Quality of Agriculture and Food Security Policy Processes: Stakeholder Survey in Nigeria, 2018

Study Documentation

(Metadata and Code Book)

December 23, 2019

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Michigan State University, Study implementer
Production Date	December 23, 2019
Identification	N/A

About the Dataset

Description: One of the objectives of the Feed the Future (FTF) Innovation Lab for Food Security Policy (FSP) project is to foster credible, inclusive, transparent and sustainable policy processes at country level. As part of the monitoring and evaluation framework of FSP, the project has proposed two qualitative indicators to assess and track the institutional architecture and quality of policy processes on agriculture and food security in FTF countries where the project has major country level activities. Nigeria is one of the countries where the FSP project has such activities. This dataset represents the midline survey conducted in 2018 to assess and monitor: 1) the quality of agriculture and food security policy processes in Nigeria, and 2) quality of the institutional architecture for agriculture and food security policy processes, as measured by stakeholder evaluation survey to capture the level of satisfaction and confidence.

Please see the following publication for details on the survey methodology used in the baseline, which is similar to the method followed in 2018 for the midline survey. An exception was the addition of stakeholders from the Zone of Influence states of Niger, Kebbi, Benue, Delta, and Cross River.

Reference(s) on related publication:

Mywish K. Maredia, John Mazunda, and Oyinkan Tasie. 2017. The Quality of Agriculture and Food Security Policy Processes at the Federal and State Level in Nigeria: Results of a Stakeholder Survey. *Feed the Future Innovation Lab for Food Security Policy Research Paper 74.* East Lansing: Michigan State University.

Key words:

Policy process, institutional architecture, qualitative indicator, Nigeria, food security policy, stakeholder survey

Resource description:

This dataset was collected under the USAID funded Feed the Future Innovation Lab for Food Security Policy (FSP), which is awarded to a consortium comprised of Michigan State University (MSU), the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) and the University of Pretoria (UP).

Quality of Agriculture and Food Security Policy Processes:
Stakeholder Survey in Nigeria, 2018

Overview

Type	Edited, anonymous dataset for public distribution
Identification	N/A
Version	v01
Kind of Data	Sample survey data [ssd]
Unit of Analysis	Individual/respondents
Language	Survey was implemented in English
Questionnaire	Available in English

Scope & Coverage

Time Period(s)	2018
Countries	Nigeria
Regional coverage:	National in scope

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s) Mywish Maredia and Oyinkan Tasie, Michigan State University; John Mazunda, IFPRI
Funding Agency/ies U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID)

Accessibility

Citation Requirements
Use of the dataset must be acknowledged using a citation which would include:
- the Identification of the Primary Investigator
- the title of the survey (including country, acronym and year of implementation)
- the survey reference number
- the source and date of download

Description of the dataset

Number of variables in the dataset: 181
Number of observations: 239
Number of data files: 1
Name of the data file: Data_Nigeria_Stakeholder_Survey_2018.csv
Following information is not included to maintain confidentiality: Name of the respondent (A1), Position (A2), Name of the organization (A3), Address, Email and Phone (A4.1-3) and A9 (name of the group in which the organization is a member).

Variable name	Variable Label (see the questionnaire for complete labels)
date	Submission Date
PID	*Person ID (post-coded)*
first_name	First Name
last_name	Last Name
a2	A2. Position
a3	A3. Organization
type_of_institution	*Type of organization mentioned in A3 (post-coded)*
street_address1	Street Address
street_address2	Street Address Line 2
city	City
state	State / Province
zipcode	Postal / Zip Code
Country	Country
email2	E-mail
phone	Telephone number
a5	A5. Number of years you have been with this organization
a6	A6. Total years of experience you have in policy development on agriculture or food security issues:
a7	A7. Is your experience in policy development at the federal level, state level or both?
a8	A8. Is your organization a member of any agriculture or food security related Technical Working Group, taskforce, steering committee, or other policy or sub-sector review committee?
a9	A9. If your organization is a member of a Technical Working Group (TWG) that deals with agriculture issues, please specify the details of this TWG below. For e.g., indicate the name or type of group and scope of the issues addressed (i.e., national or provincial level)
a10_fed	A10. How would you rate the influence your organization has on agriculture and food securi... >> Federal level
a10_state	A10. How would you rate the influence your organization has on agriculture and food securi... >> State level
a11	A11. If you rated your influence as 'no influence' or 'limited influence' for either federal or state level, what do you think is the main reason for this limited influence (use space below):
a12	A12. If you rated your influence as 'moderate' or 'high', please provide an example of when your organization had influence on agriculture and food security policy change processes in the past (use space below):
b1_fed	B1. There is continuous dialogue related to policy on agriculture and food security issue... >> Federal level
b1_state	B1. There is continuous dialogue related to policy on agriculture and food security issue... >> State level
b1_comment	B1. Comment (if any)

Variable name	Variable Label (see the questionnaire for complete labels)
b2_fed	B2. There is continuous dialogue on agriculture and food security issues between governmen... >> Federal level
b2_state	B2. There is continuous dialogue on agriculture and food security issues between governmen... >> State level
b2_comment	B2. Comment (if any)
b3_fed	B3. Stakeholder perspectives in these policy dialogues on agriculture and food security is... >> Federal level
b3_state	B3. Stakeholder perspectives in these policy dialogues on agriculture and food security is... >> State level
b3_comment	B3. Comment (if any)
b4_fed	B4. The perspectives of your institution in these policy dialogues on agriculture and food... >> Federal level
b4_state	B4. The perspectives of your institution in these policy dialogues on agriculture and food... >> State level
b4_comment	B4. Comment (if any)
b5_fed	B5. The perspectives of your institution in these policy dialogues on agriculture and food... >> Federal level
b5_state	B5. The perspectives of your institution in these policy dialogues on agriculture and food... >> State level
b5_comment	B5. Comment (if any)
b6_fed	B6. Farmers (agricultural producers) or their representatives effectively participate and ... >> Federal level
b6_state	B6. Farmers (agricultural producers) or their representatives effectively participate and ... >> State level
b6_comment	B6. Comment (if any)
b7_fed	B7. The private sector effectively participates and is consulted in policy dialogues on ag... >> Federal level
b7_state	B7. The private sector effectively participates and is consulted in policy dialogues on ag... >> State level
b7_comment	B7. Comment (if any)
b8_fed	B8. Civil society organizations (CSOs) and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) effective... >> Federal level
b8_state	B8. Civil society organizations (CSOs) and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) effective... >> State level
b8_comment	B8. Comment (if any)
b9_fed	B9. Research and academic institutes effectively participate and are consulted in policy d... >> Federal level
b9_state	B9. Research and academic institutes effectively participate and are consulted in policy d... >> State level
b9_comment	B9. Comment (if any)
b10_fed	B10. Donors supporting the agriculture sector in the country effectively participate and a... >> Federal level

Variable name	Variable Label (see the questionnaire for complete labels)
b10_state	B10. Donors supporting the agriculture sector in the country effectively participate and a... >> State level
b10_comment	B10. Comment (if any)
b11_fed	B11. Policy processes on agriculture and food security issues can be characterized as time... >> Federal level
b11_state	B11. Policy processes on agriculture and food security issues can be characterized as time... >> State level
b11_comment	B11. Comment (if any)
b12_fed	B12. Policy dialogues on agriculture and food security issues can be characterized as well... >> Federal level
b12_state	B12. Policy dialogues on agriculture and food security issues can be characterized as well... >> State level
b12_comment	B12. Comment (if any)
b13_fed	B13. The performance of the agriculture sector is regularly assessed in an open, transpare... >> Federal level
b13_state	B13. The performance of the agriculture sector is regularly assessed in an open, transpare... >> State level
b13_comment	B13. Comment (if any)
b14_fed	B14. The assessment of the performance of the agriculture sector actively involves represe... >> Federal level
b14_state	B14. The assessment of the performance of the agriculture sector actively involves represe... >> State level
b14_comment	B14. Comment (if any)
b15_fed	B15. A clearly articulated and broadly understood legal process for developing and approvi... >> Federal level
b15_state	B15. A clearly articulated and broadly understood legal process for developing and approvi... >> State level
b15_comment	B15. Comment (if any)
b16_fed	B16. A formal policy-making process is always followed in the development of policies, str... >> Federal level
b16_state	B16. A formal policy-making process is always followed in the development of policies, str... >> State level
b16_comment	B16. Comment (if any)
b17_fed	B17. A publicly transparent data and information sharing system makes evidence-based asses... >> Federal level
b17_state	B17. A publicly transparent data and information sharing system makes evidence-based asses... >> State level
b17_comment	B17. Comment (if any)
b18_fed	B18. Available evidence in the form of data and results of rigorous analysis is frequently... >> Federal level
b18_state	B18. Available evidence in the form of data and results of rigorous analysis is frequently... >> State level

Variable name	Variable Label (see the questionnaire for complete labels)
b18_comment	B18. Comment (if any)
b19_fed	B19. Capacity exists within the stakeholder groups to effectively engage with government i... >> Federal level
b19_state	B19. Capacity exists within the stakeholder groups to effectively engage with government i... >> State level
b19_comment	B19. Comment (if any)
b20_fed	B20. Capacity exists in the country to effectively conduct independent policy analysis on ... >> Federal level
b20_state	B20. Capacity exists in the country to effectively conduct independent policy analysis on ... >> State level
b20_comment	B20. Comment (if any)
c1_fed	C1. A broader consultation group that coordinates and harmonizes agriculture and food sec... >> Federal level
c1_state	C1. A broader consultation group that coordinates and harmonizes agriculture and food sec... >> State level
c1_comment	C1. Comment (if any)
c2_fed	C2. The broader consultation group mentioned in C1 is effective and efficient >> Federal level
c2_state	C2. The broader consultation group mentioned in C1 is effective and efficient >> State level
c2_comment	C2. Comment (if any)
c3_fed	C3. Discussions in the broader consultation group mentioned in C1 are well-informed, with ... >> Federal level
c3_state	C3. Discussions in the broader consultation group mentioned in C1 are well-informed, with ... >> State level
c3_comment	C3. Comment (if any)
c4_fed	C4. The Group mentioned in C1 makes clear decisions on policy and program design >> Federal level
c4_state	C4. The Group mentioned in C1 makes clear decisions on policy and program design >> State level
c4_comment	C4. Comment (if any)
c5_fed	C5. The Group mentioned in C1 clearly communicates to the political leadership of Nigeria ... >> Federal level
c5_state	C5. The Group mentioned in C1 clearly communicates to the political leadership of Nigeria ... >> State level
c5_comment	C5. Comment (if any)
c6_fed	C6. The decisions on policy and program design communicated by the group mentioned in C1 a... >> Federal level
c6_state	C6. The decisions on policy and program design communicated by the group mentioned in C1 a... >> State level
c6_comment	C6. Comment (if any)
c7_fed	C7. Action is quickly taken by members and other stakeholders on the decisions on policy a... >> Federal level

Variable name	Variable Label (see the questionnaire for complete labels)
c7_state	C7. Action is quickly taken by members and other stakeholders on the decisions on policy a... >> State level
c7_comment	C7. Comment (if any)
c8_fed	C8. For the Technical Working Groups in the agriculture sector in which I have participate... >> Federal level
c8_state	C8. For the Technical Working Groups in the agriculture sector in which I have participate... >> State level
c8_comment	C8. Comment (if any)
c9_fed	C9. Technical Working Groups in the agriculture sector meet sufficiently frequently to mai... >> Federal level
c9_state	C9. Technical Working Groups in the agriculture sector meet sufficiently frequently to mai... >> State level
c9_comment	C9. Comment (if any)
c10_fed	C10. Discussions in Technical Working Groups are well-informed, having sufficient informat... >> Federal level
c10_state	C10. Discussions in Technical Working Groups are well-informed, having sufficient informat... >> State level
C10. Comment (if any)	C10. Comment (if any)
c11_fed	C11. Clear decisions on policy and program design are made by the Technical Working Groups >> Federal level
c11_state	C11. Clear decisions on policy and program design are made by the Technical Working Groups >> State level
c11_comment	C11. Comment (if any)
c12_fed	C12. Decisions on policy and program design made by the Technical Working Groups are commu... >> Federal level
c12_state	C12. Decisions on policy and program design made by the Technical Working Groups are commu... >> State level
c12_comment	C12. Comment (if any)
c13_fed	C13. Decisions on policy and program design communicated by the Technical Working Groups a... >> Federal level
c13_state	C13. Decisions on policy and program design communicated by the Technical Working Groups a... >> State level
c13_comment	C13. Comment (if any)
c14_fed	C14. A clearly defined overarching policy framework exists to guide action in the agricult... >> Federal level
c14_state	C14. A clearly defined overarching policy framework exists to guide action in the agricult... >> State level
C14. Comment (if any)	C14. Comment (if any)
c15_fed	C15. The content of the overarching policy framework for the agriculture sector represents... >> Federal level

Variable name	Variable Label (see the questionnaire for complete labels)
c15_state	C15. The content of the overarching policy framework for the agriculture sector represents... >> State level
c15_comment	C15. Comment (if any)
c16_fed	C16. The content of sub-sector policies and strategies and the design of programs in the a... >> Federal level
c16_state	C16. The content of sub-sector policies and strategies and the design of programs in the a... >> State level
c16_comment	C16. Comment (if any)
c17_fed	C17. An effective system to monitor policy implementation and results in the agriculture s... >> Federal level
c17_state	C17. An effective system to monitor policy implementation and results in the agriculture s... >> State level
c17_comment	C17. Comment (if any)
c18_fed	C18. An effective system to monitor the results in the agriculture sector is in place and ... >> Federal level
c18_state	C18. An effective system to monitor the results in the agriculture sector is in place and ... >> State level
c18_comment	C18. Comment (if any)
c19_fed	C19. An effective and comprehensive monitoring and evaluation system to monitor progress t... >> Federal level
c19_state	C19. An effective and comprehensive monitoring and evaluation system to monitor progress t... >> State level
c19_comment	C19. Comment (if any)
c20_fed	C20. Relevant and high quality sector performance data (i.e., evidence) are made publicly ... >> Federal level
c20_state	C20. Relevant and high quality sector performance data (i.e., evidence) are made publicly ... >> State level
c20_comment	C20. Comment (if any)
c21_fed	C21. After a policy decision on an agriculture or food security issue is made, appropriate... >> Federal level
c21_state	C21. After a policy decision on an agriculture or food security issue is made, appropriate... >> State level
c21_comment	C21. Comment (if any)
c22_fed	C22. An effective donor coordination forum exists for the agriculture sector in Nigeria so... >> Federal level
c22_state	C22. An effective donor coordination forum exists for the agriculture sector in Nigeria so... >> State level
c22_comment	C22. Comment (if any)
c23_fed	C23. In general, donors supporting the agriculture sector in Nigeria make commitments that... >> Federal level
c23_state	C23. In general, donors supporting the agriculture sector in Nigeria make commitments that... >> State level

Variable name	Variable Label (see the questionnaire for complete labels)
c23_comment	C23. Comment (if any)
c24_fed	C24. Donors supporting the agriculture sector have embraced transparency and debate in pol... >> Federal level
c24_state	C24. Donors supporting the agriculture sector have embraced transparency and debate in pol... >> State level
c24_comment	C24. Comment (if any)
c25_fed	C25. The government has embraced transparency and debate in policy processes and decision ... >> Federal level
c25_state	C25. The government has embraced transparency and debate in policy processes and decision ... >> State level
c25_comment	C25. Comment (if any)
c26_fed	C26. How satisfied are you today with the overall QUALITY of dialogue, coordination, coope... >> Federal level
c26_state	C26. How satisfied are you today with the overall QUALITY of dialogue, coordination, coope... >> State level
c26_comment	C26. Comment (if any)
e1	E1. During 2017 (i.e., 1 January to 31 December 2017), in total how many workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy organized by the government or another stakeholder did you attend?
e2a	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2017, and who was the main organizer?
e2b	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2017, and who was the main organizer?
e2c	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2017, and who was the main organizer?
e2d	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2017, and who was the main organizer?
e2e	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2017, and who was the main organizer?
e2f	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2017, and who was the main organizer?
e2g	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2017, and who was the main organizer?
e2h	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2017, and who was the main organizer?

Variable name	Variable Label (see the questionnaire for complete labels)
e2i	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2017, and who was the main organizer?
e2j	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2017, and who was the main organizer?
e3	E3. Since 1 January 2018, how many workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy organized by the government or other stakeholder have you attended?
e4a	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2018, and who was the main organizer?
e4b	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2018, and who was the main organizer?
e4c	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2018, and who was the main organizer?
e4d	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2018, and who was the main organizer?
e4e	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2018, and who was the main organizer?
e4f	Please list all of the workshops, forums, or other meetings related to agriculture and food security policy that you attended in 2018, and who was the main organizer?