Speaking Out on Global Warming: Public Attitudes toward the Papal Encyclical on Climate Change

and

Law Enforcement and Violence: The Divide between Black and White Americans

The Associated Press-NORC Center for Public Affairs Research

Public Use File Codebook

PRESENTED BY:
NORC at the
University of Chicago
55 East Monroe Street
30th Floor
Chicago, IL 60603
(312) 759-4000
(312) 759-4004

2/26/15

NORC at the UNIVERSITY of CHICAGO

Table of Contents

Study Methodology 1

About the Associated Press-NORC Center for Public Affairs Research 3

Index of Variables: *Listed in the order they appear on the file* 4

Details of Variables 13

Study Methodology

This public use file and codebook contain data for two separate surveys that were fielded concurrently. The data from these surveys were used to develop two reports:

- Speaking Out on Global Warming: Public Attitudes toward the Papal Encyclical on Climate Change
- Law Enforcement and Violence: The Divide between Black and White Americans

These two surveys were conducted by the Associated Press-NORC Center for Public Affairs Research and with funding from NORC at the University of Chicago. Data were collected using AmeriSpeak®, which is a probability-based panel designed to be representative of the U.S. household population. These surveys were part of an omnibus that included questions about several other topics not included in this report. During the initial recruitment phase of the panel, randomly selected US households are sampled with a known, non-zero probability of selection from the NORC National Sample Frame, and then contacted by US mail, email, telephone, and field interviewers (face to face).

All respondents who answered the questions for the Speaking Out on Global Warming report went on to answer the questions for the Law Enforcement and Violence report. An oversample of Black panelists was invited to complete the Law Enforcement and Violence study yielding an additional 193 respondents who answered just those questions. Separate weights are included in this file for analysis of questions pertaining to the Speaking Out on Global Warming report and for analysis of questions pertaining to the Law Enforcement and Violence report.

Interviews for these surveys were conducted between July 17 and July 19, 2015, with adults age 18 and over from the 50 states and the District of Columbia. Panel members were randomly drawn from AmeriSpeak®, and 1,223 completed the survey, 1,037 via the web and 186 via telephone.

For the Speaking Out on Global Warming report, the final stage completion rate is 28.6 percent and the weighted household panel response rate is 29 percent. The overall margin of sampling error is +/- 4.4 percentage points at the 95 percent confidence level including the design effect. The margin of sampling error may be higher for subgroups.

For the Law Enforcement and Violence report, the final stage completion rate is 28.4 percent and the weighted household panel response rate is 29 percent. The overall margin of sampling error is +/- 4.2 percentage points at the 95 percent confidence level including the design effect. The margin of sampling error may be higher for subgroups. There was also an oversample of blacks with 311 completed interviews. The completion rate for the oversample is 25.9 percent, and the overall margin of error is +/- 9.1 percentage points at the 95 percent confidence level including the design effect.

After the sample was selected and fielded, and all the study data was collected and made final, a poststratification process was used to adjust for any survey nonresponse as well as any non-coverage or under- and oversampling resulting from the study-specific sample design. Poststratification variables included age, gender, census division, race/ethnicity, and household phone status. The weighted data, which reflect the U.S. population of adults age 18 and over, were used for all analyses.

All analyses were conducted using STATA (version 14), which allows for adjustment of standard errors for complex sample designs. All differences reported between subgroups of the U.S. population are at the 95 percent level of statistical significance, meaning that there is only a 5 percent (or lower) probability that the observed differences could be attributed to chance variation in sampling. A comprehensive listing of all study questions, complete with tabulations of top-level results for each question, is available on the AP-NORC Center website: www.apnorc.org.

About the Associated Press-NORC Center for Public Affairs Research

The AP-NORC Center for Public Affairs Research taps into the power of social science research and the highest quality journalism to bring key information to people across the nation and throughout the world.

- The Associated Press is the world's essential news organization, bringing fast, unbiased news to all media platforms and formats.
- NORC at the University of Chicago is one of the oldest and most respected, objective social science research institutions in the world.

The two organizations have established the AP-NORC Center to conduct, analyze, and distribute social science research in the public interest on newsworthy topics, and to use the power of journalism to tell the stories that research reveals.

The founding principles of the AP-NORC Center include a mandate to carefully preserve and protect the scientific integrity and objectivity of NORC and the journalistic independence of The Associated Press. All work conducted by the AP-NORC Center conforms to the highest levels of scientific integrity to prevent any real or perceived bias in the research. All of the work of the AP-NORC Center is subject to review by its advisory committee to help ensure it meets these standards. The AP-NORC Center publicizes the results of all studies and makes all datasets and study documentation available to scholars and the public.

Index of Variables: *Listed in the order they appear on the file*

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
1	su_id	N/A	Num	8	SU_ID: Case ID
2	WEIGHT1	N/A	Num	8	WEIGHT1: Weight for general population sample (used for POPE analysis)
3	WEIGHT2	N/A	Num	8	WEIGHT2: Weight for general population sample and augment (used for POLICE analysis)
4	WEIGHT3	N/A	Num	8	WEIGHT3: Weight for African-American oversample (Used for POLICE analysis)
5	SURV_MODE	N/A	Num	8	SURV_MODE: Survey mode
6	Q2	Q2	Num	8	Q2: Do you think that global warming is happening, or do you think global warming is not happening?
7	Q3	Q3	Num	8	Q3: How worried, if at all, are you about global warming?
8	Q4	Q4	Num	8	Q4: Do you think global warming is…
9	Q5A	Q5	Num	8	Q5A: In your opinion, do you think global warming is…An environmental issue
10	Q5B	Q5	Num	8	Q5B: In your opinion, do you think global warming is…A moral issue
11	Q5C	Q5	Num	8	Q5C: In your opinion, do you think global warming is…A religious issue
12	Q5D	Q5	Num	8	Q5D: In your opinion, do you think global warming is…A social justice (fairness) issue
13	Q5E	Q5	Num	8	Q5E: In your opinion, do you think global warming is…A political issue
14	Q5F	Q5	Num	8	Q5F: In your opinion, do you think global warming is…A scientific issue
15	Q5G	Q5	Num	8	Q5G: In your opinion, do you think global warming is…A poverty issue

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
16	Q6	Q6	Num	8	Q6: Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of Pope Francis? If you don´t know enough about this person to have an opinion, you can say that too. Would you say your opinion is...?
17	Q7	Q7	Num	8	Q7: Pope Francis recently released an encyclical (which is a letter sent to all Catholic Bishops worldwide) which said that addressing global warming is a high priority for the Catholic Church. Before you read about it in this question, were you aware of this papal encyclical about global warming?
18	Q8	Q8	Num	8	Q8: Do you think it's appropriate or not appropriate for the pope to take a public position on the issue of global warming?
19	Q11	Q11	Num	8	Q11: If you attended religious services in the past month, did the service leader or other speaker talk about the pope's encyclical on global warming, or was it not talked about?
20	Q21	Q21	Num	8	Q21: Do you think race relations in the United States are…
21	Q22	Q22	Num	8	Q22: Do you think race relations in your community are…
22	Q23	Q23	Num	8	Q23: How worried are you about being the victim of a violent crime?
23	Q24	Q24	Num	8	Q24: How would you rate the job your local police are doing in controlling crime in your community?
24	Q25	Q25	Num	8	Q25: How much of the time do you think you can trust the police to do what is right for you and your community?
25	Q26	Q26	Num	8	Q26: In general, do you think the police are too quick to use deadly force, or do they typically only use deadly force when necessary?

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
26	Q27	Q27	Num	8	Q27: How do you think police officers who cause injury or death in the course of their job are treated by the criminal justice system?
27	Q28	Q28	Num	8	Q28: How serious a problem do you think police violence against the PUBLIC is in the United States?
28	Q29	Q29	Num	8	Q29: How serious a problem do you think violence against the POLICE is in the United States?
29	Q30	Q30	Num	8	Q30: In dealing with crime, do you think the police in your community treat people of all races and ethnic groups equally, or do you think they sometimes deal more roughly with members of minority groups?
30	Q31	Q31	Num	8	Q31: In general, do you think the police in most communities are more likely to use deadly force against a black person, or more likely to use it against a white person, or don't you think race affects police use of deadly force?
31	Q32	Q32	Num	8	Q32: What about in your community? In general, do you think the police in your community are more likely to use deadly force against a black person, or more likely to use it against a white person, or don't you think race affects police use of deadly force?
32	Q33A	Q33	Num	8	Q33A: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to reduce tension between minority communities and the police. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Requiring all police officers to receive racial bias training

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
33	Q33B	Q33	Num	8	Q33B: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to reduce tension between minority communities and the police. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Developing community policing programs in neighborhoods to help build relationships between the public and local police officers
34	Q33C	Q33	Num	8	Q33C: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to reduce tension between minority communities and the police. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Offering incentives to members of the police force to live in the community where they work.
35	Q33D	Q33	Num	8	Q33D: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to reduce tension between minority communities and the police. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Requiring police departments to recruit additional qualified minority officers

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
36	Q34A	Q34	Num	8	Q34A: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to help prevent police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Requiring on-duty police officers to wear video cameras that would record their interactions with the public as they occur.
37	Q34B	Q34	Num	8	Q34B: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to help prevent police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Setting stricter criteria for the use of deadly force by police officers.
38	Q34C	Q34	Num	8	Q34C: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to help prevent police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Requiring a special prosecutor to investigate whenever a civilian is seriously injured or killed by a police officer.

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
39	Q34D	Q34	Num	8	Q34D: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to help prevent police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Setting limits on how police can acquire and use surplus military equipment.
40	Q34E	Q34	Num	8	Q34E: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to help prevent police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Making it more difficult to put people in jail for minor violations like failing to pay a traffic ticket.
41	Q35A	Q35	Num	8	Q35A: Here are some reasons that have been given for police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it is a major reason, a minor reason or not a reason at all...Most police are inadequately trained to deal with perceived threats.
42	Q35B	Q35	Num	8	Q35B: Here are some reasons that have been given for police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it is a major reason, a minor reason or not a reason at all...Consequences for police misconduct are minimal and few police officers are prosecuted for excessive use of force.

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
43	Q35C	Q35	Num	8	Q35C: Here are some reasons that have been given for police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it is a major reason, a minor reason or not a reason at all...Violence against police officers causes fear on the part of the police.
44	Q35D	Q35	Num	8	Q35D: Here are some reasons that have been given for police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it is a major reason, a minor reason or not a reason at all...Some civilians confront the police when they are stopped rather than cooperating.
45	Q35E	Q35	Num	8	Q35E: Here are some reasons that have been given for police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it is a major reason, a minor reason or not a reason at all...There are poor relations between police and the public they serve.
46	Q35F	Q35	Num	8	Q35F: Here are some reasons that have been given for police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it is a major reason, a minor reason or not a reason at all...Problems with race relations in our society cause police to treat minorities unjustly.
47	Q36	Q36	Num	8	Q36: Have you personally ever felt treated unfairly by a police officer specifically because of your race?
48	Q37	Q37	Num	8	Q37: As far as you know, has a member of your immediate family ever felt treated unfairly by a police officer specifically because of his or her race?

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
49	Q38	Q38	Num	8	Q38: Do you think protests and demonstrations about police violence mostly bring attention to the issue in a positive way, in a negative way, or don't they really have any impact at all?
50	Q39	Q39	Num	8	Q39: Have you personally participated in any protests or demonstrations about police violence in the past year?
51	Q40	Q40	Num	8	Q40: Do you personally know someone who is currently serving, or has recently served as a police officer?
52	Q41_1	Q41	Num	8	[You] Is that person you, a family member, a friend, or just someone you know?
53	Q41_2	Q41	Num	8	[Family member] Is that person you, a family member, a friend, or just someone you know?
54	Q41_3	Q41	Num	8	[Friend] Is that person you, a family member, a friend, or just someone you know?
55	Q41_4	Q41	Num	8	[Someone you know] Is that person you, a family member, a friend, or just someone you know?
56	parent_any	DPARENT	Num	8	PARENT_ANY: Do you have any children?
57	parent_minor	DP18	Num	8	PARENT_MINOR: Are any of your children under 18?
58	register	S1A	Num	8	REGISTER: Are you currently registered to vote at your address, or not?
59	teaparty	TP4	Num	8	TEAPARTY: Do you consider yourself a supporter of the tea party movement, or are you not a supporter of the tea party movement?
60	teasupport	TP4a	Num	8	TEASUPPORT: Do you support the tea party movement strongly or somewhat?
61	party	PID1	Num	8	PARTY: Do you consider yourself a Democrat, a Republican, an independent or none of these?

#	Variable	Question	Type	Length	Label
62	indep	PIDi	Num	8	INDEP: Do you lean more toward the Democrats or the Republicans?
63	primary	LV5	Num	8	PRIMARY: In which party's 2016 presidential primary or caucuses are you most likely to vote, or are you not likely to vote in a 2016 presidential primary or caucus at all?
64	ideology	G11b	Num	8	IDEOLOGY: Generally speaking, do you consider yourself a…?
65	bornagain	DM12	Num	8	BORNAGAIN: Do you consider yourself a born-again or evangelical Christian, or not?
66	religion	DM13	Num	8	RELIGION: What is your religious preference?
67	christian	DM14	Num	8	CHRISTIAN: Do you consider yourself a Christian, or not?
68	empstatus	N/A	Num	8	EMPSTATUS: Employment status
69	AGEGRP	N/A	Num	8	AGEGRP: Age
70	raceth	N/A	Num	8	RACETH: Race/ethnicity
71	MARITAL	N/A	Num	8	MARITAL: Marital status
72	education	N/A	Num	8	EDUCATION: Educational attainment
73	hhincome	N/A	Num	8	HHINCOME: Household income
74	GENDER	N/A	Num	8	GENDER: Gender

To minimize the risk of disclosing respondent privacy, the following actions are taken:

1. Variables 68-73 are collapsed or recoded from original data, making the coding frame of these variables different from the survey questionnaire.

Details of Variables

- "." Or space: missing, survey non-respondent
- -99: logic skip, respondent is not applicable to a specific question

SPEAKING OUT ON GLOBAL WARMING REPORT FREQUENCIES

SURV_MODE: Survey mode			
SURV_MODE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) Phone interview	136	124.82	12.12
(2) Web Interview	894	905.18	87.88
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

Q2: Do you think that global warming is happening, or do you think global warming is not happening?			
Q2	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	4	5.97	0.58
(1) Yes, global warming is happening	737	706.99	68.64
(2) No, global warming is not happening	148	167.74	16.29
(77) Not sure	141	149.30	14.50
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

Q3: How worried, if at all, are you about global warming?			
Q3	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) Extremely worried	96	92.75	9.00
(2) Very worried	192	172.79	16.78
(3) Moderately worried	377	382.05	37.09
(4) Not too worried	201	205.18	19.92
(5) Not worried at all	135	149.25	14.49
(77) Not sure	29	27.99	2.72
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

Q4: Do you think global warming is…			
Q4	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	1	0.44	0.04
-99	293	323.01	31.36
(1) Caused entirely by human activities	70	79.34	7.70
(2) Caused mostly by human activities	316	287.76	27.94
(3) Caused about equally by human activities and natural changes in the environment	270	267.60	25.98
(4) Caused mostly by natural changes in the environment	43	42.82	4.16
(5) Caused entirely by natural changes in the environment	19	14.69	1.43
(77) Not sure	18	14.35	1.39
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

Q5A: In your opinion, do you think global warming is…An environmental issue			
Q5A	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	29	37.85	3.67
(1) Yes	861	846.23	82.16
(2) No	86	92.61	8.99
(77) Not sure	54	53.30	5.18
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

Q5B: In your opinion, do you think global warming is…A moral issue			
Q5B	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	62	69.33	6.73
(1) Yes	435	401.18	38.95
(2) No	418	437.31	42.46
(77) Not sure	115	122.18	11.86
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

Q5C: In your opinion, do you think global warming is…A religious issue			
Q5C	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	72	84.81	8.23
(1) Yes	97	97.20	9.44
(2) No	772	766.97	74.46
(77) Not sure	89	81.02	7.87
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

Q5D: In your opinion, do you think global warming is…A social justice (fairness) issue			
Q5D	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	69	77.83	7.56
(1) Yes	263	259.30	25.17
(2) No	536	525.59	51.03
(77) Not sure	162	167.28	16.24
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

Q5E: In your opinion, do you think global warming is…A political issue			
Q5E	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	49	51.40	4.99
(1) Yes	592	577.20	56.04
(2) No	302	321.59	31.22
(77) Not sure	87	79.82	7.75
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

Q5F: In your opinion, do you think global warming is…A scientific issue			
Q5F	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	57	65.76	6.38
(1) Yes	777	778.97	75.63
(2) No	131	127.30	12.36
(77) Not sure	65	57.97	5.63
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

Q5G: In your opinion, do you think global warming is…A poverty issue			
Q5G	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	69	74.59	7.24
(1) Yes	175	175.02	16.99
(2) No	658	661.26	64.20
(77) Not sure	128	119.14	11.57
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

Q6: Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of Pope Francis? If you don't know enough about this person to have an opinion, you can say that too. Would you say your opinion is…?			
Q6	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	1	2.17	0.21
(1) Very favorable	222	218.14	21.18
(2) Somewhat favorable	210	187.96	18.25
(3) Somewhat unfavorable	70	74.06	7.19
(4) Very unfavorable	52	45.33	4.40
(77) Don't know enough to say	475	502.34	48.77
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

Q7: Pope Francis recently released an encyclical (which is a letter sent to all Catholic Bishops worldwide) which said that addressing global warming is a high priority for the Catholic Church. Before you read about it in this question, were you aware of this papal encyclical about global warming?			
Q7	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	1	2.17	0.21
(1) Yes	322	320.61	31.13
(2) No	606	620.51	60.24
(77) Not sure	101	86.71	8.42
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

Q8: Do you think it's appropriate or not appropriate for the pope to take a public position on the issue of global warming?			
Q8	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	3	3.34	0.32
(1) It is appropriate	598	570.52	55.39
(2) It is not appropriate	206	220.86	21.44
(77) Not sure	223	235.28	22.84
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

Q11: If you attended religious services in the past month, did the service leader or other speaker talk about the pope's encyclical on global warming, or was it not talked about?			
Q11	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	1	0.68	0.07
-99	708	709.39	68.87
(1) Yes, the service leader/other speaker talked about it	20	33.96	3.30
(2) No, it was not talked about	117	124.01	12.04
(97) I did not attend religious services in the past month	184	161.96	15.72
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

PARENT_ANY: Do you have any children?			
parent_any	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	5	4.61	0.45
(1) Yes	661	742.72	72.11
(2) No	364	282.67	27.44
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

PARENT_MINOR: Are any of your children under 18?			
parent_minor	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
-99	369	287.28	27.89
(1) Yes, under 18	318	336.27	32.65
(2) No, age 18 or older	343	406.45	39.46
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

REGISTER: Are you currently registered to vote at your address, or not?			
register	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	6	9.08	0.88
(1) Yes	794	804.79	78.14
(2) No	230	216.13	20.98
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

TEAPARTY: Do you consider yourself a supporter of the tea party movement, or are you not a supporter of the tea party movement?			
teaparty	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	26	28.10	2.73
(1) Supporter	186	222.24	21.58
(2) Not a supporter	818	779.65	75.69
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

TEASUPPORT: Do you support the tea party movement strongly or somewhat?			
teasupport	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	2	6.66	0.65
-99	844	807.76	78.42
(1) Strongly	58	54.15	5.26
(2) Somewhat	126	161.44	15.67
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

PARTY: Do you consider yourself a Democrat, a Republican, an independent or none of these?			
party	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	4	3.96	0.38
(1) Democrat	340	310.02	30.10
(2) Republican	211	229.78	22.31
(3) Independent	289	300.47	29.17
(4) None of these	186	185.76	18.04
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

INDEP: Do you lean more toward the Democrats or the Republicans?			
indep	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	7	7.54	0.73
-99	551	539.80	52.41
(1) Lean Democrat	156	156.31	15.18
(2) Lean Republican	119	122.68	11.91
(3) Don't lean	197	203.66	19.77
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

PRIMARY: In which party's 2016 presidential primary or caucuses are you most likely to vote, or are you not likely to vote in a 2016 presidential primary or caucus at all?			
primary	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	19	20.77	2.02
-99	236	225.21	21.86
(1) Democratic	340	329.99	32.04
(2) Republican	255	272.53	26.46
(3) Neither	105	117.49	11.41
(4) Not likely to vote	75	64.00	6.21
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

IDEOLOGY: Generally speaking, do you consider yourself a…?			
ideology	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	24	37.65	3.66
(1) Liberal	242	219.47	21.31
(2) Conservative	290	302.72	29.39
(3) Moderate	474	470.16	45.65
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

BORNAGAIN: Do you consider yourself a born-again or evangelical Christian, or not?			
bornagain	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	16	15.96	1.55
(1) Yes, born-again/evangelical	337	353.32	34.30
(2) No, not born-again/evangelical	677	660.73	64.15
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

RELIGION: What is your religious preference?			
religion	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	10	11.77	1.14
(1) Protestant	389	414.08	40.20
(2) Catholic	229	254.62	24.72
(3) Mormon	15	5.92	0.57
(4) Jewish	17	15.79	1.53
(5) Muslim	9	5.91	0.57
(6) Other religion	41	35.15	3.41
(7) Don't belong to any religious denomination	320	286.77	27.84
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

CHRISTIAN: Do you consider yourself a Christian, or not?			
christian	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	4	4.75	0.46
-99	781	762.98	74.08
(1) Yes, a Christian	202	216.43	21.01
(2) No, not a Christian	43	45.85	4.45
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

EMPSTATUS: Employment status			
empstatus	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) Employed	632	611.83	59.40
(2) Not employed	398	418.17	40.60
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

AGEGRP: Age			
AGEGRP	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) 18 to 29	261	220.05	21.36
(2) 30 to 39	197	173.86	16.88
(3) 40 to 49	147	172.88	16.78
(4) 50 to 64	236	267.08	25.93
(5) 65 or older	189	196.12	19.04
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

RACETH: Race/ethnicity			
raceth	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) White, non-Hispanic	628	668.38	64.89
(2) Black, non-Hispanic	118	120.44	11.69
(3) Hispanic	180	159.85	15.52
(4) Other	104	81.33	7.90
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

MARITAL: Marital status			
MARITAL	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) Married/living with partner	533	584.35	56.73
(2) Not married	497	445.65	43.27
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

EDUCATION: Educational attainment			
education	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) Less than high school graduate	89	122.96	11.94
(2) High school graduate	288	301.43	29.27
(3) Some college	226	198.73	19.29
(4) College graduate [Associates/community college, BA, or BS]	300	287.62	27.92
(5) Graduate degree [PhD, MD, JD, Master's degree]	127	119.26	11.58
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

HHINCOME: Household income			
hhincome	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) Under $10,000	75	71.69	6.96
(2) $10,000 to under $20,000	142	123.46	11.99
(3) $20,000 to under $30,000	136	142.44	13.83
(4) $30,000 to under $40,000	110	112.98	10.97
(5) $40,000 to under $50,000	88	79.10	7.68
(6) $50,000 to under $75,000	170	166.64	16.18
(7) $75,000 to under $100,000	137	132.51	12.86
(8) $100,000 to under $150,000	110	118.30	11.49
(9) $150,000 or more	62	82.89	8.05
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

GENDER: Gender			
GENDER	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) Male	501	496.37	48.19
(2) Female	529	533.63	51.81
Total	1,030	1,030	100.00

LAW ENFORCEMENT AND VIOLENCE REPORT FREQUENCIES

SURV_MODE: Survey mode			
SURV_MODE	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) Phone interview	186	148.62	12.15
(2) Web Interview	1,037	1,074	87.85
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q21: Do you think race relations in the United States are…			
Q21	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	7	5.07	0.41
(1) Very good	34	29.46	2.41
(2) Somewhat good	155	177.69	14.53
(3) Neither good nor bad	232	238.38	19.49
(4) Somewhat bad	486	506.90	41.45
(5) Very bad	309	265.50	21.71
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q22: Do you think race relations in your community are…			
Q22	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	7	5.24	0.43
(1) Very good	149	178.09	14.56
(2) Somewhat good	317	303.41	24.81
(3) Neither good nor bad	383	382.49	31.27
(4) Somewhat bad	272	275.05	22.49
(5) Very bad	95	78.72	6.44
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q23: How worried are you about being the victim of a violent crime?			
Q23	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	8	13.86	1.13
(1) Extremely worried	61	65.03	5.32
(2) Very worried	110	97.08	7.94
(3) Moderately worried	324	333.29	27.25
(4) Only a little worried	424	436.69	35.71
(5) Not worried at all	296	277.05	22.65
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q24: How would you rate the job your local police are doing in controlling crime in your community?			
Q24	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	8	8.50	0.70
(1) Excellent	87	108.15	8.84
(2) Very good	268	330.10	26.99
(3) Good	426	407.18	33.29
(4) Fair	299	263.92	21.58
(5) Poor	135	105.15	8.60
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q25: How much of the time do you think you can trust the police to do what is right for you and your community?			
Q25	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	5	2.24	0.18
(1) Always	148	191.86	15.69
(2) Often	506	547.00	44.73
(3) Sometimes	406	346.45	28.33
(4) Rarely	124	110.19	9.01
(5) Never	34	25.25	2.06
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q26: In general, do you think the police are too quick to use deadly force, or do they typically only use deadly force when necessary?			
Q26	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	15	10.41	0.85
(1) Too quick to use deadly force	635	544.82	44.55
(2) Use deadly force only when necessary	573	667.77	54.60
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q27: How do you think police officers who cause injury or death in the course of their job are treated by the criminal justice system?			
Q27	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	11	11.92	0.97
(1) Too leniently	601	505.73	41.35
(2) Fairly	429	493.19	40.33
(3) Too harshly	182	212.15	17.35
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q28: How serious a problem do you think police violence against the PUBLIC is in the United States?			
Q28	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	8	10.89	0.89
(1) Not at all serious	68	82.91	6.78
(2) Not too serious	266	320.41	26.20
(3) Moderately serious	389	420.77	34.40
(4) Very serious	305	237.86	19.45
(5) Extremely serious	187	150.15	12.28
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q29: How serious a problem do you think violence against the POLICE is in the United States?			
Q29	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	10	14.63	1.20
(1) Not at all serious	57	39.23	3.21
(2) Not too serious	196	187.19	15.31
(3) Moderately serious	515	514.57	42.07
(4) Very serious	336	336.62	27.52
(5) Extremely serious	109	130.76	10.69
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q30: In dealing with crime, do you think the police in your community treat people of all races and ethnic groups equally, or do you think they sometimes deal more roughly with members of minority groups?			
Q30	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	16	13.68	1.12
(1) Treat all races/ethnic groups equally	466	545.23	44.58
(2) Sometimes treat minority groups more roughly	741	664.09	54.30
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q31: In general, do you think the police in most communities are more likely to use deadly force against a black person, or more likely to use it against a white person, or don't you think race affects police use of deadly force?			
Q31	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	16	28.20	2.31
(1) Deadly force against a black person	704	595.72	48.71
(2) Deadly force against a white person	9	7.93	0.65
(3) Race does not affect deadly force	494	591.14	48.34
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q32: What about in your community? In general, do you think the police in your community are more likely to use deadly force against a black person, or more likely to use it against a white person, or don't you think race affects police use of deadly force?			
Q32	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	22	17.00	1.39
(1) Deadly force against a black person	519	418.33	34.21
(2) Deadly force against a white person	8	11.17	0.91
(3) Race does not affect deadly force	674	776.50	63.49
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q33A: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to reduce tension between minority communities and the police. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Requiring all police officers to receive racial bias training

Q33A	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	39	42.00	3.43
(1) Extremely effective	185	151.28	12.37
(2) Very effective	246	257.90	21.09
(3) Somewhat effective	463	472.22	38.61
(4) Not too effective	184	211.30	17.28
(5) Not at all effective	106	88.30	7.22
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q33B: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to reduce tension between minority communities and the police. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Developing community policing programs in neighborhoods to help build relationships between the public and local police officers

Q33B	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	37	41.40	3.39
(1) Extremely effective	257	235.92	19.29
(2) Very effective	391	397.54	32.51
(3) Somewhat effective	422	427.06	34.92
(4) Not too effective	74	77.17	6.31
(5) Not at all effective	42	43.92	3.59
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q33C: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to reduce tension between minority communities and the police. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Offering incentives to members of the police force to live in the community where they work.			
Q33C	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	44	44.29	3.62
(1) Extremely effective	191	161.37	13.19
(2) Very effective	321	322.38	26.36
(3) Somewhat effective	416	443.09	36.23
(4) Not too effective	167	171.78	14.05
(5) Not at all effective	84	80.09	6.55
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q33D: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to reduce tension between minority communities and the police. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Requiring police departments to recruit additional qualified minority officers			
Q33D	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	39	41.85	3.42
(1) Extremely effective	197	158.89	12.99
(2) Very effective	265	242.15	19.80
(3) Somewhat effective	461	498.45	40.76
(4) Not too effective	165	178.28	14.58
(5) Not at all effective	96	103.39	8.45
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q34A: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to help prevent police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Requiring on-duty police officers to wear video cameras that would record their interactions with the public as they occur.

Q34A	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	27	39.39	3.22
(1) Extremely effective	496	470.27	38.45
(2) Very effective	390	391.77	32.03
(3) Somewhat effective	237	225.36	18.43
(4) Not too effective	54	78.35	6.41
(5) Not at all effective	19	17.86	1.46
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q34B: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to help prevent police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Setting stricter criteria for the use of deadly force by police officers.

Q34B	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	32	46.75	3.82
(1) Extremely effective	285	240.84	19.69
(2) Very effective	316	333.92	27.30
(3) Somewhat effective	364	368.19	30.11
(4) Not too effective	139	155.90	12.75
(5) Not at all effective	87	77.41	6.33
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q34C: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to help prevent police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Requiring a special prosecutor to investigate whenever a civilian is seriously injured or killed by a police officer.			
Q34C	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	27	37.48	3.06
(1) Extremely effective	326	298.51	24.41
(2) Very effective	348	322.14	26.34
(3) Somewhat effective	351	386.59	31.61
(4) Not too effective	110	125.27	10.24
(5) Not at all effective	61	53.01	4.33
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q34D: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to help prevent police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Setting limits on how police can acquire and use surplus military equipment.			
Q34D	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	31	40.36	3.30
(1) Extremely effective	264	223.74	18.29
(2) Very effective	253	236.46	19.33
(3) Somewhat effective	380	400.49	32.75
(4) Not too effective	156	180.10	14.73
(5) Not at all effective	139	141.85	11.60
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q34E: Here are some policy changes that have been suggested to help prevent police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it would be extremely effective, very effective, somewhat effective, not too effective or not at all effective...Making it more difficult to put people in jail for minor violations like failing to pay a traffic ticket.			
Q34E	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	35	41.40	3.38
(1) Extremely effective	296	255.95	20.93
(2) Very effective	301	310.90	25.42
(3) Somewhat effective	305	319.77	26.15
(4) Not too effective	142	144.22	11.79
(5) Not at all effective	144	150.76	12.33
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q35A: Here are some reasons that have been given for police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it is a major reason, a minor reason or not a reason at all...Most police are inadequately trained to deal with perceived threats.			
Q35A	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	11	18.85	1.54
(1) Major reason	443	373.57	30.55
(2) Minor reason	454	506.04	41.38
(3) Not a reason at all	315	324.54	26.54
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q35B: Here are some reasons that have been given for police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it is a major reason, a minor reason or not a reason at all...Consequences for police misconduct are minimal and few police officers are prosecuted for excessive use of force.

Q35B	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	10	15.57	1.27
(1) Major reason	636	570.31	46.63
(2) Minor reason	355	379.86	31.06
(3) Not a reason at all	222	257.26	21.04
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q35C: Here are some reasons that have been given for police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it is a major reason, a minor reason or not a reason at all...Violence against police officers causes fear on the part of the police.

Q35C	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	13	11.60	0.95
(1) Major reason	599	548.32	44.83
(2) Minor reason	452	501.63	41.02
(3) Not a reason at all	159	161.46	13.20
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q35D: Here are some reasons that have been given for police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it is a major reason, a minor reason or not a reason at all...Some civilians confront the police when they are stopped rather than cooperating.

Q35D	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	11	10.36	0.85
(1) Major reason	662	692.92	56.66
(2) Minor reason	438	422.96	34.58
(3) Not a reason at all	112	96.76	7.91
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q35E: Here are some reasons that have been given for police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it is a major reason, a minor reason or not a reason at all...There are poor relations between police and the public they serve.			
Q35E	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	13	16.87	1.38
(1) Major reason	674	599.63	49.03
(2) Minor reason	385	437.74	35.79
(3) Not a reason at all	151	168.76	13.80
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q35F: Here are some reasons that have been given for police violence against civilians. For each one, please tell me whether you think it is a major reason, a minor reason or not a reason at all...Problems with race relations in our society cause police to treat minorities unjustly.			
Q35F	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	11	14.02	1.15
(1) Major reason	602	498.79	40.78
(2) Minor reason	347	409.34	33.47
(3) Not a reason at all	263	300.85	24.60
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q36: Have you personally ever felt treated unfairly by a police officer specifically because of your race?			
Q36	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	3	4.27	0.35
(1) Yes, personally	246	166.32	13.60
(2) No	974	1,052.00	86.05
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q37: As far as you know, has a member of your immediate family ever felt treated unfairly by a police officer specifically because of his or her race?			
Q37	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	4	1.80	0.15
-99	246	166.32	13.60
(1) Yes, family	128	109.70	8.97
(2) No	845	945.18	77.28
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q38: Do you think protests and demonstrations about police violence mostly bring attention to the issue in a positive way, in a negative way, or don't they really have any impact at all?			
Q38	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	10	13.24	1.08
(1) Positive way	371	317.62	25.97
(2) Negative way	566	605.59	49.52
(3) Don't really have any impact	276	286.55	23.43
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q39: Have you personally participated in any protests or demonstrations about police violence in the past year?			
Q39	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	5	5.44	0.45
(1) Yes, I have	46	40.23	3.29
(2) No, I haven't	1,172	1,177.00	96.27
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

Q40: Do you personally know someone who is currently serving, or has recently served as a police officer?			
Q40	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	6	11.80	0.96
(1) Yes	719	706.86	57.80
(2) No	498	504.34	41.24
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

[You] Is that person you, a family member, a friend, or just someone you know?			
Q41_1	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	1	0.81	0.07
-99	504	516.14	42.20
(0) No	695	679.94	55.60
(1) Yes	23	26.11	2.14
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

[Family member] Is that person you, a family member, a friend, or just someone you know?			
Q41_2	**Frequency**	**Weighted Frequency**	**Weighted Percent**
.	1	0.81	0.07
-99	504	516.14	42.20
(0) No	499	507.33	41.48
(1) Yes	219	198.72	16.25
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

[Friend] Is that person you, a family member, a friend, or just someone you know?			
Q41_3	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	1	0.81	0.07
-99	504	516.14	42.20
(0) No	438	426.45	34.87
(1) Yes	280	279.60	22.86
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

[Someone you know] Is that person you, a family member, a friend, or just someone you know?			
Q41_4	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	1	0.81	0.07
-99	504	516.14	42.20
(0) No	383	363.92	29.76
(1) Yes	335	342.13	27.97
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

PARENT_ANY: Do you have any children?			
parent_any	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	5	4.56	0.37
(1) Yes	811	884.04	72.28
(2) No	407	334.40	27.34
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

PARENT_MINOR: Are any of your children under 18?			
parent_minor	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
-99	412	338.96	27.72
(1) Yes, under 18	382	404.95	33.11
(2) No, age 18 or older	429	479.09	39.17
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

REGISTER: Are you currently registered to vote at your address, or not?			
register	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	7	9.98	0.82
(1) Yes	969	950.01	77.68
(2) No	247	263.01	21.51
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

TEAPARTY: Do you consider yourself a supporter of the tea party movement, or are you not a supporter of the tea party movement?			
teaparty	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	31	33.44	2.73
(1) Supporter	203	266.06	21.75
(2) Not a supporter	989	923.50	75.51
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

TEASUPPORT: Do you support the tea party movement strongly or somewhat?			
teasupport	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	4	8.53	0.70
-99	1,020	956.94	78.25
(1) Strongly	60	64.65	5.29
(2) Somewhat	139	192.87	15.77
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

PARTY: Do you consider yourself a Democrat, a Republican, an independent or none of these?			
party	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	6	4.56	0.37
(1) Democrat	478	373.94	30.58
(2) Republican	218	275.98	22.57
(3) Independent	318	358.94	29.35
(4) None of these	203	209.58	17.14
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

INDEP: Do you lean more toward the Democrats or the Republicans?			
indep	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	9	8.89	0.73
-99	696	649.92	53.14
(1) Lean Democrat	175	181.81	14.87
(2) Lean Republican	124	146.88	12.01
(3) Don't lean	219	235.50	19.26
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

PRIMARY: In which party's 2016 presidential primary or caucuses are you most likely to vote, or are you not likely to vote in a 2016 presidential primary or caucus at all?			
primary	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	24	24.63	2.01
-99	254	272.99	22.32
(1) Democratic	468	390.83	31.96
(2) Republican	269	325.47	26.61
(3) Neither	118	130.72	10.69
(4) Not likely to vote	90	78.35	6.41
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

IDEOLOGY: Generally speaking, do you consider yourself a…?			
ideology	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	27	39.36	3.22
(1) Liberal	289	262.15	21.44
(2) Conservative	336	367.97	30.09
(3) Moderate	571	553.51	45.26
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

BORNAGAIN: Do you consider yourself a born-again or evangelical Christian, or not?			
bornagain	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	18	17.47	1.43
(1) Yes, born-again/evangelical	442	409.06	33.45
(2) No, not born-again/evangelical	763	796.47	65.12
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

RELIGION: What is your religious preference?			
religion	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	203	74.57	6.10
(1) Protestant	389	455.83	37.27
(2) Catholic	229	297.48	24.32
(3) Mormon	15	6.87	0.56
(4) Jewish	17	18.50	1.51
(5) Muslim	9	6.94	0.57
(6) Other religion	41	41.80	3.42
(7) Don't belong to any religious denomination	320	321.01	26.25
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

CHRISTIAN: Do you consider yourself a Christian, or not?			
christian	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
.	5	5.16	0.42
-99	877	907.49	74.20
(1) Yes, a Christian	295	255.02	20.85
(2) No, not a Christian	46	55.33	4.52
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

EMPSTATUS: Employment status			
empstatus	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) Employed	749	730.06	59.69
(2) Not employed	474	492.94	40.31
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

AGEGRP: Age			
AGEGRP	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) 18 to 29	275	261.29	21.36
(2) 30 to 39	235	206.44	16.88
(3) 40 to 49	184	205.28	16.78
(4) 50 to 64	306	317.13	25.93
(5) 65 or older	223	232.87	19.04
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

RACETH: Race/ethnicity			
raceth	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) White, non-Hispanic	628	793.62	64.89
(2) Black, non-Hispanic	311	143.01	11.69
(3) Hispanic	180	189.80	15.52
(4) Other	104	96.57	7.90
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

MARITAL: Marital status			
MARITAL	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) Married/living with partner	600	698.36	57.10
(2) Not married	623	524.64	42.90
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

EDUCATION: Educational attainment			
education	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) Less than high school graduate	90	146.00	11.94
(2) High school graduate	298	357.91	29.27
(3) Some college	305	232.88	19.04
(4) College graduate [Associates/community college, BA, or BS]	376	341.53	27.93
(5) Graduate degree [PhD, MD, JD, Master's degree]	154	144.67	11.83
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

HHINCOME: Household income			
hhincome	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) Under $10,000	92	77.52	6.34
(2) $10,000 to under $20,000	173	152.08	12.43
(3) $20,000 to under $30,000	168	173.07	14.15
(4) $30,000 to under $40,000	124	128.29	10.49
(5) $40,000 to under $50,000	117	92.97	7.60
(6) $50,000 to under $75,000	203	203.54	16.64
(7) $75,000 to under $100,000	155	151.86	12.42
(8) $100,000 to under $150,000	125	144.30	11.80
(9) $150,000 or more	66	99.38	8.13
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00

GENDER: Gender			
GENDER	Frequency	Weighted Frequency	Weighted Percent
(1) Male	559	589.37	48.19
(2) Female	664	633.63	51.81
Total	1,223	1,223	100.00