ANES 2012 POST-ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE *01/14/2013*

INCLUDED IN BOTH FTF AND INTERNET MODES:

- Items not labeled for mode were administered in both the face-to-face and internet instruments
- Items labeled **CASI** *(not "CASI ONLY")* were administered using CASI for some items of the FTF instrument and were also present in the internet version

INCLUDED FTF ONLY OR INTERNET ONLY:

"FTF ONLY" - administered face-to-face by an interviewer using CAPI but not included in the internet instrument;
"CASI ONLY" - administered using Computer-Assisted Self Interviewing for the face-to-face interview but not included in the internet instrument;
"WEB ONLY" - included in the internet instrument but not in the face-to-face interview.

Question text:

1. **bold** words or phrases are to be emphasized [FTF].
2. ( ) parentheses indicate a word or phrase that is optional, that is, included at the discretion of the IWR [FTF].
3. [preload:] indicates programmed insertion of preload text or value into question text.
4. [/] indicates wording alternatives separated by '/' within the square brackets.
5. { } brackets are used for interviewer instructions which appear onscreen after spacing following the question text [FTF].
6. Variable names prepg_a through prepg_z are reserved for references to unique pages in Respondent Booklet; multiple questions may refer to the same page number. Page number values will be assigned to prepg variable when content is final.

Response options:

1. [preload:] indicates insertion of preload text or value into response option label.
2. {VOL} indicates a response option not indicated in the question text but acceptable as a coded value when given as a response [FTF].
3. {SPECIFY} usually appears with the 'Other' category and indicates that after entering the code value a text box should appear for entry of specific verbatim response [FTF].
4. Dates, time durations, and monetary unit numeric entries are to be confirmed [FTF]
5. DK and RF are assumed default missing data categories unless indicated otherwise. Any indicated "nondefault" nonresponse categories are additional to default DK and RF unless indicated otherwise.

section: **WEB3INTRO**

"WEB ONLY: Web Post intro screen" (WEB3INTRO_INTROPO3)

[STUDY NAME REDACTED]

This is the third in a series of four surveys. As you know, in appreciation of your participation, we can give you $10 for completing each survey. Each one should take about 30 minutes. In addition, because we really need you to complete all four surveys, if you complete all four then we will give you another $25 at the end of the study. If you do all four surveys, that's a total of $65.

The [study name redacted] is sponsored by Stanford University and the University of Michigan. If you have any questions or comments about the survey, you may contact *[NAME REDACTED]* at *[PHONE REDACTED]*, or by email at *[E-MAIL REDACTED]*.

If you are not satisfied with how this study is being conducted, or if you have any concerns, complaints, or general questions about the

research or your rights as a participant, please contact *[REDACTED]* to speak to someone independent of the research team toll free at *[PHONE REDACTED]*. You can also write to *[REDACTED]*.

.....

response type: Display only
response order: Order as listed

section: **MEDIAPO**

"Watch campaign programs on TV" (MEDIAPO_TVSTD)

.....

Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How many campaign programs on TV" (MEDIAPO_TVSTDAMT)

IF WATCHED PROGRAMS ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN ON TELEVISION:

.....

Would you say you watched **a good many**, **several**, or **just one or two**?

.....

1. A good many
2. Several
3. Just one or two

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Hear radio speeches/discussn about Pres campaign" (MEDIAPO_RADSTD)

.....

Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign for President on the radio?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How many radio speech/discussn abt Pres campaign" (MEDIAPO_RADSTDAMT)

IF HEARD ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON RADIO:

.....

Would you say you listened to **a good many**, **several**, or **just one or two**?

.....

1. A good many
2. Several
3. Just one or two

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Read about Presidential campaign in newspaper" (MEDIAPO_NWSPREV)

Did you read about the campaign for President in any newspaper?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How many stories abt Pres campaign in newspaper" (MEDIAPO_NWSPREVAMT)

IF READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN IN NEWSPAPERS:

Would you say you read **a good many** stories, **several**, or **just one or two**?

1. A good many
2. Several
3. Just one or two

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"View/hear internet information abt Pres campaign" (MEDIAPO_INETREV)

Did you read, watch, or listen to any information about the campaign for President on the Internet?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How often internet info about Pres campaign" (MEDIAPO_INETREVAMT)

IF VIEWED OR HEARD OR READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON THE INTERNET:

Would you say you read, watched, or listened to information on the Internet about the campaign for President **a good many** times, **several**, or **just one or two**?

1. A good many
2. Several
3. Just one or two

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Did R visit website of candidate" (MEDIAPO_WSITE)

IF VIEWED OR HEARD OR READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON THE INTERNET:

Did you visit any Presidential candidates' websites, or did you never do that?

{PROBE RESPONSE 'YES' WITH: DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DID VISIT PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE WEBSITES?'}

.....

1. Visited web site(s)
2. Did not visit

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How often visited website of candidate or party" (MEDIAPO_WSITEAMT)

IF VIEWED OR HEARD OR READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR PRESIDENT ON THE INTERNET:
IF R VISITED A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE WEBSITE:

.....

Would you say you visited a candidate website **a good many** times, **several**, or **just one or two**?

.....

1. A good many
2. Several
3. Just one or two

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: MOBILPO

"Did party contact R about 2012 campaign" (MOBILPO_PTYMOB)

.....

As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate.
Did anyone from one of the **political parties** call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Which party contacted R about 2012 campaign" (MOBILPO_WHPTYMOB)

IF SOMEONE FROM POLITICAL PARTY CONTACTED R ABOUT CAMPAIGN:

.....

Which party was that?

.....

1. Democrats
2. Republicans
3. Both {VOL}
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include option 3 but omit {VOL} text. In option 5, include a small text box in place of '{SPECIFY}'.

"Did anyone other than parties contact R about cands" (MOBILPO_OTHMOB)

.....

Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) call you up or come around and talk to you about supporting specific candidates in this last election?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"LATINO Rs: Was contact by Latino person(s)" (MOBILPO_MOBLAT)

IF R INDICATED HISPANIC IN PRE:
IF SOMEONE OTHER THAN PARTIES CONTACTED R ABOUT SUPPORTING CANDIDATE(S) :

.....

Were the people who contacted you Latinos, non-Latinos, or both?

.....

1. Latinos
2. Non-Latinos
3. Both
4. Don't remember

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed

"LATINO Rs: Was contact in Spanish or English" (MOBILPO_MOBLANG)

IF R INDICATED HISPANIC IN PRE:
IF SOMEONE OTHER THAN PARTIES CONTACTED R ABOUT SUPPORTING CANDIDATE(S) :

.....

When you were contacted, was it mostly in Spanish, mostly in English, or in both?

.....

1. Mostly in Spanish
2. Mostly in English
3. Both
4. Don't remember

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed

"Anyone talk to R abt registering or getting out to vote" (MOBILPO_MOBREG)

.....

During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you about registering to vote or getting out to vote?

.....

1. Yes, someone did
2. No, no one did

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R talk to anyone about voting for or against cand or pty" (MOBILPO_RMOB)

We would like to find out about some of the things people do to help a party or a candidate win an election.
During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches" (MOBILPO_RRALLY)

Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or things like that in support of a particular candidate?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R wear campaign button or post sign or bumper sticker" (MOBILPO_RBUTTN)

Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R do any (other) work for party or candidate" (MOBILPO_RCAMPWK)

Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R contribute money to specific candidate campaign" (MOBILPO_CTBCAND)

During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns.
Did you give money to an **individual candidate** running for public office?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Party of candidate for whom R contributed money" (MOBILPO_CTBCNDPTY)

IF R CONTRIBUTED MONEY TO INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE:

.....

Which party did that candidate belong to?

.....

1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Both Democratic and Republican {VOL}
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, in option 5, include a small text box in place of '{SPECIFY}'.
Include option 3 but omit '{VOL}' text.

"R contribute money to political party" (MOBILPO_CTBPTY)

.....

Did you give money to a **political party** during this election year?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Party to which R contributed" (MOBILPO_CTBWHPTY)

IF R CONTRIBUTED MONEY TO POLITICAL PARTY:

.....

To which party did you give money?

.....

1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Both Democratic and Republican parties {VOL}
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, in option 5, include a small text box in place of '{SPECIFY}'.
Include option 3 but omit '{VOL}' text.

"R contribute to any other group for/against a cand" (MOBILPO_CTBOTH)

.....

Did you give any money to **any other group** that supported or opposed candidates?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **DHSINVOLV**

"DHS: Has R in past 4 years: joined a protest march " (DHSINVOLV_EVERRALLY)

.....

During the past 4 years, have you joined in a protest march, rally, or demonstration, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?

.....

1. Have done this in past 4 years
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"DHS: Has R in past 4 years: attended city/school brd" (DHSINVOLV_EVERMTG)

.....

During the past 4 years, have you attended a meeting of a town or city government or school board, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?

.....

1. Have done this in past 4 years
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"DHS: Has R in past 4 years: sign internet petition " (DHSINVOLV_EVERISIGN)

.....

During the past 4 years, have you signed a petition on the Internet about a political or social issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?

.....

1. Have done this in past 4 years
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, set 'on the Internet' in boldface.

"DHS: Has Rin past 4 years: signed paper petition online" (DHSINVOLV_EVERPSIGN)

.....

During the past 4 years, have you signed a petition on paper about a political or social issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?

.....

1. Have done this in past 4 years
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, set 'on paper' in boldface.

"DHS: Has R in past 4 years: given money to relig. org" (DHSINVOLV_EVERGIVREL)

During the past 4 years, have you ever given money to a religious organization, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?

1. Have done this in past 4 years
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"DHS: Has R in past 4 years: gave money to soc/pol org" (DHSINVOLV_EVERGIVSOC)

Not counting a religious organization, during the past 4 years, have you given money to any other organization concerned with a political or social issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?

1. Have done this in past 4 years
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"DHS: Has R in past 4 yrs: called radio/TV about polit iss" (DHSINVOLV_EVERTVCALL)

During the past 4 years, have you called a radio or TV show about a political issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?

1. Have done this in past 4 years
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"DHS: sent a message on Facebook/Twitter about polit iss" (DHSINVOLV_EVERTWIT)

During the past 4 years, have you ever sent a message on Facebook or Twitter about a political issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?

1. Have done this in past 4 years
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"DHS: written a letter to newspaper/mag about polit iss" (DHSINVOLV_EVERLETT)

During the past 4 years, have you written a letter to a newspaper or magazine about a political issue, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?

1. Have done this in past 4 years
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Contact Congressman or Senator" (DHSINVOLV_REPASS1)

During the past 4 years, have you contacted or tried to contact a member of the U.S. Senate or U.S. House of Representatives, or have you not done this in the past 4 years?

1. Have done this in past 4 years
2. Have not done this in the past 4 years

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Who did R contact: Senator or Reprsentative" (DHSINVOLV_REPASS2)

IF R CONTACTED OR TRIED TO CONTACT A U.S. SENATOR OR U.S. REPRESENTATIVE:

Which of these did you contact? A U.S. Senator from your state, a U.S. Senator from another state, the member of the U.S. House of Representatives from your district, or another member of the U.S. House of Representatives?

{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}

1. U.S. Senator from Respondent's state
2. U.S. Senator from another state
3. U.S. House Representative from Respondent's district
4. Another member of the U.S. House of Representatives

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, in response options 1 and 3, change 'Respondent's' to 'your'.

section: **POSTVOTE**

"R registered to vote (post-election)" (POSTVOTE_REGIST)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE BEING REGISTERED IN THE PRE SURVEY:

Now on a different topic.
Are you registered to vote at this address, registered at a different address, or not currently registered?

1. Registered at this address
2. Registered at a different address
3. Not currently registered

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, ask this Q if R's address is on file, and fill "this address" with the R's home address on file.

"RESTRICTED: Other Registration location - add1 (etc)" (POSTVOTE_REG)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE BEING REGISTERED IN THE PRE SURVEY:
IF R IS REGISTERED AT AN ADDRESS OTHER THAN CURRENT ADDRESS:

.....

What is the address where you are registered to vote now?

.....

response type: Text entry

"Is R registered to vote in preload county (residence)" **(POSTVOTE_REGINCNTY)**

IF R DID NOT INDICATE BEING REGISTERED IN THE PRE SURVEY:
IF R REGISTERED AT AN ADDRESS OTHER THAN CURRENT ADDRESS:
IF R REGISTERED IN SAME STATE AS CURRENT ADDRESS OR REGISTRATION STATE MISSING:

.....

Is that address in [preload: hhcounty]?

.....

1. Yes, registered in [preload: hhcounty]
2. No, registered in other county
5. Preload county is incorrect {VOL} {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How long has R been registered at location" **(POSTVOTE_REGYRS)**

IF R DID NOT INDICATE BEING REGISTERED IN THE PRE SURVEY:
IF R REGISTERED AT CURRENT ADDRESS /
IF R NOT REGISTERED AT CURRENT ADDRESS AND PROVIDED ADDRESS OF REGISTRATION:

.....

How many years have you been registered to vote at [this/that] address?

{ENTER 0 IF RESPONSE IS LESS THAN 1 YEAR}

.....

response type: Numeric entry

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include instruction text: 'If less than a year, type 0.'

"RESTRICTED: name under which R is registered to vote" **(POSTVOTE_REGNAME)**

IF R DID NOT INDICATE BEING REGISTERED IN THE PRE SURVEY:
IF R INDICATED REGISTERED TO VOTE IN THE POST SURVEY:

.....

Some people register to vote under their full name and others use different versions of their name. Others might have changed their name since they registered.

What about you? Under what name are you registered to vote?

{INTERVIEWER: PROBE GENTLY FOR THE NAME. DO NOT PROBE REFUSALS}

.....

response type: Text entry

"Party of registration" **(POSTVOTE_REGPTY)**

IF R DID NOT INDICATE BEING REGISTERED IN THE PRE SURVEY:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE IN STATE WHERE PARTY MAY BE REGISTERED:

.....

What political party are you registered with, if any?

.....

1. Democratic party
2. Republican party
4. None or 'independent'
5. Other party {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include small text box for response 5 in place of “SPECIFY”.

"Did R vote" (POSTVOTE_RVOTE)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:

.....

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time.
Which of the following statements best describes you:
One, I did not vote (in the election this November);
Two, I thought about voting this time, but didn't;
Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or
Four, I am sure I voted?

.....

1. I did not vote (in the election this November)
2. I thought about voting this time, but didn't
3. I usually vote, but didn't this time
4. I am sure I voted

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the response options in the question display, ending that with 'Which of the following statements best describes you?'

"FTF ONLY: IWR CHECKPOINT: have correct Post ballot card" (POSTVOTE_CKBCARD)

IF R DID NOT REPORT IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF HAS NOT REFUSED TO INDICATE REGISTRATION STATUS:
IF R IS IS REGISTERED IN COUNTY OF CURRENT ADDRESS OR IF R IS NOT REGISTERED:

.....

Congressional district is: [var: **reg_st**] [var: **reg_dist**].
assigned ballot color is: [var: **ballotcolor**].
please describe whether you have the correct ballot card for the respondent:

.....

1. Yes, have [blue/pink] color ballot card for R's district
2. Have ballot card in wrong color for R's district
3. Only have card(s) for wrong district or have no ballot cards.

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"Did R vote for President" (POSTVOTE_PRESVT)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:

.....

How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for **President**]?

.....

1. Yes, voted for President
2. No, didn't vote for President

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Presidential candidate names in question text

---

"For whom did R vote for President" (POSTVOTE_PRESVTWHO)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:
IF R ASSIGNED TO DEMOCRATIC NAMES FIRST /
IF IF R ASSIGNED TO REPUBLICAN NAMES FIRST:

.....

Who did you vote for? [[preload: dem_pcname], [preload:rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname], [preload:dem_pcname]], or someone else?

.....

1. [preload: dem_pcname] / 2. [preload: rep_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname] / 1. [preload: dem_pcname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Presidential candidate names in question

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include a small text box for response option 5 in place of "SPECIFY".

"Preference strong for Pres candidate for whom R vote" (POSTVOTE_PRESSTR)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:
IF R'S VOTE FOR PRESIDENT IS NOT DK/RF:

.....

Would you say your preference for this candidate was **strong** or **not strong**?

.....

1. Strong
2. Not strong

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How long before election R made decision Pres vote" (POSTVOTE_VTPRESDEC)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:
IF R'S VOTE FOR PRESIDENT IS NOT DK/RF:

.....

How long before you voted did you decide that you were going to vote the way you did?

{PROBE IF NECESSARY: WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN A FEW DAYS BEFORE

YOU VOTED, A WEEK, OR LONGER THAN THAT?}

.....

response type: Text entry

"Does R prefer Pres candidate (did not vote)" (POSTVOTE_PREFPRES)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS NOT REGISTERED OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT VOTE:

.....

How about the election for President? Did you prefer one of the candidates for **President**?

.....

1.Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Preference for Pres candidate (did not vote)" (POSTVOTE_PREFPRWHO)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS NOT REGISTERED OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT VOTE:
IF R PREFERRED A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:

.....

Who did you prefer? [[preload: dem_pcname], [preload:rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname], [preload:dem_pcname]], or someone else?

.....

1. [preload: dem_pcname] / 2. [preload: rep_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname] / 1. [preload: dem_pcname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include a small text box for response option 5 in place of "SPECIFY".

"Preference strong for Pres candidate (did not vote)" (POSTVOTE_PREFPRSTR)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS NOT REGISTERED OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT VOTE:
IF R PREFERRED A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:
IF PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE PREFERENCE IS NOT DK/RF:

.....

Would you say your preference for this candidate was **strong** or **not strong**?

.....

1. Strong
2. Not strong

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Did R vote for U.S. House of Representatives" (POSTVOTE_VOTEHS)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

.....

[Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district.

How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the **U.S. House of Representatives**? /
How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the **U.S. House of Representatives**?]

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]

.....

1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives
2. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"For whom did R vote for U.S. House (ballot card)" **(POSTVOTE_HSVTBC)**

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

.....

Who did you vote for?

{FOR CODE 4 PLEASE RECORD RELEVANT COMMENTS IN COMMENT BOX}

.....

1. [preload: dem_hsename] / 2. [preload: rep_hsename]
2. [preload: rep_hsename] / 1. [preload: dem_hsename]
3. [preload: ind_hsename]
4. R VOL: names on ballot card are not correct {VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN}
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit response option 4 and include small text box in place of "SPECIFY" at response option 5.

"For whom did R vote for U.S. House (no ballot card)" **(POSTVOTE_HSVTNOBC)**

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF R VOTED FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT OR R INDICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG:

.....

Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}

.....

response type: Text entry

WEB LOGIC:
Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'

"Does R prefer U.S. House candidate" **(POSTVOTE_HSPREF)**

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS NOT REGISTERED OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOTVOTE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT /
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

.....

[Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district.

How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. **House of Representatives**?/
How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Do you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. **House of Representatives**?]

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, after the first sentence, display the names and parties of the candidates for House of Representatives in the R's district.

"Whom does R prefer for U.S. House (ballot card)" **(POSTVOTE_HSPREFBC)**

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT REPORT IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF R PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT

.....

Who did you prefer?

{FOR CODE 4 PLEASE RECORD RELEVANT COMMENTS IN COMMENT BOX}

.....

1. [preload: dem_hsename] / 2. [preload: rep_hsename]
2. [preload: rep_hsename] / 1. [preload: dem_hsename]
3. [preload: ind_hsename]
4. R VOL: names on ballot card are not correct {VOTE RECORDED ON NEXT SCREEN}
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit response option 4 and include a small text box in place of '{SPECIFY}' with option 5.

"Whom does R prefer for U.S. House (no ballot card)" **(POSTVOTE_HSPREFNOBC)**

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT REPORT IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF R PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE:
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT OR IF R INDICATED BALLOT CARD WRONG:

.....

Who did you prefer? Which party was that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}

response type: Text entry

WEB LOGIC:
Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'

"Did R vote for U.S. Senate" (POSTVOTE_VOTESEN)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

How about the election for the United States Senate ? Did you vote for a candidate for the **U.S. Senate**?

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]

1. Yes, voted for Senate
2. No, didn't vote for Senate

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R's vote for U.S. Senate (ballot card)" (POSTVOTE_VTSENBC)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE:
IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE:
IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

Who did you vote for?

1. [preload: dem_senname] / 2. [preload: rep_senname]
2. [preload: rep_senname] / 1. [preload: dem_senname]
3. [preload: ind_senname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include small text box in place of “SPECIFY” at response option 5.

"R's vote for U.S. Senate (no ballot card)" (POSTVOTE_VTSENNOBC)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE:
IF R VOTED FOR U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE:
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}

response type: Text entry

WEB LOGIC:
Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'

"Does R prefer U.S. Senate candidate" (POSTVOTE_SENPREF)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R NOT REGISTERED OR R REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT VOTE:
IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT /
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

How about the election for the United States Senate? Did you prefer one of the candidates for the **U.S. Senate**?

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Whom does R prefer for U.S. Senate" (POSTVOTE_SENPREFBC)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT REPORT IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE:
IF R PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR THE U.S. SENATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

Who did you prefer?

1. [preload: dem_senname] / 2. [preload: rep_senname]
2. [preload: rep_senname] / 1. [preload: dem_senname]
3. [preload: ind_senname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include small text box in place of “SPECIFY” at response option 5.

"Whom does R prefer for U.S. Senate" (POSTVOTE_SENPREFNOBC)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE AND DID NOT REPORT IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF A SENATE RACE WAS HELD IN R'S CURRENT STATE:
IF R PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR THE U.S. SENATE:
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

Who did you prefer? Which party was that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}

.....

response type: Text entry

WEB LOGIC:
Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'

"Did R vote for governor" (POSTVOTE_VOTEGOV)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT/
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

.....

How about the election for governor ? Did you vote for a candidate for **governor**?

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]

.....

1. Yes, voted for governor
2. No, didn't vote for governor

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R's vote for governor(ballot card)" (POSTVOTE_VTGOVBC)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE:
IF R VOTED FOR GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

.....

Who did you vote for?

.....

1. [preload: dem_govname] / 2. [preload: rep_govname]
2. [preload: rep_govname] / 1. [preload: dem_govname]
3. [preload: ind_govname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include small text box in place of “SPECIFY” at response option 5.

"R's vote for governor (no ballot card)" (POSTVOTE_VTGOVNOBC)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS REGISTERED TO VOTE:
IF R REPORTED IN THE POST THAT R VOTED:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE:
IF R VOTED FOR GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE:
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

.....

Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}

.....

response type: Text entry

WEB LOGIC:
Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'

"Does R prefer gubernatorial candidate" (POSTVOTE_GOVPREF)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED AND R DID NOT VOTE:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT /
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT:

.....

How about the election for governor? Did you prefer one of the candidates for **governor**?

[{SHOW [BLUE/PINK] BALLOT CARD}/
{DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD}]

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Whom does R prefer for governor" (POSTVOTE_GOVPREFBC)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED AND R DID NOT VOTE:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE:
IF R PREFERRED A GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE:
IF IWR HAS BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT

.....

Who did you prefer?

.....

1. [preload: dem_govname] / 2. [preload: rep_govname]
2. [preload: rep_govname] / 1. [preload: dem_govname]
3. [preload: ind_govname]
5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include small text box in place of “SPECIFY” at response option 5.

"Whom does R prefer for governor" (POSTVOTE_GOVPREFNOBC)

IF R DID NOT INDICATE IN THE PRE THAT R ALREADY VOTED:
IF R IS NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE OR IF R IS REGISTERED AND R DID NOT VOTE:
IF A GUBERNATORIAL RACE WAS HELD IN REGISTRATION STATE:
IF R PREFERRED A GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE:
IF IWR DOES NOT HAVE BALLOT CARD FOR REGISTRATION DISTRICT

.....

Who did you prefer? Which party was that?

{RECORD PARTY IF R DOES NOT KNOW OR REFUSES NAME}

.....

response type: Text entry

WEB LOGIC:
Online, if response text does not include the text string 'dem' or 'rep', prompt: 'Did you include the political party of the candidate you prefer? If not, please include the party in your answer. Then click Next to continue.'

section: **PTYWOM**

"Party does better job for the interests of women" (PTYWOM_BETTRPTY)

Which party do you think does a better job looking out for the interests of women? [The Democratic Party, the Republican Party / The Republican party, the Democratic Party], or do both parties do an equally good or bad job?

1. Democratic Party / 2. Republican Party
2. Republican Party / 1. Democratic Party
3. Both parties do an equally good or bad job

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of parties in question text

section: **OFCREC**

"INTRO - OFFICE RECOGNITION (POLIT KNOWLEDGE)" (OFCREC_OFCRECINT)

Now we have a set of questions concerning various public figures. We want to see how much information about them gets out to the public from television, newspapers and the like.

{INTERVIEWER: DOUBLE-CHECK THAT SCREEN IS NOT WITHIN VIEW OF THE RESPONDENT}

response type: Display only

"Office recognition: Speaker of the House Boehner" (OFCREC_KNSPK)

The first name is:
John Boehner.
What job or political office does he **now** hold?

{PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, 'WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?'
IF R SAYS DK AFTER PROBING, WRITE 'DK' AS THE RESPONSE}

response type: Text entry
DK not allowed

"FTF ONLY: Office recognition probe: Speaker of the House Boehner" (OFCREC_KNSPKPR)

{INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: **was** '**best guess**' **probe used**?}

1. Yes
5. No

response type: Single Punch

response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"Office recognition: Vice-President Biden" (OFCREC_KNVP)

.....

Joe Biden
What job or political office does he **now** hold?

{PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, 'WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?'
IF R SAYS DK AFTER PROBING, WRITE 'DK' AS THE RESPONSE}

.....

response type: Text entry
DK not allowed

"FTF ONLY: Office recognition probe: Vice-President Biden" (OFCREC_KNVPPR)

.....

{INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: **was** '**best guess**' **probe used**?}

.....

1. Yes
5. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"Office recognition: Prime Minister of UK Cameron" (OFCREC_KNPM)

.....

David Cameron
What job or political office does he **now** hold?

{PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, 'WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?'
IF R SAYS DK AFTER PROBING, WRITE 'DK' AS THE RESPONSE}

.....

response type: Text entry
DK not allowed

"FTF ONLY: Office recognition probe: Prime Minister of UK Cameron" (OFCREC_KNPMPR)

.....

{INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: **was** '**best guess**' **probe used**?}

.....

1. Yes
5. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"Office recognition: US Supreme Ct Chief Justice Roberts" (OFCREC_KNCHJ)

.....

John Roberts
What job or political office does he **now** hold?

{PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, 'WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?'

IF R SAYS DK AFTER PROBING, WRITE 'DK' AS THE RESPONSE}

response type: Text entry
DK not allowed

"FTF ONLY: Office recognition probe: US Supreme Ct Chief Justice" (OFCREC_KNCHJPR)

{INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: **was** '**best guess**' **probe used**?}

1. Yes
5. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

section: **THERMPO**

"INTRO - POST-ELECTION POLITICAL FIGURE THERMOMETERS" (THERMPO_THPOINTRO)

Please look at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet.

I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer.

Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person.

If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, in this section, do not use the standard nonresponse prompt; just move on to the next question. Also, use the following question text:
“Please look at the graphic below.
We would like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. We will show the name of a person and we'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer.
Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person.
If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just click “Next” and we'll move on to the next one.”
Also, display the feeling thermometer graphic onscreen on this intro screen and with each item in this section.

"Feeling thermometer: Democratic Presidential candidate" (THERMPO_THPOPRES)

Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.
How would you rate:
[preload: **dem_pcname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of Presidential cands
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: Republican Presidential candidate" (THERMPO_THPORPC)

Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.
How would you rate:
[preload: **rep_pcname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of Presidential cands
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: spouse of Republican Presidential cand" (THERMPO_THPORPCSP)

(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **rpc_spname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:

Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: spouse of Democratic Presidential cand" (THERMPO_THPODPCSP)

.....
(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **dpc_spname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}
.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE" (THERMPO_THPOHDC)

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN U.S. HOUSE RACE IN R'S CURRENT DISTRICT:
.....
(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **dem_hsename**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}
.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE" (THERMPO_THPOHRC)

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN U.S. HOUSE RACE IN R'S CURRENT DISTRICT:
.....
(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **rep_hsename**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}
.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: HOUSE IND/3rd-PARTY CANDIDATE" (THERMPO_THPOHOTH)

IF INDEPENDENT/3rd-PARTY CANDIDATE IN U.S. HOUSE RACE IN R'S CURRENT DISTRICT:

.....
(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **ind_hsename**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}
.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: SENATE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE" (THERMPO_THPOSDC)

IF SENATE RACE IN R'S CURRENT STATE:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:

.....
(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **dem_senname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}
.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: SENATE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE" (THERMPO_THPOSRC)

IF SENATE RACE IN R'S CURRENT STATE:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:

.....
(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **rep_senname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}
.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: SENATE IND/3rd-PARTY CANDIDATE" (THERMPO_THPOSOTH)

IF SENATE RACE IN R'S CURRENT STATE:
IF INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
.....
(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **ind_senname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}
.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: SR. SENATOR IN STATE WITHOUT RACE" (THERMPO_THPOSENSR)

IF NO SENATE RACE IN R's CURRENT STATE:
.....
(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **norace_srsenname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}
.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates

DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: JR. SENATOR IN STATE WITHOUT RACE" (THERMPO_THPOSENJR)

IF NO SENATE RACE IN R's CURRENT STATE:

.....
(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **norace_jrsenname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}
.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: NONRUNNING SENATOR IN STATE W/RACE" (THERMPO_THPOSENNOT)

IF SENATE RACE IN R's CURRENT STATE:

.....
(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
[preload: **race_sennotup**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}
.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: Democratic Vice Presidential cand" (THERMPO_THPODVPC)

.....
(Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet)
((How would you rate:))
[preload: **dem_vpcname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100

ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: Republican Vice Presidential cand" (THERMPO_THPORVPC)

.....

(Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet)
((How would you rate:))
[preload: **rep_vpcname**]

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: John Roberts" (THERMPO_THPORBTS)

.....

(Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet)
((How would you rate:))
Supreme Court Chief Justice **John Roberts**

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of names after Presidential candidates
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

section: **THERMGR**

"INTRO POST-ELECTION GROUP THERMOMETERS" (THERMGR_THGRINTRO)

.....

Still using the thermometer, how would you rate the following

groups:

.....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online use this text: 'Still using the thermometer, we would like you to rate some groups. Please click next to continue.' Do not show the feeling thermometer graphic on this screen.

"Feeling thermometer: CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS" (THERMGR_THGRFUND)

.....

(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
christian fundamentalists

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: CATHOLICS" (THERMGR_THGRCATH)

.....

Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet.
(How would you rate:)
Catholics

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: FEMINISTS" (THERMGR_THGRFEM)

.....

(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
feminists

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?

ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON" (THERMGR_THGRFEDG)

.....

Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet.
(How would you rate:)
the federal government in Washington

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: LIBERALS" (THERMGR_THGRLIB)

.....

Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet.
(How would you rate:)
liberals

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: MIDDLE CLASS PEOPLE" (THERMGR_THGRMIDC)

.....

(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
middle class people

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: LABOR UNIONS" (THERMGR_THGRLAB)

.....

Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet.
(How would you rate:)
labor unions

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: POOR PEOPLE" (THERMGR_THGRPOOR)

.....

(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
poor people

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: THE MILITARY" (THERMGR_THGRMILIT)

.....

Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet.
(How would you rate:)
the military

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: BIG BUSINESS" (THERMGR_THGRBIGB)

.....
Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet.
(How would you rate:)
big business

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: PEOPLE ON WELFARE" (THERMGR_THGRWELF)

.....
(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
people on welfare

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: CONSERVATIVES" (THERMGR_THGRCONS)

Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet.
(How would you rate:)
conservatives

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: WORKING CLASS PEOPLE" (THERMGR_THGRWKC)

(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
working class people

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: THE U.S. SUPREME COURT" (THERMGR_THGRSCT)

Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet.
(How would you rate:)
the U.S. Supreme Court

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: GAY MEN AND LESBIANS" (THERMGR_THGRGAY)

.....

(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
gay men and lesbians

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: CONGRESS" (THERMGR_THGRCONG)

.....

Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet.
(How would you rate:)
Congress

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: RICH PEOPLE" (THERMGR_THGRRICH)

.....

(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
rich people

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')

randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: MUSLIMS" (THERMGR_THGRMUSL)

.....

Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet.
(How would you rate:)
Muslims

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: CHRISTIANS" (THERMGR_THGRXTIAN)

.....

(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
christians

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: ATHEISTS" (THERMGR_THGRATH)

.....

Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet.
(How would you rate:)
atheists

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: MORMONS" (THERMGR_THMORM)

.....

(Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.)
(How would you rate:)
Mormons

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

"Feeling thermometer: TEA PARTY" (THERMGR_THTEAPTY)

.....

Looking at page [preload: postpg_c] of the booklet.
(How would you rate:)
the tea party

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THIS IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
ENTER NUMBER 0-100
ENTER '998' FOR 'DON'T KNOW WHERE TO RATE'
ENTER '999' FOR 'DON'T RECOGNIZE' .}

.....

response type: Numeric entry
nondefault nonresp: 998. Don't know ('don't know where to rate') 999. Don't recognize ('don't know who this is')
randomization: Order of group thermometers
DK not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Online: omit 'Looking at page [preload:postpg_c] of the booklet.'
Display feeling thermometer graphic on screen.

section: HSEINC

"Approve or disapprove of House incumbent" (HSEINC_HINCAPP)

.....

Not looking at the booklet now.
In general, do you **approve** or **disapprove** of the way
[preload: inc_hsename] has been handling [preload: inc_hsegen2]

job?

1. Approve
2. Disapprove

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit 'Not looking at the booklet now.'

"How much approve House incumbent" (HSEINC_HINCAPPSTR)

IF R APPROVES HOUSE INCUMBENT JOB:

Do you approve **strongly** or **not strongly**?

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with HSEINC_HINCAPP.

"How much disapprove House incumbent" (HSEINC_HINDISSTR)

IF R DISAPPROVES HOUSE INCUMBENT JOB:

Do you disapprove **strongly** or **not strongly**?

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with HSEINC_HINCAPP.

"How good a job does House incumbent do in district" (HSEINC_HINCTOUCH)

How good a job would you say U.S. Representative [preload: inc_hsename] does of keeping in touch with the people in your district -- does [preload: inc_hsegen1] do a **very good** job, **fairly good**, **fairly poor**, or a **very poor** job of keeping in touch with the people in this district?

1. Very good
2. Fairly good
3. Fairly poor
4. Very poor

response type: Single Punch

"Mention 1 most important problems facing the country" **(MIP_PROB1)**

.....

What do you think are the most important problems facing this country? If you think there are more than one, please briefly tell me **one** problem now.

{PROBE IF THE ANSWER IS ONLY 1 OR TWO WORDS FOR A TOPIC SUCH AS 'EDUCATION' OR 'NUCLEAR PWOER' BUT A PROBLEM RELATED TO THE TOPIC IS NOT SPECIFIED: CAN YOU BRIEFLY TELL ME WHAT IS THE PROBLEM WITH _____?'}

.....

response type: Text entry

WEB LOGIC:
Online, change 'tell me ONE' to 'name one'

"Party to deal with mention 1 MIP" **(MIP_PROB1PTY)**

IF FIRST MENTION OF MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM IS NOT DK OR REFUSED:

.....

Which political party do you think would be the most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- [the Democrats, the Republicans / the Republicans, the Democrats] or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

.....

1. Democrats / 2. Republicans
2. Republicans / 1. Democrats
3. Wouldn't be much difference

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of party names in question text

"Mention 2 most important problems facing the country" **(MIP_PROB2)**

IF FIRST MENTION OF MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM IS NOT DK OR REFUSED:

.....

Can you think of any other important problems facing this country? If so, please briefly mention **one** of them now.

{PROBE IF THE ANSWER IS ONLY 1 OR TWO WORDS FOR A TOPIC SUCH AS 'EDUCATION' OR 'NUCLEAR PWOER' BUT A PROBLEM RELATED TO THE TOPIC IS NOT SPECIFIED: CAN YOU BRIEFLY TELL ME WHAT IS THE PROBLEM WITH _____?'}

.....

response type: Text entry

"Party to deal with mention 2 MIP" **(MIP_PROB2PTY)**

IF SECOND MENTION OF MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM IS NOT DK OR REFUSED:

.....

Which political party do you think would be the most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- [the Democrats, the Republicans / the Republicans, the Democrats] or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

.....

1. Democrats / 2. Republicans
2. Republicans / 1. Democrats
3. Wouldn't be much difference

response type: Single Punch

response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of party names in question text

"Mention 3 most important problems facing the country" (MIP_PROB3)

IF SECOND MENTION OF MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM IS NOT DK OR REFUSED:

.....

Can you think of any other important problems facing this country? If so, please briefly mention **one** of them now.

{PROBE IF THE ANSWER IS ONLY 1 OR TWO WORDS FOR A TOPIC SUCH AS 'EDUCATION' OR 'NUCLEAR PWOER' BUT A PROBLEM RELATED TO THE TOPIC IS NOT SPECIFIED: CAN YOU BRIEFLY TELL ME WHAT IS THE PROBLEM WITH _____?'}

.....

response type: Text entry

"Party to deal with mention 3 MIP" (MIP_PROB3PTY)

IF THIRD MENTION OF MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM IS NOT DK OR REFUSED:

.....

Which political party do you think would be the most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- [the Democrats, the Republicans / the Republicans, the Democrats] or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

.....

1. Democrats / 2. Republicans
2. Republicans / 1. Democrats
3. Wouldn't be much difference

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of party names in question text

"Which among mentions is the most important problem " (MIP_MOSTPROB)

IF R HAS MENTIONED MORE THAN 1 IMPORTANT PROBLEM IN THE COUNTRY:

.....

Of those you've mentioned, what would you say is the single most important problem the country faces?

{IF R DOES NOT/CANNOT ANSWER, BE SURE TO USE THE 'DON'T KNOW' OR 'REFUSED' CODE TO INDICATE THAT NO ANSWER WAS GIVEN}

.....

response type: Text entry

section: SECURPUB

"Have changes in security at public places gone too far" (SECURPUB_SECCHG)

.....

Since the September 11, 2001 attacks there have been changes in security at public places such as airports, stadiums and government buildings. Have these changes in security gone too far, are they just about right, or do they not go far enough?

.....

1. Have gone too far
2. Are just about right
3. Do not go far enough

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **KNOWL**

"Know party with most members in House before election" (KNOWL_KNMEMHSE)

IF MONTH OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW IS NOVEMBER/
IF MONTH OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW IS DECEMBER:

.....

Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the House of Representatives in Washington **before** the election [this/last] month?

{IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?
DON'T PROBE DK}

.....

1. Democrats
2. Republicans

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Know party with most members in Senate before electn" (KNOWL_KNMEMSEN)

IF MONTH OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW IS NOVEMBER/
IF MONTH OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW IS DECEMBER:

.....

Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the U.S. Senate **before** the election [this/last] month?

{IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?
DON'T PROBE DK}

.....

1. Democrats
2. Republicans

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **WPRES**

"Women President in next 20 years" (WPRES_GDBD)

.....

Would it be good, bad, or neither good nor bad if the United States has a woman President in the next 20 years?

.....

1. Good if the United States has a woman President
2. Bad if the United States has a woman President
3. Neither good nor bad if the United States has a woman President

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How good would it be if we had a woman President" (WPRES_GDSTR)

IF R SAYS IT WOULD BE GOOD IF U.S. HAS WOMAN PRESIDENT IN NEXT 20 YEARS:

.....

How good?
[Extremely good, moderately good, or a little good / a little good, moderately good or extremely good]?

.....

1. Extremely
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with WPRES_GCBD.

"How bad would it be if we had a woman President" (WPRES_GDSTR)

IF R SAYS IT WOULD BE BAD IF U.S. HAS WOMAN PRESIDENT IN NEXT 20 YEARS:

.....

How bad?
[Extremely bad, moderately bad, or a little bad/ a little bad, moderately bad, or extremely bad]?

.....

1. Extremely
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with WPRES_GCBD.

"Important that woman elected president - Good" (WPRES_IMPORTYES)

IF GOOD OR ELSE NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD THAT A WOMAN PRES IS ELECTED IN NEXT 20 YRS:

.....

How important is it to you that a woman is elected President of the United States? [Extemely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important /Not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important]?

.....

1. Extremely important
2. Very important
3. Moderately important
4. A little important
5. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Important that woman elected president --Bad" (WPRES_IMPORTNO)

IF BAD THAT A WOMAN PRES IS ELECTED IN NEXT 20 YRS:

.....

How important is it to you that a woman is not elected President of the United States? [Extemely important, very important, moderately important, slightly important, or not at all important /Not at all

important, slightly important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important]?

.....

1. Extremely important
2. Very important
3. Moderately important
4. A little important
5. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: **BUDGET**

"INTRO - FEDERAL DEFICIT MANAGEMENT" (BUDGET_BUDGINTRO)

.....

When the U.S. federal government spends more money than it collects, the difference is called the federal budget deficit. The federal government currently has a deficit.

.....

response type: Display only

"Favor or oppose reducing federal deficit" (BUDGET_BUDGRED)

.....

Do you **favor**, **oppose**, or **neither favor nor oppose** the federal government doing things now to reduce this budget deficit?

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with BUDGINTRO.

"How strongly favor reducing deficit" (BUDGET_FAVREDSTR)

IF R FAVORS GOVERNMENT TAKING STEPS TO REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT:

.....

Do you favor that **strongly** or **not strongly**?

.....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with BUDGRED.

"How strongly oppose reducing deficit" (BUDGET_OPPREDSTR)

IF R OPPOSES GOVERNMENT TAKING STEPS TO REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT:

.....

Do you oppose that **strongly** or **not strongly**?

.....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with BUDGRED.

"Lean to favor or oppose deficit reduction" (BUDGET_REDLEAN)

IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES GOVERNMENT TAKING STEPS TO REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT:

.....

Do you **lean toward favoring it**, **lean toward opposing it**, or do you **not lean either way**?

.....

1. Lean toward favoring
2. Lean toward opposing
3. Do not lean either way

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with BUDGRED.

"Importance of reducing deficit" (BUDGET_DEFIMP)

.....

How important is it to reduce the deficit? [**extremely important**, **very important**, **moderately important**, **a little important**, or **not at all important**? / **not at all important**, **a little important**, **moderately important**, **very important**, or **extremely important**?]

.....

1. Extremely important
2. Very important
3. Moderately important
4. A little important
5. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Reduce deficit by raising personal inc tax for over 25K inc" (BUDGET_RDEF25K)

IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES /
IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:

.....

[Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: /
(Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)]

Increase personal income taxes for those making over $250,000 per year.

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of deficit reduction items

"Reduce deficit by replacing medicare with voucher system" (BUDGET_RDEFMED)

IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES /
IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:

.....

[Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: /
(Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)]

Replace Medicare with a voucher program

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of deficit reduction items

"Reduce deficit by increasing corporate taxes" (BUDGET_RDEFCTAX)

IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES /
IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:

.....

[Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: /
(Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)]

Increase corporate taxes

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of deficit reduction items

"Reduce deficit by cutting military spending" (BUDGET_RDEFMIL)

IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES /
IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:

.....

[Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: /
(Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)]

Cut military spending

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of deficit reduction items

"Reduce deficit by cutting fed employees by 10 percent" (BUDGET_RDEFEMP)

IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES /
IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:

.....

[Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: /
(Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)]

Reduce the number of federal government employees by 10 percent

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of deficit reduction items

"Reduce deficit by cutting govt activities excluding military" (BUDGET_RDEFGOV)

IF FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES /
IF NOT FIRST OR 2ND ITEM IN DEFICIT REDUCTION SERIES:

.....

[Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following: /
(Would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a plan to reduce the federal budget deficit if it included the following:)]

Spend less on all U.S. government activities besides the military

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of deficit reduction items

section: PATRIOT

"Emotion seeing flag fly" (PATRIOT_FLAG)

.....

When you see the American flag flying does it make you feel [**extremely good**, **very good**, **moderately good**, **slightly good**, or **not good at all** / **not good at all**, **slightly good**, **moderately good**, **very good**, or **extremely good**]?

.....

1. Extremely good
2. Very good
3. Moderately good
4. Slightly good
5. Not good at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Love of country" (PATRIOT_LOVE)

.....

How do you feel about this country? Do you [hate it, dislike it, neither like nor dislike it, like it, or love it / love it, like it, neither like nor dislike it, dislike it, or hate it]?

.....

1. Hate it
2. Dislike it
3. Neither like nor dislike it
4. Like it
5. Love it

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Important being American" (PATRIOT_AMIDENT)

.....

How important is being an American to you personally? [**extremely** important, **very** important, **somewhat** important, **a little** important, or **not at all** important /**not at all** important, **a little** important, **somewhat** important, **very** important, or **extremely** important]?

.....

1. Extremely important
2. Very important
3. Somewhat important
4. A little important
5. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: MILLN

"Favor or oppose tax on millionaires" (MILLN_MILLTAX)

.....

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose increasing income taxes on people making over one million dollars per year?

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How strongly favor/oppose" (MILLN_MILLTAXST)

IF R FAVORS INCREASING THE INCOME TAX PAID BY MILLIONAIRES /
IF R OPPOSES INCREASING THE INCOME TAX PAID BY MILLIONAIRES:

.....

Do you favor that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal] /
Do you oppose that [a great deal, a moderate amount, or a

little / a little, a moderate amount, or a great deal] ?

.....

1. A great deal
2. A moderate amount
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with MILL_MILLTAX.

section: **FAIRJOB**

"Opinion about govt ensuring fair jobs for blacks" (FAIRJOB_FJOBOPIN)

.....

Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs or is this not the federal government's business?

.....

1. Government in Washington should see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs
2. This is not the federal government's business
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include a small text box in place of '{SPECIFY}'.

"How much feel govt should ensure fair jobs for blacks" (FAIRJOB_FJOBYES)

IF R POSITION IS THAT GOVT SHOULD SEE TO FAIR JOBS FOR BLACKS:

.....

Do you feel **strongly** or **not strongly** that the government in Washington should see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs?

.....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much feel govt not ensure fair jobs for blacks" (FAIRJOB_FJOBNO)

IF R POSITION IS THAT FAIR JOBS FOR BLACKS IS NOT THE GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS:

.....

Do you feel **strongly** or **not strongly** that this is not the federal government's business?

.....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **IMPORTS**

"Favor or oppose limits on foreign imports" (IMPORTS_IMPORTLIM)

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports.
Do you **favor** or **oppose** placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

1. Favor
2. Oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
nondefault nonresp: 99. Haven't thought much about this

section: **ISRSUPP**

"Is U.S. too supportive of Israel or not supportive enough" (ISRSUPP_SUPPLEV)

Thinking about the relationship between the United States and Israel, is the U.S. too supportive of Israel, not supportive enough of Israel, or is U.S. support of Israel about right?

1. Too supportive
2. Not supportive enough
3. About right

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **IMMIGPO**

"What should immigration levels be" (IMMIGPO_IMMLEVEL)

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be [**increased a lot**, **increased a little**, **left the same as it is now**, **decreased a little**, or **decreased a lot** / **decreased a lot**, **decreased a little**, **left the same as it is now**, **increased a little**, or **increased a lot**]?

1. Increased a lot
2. Increased a little
3. Left the same as it is now
4. Decreased a little
5. Decreased a lot

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"How likely immigration will take away jobs" (IMMIGPO_IMMJOBS)

Now I'd like to ask you about immigration in recent years. How likely is it that recent immigration levels will take jobs away from people already here -- [**extremely** likely,

very likely, **somewhat** likely, or **not at all** likely / **not at all** likely, **somewhat** likely, **very** likely, or **extremely** likely]?

.....

1. Extremely
2. Very
3. Somewhat
4. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, change 'I'd' to 'we'd'.

section: **HLTHLAW**

"Health Care Law effect on health care services" (HLTHLAW_QUAL)

IF 2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION WINNER IS DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE OBAMA /
IF 2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION WINNER IS REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE ROMNEY:

.....

[After/If] the health care law is fully implemented, will it have improved, worsened, or had no effect on the quality of health care services in the United States?

.....

1. Improved
2. Worsened
3. Had no effect

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Health Care Law effect on number insured" (HLTHLAW_NUM)

IF 2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION WINNER IS DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE OBAMA /
IF 2012 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION WINNER IS REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE ROMNEY:

.....

[After/If] the health care law is fully implemented, will it have increased, decreased, or had no effect on the number of Americans with health insurance?

.....

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Had no effect

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **IRAN**

"Iran trying to develop nuclear weapons" (IRAN_NUKDEV)

.....

Do you think Iran is or is not trying to develop nuclear weapons?

.....

1. Trying to develop nuclear weapons
2. Not trying to develop nuclear weapons

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Try to stop Iranian nuclear dev: direct diplomatic talks" (IRAN_NUKDIP)

.....

To try to prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose.....
Direct diplomatic talks between the United States and Iran to try to resolve the situation

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Try to stop Iranian nuclear dev: increase sanctions" (IRAN_NUKSANCT)

.....

To try to prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose...
Increasing international economic sanctions against Iran

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Try to stop Iranian nuclear dev: bomb development sites" (IRAN_NUKSITE)

.....

(To try to prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose...)
The United States bombing Iran's nuclear development sites

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Try to stop Iranian nuclear dev: invade with U.S. troops" (IRAN_NUKEINVD)

.....

(To try to prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose...)
Invading with U.S. forces to remove the Iranian government from power

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **CHINA**

"Effect of China's economic expansion on U.S." (CHINA_CHINECON)

.....

Do you think the recent economic expansion of China has been generally good for the U.S., bad for the U.S., or had no effect on the U.S. economy?

.....

1. Good
2. Bad
3. No Effect

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"China military threat" (CHINA_CHINMIL)

.....

Do you think China's military is [a major threat to the security of the United States, a minor threat, or not a threat / not a threat, a minor threat, or a major threat to the security of the United States]?

.....

1. Major threat
2. Minor threat
3. Not a threat

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: ECPERIL

"Put off checkup and vaccines" (ECPERIL_PUTOFFMED)

.....

We are interested in finding out whether or not people are getting regular check ups and vaccinations as well as treatment for illness and injury.
During the past 12 months, have you or anyone living here put off getting this kind of health care because of the cost, or has no one living here put off getting this kind of health care because of the cost?

.....

1. Someone has put off health care
2. No one has put off health care

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Will you pay all costs" (ECPERIL_PAYHLTHCST)

.....

During the next 12 months, how likely is it that you will be able to pay for all of your health care costs? [Extremely likely, very likely, moderately likely, slightly likely, or not likely at all / not likely at all, slightly likely, moderately likely, very likely, or extremely likely?]

.....

1. Extremely likely
2. Very likely
3. Moderately likely
4. Slightly likely
5. Not likely at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Worry about financial situation" (ECPERIL_WORRYFIN)

So far as you and your family are concerned, how worried are you about your current financial situation? [Extremely worried, very worried, moderately worried, a little worried, or not at all worred / not at all worried, a little worried, moderately worried, very worried, or extremely worried?]

1. Extremely worried
2. Very worried
3. Moderately worried
4. A little worried
5. Not at all worried

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Home tenure" (ECPERIL_HOME)

Do you pay rent for your home, make monthly mortgage payments for your home, own your home outright with no payments due, or have some other living arrangement?

1. Pay rent
2. Pay mortgage
3. Own home with no payments due
4. Some other arrangement

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Any payments for home for non-owners non-renters" (ECPERIL_PAYANY)

IF R HAS A LIVING ARRANGEMENT OTHER THAN HOME OWNERSHIP OR RENTAL:

Do you pay any money for your housing, or do you not pay any money for your housing?

1. Pay money for housing
2. Do not pay money for housing

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Able to make housing payments" (ECPERIL_PAYHOUSE)

IF R PAYS RENT/
IF R OWNS HIS OR HER HOME AND PAYS A MORTGAGE /
IF R DOES NOT PAY RENT OR A MORTGAGE BUT STILL PAYS MONEY FOR HIS OR HER HOUSING:

During the next 12 months, how likely is it that you will be able to make all of your [rent/mortgage/housing] payments on time? [Extremely likely, very likely, moderately likely, slightly likely, or not likely at all / not likely at all, slightly likely, moderately likely, very likely, or extremely likely?]

1. Extremely likely
2. Very likely
3. Moderately likely

4. Slightly likely
5. Not likely at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Anyone lost jobs" (ECPERIL_LOSTJOBS)

.....

During the past 12 months, has anyone in your family or a close personal friend lost a job, or has no one in your family and no close personal friend lost a job in the past 12 months?

.....

1. Someone lost a job
2. No one lost a job

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **LIBCPO**

"INTRO - LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT" (LIBCPO_LIBCPOINTRO)

.....

Please look at page [preload: postpg_e] of the booklet.
We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a seven-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.

.....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit 'Please look at page [preload: postpg_e] of the booklet.'
Bank with LIBCPO_LIBCPOSELF.

"7pt scale liberal-Conservate: self placement" (LIBCPO_LIBCPOSELF)

.....

Where would you place **yourself** on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

.....

1. Extremely liberal
2. Liberal
3. Slightly liberal
4. Moderate; middle of the road
5. Slightly conservative
6. Conservative
7. Extremely conservative

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
nondefault nonresp: 99. Haven't thought much {DO NOT PROBE}

"If had to choose, liberal or conservative" (LIBCPO_LIBCPOCH)

IF MODERATE, DK, OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH FOR LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE:

.....

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a **liberal** or a **conservative**?

.....

1. Liberal
2. Conservative
3. Moderate {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"7pt scale liberal-conservative: Democratic House cand" (LIBCPO_LIBCPOHDC)

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF R DID NOT INDICATE NO RECOGNITION OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND IN THERMOMETER:

.....

(Looking at page [preload: postpg_e] of the booklet)
Where would you place [preload: dem_hsename
on this scale?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

.....

1. Extremely liberal
2. Liberal
3. Slightly liberal
4. Moderate; middle of the road
5. Slightly conservative
6. Conservative
7. Extremely conservative

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of House candidates

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg_e] of the booklet)'.

"7pt scale liberal-conservative: Republican House cand" (LIBCPO_LIBCPOHRC)

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF R DID NOT INDICATE NO RECOGNITION OF REPUBLICAN HOUSE CAND IN THERMOMETER:

.....

(Looking at page [preload: postpg_e] of the booklet)
Where would you place [preload: rep_hsename]
on this scale?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

.....

1. Extremely liberal
2. Liberal
3. Slightly liberal
4. Moderate; middle of the road
5. Slightly conservative
6. Conservative
7. Extremely conservative

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of House candidates

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg_e] of the booklet)'.

section: **SCILIT**

"How oft shd govt use scientific methods to solve imp probs" (SCILIT_OFTSCI)

.....

When trying to solve important problems, how often should the government rely on scientific approaches? [always, most of the time, about half the time, some of the time, never / never, some of the time, about half the time, most of the time, or always]

{PLEASE NOTE ANY CONFUSION ON THE PART OF THE RESPONDENT WITH REGARD TO THE TERM 'SCIENTIFIC'}

.....

1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: **ABORTPO**

"[STD] Abortion: Democratic Presidential cand placemt" (ABORTPO_ABDPCSTD)

.....

Now on another topic.
(Looking at page [preload:postpg_f] of the booklet)
Where would you place [preload: **dem_pcname**]?
(on abortion)?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

.....

1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the need for the abortion has been clearly established.
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.
5. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg_e] of the booklet)'.
The question stem should read, 'Where would you place [preload: DEM_PCNAME] on abortion?'
Omit response option 5.

"[STD] Abortion: Republican Presidential cand placemt" (ABORTPO_ABRPCSTD)

.....

(Looking at page [preload:postpg_f] of the booklet)
Where would you place [preload: **rep_pcname**]

(on abortion)?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

.....

1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the need for the abortion has been clearly established.
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.
5. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of Pres cands

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg_f] of the booklet)'.
The question stem should read, 'Where would you place [preload: REP_PCNAME] on abortion?'
Omit response option 5.

"[STD] Abortion: Democratic party placement" (ABORTPO_ABDPTYSTD)

.....

(Looking at page [preload:postpg_f] of the booklet)
Where would you place the Democratic Party?
(on abortion)?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

.....

1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the need for the abortion has been clearly established.
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.
5. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of major parties

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg_f] of the booklet)'.
The question stem should read, 'Where would you place the Democratic Party on abortion?'
Omit response option 5.

"[STD] Abortion: Republican party placement" (ABORTPO_ABRPTYSTD)

.....

(Looking at page [preload:postpg_f] of the booklet)
Where would you place the Republican Party?
(on abortion)?

{DO NOT PROBE DK}

.....

1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.

3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the need for the abortion has been clearly established.
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.
5. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of major parties

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit '(Looking at page [preload: postpg_f] of the booklet)'.
The question stem should read, 'Where would you place the Republican Party on abortion?'
Omit response option 5.

"INTRO - ABORTIONS FOR SPECIFIC REASONS" (ABORTPO_ABINTRO)

.....

Not using the Respondent Booklet now.
I'd like to describe a series of circumstances in which a woman might want to have an abortion. For each one, please tell me whether you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose it being **legal** for the woman to have an abortion in that circumstance.

.....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use the following question text:
We would like to describe a series of circumstances in which a woman might want to have an abortion. For each one, please say whether you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose it being legal for the woman to have an abortion in that circumstance.

Set the word 'legal' in boldface type.

"[REV] Abortion: R favor/oppose when nonfatal health risk" (ABORTPO_ABNFAT)

IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:

.....

[First,/Next,] do you **favor**, **oppose**, or **neither favor nor oppose** abortion being **legal** if:
staying pregnant would hurt the woman's health but is very unlikely to cause her to die

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

WEB LOGIC:
Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.

"[REV] How much R favor abortion for nonfatal health risk" (ABORTPO_ABNFATFAV)

IF R FAVORS ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:

.....

Do you favor that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with previous question.

"How much R oppose abortn for nonfatal health risk" (ABORTPO_ABNFATOPP)

IF R OPPOSES ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:

.....

Do you oppose that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with previous question.

"[REV] R lean favor/opp abortion for nonfatal health risk" (ABORTPO_ABNFATLN)

IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION FOR NONFATAL HEALTH RISK:

.....

Do you **lean toward favoring it**, **lean toward opposing it**, or do you **not lean either way**?

.....

1. Lean toward favoring
2. Lean toward opposing
3. Do not lean either way

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] Abortion: R favor/oppose when fatal health risk" (ABORTPO_ABFAT)

IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:

.....

[First,/Next,] do you **favor**, **oppose**, or **neither favor nor oppose** abortion being **legal** if:
staying pregnant could cause the woman to die

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

WEB LOGIC:
Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.

"[REV] How much R favor abortion for fatal health risk" (ABORTPO_ABFATFAV)

IF R FAVORS ABORTION FOR FATAL HEALTH RISK:

.....

Do you favor that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] How much R oppose abortion for fatal health risk" (ABORTPO_ABFATOPP)

IF R OPPOSES ABORTION FOR FATAL HEALTH RISK:

.....

Do you oppose that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] R lean favor/oppose abortion for fatal health risk" (ABORTPO_ABFATLN)

IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION FOR FATAL HEALTH RISK:

.....

Do you **lean toward favoring it**, **lean toward opposing it**, or do you **not lean either way**?

.....

1. Lean toward favoring
2. Lean toward opposing
3. Do not lean either way

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] Abortion: R favor/oppose in incest cases" (ABORTPO_ABINC)

IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:

.....

[First,/Next,] do you **favor**, **oppose**, or **neither favor nor oppose** abortion being **legal** if:
the pregnancy was caused by the woman having sex with a blood relative

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

WEB LOGIC:
Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.

"[REV] How much R favor abortion in incest cases" (ABORTPO_ABINCFAV)

IF R FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST:

.....

Do you favor that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] How much R oppose abortion in incest cases" (ABORTPO_ABINCOPP)

IF R OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST:

.....

Do you oppose that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] R lean favor/oppose abortion in incest cases" (ABORTPO_ABINCLN)

IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF INCEST:

.....

Do you **lean toward favoring it**, **lean toward opposing it**, or do you **not lean either way**?

.....

1. Lean toward favoring
2. Lean toward opposing
3. Do not lean either way

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] Abortion: R favor/oppose in rape cases" (ABORTPO_ABRAPE)

IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:

.....

[First,/Next,] do you **favor**, **oppose**, or **neither favor nor oppose** abortion being **legal** if:
the pregnancy was caused by the woman being raped

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

WEB LOGIC:
Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.

"[REV] How much R favor abortion in rape cases" (ABORTPO_ABRAPEFAV)

IF R FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE:

.....

Do you favor that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] How much R oppose abortion in rape cases" (ABORTPO_ABRAPEOPP)

IF R OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE:

.....

Do you oppose that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] R lean favor/oppose abortion in rape cases" (ABORTPO_ABRAPELN)

IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF RAPE:

.....

Do you **lean toward favoring it**, **lean toward opposing it**, or do you **not lean either way**?

.....

1. Lean toward favoring
2. Lean toward opposing
3. Do not lean either way

response type: Single Punch

response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] Abortion: R favor/oppose in birth defect cases" (ABORTPO_ABDEF)

IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:

.....

[First,/Next,] do you **favor**, **oppose**, or **neither favor nor oppose** abortion being **legal** if:
the fetus will be born with a serious birth defect

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

WEB LOGIC:
Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.

"[REV] How much R favor abortion in birth defect cases" (ABORTPO_ABDEFFAV)

IF R FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF SERIOUS BIRTH DEFECT:

.....

Do you favor that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] How much R oppose abortion in birth defect cases" (ABORTPO_ABDEFOPP)

IF R OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF SERIOUS BIRTH DEFECT:

.....

Do you oppose that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] R lean favor/oppose abortion in birth defect case" (ABORTPO_ABDEFLN)

IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF SERIOUS BIRTH DEFECT:

.....

Do you **lean toward favoring it**, **lean toward opposing it**, or do you **not lean either way**?

.....
1. Lean toward favoring
2. Lean toward opposing
3. Do not lean either way

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] Abortion: R favor/oppose in financial hardshp cases" (ABORTPO_ABFIN)

IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:

.....
[First,/Next,] do you **favor**, **oppose**, or **neither favor nor oppose** abortion being **legal** if:
having the child would be extremely difficult for the woman financially
.....
1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

WEB LOGIC:
Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.

"[REV] How much R favor abortion in finan hardship cases" (ABORTPO_ABFINFAV)

IF R FAVORS ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP:

.....
Do you favor that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?
.....
1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] How much R oppose abortion in finan hardship cases" (ABORTPO_ABFINOPP)

IF R OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP:

.....
Do you oppose that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?
.....
1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] Lean fav/oppose abortion in finan hardship cases" (ABORTPO_ABFINLN)

IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION IN CASES OF FINANCIAL HARDSHIP

.....

Do you **lean toward favoring it**, **lean toward opposing it**, or do you **not lean either way**?

.....

1. Lean toward favoring
2. Lean toward opposing
3. Do not lean either way

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] Abortion: R favor/oppose when child gender 'wrong'" (ABORTPO_ABGEN)

IF FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO / NOT FIRST ABORTION SCENARIO:

.....

[First,/Next,] do you **favor**, **oppose**, or **neither favor nor oppose** abortion being **legal** if:
the child will not be the sex the woman wants it to be

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

WEB LOGIC:
Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.

"[REV] How much R favor abortn when child gender 'wrong'" (ABORTPO_ABGENFAV)

IF R FAVORS ABORTION WHEN THE CHILD IS THE 'WRONG' GENDER:

.....

Do you favor that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] How much R oppose abortn when child gender 'wrong'" (ABORTPO_ABGENOPP)

IF R OPPOSES ABORTION WHEN THE CHILD IS THE 'WRONG' GENDER:

.....

Do you oppose that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"[REV] R lean favor/opp abortn when child gender 'wrong'" (ABORTPO_ABGENLN)

IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION WHEN THE CHILD IS THE 'WRONG' GENDER:

.....

Do you **lean toward favoring it**, **lean toward opposing it**, or do you **not lean either way**?

.....

1. Lean toward favoring
2. Lean toward opposing
3. Do not lean either way

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of abortion scenarios

"Abortion: favor/oppose woman's choice" (ABORTPO_ABNCHOICE)

.....

Next, do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose abortion being legal if the woman chooses to have one?

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, set the word 'legal' in bold and omit the colon after 'if' and end the question with a question mark.

"How much R favor abortion for woman's choice" (ABORTPO_ABCHOICEFAV)

IF R FAVORS ABORTION AS WOMAN'S CHOICE:

.....

Do you favor that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"How much R oppose abortn for woman's choice" (ABORTPO_ABCHOICEOPP)

IF R OPPOSES ABORTION AS WOMAN'S CHOICE:

.....

Do you oppose that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal

2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"R lean favor/opp abortion for woman's choice" (ABORTPO_ABCHOICELN)

IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES ABORTION AS WOMAN'S CHOICE:

.....

Do you **lean toward favoring it**, **lean toward opposing it**, or do you **not lean either way**?

.....

1. Lean toward favoring
2. Lean toward opposing
3. Do not lean either way

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **CTWIRE**

"Favor or oppose court auth for terr suspect wiretaps" (CTWIRE_CITWTAP)

.....

Now for some other topics.
Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the U.S. government being required to present evidence to get a court's permission before it can listen in on phone calls made by American citizens who are suspected of being terrorists?

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **OUTS**

"Should govt encourage/discourage outsourcing" (OUTS_OUTSGVT)

.....

Recently, some big American companies have been hiring workers in foreign countries to replace workers in the U.S.
Do you think the federal government should **discourage** companies from doing this, **encourage** companies to do this, or **stay out** of this matter?

.....

1. Discourage
2. Encourage
3. Should stay out of this matter

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much should govt encourage/discourage outsourcing" (OUTS_OUTSGST)

IF GOVERNMENT SHOULD DISCOURAGE COMPANIES FROM OUTSOURCING /

IF GOVERNMENT SHOULD ENCOURAGE COMPANIES FROM OUTSOURCING:

.....

Do you think the government should do this a **great deal** or **only a little**?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Only a little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **SSINV**

"Favor or oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds" (SSINV_SSINVEST)

.....

A proposal has been made that would allow people to put a portion of their Social Security payroll taxes into personal retirement accounts that would be invested in stocks and bonds. Do you **favor** this idea, **oppose** it, or **neither favor nor oppose** it?

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much favor Social Security in stocks and bonds" (SSINV_SSINVFAV)

IF R FAVORS SOCIAL SECURITY INVESTMENT IN STOCKS AND BONDS:

.....

Do you favor it **strongly** or **not strongly**?

.....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with SSINV_SSINVEST.

"How much oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds" (SSINV_SSINVOPP)

IF R OPPOSES SOCIAL SECURITY INVESTMENT IN STOCKS AND BONDS:

.....

Do you oppose it **strongly** or **not strongly**?

.....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with SSINV_SSINVEST.

"R lean favor or oppose Social Security in stocks and bonds" (SSINV_SSINVLN)

IF R NEITHER FAVORS NOR OPPOSES SOCIAL SECURITY INVESTMENT IN STOCKS AND BONDS:

.....

Do you lean toward favoring it, lean toward opposing it, or don't you lean either way?

.....

1. Lean toward favoring
2. Lean toward opposing
3. Do not lean either way

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with SSINV_SSINVEST.

section: **NEONULL**

"Favor or oppose state decision whether federal law applies" (NEONULL_STRULE)

.....

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose states being able to decide whether federal laws do or do not apply within the state?

.....

1. Favor states being able to decide
2. Oppose states being able to decide
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much favor/opp state decision whether fed law applies" (NEONULL_STRULEST)

IF R FAVORS STATES BEING ABLE TO DECIDE WHETHER FEDERAL LAW APPLIES IN THE STATE /
IF R OPPOSES STATES BEING ABLE TO DECIDE WHETHER FEDERAL LAW APPLIES IN THE STATE:

.....

Do you [favor/ oppose] that strongly or not strongly?

.....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with NEONULL_STRULE.

section: **MARIJNA**

"Should marijuana be legal" (MARIJNA_LEGAL)

.....

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the use of marijuana being legal?

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **LIMTGOV**

"INTRO - GOVERNMENT ROLE ITEMS" (LIMTGOV_LIMTGINT)

.....

Next, I am going to ask you to choose which of two statements I read comes closer to your own opinion. You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your own views.

.....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, use this question text:
Next, we are going to ask you to choose which of two statements comes closer to your own opinion.
You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your own views.

Click Next to continue.

"Govt bigger because too involved OR bigger problems" (LIMTGOV_GOVBIG)

.....

One, the main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves; **or**:
two, government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger.

{IF NECESSARY, PROBE 'WHICH IS CLOSER'}

.....

1. Gov't bigger because it's involved in things people should handle themselves
2. Gov't bigger because problems bigger

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, display question text as follows:

Which of the two statements comes closer to your view?
1. The main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves.
2. Government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger.

In the response options, repeat the numbered items 1 and 2 exactly as they appear in the stem.

"Need strong govt for complex problems OR free market" (LIMTGOV_FREEMKT)

.....

One, we need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems; **or**
two, the free market can handle these problems without government being involved.

{IF NECESSARY, PROBE 'WHICH IS CLOSER'}

.....

1. Need a strong gov't to handle complex economic problems
2. Free market can handle without gov't involvement

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, display question text as follows:

Which of the two statements comes closer to your view?
1. We need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems.
2. The free market can handle these problems without government being involved.

In the response options, repeat the numbered items 1 and 2 exactly as they appear in the stem.

"Less govt better OR more that govt should be doing" (LIMTGOV_LESSGOVT)

One, the less government, the better; **or**
two, there are more things that government should be doing?

{IF NECESSARY, PROBE 'WHICH IS CLOSER'}

1. The less government the better
2. More things government should be doing

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, display question text as follows:

Which of the two statements comes closer to your view?
1. The less government, the better.
2. There are more things that government should be doing.

In the response options, repeat the numbered items 1 and 2 exactly as they appear in the stem.

"Regulation of Business" (LIMTGOV_REGBUS)

How much government regulation of business is good for society?
[A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / None at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal]?

1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. None at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: DISCUSS

"[STD] Ever discuss politics with family or friends" (DISCUSS_DISC)

Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?

1. Yes

2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"[STD] Days in past week discussed politics" (DISCUSS_DISCPSTWK)

IF EVER DISCUSSES POLITICS WITH FAMILY AND FRIENDS:

How many days **in the past week** did you talk about politics with family or friends?

0. Zero days
1. One day
2. Two days
3. Three days
4. Four days
5. Five days
6. Six days
7. Seven days

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **MORMON**

"Mormon a Christian religion" (MORMON_MORCHRIST)

Do you think the Mormon religion is Christian, or is it not Christian?

1. Is Christian
2. Not Christian

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Mormon people you know" (MORMON_MORKNOWN)

How many people do you know personally who are Mormon?

{ENTER NUMBER 0-20.
20=20 OR MORE}

response type: Numeric entry

"Mormon common beliefs with self" (MORMON_MORBELIEFS)

How much does the Mormon religion have in common with your own beliefs? [A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or nothing at all / nothing at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal]?

1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. Nothing at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: **PRFACTOR**

"INTRO PRES CANDIDATE AVERSION-PREFERENCE FACTORS" (PRFACTOR_PFACTINTRO)

IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS /
IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:

.....

The next few questions are about how various issues may or may not have affected your [voting decision / preference] for President.

.....

response type: Display only

"Pres cand aversion-pref factor: Mitt Romney's Mormon relig" (PRFACTOR_RPCREL)

IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS /
IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:

.....

What about [preload: presfactor1]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?

.....

1. [preload: dem_pcname] / 2. [preload: rep_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname] / 1. [preload: dem_pcname]
3. Made no difference

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions: 1 = Mitt Romney's Mormon faith 2 = Barack Obama's race 3 = the Affordable Health Care Act, or 'Obamacare' 4 = the economy 5 = foreign policy 6 = Barack Obama's religion 7 = Mitt Romney's wealth 8 = the fact that Obama is a Democrat 9 = the fact that Romney is Republican

"Pres cand aversion-pref factor: Barack Obama's race" (PRFACTOR_DPCRACE)

IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS /
IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:

.....

What about [preload: presfactor2]? Did this tend to make you [vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] / [preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?

.....

1. [preload: dem_pcname] / 2. [preload: rep_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname] / 1. [preload: dem_pcname]
3. Made no difference

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
See PRFACTOR_RPCREL

"Pcand factor: the Affordable Health Care Act or 'Obamacare'" (PRFACTOR_AHCARE)

IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS /
IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:

.....

What about [preload: presfactor3]? Did this tend to make you

[vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] /
[preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?

.....

1. [preload: dem_pcname] / 2. [preload: rep_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname] / 1. [preload: dem_pcname]
3. Made no difference

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
See PRFACTOR_RPCREL

"Pres cand aversion-pref factor: the economy" (PRFACTOR_ECON)

IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS /
IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:

.....

What about [preload: presfactor4]? Did this tend to make you
[vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] /
[preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?

.....

1. [preload: dem_pcname] / 2. [preload: rep_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname] / 1. [preload: dem_pcname]
3. Made no difference

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
See PRFACTOR_RPCREL

"Pres cand aversion-pref factor: foreign policy" (PRFACTOR_FPOL)

IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS /
IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:

.....

What about [preload: presfactor5]? Did this tend to make you
[vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] /
[preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?

.....

1. [preload: dem_pcname] / 2. [preload: rep_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname] / 1. [preload: dem_pcname]
3. Made no difference

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
See PRFACTOR_RPCREL

"Pres cand aversion-preference factor: Dem Pres cand relig" (PRFACTOR_DPCREL)

IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS /
IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:

.....

What about [preload: presfactor6]? Did this tend to make you
[vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] /
[preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?

.....

1. [preload: dem_pcname] / 2. [preload: rep_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname] / 1. [preload: dem_pcname]
3. Made no difference

response type: Single Punch

response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
See PRFACTOR_RPCREL

"Pres cand aversion-preference factor: Mitt Romney's wealth" (PRFACTOR_RPCWLTH)

IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS /
IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:

.....

What about [preload: presfactor7]? Did this tend to make you
[vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] /
[preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?

.....

1. [preload: dem_pcname] / 2. [preload: rep_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname] / 1. [preload: dem_pcname]
3. Made no difference

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
See PRFACTOR_RPCREL

"Pres cand aver-pref factor: the fact that Obama is Democrat" (PRFACTOR_PCDEM)

IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS /
IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:

.....

What about [preload: presfactor8]? Did this tend to make you
[vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] /
[preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?

.....

1. [preload: dem_pcname] / 2. [preload: rep_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname] / 1. [preload: dem_pcname]
3. Made no difference

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
See PRFACTOR_RPCREL

"Pres cand aver-pref factor:the fact that Romney is Republican" (PRFACTOR_PCREP)

IF R VOTED IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS /
IF R DID NOT VOTE IN THE 2012 ELECTIONS:

.....

What about [preload: presfactor9]? Did this tend to make you
[vote for / prefer] [[preload: dem_pcname] or [preload: rep_pcname] /
[preload: rep_pcname] or [preload: dem_pcname]] or did it make no difference?

.....

1. [preload: dem_pcname] / 2. [preload: rep_pcname]
2. [preload: rep_pcname] / 1. [preload: dem_pcname]
3. Made no difference

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of candidate names in question text; order of questions
See PRFACTOR_RPCREL

section: **PTYDIFF**

"Important differences in what major parties stand for" (PTYDIFF_IMPDIFFPTY)

.....

Do you think there are any important differences in what the Republicans and Democrats stand for?

.....

1. Yes, differences
2. No, no differences

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **PTYCONS**

"Is one of the parties more conservative than the other" (PTYCONS_PTYCONS)

.....

Would you say that one of the parties is more conservative than the other at the national level?

.....

1. Yes, one party more conservative
2. No, one party not more conservative

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Which is the party that is more conservative" (PTYCONS_PTYCONSWH)

IF R SAYS THAT ONE OF THE MAJOR PARTIES IS MORE CONSERVATIVE:

.....

Which party is more conservative?

.....

1. Democrats
2. Republicans

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **TARP**

"Does R favor or oppose the TARP program" (TARP_FAVTARP)

.....

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the Troubled Asset Relief Program of 2008, or TARP, also known as the Wall Street bailout?

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much does R favor or oppose the TARP program" (TARP_TARPST)

IF R FAVORS THE 2008 TARP PROGRAM /
IF R OPPOSES THE 2008 TARP PROGRAM:

.....

Do you [favor/ oppose] the TARP program strongly or not strongly?

.....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **SCOURT**

"Do away with the Supreme Court" (SCOURT_ELIM)

.....

If the U.S. Supreme Court started making a lot of decisions that most people disagree with, would you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose doing away with the Supreme Court altogether?

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Do away with court - strength" (SCOURT_ELIMSTR)

IF R FAVORS REMOVING A SUPREME COURT WITH WHICH MOST DISAGREE/
IF R OPPOSES REMOVING A SUPREME COURT WITH WHICH MOST DISAGREE:

.....

Do you [favor/oppose] that strongly or not strongly?

.....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with SCOURT_ELIM.

"Do away with court - lean" (SCOURT_ELIMLN)

IF R DOES NOT FAVOR NOR OPPOSE REMOVING A SUPREME COURT WITH WHICH MOST DISAGREE:

.....

If the U.S. Supreme Court started making a lot of decisions that most people disagree with, would you lean toward doing away with the Supreme Court altogether, lean against doing away with it, or not lean either way?

.....

1. Lean toward
2. Lean against
3. Not lean either way

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Remove Supreme Court Judges" (SCOURT_ELIMJDG)

.....

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose removing judges from the U.S. Supreme Court if those judges consistently make decisions that a majority of Americans oppose?

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Remove Supreme Court Judges -- strength" (SCOURT_ELIMJDGSTR)

IF R FAVORS REMOVING SUPREME COURT JUDGES WITH WHOM MOST DISAGREE/
IF R OPPOSES REMOVING SUPREME COURT JUDGES WITH WHOM MOST DISAGREE:

.....

Do you [favor/oppose] that strongly or not strongly?

.....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with SCOURT_ELIMJDG.

"Remove Supreme Court Judges - lean" (SCOURT_ELIMJDGLN)

IF R DOES NOT FAVOR NOR OPPOSE REMOVING SUPREME COURT JUDGES WITH WHOM MOST DISAGREE:

.....

If U.S. Supreme Court judges consistently make decisions that a majority of Americans oppose, would you lean toward removing those judges from office, lean against removing those judges, not lean either way?

.....

1. Lean toward removing judges
2. Lean against removing judges
3. Do not lean either way

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **WEB4INTRO**

"WEB ONLY: WEB wave 4 intro" (WEB4INTRO_INTROPO4)

.....

[STUDY NAME REDACTED]

This is the fourth in a series of four surveys. As you know, in appreciation of your participation, we can give you $10 for completing each survey. Each one should take about 30 minutes. In addition, because we really need you to complete all four surveys, if you complete all four then we will give you another $25 at the end of the study. If you do all four surveys, that's a total of $65.

The [study name redacted] is sponsored by Stanford University

and the University of Michigan. If you have any questions or comments about the survey, you may contact *[NAME REDACTED]* at *[PHONE REDACTED]*, or by email at *[E-MAIL REDACTED]*.

If you are not satisfied with how this study is being conducted, or if you have any concerns, complaints, or general questions about the research or your rights as a participant, please contact *[REDACTED]* to speak to someone independent of the research team toll free at *[PHONE REDACTED]*. You can also write to *[REDACTED]*.

.....

response type: Display only
response order: Order as listed

section: **INVOLV**

"Has R done community work in past 12 months" (INVOLV_COMMUNWK)

.....

During the **past** 12 **months**, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Has R contacted official to express view in past 12 mo" (INVOLV_GOVOFFIC)

.....

During the **past** 12 **months**, have you telephoned, written a letter to, or visited a government official to express your views on a public issue?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Did R attend meeting on school/community issue past 12 mo" (INVOLV_COMMMTG)

.....

During the **past** 12 **months**, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"INTRO - ORGANIZATIONAL AND CHURCH ACTIVITY" (INVOLV_INVLVINT)

.....

Here is a list of some organizations people can belong to. There are:
labor unions, associations of people who do the same kinds of work, fraternal groups such as Lions or Kiwanis, hobby clubs or sports teams, groups working on political issues,

community groups, and school groups.
Of course, there are lots of other types of organizations, too.

.....

response type: Display only

"Number of organizations in which R is a member" (INVOLV_NUMORGS)

.....

How many organizations are you currently a member of?

.....

response type: Numeric entry

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with previous item, INVOLV_INVLVINT.

"Has R done any volunteer work in past 12 months" (INVOLV_VOLTR)

.....

Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work.
What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work in the last 12 months or did you not do so?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, display response option 1 as 'Did volunteer work in past 12 months' and display option 2 as 'Did not do so'

"Has R contributed to church or charity in past 12 mo" (INVOLV_CHARITY)

.....

Many people are finding it more difficult to make contributions to church or charity as much as they used to.
How about you -- were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in the last 12 months?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **LINK**

"Black R: life be affected by what happens to blacks" (LINK_LNKBLACK)

IF R MENTIONS BLACK AS ANY MENTION FOR RACE SELF-IDENTIFICAITON

.....

Do you think that what happens generally to **black people** in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?

.....

1. Yes

2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Black R: how much life affected by what happens to blacks" (LINK_LNKBLACKAMT)

IF R MENTIONS BLACK AS ANY MENTION FOR RACE SELF-IDENTIFICAITON:
IF WHAT HAPPENS TO BLACK PEOPLE WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:

.....

Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?

.....

1. A lot
2. Some
3. Not very much

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Hisp R: life be affected by what happens to Hispanics" (LINK_LNKHISP)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:

.....

Do you think that what happens generally to **hispanic people** in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Hisp R: how much life affected by what happens to Hisps" (LINK_LNKHISPAMT)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
IF WHAT HAPPENS TO HISPANICS WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:

.....

Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?

.....

1. A lot
2. Some
3. Not very much

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"White R: life be affected by what happens to whites" (LINK_LNKWHITE)

IF R MENTIONS WHITE AS ANY MENTION FOR RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:

.....

Do you think what happens generally to **white** people in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"White R: how much life affected by what happens to whites" (LINK_LNKWHTAMT)

IF R MENTIONS WHITE AS ANY MENTION FOR RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:
IF WHAT HAPPENS TO WHITES WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:

.....

Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?

.....

1. A lot
2. Some
3. Not very much

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Female R: life be affected by what happens to women" (LINK_LNKWOM)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS FEMALE IN PRE-ELECTION IW:

.....

Do you think that what happens generally to **women** in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Female R: how much life affected by what happens to women" (LINK_LNKWOMAMT)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS FEMALE IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
IF WHAT HAPPENS TO WOMEN WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:

.....

Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?

.....

1. A lot
2. Some
3. Not very much

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Life affected by what happens to other people" (LINK_LNKOTH)

.....

Do you think what happens generally to **other** people in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much life affected by what happens to others" (LINK_LNKOTHAMT)

IF WHAT HAPPENS TO OTHERS WILL AFFECT WHAT HAPPENS IN R'S LIFE:

.....

Will it affect you [a lot, some, or not very much / not very much, some, or a lot]?

.....

1. A lot
2. Some
3. Not very much

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: **TRAD**

"INTRO - MORAL TRADITIONALISM" (TRAD_TRADINTRO)

.....

Turning to page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] of the booklet once more.
Now I am going to read several statements about society in general.
After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree.
The first statement is:

.....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, display text as follows:

Now we are going to show you several statements about society in general. After each one, we would like you to say how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is on the next screen.

"Agree/disagree: world is changing and we should adjust" (TRAD_ADJMORAL)

.....

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes.'
Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**, **disagree somewhat**, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**, **disagree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**, or **agree strongly**] with this statement?

.....

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

"Agree/disagree: newer lifestyles breaking down society" (TRAD_LIFESTYL)

.....

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)

'The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of
our society.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**,
disagree somewhat, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**,
or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

.....

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

"Agree/disagree: be more tolerant of other moral stds" (TRAD_TOLERANT)

.....

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live
according to their own moral standards, even if they are
very different from our own.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**,
disagree somewhat, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**,
or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

.....

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

"Agree/disagree: more emphasis on traditional family values" (TRAD_MORETRAD)

.....

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'This country would have many fewer problems if there
were more emphasis on traditional family ties.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**,
disagree somewhat, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**,
or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

.....

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

section: **RESENT**

"INTRO - POSITION OF BLACKS IN SOCIETY" (RESENT_POSBLKINT)

Now I'm going to read several more statements.
After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you
agree or disagree.
Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet,
the first statement is:

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, change to the following:
'Now we're going to show you several more statements. After each one,
we would lie you to tell us how strongly you agree or disagree.'

"Agree/disagree: blacks shd work way up w/o special favors" (RESENT_WORKWAY)

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame
prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without
any special favors.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**,
disagree somewhat, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**,
or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

"Agree/disagree: past slavery make more diff for blacks" (RESENT_GENRTNS)

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created
conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their
way out of the lower class.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**,
disagree somewhat, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**,
or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

"Agree/disagree: blacks have gotten less than deserve" (RESENT_DESERVE)

.....

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**, **disagree somewhat**, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**, **disagree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**, or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

.....

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

"Agree/disagree: blacks must try harder to get ahead" (RESENT_TRYHARD)

.....

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough;
if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off
as whites.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**, **disagree somewhat**, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**, **disagree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**, or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

.....

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

---

section: TRUSTGVPO

"How many in government are crooked" (TRUSTGVPO_CROOK)

.....

Do you think that [**quite a few** of the people running the government are crooked, **not very many** are, or do you think **hardly any** of them are crooked / **hardly any** of the people running the government are crooked, **not very many** are, or do you think **quite a few** of them

are crooked]?

.....

1. Quite a few
2. Not very many
3. Hardly any

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: **EFFICPO**

"[STD] Politics/govt too complicated to understand" (EFFICPO_COMPLICSTD)

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:

.....

Please look at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] of the booklet.
I'd like to read you a few statements about public life.
I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me how strongly you
agree or disagree with each of them.
'Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated
that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.'
Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**,
disagree somewhat, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**,
or **agree strongly**] with this statement?

.....

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, display question text as follows:

Now we will show you a few statements about public life.
We'll show them one at a time. Please tell us how strongly you
agree or disagree with each of them.

'Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated
that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.'
Do you [AGREE STRONGLY, AGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE,
DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, or DISAGREE STRONGLY / DISAGREE STRONGLY,
DISAGREE SOMEWHAT, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, AGREE SOMEWHAT,
or AGREE STRONGLY] with this statement?

"[STD] Good understanding of political issues" (EFFICPO_UNDSTD)

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:

.....

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important
political issues facing our country.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**,
disagree somewhat, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**,
or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

.....

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat

3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

"[STD] Publ officials don't care what people think" (EFFICPO_CARESTD)

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:

.....

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'Public officials don't care much what people like me think.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**, **disagree somewhat**, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**, **disagree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**, or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

.....

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

"[STD] Have no say about what govt does" (EFFICPO_SAYSTD)

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:

.....

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**, **disagree somewhat**, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**, **disagree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**, or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

.....

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

"[REV] Politics/govt too complicatd to understand" (EFFICPO_COMPLICREV)

IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:

.....

How often do politics and government seem so complicated that

you can't really understand what's going on? [**always**, **most of the time**, **about half the time**, **some of the time**, or **never** / **never**, **some of the time**, **about half the time**, **most of the time**, or **always**]?

.....

1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"[REV] Good understanding of political issues" (EFFICPO_UNDREV)

IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:

.....

How well do you understand the important political issues facing our country? [**extremely well**, **very well**, **moderately well**, **slightly well**, or **not well at all** / **not well at all**, **slightly well**, **moderately well**, **very well**, or **extremely well**]?

.....

1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly well
5. Not well at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"[REV] Publ officials don't care what peopl think" (EFFICPO_CAREREV)

IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:

.....

How much do public officials care what people like you think? [**A great deal**, **a lot**, **a moderate amount**, **a little**, or **not at all**/ **not at all**, **a little**, **a moderate amount**, **a lot**, or **a great deal**?

.....

1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"[REV] Have no say about what govt does" (EFFICPO_SAYREV)

IF R SELECTED FOR REVISED VERSION OF REVISED/STANDARD SPLICE:

.....

How much can people like you affect what the government does? [**A great deal**, **a lot**, **a moderate amount**, **a little**, or **not at all** / **not at all**, **a little**, **a moderate amount**, **a lot**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount

4. A little
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"How often see both disagreeing parties as right" (EFFICPO_BOTHSIDE)

.....

Of the situations when you see two people disagreeing with one another, in how many of them can you see how both people could be right? [**always**, **most of the time**, **about half the time**, **some of the time**, or **never** / **never**, **some of the time**, **about half the time**, **most of the time**,or **always**]?

.....

1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: **ELECTINTPO**

"Electoral integrity Post: are votes counted fairly" (ELECTINTPO_COUNTFAIR)

.....

In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections?
[Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]?

Votes are counted fairly

{PROBE: DOES THIS OCCUR [VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, NOT OFTEN, OR NOT AT ALL OFTEN? / NOT AT ALL OFTEN, NOT OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, OR VERY OFTEN?]}

.....

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Not often
4. Not at all often

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.

"Electoral integrity Post: is journalist coverage fair" (ELECTINTPO_JRNLFAIR)

.....

(In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections?)
([Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]?)

Journalists provide fair coverage of elections

{PROBE: DOES THIS OCCUR [VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, NOT OFTEN, OR NOT AT ALL OFTEN? / NOT AT ALL OFTEN, NOT OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, OR VERY OFTEN?]}

.....

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Not often
4. Not at all often

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.

"Electoral integrity Post: are election officials fair" (ELECTINTPO_ELECOFFFAIR)

.....

(In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections?)
([Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]?)

Election officials are fair

{PROBE: DOES THIS OCCUR [VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, NOT OFTEN, OR NOT AT ALL OFTEN? / NOT AT ALL OFTEN, NOT OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, OR VERY OFTEN?]}

.....

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Not often
4. Not at all often

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.

"Electoral integrity Post: do the rich buy elections" (ELECTINTPO_RICHBUY)

.....

(In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections?)
([Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]?)

Rich people buy elections

{PROBE: DOES THIS OCCUR [VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, NOT OFTEN, OR NOT AT ALL OFTEN? / NOT AT ALL OFTEN, NOT OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, OR VERY OFTEN?]}

.....

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Not often
4. Not at all often

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.

"Electoral integrity Post: do voters have a genuine choice" (ELECTINTPO_VOTECHC)

.....

(In your view, how often do the following things occur in this country's elections?)
([Very often, fairly often, not often, or not at all often? / Not at all often, not often, fairly often, or very often]?)

Voters are offered a genuine choice at the ballot box

{PROBE: DOES THIS OCCUR [VERY OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, NOT OFTEN, OR NOT AT ALL OFTEN? / NOT AT ALL OFTEN, NOT OFTEN, FAIRLY OFTEN, OR VERY OFTEN?]}

.....

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Not often
4. Not at all often

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, in this section, set the election characteristic (such as 'Votes are counted fairly') in boldface and put a colon after it.

section: **WOMEN**

"Easier or harder for working mother to bond with child" (WOMEN_WKMOTH)

.....

Now for some other questions.
Do you think it is easier, harder, or neither easier nor harder for mothers who work outside the home to establish a warm and secure relationship with their children than it is for mothers who stay at home?

.....

1. Easier
2. Harder
3. Neither easier nor harder

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much easier for working mother to bond with child" (WOMEN_WKEAS)

IF R SAYS IT IS EASIER FOR WORKING MOTHERS TO ESTABLISH RELATIONSHIP WITH CHILDREN:

.....

Is it [a great deal easier, somewhat easier, or slightly easier / slightly easier, somewhat easier, or a great deal easier] for mothers who work outside the home to establish a warm and secure relationship with their children ?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Somewhat
3. Slightly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"How much harder for working mother to bond with child" (WOMEN_WKHARD)

IF R SAYS IT IS HARDER FOR WORKING MOTHERS TO ESTABLISH RELATIONSHIP WITH CHILDREN:

.....

Is it [a great deal harder, somewhat harder, or slightly harder / slightly harder, somewhat harder, or a great deal harder] for mothers who work outside the home to establish a warm and secure relationship with their children?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Somewhat
3. Slightly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Better if man works and woman takes care of home" (WOMEN_WKWIFE)

.....

Do you think it is better, worse, or makes no difference for the family as a whole if the man works outside the home and the woman takes care of the home and family?

.....

1. Better
2. Worse
3. Makes no Difference

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much better if man works and woman at home" (WOMEN_WKWBETT)

IF R SAYS IT IS BETTER FOR THE MAN TO WORK AND THE WOMAN TO STAY AT HOME:

.....

Is it [much better, somewhat better, or slightly better / slightly better, somewhat better or much better]?

.....

1. Much
2. Somewhat
3. Slightly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with WOMEN_WKWIFE.

"How much worse if man works and woman at home" (WOMEN_WKWWRS)

IF R SAYS IT IS WORSE FOR THE MAN TO WORK AND THE WOMAN TO STAY AT HOME:

.....

Is it [much worse, somewhat worse, or slightly worse / slightly worse, somewhat worse or much worse]?

.....

1. Much
2. Somewhat
3. Slightly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with WOMEN_WKWIFE.

section: **MODSEXM**

"Discrimination against women " (MODSEXM_DISCAMT)

How serious a problem is discrimination against women in the United States? [Not a problem at all, a minor problem, a moderately serious problem, a very serious problem, or an extremely serious problem / An extremely serious problem, a very serious problem, a moderately serious problem, a minor problem, or not a problem at all]?

1. Not a problem at all
2. A minor problem
3. A moderately serious problem
4. A very serious problem
5. An extremely serious problem

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Media pay more attention to discrimination " (MODSEXM_MEDIAATT)

Should the news media pay more attention to discrimination against women, less attention, or the same amount of attention they have been paying lately?

1. More attention
2. Less attention
3. Same amount of attention

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much more attn should media pay to discrim agst women" (MODSEXM_MEDMORE)

IF THE NEWS MEDIA SHOULD PAY MORE ATTENTION TO DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN:

Should the media pay [a great deal more attention, somewhat more attention, or a little more attention / a little more attention, somewhat more attention, or a great deal more attention]?

1. A great deal
2. Somewhat
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"How much less attn should media pay to discrim agst women" (MODSEXM_MEDLESS)

IF THE NEWS MEDIA SHOULD PAY LESS ATTENTION TO DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WOMEN:

Should the media pay [a great deal less attention, somewhat less attention, or a little less attention / a little less attention, somewhat less attention, or a great deal less attention]?

1. A great deal
2. Somewhat

3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Do women demanding equality seek special favors" (MODSEXM_SPECFAV)

.....

When women demand equality these days, how often are they actually seeking special favors? [Never, some of the time, about half the time, most of the time, or always / Always, most of the time, about half the time, some of the time, or never] ?

.....

1. Never
2. Some of the time
3. About half the time
4. Most of the time
5. Always

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"How often do hiring and promotion discriminate agst women" (MODSEXM_DISCOFT)

.....

When employers make decisions about hiring and promotion, how often do they discriminate against women? [Never, some of the time, about half the time, most of the time, or always / Always, most of the time, about half the time, some of the time, or never]?

.....

1. Never
2. Some of the time
3. About half the time
4. Most of the time
5. Always

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Do women complaining about discrim cause more problems" (MODSEXM_COMDISC)

.....

When women complain about discrimination, how often do they cause more problems than they solve? [Never, some of the time, about half the time, most of the time, or always / Always, most of the time, about half the time, some of the time, or never]?

.....

1. Never
2. Some of the time
3. About half the time
4. Most of the time
5. Always

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"Do women or men have more opport for achievmt in the U.S." (MODSEXM_OPPOR)

.....

In the U.S. today, do men have more opportunities for achievement than women have, do women have more opportunities than men, or do they have equal opportunities?

.....

1 Men have more
2. Women have more
3. Equal opportunities

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much more opportunities for achievement do men have" (MODSEXM_MENMORE)

IF MEN HAVE MORE OPPORTUNITIES FOR ACHIEVEMENT IN THE U.S. TODAY:

.....

Do men have [many more opportunities, moderately more opportunities, or slightly more opportunities / slightly more opportunities, moderately more opportunities or many more opportunities]?

.....

1. Many more
2. Moderately more
3. Slightly more

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"How much more opportunities for achievement do women have" (MODSEXM_WOMMORE)

IF WOMEN HAVE MORE OPPORTUNITIES FOR ACHIEVEMENT IN THE U.S. TODAY:

.....

Do women have [many more opportunities, moderately more opportunities, or slightly more opportunities / slightly more opportunities, moderately more opportunities or many more opportunities]?

.....

1. Many more
2. Moderately more
3. Slightly more

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

section: AFFIRM

"INTRO - AFFIRMATIVE ACTION" (AFFIRM_AFFINTRO)

.....

Some people say that because of past discrimination, blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks advantages they haven't earned.

.....

response type: Display only

"For or against preferential hiring/promotion of blacks" (AFFIRM_AFFOPIN)

.....

What about your opinion -- are you **for** or **against** preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?

.....

1. For preferential hiring and promotion of blacks

2. Against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks
5. Other {SPECIFY}{VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with AFFIRM_AFFINTRO. Omit response option 5.

"Strength favor preferential hiring/promotion of blacks" (AFFIRM_AFFFAV)

IF R IS FOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION FOR BLACKS:

.....

Do you favor preference in hiring and promotion
strongly or **not strongly**?

.....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Strength oppose preferential hiring/promotion blacks" (AFFIRM_AFFOPP)

IF R IS AGAINST PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION FOR BLACKS:

.....

Do you oppose preference in hiring and promotion
strongly or **not strongly**?

.....

1. Strongly
2. Not strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **AUTH**

"INTRO - AUTHORITARIANISM" (AUTH_AUTHINTRO)

.....

Although there are a number of qualities that people
feel that children should have, every person thinks that
some are more important than others.
I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities.

.....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, change 'I am going to read' to 'We will show'.

"Child trait more important: independence or respect" (AUTH_CHILDIND)

.....

Please tell me which one you think is more important for
a child to have:
Independence or **respect for elders**

.....

1. Independence
2. Respect for elders

3. Both {VOL}
4. Neither {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, the stem before the two traits should be, 'Which one is more important for a child to have?'
Omit response options 3 and 4.

"Child trait more important: curiosity or good manners" (AUTH_CHILDCUR)

.....

(Which one is more important for a child to have:)
curiosity or **good manners**

.....

1. Curiosity
2. Good manners
3. Both {VOL}
4. Neither {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, the stem before the two traits should be, 'Which one is more important for a child to have?'
Omit response options 3 and 4.

"Child trait more important: obedience or self-reliance" (AUTH_CHILDOBED)

.....

(Which one is more important for a child to have:)
obedience or **self-reliance**

.....

1. Obedience
2. Self-reliance
3. Both {VOL}
4. Neither {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, the stem before the two traits should be, 'Which one is more important for a child to have?'
Omit response options 3 and 4.

"Child trait more important: considerate or well-behaved" (AUTH_CHILDCONSID)

.....

(Which one is more important for a child to have:)
being considerate or **well behaved**

.....

1. Being considerate
2. Well behaved
3. Both {VOL}
4. Neither {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, the stem before the two traits should be, 'Which one is more

important for a child to have?'
Omit response options 3 and 4.

section: **EGAL**

"INTRO - EGALITARIANISM" (EGAL_EGALINTRO)

.....

Please look at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] of the booklet.
I am going to read several more statements. After each one, I
would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree.
The first statement is:

.....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference. Render question text as follows:
'Now we will show several more statements. After each one, we would like you to tell us how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

"Society should make sure everyone has equal opportunity" (EGAL_DONECESS)

.....

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that
everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**,
disagree somewhat, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**,
or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

.....

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree Somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree Somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference. Bank with EGAL_EGALINTRO.

"We have gone too far pushing equal rights" (EGAL_TOOFAR)

.....

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**,
disagree somewhat, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**,
or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

.....

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree Somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree Somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch

response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

"Its a big problem that we dont give equal chance to succeed" (EGAL_BIGPROB)

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give
everyone an equal chance.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**,
disagree somewhat, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**,
or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree Somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree Somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

"We'd be better off if worried less about equality" (EGAL_WORRYLESS)

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'This country would be better off if we worried less about
how equal people are.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**,
disagree somewhat, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**,
or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree Somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree Somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

"Not a big problem if some have more chance in life" (EGAL_NOTBIGPROB)

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'It is not really that big a problem if some people have
more of a chance in life than others.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**,
disagree somewhat, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**,
disagree somewhat, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**,
or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

1. Agree strongly

2. Agree Somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree Somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

"If people were treated more fairly would be fewer probs" (EGAL_FEWERPROBS)

.....

(Looking at page [[preload: postpg_g] / [preload:postpg_h]] in the booklet)
'If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.'
(Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**, **disagree somewhat**, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**, **disagree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**, or **agree strongly**] with this statement?)

.....

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree Somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree Somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference.

section: **GVTWIRE**

"Has increase in govt wiretap powers gone too far" (GVTWIRE_WTAPINC)

.....

Have increases in the government's wiretapping powers since September 11, 2001, gone too far, are they just about right, or do they not go far enough?

.....

1. Have gone too far
2. Are just about right
3. Do not go far enough

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **COG**

"INTRO - COGNITIVE STYLE OPINIONS" (COG_COGINT)

.....

Some people have opinions about almost everything; other people have opinions about just some things; and still other people have very few opinions.

.....

response type: Display only

"Does R have opinions about many, some or few things" (COG_OPINS)

What about you? Would you say you have opinions about [**almost everything**, about **many things**, about **some things**, or about **very few things** / **very few things**, about **some things**, about **many things**, or about **almost everything**]?

1. Almost everything
2. Many things
3. Some things
4. Very few things

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with COG_COGINT.

"Does R have fewer or more opinions than average person" (COG_NUMOPIN)

Compared to the average person do you have **fewer** opinions about whether things are good or bad, **about the same** number of opinions, or **more** opinions?

1. Fewer opinions
2. About the same number of opinions
3. More opinions

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R have a lot or somewhat fewer opinions than average" (COG_OPINLESS)

IF R HAS FEWER OPINIONS THAT THE AVERAGE PERSON:

Would you say that you have **a lot** fewer opinions or just **somewhat** fewer opinions?

1. A lot
2. Somewhat

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"R have a lot or somewhat more opinions than average" (COG_OPINMORE)

IF R HAS MORE OPINIONS THAT THE AVERAGE PERSON:

Would you say that you have **a lot** more opinions or just **somewhat** more opinions?

1. A lot
2. Somewhat

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Was the President born in the U.S." (NONMAIN_PRESBORN)

Was Barack Obama definitely born in the United States, probably born in the United States, probably born in another country, or definitely born in another country?

1. Definitely born in the U.S.
2. Probably born in the U.S.
3. Probably born in another country
4. Definitely born in another country

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Does Health Care Act authorize end-of-life decision" (NONMAIN_ENDLIFE)

Does the health care law passed in 2010 definitely authorize government panels to make end-of-life decisions for people on Medicare, probably authorize government panels to make end-of-life decisions for people on Medicare, probably not authorize government panels to make end-of-life decisions for people on Medicare, or definitely not authorize government panels to make end-of-life decisions for people on Medicare?

1. Definitely authorizes
2. Probably authorizes
3. Probably does not authorize
4. Definitely does not authorize

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"DId the U.S. government know about 9/11 in advance" (NONMAIN_GOVT911)

Did senior federal government officials definitely know about the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 before they happened, probably knew about the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 before they happened, probably did not know about the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 before they happened, or definitely did not know about the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 before they happened?

1. Definitely knew
2. Probably knew
3. Probably did not know
4. Definitely did not know

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Does the Administration favor blacks or whites" (NONMAIN_ADMINBIAS)

Do the policies of the Obama administration favor whites over blacks, favor blacks over whites, or do they treat both groups the same?

1. Favors whites over blacks

2. Favors blacks over whites
3. Treats both groups the same

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"Did the govt direct Katrina flooding into poor areas" (NONMAIN_HURRIC)

.....

Some people say that when Hurricane Katrina hit the Gulf Coast in the summer of 2005, the federal government intentionally breached flood levees in New Orleans so that poor neighborhoods would be flooded and middle-class neighborhoods would be spared. Do you think the federal government definitely did this, probably did this, probably did not do this, or definitely did not do this?

.....

1. Definitely did this
2. Prob ably did this
3. Probably did not do this
4. Definitely did not do this

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **CSES**

"CSES: INTRO Public expenditure" (CSES_EXSPINTRO)

.....

For the next questions, please say whether there should be more or less public expenditure in each of the following areas. Remember if you say 'more' it could require a tax increase, and if you say 'less' it could require a reduction in those services.

.....

response type: Display only

"CSES: Public expenditure Health" (CSES_EXPHLTH)

.....

Thinking about public expenditure on **health**, should there be [much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now]?

.....

1. Much more than now
2. Somewhat more than now
3. The same as now
4. Somewhat less than now
5. Much less than now

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, for all CSES expenditure questions, set the expenditure area (health, welfare benefits, Social Security, etc.) in lower case boldface.

"CSES: Public expenditure: eduction" (CSES_EXPEDUC)

.....

Thinking about public expenditure on **education**, should there be [much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now]?

.....

1. Much more than now
2. Somewhat more than now
3. The same as now
4. Somewhat less than now
5. Much less than now

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"CSES: Public expenditure: unemployment benefits" (CSES_EXPUNEMP)

.....

Thinking about public expenditure on **unemployment benefits**, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?

.....

1. Much more than now
2. Somewhat more than now
3. The same as now
4. Somewhat less than now
5. Much less than now

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"CSES: Public expenditure: defense" (CSES_EXPDEF)

.....

Thinking about public expenditure on **defense**, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now) / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?

.....

1. Much more than now
2. Somewhat more than now
3. The same as now
4. Somewhat less than now
5. Much less than now

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"CSES: Public expenditure: pensions" (CSES_EXPSS)

.....

Thinking about public expenditure on **Social Security**, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?

.....

1. Much more than now
2. Somewhat more than now

3. The same as now
4. Somewhat less than now
5. Much less than now

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"CSES: Public expenditure: business and industry" (CSES_EXPBUSIND)

.....

Thinking about public expenditure on **business and industry**, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?

.....

1. Much more than now
2. Somewhat more than now
3. The same as now
4. Somewhat less than now
5. Much less than now

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"CSES: Public expenditure: police and law enforcement" (CSES_EXPPOLC)

.....

Thinking about public expenditure on **police and law enforcement**, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?

.....

1. Much more than now
2. Somewhat more than now
3. The same as now
4. Somewhat less than now
5. Much less than now

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"CSES: Public expenditure: welfare benefits" (CSES_EXPWELF)

.....

Thinking about public expenditure on **welfare benefits**, should there be -- ([much more than now, somewhat more than now, the same as now, somewhat less than now, or much less than now / much less than now, somewhat less than now, the same as now, somewhat more than now, or much more than now])?

.....

1. Much more than now
2. Somewhat more than now
3. The same as now
4. Somewhat less than now
5. Much less than now

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"CSES: CSES: Improving standard of living" (CSES_IMPSTDLIV)

Over the next ten years or so, how likely or unlikely is it that you will improve your standard of living? Very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely?

1. Very likely
2. Somewhat likely
4. Somewhat unlikely
5. Very unlikely

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CSES: State of economy" (CSES_ECON)

Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in the United States has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

1. Gotten better
2. Stayed the same
3. Gotten worse

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CSES: State of economy better" (CSES_ECONB)

IF R SAYS U.S. ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER:

Would you say much better or somewhat better?

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with CSES_ECON.

"CSES: State of economy worse" (CSES_ECONW)

IF R SAYS U.S. ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN WORSE:

Would you say much worse or somewhat worse?

1. Much worse
2. Somewhat worse

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with CSES_ECON.

"CSES: Govt action income inequality" (CSES_GOVTACT)

Please say to what extent you agree or disagree with the following statement:
'The government should take measures to reduce differences in income levels.'
Do you [**agree strongly**, **agree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**, **disagree somewhat**, or **disagree strongly** / **disagree strongly**, **disagree somewhat**, **neither agree nor disagree**, **agree somewhat**, or **agree strongly**] with this statement?

.....

1. Agree strongly
2. Agree Somewhat
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Disagree Somewhat
5. Disagree strongly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"CSES: 5pt scale: make a difference who is in power" (CSES_DIFFPOWER)

.....

Please look at page [preload: postpg_k] in the booklet.
Some people say that **it doesn't make any difference** who is in power. Others say that **it makes a big difference** who is in power.
Using the scale in the booklet, (where **one** means that it doesn't make any difference who is in power and **five** means that it makes a big difference who is in power), where would you place yourself?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

.....

1. It doesn't make any difference who is in power
2.
3.
4.
5. It makes a big difference who is in power

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the booklet reference in the first line. Change 'Using the scale in the booklet' to 'Using the scale below'
Show numbers on all five scale points in the response options.

"CSES: 5pt scale: make a difference who one votes for" (CSES_DIFFVOTE)

.....

Now turning to page [preload: postpg_m] in the booklet.
Some people say that no matter who people vote for, **it won't make any difference** to what happens. Others say that who people vote for **can make a big difference** to what happens.
Using the scale in the booklet, (where **one** means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and **five** means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

.....

1. Voting won't make any difference
2.
3.
4.

5. Voting can make a big difference

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit the booklet reference in the first line. Change 'Using the scale in the booklet' to 'Using the scale below'.
Show numbers on all five scale points in the response options.

"CSES: 10pt scale: like-dislike Democratic Party" (CSES_DPTYLIKE)

Please turn to page [preload: postpg_n] of the booklet.
I'd like to know what you think about our political parties.
After I read the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party.
If I come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.
The first party is the **Democratic party**.

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

0. Strongly dislike
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10. Strongly like

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
nondefault nonresp: 99. Haven't heard of

WEB LOGIC:
Online, number each response option 0 to 10. Render the question text as follows:

We would like to know what you think about our political parties. Please rate each political party on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If we come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, you don't have to answer.
The first party is the DEMOCRATIC PARTY.

"CSES: 10pt scale: like-dislike Republican Party" (CSES_RPTYLIKE)

Using the same scale, where would you place the **Republican party**?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

0. Strongly dislike
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.

8.
9.
10. Strongly like

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
nondefault nonresp: 99. Haven't heard of

WEB LOGIC:
Online, number each response option 0 to 10.

"CSES: 10pt scale: like-dislike Republican Pres cand" (CSES_RPCLIKE)

And what do you think of the presidential candidates?
After I read the name of a presidential candidate, please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. If I come to a presidential candidate you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.
The first is [preload: **rep_pcname**]

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

0. Strongly dislike
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10. Strongly like

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
nondefault nonresp: 99. Haven't heard of

WEB LOGIC:
Online, number each response option 0 to 10. Render the question text as follows:

And what do you think of the presidential candidates?
Please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. If we name a presidential candidate you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, you don't have to answer the question.

The first is [preload: REP_PCNAME]

"CSES: 10pt scale: like-dislike Democratic Pres cand" (CSES_DPCLIKE)

Using the same scale, where would you place [preload: **dem_pcname**]?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

0. Strongly dislike
1.
2.
3.
4.

5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10. Strongly like

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
nondefault nonresp: 99. Haven't heard of

"CSES: 10pt scale: left-right Democratic Party" (CSES_DPTYLEFT)

Please turn to page [preload: postpg_p] of the booklet.
In politics people sometimes talk of left and right.
Where would you place the **Democratic party** on a scale
from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

0. Left
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10. Right

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
nondefault nonresp: 99. Haven't heard of

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit booklet reference. Show numbers 0 to 10 in response options.

"CSES: 10pt scale: left-right Republican Party" (CSES_RPTYLEFT)

Using the same scale, where would you place the **Republican party**?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

0. Left
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10. Right

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
nondefault nonresp: 99. Haven't heard of

WEB LOGIC:
Online, show numbers 0 to 10 in response options.

"CSES: 10pt scale: left-right self placement" (CSES_SELFLEFT)

Where would you place **yourself** on this scale?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

0. Left
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10. Right

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, show numbers 0 to 10 in response options.

"CSES: Satisfied with way democracy works in the U.S" (CSES_SATISDEM)

On the whole, are you [**very satisfied**, **fairly satisfied**, **not very satisfied**, or **not at all satisfied** / **not at all satisfied**, **not very satisfied**, **fairly satisfied**, or **very satisfied**] with the way democracy works in the United States?

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

1. Very satisfied
2. Fairly satisfied
3. Not very satisfied
4. Not satisfied at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"CSES: Close to any political party" (CSES_CLOSEPTY)

Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CSES: Closer to one party" (CSES_PTYMORE)

IF R DOES NOT THINK OF SELF OR DK IF THINKS OF SELF AS CLOSE TO A POLITICAL PARTY:

Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CSES: Party closest to" (CSES_PTYCLOST)

IF R THINKS OF SELF AS CLOSE TO POLITICAL PARTY /
IF R DOES NOT THINK SELF CLOSE TO A POLITICAL PARTY BUT CLOSER TO ONE PARTY THAN OTHERS:

.....

Which party do you feel closest to?

.....

1. Democratic party
2. Republican party
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include a text box in place of '{SPECIFY}' for response option 5.

"CSES: Degree closeness" (CSES_DEGCLOSE)

IF R THINKS OF SELF AS CLOSE TO POLITICAL PARTY /
IF R DOES NOT THINK SELF CLOSE TO A POLITICAL PARTY BUT CLOSER TO ONE PARTY THAN OTHERS:
IF PARTY R IS CLOSEST TO IS NOT DK/RF:

.....

Do you feel [very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close / not very close, somewhat close, or very close to this party]?

.....

1. Very close
2. Somewhat close
3. Not very close

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact" (CSES_CONTCT)

.....

During the campaign, did a party or candidate contact you in person or by any other means?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - in person" (CSES_FTF)

IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:

.....

Did they contact you in person, face-to-face?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, create a grid for items CSES_FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET. Bank these together on the same screen. At the top of the screen, display this text: 'How did a party or candidate contact you?' Below this question, list each question on the left with response columns for Yes and No on the right.

"CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - by mail" (CSES_MAIL)

IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:

.....

Did they contact you by mail?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES_FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.

"CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - by phone" (CSES_PHONE)

IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:

.....

Did they contact you by phone?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES_FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.

"CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - text messaging" (CSES_TXTMSG)

IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:

.....

Did they contact you by text message or SMS?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES_FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.

"CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - email" (CSES_EMAIL)

IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:

Did they contact you by email?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES_FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.

"CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - social network" (CSES_SOCNET)

IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:

Did they contact you through a social network site or other Web-based method?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
See web logic for item 159-17.1, CSES_FTF. Display a grid with items FTF, MAIL, PHONE, TXTMSG, EMAIL, and SOCNET banked together.

"CSES: Mobilization: institutional contact - multiple" (CSES_PTYCONT)

IF PARTY OR CANDIDATE CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN:

Which parties or candidates contacted you by any of these means?

{ENTER ALL THAT APPLY}

1. Candidate - Democratic
2. Candidate - Republican
3. Party - Democratic
4. Party - Republican
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, include a small text box for response option 5 in place of {SPECIFY}.

"CSES: Mobilization: personal contact" (CSES_PERSUADE)

During the campaign, did a friend, family member, neighbor, work colleague or other acquaintance try to persuade you to vote for a particular party or candidate?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - in person" (CSES_PERSFTF)

IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:

Did they try to persuade you in person, face-to-face?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank the following items together in a grid: CSES_PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB. At the top of the screen include this question text: 'How did they try to persuade you to vote for a particular party or candidate?' Include Yes and No response options in columns to the right of each question.

"CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - by mail" (CSES_PERSMAIL)

IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:

Did they try to persuade you by mail?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES_PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES_PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.

"CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - by phone" (CSES_PERSPH)

IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:

Did they try to persuade you by phone?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES_PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES_PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.

"CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - text message" (CSES_PERSTXT)

IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:

Did they try to persuade you by text message or SMS?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES_PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES_PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.

"CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - email" (CSES_PERSEML)

IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:

Did they try to persuade you by email?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES_PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES_PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.

"CSES: Mobilization: personal contact - social network" (CSES_PERSWEB)

IF SOMEONE TRIED TO PERSUADE R TO VOTE FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE:

Did they try to persuade you through a social network site or other Web-based method?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
See web logic instructions for item 159-18.1, CSES_PERSFTF, on banking this item in a grid with CSES_PERSFTF, PERSMAIL, PERSPH, PERSTXT, PERSEML, and PERSWEB.

"CSES: Mobilization: sign up online information or alerts" (CSES_MOBPH)

Prior to or during the campaign, did you use the Internet or your mobile phone to sign up for information or alerts from a party or candidate?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CSES: Political information - Secy Treasury" (CSES_POLIINFONE)

Which of these persons was the Secretary of the Treasury before the recent election?

[Hillary Clinton, Eric Holder, Leon Panetta, or Timothy Geithner]?

1. Hillary Clinton
2. Eric Holder
3. Leon Panetta
4. Timothy Geithner

response type: Single Punch
response order: Randomized order
randomization: Order of names in question text

"CSES: Political information - unemployment rate" (CSES_POLINFTWO)

What was the current unemployment rate in the United States as of [preload: dateunemprt] -- [preload: unemprt - 2.0], [preload: unemprt], [preload: unemprt + 2.0], or [preload: unemprt + 4.0]?

1. [unemprt - 2.0]
2. [unemprt]
3. [unemprt + 2.0]
4. [unemprt + 4.0]

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CSES: Political information - party 2nd in House seats won" (CSES_POLIINFTHREE)

Which **party** came in second in seats in the United States House of Representatives? [The Democratic party, the Republican party, the Green party, or the Libertarian party]?

1. Democratic party
2. Republican party
3. Green party
4. Libertarian party

response type: Single Punch
response order: Randomized order
randomization: Order of parties in question text

"CSES: Political information - Secy of the U.N." (CSES_POLIINFFOUR)

Who is the current Secretary-General of the United Nations - [Kofi Annan, Kurt Waldheim, Ban Ki-moon, or Boutros Boutros-Ghali]?

1. Kofi Annan
2. Kurt Waldheim
3. Ban Ki-Moon
4. Boutros Boutros-Ghali

response type: Single Punch
response order: Randomized order
randomization: Order of names in question text

"CSES: Household income in next 12 months" (CSES_INCREDUC)

The next question is about your household's income.
How likely or unlikely do you think it is that your household's

income could be severely reduced **in the next twelve months**?
Very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely?

.....

1. Very likely
2. Somewhat likely
4. Somewhat unlikely
5. Very unlikely

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CSES: Ownership: residence" (CSES_OWNRESID)

.....

Do you or a member of your household own a residence - for example, a home or an apartment?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CSES: Ownership: real estate" (CSES_OWNOTH)

.....

Do you or a member of your household own a business, a piece of property, a farm, or livestock?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CSES: Ownership: stocks or bonds" (CSES_OWNSTCK)

.....

Do you or a member of your household own stocks or bonds?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CSES: Ownership: savings" (CSES_OWNSAVG)

.....

Do you or a member of your household have any savings?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CSES: R find another job" (CSES_DIFFJOB)

IF R IS CURRENTLY WORKING:

.....

If you lost your job, how easy or difficult would it be to find another job **in the next twelve months**? Very easy, somewhat easy, somewhat difficult, or very difficult ?

.....

1. Very easy
2. Somewhat easy
3. Somewhat difficult
4. Very difficult

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"CSES: Spouse/partner find another job" (CSES_SPDIFFJB)

IF R IS MARRIED/
IF R IS PARTNERED:
IF R HAS INDICATED SPOUSE/PARTNER IS WORKING:

.....

If your [spouse/partner] lost their job, how easy or difficult would it be for them to find another job **in the next twelve months**? Very easy, somewhat easy, somewhat difficult, or very difficult?

.....

1. Very easy
2. Somewhat easy
3. Somewhat difficult
4. Very difficult

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: DHS

"Does federal govt pose a threat to citizens" (DHS_THREAT)

.....

Do you think the federal government's powers pose a threat to the rights and freedoms of ordinary citizens, or not?

.....

1. Poses a threat
2. Does not pose a threat

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"How much of a threat does federal govt pose to citizens" (DHS_THREATAMT)

IF R THINKS THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S POWERS THREATEN RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS:

.....

How threatening to rights and freedoms are the federal government's powers? [extremely threatening, very threatening, moderately threatening, or slightly threatening / slightly threatening, moderately threatening, very threatening, or extremely threatening]?

.....

1. Extremely threatening
2. Very threatening
3. Moderately threatening
4. Slightly threatening

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"DHS: Approve/disappr govt efforts to reduce terrorism" (DHS_APPTERR)

Do you **approve**, **disapprove**, or **neither approve nor disapprove** of the way the U.S. federal government has handled the effort to reduce the risk of terrorist attacks in the United States during the last four years?

1. Approve
2. Disapprove
3. Neither approve nor disapprove

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"DHS: How much approve efforts to reduce terrorism" (DHS_APPTERRMCH)

IF R APPROVES HANDLING OF EFFORTS TO REDUCE TERRORISM:

Do you approve [**extremely** strongly, **moderately** strongly, or **slightly** strongly / **slightly** strongly, **moderately** strongly, or **extremely** strongly]?

1. Extremely
2. Moderately
3. Slightly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with previous item, DHS_APPTERR.

"DHS: How much disapprove efforts to reduce terrorism" (DHS_DISTERRMCH)

IF R DISAPPROVES HANDLING OF EFFORTS TO REDUCE TERRORISM:

Do you disapprove [**extremely** strongly, **moderately** strongly, or **slightly** strongly / **slightly** strongly, **moderately** strongly, or **extremely** strongly]?

1. Extremely
2. Moderately
3. Slightly

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with DHS_APPTERR.

"DHS: Likely terrorist attack killing 100/more in nxt yr" (DHS_ATTACK)

During the next 12 months, how likely is it that there will be a terrorist attack in the United States that kills 100 or more people?
Is it [**extremely likely**, **very likely**, **moderately likely**, **slightly likely**, or **not at all likely** / **not at all likely**, **slightly likely**, **moderately likely**, **very likely**, or **extremely likely**]?

1. Extremely likely
2. Very likely
3. Moderately likely
4. Slightly likely
5. Not at all likely

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"DHS: Favor or oppose torture for suspected terrorists" (DHS_TORTURE)

.....

Do you **favor**, **oppose**, or **neither favor nor oppose** the U.S. government torturing people, who are suspected of being terrorists, to try to get information?

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"DHS: How much favor torture for suspected terrorists" (DHS_TORTUREFAV)

IF R FAVORS USE OF TORTURE AGAINST SUSPECTED TERRORISTS:

.....

Do you favor that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with DHS_TORTURE.

"DHS: How much oppose torture for suspected terrorists" (DHS_TORTUREOPP)

IF R OPPOSES USE OF TORTURE AGAINST SUSPECTED TERRORISTS:

.....

Do you oppose that [**a great deal**, **moderately**, or **a little** / **a little**, **moderately**, or **a great deal**]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. Moderately
3. A little

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, bank with DHS_TORTURE.

section: **POHISP**

"HISPANIC: news in English or Spanish" (POHISP_HISPNEWS)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:

.....

For information about politics would you say you get the most information from Spanish-language television, radio, and newspapers, or from English-language TV, radio, and newspapers?

.....

1. English more
2. Spanish more
3. Both equally (bilingual) {VOL}
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit response options 3 and 5.

"HISPANIC: how much R uses English or Spanish" (POHISP_USELANG)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:

.....

Comparing how often you speak in English or Spanish in your day-to-day life, would you say that you are generally speaking:
- English and little or no Spanish,
- Mostly English but Spanish at least some of the time,
- English and Spanish about equally,
- Mostly Spanish but English at least some of the time, or
- Spanish and little or no English?

.....

1. English and little or no Spanish
2. Mostly English but Spanish at least some of the time
3. Both English and Spanish about equally
4. Mostly Spanish but English at least some of the time
5. Spanish and little or no English

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

"HISPANIC and not English-only: converse well in English" (POHISP_RENGLISH)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
IF R DOES NOT SPEAK ONLY ENGLISH IN DAY TO DAY LIFE:

.....

Would you say you could carry on a conversation in English -- both understanding and speaking -- [**very well**, **pretty well**, **just a little**, or **not at all** / **not at all**, **just a little**, **pretty well**, or **very well**]?

.....

1. Very well
2. Pretty well
3. Just a little
4. Not at all
5. Other {SPECIFY} {VOL}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit response option 5.

"HISPANIC and not Spanish-only: converse well in Spanish" (POHISP_RSPANISH)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
IF R DOES NOT SPEAK ONLY ENGLISH IN DAY TO DAY LIFE:

.....

Would you say you could carry on a conversation in Spanish -- both understanding and speaking -- [**very well**, **pretty well**, **just a little**, or **not at all** / **not at all**, **just a little**, **pretty well**, or **very well**]?

.....

1. Very well
2. Pretty well
3. Just a little
4. Not at all
5. Other {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

WEB LOGIC:
Online, omit response option 5.

"HISPANIC: how important to speak Spanish" (POHISP_KEEPSPAN)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:

.....

How important do you think it is for you or your family to maintain the ability to speak Spanish?
[**very** important, **somewhat** important, **not very** important, or **not at all** important / **not at all** important, **not very** important, **somewhat** important, or **very** important]?

.....

1. Very Important
2. Somewhat important
3. Not very important
4. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"HISPANIC: how impt to read and write English in U.S." (POHISP_READENG)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:

.....

How important do you think it is that everyone in the United States learn to read and write English?
[**very** important, **somewhat** important, **not very** important, or **not at all** important / **not at all** important, **not very** important, **somewhat** important, or **very** important]?

.....

1. Very Important
2. Somewhat important
3. Not very important
4. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"HISPANIC: how important to speak English in US" (POHISP_SPEAKENG)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:

.....

How important do you think it is that everyone in the United States learn to speak English?
[**very** important, **somewhat** important, **not very** important, or **not at all** important / **not at all** important, **not very** important, **somewhat** important, or **very** important]?

.....

1. Very Important
2. Somewhat important
3. Not very important
4. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"HISPANIC: how important to blend in" (POHISP_IMPASSIM)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:

.....

How important is it for Hispanics to:
Change so that they blend into the larger American society?
[**very** important, **somewhat** important, or **not at all** important / **not at all** important, **somewhat** important, or **very** important]?

.....

1. Very important
2. Somewhat important
3. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"HISPANIC: how important to maintain culture" (POHISP_IMPDISTINCT)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:

.....

How important is it for Hispanics to:
Maintain their distinct cultures?
[**very** important, **somewhat** important, or **not at all** important / **not at all** important, **somewhat** important, or **very** important]?

.....

1. Very important
2. Somewhat important
3. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"HISPANIC: how often contact with ancestral country" (POHISP_CNCTCNTRY)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE:

.....

How often do you have contact with friends and family in [dem_hispcntry]
[**once a week or more**, **once a month or more**, **once every several months**, or **never** / **never**, **once every several months**, **once a month or more**, or **once a week or more**]?

.....

1. Once a week or more
2. Once a month or more
3. Once every several months
4. Never

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"HISPANIC: how often visit ancestral country" (POHISP_VISCNTRY)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE:

.....

How often do you visit [dem_hispcntry]?
[**more than once a year**, **once a year**, **once in the past three years**, **once in the past five years**, **more than five years ago**, or **never** / **never**, **more than five years ago**, **once in the past five years**, **once in the past three years**, **once a year**, or **more than once a year**]?

.....

1. More than once a year
2. Once a year
3. Once in the past three years
4. Once in the past five years
5. More than five years ago
6. Never

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"HISPANIC: how often send money ancestral country" (POHISP_MONEYCNTRY)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE:

.....

How often do you send money to friends or family in [dem_hispcntry]?
[**more than once a month**, **once a month**, **once every few months**, **once a year**, **less than once a year**, or **never** / **never**, **less than once a year**, **once a year**, **once every few months**, **once a month**, **more than once a month**]?

.....

1. More than once a month
2. Once a month
3. Once every few months
4. Once a year
5. Less than once a year
6. Never

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"HISPANIC: attn to politics in ancestral country" (POHISP_POLCNTRY)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE:

.....

How much attention would you say you pay to politics in [dem_hispcntry]?
Would you say you pay [**a lot** of attention, **some** attention, a **little** attention, or **none at all** / **none at all**, a **little** attention, **some** attention, or **a lot** of attention]?

.....

1. A lot
2. Some
3. Little
4. None at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

"HISPANIC: did R ever vote in ancestral country" (POHISP_VOTECNTRY)

IF R IS IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN PRE-ELECTION IW:
IF COUNTRY OF HISPANIC ANCESTRY WAS IDENTIFIED IN PRE:
IF R WAS NOT BORN IN THE U.S.

.....

Before coming to the U.S., did you ever vote in elections in [dem_hispcntry]?

.....

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

section: **ACASISTARTPO**

"CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 1" (ACASISTARTPO_IWRSET1)

.....

Interviewer: **hand the tablet to the respondent after reading the following**:

Next, I'd like to ask you to answer some questions privately using this tablet computer.
Before you start, we'll go through a short practice session so you can learn how to use it.

.....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, do not ask any questions in this section (items IWRSET1 through IWRSEt7).

"CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 2" (ACASISTARTPO_IWRSET2)

.....

These items will help you enter your answers into the tablet.

[**hold up the stylus**] This is the pen you will use. Touch the point to the response you choose to select the response. You can also use your fingers if that is more comfortable.
[**point to the next button**] This is the next button. When you've entered your response, you can click this button to go to the next screen.
[**point to the keyboard**] This is the keyboard
[**point to the enter key**] The Enter key is here,
[**point to the space bar**] the space bar is here,
[**point to the backspace key**] and the Backspace key is here.

click [>>] **to continue**.

.....

response type: Display only

"CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 3" (ACASISTARTPO_IWRSET3)

With this tablet you can control the interview and answer privately. You will learn how to use the tablet and complete some practice questions.

Please press the next [>>] button to get started.

response type: Display only

"CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 4" (ACASISTARTPO_IWRSET4)

You answer questions by touching the response option with the pen or your finger. When a response is selected, it will turn orange.

To answer a question, touch the response you want and then press next [>>].

Do you have a dog?

1. Yes
2. No

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 6" (ACASISTARTPO_IWRSET6)

Other questions will ask you to type an answer in words instead of selecting a response from a list.

This morning what did you eat for breakfast?

Type in what you ate for breakfast and press next [>>].

response type: Text entry
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI ONLY: CASI SETUP 7" (ACASISTARTPO_IWRSET7)

If you have any problems or issues while answering questions on the tablet, please speak with the interviewer.

If you have any questions now, ask your interviewer before you begin. Otherwise, press [**enter**] to begin.

response type: Display only

section: **THCASI**

"CASI Feeling thermometer: ASIAN-AMERICANS" (THCASI_THGRASIAN)

IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING /
NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:

[Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: /
How would you rate:]
Asian-Americans



[PLEASE ENTER THE RATING NUMBER IN THE NUMBER BOX.

RATINGS BETWEEN 50 DEGREES AND 100 DEGREES MEAN THAT YOU FEEL FAVORABLE AND WARM TOWARD THE GROUP. RATINGS BETWEEN 0 DEGREES AND 50 DEGREES MEAN THAT YOU DON'T FEEL FAVORABLE TOWARD THE GROUP AND THAT YOU DON'T CARE TOO MUCH FOR THAT GROUP. YOU WOULD RATE THE GROUP AT THE 50 DEGREE MARK IF YOU DON'T FEEL PARTICULARLY WARM OR COLD TOWARD THE GROUP.
/]

response type: Numeric entry
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of CASI group thermometers
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section.
Display group names in boldface type.
For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows:

'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate Asian-Americans?

'Please enter the rating number in the number box.

'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.'

For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows:

'How would you rate Asian-Americans?'

"CASI Feeling thermometer: HISPANICS" (THCASI_THGRHISP)

IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING /
NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:

.....

[Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: /
How would you rate:]
Hispanics



[PLEASE ENTER THE RATING NUMBER IN THE NUMBER BOX.

RATINGS BETWEEN 50 DEGREES AND 100 DEGREES MEAN THAT YOU FEEL FAVORABLE AND WARM TOWARD THE GROUP. RATINGS BETWEEN 0 DEGREES AND 50 DEGREES MEAN THAT YOU DON'T FEEL FAVORABLE TOWARD THE GROUP AND THAT YOU DON'T CARE TOO MUCH FOR THAT GROUP. YOU WOULD RATE THE GROUP AT THE 50 DEGREE MARK IF YOU DON'T FEEL PARTICULARLY WARM OR COLD TOWARD THE GROUP.
/]

.....

response type: Numeric entry

randomization: Order of CASI group thermometers
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section.
Display group names in boldface type.
For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows:

'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate Hispanics?

'Please enter the rating number in the number box.

'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.'

For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows:

'How would you rate Hispanics?'

"CASI Feeling thermometer: BLACKS" (THCASI_THGRBLACK)

IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING /
NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:

.....

[Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: /
How would you rate:]
blacks



[PLEASE ENTER THE RATING NUMBER IN THE NUMBER BOX.

RATINGS BETWEEN 50 DEGREES AND 100 DEGREES MEAN THAT YOU FEEL FAVORABLE AND WARM TOWARD THE GROUP. RATINGS BETWEEN 0 DEGREES AND 50 DEGREES MEAN THAT YOU DON'T FEEL FAVORABLE TOWARD THE GROUP AND THAT YOU DON'T CARE TOO MUCH FOR THAT GROUP. YOU WOULD RATE THE GROUP AT THE 50 DEGREE MARK IF YOU DON'T FEEL PARTICULARLY WARM OR COLD TOWARD THE GROUP.
/]

.....

response type: Numeric entry
randomization: Order of CASI group thermometers
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section.
Display group names in boldface type.
For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows:

'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate Blacks?

'Please enter the rating number in the number box.

'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.'

For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows:

'How would you rate Blacks?'



"CASI Feeling thermometer: ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS" (THCASI_THGRILLEG)

IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING /
NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:

.....

[Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: /
How would you rate:]
illegal immigrants

[PLEASE ENTER THE RATING NUMBER IN THE NUMBER BOX.

RATINGS BETWEEN 50 DEGREES AND 100 DEGREES MEAN THAT YOU FEEL FAVORABLE AND WARM TOWARD THE GROUP. RATINGS BETWEEN 0 DEGREES AND 50 DEGREES MEAN THAT YOU DON'T FEEL FAVORABLE TOWARD THE GROUP AND THAT YOU DON'T CARE TOO MUCH FOR THAT GROUP. YOU WOULD RATE THE GROUP AT THE 50 DEGREE MARK IF YOU DON'T FEEL PARTICULARLY WARM OR COLD TOWARD THE GROUP.
/]

.....

response type: Numeric entry
randomization: Order of CASI group thermometers
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section.
Display group names in boldface type.
For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows:

'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate illegal immigrants?

'Please enter the rating number in the number box.

'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.'

For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows:

'How would you rate illegal immigrants?'



"CASI Feeling thermometer: WHITES" (THCASI_THGRWHITE)

IF FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING /
NOT FIRST CASI THERMOMETER RATING:

.....

[Using the same thermometer scale which you used earlier in the interview, how would you rate: /
How would you rate:]
whites

[PLEASE ENTER THE RATING NUMBER IN THE NUMBER BOX.

RATINGS BETWEEN 50 DEGREES AND 100 DEGREES MEAN THAT YOU FEEL FAVORABLE AND WARM TOWARD THE GROUP. RATINGS BETWEEN 0 DEGREES AND 50 DEGREES MEAN THAT YOU DON'T FEEL FAVORABLE TOWARD THE GROUP AND THAT YOU DON'T CARE TOO MUCH FOR THAT GROUP. YOU WOULD RATE THE GROUP AT THE 50 DEGREE MARK IF YOU DON'T FEEL PARTICULARLY WARM OR COLD TOWARD THE GROUP.
/]

.....

response type: Numeric entry
randomization: Order of CASI group thermometers
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

WEB LOGIC:
Display feeling thermometer graphic for each item in this section.
Display group names in boldface type.
For the FIRST item displayed in this series, display the text as follows:

'Using the same thermometer scale you used earlier in the survey, how would you rate Whites?

'Please enter the rating number in the number box.

'Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the group and that you don't care too much for that group. You would rate the group at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the group.'

For SUBSEQUENT items displayed in this series, display the same feeling thermometer graphic and display text as follows:

'How would you rate Whites?'

section: **RACEGENPO**

"CASI How much influence do whites have in U.S. politics" **(RACEGENPO_INFLWHITE)**

.....

Would you say that whites have **too much influence** in American politics, **just about the right amount** of influence in American politics, or **too little** influence in American politics?

.....

1. Too much influence
2. Just about the right amount of influence
3. Too little influence

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI How much influence do blacks have in U.S. politics" **(RACEGENPO_INFLBLACKS)**

.....

Would you say that blacks have **too much influence** in American politics, **just about the right amount** of influence in American politics, or **too little** influence in American politics

.....

1. Too much influence
2. Just about the right amount of influence
3. Too little influence

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI How much influence do Hisp have in U.S. politics" (RACEGENPO_INFLHISP)

.....

Would you say that Hispanics have **too much influence** in American politics, **just about the right amount** of influence in American politics, or **too little** influence in American politics

.....

1. Too much influence
2. Just about the right amount of influence
3. Too little influence

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI How often has felt sympathy for blacks" (RACEGENPO_SYMPBLACKS)

.....

How often have you felt sympathy for Blacks?
[**always**, **most of the time**, **about half the time**, **some of the time**, or **never** / **never**, **some of the time**, **about half the time**, **most of the time**,or **always**]

.....

1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI How often has felt admiration for blacks" (RACEGENPO_ADMBLACKS)

.....

How often have you felt admiration for Blacks?
[**always**, **most of the time**, **about half the time**, **some of the time**, or **never** / **never**, **some of the time**, **about half the time**, **most of the time**,or **always**]

.....

1. Always
2. Most of the time
3. About half the time
4. Some of the time
5. Never

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI How important is being Hispanic to identity" (IDENT_HISPID)

IF R IDENTIFIED AS HISPANIC IN THE PRE:

.....

How important is being Hispanic to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]

.....

1. Extremely important
2. Very important
3. Moderately important
4. A little important
5. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI How important is being White to identity" (IDENT_WHITEID)

IF R MENTIONS WHITE IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:

.....

How important is being White to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]

.....

1. Extremely important
2. Very important
3. Moderately important
4. A little important
5. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI How important is being Black to identity" (IDENT_BLACKID)

IF R MENTIONS BLACK IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:

.....

How important is being Black or African-American to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]

.....

1. Extremely important
2. Very important
3. Moderately important
4. A little important
5. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI How important is being Native Am to identity" (IDENT_NATIVEID)

IF R MENTIONS NATIVE AMERICAN IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:

.....

How important is being an American Indian or Alaska Native to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]

.....

1. Extremely important
2. Very important
3. Moderately important
4. A little important
5. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI How important is being Asian to identity" (IDENT_ASIANID)

IF R MENTIONS ASIAN IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:

.....

How important is being Asian to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]

.....

1. Extremely important
2. Very important
3. Moderately important
4. A little important
5. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI How important is being Pacific Islander to identity" (IDENT_PACIFID)

IF R MENTIONS NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:

.....

How important is being a Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]

.....

1. Extremely important
2. Very important
3. Moderately important
4. A little important
5. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order

DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI How important is being Other to identity" (IDENT_OTHERID)

IF R MENTIONS OTHER IN PRE RACE SELF-IDENTIFICATION:

.....

How important is being [**dem_racecps other specify**] to your identity? [Extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / Not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]

.....

1. Extremely important
2. Very important
3. Moderately important
4. A little important
5. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI How important is religious identification to identity" (IDENT_RELIGID)

IF R IS CHRISTIAN /
IF R IS JEWISH /
IF R IS MUSLIM /
IF R IS HINDU /
IF R IS BUDDHIST /
IF R IS NOT RELIGIOUS /
IF R IS AGNOSTIC /
IF R IS ATHEIST:

.....

How important is being [Christian / Jewish / Muslim / Hindu / Buddhist / not religious / agnostic / atheist] to your identity? [Extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / Not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]

.....

1. Extremely important
2. Very important
3. Moderately important
4. A little important
5. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI How important is being American to identity" (IDENT_AMERID)

.....

How important is being American to your identity? [extremely important, very important, moderately important, a little important, or not at all important? / not at all important, a little important, moderately important, very important, or extremely important?]

.....

1. Extremely important

2. Very important
3. Moderately important
4. A little important
5. Not at all important

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

section: **INEQINC**

"CASI Is increased income inequality in U.S. good" **(INEQINC_INEQGB)**

.....

The difference in incomes has grown in the past few decades, as shown below.



The blue line on top shows that the incomes of households in the top 20% has increased from about $100,000 in 1967 to about $171,000 in 2009 (all income figures are in 2009 dollars).

The red line on the bottom shows that the income of households in the bottom 20% has increased from about $9,000 in 1967 to about $11,500 in 2009.

In 1967, households in the top 20% earned an average of 11 times as much as households in the bottom 20%. Today, the top earn an average of 15 times as much.

Is it good, bad, or neither good nor bad that the **difference** between the top and the bottom incomes has changed this way?

.....

1. Good
2. Bad
3. Neither good nor bad

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Does R favor-oppose govt reducing income inequality" (INEQINC_INEQREDUC)

.....

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the government trying to make this income difference smaller?

.....

1. Favor
2. Oppose
3. Neither favor nor oppose

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

section: **STYPEPO**

"CASI STEREOTYPE HARDWORKING INTRO" (STYPEPO_HWKINT)

.....

Now I have some questions about different groups in our society.
I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first statement a score of '1' means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be 'hard-working.' A score of '7' means that you think most people in the group are 'lazy.'
A score of '4' means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

.....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Online, change 'I' to 'we' (one instance) and change 'I'm' to 'We are' (one instance).

"CASI stereotype: Whites hardworking" (STYPEPO_HWKWHITE)

.....

Where would you rate **whites** in general on this scale?



.....

1. Hard-working
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.Lazy

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI stereotype: Blacks hardworking" (STYPEPO_HWKBLACK)

.....

Where would you rate **blacks** in general on this scale?

.....

1. Hard-working
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.Lazy

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of groups after whites.
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI stereotype: Hispanics hardworking" (STYPEPO_HWKHISP)

.....

Where would you rate **Hispanic-Americans** in general on this scale?

.....

1. Hard-working
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.Lazy

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of groups after whites.
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI stereotype: Asians hardworking" (STYPEPO_HWKASIAN)

.....

Where would you rate **Asian-Americans** in general on this scale?

.....

1. Hard-working
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.Lazy

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of groups after whites.
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI STEREOTYPE INTELLIGENT INTRO" (STYPEPO_INTELLINT)

.....

The next set asks if people in each group tend to be 'intelligent' or 'unintelligent'.

.....

response type: Display only

"CASI stereotype: Whites intelligent" (STYPEPO_INTELLWHITE)

.....

Where would you rate **whites** in general on this scale?



.....

1.Intelligent
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Unintelligent

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI stereotype: Blacks intelligent" (STYPEPO_INTELLBLACK)

.....

Where would you rate **blacks** in general on this scale?



.....

1.Intelligent
2.
3.
4.

5.
6.
7. Unintelligent

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of groups after whites.
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI stereotype: Hispanics intelligent" (STYPEPO_INTELLHISP)

.....

Where would you rate **Hispanic**-**Americans** in general on this scale?



.....

1.Intelligent
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Unintelligent

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of groups after whites.
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI stereotype: Asians intelligent" (STYPEPO_INTELLASIAN)

.....

Where would you rate **Asian**-**Americans** in general on this scale?



.....

1.Intelligent
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Unintelligent

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
randomization: Order of groups after whites.
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

section: **TIPI**

"CASI TIPI extraverted, enthusiastic" (TIPI_TIPIEXTRA)

We're interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other.

'extraverted, enthusiastic' describes me…

1. Extremely poorly
2. Somewhat poorly
3. A little poorly
4. Neither poorly nor well
5. A little well
6. Somewhat well
7. Extremely well

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI TIPI critical, quarrelsome" (TIPI_TIPICRIT)

We're interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other.

'critical, quarrelsome' describes me…

1. Extremely poorly
2. Somewhat poorly
3. A little poorly
4. Neither poorly nor well
5. A little well
6. Somewhat well
7. Extremely well

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI TIPI dependable, self-disciplined" (TIPI_TIPIDEP)

We're interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other.

'dependable, self-disciplined' describes me…

1. Extremely poorly
2. Somewhat poorly
3. A little poorly
4. Neither poorly nor well
5. A little well
6. Somewhat well
7. Extremely well

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed

DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI TIPI anxious, easily upset" (TIPI_TIPIANX)

.....

We're interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other.

'anxious, easily upset' describes me…

.....

1. Extremely poorly
2. Somewhat poorly
3. A little poorly
4. Neither poorly nor well
5. A little well
6. Somewhat well
7. Extremely well

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI TIPI open to new experiences" (TIPI_TIPIOPEN)

.....

We're interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other.

'open to new experiences, complex' describes me…

.....

1. Extremely poorly
2. Somewhat poorly
3. A little poorly
4. Neither poorly nor well
5. A little well
6. Somewhat well
7. Extremely well

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI TIPI reserved, quiet" (TIPI_TIPIRESV)

.....

We're interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other.

'reserved, quiet' describes me…

.....

1. Extremely poorly
2. Somewhat poorly
3. A little poorly
4. Neither poorly nor well
5. A little well
6. Somewhat well

7. Extremely well

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI TIPI sympathetic, warm" (TIPI_TIPIWARM)

We're interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other.

'sympathetic, warm' describes me…

1. Extremely poorly
2. Somewhat poorly
3. A little poorly
4. Neither poorly nor well
5. A little well
6. Somewhat well
7. Extremely well

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI TIPI disorganized, careless" (TIPI_TIPICLESS)

We're interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other.

'disorganized, careless' describes me…

1. Extremely poorly
2. Somewhat poorly
3. A little poorly
4. Neither poorly nor well
5. A little well
6. Somewhat well
7. Extremely well

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI TIPI calm, emotionally stable" (TIPI_TIPICALM)

We're interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other.

'calm, emotionally stable' describes me…

1. Extremely poorly
2. Somewhat poorly

3. A little poorly
4. Neither poorly nor well
5. A little well
6. Somewhat well
7. Extremely well

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI TIPI conventional, uncreative" (TIPI_TIPICONV)

.....

We're interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other.

'conventional, uncreative' describes me…

.....

1. Extremely poorly
2. Somewhat poorly
3. A little poorly
4. Neither poorly nor well
5. A little well
6. Somewhat well
7. Extremely well

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

section: **INCPO**

"CASI Total income amount" (INCPO_TOTINC)

IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE:
IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION /
IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION:
IF R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD /
IF R IS ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD:

.....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?]
The next question is about [the total income of all the members of your family living here / your total income] in 2011, before taxes. This figure should include income from all sources, including salaries, wages, pensions, Social Security, dividends, interest, and all other income. What was [the total income in 2011 of all your family members living here / your total income in 2011]?

ENTER AS WHOLE NUMBERS, NO COMMAS, DECIMALS, SPACES, OR DOLLAR SIGNS. ENTER -2 FOR DK

YOUR BEST GUESS IS FINE

.....

response type: Numeric entry

DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Confirm total income amount" **(INCPO_TOTINCCK)**

IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE:
IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION /
IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION:
IF INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R IS LESS THAN $10,000 OR GREATER THAN $99,000:
IF R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN HOUSEHOLD /
IF R IS ONLY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY IN RESIDENCE IN HOUSEHOLD:

.....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Just to confirm, you said [the total income of all the members of
your family living here / your income] was [**inc_totinc**].
Is that right or do you need to change it?

.....

1. Is right
2. Need to change it

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 40K" **(INCPO_TOTMISS40)**

IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE:
IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION /
IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING:

.....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Was it $40,000 or more, or less than that?

.....

1. $40,000 or more
2. Less than $40,000

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 20K" **(INCPO_TOTMISS20)**

IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE:
IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION /
IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING:
IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN $40,000:

.....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give

your best guess?]
Was it $20,000 or more, or less than that?

.....

1. $20,000 or more
2. Less than $20,000

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Income amt missing - categories lt 20K" (INCPO_TOTL20)

IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE:
IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION /
IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING:
IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN $40,000:
IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN $20,000:

.....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members
of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.

.....

1. Under $5,000
2. $5,000-9,999
3. $10,000-12,499
4. $12,500-14,999
5. $15,000-17,499
6. $17,500-19,999

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Income amt missing - categories 20-40K" (INCPO_TOTG20L40)

IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE:
IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION /
IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING:
IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN $40,000:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $20,000 OR MORE:

.....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members
of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.

.....

07. $20,000-22,499
08. $22,500-24,999
09. $25,000-27,499
10. $27,500-29,999
11. $30,000-34,999
12. $35,000-39,999

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 70K" **(INCPO_TOTMISS70)**

IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE:
IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION /
IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $40,000 OR MORE:

.....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Was it $70,000 or more, or less than that?

.....

1. $70,000 or more
2. Less than $70,000

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Income amt missing - categories 40-70K" **(INCPO_TOTG40L70)**

IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE:
IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION /
IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $40,000 OR MORE:
IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN $70,000:

.....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members
of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.

.....

13. $40,000-44,999
14. $45,000-49,999
15. $50,000-54,999
16. $55,000-59,999
17. $60,000-64,999
18. $65,000-69,999

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Income amt missing - ge or lt 100K" **(INCPO_TOTMISS100)**

IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE:
IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION /

IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $40,000 OR MORE:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $70,000 OR MORE:

.....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Was it $100,000 or more, or less than that?

.....

1. $100,000 or more
2. Less than $100,000

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Income amt missing - categories 70-100K" (INCPO_TOTG70L100)

IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE:
IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION /
IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $40,000 OR MORE:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $70,000 OR MORE:
IF R INDICATED INCOME LESS THAN $100,000:

.....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members
of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.

.....

19. $70,000-74,999
20. $75,000-79,999
21. $80,000-89,999
22. $90,000-99,999

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Income amt missing - categories 100+K" (INCPO_TOTG100)

IF R DID NOT PROVIDE INCOME IN THE PRE:
IF R HAS NOT ATTEMPTED TO SKIP THIS QUESTION /
IF R HAS ATTEMPTED ONCE TO SKIP THIS QUESTION:
IF TOTAL INCOME NUMBER GIVEN BY R WAS MISSING:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $40,000 OR MORE:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $70,000 OR MORE:
IF R INDICATED INCOME $100,000 OR MORE:

.....

[
/ Information about income is very important to understand
how people are doing financially these days.
Your answers are confidential. Would you please give
your best guess?]
Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members
of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.

23. $100,000-109,999
24. $110,000-124,999
25. $125,000-149,999
26. $150,000-174,999
27. $175,000-249,999
28. $250,000 or more

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

section: **DISCRIM**

"CASI INTRO DISCRIMINATION IN THE U.S." **(DISCRIM_USDISCINT)**

How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?

response type: Grid of the next 5 items appear on a single screen similar to the example below

How much discrimination is there in the United states today against each of the following groups?

Blacks	A great deal	A lot	A moderate amount	A little	None at all
Hispanics	A great deal	A lot	A moderate amount	A little	None at all
Whites	A great deal	A lot	A moderate amount	A little	None at all
Gays and Lesbians	A great deal	A lot	A moderate amount	A little	None at all
Women	A great deal	A lot	A moderate amount	A little	None at all

"CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Blacks" (DISCRIM_DISCBLCK)

.....

(How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?)
Blacks
[A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?

.....

1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. None at all

response type: Single Punch - grid (see DISCRIM_USDISCINT)
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of social groups
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Hispanics" (DISCRIM_DISCHISP)

.....

(How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?)
Hispanics
([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?)

.....

1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. None at all

response type: Single Punch - grid (see DISCRIM_USDISCINT)
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of social groups
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Whites" (DISCRIM_DISCWHT)

.....

(How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?)
Whites
([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?)

.....

1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. None at all

response type: Single Punch - grid (see DISCRIM_USDISCINT)
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of social groups
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Gays and Lesbians" (DISCRIM_DISCGAY)

(How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?)
Gays and Lesbians
([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?)

1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. None at all

response type: Single Punch - grid (see DISCRIM_USDISCINT)
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of social groups
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI Discrimination in the U.S. against Women" (DISCRIM_DISCWOM)

(How much discrimination is there in the United States today against each of the following groups?)
Women
([A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / none at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot or a great deal]?)

1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. None at all

response type: Single Punch - grid (see DISCRIM_USDISCINT)
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of social groups
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"CASI How much discrimination has R faced personal" (DISCRIM_DISCPERS)

How much discrimination have **you** personally faced because of your ethnicity or race?
[A great deal, a lot, a moderate amount, a little, or none at all / None at all, a little, a moderate amount, a lot, or a great deal]?

1. A great deal
2. A lot
3. A moderate amount
4. A little
5. None at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

section: **RSTYPE**

"WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - Catholics" (RSTYPE_VIOLCATH)

Next we'll ask how well some words describe Catholics, Protestants, Muslims, Mormons, and non-religious people.

How well does the word 'violent' describe most Catholics?
[Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?

1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)

WEB LOGIC:
Online, administer this section as the last section of the survey.

"WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - Protestants" (RSTYPE_VIOLPROT)

How well does the word 'violent' describe most Protestants?
[Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?

1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)

"WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - Muslims" (RSTYPE_VIOLMUSL)

How well does the word 'violent' describe most Muslims?
[Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all / not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?

1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)

"WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - Mormons" (RSTYPE_VIOLMORM)

How well does the word 'violent' describe most Mormons?
[Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all /
not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?

1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)

"WEB ONLY: religious stereotype violent - nonreligious" (RSTYPE_VIOLNONREL)

How well does the word 'violent' describe most non-religious people?
[Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all /
not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?

1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)

"WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - Catholcs" (RSTYPE_PATRCATH)

How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most Catholics?
[Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all /
not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?

1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)

"WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - Muslims" (RSTYPE_PATRMUSL)

How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most Muslims?
[Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all /
not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?

1. Extremely well

2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)

"WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - Mormons" (RSTYPE_PATRMORM)

.....

How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most Mormons?
[Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all /
not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?

.....

1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)

"WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - Protestants" (RSTYPE_PATRPROT)

.....

How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most Protestants?
[Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all /
not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?

.....

1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch
response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)

"WEB ONLY: religious stereotype patriotic - non-religio" (RSTYPE_PATRNONREL)

.....

How well does the word 'patriotic' describe most non-religious people?
[Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not at all /
not at all, slightly well, moderately well, very well, or extremely well]?

.....

1. Extremely well
2. Very well
3. Moderately well
4. Slightly
5. Not at all

response type: Single Punch

response order: Forward/Reverse order
randomization: Order of religious groups excepting the non-religious (last)

section: **ENDCASIPO**

"CASI ONLY: end of CASI section" (ENDCASIPO_MODEND)

.....

Thank you that is the end of this section. Please hand the tablet computer back to the interviewer

.....

response type: Display only

WEB LOGIC:
Do not ask online.

section: **IWROBSPO**

"FTF ONLY: POST IWR OBS: others present" (IWROBSPO_OTHPRES)

.....

Others present at time of interview:

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}

.....

0. No one other than R (1st mention only)
1. Children under 6
2. Older children
3. Unknown person
4. Other relatives
5. Other adults
6. Spouse

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Order as listed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: POST IWR OBS: R cooperation" (IWROBSPO_COOP)

.....

R's cooperation was:

.....

1. Very good
2. Good
3. Fair
4. Poor
5. Very poor

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: POST IWR OBS: R level of information" (IWROBSPO_LEVINF)

.....

R's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

.....

1. Very high

2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: POST IWR OBS: R intelligence" (IWROBSPO_INTELL)

R's apparent intelligence:

1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: POST IWR OBS: R suspicious" (IWROBSPO_SUSPIC)

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?

1. Not at all suspicious
2. Somewhat suspicious
3. Very suspicious

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: POST IWR OBS: R interest in IW" (IWROBSPO_INTIW)

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: POST IWR OBS: R sincere" (IWROBSPO_SINCERE)

How sincere did R seem to be in his/her answers?

1. Completely sincere

2. Usually sincere
3. Often seemed to be insincere

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: POST IWR OBS: places where doubted sincerity" (IWROBSPO_DOUBTSIN)

IF R WAS NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:

.....

Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?

{IF SO, NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER}

.....

1. No
2. Yes {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: POST IWR OBS: R reactions to IW" (IWROBSPO_REACT)

.....

R's reaction to interview:

{CHECK ALL THAT APPLY}

.....

00. R had trouble using the tablet
01. Negative - general
02. Negative - too long
03. Negative - too complicated
04. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
05. R wanted to stop before interview complete
06. R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight
07. R expressed lack of knowledge for interview
08. R stressed/agitated by interview PROCESS
09. R became angry at interview CONTENT
10. R concered with sampling purpose or bias
11. R could not read Repondent Booklet
12. R appeared to enjoy interview
13. Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only)

response type: Multi Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

"FTF ONLY: POST IWR OBS: CASI checkpont" (IWROBSPO_CKPT)

.....

Did R have a visual or physical impairment or other problem which required your assistance in order for him or her to be able to complete the CASI(self-administered) section?

.....

0. NO, no assistance was necessary
1. YES, R required assistance due to VISUAL impairment {describe in thumbnail}

2. YES, R required assistance due to OTHER PHYSICAL impairment
{describe in thumbnail}
5. Other reason for assistance {SPECIFY}

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed

section: **IWROBSP0**

"FTF ONLY: POST IWR OBS: Did IWR enter any CASI answers" **(IWROBSP0_CASIANS)**

.....

Did you enter any of the CASI answers, or did the respondent enter all of the answers in CASI

.....

1. Respondent entered all CASI answers
2. Interviewer entered at least 1 CASI answer (describe in thumbnail)

response type: Single Punch
response order: Order as listed
DK not allowed
RF not allowed