69TH CONGRESS
1st Session

SENATE

DOCUMENT
No. 101

ARTICLES OF IMPEACHMENT PRESENTED AGAINST

GEORGE W. ENGLISH

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

WASHINGTON
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
1926

AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES,
April 19 (calendar day, April 23), 1926.

Ordered, That the articles of impeachment presented against George W. English, district judge of the United States for the eastern district of Illinois, be printed for the use of the Senate.

Attest:

EDWIN P. THAYER,
Secretary.

ARTICLES OF IMPEACHMENT AGAINST GEORGE W. ENGLISH

[House Resolution 195, Sixty-ninth Congress, first session]

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
SIXTY-NINTH CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
April 1, 1926.

RESOLUTION

Resolved, That George W. English, United States district judge for the eastern district of Illinois, be impeached of misdemeanors in office; and that the evidence heretofore taken by the special committee of the House of Representatives under House Joint Resolution 347, sustains five articles of impeachment, which are hereinafter set out; and that said articles be, and they are hereby, adopted by the House of Representatives, and that the same shall be exhibited to the Senate in the following words and figures, to wit:

ARTICLES OF IMPEACHMENT OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IN THE NAME OF THEMSELVES AND OF ALL OF THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AGAINST GEORGE W. ENGLISH, WHO WAS APPOINTED, DULY QUALIFIED, AND COMMISSIONED TO SERVE DURING GOOD BEHAVIOR IN OFFICE, AS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, ON MAY 3, 1918

ARTICLE I

That the said George W. English, having been nominated by the President of the United States, confirmed by the Senate of the United States, duly qualified and commissioned, and while acting as the district judge for the eastern district of Illinois, did on divers and various occasions so abuse the powers of his high office that he is hereby charged with tyranny and oppression, whereby he has brought the administration of justice in said district in the court of which he is judge into disrepute and by his tyrannous and oppressive course of conduct is guilty of misbehavior falling under the constitutional provision as ground for impeachment and removal from office.

In that the said George W. English, on the 20th day of May, 1922, at a session of court held before him as judge aforesaid, did willfully, tyrannically, oppressively, and unlawfully suspend and disbar one Thomas M. Webb, of East St. Louis, a member of the bar of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Illinois, without charges having been preferred against him, without any

prior notice to him, and without permitting him, the said Thomas M. Webb, to be heard in his own defense, and without due process of law; and also,

In that the said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, on the 15th day of August, 1922, in a court then and there holden by him, the said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, did willfully, tyrannically, oppressively, and unlawfully suspend and disbar one Charles A. Karch, of East St. Louis, a member of the bar of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Illinois, without charges having been preferred against him, without any prior notice to him, and without permitting him, the said Charles A. Karch, to be heard in his own defense, and without due process of law; and also in that the said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, restored the said Karch to membership of the bar in said district, but willfully, tyrannically, oppressively, and unlawfully deprived the said Charles A. Karch of the right to practice in said court or try any case before him, the said George W. English, while sitting or holding court in said eastern district of Illinois; and also,

In that the said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, on the 1st day of August, 1922, unlawfully and deceitfully issued a summons from the said district court of the United States, and had the same served by the marshal of said district, summoning the State sheriffs and State attorneys then and there in the said eastern district of Illinois, being duly elected and qualified officials of the sovereign State of Illinois, and the mayor of the city of Wamac, also a duly elected and qualified municipal officer of said State of Illinois, residing in said district, to appear before him in an imaginary case of "the United States against one Gourley and one Daggett," when in truth and fact no such case was then and there pending in said court, and in placing the said State officials and mayor of Wamac in the jury box and when they came into court in answer to said summons then and there in a loud, angry voice, using improper, profane, and indecent language, denounced said officials without any lawful or just cause or reason, and without naming any act of misconduct or offense committed by the said officials and without permitting said officials or any of them to be heard, and without having any lawful authority or control over said officials, and then and there did unlawfully, improperly, oppressively, and tyrannically threaten to remove said State officials from their said offices, and when addressing them used obscene and profane language, and thereupon then and there dismissed said officials from his said court and denied them any explanation or hearing; and also,

In that the said George W. English, judge aforesaid, on the 8th day of May, 1922, in the trial of the case of the United States *v.* Hall, then and there pending before said George W. English, as judge, the said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, from the bench and in open court, did willfully, unlawfully, tyrannically, and oppressively, and intending thereby to coerce the minds of the jurymen in the said court in the performance of their duty as jurors, stated in open court and in the presence of said jurors, parties and counsel in said case, that if he told them (thereby then and there meaning said jurymen) that a man was guilty and they did not find him guilty that he would send them to jail; and also,

In that the said George W. English, judge aforesaid, on the 15th day of August, 1922, willfully, unlawfully, tyrannically, and oppressively did summon Michael L. Munie, of East St. Louis, a member of the editorial staff of the East St. Louis Journal, a newspaper published in said East St. Louis, and Samuel A. O'Neal, a reporter of the St. Louis Post-Dispatch, a newspaper published at St. Louis, in the State of Missouri, and when said Munie and the said O'Neal appeared before him did willfully, unlawfully, tyrannically, and oppressively, and with angry and abusive language attempt to coerce and did threaten them as members of the press from truthfully publishing the facts in relation to the disbarment of Charles A. Karch by said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, and then and there used the power of his office tyrannically, in violation of the freedom of the press guaranteed by the Constitution, to suppress the publication of the facts about the official conduct of said George W. English, judge aforesaid, and did then and there forbid the said Munie and the said O'Neal to publish any facts whatsoever in relation to said disbarment under threats of imprisonment; and also

In that the said George W. English, judge aforesaid, on the 15th day of August, 1922, at East St. Louis, in the State of Illinois, did unlawfully summon before him one Joseph Maguire, being then and there the editor and publisher of the Carbondale Free Press, a newspaper published in Carbondale, in said eastern district of Illinois, and then and there, on the appearance before him of said Joseph Maguire in open court, did violently threaten said Joseph Maguire with imprisonment for having printed in his said paper a lawful editorial from the columns of the St. Louis Post-Dispatch, a newspaper published at St. Louis, in the State of Missouri, and in a very angry and improper manner did threaten said Maguire with imprisonment for having also printed some lawful handbills—said handbills having no allusion to said judge or to his conduct of the said court—and then and there did threaten this member of the press with imprisonment.

Wherefore the said George W. English was and is guilty of a course of conduct tyrannous and oppressive and is guilty of misbehavior in office as such judge, and was and is guilty of a misdemeanor in office.

Article II

That George W. English, judge as aforesaid, was guilty of a course of improper and unlawful conduct as said judge, filled with partiality and favoritism, resulting in the creation of a combination to control and manage in collusion with Charles B. Thomas, referee in bankruptcy, in and for the eastern district of Illinois for their own interests and profit and that of the relatives and friends of said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, and of Charles B. Thomas, referee, the bankruptcy affairs of the eastern district of Illinois.

In that said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, corruptly did appoint and continue to appoint said Charles B. Thomas, of East St. Louis, in said State of Illinois, a member of the bar of the district court of the United States in and for said district, as sole referee in bankruptcy in said district with all of the advantages and prefserment of said appointment, notwithstanding he then and there well knew that said eastern district was a great commercial district of 45 counties

nearly 300 miles long with a large volume of business in bankruptcy, and that the said volume of business would necessarily take all the time and attention of any appointee as referee in bankruptcy to perform properly the work and duties of said office, and well knew at the time of said appointments that said Charles B. Thomas was practicing in all the courts, both civil and criminal, in said eastern district of Illinois, he, the said Charles B. Thomas, through said appointment as sole referee in bankruptcy and the favors in connection therewith extended to him by said George W. English, judge aforesaid, built up a large and lucrative practice; and that notwithstanding the size of the eastern district of Illinois, the volume of bankruptcy business therein, and the large practice of said Thomas, referee aforesaid, did then and there give said referee in bankruptcy enlarged duties and authority by unlawfully changing and amending the rules of bankruptcy for said eastern district for the sole benefit of said George W. English, judge aforesaid, and the said Charles B. Thomas, sole referee aforesaid, as follows:

It is hereby further ordered that the following rule be, and the same is hereby, made and adopted as a rule of this court in bankruptcy, to be effective in all cases from and after this date, namely:

All matters of application for the appointment of a receiver, or the marshal, to take charge of the property of the bankrupt or alleged bankrupt, made after the filing of the petition, and prior to its being dismissed or to the trustee being qualified, shall be and are hereby referred to the referee in bankruptcy for his consideration and action; and the clerk will enter such order of reference as of course in each case; and the referees of this court heretofore or hereafter appointed are hereby authorized and empowered to appoint receivers, or the marshal, upon application of parties in interest, in case the referee shall find same is absolutely necessary for the preservation of the estate, to take charge of the property of the bankrupt; and to exercise all jurisdiction over and in respect to the actions and proceedings of the receiver or marshal which the court by law may exercise. After adjudication, where the referee deems it necessary for the protection of the estate, he may make such appointment on his own motion.

And it is hereby further ordered that all special rules and general orders heretofore entered or adopted be, and they are hereby, set aside and annulled in so far as they in any way conflict with the provisions of the above rule and general order.

For the purpose of transacting the business of the court of bankruptcy, it is ordered that the referee [meaning then and there said Charles B. Thomas] be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to procure and maintain suitable offices for the transaction of said business, and to suitably furnish and equip same for said purpose; that the referee be, and he is hereby, further authorized and directed to employ such clerks, stenographers, and court reporters or any other assistance which he finds and deems necessary for the proper management of said court and offices and the administration of bankrupt estates; to install telephones; to procure and keep on hand needed stationery, and generally to provide all such other and further office equipment proper to transact business of the referee; and

It is further ordered that in the event that the charges for referee's expenses authorized by any and all of the rules of this court to be charged against the estates administered before the referee do not amount to a total to pay the expenses which the referee has incurred or for which he may have paid or obligated himself to pay, the referee be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to make a charge against the bankrupt estates administered before him, in as equitable pro rata share as the nature and circumstances will permit, sufficient in amount to meet the deficit existing by reason of the referee's receipts from expenses or charges authorized by this and other rules being less than the total expenses incurred by the referee.

Said amendments of the rules of court were then and there made with the intent to favor and prefer said Charles B. Thomas and did thereby give said Charles B. Thomas the power and opportunity to appoint his friends and members of his family and the family of said

George W. English, judge aforesaid, to receiverships and to use said office of referee as aforesaid for the improper personal and financial benefit of said George W. English, judge aforesaid, and said Charles B. Thomas, referee aforesaid, and the friends and families of both.

The said Thomas, in pursuance of said unlawful combination and by authority of said rule and order aforesaid, and with the full knowledge and approval of said George W. English, judge aforesaid, did rent and furnish a large and expensive suite of rooms and offices in said East St. Louis near the said judge's chamber, in the Federal building in said East St. Louis, occupied by said George W. English, judge aforesaid, at the expense and cost of the United States and of estates in bankruptcy by virtue of said rule and order;

And the said Charles B. Thomas then and there, with the full knowledge and consent of said George W. English, judge aforesaid, did wrongfully and unlawfully create and organize a large and expensive office force supported by and paid for out of the funds and assets of estates in bankruptcy as aforesaid, and then and there did hire and provide a large number of clerks, stenographers, and secretaries, at the cost and expense of the United States and the funds and assets of the estates in bankruptcy, as aforesaid;

And the said Charles B. Thomas did then and there hire and place in said offices, with the knowledge and approval of the said George W. English, judge aforesaid, one George W. English, jr., the son of the aforesaid Judge English, at a large compensation, salary, and fees, paid out of the funds and assets of the estates in bankruptcy, in and under the charge and control of said Thomas, referee aforesaid;

And the said Charles B. Thomas, referee aforesaid, did further confer upon said George W. English, jr., appointments as trustee and receiver and appointments as attorney for trustees and receivers in estates in bankruptcy;

And said Referee Charles B. Thomas then and there, with the knowledge, consent, and assistance of the said George W. English, judge aforesaid, did hire and place in the said office and make a part of said organization one M. H. Thomas, son of said Charles B. Thomas; and one D. S. Leadbetter, son-in-law of said Charles B. Thomas; and one C. P. Widman, son-in-law of said Charles B. Thomas;

And the said Charles B. Thomas, referee aforesaid, did then and there wrongfully and unlawfully pay to all of the persons last aforesaid large salaries, fees, and commissions, and did likewise confer upon said persons, appointments as trustees, receivers, and masters in estates in bankruptcy, with the full knowledge, consent, and approval of said George W. English, judge aforesaid;

And said George W. English, judge aforesaid, in order further to carry out and make effective said improper and unlawful organization did appoint one Herman P. Frizzell, United States commissioner in and for said eastern district of Illinois, and said commissioner did occupy free of charge the said offices of Charles B. Thomas, referee aforesaid, and did receive from said Charles B. Thomas, as said referee, large and valuable fees, commissions, salaries, appointments as trustee, receiver, and master in estates in bankruptcy with the knowledge and consent of the said George W. English, judge aforesaid;

And the said George W. English, judge aforesaid, did further allow and permit the said Charles B. Thomas, referee aforesaid, to appear as attorney and counsel before said Commissioner Frizzell in divers and sundry criminal cases; and then and there, further to carry out and make effective the said unlawful and improper combination, the said George W. English, judge aforesaid, with full knowledge of the premises, did improperly and unlawfully consent and approve the appointment by the said referee, Charles B. Thomas, of one Oscar Hooker, of said East St. Louis, as chief clerk in said offices of said referee, and thereby the said Hooker did receive from said Charles B. Thomas, referee aforesaid, large and valuable fees, salaries, appointments as trustee, receiver, and master, and as attorney for trustees and receivers in bankruptcy estates;

And further the said George W. English, judge aforesaid, did improperly allow and permit said Hooker, as the agent of a bonding company, to furnish surety bonds for said George W. English, jr., the son of George W. English, judge aforesaid, and also surety bonds for said Herman P. Frizzell, said United States commissioner, and surety bonds for said M. H. Thomas, son of said Charles B. Thomas, as aforesaid, and surety bonds for D. S. Leadbetter and said C. P. Wideman, sons-in-law of said Charles B. Thomas, in all matters of trusteeships and receiverships to which they were appointed by said Charles B. Thomas, referee aforesaid—the said Oscar Hooker, George W. English, jr., D. S. Leadbetter, C. P. Wideman, and Herman P. Frizzell being then and there without property or credit;

And, then and there, further to carry out and make effective said unlawful and improper combination, the said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, with full knowledge of the premises, did improperly and unlawfully allow said Charles B. Thomas, referee as aforesaid, to organize and incorporate from his office force and employees a corporation known as the Government Sales Corporation, organized and incorporated November 27, 1922, for the object and purpose of furnishing appraisers in bankruptcy estates and auctioneers in the sale and disposal of assets of estates in bankruptcy, the said Government Sales Corporation being then and there made up and composed, organized, and formed of incorporators and directors from the families and friends of said George W. English, judge aforesaid, and said Charles B. Thomas, referee aforesaid, and from said office force of said Thomas, referee aforesaid;

The said George W. English, judge aforesaid, well knowing the facts and premises, then and there did willfully, improperly, and unlawfully take advantage of his said official position as judge aforesaid, and did aid and assist said Charles B. Thomas, referee aforesaid, in the establishment, maintenance, and operation of said unlawful and improper organization as above set forth, for the purpose of obtaining improper and unlawful personal gains and profits for the said George W. English, judge aforesaid, and his family and friends;

Wherefore, the said George W. English was and is guilty of a course of conduct as aforesaid constituting misbehavior as such judge and was and is guilty of a misdemeanor in office.

ARTICLE III

That George W. English, judge aforesaid, was guilty of misbehavior in office in that he corruptly extended partiality and favoritism in divers other matters hereinafter set forth to Charles B. Thomas, said sole referee in bankruptcy in the said eastern district of Illinois, and by his conduct and partiality as judge brought the administration of justice into discredit and disrepute, degraded the dignity of the court, and destroyed the confidence of the public in its integrity;

In that in the matter of the case of East St. Louis & Suburban Co. et al. *v.* Alton, Granite & St. Louis Traction Co., pending before George W. English, judge as aforesaid, upon the petition for appointment of receivers for said Alton, Granite & St. Louis Traction Co., the said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, did improperly and unlawfully refuse to appoint the temporary receivers suggested by counsel for the parties in interest in said case unless said Charles B. Thomas, was appointed attorney for the receivers; that by reason of the condition imposed by George W. English, judge aforesaid, the counsel for the parties in interest in said case did agree to the appointment of said Charles B. Thomas as counsel for said temporary receivers at a salary stipulated by said Charles B. Thomas of $200 a month; and thereupon the said George W. English as judge, improperly, corruptly, and unlawfully appointed said Charles B. Thomas as attorney for the temporary receivers and approved of the payment of said salary by an order entered in said case as of August 11, 1920; and that subsequently, to wit, on January 20, 1921, George W English, judge aforesaid, did issue an order making the temporary receivers permanent and that the said Charles B. Thomas, as attorney and counsel for the receivers, be paid the sum of $350 per month and that the further sum of $500 per month additional be paid to said Charles B. Thomas for his services and responsibilities in assisting the receivers in the control and management of said receivership properties, making a total salary of $850 per month, and that said salary should be retroactive from October 1, 1920; that the services of said Charles B. Thomas, both as attorney for the receivers and for assisting in the management of the receivership properties, were not required or necessary, and thereby an additional burden upon the receivership properties was imposed which said George W. English, judge, aforesaid, well knew; that this salary of $850 per month was continued to be paid to said Charles B. Thomas for a long period of time, to wit, from October 1, 1920, to January 1, 1925, making the total amount received under said order by said Charles B. Thomas $43,350; that the said appointment of said Charles B. Thomas was made by George W. English, judge aforesaid, with the intent wrongfully and unlawfully to prefer and show partiality and favoritism to said Charles B. Thomas, to whom George W. English, judge aforesaid, was under obligations, financial and otherwise; and, also,

In that in the case of Handelsman *v.* Chicago Fuel Co. pending before him, George W. English, judge as aforesaid, did improperly and unlawfully appoint said Charles B. Thomas as one of the receivers in said case and then and there did improperly order, direct, and fix the compensation and salary of said Charles B. Thomas as said re-

ceiver at the rate of $1,000 per month; and did then and there improperly and unlawfully appoint said Herman P. Frizzell, United States commissioner for said eastern district of Illinois and chief clerk in the office of said Thomas as referee in bankruptcy, to be attorney for the said receiver Charles B. Thomas, and then and there did improperly fix the salary and fees of said Frizzell as said attorney at the rate of $200 per month; that all said acts of said English as judge aforesaid were done with the unlawful and improper intent unlawfully to favor and prefer said Thomas and benefit the said organization.

In that on the 15th day of August, 1924, at a session of court then holden by George W. English, judge as aforesaid, in the matter of Gideon N. Heuffman et al. *v.* Hawkins Mortgage Co., in bankruptcy, did improperly and unlawfully allow and permit said Charles B. Thomas, referee as aforesaid, to appear and conduct said case as attorney and counsellor at law in behalf of Morton S. Hawkins, one of the bankrupts in said case, in violation of the statute of the United States that forbids a referee to practice as an attorney or counsellor at law in any bankruptcy proceedings, and afterwards, to wit, on the 27th day of August, 1924, George W. English, judge as aforesaid, did again improperly and unlawfully allow and permit said Charles B. Thomas, referee as aforesaid, to appear before him and practice as an attorney in behalf of said bankrupt, Morton S. Hawkins; that said unlawful acts were willfully permitted in order to favor said Charles B. Thomas in obtaining from said Morton S. Hawkins, a fee for his services of $2,500, which was then and there paid to said Charles B. Thomas by said Morton S. Hawkins, all with the full knowledge and consent of George W. English, judge as aforesaid; and, also,

In that on the 18th day of May, 1922, after conviction by a jury of one F. J. Skye, in a case before George W. English, judge as aforesaid, involving the crime of selling and possessing intoxicating liquors, the said George W. English, as judge, did impose a sentence upon said F. J. Skye of imprisonment in jail for four months and the payment of a fine of $500; that on the trial the said F. J. Skye was represented by one Charles A. Karch; that after such conviction and sentence said Charles A. Karch took an appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit in behalf of his client and filed an appeal bond in due course; that subsequently to the appeal said F. J. Skye discharged said Charles A. Karch as attorney and retained Charles B. Thomas, referee aforesaid; that on July 5, 1922, said F. J. Skye, by his attorney, said Charles B. Thomas, abandoned his appeal to the circuit court of appeals and filed a motion for a stay of the sentence of imprisonment, which motion, after hearing, George W. English, udge as aforesaid, did allow and did stay the sentence of imprisonment until December 31, 1922; and on June 7, 1923, George W. English, judge as aforesaid, did order said jail sentence vacated and said stay of execution and commitment to jail of said F. J. Skye made permanent, relieving said F. J. Skye from imprisonment and only obligating him to pay a fine of $500; that said F. J. Skye paid to said Charles B. Thomas $2,500 as a fee in said case, that said vacation of the jail sentence and the permanent stay of execution and commitment was granted by George W. English, judge as aforesaid, without the presence of said

Charles B. Thomas in court and without any investigation of the affidavits filed in support thereof, and was done willfully, improperly, unlawfully, and with intent to prefer and show favoritism to said Thomas, to whom said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, was under obligations, financial and otherwise; and, also,

In that in the case of Hamilton *v.* Egyptian Coal Mining Co., George W. English, judge as aforesaid, did arbitrarily and unlawfully and without notice remove from office the duly appointed receiver in said case, and with intent improperly to prefer and favor Charles B. Thomas, aforesaid, did then and there appoint the said Charles B. Thomas in place of the removed receiver; that this removal of the receiver was made on July 11, 1924, with the intent to prefer unlawfully the said Charles B. Thomas, to whom the said George W. English, judge aforesaid, was under great obligations, financial and otherwise; and, also,

In that on or about March, 1924, at a hearing before George W. English, judge aforesaid, in the case of Wallace *v.* Shedd Coal Co., George W. English, judge aforesaid, did appoint Charles B. Thomas as an attorney for the receiver (one F. D. Barnard), when in truth and in fact no attorney for said receiver was needed, and afterwards, to wit, on or about August, 1924, said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, did arbitrarily and improperly remove from office said F. B. Barnard as such receiver and then and there did improperly appoint as receiver in place of said Barnard said Charles B. Thomas; that the removal of said receiver and the appointment of said Charles B. Thomas was made with the intent to corruptly prefer said Charles B. Thomas, to whom said George W. English was under great obligations, financial and otherwise; and, also,

In that on or about the 27th day of June, 1924, at a hearing held by him, George W. English, judge as aforesaid, in the case of Ritchey et al. *v.* Southern Gem Coal Corporation, George W. English, judge as aforesaid, did then and there improperly appoint Charles B. Thomas, aforesaid, one of the receivers in said case and then and there unlawfully did order and decree that said Charles B. Thomas, as said receiver, should have as his salary the excessive and exorbitant sum of $1,000 per month; that said act of George W. English, judge aforesaid, in the appointment of said Charles B. Thomas as receiver aforesaid and in the fixing of said exorbitant salary was all done by George W. English, judge as aforesaid, with intent to prefer unlawfully said Charles B. Thomas, to whom said George W. English was under great obligations, financial and otherwise; and, also,

In that on or about the 24th day of October, 1921, at East St. Louis, in the State of Illinois, George W. English, judge as aforesaid, wrongfully, improperly, and unlawfully did accept and receive from said Charles B. Thomas, sole receiver in bankruptcy aforesaid, the sum of $1,435 which was applied toward the purchase price of an automobile that had been purchased by George W. English, judge as aforesaid; that said sum of money was improperly and unlawfully accepted and received by the said George W. English from the said Charles B. Thomas as a return or in recognition of the favoritism and partiality extended by George W. English, judge as aforesaid, to Charles B. Thomas, aforesaid; and, also,

In that George W. English, judge as aforesaid, at a term of court held by said judge for the eastern district of Illinois in the case of

the Southern Gem Coal Corporation in receivership, did receive and approve the report of Charles B. Thomas, as one of the receivers in said case, for the first six months of said receivership; that in said report to George W. English, judge as aforesaid, said Charles B. Thomas stated that he had during those six months spent all of his time in Chicago looking after the interest of said Southern Gem Coal Corporation in receivership; and then and there George W. English, judge as aforesaid, did receive and approve said report; that with full knowledge that said referee, Charles B. Thomas, was neglecting his duties as referee in bankruptcy in his office at East St. Louis in spending six months of his time 290 miles away from his office at East St. Louis, George W. English, judge as aforesaid, did then and there, despite this knowledge and these facts, approve said negligence on the part of said Charles B. Thomas and said neglect of duty without criticism or rebuke by then and there reappointing him for another term.

Wherefore the said George W. English was and is guilty of misbehavior as such judge and was and is guilty of a misdemeanor in office.

ARTICLE IV

That George W. English, while serving as judge as aforesaid, in the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Illinois, did in conjunction with Charles B. Thomas, sole referee in bankruptcy aforesaid, corruptly and improperly handle and control the deposit of bankruptcy and other funds under his control in said court, by depositing, transferring, and using said funds for the pecuniary benefit of himself and said Charles B. Thomas, sole referee in bankruptcy, thus prostituting his official power and influence for the purpose of securing benefits to himself and to his family and to the said Charles B. Thomas and his family;

In that George W. English, judge as aforesaid, on or about December, 1918, did designate the First State Bank of Coulterville, in the State of Illinois, to be the sole United States depository of bankruptcy funds within said district; that said bank was situated a great distance from East St. Louis, the office and place of business of Charles B. Thomas, said referee in bankruptcy; and that then and there one J. E. Carlton, a brother-in-law of George W. English, judge aforesaid, was a large stockholder and director and cashier of said bank; and that George W. English, judge as aforesaid, was a depositor, stockholder, and director in said bank; that said improper act of George W. English, judge as aforesaid, in designating said bank, tended to scandalize the court in the administration of its bankruptcy business; and also,

In that on or about July, 1919, George W. English, judge as aforesaid, at a hearing then had before him, in the case of Sanders *v.* Southern Traction Co., in which certain assets had been sold for the sum of $400,000, did willfully and unlawfully order and decree that of said sum of $400,000 the sum of, to wit, $100,000 should be deposited in the Merchants State Bank of Centralia, Ill., a United States depository of bankruptcy funds, said deposit to draw no interest; that said deposit was made in said bank as ordered and that George W. English, judge as aforesaid, was then and there a depositor, stockholder, and director in said bank; that said order

and deposit of funds was made for the benefit of himself, George W. English, judge as aforesaid, and for his personal gain and profit and for the benefit of his family and friends, to the great scandal of the said office of judge aforesaid, and all tending to bring the administration of justice in said court into distrust and contempt; and also

In that George W. English, judge as aforesaid, on or about October 1, 1922, and Charles B. Thomas, sole referee in bankruptcy aforesaid, did make and enter into the following improper and unlawful agreement with the officers of the Drovers National Bank of East St. Louis, to wit, that in consideration that said bank would employ one Farris English, son of said George W. English, as cashier in said bank at a salary of $1,500 per year, that George W. English, judge as aforesaid, and Charles B. Thomas, referee aforesaid, would make and designate said bank as a Government depository of bankruptcy funds without interest thereon, and that funds from estates in bankruptcy and receiverships should thereafter largely be sent to and deposited in said bank, and that George W. English, judge as aforesaid, and Charles B. Thomas, sole referee as aforesaid, and said Farris English would become depositors in said bank and then and there would purchase shares of stock therein as follows:

George W. English, judge as aforesaid, 10 shares; said Farris English, 10 shares; and said Charles B. Thomas, 50 shares, at $80 per share; that in pursuance of said agreement said Farris English was hired as cashier at said salary of $1,500 per year and entered upon this employment; that George W. English, judge as aforesaid, in pursuance of said agreement, did designate said bank to be a Government depository of bankruptcy funds, and said George W. English and said Farris English and said Charles B. Thomas, in pursuance of said agreement, did become depositors in said bank, and the said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, the said Charles B. Thomas, referee as aforesaid, did make 17 transfers of bankruptcy funds from the Union Trust Co. of East St. Louis and cause the same to be deposited in said Drovers National Bank without interest to the aggregate amount of $100,000, and then and there George W. English, judge as aforesaid, did receive and pay for his said 10 shares of stock and also for the stock of his son, said Farris English; that the said improper acts were done and performed by George W. English, judge as aforesaid, with the wrongful and unlawful intent to use the influence of his said office as judge for the personal gain and profit of himself, said George W. English, and for the unlawful and improper and personal gain of the family and friends of the said George W. English; and, also,

In that George W. English, judge as aforesaid, on or about the 1st day of April, 1924, with the knowledge and consent of Charles B. Thomas, referee in bankruptcy aforesaid, did make and enter into the following improper and unlawful agreement with said Union Trust Co., a Government depository of bankruptcy funds, to wit, that if said Union Trust Co. would then and there employ one Farris English, the son of George W. English, judge aforesaid, at a salary of $200 per month, he, said George W. English, judge aforesaid, with said Charles B. Thomas, would become depositors in said Union Trust Co., and that he, the said George W. English, and said Charles B. Thomas would cause to be removed from the Drovers National Bank of East St. Louis the bankruptcy funds deposited there and would deposit

the same in said Union Trust Co. and that said Union Trust Co. should pay to said Farris English, in addition to his said salary of $200 per month, interest on said bankruptcy funds from time to time on deposit in said Union Trust Co. at the rate of 3 per cent on monthly balances, and for this consideration George W. English, judge as aforesaid, further did agree with said Union Trust Co. that while said agreement continued said funds should not be withdrawn and deposited in any other Government depository, and thereupon said Farris English was employed by said Union Trust Co. under said agreement and remained in the services of said company for 14 months and drew out of said company during this said period, in addition to his salary of $200 per month, the sum of $2,700 as interest on bankruptcy funds; that the bankruptcy funds were withdrawn from said Drovers National Bank and deposited in the said Union Trust Co. under said agreement; that George W. English, judge as aforesaid, and Charles B. Thomas, referee in bankruptcy aforesaid, did then and there become depositors in said Union Trust Co., the said George W. English did then and there use his influence as judge for the unlawful and improper personal gain and profit to himself, family, and friends; and, also,

In that, George W. English, judge as aforesaid, did improperly designate the Merchants State Bank of Centralia, Ill., to be a Government depository of bankruptcy funds, in which bank he, the said George W. English, and he, the said Charles B. Thomas, were then and there depositors and stockholders, and George W. English was then and there a director; and, also,

In that George W. English, judge as aforesaid, on divers days and times prior to the 7th day of April, 1925, and while George W. English, judge as aforesaid, and Charles B. Thomas, referee in bankruptcy aforesaid, were each depositors and stockholders and George W. English, a director of said Merchants State Bank of Centralia Ill., and while said bank was a Government depository of bankruptcy funds, did borrow from said bank without security, at a rate of interest below the customary rate, sums of money from time to time amounting in the aggregate to $17,200, and that during said time prior to the 7th day of April, 1925, Charles B. Thomas, said referee in bankruptcy did borrow from said bank without security and at a rate of interest below the customary rate, sums of money to the total of $20,000; that said sums were loaned and said loans were renewed from time to time, and carried by said bank to the said George W. English and said Charles B. Thomas, by reason of the use of the official influence of George W. English, judge as aforesaid, and Charles B. Thomas, sole referee in bankruptcy aforesaid, and by reason of said bank having been made and continued as a United States depository for bankruptcy and other funds without interest; that said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, and Charles B. Thomas, sole referee in bankruptcy aforesaid, acting in concert with officers and directors of said Merchants State Bank of Centralia, Ill., did borrow with said directors sums of money in the total equal to all of the surplus, assets, and capital of said bank and at a low rate of interest and without security.

Wherefore the said George W. English was and is guilty of a course of conduct constituting misbehavior as such judge and that said George W. English was and is guilty of a misdemeanor in office.

ARTICLE V

That George W. English, on the 3d day of May, 1918, was duly appointed United States district judge for the eastern district of Illinois, and has held such office to the present day.

That during the time in which said George W. English has acted as such United States district judge, he, the said George W. English, at divers times and places, has repeatedly, in his judicial capacity, treated members of the bar, in a manner coarse, indecent, arbitrary, and tyrannical, and has so conducted himself in court and from the bench as to oppress and hinder members of the bar in the faithful discharge of their sworn duties to their clients, and to deprive such clients of their right to appear and be protected in their liberty and property by counsel, and in the above and other ways has conducted himself in a manner unbecoming the high position which he holds and thereby did bring the administration of justice in his said court into contempt and disgrace, to the great scandal and reproach of the said court.

That said George W. English, as judge aforesaid, during his said term of office, at divers times and places, while acting as such judge, did disregard the authority of the laws, and, wickedly meaning and intending so to do, did refuse to allow parties lawfully in said court the benefit of trial by jury, contrary to his said trust and duty as judge of said district court, against the laws of the United States, and in violation of the solemn oath which he had taken to administer equal and impartial justice.

That the said George W. English, as judge aforesaid, during his said term of office, at divers times and places, when acting as such judge, did so conduct himself in his said court, in making decisions and orders in actions pending in his said court and before him as said judge, as to excite fear and distrust and to inspire a widespread belief, in and beyond said eastern district of Illinois that causes were not decided in said court according to their merits but were decided with partiality and with prejudice and favoritism to certain individuals, particularly to one Charles B. Thomas, referee in bankruptcy for said eastern district.

That the said George W. English, as judge aforesaid, during his said term of office, at divers times and places, while acting as said judge, did improperly and unlawfully, with intent to favor and prefer Charles B. Thomas, his referee in bankruptcy for said eastern district, and to make for said Thomas large and improper gains and profits, continually and habitually prefer said Thomas in his appointments, rulings, and decrees.

That said George W. English, as judge aforesaid, during his said term of office, at divers times and places while acting as said judge, from the bench and in open court, did interfere with and usurp the authority and power and privileges of the sovereign State of Illinois, and usurp the rights and powers of said State over its State officials, and set at naught the constitutional rights of said sovereign State of Illinois, to the great prejudice and scandal of the cause of justice and of his said court and the rights of the people to have and receive due process of law.

That said George W. English, as judge aforesaid, during his said term of office, at divers times and places, did, while acting as said

judge, unlawfully and improperly attempt to secure the approval, cooperation, and assistance of his associate upon the bench in said eastern district of Illinois, Judge Walter C. Lindley, by suggesting to said Walter C. Lindley, judge as aforesaid, that he appoint George W. English, jr., son of said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, to receiverships and other appointments in the said district court for said eastern district of Illinois, in consideration that said George W. English, judge as aforesaid, would appoint to like positions in his said court a cousin of said Judge Walter C. Lindley, and thereby unlawfully and improperly avoid the law in such case made and provided; all to the disgrace and prejudice of the administration of justice in the court of George W. English, judge as aforesaid.

That said George W. English, as judge aforesaid, during his said term of office, at divers times and places, did, while serving as said judge, seek from a large railroad corporation, to wit, the Missouri Pacific Railroad Co., which had large trackage, in said eastern district of Illinois, the appointment of his son, George W. English, jr., as attorney for said railroad.

All to the scandal and disrepute of said court and the administration of justice therein.

Wherefore, the said George W. English was and is guilty of misbehavior as such judge and of a misdemeanor in office.

NICHOLAS LONGWORTH,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Attest:

WM. TYLER PAGE, *Clerk.*

(Seal of the House of Representatives, United States.)

O