Replication Data Codebook
"What do political scientists believe about research ethics?"

April 25, 2023

datafile: ethics_apsa_survey.csv

This dataset contains responses to the survey fielded to APSA members. The conjoint data is set up using Strezhnev et al.'s "Conjoint Survey Design Tool." The set of variables beginning "F-" use the scheme of variable naming under this key-value structure:

"F-[task number]-[attribute number] matches the attribute name corresponding to Task [task number], Attribute [attribute number]. F-[task number]-[profile number]- [attribute number] matches the selected level corresponding to Task [task number], Profile [profile number], Attribute [attribute number]. For example, the key F-1-3-2 matches the level corresponding to Task 1, Profile 3, Attribute 2. Likewise, the key F-3-3 returns the attribute name corresponding to Task 3, Attribute 3."

Variable list:

respondent	Respondent ID
position1	What is your current primary position within the discipline? Faculty Position (1) Adjunct Professor (2) Post-Doc (3) Academic Administration (4) Graduate Student (5) Undergraduate Student (6) K-12 Teacher (7) Government (8) Research Organization or Think Tank (9) Consulting (10) Other Nonacademic Position (please specify) (11) Retired (12) Unemployed (13) Other (14) None of the above (15)
postition2	Which of the following best describes your current academic rank? Full Professor (1) Associate Professor (2) Assistant Professor (3) Visiting Professor (4)

	Lecturer (5) Instructor (6) Adjunct Professor (7) Emeritus Professor (8) Other (9) None of the above (10)
gender	How would you describe your current gender identity? Man (72) Woman (73) Transgender (74) Genderqueer (79) Other, something else (76)
ethics_consent	Do you agree to participate in the study? Yes No
subfield	What is the primary subfield in which you conduct research? Comparative Politics (1) International Relations (2) Political Theory (3) Political Methodology (4) American Politics (5) Public Law (6) Public Policy and Administration (7) Other (please specify) (8)
rank_ethics	Please rank the following concerns based on how much weight you give them when evaluating research. Concerns that you assign greater weight to when you are evaluating research should be at the top. - Research ethics
rank_writingquality	Please rank the following concerns based on how much weight you give them when evaluating research. Concerns that you assign greater weight to when you are evaluating research should be at the top. - Quality of writing
rank_designquality	Please rank the following concerns based on how much weight you give them when evaluating research. Concerns that you assign greater weight to when you are evaluating research should be at the top. - Quality of research design
rank_analysisquality	Please rank the following concerns based on how much weight you give them when

	evaluating research. Concerns that you assign greater weight to when you are evaluating research should be at the top. - Quality of empirical analysis
rank_theory	Please rank the following concerns based on how much weight you give them when evaluating research. Concerns that you assign greater weight to when you are evaluating research should be at the top. - Theoretical contribution
rank_results	Please rank the following concerns based on how much weight you give them when evaluating research. Concerns that you assign greater weight to when you are evaluating research should be at the top. - Empirical contribution
harm_subjects_[study type]	Please rank the following types of research studies based on the extent to which they potentially create harmful outcomes for research participants/subjects. Studies that are more likely to create harmful outcomes for research participants/subjects should be at the top. _interviews = Interview research _fieldexp = Field experiments _audits = Audit/correspondence studies _surveyexp = Survey experiments _ethnog = Ethnography _observ = Observational research _labexp = Lab experiments
harm_society_[study type]	Please rank the following types of research studies based on the extent to which they potentially create harmful outcomes for society. Studies that are more likely to create harmful outcomes for society should be at the top _interviews = Interview research _fieldexp = Field experiments _audits = Audit/correspondence studies _surveyexp = Survey experiments _ethnog = Ethnography _observ = Observational research _labexp = Lab experiments

harm_science_[study type]	Please rank the following types of research studies based on the extent to which they potentially create harmful outcomes for the scientific community. Studies that are more likely to create harmful outcomes for the scientific community should be at the top _interviews = Interview research _fieldexp = Field experiments _audits = Audit/correspondence studies _surveyexp = Survey experiments _ethnog = Ethnography _observ = Observational research _labexp = Lab experiments
task1choice	Conjoint task 1 outcome: Which profile selected
task2choice	Conjoint task 2 outcome: Which profile selected
task3choice	Conjoint task 3 outcome: Which profile selected
task4choice	Conjoint task 4 outcome: Which profile selected
task5choice	Conjoint task 5 outcome: Which profile selected
F-task#-attribute# series	task# range [1-5] and attribute# [1-7]. These variables take the name F-1-1, F-1-2, etc. which correspond to conjoint task number for each respondent (1-5) and the study attribute (1-7). The value for each variable indicates the attribute. Values: (1) author affiliation, (2) author rank, (3) publication outlet, (4) conclusions of the study, (5) methodology, (6) study location, and (7) sample size.
F-task#-profile#-attribute# series	task# range [1-5], profile# [1-2], and attribute# [1-7]. These variables take the name F-1-1-1, F-1-1-2, etc. which correspond to conjoint task number for each respondent (1-5), the profile number for each task (1-2), and the study attribute (1-7).