| Code Moral Content | Moral Content |
|---|---|
| 1 | GMOs are NOT a high risk technology (1) |
| 2 | Health benefits & no evidence for health impairments (2) |
| 3 | GMOs contribute to food security (3) |
| 4 | No higher risks for animals/ advantages for animals (4) |
| 5 | Benefits for (5) |
| 6 | Wrongful Refusal of GMOs (6) |
| 7 | Scientists suffer harm (7) |
| 8 | NGOs (critics) commit crime against humanity (8) |
| 9 | Companies suffer disadvantages due to field destruction & regulation (9) |
| 10 | GMOs need acceptance to become beneficial (10) |
| 11 | more freedom for farmers (11) |
| 12 | Less/no interference with civil rights (12) |
| 13 | NGOs, critics,faulty regulations interfere with civil liberties of scientists (13) |
| 14 | NGOs, critics,faulty regulations interfere with civil liberties of consumers (14) |
| 15 | NGOs, critics,faulty regulations interfere with civil liberties of business, GMO sales (15) |
| 16 | NGOs, critics,faulty regulations interfere with civil liberties of gene technology (16) |
| 17 | NGOs, critics,faulty regulations interfere with civil liberties of agriculture (17) |
| 18 | NGOs, critics,faulty regulations interfere with civil liberties Of future generations (18) |
| 19 | GMOs: lower costs and benefits (19) |
| 20 | GMOs contribute to common welfare (Successful endeavor) (20) |
| 21 | GMOs are necessary and the better alternative (21) |
| 22 | Scientists committed to responsibility& sustainibility (22) |
| 23 | Scientists committed to common welfare (23) |
| 24 | No abuse of power by companies (24) |
| 25 | Politicians committed to common welfare (25) |
| 26 | Scientists committed to reasonable public discourse (26) |
| 27 | promote innovation (GMOs, Patents) (27) |
| 28 | policymakers and scientists cooperate (28) |
| 29 | GMO critics distort discourse (29) |
| 30 | Misuse of influence on political decisions (30) |
| 31 | Many faulty regulations (31) |
| 32 | NGOs (Greenpeace)/opponents behave wrongly (32) |
| 33 | Obstacle to innovation (politics, regulations, NGOs) (33) |
| 34 | Politics does not take responsibility, but should (34) |
| 35 | GMOs go against consumer wishes (35) |
| 36 | Failure: no market introduction planned of some Gt products (36) |
| 37 | Costs from patents (37) |
| 37 | failures in GT (37) |
| 38 | Threat to social cohesion (38) |
| 39 | NGO activists regret anti GMO campaigns (39) |
| 40 | Celebrities, experts, political organizations are pro GMO (40) |
| 41 | Germany is an exception (41) |

| | |
|---|---|
| 42 | Authority argument: scientists argue pro GMO (42) |
| 43 | Authority argument: political authority is on our side (43) |
| 44 | Authority argument: authors clearly position themselves pro GMO (44) |
| 45 | Facts should be the basis for social decisions&are (45) |
| 46 | assumed decision-making authority of science (46) |
| 47 | Values of knowledge & education threatened (47) |
| 48 | Contamination by GMOs is not a thread (48) |
| 49 | No relevant risks for nature due to GMOs (49) |
| 50 | GMO reduce pesticide use (50) |
| 51 | through GMO, crops survive pesticide use (51) |
| 52 | there is no large-scale pest resistance by BT plant (52) |
| 53 | Support/no risk of/for biodiversity (53) |
| 54 | Support/no risk of/for sustainability (54) |
| 55 | Alienation is not problematic (55) |
| 56 | GMOs (better) adapted to local conditions/integration with nature (56) |