Bwindi Accountability Endline LC/PMC physical audit (Final)

Enumerator name

- ○ Kenneth
- ○ Rodgers
- ○ Prince
- ○ Costance
- ○ Steven
- ○ Smith
- ○ Wilber
- ○ Luke
- ○ Braim
- ○ Ivan

Village name (as exact as it appears on the list)

check the spelling very well

_____

GPS Location

GPS coordinates can only be collected when outside.

_____

latitude (x.y °)

_____

longitude (x.y °)

_____

altitude (m)

_____

accuracy (m)

_____

* You must fill out the section below separately for all parts of the project listed on the approved project list, even if they were not delivered or are incomplete. Additionally, you should fill out another section for every part of the project that are implemented but not originally approved. Click the "plus" button at the bottom of every section to add another section.

- ○ OK

All problem animal management (PAM) items should be considered as one part of the village project, regardless of many kinds of items are delivered. The PAM project part can be either dispersed or not dispersed, depending on whether there are 10 or more locations where the items are kept. For the PAM project part, you will also be asked to photograph that work that was done with the items (e.g., planting thorn hedge).

- OK

Project Part

1

*** Description of Project Part**

Record the description of the component of the project from the list of projects, if approved. Record a description of the project part being audited, if not on the approved list.

*** Is this part of the project for Problem Animal Management?**

- Yes
- No

*** Is this part of the project on the list of approved revenue-sharing activities in this village?**

- Approved
- Not approved

2. According to the LC1 or the member of the project committee, what is the implementation status of this project part?

This question does not record the completeness of the project, it just records whether implementation is done or whether they still expect more activities.

- Implementation finished
- Implementation in progress
- Implementation never started, still expecting activities
- Implementation never started, not expecting any activities

3. Is this component of the project dispersed or not dispersed?

The definition of a dispersed project is that the physical evidence would exist at more than 10 individual locations in a village (for example goats given to dozens of households in a village). The definition of a project that is not dispersed is that it will exist in 10 or fewer individual locations. Note that the dispersion of the project is not about how many items are delivered (e.g., 10 pangas kept in the community house is "not dispersed").

- Dispersed
- Not dispersed

Some projects will require more pictures than others. Some projects that are not dispersed may only require one or two pictures for an outside observer to draw the conclusion the project is complete. Others will require many more. Remember that pictures should allow others to confirm your conclusions about the completeness and the verifiability of the project.

- OK

For dispersed projects, the LC1 or project committee member should be asked to show you physical evidence of the project from 10 independent locations (e.g. different households with livestock). Even if multiple items are at each location,

ten locations should be visited. Also note that this audit of locations is additional to what you will ask of survey residents for dispersed parts of a project.

- ( ) OK

4. Check all the items that are observed for this part of the project.

Observe as accurately as you can. You will be asked to record evidence for each part of the project separately, so record evidence for one part of the project at a time. Next, record a photograph of the item and write a detailed description about the photograph.

- [ ] Tagged goat / sheep / piglets / hens
- [ ] Untagged goat / sheep / piglets / hens
- [ ] Newly constructed or graded road
- [ ] Newly constructed water source
- [ ] Newly constructed pit latrine
- [ ] Problem Animal Management items (e.g., pangas, hoes, gum boots, slashes)
- [ ] Sign posts
- [ ] Constructed or rehabilitated community health center
- [ ] Constructed or rehabilitated school or school facilities
- [ ] Constructed or rehabilitated community house or center
- [ ] Constructed or rehabilitated tourist facility
- [ ] Plastic seats
- [ ] Culverts or installed culverts
- [ ] Community camp
- [ ] Planting of edge crops for PAM
- [ ] Solar lamps

Picture1

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

Description of Picture1_____

Picture2

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

Description of Picture2_____

Picture3

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

Description of Picture3

Picture4

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

Description of Picture4

Picture5

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

Description of Picture5

Picture6

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

Description of Picture6

Picture7

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

Description of Picture7

Picture8

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

Description of Picture8

Picture9

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

Description of Picture9

Picture10

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

Description of Picture10

5. Had the project component been implemented as planned, what proportion of physical outputs that would have resulted is currently observable:

Your answer to this question should be based on observation, rather than statements from the LC or a member of the project management committee. The photographs taken should closely match this assessment for an outside observer. Your response to this question should only take into account the part of the project that you are auditing in this section.

- Complete evidence (almost all of the physical outputs that would have been produced by a completed project is available and recorded, 90-100%)
- Mostly complete evidence (a majority of the physical outputs that would have been produced by a completed project is available and recorded, 60-90%)
- Partially complete evidence (about half of the physical outputs that would have been produced by a completed project is available and recorded, 40-60%)
- Mostly incomplete evidence (a majority of the physical outputs that would have been produced by a completed project is not available and only a small amount is recorded, 10-40%)
- No or very limited evidence (no or very little physical outputs is available that indicates the project was completed, 0-10%)

Of your request to see 10 independently located pieces of physical evidence, how many were shown to you?

The number you enter should match with the number of photographs of evidence that you recorded in the previous questions. Remember that the number of pieces of evidence refers to the number of locations, rather than the number of items.

_____

6. How objectively verifiable is it that the evidence comes from this cycle of the revenue sharing program (as opposed to from some other source)?

- Verifiable (objective evidence show it is all or mostly from revenue sharing)
- Somewhat verifiable (some objective evidence exists, but it is not certain that all of the physical outputs comes from revenue sharing)
- Not verifiable (any physical evidence that exists requires taking the word of people on the ground about being from revenue sharing)

7. What reasons do the LC1 or members of the Project Management Committee give for either missing physical evidence and/or the lack of verifiable labels or tags?

Check all that apply. This question is about both missing evidence or missing tags or labels that connect the items shown to the revenue-sharing project.

- [ ] Project was not delivered or only partially delivered
- [ ] Project budget was insufficient to carry out planned project
- [ ] Project was changed to one not approved
- [ ] Livestock/animal sold or died
- [ ] Road having been washed away by erosion
- [ ] Labels removed or destroyed
- [ ] Poor labels or lack of labels on delivery
- [ ] Other

Other reason for lack of physical evidence and/or reason why never or only partially delivered

Picture of PAM work #1

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

* **Description of PAM work #1**

Picture of PAM work #2

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

* **Description of PAM work #2**

Picture of PAM work #3

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

* **Description of PAM work #3**

* Confirm that no evidence of PAM work was presented

- ( ) OK

Check all of the people who contributed to guiding the audit

- [ ] LC1
- [ ] Delegate of LC1
- [ ] Member(s) of PMC
- [ ] Other village elder (Chief, Religious/Cultural Leader, etc.)
- [ ] Resident of the village
- [ ] Other

Please describe the other guide for the audit

Additional Observations

Please record in detail any additional observations made. These notes should contain any information that might be relevant to the research team. If you think it might be relevant, write it down. We can always disregard information later, but we can never again add information to the analysis that is not recorded. Examples of information might include: observations about the ease of producing evidence; extenuating circumstances that might have made information unavailable only during the enumerator visit; reactions of the audit guides to being asked about information; concerns raised by audit guides about the planning or implementation of the revenue-sharing program; suspicion of falsification of projects/evidence by the LC1 or project team; LC1 bias in presenting evidence; concerns relevant to the audit raised by people who you encounter during the audit.