U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW
IMMIGRATION COURT

(b) (6)

In the Matter of: (b) (6)

Case No.: (b) (6)

IN DEPORTATION PROCEEDINGS

(b) (6)

ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

It is HEREBY ORDERED that the case be administratively closed for the following reason:

(X) Upon joint request by both parties.

( ) Neither the respondent nor any representative on the respondent's behalf appeared for the hearing and the Department of Homeland Security expressed no opposition.

( ) Other: _____

_____

_____

This case remains under the jurisdiction and docket control of the immigration court. If either party in this case desires further action on this matter, at any time hereafter, a written motion to recalendar the case (including a certificate of service on the opposing party) must be filed with the Office of the Immigration Court having administrative control over the Record of Proceeding in this case.

_____
MIMI TSANKOV
Immigration Judge
Date: Dec 11, 2014

Appeal: NO APPEAL (A/I/B)
Appeal Due By: Jan 12, 2015

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

THIS DOCUMENT WAS SERVED BY: MAIL (M) PERSONAL SERVICE (P)
TO: [ ] ALIEN [ ] ALIEN c/o Custodial Officer [X] Alien's ATT/REP [ ] DHS
DATE: 12/11/14 BY: COURT STAFF _____
Attachments: [ ] EOIR-33 [ ] EOIR-28 [X] Legal Services List [ ] Other

Form EOIR 39 - 8T (Admin Close)

File: (b) (6) Date: APR - 3 2014

In re: (b) (6)

IN DEPORTATION PROCEEDINGS

APPEAL AND MOTION

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Pro se

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Michelle Smith
Senior Attorney

This case is before the Board pursuant to an (b) (6) order of the United States Court of Appeals for the (b) (6) The (b) (6) remanded the case for the Board to consider the respondent's eligibility for a waiver of inadmissibility under former section 212(c) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(c) (repealed 1996), in light of *Judulang v. Holder*, 132 S. Ct. 476 (2011). *See* (b) (6) *v. Holder*, (b) (6) (b) (6) Following remand, the Department of Homeland Security has filed a motion to remand to which the respondent has not responded. The record will be remanded to the Immigration Judge for further proceedings and the entry of a new decision.

On remand, the parties should have the opportunity to update the record, and to provide any additional evidence, both testimonial and documentary, regarding the respondent's deportability, his application for relief under section 212(c) of the Act, or any other form of relief for which the respondent may be eligible.

Accordingly, the following order will be entered:

ORDER: The record is remanded to the Immigration Judge for further proceedings consistent with the foregoing opinion and the entry of a new decision.

FOR THE BOARD