IMMIGRATION COURT

(b) (6)

In the Matter of

(b) (6)

Respondent

Case No.: (b) (6)

IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS

ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

This is a summary of the oral decision entered on April 29, 2011. This memorandum is solely for the convenience of the parties. If the proceedings should be appealed or reopened, the oral decision will become the official opinion in the case.

[ ] The respondent was ordered removed from the United States to or in the alternative to .

[ ] Respondent's application for voluntary departure was denied and respondent was ordered removed to or in the alternative to .

[ ] Respondent's application for voluntary departure was granted until upon posting a bond in the amount of $ _____ with an alternate order of removal to .

Respondent's application for:

[ ] Asylum was ( )granted ( )denied ( )withdrawn.

[ ] Withholding of removal was ( )granted ( )denied ( )withdrawn.

[ ] A Waiver under Section _____ was ( )granted ( )denied ( )withdrawn.

[ ] Cancellation of removal under section 240A(a) was ( )granted ( )denied ( )withdrawn.

Respondent's application for:

[ ] Cancellation under section 240A(b)(1) was ( ) granted ( ) denied ( ) withdrawn. If granted, it is ordered that the respondent be issued all appropriate documents necessary to give effect to this order.

[ ] Cancellation under section 240A(b) (2) was ( )granted ( )denied ( )withdrawn. If granted it is ordered that the respondent be issued all appropriated documents necessary to give effect to this order.

[ ] Adjustment of Status under Section ____ was ( )granted ( )denied ( )withdrawn. If granted it is ordered that the respondent be issued all appropriated documents necessary to give effect to this order.

[X] Respondent's application of ( ) withholding of removal ( ) deferral of removal under Article III of the Convention Against Torture was ( ) granted ( ) denied ( ) withdrawn.

[ ] Respondent's status was rescinded under section 246.

[ ] Respondent is admitted to the United States as a _____ until _____.

[ ] As a condition of admission, respondent is to post a $ _____ bond.

[ ] Respondent knowingly filed a frivolous asylum application after proper notice.

[ ] Respondent was advised of the limitation on discretionary relief for failure to appear as ordered in the Immigration Judge's oral decision.

[ ] Proceedings were terminated.

[ ] Other:

Date: May 04, 2011

CHARLES M. HONEYMAN
Immigration Judge

Appeal: Waived/Reserved Appeal Due By:

File: (b) (6)

Date: MAR - 7 2011

In re: (b) (6)

IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS

APPEAL

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Theodore N. Cox, Esquire

APPLICATION: Asylum

This case is before the Board pursuant to an (b) (6) order of the United States Court of Appeals for the (b) (6). In view of the court's decision, we find the respondent is eligible for asylum, and find no basis for denying that relief in the exercise of discretion. *See generally Matter of Kasinga*, 21 I&N Dec. 357 (BIA 1996).

Accordingly, the following orders shall be entered:

ORDER: The Board's March 13, 2009, decision is vacated.

FURTHER ORDER: Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 1003.1(d)(6), the record is remanded to the Immigration Judge for the purpose of allowing the Department of Homeland Security the opportunity to complete or update identity, law enforcement, or security investigations or examinations, and further proceedings, if necessary, and for the entry of an order as provided by 8 C.F.R. § 1003.47(h).

FOR THE BOARD