Auxiliary materials for "How Diffusion of Power in Parliaments Affects Voter Choice" by Orit Kedar, *Political Analysis*, Fall 2005.
For further questions, please contact the author at oritk@umich.edu.

This memo consists of two pieces of information: model specification for each of the fourteen elections analyzed, and an example of comprehensive results for Sweden 1998.

I. Model Specification

Australia

Parties included: Labour, Liberal, National, Australian Democrats, Green.
Background variables: age, gender, education, union membership, family income, church attendance, employment status, rural/urban, denomination.

Belgium (Flanders)

Parties included: The Flemish greens, Liberals and Democrats, Socialists, Christian Democrats, Flemish Block, People's Union.
Background variables: age, gender, education, union membership, family income, church attendance, denomination.

Canada

Parties included: Liberals, Reform, Progressive Conservatives, New Democratic Party.
Background variables: age, education, family income, gender, province, denomination, family status, union membership, employment status.

Denmark

Parties included: Socialist People, Social Democrats, Center Democrats, Conservative People, Liberal, Danish People.
Background variables: age, gender, education, family income, employment status, rural/urban.

Iceland

Parties included: Left Green, Alliance, Liberal, Progressive, Independence.
Background variables: age, gender, education, union membership, family income, employment status, rural/urban.

Ireland

Parties included: Sinn Fein, Labour, Green, Fine Gael, Progressive Democrats, Fianna Fail.
Background variables: age, gender, education, union membership, family income, church attendance, denomination, employment status, rural/urban.

The Netherlands

Parties included: Labour, People's Party for Freedom and Democracy, Christian Democratic Appeal, Democrats 66, Green Left.
Background variables: age education, family income, gender, denomination, church attendance, family status, union membership, employment status.

New Zealand

Parties included: Labour, Alliance, New Zealand First, National, ACT New Zealand.
Background variables: age, gender, education, union membership, family income, belief in Christ, religion membership, employment status, rural/urban, Maori.

Norway

Parties included: Labour, Progress, Center, Christian Democrats, Conservative, Socialist Left.
Background variables: region, class, age, gender, religiosity, education.

Portugal

Parties included: Block of the Left, Unitarian Democratic Coalition, Socialists, Social Democrats, People's Party.
Background variables: age, gender, education, family income, church attendance, employment status, rural/urban, public/private sector employee.

Spain

Parties included: Popular Party, Socialists, United Left, Convergence and Union.
Background variables: age, gender, education, family income, employment status, region.

Sweden

Parties included: Social Democrats, Left, Center, People's Party Liberals, Christian Democrats, Moderate.
Background variables: age, gender, education, union membership, family income, church attendance, employment status, rural/urban.

Switzerland

Parties included: Social Democrats, Green, Christian Democrats, Free Thinking Democrats, Swiss People's Party.
Background variables: age, gender, education, union membership, family income, rural/urban, religiosity, denomination.

The United Kingdom

Parties included: Labour, Conservative, Liberal-Democrats.
Background variables: region, union membership, public/private sector employee, blue-collar, gender, age, homeownership, family income, education.

II. Sweden 1998: Estimation Results Based on Seat Share Measure

Representational/Compensational (β)	0.62 [a] (0.544, 0.688)[b]				
Salience (θ)	0.18 (0.014)				
	LP/ SD	**CTR/ SD**	**PPL/ SD**	**CD/ SD**	**Mod/ SD**
Age	<0.01	<0.01	-0.02	0.01	-0.01
	(<.0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Woman	0.47	-0.13	0.26	0.35	-0.22
	(0.24)	(0.23)	(0.25)	(0.17)	(0.20)
Education	0.08	0.22	0.44	0.12	0.29
	(0.07)	(0.10)	(0.09)	(0.08)	(0.08)
Union member	-0.05	-0.67	0.11	-0.09	-0.36
	(0.20)	(0.28)	(0.20)	(0.18)	(0.20)
Income	-0.26	-0.07	-0.16	-0.15	0.07
	(0.10)	(0.13)	(0.13)	(0.10)	(0.10)
Church attendance	0.04	0.41	<0.01	0.57	0.01
	(0.13)	(0.14)	(0.15)	(0.11)	(0.11)
Unemployed	0.46	-0.38	-0.04	0.54	-0.75
	(0.29)	(0.88)	(0.52)	(0.46)	(0.51)
Rural	-0.17	1.27	-0.54	0.67	0.05
	(031.)	(0.31)	(0.34)	(0.26)	(0.31)
Constant	-0.49	-3.69	-3.14	-3.14	-1.21
	(0.56)	(0.78)	(0.61)	(0.60)	(0.61)
Log likelihood=-714.816, N=748					

[a] –The entry is $\hat{\beta}$, computed from $\hat{\alpha}$ post estimation.

[b] – 95% Confidence Interval. All other entries in parentheses are standard errors.

SD (Social Democrats) – the reference category. LP=Left Party, CTR=Centre Party, PPL=People's Party Liberals, CD=Christian Democrats, Mod=Moderate Rally Party.