! ǎǎŜǎǎƳŜƴǘ ƧŦ Ι·ƎNƛƛŎdzfǘdzNƜŜ Ι·ƴŘ ŦƧƧŘ ǎŜŎdzNƛǘè LJƧfƛŎè LJNƧŎŜǎǎŜǎ ƛƴ bƛƎŜNƛΙ· {ǘΙ·ʅŜKƧfŘŜN {dzNØŜèΣ нл18

This research survey is part of the Feed the Future Nigeria Agricultural Policy Project to study the institutional architecture and quality of policy processes on agriculture and food security in Nigeria. This project is jointly managed by the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) and Michigan State University (MSU) with funding from the United States Agency for International Development (USAID)'s Nigeria Mission. Similar research surveys are being conducted by the FSP project in other countries in Africa and Asia to derive "best practice" lessons on strengthening policy processes on agriculture and food security issues. Survey respondents will be contacted again in two years to obtain from them an updated assessment on the topics covered in this survey in order to better understand any changes in the institutional architecture or in the quality of policy processes on agriculture and food security in Nigeria. You are free to voluntarily choose to participate in this research survey, refuse to answer certain questions, or stop participating at any time without any loss or harm to you. If you choose to participate, your help in answering these questions is greatly appreciated. Your responses will be kept completely confidential to the maximum extent allowable by law. Your responses will be summed together with those from other stakeholders in Nigeria and possibly from other countries. Only general averages from the analysis will be reported. For any questions about the study, contact Mr. JM of the Feed the Future Nigeria Agricultural Policy Project; <phone number and email deleted> and Dr. SL, Assistant Professor, Michigan State University <phone number deleted>.

. è ŎƧƴǘƛƴdzƛƴƎ ǿƛǘK ǘKƛǎ ǎdzNØŜèΣ èƧdz ƛƴŘƛŎΙ·ǘŜ èƧdzN ØƧfdzƴǘΙ·Nè ŎƧƴãŜƴǘ ǘƧ LJΙ·NǘƛŎƛLJΙ·ǘŜ ƛƴ ǘKƛǎ ǎǘdzŘèφ

! φ tfŜΙ·ǎŜ ǘŜfƒ ƴŜ Ι·ōƧdzǘ èƧdzNǎŜfŦ Ι·ƴŘ ǘKŜ ƧNƎΙ·ƴƛÎΙ·ǘƛƧƴ èƧdz NŜLJNŜǎŜƴǘƴ

! мφ bΙ·ƴŜƴ	
! нφ tƧǎƛǘƛƧƴƴ	
! оφ hNƎΙ·ƴƛÎΙ·ǘƛƧƴƴ	
! пφ /ƧƴǘΙ·Ŏǘ ƛƴŦƧNƴΙ·ǘƛƧƴƴ *4.1. office address(es):*	
4.2. e-mail address(es):	
4.3. telephone number(s):	

! pφ bdzƴōŜN ƧŦ èŜΙ·Nǎ èƧdz KΙ·ØŜ ōŜŜƴ ǿƛǘK ǘKƛǎ ƧNƎΙ·ƴƛÎΙ·ǘƛƧƴƴ

! cφ ¢ƧǘΙ·f èŜΙ·Nǎ ƧŦ ŜÈLJŜNƛŜƴŎŜ èƧdz KΙ·ØŜ ƛƴLJƧfƛŎè ŘŜØŜfƧLJƴŜƴǘ ƧƴΙ·ƎNƛŎdzfǘdzNŜ ƧN ŦƧƧŘ ǎŜŎdzNƛǘè ƛǎǎdzŜǎƴ

! тφ Lǎ èƧdzN ŜÈLJŜNƛŜƴŎŜ ƛƴLJƧfƛŎè ŘŜØŜfƧLJƴŜƴǘ Ι·ǘ ǘKŜ ŦŜŘŜNΙ·f fŜØŜfΣ ǎǘΙ·ǘŜ fŜØŜf ƧN ōƧǘKK

◯ м-*Federal* ◯ н-*State* ◯ о-*Both*

! yφ Lǎ èƧdzN ƧNƎΙ·ƴƛÎΙ·ǘƛƧƴΙ· ƴŜƴōŜN ƧŦ Ι·ƴè Ι·ƎNƛŎdzfǘdzNŜ ƧN ŦƧƧŘ ǎŜŎdzNƛǘè NŜfΙ·ǘŜŘ ¢ŜŎKƴƛŎΙ·f ² ƧNʅƛƴƎ ǘΙ·ǎʅ ŦƧNŎŜΣ ǎǘŜŜNƛƴƎ ŎƧƴƴƛǘǘŜŜΣ ƧN ƧN ƧǘKŜN LJƧfƛŎè ƧN ǎdzōπǎŜŎǘƧN NŜØƛŜǿ ŎƧƴƴƛǘǘŜŜK

◯ м-*Yes* ◯ н-*No* ◯ о-*Don't know / Not applicable*

! фφ Lf your organization is a member of a Technical Working Group (TWG) that deals with agriculture issues, please specify the details of this TWG below. For e.g., indicate the name or type of group and scope of the issues addressed (i.e., national or provincial level):

! млф l ƨø ø ƨdzfŘ èƨdz ꞎl·ǘŜ ǘKŜ ƛƴƬfdzŜƴŎŜ èƨdzꞎ ƨꞎƎl·ƴƛÎl·ǘƛƨƴKl·ă ƨƴl·ƎꞎƛŎdzfǘdzꞎŜ l·ƴŘ ƬƨƨŘ ăŜŎdzꞎƛǘè LJƨfƛŎè ŎKl·ƴƎŜ LJꞎƨŎŜăăŜă ƛƴbƛƎŜꞎƛl· l·ǘ ǘKŜ ƬŜŘŜꞎl·f l·ƴŘ ăǘl·ǘŜ fŜØŜfK

CŜŘŜꞎl·f fŜØŜfY ◯ *0=no influence* ◯ *1=limited influence* ◯ *2=moderate influence* ◯ *3=high influence*

State level: ◯ *0=no influence* ◯ *1=limited influence* ◯ *2=moderate influence* ◯ *3=high influence*

A11. If you rated your influence as 'no influence' or 'limited influence' for either federal or state level, what do you think is the main reason for this limited influence *(use space below)*:

A12. If you rated your influence as 'moderate' or 'high', please provide an example of when your organization had influence on agriculture and food security policy change processes in the past *(use space below)*:

Please rate each of the following statement on a scale of 0 to 3, where:

0 = you completely disagree/dissatisfied;
1=somewhat disagree/dissatisfied,
2=somewhat agree/satisfied, and
3=you completely agree/satisfied.
(If the question is not applicable or you do not know, mark 'NA/DK'.

All the statements refer to the CURRENT policy environment in Nigeria (i.e., as of 2018) for the broad agriculture sector, including issues relating to food security at the **federal and state level.** You may, if you wish, add a comment in the space provided under each statement to elaborate your response.

*The term '**stakeholder**' is used here to collectively include representatives from the private sector, CSOs, NGOs, research organizations, the donor community, producer organizations, citizen's groups, etc. that are active **in Nigeria** on agriculture and food security policy issues.*

*The term '**policy**' as used here includes the content of master development frameworks for Nigeria, sector strategies, sub-sector strategies, public investment plans, proposed legislation and regulations, and the design of public programs.*

B. **Quality of agriculture and food security policy processes in Nigeria at the Federal and State level**

	Federal	State
B1. There is continuous dialogue related to policy on agriculture and/or food security issues between government sector representatives and other stakeholders Comment (if any):	○-0 1-○ ○-2 3-○ NA/DK-○	○-0 1-○ ○-2 3-○ NA/DK-○
B2. There is continuous dialogue on agriculture and food security issues between government sector representatives and your institution Comment (if any):	○-0 1-○ ○-2 3-○ NA/DK-○	○-0 1-○ ○-2 3-○ NA/DK-○
B3. Stakeholder perspectives in these policy dialogues on agriculture and food security issues are listened to and considered closely by government Comment (if any):	○-0 1-○ ○-2 3-○ NA/DK-○	○-0 1-○ ○-2 3-○ NA/DK-○
B4. The perspectives of your institution in these policy dialogues on agriculture and food security issues are listened to and considered closely by government Comment (if any):	○-0 1-○ ○-2 3-○ NA/DK-○	○-0 1-○ ○-2 3-○ NA/DK-○
B5. The perspectives of your institution in these policy dialogues on agriculture and food security issues are listened to and considered closely by stakeholders other than government Comment (if any):	○-0 1-○ ○-2 3-○ NA/DK-○	○-0 1-○ ○-2 3-○ NA/DK-○
B6. Farmers (agricultural producers) or their representatives effectively participate and are consulted in policy dialogues on agriculture and food security issues Comment (if any):	○-0 1-○ ○-2 3-○ NA/DK-○	○-0 1-○ ○-2 3-○ NA/DK-○

	Federal	State
B7. The private sector effectively participates and is consulted in policy dialogues on agriculture and food security issues Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
B8. Civil society organizations (CSOs) and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) effectively participate and are consulted in policy dialogues on agriculture and food security issues Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
B9. Research and academic institutes effectively participate and are consulted in policy dialogues on agriculture and food security issues Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
B10. Donors supporting the agriculture sector in the country effectively participate and are consulted in policy dialogues on agriculture and food security issues Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
B11. Policy processes on agriculture and food security issues can be characterized as timely and focused in addressing pressing and important issues related to the agriculture sector Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
B12. Policy dialogues on agriculture and food security issues can be characterized as well-informed with a clear understanding of the feasibility, strengths, and weaknesses of the policy options being considered Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
B13. The performance of the agriculture sector is regularly assessed in an open, transparent, and timely manner by government Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
B14. The assessment of the performance of the agriculture sector actively involves representatives from producers, donors, the private sector in agriculture, CSOs, and NGOs Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
B15. A clearly articulated and broadly understood legal process for developing and approving policy exists Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
B16. A formal policy-making process is always followed in the development of policies, strategies, legislation, and regulations on agriculture and food security issues Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK

	Federal	State
B17. A publicly transparent data and information sharing system makes evidence-based assessments available to inform discussions and decisions in policy processes Comment (if any):	0-0 1-1 2-2 3-3 NA/DK-9	0-0 1-1 2-2 3-3 NA/DK-9
B18. Available evidence in the form of data and results of rigorous analysis is frequently used in policy processes on agriculture and food security issues Comment (if any):	0-0 1-1 2-2 3-3 NA/DK-9	0-0 1-1 2-2 3-3 NA/DK-9
B19. Capacity exists within the stakeholder groups to effectively engage with government in agriculture and food security policy analysis and outreach Comment (if any):	0-0 1-1 2-2 3-3 NA/DK-9	0-0 1-1 2-2 3-3 NA/DK-9
B20. Capacity exists in the country to effectively conduct independent policy analysis on agriculture and food security policy issues Comment (if any):	0-0 1-1 2-2 3-3 NA/DK-9	0-0 1-1 2-2 3-3 NA/DK-9

C. Quality of institutional architecture for agriculture and food security policy processes in the country at the federal and state level

	Federal	State
C1. A broader consultation group that coordinates and harmonizes agriculture and food security policy (such as the Agricultural Sector Working Group) exists *If Yes, please specify this group at the federal and/or state level and continue; Otherwise, skip to C7:* Comment (if any):	☐ 1-Yes ☐ 0-No	☐ 1-Yes ☐ 0-No
C2. The broader consultation group mentioned in C1 is effective and efficient Comment (if any):	0-0 1-1 2-2 3-3 NA/DK-9	0-0 1-1 2-2 3-3 NA/DK-9
C3. Discussions in the broader consultation group mentioned in C1 are well-informed, with sufficient information on current conditions in the agriculture sector of Nigeria; on the various policy options that could be exercised to respond to a pressing issue in the sector; and on the feasibility, strengths, and weaknesses of the various policy options proposed Comment (if any):	0-0 1-1 2-2 3-3 NA/DK-9	0-0 1-1 2-2 3-3 NA/DK-9
C4. The Group mentioned in C1 makes clear decisions on policy and program design Comment (if any):	0-0 1-1 2-2 3-3 NA/DK-9	0-0 1-1 2-2 3-3 NA/DK-9

	Federal	State
C5. The Group mentioned in C1 clearly communicates to the political leadership of Nigeria the decisions on policy and program design it makes Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C6. The decisions on policy and program design communicated by the group mentioned in C1 are taken seriously by the political leadership Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C7. Action is quickly taken by members and other stakeholders on the decisions on policy and program design made by the Group mentioned in C1 Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C8. For the Technical Working Groups in the agriculture sector in which I have participated in the past 12 months, I have found them to be effective and efficient Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C9. Technical Working Groups in the agriculture sector meet sufficiently frequently to maintain momentum on the key policy reforms for which each is responsible Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C10. Discussions in Technical Working Groups are well-informed, having sufficient information to make good decisions on issues in the sector for which each TWG is responsible Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C11. Clear decisions on policy and program design are made by the Technical Working Groups Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C12. Decisions on policy and program design made by the Technical Working Groups are communicated clearly to the broader policy coordinating Working Group Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C13. Decisions on policy and program design communicated by the Technical Working Groups are taken seriously by the broader policy coordinating Working Group Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C14. A clearly defined overarching policy framework exists to guide action in the agriculture sector to improve agricultural productivity, increase production, boost food security, and enhance nutrition Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK

	Federal	State
C15. The content of the overarching policy framework for the agriculture sector represents the results of informed, transparent, and broad discussions among stakeholders in the sector Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C16. The content of sub-sector policies and strategies and the design of programs in the agriculture sector are governed by and consistent with the overarching policy framework for the sector Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C17. An effective system to monitor policy implementation and results in the agriculture sector is in place and functional Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C18. An effective system to monitor the results in the agriculture sector is in place and functional Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C19. An effective and comprehensive monitoring and evaluation system to monitor progress towards the agricultural development goals of the country is in place and functional Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C20. Relevant and high quality sector performance data (i.e., evidence) are made publicly available in a timely manner Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C21. After a policy decision on an agriculture or food security issue is made, appropriate resources are committed and made available for effective policy implementation Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C22. An effective donor coordination forum exists for the agriculture sector in Nigeria so that donors together work in a consistent manner and in a way that minimizes any disruptions to the flow of resources that they commit to agricultural development Comment(if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C23. In general, donors supporting the agriculture sector in Nigeria make commitments that are clear, realistic, and genuine Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK
C24. Donors supporting the agriculture sector have embraced transparency and debate in policy processes and decision making Comment (if any):	0 1 2 3 NA/DK	0 1 2 3 NA/DK

	Federal	State
C25. The government has embraced <u>transparency and debate</u> in policy processes and decision making Comment (if any):	◯-0 1-◯ ◯-2 3-◯ *NA/DK-*◯	◯-0 1-◯ ◯-2 3-◯ *NA/DK-*◯
C26. How satisfied are you today with the overall QUALITY of dialogue, coordination, cooperation, and partnership between <u>stakeholders in the sector</u> and <u>government</u> for advancing policy reforms on agriculture and food security issues in Nigeria Comment (if any):	◯-0 1-◯ ◯-2 3-◯ *NA/DK-*◯	◯-0 1-◯ ◯-2 3-◯ *NA/DK-*◯

E. Participation in agriculture and food security policy process events

E1. **During 2017** (i.e., 1 January to 31 December 2017), in total how many workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy organized by the government or another stakeholder did you attend? ____

E2. Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in **2017, and who was the main organizer**?

a)	
b)	
c)	
d)	
e)	
g)	
g)	
h)	
i)	
j)	

E3. **Since 1 January 2018**, how many workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy organized by the government or other stakeholder have you attended? ____

E4. Please list for me all of the forums and other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy organized by the government or other stakeholder that you attended since **1 January 2018, and who was the main organizer**?

a)	
b)	
c)	
d)	

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME TO PARICIPATE IN THIS SURVEY