gesis Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften

German Longitudinal Election Study

GLES

Durchgeführt von der
Deutschen Gesellschaft für Wahlforschung und GESIS

GLES-Panel

Wave 22 (Pre-Release)

ZA7728

Documentation of Questionnaire (Preliminary Version)

The German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) is the central scientific survey programme in Germany for the continuous collection and provision of high-quality data for national and international election research. The methodologically diverse surveys of GLES make it possible to investigate the political attitudes and behaviors of voters and candidates. GLES has been carried out in close cooperation between the German Society for Electoral Studies (DGfW) and GESIS - Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences. More information are available here: www.gles.eu.

The GLES Panel allows users to study intra-individual change in political attitudes and behaviours during the election campaign, as well as investigates long-term change between federal elections. It is a longitudinal survey of the same individuals of currently three different samples. Sample A, "2017 Election Campaign", consists of respondents from the Short-term Campaign Panel of the GLES 2017, In addition, individuals recruited in profile wave a2 2020 were re-surveyed for the first time in wave 15 (sample A4). Sample B, "2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section", includes those respondents from the 2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section of GLES (ZA6802) who agreed to be re-interviewed in the GLES Panel. Additionally, Sample C, "2021 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section", includes those respondents from the 2021 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section of GLES who agreed to be re-interviewed in the GLES Panel. While respondents from Sample A have been interviewed up to 20 times since the beginning of the data collection in autumn 2016, Wave 10 of the GLES Panel has been the first re-interview for respondents from Sample B, and Wave 22 will be the first re-interview for respondents from Sample C.

In the following, you will find some general information about the data set and the documentation of the questionnaire of wave 22. All panelists from Sample A, Sample B, and for the first time Sample C are solely surveyed in CAWI mode.

Please note:
The data set related to this publication is a pre-release version.
The aim of publishing this pre-release version is to make the data collected in the German Longitudinal Election Study (GLES) available to the scientific community as soon as possible.
We recommend to always work with the latest data release. Access to the data is available via the GLES homepage or directly via the search function on the GESIS web.
News on the data releases can also be found on the GLES website or via the GLES newsletter.
Due to specifics of the original documents in German and the fact that translations were not done by political scientists, the wording of established social and political science questions and constructs in these translations may occasionally deviate somewhat from the Standard English versions. If you have any questions concerning the translations, do not hesitate to contact the GLES staff via gles@gesis.org.

Study number	ZA7728
Title	GLES-Panel 2016-2022, Wave 22
Current Version	1.0.0, 2022-08-11
doi	10.4232/1.13970
Citation	GLES (2022): GLES Panel 2022, Wave 22. GESIS, Cologne. Data file version 1.0.0, doi: 10.4232/1.13970
Number of observations	19.218 individuals were invited. 12.115 interviews (partial&complete) were conducted.
Number of variables	197

Basic Information

Funding Agency	GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences
Data Collector	GESIS – Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences

Date of Collection

Wave	Sample	Date of Collection
Wave 22	A	2022-05-18 – 2022-05-31
	B & C	2022-05-18 – 2022-06-28

Content

Intention to vote und vote choice, political involvement, political attitudes, perceptions of problems, evaluations of political actors, sociodemographics, personality, corona crisis

Methodology

Geographic Coverage: Germany (DE)

Universe

[Sample A1-3]

German population with Internet access eligible to vote in the 2017 federal elections.

[Sample A4]

German population with Internet access eligible to vote in the 2021 federal elections.

[Sample B]

All people with German citizenship resident in the Federal Republic of Germany, who had a minimum age of 16 years and lived in private households at the time the recruitment survey 2017 survey was being conducted.

[Sample C]

All people with German citizenship resident in the Federal Republic of Germany, who had a minimum age of 16 years and lived in private households at the time the recruitment survey 2021 survey was being conducted.

Selection

[Sample A]

Quota sampling of the frame population of the Online-Access-Panel by age, gender and education.

[Sample B]

Respondents of the 2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section who gave their willingness to participate in the re-interviews of the GLES Panel. For the 2017 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section respondents were selected by random sampling on the basis of local population registers with an oversampling of population in East Germany.

[Sample C]

Respondents of the 2021 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section who gave their willingness to participate in the re-interviews of the GLES Panel. Fort he 2021 Pre- and Post-Election Cross-Section respondents were selected by random sampling on the basis of local population registers with an oversampling of population of East Germany.

Mode of Data Collection	[Sample A] Computer-assisted web-based interviews (CAWI) [Sample B] Recruitment with computer-assisted personal interviews (CAPI), computer-assisted web interviews (CAWI) [Sample C] Recruitment with mixed-mode data collection: computer-assisted web-based interviews (CAWI) and paper and pencil interviews (PAPI), computer-assisted web-based interviews (CAWI)

Data access

Terms of access	[Sample A] Data and documents are released for academic research and teaching: Category A. [Sample B & C] Sample B & C datasets will be made available for academic research and teaching upon signing an off-site data use agreement: Category C. If you are interested, please contact us at gles@gesis.org. Due to data protection regulations, some variables can also only be made available after signing an off-site data use agreement or can only be used on-site at the Secure Data Center (SDC) at GESIS (Cologne, Mannheim). If you are interested, please contact us at gles@gesis.org.

Notification of publications

To get an overview of the use of our data, we kindly request users of GLES-data to inform us about publications that utilize those data (biographic description, study no. of the dataset). Publications using GLES-data are listed in the official bibliography of the GLES project. In case of limited access to your publication (e.g. conference papers), we would highly appreciate if you could send us an electronic (PDF file, gles@gesis.org) or a print copy of your publication.

Contact

GESIS – Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften
Postfach 122155
68072 Mannheim
E-Mail: gles@gesis.org

Verification

Variables: kp22_2291; kp22_2290; kp22_2293s
Waves: 1-22 a1, a2 **Topic:** Verification **Items:** Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A,B,C

Filter:

Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
must answer: the following three questions are displayed on one screen; plausibility check: If no or invalid answers were given for month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are invalid. Please answer all questions".

Question kp22_2291:
In what month were you born?

- Please select
- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:
(0) Please select
(1) January
(2) February
(3) March
(4) April
(5) May
(6) June
(7) July
(8) August
(9) September
(10) October
(11) November
(12) December

(-95) not participated

Presentation:
Text field (single row) with four numerical digits; Plausibility check: Only exactly four numerical values are possible, no letters or special characters! Only entries between 1906 and 2003 are allowed. Text for plausibility check: "Please enter your year of birth in four digits".

Question kp22_2290:
Please enter the year you were born in.

Instructions:
Use four digits, e.g. 1978.

_ _ _ _

Coding:

(-95) not participated

Presentation:
Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; Plausibility check: Only one letter (allow umlauts) and no number or punctuation is possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."

Question kp22_2293s:
Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

Instructions:
If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

_

Coding:

(-95) not participated

Variables: kp22_2291_c2; kp22_2290_c2; kp22_2293s_c2
Waves: 1-22,a2 **Topic:** Verification **Items:** Month of birth; Year of birth; Location of birth
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A

Filter:
Sample A1/A2:
kp1_2291!=kp21_2291 | kp1_2290!=kp21_2290 | kp1_2293s!= kp21_2293s
Sample A3:
kpa1_2291!=kp21_2291 | kpa1_2290!=kp21_2290 | kpa1_2293s!= kp21_2293s
Sample A4:
kpa2_2291!=kp21_2291 | kpa2_2290!=kp21_2290 | kpa2_2293s!= kp21_2293s
must answer: the following three questions are displayed on one screen.
Plausibility check: If no invalid answers were given for gender, month of birth, year of birth and/or location of birth, the following request follows: "One or more answers are missing. Please answer all questions." Display instruction text in red.
Instructions (left-justified and bold letters):
"Your answers do not match your answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. Please note that you can only participate in this survey if you identify yourself correctly just as you did the first time."

Presentation:
Single, closed response list

Question kp22_2291_c2:
What month were you born in?

Instructions:
Please select
- January
- February
- March
- April
- May
- June
- July
- August
- September
- October
- November
- December

Coding:
(0) Please select
(1) January
(2) February
(3) March
(4) April
(5) May
(6) June
(7) July
(8) August
(9) September
(10) October
(11) November
(12) December

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable

Presentation:
Text field (single row) with two numerical digits

Question kp22_2290_c2:
Please enter the year you were born in.

_ _ _ _

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable

Presentation:
Text field (single row); Field for answer with one character; plausibility check: Only one letter and no numbers are possible; Text for plausibility check: "Please enter the first letter of your location of birth."

Question kp22_2293s_c2:
Please enter the first letter of your location of birth.

Instructions:
If you are not sure, enter the location of birth just as it is written on the front page of your ID card.

_

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable

[NOTE: Because the content of the verification variables has to be identical with the answer from the first wave of the respondent, the displayed variables are not included in the dataset but may be acquired on request.]

Variable: kp22_4280		
Waves: 1-22	**Topic:** Verification	**Item:** Reason for false verification code
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A	

Filter:
Sample A1/A2:
(kp22_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kp1_2291!=kp22_2291_c2 | (kp1_2290!=kp22_2290_c2) | (kp1_2293s!=kp22_2293s_c2)
Sample A3:
(kp22_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kpa1_2291!=kp22_2291_c2 | (kpa1_2290!=kp22_2290_c2) | (kpa1_2293s!=kp22_2293s_c2)
Sample A4:
(kp22_2293s_c2!=-97) & (kpa2_2291!=kp22_2291_c2 | (kpa2_2290!=kp22_2290_c2) | (kpa2_2293s!=kp22_2293s_c2)
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
The answers concerning your person do not match the answers from the first survey of the GLES-study. There are several possible reasons. Please select the reason that you think is most likely.

- I did not participate in the first part of the GLES-study.
- I may have made a typing error.
- I am sure that I gave the same answers as I did in the first part of the GLES-study.

Forwarding: Closing screen >> survey completed

Coding:
(1) not participated
(2) typing error
(3) same answer

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable

[NOTE: These verification variables are not included in the dataset but may be acquired on request.]

Variable: kp22_2601
Waves: 1,[2],a1,9-14,a2,20,22 **Topic:** Soziodemographie **Item:** Bundesland
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
must answer, single response list (vertical); plausibility check: If no answer was given then the following text will be shown: "Answering this question is very important for the rest of the survey. Please state the federal state you live in."
Question:
And in which federal state do you live?
Instructions:
If you have several places of residence, please indicate the federal state in which your principal place of residence is located.

- Baden-Württemberg
- Bavaria
- Berlin
- Brandenburg
- Bremen
- Hamburg
- Hesse
- Mecklenburg-Vorpommen
- Lower Saxony
- North Rhine-Westphalia
- Rhineland-Palatinate
- Saarland
- Saxony
- Saxony-Anhalt
- Schleswig-Holstein
- Thuringia

Coding:
(1) Schleswig-Holstein
(2) Hamburg
(3) Lower Saxony
(4) Bremen
(5) North Rhine-Westphalia
(6) Hesse
(7) Rhineland-Palatinate
(8) Baden-Württemberg
(9) Bavaria
(10) Saarland
(11) Berlin
(12) Brandenburg
(13) Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
(14) Saxony
(15) Saxony-Anhalt
(16) Thuringia

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Einstellungen zu Politik allgemein

Variable: kp22_010		
Waves: 1-22, a1, a2	**Topic:** Einstellungen zu Politik allgemein	**Item:** Politisches Interesse, allgemein
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
Quite generally, how interested are you in politics?

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:
(1) very interested
(2) somewhat interested
(3) in between
(4) not very interested
(5) not at all interested

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_011a-c		
Waves: 10-16,19-22	**Topic:** Wissen und Involvierung	**Item:** Politisches Interesse, Wahlebenen
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter:
Presentation:
Matrix; if no federal state was indicated in the previous waves, just show "state level" at item B
Question:
And how interested are you in politics at federal, state, and European level?

(A) Federal level
(B) State level: *`display federal state from kp22_2601‘*
(C) European level

- very interested
- somewhat interested
- in between
- not very interested
- not interested at all

Coding:
(1) very interested
(2) somewhat interested
(3) in between
(4) not very interested
(5) not at all interested

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_170
Waves: 1-7,9-19, 21,22, a1, a2 **Topic:** Voting decision **Item:** Intention to vote
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A, B, C

Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Intro:
When elections are held a lot of people vote, others do not manage to vote or do not participate in elections for other reasons.
Question:
If there was a federal election next Sunday, how likely is it that you will go to the polls?

Are you…

- certain to vote
- likely to vote
- might vote
- not likely to vote
- certain not to vote

Coding:
(1) certain to vote
(2) likely to vote
(3) might vote
(4) not likely to vote
(5) certain not to vote

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_190a, b
Waves: 1-7,9-19, 21,22, a1, a2 **Topic:** Wahlentscheidung **Item:** Vote intention: first/second vote
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter: kp22_170=1-3
Presentation:
Display sample ballot paper with first and second vote; down below "don't know"-category for first and second vote
Intro:
You have two votes in the federal election. The first vote is for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote is for a party.
Question:
How would you mark your ballot?

(A) First vote:
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- Other party

- Don’t know yet

(B) Second vote:
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- CDU/CSU (Christlich Demokratische Union/Christlich-Soziale Union)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- Other party

- Don’t know yet (in wave 9: Don‘t know)

Coding:
(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party

(-98) don’t know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp22_192a,b were recoded into the variables kp22_190aa/kp22_190ab and kp22_190ba/kp22_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_192a
Waves: 1-7,9-19,21,22, a1, a2 **Topic:** Voting decision **Item:** Vote intention: first vote, other party
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter: kp22_190a=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Fragetext :
And which candidate would you vote for with your FIRST vote?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_190a were recoded into the variables kp22_190aa and kp22_190ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_192b
Waves: 1-7,9-19, 21,22, a1, a2 **Topic:** Voting decision **Item:** Vote intention: second vote, other party
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C
Filter: kp22_190b=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Fragetext :
And which party would you vote for with your SECOND vote?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_190b were recoded into the variables kp22_190ba and kp22_190bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Valenzissues

Variable: kp22_840s
Waves: 1-22,a1,a2 **Topic:** Valence issues **Item:** Most important problem
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"
Intro:
You will now be asked about the two most important problems.
Question:
In your opinion, what is the most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:
Please mention *only one* problem.

_____

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_850
Waves: 1-22,a1,a2 **Topic:** Valence issues **Item:** Ability to solve the most important problem
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A, B, C

Filter: kp22_840s!=-99
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:
(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party

(809) all parties equally good
(808) no party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_851 were recoded into the variables kp22_850a and kp22_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_851		
Waves: 1-22,a1,a2	**Topic:** Valence issues	**Item:** Ability to solve the most important problem, other party
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A, B, C	

Filter: kp22_850=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Fragetext:
And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_850 were recoded into the variables kp22_850a and kp22_850b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_860s		
Waves: 1-22,a1,a2	**Topic:** Valence issues	**Item:** Second most important problem
Mode: CAWI	**Sample:** A, B, C	

Filter: kp22_840s != -99
Presentation:
Text field (two rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limited number of characters; italicize "only one"
Question:
In your opinion, what is the second most important problem facing Germany today?

Instructions:
Please mention *only one* problem.

_____

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_870
Waves: 1-22,a1,a2 **Topic:** Valence issues **Item:** Ability to solve the second most important problem

Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A, B, C

Filter: kp22_860s != -97 & kp22_860s != -99
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which party is best able to handle this problem?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- all parties equally good
- no party

Coding:
(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party

(809) all parties equally good
(808) no party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_871 were recoded into the variables kp22_870a and kp22_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_871
Waves: 1-22,a1,a2 **Topic:** Valence issues **Item:** Ability to solve the second most important problem, other party
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A, B, C

Filter: kp22_870=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which of these parties is best able to handle this problem?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_870 were recoded into the variables kp22_870a and kp22_870b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_020
Waves: 1,5,8-10,12,14,15-18,20-22, a2 **Topic:** General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Satisfaction with Democracy

Mode: CAWI/PAPI **Sample:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
On the whole, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way democracy works in Germany?

- very satisfied
- fairly satisfied
- neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- not very satisfied
- not at all satisfied

Coding:
(1) very satisfied
(2) fairly satisfied
(3) neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
(4) not very satisfied
(5) not at all satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_050c,g,j; kp22_060c
Waves: 2,a1,9,10,12,14,15,18,20-22 **Topic**: General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Principles of democracy & Extremism
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Intro:
Here are some common statements on politics and society.
Question:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(C) In general, each democratic party should have the chance to assume government responsibilities.
(G) Everybody should have the right to defend his own view even if the majority dissents on that aspect.
(kp22_060c) We should finally have the courage to have a strong national sentiment again.
(J) A democracy will not work without a political opposition.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_2880an1,bk,bl,cd,ce,v,be,ag,ah,ai
Waves: 1,3,13-22,a2 **Topic**: Political positions **Item:** Ego positions
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix

Intro:
Now we come to your assessment of political issues. You may agree more or less with the following statements.

Question Screen 1:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(AN1) The Nord Stream 2 gas pipeline from Russia to Germany should not go into operation.
(BK) Germany should rely less on cooperation with Russia and more on confrontation.
(BL) Germany should rely less on cooperation with China and more on confrontation.
(CD) Germany should immediately stop importing coal, oil and gas from Russia.
(CE) Germany should also supply heavy weapons such as tanks to Ukraine.

Question Screen2:
And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(V) All things considered, globalisation is a good thing.
(BE) To make sure that this survey is being filled out by a human, please click here on 'disagree'.
(AG) The global integration of markets should be pushed forward further.
(AH) Germany should restrict the import of goods from other countries
(AI) Foreign companies should be allowed to invest in Germany.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_650f2,o1,l1,s,e2,g2,h2,k1
Waves: 1-22,a1,a2 **Topic**: Candidates **Item:** Scalometer politicians
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:

Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero; label of endpoints -5 "I do not think much of the person at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the person"; "haven't heard of"- category is settled out a bit

Question:
Screen 1: Please state what you think of some leading politicians.
Screen 2: And what do you think of the following politicians?

Screen 1:
(F2) Annalena Baerbock
(O1) Olaf Scholz
(L1) Robert Habeck
(S) Christian Lindner

Screen 2:
(E2) Saskia Esken
(G2) Dietmar Bartsch
(H2) Alice Weidel
(K1) Markus Söder

Coding:
(1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_5020
Waves: 1,5,7-10,12,14-18,20-22,a2 **Topic:** General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Difference government
Modi: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Question:
Some people say that it makes a big difference who is in power in Berlin. Others say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. How about you?

Coding:
(1) 1 It doesn’t make any difference who is in power.
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5 It makes a big difference who is in power.

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Allgemeine Parteibewertungen

Variable: kp22_430a-f, i
Waves: 1-22, a2 **Topic**: Party ratings in general **Item:** Scalometer parties
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the party at all" and +5 "I think a great deal of the party". "haven’t heard of" settled out a bit
Question:
What do you think of the different parties in general?
Instructions:
Please use a scale from -5 to +5.

(C) SPD
(A) CDU
(B) CSU
(E) Bündnis 90/ Die Grünen
(D) FDP
(I) AfD
(F) Die Linke

Coding:
(1) -5 I do not think much of the party at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the party

(-71) haven’t heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_5021
Waves: 1,5,7-10,12,14-18,20-22,a2 **Topic:** General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Difference parties
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Question:
Thinking about the current political discussions, how large would you say the differences between the parties are?

Coding:
(1) 1 no differences at all
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5 major differences

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_060b,d,e,i,j,l
Waves: 2,a1,9,13-15,17,20-22 **Topic**: General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Extremism/Authoritarianism
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Question on screen 1:
Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not. How about you?

(E) Under certain circumstances, a dictatorship is the better form of government.
(L) It would be better if decisions were taken by unelected, independent experts rather than by politicians or the citizens.
(I) It should be made clear to troublemakers that they are not wanted in society.

Question on screen 2:
And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(J) We need strong leaders so we can live safely in society.
(B) The main business enterprises must be nationalized.
(D) The general welfare ranks much higher than the interest of groups and associations.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

The item (L) was taken from the GESIS Panel.

Variable: kp22_1490a-f,i
Waves: 2,4,7,12,14,15,19,22 **Topic:** political positions **Item:** Left-right assessment, parties
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix with numerical label; additionally, label of the endpoints "1 left" and "11 right"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit
Intro:
In politics people often talk of "left" and "right".
Question:
Where would you place the following parties on this scale?

(C) SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
(A) CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union)
(B) CSU (Christlich-Soziale Union)
(E) Bündnis90/Die Grünen
(D) FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
(I) AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
(F) Die Linke

Coding:
(1) 1 left
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 right

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_1500
Waves: 1-4,a1,6,7,10-16,19,22 **Topic:** Political positions **Item:** Left-right-assessment
Mode: CAWI **Sample:s** A, B, C

Filter:
Darstellung:
Standard-Matrix
mit numerischer Beschriftung von 1 bis 11; zudem Beschriftung: "1 links" und "11 rechts" an den Endpunkten.

Question:
Where would you place yourself?

- 1 left
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 right

- don’t know

Coding:
(1) 1 left
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7
(8) 8
(9) 9
(10) 10
(11) 11 right

(-98) don’t know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_1090		
Waves: 1-4,a1,6-8,10-15, 19,20,22,a2	**Topic:** Political positions	**Item:** Socio-economic dimension, ego
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "lower taxes, although this results in less social services" and "more social services, although this results in raising taxes"; "don't know"-category is settled out a bit
Intro:

Now, on to your opinion on various political topics.

Question:

Some people prefer lower taxes, although this results in less social services. Others prefer more social services, although this results in raising taxes.

What is your opinion on this issue?

- lower taxes, although this results in less social services
-
-
-
-
-
- more social services, although this results in raising taxes

Coding:
(1) 1 lower taxes, although this results in less social services
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 more social services, although this results in raising taxes

---
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_1130
Waves: 1-4,a1,6-8,10-15,19,20-22, a2 **Topic:** Political positions **Item:** Libertarian-authoritarian dimension, ego
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "immigration for foreigners should be easier", "immigration for foreigners should be more difficult"
Question:
Let’s turn to the issue of immigration. Should it be easier or more difficult for foreigners to immigrate?

What is your opinion on this issue?

- immigration for foreigners should be easier
-
-
-
-
-
- immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

Coding:
(1) 1 immigration for foreigners should be easier
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 immigration for foreigners should be more difficult

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_1290
Waves: 1-2,4,a1,7,8,10-20,22 **Topic:** Political positions **Item:** Climate change, ego
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; seven-point-scale without numerical label; label of endpoints: "fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic", "economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change"
Question:
Some say that the fight against climate change should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth. Others say that the economic growth should definitely take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change.

What is your opinion on this issue?

- fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
-
-
-
-
- economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change
Coding:
(1) 1 fight against climate change should take precedence, even if it impairs economic growth
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 economic growth should take precedence, even if it impairs the fight against climate change

---
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_1210
Waves: 1-2,4,a1,7-8,10,11,13-15,19,20,22,a2 **Topic:** Political positions **Item:** Integration, Ego
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture", "foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture"
Question:
There are different views on how much foreigners should assimilate in Germany. Some people think that foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture. Others think that foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture.

What is your opinion on this issue?

- foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
-
-
-
-
-
- foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

Coding:
(1) foreigners should completely assimilate to the German culture
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) foreigners should be able to live according to their own culture

---
(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_1250
Waves: 1,a1,6-8,10,11,13-15,18-20,22,a2 **Topics:** Political positions **Item:** European integration, ego
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "European unification should be pushed further", "European unification has already gone too far"; "don't know"- category is settled out a bit
Intro:
Now we come to your assessment of political issues.
Question:
Should the European unification be pushed further in order to establish a joint government soon or has the European unification already gone too far?

What is your opinion on this issue?

- European unification should be pushed further
-
-
-
-
-
- European unification has already gone too far

Coding:
(1) European unification should be pushed further
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) European unification has already gone too far

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_1590
Waves: 15-17,19,20,22 **Topic:** Political positions **Item:**Position issue: Gender equality, ego
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; 7-point scale without numerical labelling
Labels at the endpoints: "state equality measures still do not go far enough", "state equality measures already go much too far"
Question:
Now we would like to know what you think about state measures for the equality of women in society. Some think that state equality measures by no means go far enough, others think that they already go much too far today.

What is your opinion on state measures for the equality of women in society?

- State equality measures by no means go far enough.
-
-
-
-
-
- State equality measures already go much too far.

Coding:
(1) 1 State equality measures by no means go far enough.
(2) 2
(3) 3
(4) 4
(5) 5
(6) 6
(7) 7 State equality measures already go much too far.

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_1260
Waves: 9,17,21,22 **Topic**: Political positions **Item:** Globalisation, ego
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A,B,C

Filter:
Presentation:
Semantic differential; only labels at the endpoints: "loser", "winner".
Question:
Do you see yourself as a loser or a winner of globalisation?

- loser
-
-
-
-
-
- winner

- don’t know

Coding:
(1) loser
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7) winner

(-98) don’t know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_2880t,g,m,d,cf,cb,o,p,cg,l
Waves: 1,3,13-22,a2 **Topic**: Political positions **Item:** Ego positions
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard-Matrix
Intro:
Here you can find several statements with which some people agree, while others do not.
Question Screen 1:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(T) The state should save companies with many jobs from insolvency.
(G) The state should stay out of the economy.
(M) The federal government should seek to maintain a good relationship with Vladimir Putin.
(D) The state should take measures to reduce differences in income levels.
(CF) Germany should spend at least two percent of its economic output on defense each year.

Question Screen 2:
And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(CB) EU member states should engage in joint borrowing, repay them and be liable for them together.
(O) The world is so complicated that it's impossible to know how things can carry on.
(P) Today’s problems are so complex that they can no longer be solved by politics.
(CG) By and large, Germany is a better country than most other countries.
(L) Germany should provide financial support for EU member states experiencing great economic and financial difficulties.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

[Note: The item kp22_2880cg was taken from the ISSP 2013/2014]

Variable: kp22_730
Waves: 1-8,10-22,a1,a2 **Topic:** Party ratings in general **Item:** Scalometer government
Modi: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"
Question:
Are you more satisfied or less satisfied with the performance of the government of SPD, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and FDP?

Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_731d-f
Waves: 1-8,10-22,a1,a2 **Topic:** Party ratings in general **Item:** Scalometer governing parties
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"
Question:
And considering each of the governing parties separately, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with their performance? How satisfied are you with the performance of the...?

(D) SPD
(F) Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
(E) FDP

Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_2880u,w,bi,bm,ch,z,al,ao,ci,cj		
Waves: 1,3,13-22,a2	**Topic**: Political positions	**Item:** Ego positions
Modi: CAWI	**Sample:** A, B, C	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard-Matrix
Intro:
Here you can find some more statements with which some people agree, while others do not.
Question Screen 1:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(U) The power supply should be secured even by the use of nuclear power.
(W) From 2030 onwards, no new cars with petrol or diesel engines should be registered in Germany.
(BI) On all motorways, a speed limit of 130 km/h should apply.
(BM) Taxes on fossil fuels such as oil, gas and coal should be increased.
(CH) The legal possibilities for citizens to fight against the expansion of renewable energies should be limited.

Question Screen 2:
And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(Z) Germany should accept the annexation of Crimea by Russia for the time being.
(AL) The European Union should do more to protect its external borders.
(AO) The European Union should do more to harmonise living conditions between EU countries.
(CI) The EU should accept Ukraine as a member.
(CJ) No weapons of war should be supplied by Germany to wars and crisis zones.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_780
Waves: 1,3,5,6,8,10-22,a2 **Topic:** Valence issues **Item:** Personal economic situation, current
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".
Intro:
Now, let us shift attention to your economic situation.
Question:
How would you evaluate your *own* current economic situation?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:
(1) very good
(2) good
(3) neither good nor bad
(4) bad
(5) very bad

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_770
Waves: 5,6,8,10-22,a2 **Topic:** Valence issues **Item:** Responsibility for personal economic Situation
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter: kp22_780=1-5
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
To what extent do you think that the federal government’s policies are responsible for your economic situation?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all

- don’t know

Coding:
(1) a great deal
(2) a fair amount
(3) moderately
(4) not very much
(5) not at all

(-98) don’t know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_790		
Waves: a2,14-22	**Topic:** Valence issues	**Item:** Personal economic situation, future
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical), italicize "own".
Question:
What do you think your own economic situation will be a year from now?

-Improved considerably
-Improved somewhat
-Remained the same
-Deteriorated somewhat
-Deteriorated considerably

Coding:
(1) Improved considerably
(2) Improved somewhat
(3) Remained the same
(4) Deteriorated somewhat
(5) Deteriorated considerably

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_820		
Waves: 1,3,5,6,8,10-22,a2	**Topic:** Valenzissues	**Item:** General economic situation, current
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
How would you evaluate the current general economic situation in Germany?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:
(1) very good
(2) good
(3) neither good nor bad
(4) bad
(5) very bad

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_810
Waves: 5,6,8,10-22,a2 **Topic:** Valence issues **Item:** Responsibility for general economic situation
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter: kp22_820=1-5
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
To what extent do you think that the government's policies are responsible for the general economic situation in Germany?

- a great deal
- a fair amount
- moderately
- not very much
- not at all
- don't know

Coding:
(1) a great deal
(2) a fair amount
(3) moderately
(4) not very much
(5) not at all

(-98) don't know

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_830
Waves: a2, 14-22 **Topic:** Valence issues **Item:** General economic situation, future
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
What do you think the general economic situation in Germany will be a year from now?

-Improved considerably
-Improved somewhat
-Remained the same
-Deteriorated somewhat
-Deteriorated considerably

Coding:
(1) Improved considerably
(2) Improved somewhat
(3) Remained the same
(4) Deteriorated somewhat
(5) Deteriorated considerably

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_4050
Waves: 22 **Topic:** Ukraine war **Item:** Ukraine war: government
Modi: CAWI **Sample:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied".
Question:
How satisfied are you with the work of the federal government in connection with the war in Ukraine?

Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_2880bb,bc,y,bn,br,x,bz,ba,cc,ck
Waves: 1,3,13-22,a2 **Topic**: Political positions **Item:** Ego positions
Modi: CAWI **Sample:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Intro:
Here you can find some statements on politics and society with which some people agree, while others do not.
Question Screen 1:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(BB) In emergency situations, it is justified for the state to restrict civil liberties.
(BC) Health protection must take priority over promoting economic growth.
(Y) Germany's defence expenditure should be increased over the next few years.
(BN) During a pandemic, there should be a general obligation to vaccinate.
(BR) The 'debt brake' should be relaxed.

Question Screen2:
And how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

(X) Germany needs an annual upper limit ("Obergrenze") for refugees.
(BZ) Immigration to Germany should be made easier for qualified workers.
(CA) Immigration to Germany should be made easier for refugees and asylum seekers.
(CC) Naturalization of migrants should be made easier in Germany.
(CK) Germany should significantly increase development aid.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_4046		
Waves: 15-22	**Topic:** Corona Crisis	**Item:** Corona: Government
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "not at all satisfied" and +5 "completely satisfied"
Intro:
And now a few questions about the corona crisis.
Question:
How satisfied are you with the work of the federal government in combatting the corona crisis?
Coding:
(1) -5 not at all satisfied
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 completely satisfied

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This question was taken from the GLES Tracking (T48)].

Variable: kp22_4043a-d		
Waves: 13-22	**Topic**: Corona Crisis	**Item:** Infection
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter:
Presentation:
Multiple selection one below the other; Plausibility check cannot be ignored if "No" is selected with one of the other answer options: The answer "No" cannot be chosen together with other answer options. Please correct your answer."
Question:
Have you or people close to you become infected with coronavirus? Please click on all applicable answer options.

(a) No.
(b) Yes, I have become infected.
(c) Yes, people in my immediate family have become infected.
(d) Yes, people in my immediate circle of friends have become infected.

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_4044		
Waves: 13-22	**Topic**: Corona Crisis	**Item:** Financial losses
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
Did you personally suffer financial losses in the Corona crisis?

(1) No.
(2) Yes, to a small degree.
(3) Yes, to a substantial degree.
(4) yes, to an extent threatening my existence

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Strategic Voting

Variable: kp22_910a-c, e-i
Waves: 3,5-10,16-22 **Topic**: Strategic Voting **Item:** Scalometer coalitions
Modi: CAWI **Sample:** A , B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; Scale: -5 to +5 with numerical label; with zero point; Label: -5 "not desirable at all", +5 "very desirable"
Question:
Regardless of which coalition governs and how likely the following coalitions are at the moment, how desirable are these coalition governments at federal level for you personally?

(A) Coalition of CDU/CSU and SPD
(C) Coalition of SPD and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
(E) Coalition of CDU/CSU and Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
(F) Coalition of Bündnis 90/Die Grünen, SPD and FDP
(G) Coalition of CDU/CSU, Bündnis 90/Die Grünen and FDP
(H) Coalition of Bündnis 90/Die Grünen, SPD and Die Linke
(I) Coalition of CDU/CSU, SPD and FDP

Coding:
(1) -5 not desirable at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 very desirable

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_3103a-h
Waves: 5,8,9,13-15,17,20,21,a2,22 **Topic**: General attitudes towards politics **Item:** Populism
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Intro:
Here you can find some more statements on politics and society with which some people agree, while others do not.
Question:
Screen 1: Please state whether you agree or disagree with each statement.
Screen 2: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Screen 1:
(A) Politicians talk too much and take too little action.
(B) Ordinary people are of good and honest character.
(C) The people should have the final say on the most important political issues by voting on them directly in referendums.
(D) Ordinary people all pull together.

Screen 2:
(E) Differences between the elite and the people are larger than the differences among the people.
(F) The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.
(G) The politicians in Parliament need to follow the will of the people.
(H) Ordinary people share the same values and interests.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_050a,e,h,k,l;		
Waves: 1,5,8-22,a2	**Topic**: General attitudes towards politics	**Item:** Efficacy and duty to vote
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Intro:
Here you can find some more statements on politics and society with which some people agree, while others do not.
Question:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(A) Politicians care about what ordinary people think.
(E) Politicians try to get in close contact with the population.
(H) I have the confidence to take active part in a discussion about political issues.
(K) I am perfectly able to understand and assess important political questions.
(L) In a democracy, it is the duty of all citizens to vote regularly in elections.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Candidates

Variable: kp22_650x1,k2,l2
Waves: 1-22,a1,a2 **Topic**: Candidates **Item:** Scalometer politicians
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:

Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 "I do not think much of the person at all", +5 "I think a great deal of the person"; "haven't heard of"- category is settled out a bit

Question:
Here, you see some leading politicians once again. Please state what you think of these politicians.

(X1) Friedrich Merz
(K2) Lars Klingbeil
(L2) Karl Lauterbach

Coding:
(1) -5 I do not think much of the person at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a great deal of the person

(-71) haven't heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_2880cl,cm,cn
Waves: 1,3,13-22,a2 **Topic**: Political positions **Item:** Ego positions
Modi: CAWI **Sample:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Intro:

Finally, here are some opinions about politics and society that some people agree with and others disagree with.
Question:
Please state to what extent you agree or disagree with each statement.

(CL) Germany should better hold back on supporting Ukraine, that we are not also attacked.
(CM)In times of high inflation rates, the state should provide financial support to needy citizens.
(CN)The state should set price ceilings for energy and staple foods.

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Predispositions

Variable: kp22_2090
Waves: 1-22, a1, a2 **Topic:** Predispositions **Item:** Party identification
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Intro:
In Germany, many people lean towards a particular party for a long time, although they may occasionally vote for a different party.
Question:
How about you, do you in general lean towards a particular party? If so, which one?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- no party

Coding:
(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party

(808) no party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_2091 were recoded into the variables kp22_2090a and kp22_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_2091		
Waves: 1-22, a1, a2	**Topic:** Predispositions	**Item:** Party identification, other party
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter: kp22_2090=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_2090 were recoded into the variables kp22_2090a and kp22_2090b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_2100		
Waves: 1-22, a1, a2	**Topic:** Predisposition	**Item:** Party identification, strength
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter: kp22_2090>0 & <=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:
(1) very strongly
(2) fairly strongly
(3) moderately
(4) fairly weakly
(5) very weakly

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_2095		
Waves: 1-22,a1,a2	**Topic**: Predispositions	**Item:** Multiple party identification
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter: kp22_2090=1-801; do not display party named at kp22_2090 anymore.
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
Some people lean towards more than one party, while others don't. How about you? Do you lean towards another political party?

- SPD
- CDU/CSU
- CDU
- CSU
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP
- AfD
- Die Linke
- other party

- no other party

Coding:
(4) SPD
(1) CDU/CSU
(2) CDU
(3) CSU
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party

(808) no other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_2096 were recoded into the variables kp22_2095a and kp22_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_2096		
Waves: 1-22,a1,a2	**Topic:** Predispositions	**Item:** Multiple party identification, other party
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter: kp22_2095=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which one of these parties do you feel close to?

- BP (Bayernpartei)
- DiB (DEMOKRATIE IN BEWEGUNG)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- LKR (Liberal-Konservative Reformer)
- NPD (Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(126) BP
(349) DiB
(151) Die PARTEI
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(331) LKR
(206) NPD
(209) ödp
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE I: This variable and the variables kp22_2095 were recoded into the variables kp22_2095a and kp22_2095b in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_2101		
Waves: 1-22,a1,a2	**Topic:** Predispositions	**Item:** Multiple party identification, strength
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter: kp22_2095=1-801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
All in all, how strongly or weakly do you lean towards this party?

- very strongly
- fairly strongly
- moderately
- fairly weakly
- very weakly

Coding:
(1) very strongly
(2) fairly strongly
(3) moderately
(4) fairly weakly
(5) very weakly

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_2200a-e
Waves: 3,a1,9,11,16,21,22 **Topic**: Predispositions **Item:** Attachment battery
Mode: CAWI/PAPI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix
Intro:
People feel attached to Germany, Europe, their state and their community to a different degree.
Question:
How about you? How strongly do you feel attached to…?

(A) the community you live in?
(B) the state you live in?
(C) Germany?
(D) the European Union?
(E) Europe?

Coding:
(1) not attached at all
(2) less attached
(3) moderately
(4) rather attached
(5) strongly attached

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_1483a-h
Waves: 2,a1,10,21,22 **Topic**: Predispositions **Item:** Foreign policy orientations
Mode: CAWI/PAPI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; items are split on two screens; column labels according to coding labels
Intro:
Now some statements about Germany's foreign and security policy.
Question screen 1:
Please state whether you agree or disagree with the following statements.

(A) The use of military force is never justified.
(B) Germany shouldn't deal with global issues but should focus on its domestic problems.
(C) On foreign policy issues, Germany should act in harmony with the USA.
(D) In international crises, Germany and its allies should agree on a common position.

Question screen 2:
Please state whether you agree or disagree with the following statements.

(E) Germany should play a more active role in global politics.
(F) War is sometimes necessary to protect a country's interests.
(G) Germany should take care of its security primarily on its own.
(H) Germany should pursue its interests vis-à-vis the USA with more self-confidence. (*Wave: 2, a1)*

Coding:
(1) strongly disagree
(2) disagree
(3) neither agree nor disagree
(4) agree
(5) strongly agree

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_1484a-f
Waves: 21,22 **Topic**: Predispositions **Item:** Country/Politician scalometer
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale: -5 to +5 including zero with numerical label; label of endpoints: -5 " I do not think anything of it at all ", and +5 " I think a lot of it ";"don’t know"- category is settled out a bit
Question:
In general, what do you think of the following countries and politicians?
Hinweistext:
Please describe this with a scale from -5 to +5.

(A) United States of America
(B) China
(C) Russia
(D) Vladimir Putin
(E) Joe Biden
(F) Donald Trump

Coding:
(1) -5 I do not think anything of it at all
(2) -4
(3) -3
(4) -2
(5) -1
(6) 0
(7) +1
(8) +2
(9) +3
(10) +4
(11) +5 I think a lot of it

(-71) haven’t heard of [labelled in dataset as "subject unknown"]

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_1570g,h,i
Waves: 3,a1,12,16,22 **Topic**: Personality **Item:** Need for Cognitive Closure
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix on two screens; label of columns like coding labels
Intro:
Here are several statements for describing your own personality.
Question:
Please state whether the statements apply to you or not.

(G) I don’t like unpredictable situations.
(H) I prefer tasks where it’s clear what and how it has to be done.
(I) I favour things that I am used to over those that I don’t know yet and can’t predict.

Coding:
(1) does not apply at all
(2) rather does not apply
(3) neither applies nor does not apply
(4) rather applies
(5) applies completely

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_1572
Waves: 1,a1,13-15,22,a2 **Topic:** Personality **Item:** Willingness to take risks
Mode: CAWI **Samples**: A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Standard matrix; scale without numerical labels; only labels at the endpoints: "risk averse", "very willing to take risks"
Question:
How do you see yourself: Are you generally a person who is fully prepared to take risks or do you try to avoid taking risks?

Coding:
(1) risk averse
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11) very willing to take risks

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer

State elections

Variable: kp22_3185
Waves: 3,22 **Topic:** State election North Rhine-Westphalia **Item:** Turnout, NRW
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter: kp22_2601=5
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Intro:
On 15 May, the state election took place in North Rhine-Westphalia.
Question:
In the state election a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you?

- I did vote.
- I didn't vote.

- I wasn't eligible to vote.

Coding:
(1) did vote
(2) did not vote

(-86) not eligible to vote

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_3195a,b
Waves: 3,22 **Topic:** State election North Rhine-Westphalia **Item**: Voting decision, NRW
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter: kp22_3185=1
Presentation:
Display sample ballot paper for the state election in North Rhine-Westphalia with first and second vote
Intro:
You had two votes in the state election in Nordrhein-Westfalen. The first vote was for a candidate in your local constituency, the second vote was for a party.
Question:
How did you mark your ballot in this state election?

(A) First vote:
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union Deutschlands)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- Other party

- Didn't cast a first vote

(B) Second vote:
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union Deutschlands)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- Other party

- Didn’t cast a second vote

Coding:
(2) CDU
(4) SPD
(5) FDP
(6) GRÜNE
(322) AfD
(7) DIE LINKE
(801) other party

(-84) I didn't cast a first/second vote

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_3199a,b were recoded into the variables kp22_3195aa/kp22_3195ab and kp22_3195ba/kp22_3195bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_3199a
Waves: 3,22 **Topic:** State election North Rhine-Westphalia **Item**: Voting decision, NRW, first vote,other party
Mode: CAWI **Sample:** A/B

Filter: kp22_3195a=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which candidate did you vote for with your FIRST vote in the state election in North Rhine-Westphalia?

- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(209) ödp
(151) Die PARTEI
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_3195a were recoded into the variables kp22_3195aa und kp22_3195ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_3199b
Waves: 3,22 **Topic:** State election North Rhine-Westphalia **Item**: Voting decision, NRW, second vote,other party
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter: kp22_3195b=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which party did you vote for with your SECOND vote in the state election in North Rhine-Westphalia?

- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FAMILIE (Familien-Partei Deutschlands)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- ÖDP (Ökologisch-Demokratische Partei)
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- Team Todenhöfer (Team Todenhöfer – Die Gerechtigkeitspartei)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Codierung:
(372) dieBasis
(171) FAMILIE
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(209) ödp
(151) Die PARTEI
(215) PIRATEN
(373) Team Todenhöfer
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_3195b were recoded into the variables kp22_3195ba und kp22_3195bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_3186
Wave: 3,22 **Topic:** State election Schleswig-Holstein **Item:** Turnout, SH
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter: kp22_2601=1
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Intro:
On 8 May, state election took place in Schleswig-Holstein.
Question:
In the state election, a lot of people did not manage to vote or did not participate in the election for other reasons. How about you?

- I did vote.
- I did not vote.

- I wasn’t eligible to vote.

Coding:
(1) did vote
(2) did not vote

(-86) not eligible to vote

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

Variable: kp22_3196a,b		
Waves: 3,22	**Topic:** State election Schleswig-Holstein	**Item**: Voting decision, SH
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter: kp22_3186=1
Presentation:
Display sample ballot paper for the state election in Schleswig-Holstein with first and second vote
Intro:
You had two votes in the state election in Schleswig-Holstein. The first vote was for a candidate in your voting district, the second vote was for a candidate in your local constituency.
Question:
How did you mark your ballot in this state election?

(A) Erststimme:
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union Deutschlands)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- Other party

- Did not cast a first vote

(B) Zweitstimme:
- CDU (Christlich Demokratische Union Deutschlands)
- SPD (Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands)
- Bündnis 90/Die Grünen
- FDP (Freie Demokratische Partei)
- AfD (Alternative für Deutschland)
- Die Linke
- Other party

- Did not cast a second vote

Coding:
(2) CDU
(4) SPD
(6) GRÜNE
(5) FDP
(322) AfD
(7) Die Linke
(801) other party

(-84) didn't cast a first/second vote

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_3198a,b were recoded into the variables kp22_3196aa/ kp22_3196ab and kp22_3196ba/kp22_3196bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_3198a		
Waves: 3,22	**Topic:** State election Schleswig-Holstein	**Item**: Voting decision, SH, first vote, other party
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter: kp22_3196a=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which candidate did you vote for with your FIRST vote in the state election in Schleswig-Holstein?

- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- SSW (Südschleswigscher Wählerverband)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Codierung:
(372) dieBasis
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(151) Die PARTEI
(215) PIRATEN
(234) SSW
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_3196a were recoded into the variables kp22_3196aa and kp22_3196ab in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Variable: kp22_3198b		
Waves: 3,22	**Topic:** State election Schleswig-Holstein	**Item**: Voting decision, SH, second vote, other party
Mode: CAWI	**Samples:** A, B, C	

Filter: kp22_3196b=801
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)
Question:
And which party did you vote for with your SECOND vote in the state election in Schleswig-Holstein?

- dieBasis (Basisdemokratische Partei Deutschland)
- FREIE WÄHLER
- Die PARTEI (Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative)
- PIRATEN (Piratenpartei Deutschland)
- SSW (Südschleswigscher Wählerverband)
- Tierschutzpartei (PARTEI MENSCH UMWELT TIERSCHUTZ)
- Volt (Volt Deutschland)
- other party

Coding:
(372) dieBasis
(180) FREIE WAEHLER
(151) Die PARTEI
(215) PIRATEN
(234) SSW
(237) Tierschutzpartei
(364) Volt
(801) other party

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-97) not applicable
(-99) no answer

[NOTE: This variable and the variables kp22_3196b were recoded into the variables kp22_3196ba and kp22_3196bb in order to create the party versions A and B (see documentation).]

Panelpflege

Variable: kp22_33a
Waves: 10-14,20,22 **Topic:** Panel management **Item:** Entry of e-mail address
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** B, C

Filter: participation variable: e-mail address exists
Presentation: Single response list with open text field. Plausibility check E-mail address must contain "@" sign, in addition, "@" must be followed by "." after a not defined numbers of signs. Following Ignorable plausible text: "The e-mail address you entered is incorrect. Please check your entry. "Ignoreable DAC: "Your entry is very important to us. Please answer this question.".
Question:
We have sent you the link to this survey to the e-mail address you provided last time. You can change this e-mail address here or enter it again to confirm it.
Instruction:
If you have not yet received an e-mail from us, please re-enter your email address here to ensure that we have it.

Of course your e-mail address will be stored separately from your previous entries in the survey.

- My e-mail address is:_____
- I do not wish to re-enter or change my e-mail address.

Variable: kp22_33b
Waves: 10-14,20,22 **Topic:** Panel management **Item:** Entry of e-mail address
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** B, C

Filter: participation variable: e-mail address exists
Presentation: Single response list with open text field. Plausibility check E-mail address must contain "@" sign, in addition, "@" must be followed by "." after a not defined numbers of signs. Following Ignorable plausible text: "The e-mail address you entered is incorrect. Please check your entry. "Ignoreable DAC: "Your entry is very important to us. Please answer this question.".
Question:
To make it even easier for you to participate in the German Election Study in the future, we would like to ask you to provide your e-mail address. You will then receive an e-mail notification with a link that will take you directly to our survey.
Information text:
Of course your e-mail address will be stored separately from your previous entries in the survey.

- My e-mail address is:_____
- I do not have an e-mail address.
- I don't want to enter an e-mail address.

Quality of Data

Variable: kp22_4240
Waves: 1-22, a1, a2 **Topic:** Quality of Data **Item:** Rating of survey
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Single response list (vertical)

Question:
How would you rate our survey overall?

- very good
- good
- neither good nor bad
- bad
- very bad

Coding:
(1) very good
(2) good
(3) neither good nor bad
(4) bad
(5) very bad

(-93) Not asked, terminated
(-95) Not participated
(-99) No answer

Variable: kp22_4270s
Waves: 1-22, a1, a2 **Topic:** Quality of Data **Item:** Comment, open
Mode: CAWI **Samples:** A, B, C

Filter:
Presentation:
Text field (four rows) with optical 50 characters per row, no maximum limit of characters
Intro:
Finally, we would like to give you the possibility to comment on our today's survey.

Question:
Do you have comments or suggestions concerning the survey or particular questions?

_____

Coding:

(-93) not asked, terminated
(-95) not participated
(-99) no answer