and systematic relationships of some small cetaceans inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequence variation. Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. Calif. San Diego. 191 pp.

Rosel, P. E., A. E. Dizon, and M. G. Haygood. 1995. Variability of the mitochondrial control region in populations of the harbour porpoise, *Phocoena phocoena*, on inter-oceanic and regional scales. Can J. Fish. Aquat Sci. 52:1210-1219.

Small, R. J., and D. P. DeMaster. 1995. Alaska marine mammal stock assessments 1995. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-AFSC-57, 93 pp.

Taylor, B. L., P. R. Wade, D. P. DeMaster, and J. Barlow. 1996. Models for management of marine mammals. Unpubl. doc. submitted to Int. Whal. Comm. (SC/48/SM50). 12 pp.

Wade, P. R., and R. Angliss. 1997. Guidelines for assessing marine mammal stocks: report of the GAMMS workshop April 3-5, 1996, Seattle, Washington. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-OPR-12, 93 pp.