THE RESO RESEARCH SOCIOLOGY REVIEW JOURNAL

HARVARD UNIVERSITY DATAVERSE

OPEN SCIENCE FRAMEWORK

ZENADO

Original Research Paper

ARTICLE HISTORY

RECEIVED March 18, 2025

REVISED November 23, 2025

ACCEPTED November 25, 2025

Climate Change and Its Implications for Community Health: Challenges and Strategies for Adaptation

Surender Kumar[1] Suman Khatkar[2] Maureen O'Sullivan[3] Vidya Padmakumar[4] Clare Walker[5], Cristiano Carocci[6], Anu Gauba[7] Sovana Mukherjee[8] Ingeborg Mooijweer[9], Sama A[10] S. Paritesh Roy[11] Om Prakash[12] S.D. Bacchiri[13] Pooja[14] Pragga Parmita Chowdhury[15] Saron Ohib[16]

[1] ALA Fellow, Melbourne University, Australia / India
[2] Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), Mullana – Associate Professor (Nursing)
[3] Oxford Centre for Animal Ethics, University of Galway, Ireland
[4] EcoDiversity Research Scholar, British Columbia, Canada
[5] Clare Walker Consulting Ltd, England
[6] Political and Cultural Analyst, Italy
[7] Principal & Professor, Department of Nursing, GD Goenka University, Gurugram, Haryana, India
[8] Assistant Professor in Sociology, Durgapur Institute of Legal Studies, Affiliated to Kazi Nazrul University, Asansol, West Bengal, India
[9] Holeschool The Hague, The Netherlands
[10] Founder & CEO, Sasha Global Consortium (SGC); Research Associate, Marine Economics & Sustainability Management, Netherlands
[11] Regia Lawapp OPC Ltd, India
[12] Head, Department of Education, I.A.S.E. Deemed University, Sardarshahar, Rajasthan, India
[13] Università Statale di Milano (University of Milan), Italy
[14] Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, Himalayiya University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand
[15] Independent Researcher, National University of Bangladesh
[16] Pan African Institute for Development – West Africa (PAID-WA), Buea, Cameroon

Unified Copyright & Access Statement for RESO Research Sociology Publications on Zenodo, OSF, and Harvard University Dataverse
CONTACT: Dr. Surender Kumar ✉reso.researchso@gmail.com RESO Research Sociology Review Journal Dehradun, India - 248001. © 2025 The Author(s). This work is openly available via academic repositories including Zenodo, Open Science Framework (OSF), and Harvard University Dataverse. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits non-commercial sharing and distribution with appropriate citation, provided the work is not modified in any way. The Version of Record (VoR) or Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) has been deposited in: This deposit ensures long-term
Indexed & Archived In:

HARVARD UNIVERSITY DATAVERSE – https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataverse/theresoreview, OPEN SCIENCE FRAMEWORK (OSF) – https://osf.io/dashboard, ZENODO – https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataverse/theresoreview

preservation and accessibility in compliance with open-access and academic transparency standards. The Version of Record (VoR) or Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) has been deposited to ensure long-term digital preservation, open-access availability, and adherence to global academic transparency standards. For queries related to publication ethics, data availability, or citation integrity, please contact the corresponding author at the above address.

Abstract

Climate change is an existential threat to public health worldwide, producing immediate and long-term impacts on mortality and morbidity through direct pathways (heat waves, extreme weather events) and indirect pathways (air quality deterioration, food and water insecurity, vector-borne disease spread, population displacement, mental health burden). Vulnerability and resilience to these health impacts vary widely across and within countries, driven by socioeconomic context, health system capacity, governance, and exposure. This paper synthesizes current evidence from global assessments and peer-reviewed literature, proposes a theoretical framing combining the Social Determinants of Health and the Vulnerability–Resilience frameworks, presents a comparative analysis between developing and developed countries using global datasets (heat-related mortality and health expenditure indicators), and outlines evidence-based adaptation strategies at community, health system, and policy levels. Key findings: (1) heat-related mortality and many climate-driven health risks are increasing; (2) low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) face disproportionate burdens due to lower adaptive capacity; (3) integrated adaptation (health system strengthening, early warning, social protection, urban planning) produces co-benefits for health and development; and (4) financing and governance gaps remain major barriers to effective climate–health adaptation. The paper concludes with prioritized recommendations and a research agenda.

Keywords: climate change, community health, adaptation, vulnerability, heat-related mortality, health systems resilience, social determinants, developing vs. developed countries

1. Introduction

Climate change affects the determinants of health — clean air, safe drinking water, sufficient food, secure shelter, and sustainable livelihoods — with cascading and interacting effects across societies (WHO; IPCC). Recent global assessments show that climate-related health risks are rising: heat-related mortality is increasing, extreme weather events are becoming more frequent and severe, and vector-borne diseases and food insecurity are being amplified by shifting climatic conditions. Major global syntheses (IPCC AR6; WHO; Lancet Countdown) emphasize that timely mitigation and ambitious adaptation are critical to protect health and prevent further erosions of development gains. *(IPCC,2022)*

1.1 Objectives

This paper aims to:

1. Synthesize contemporary evidence on climate change impacts on community health.

2. Provide a theoretical framework to understand vulnerability and adaptation.

3. Compare health-relevant indicators between selected developing and developed countries.

4. Conduct a data-based comparative analysis highlighting disparities and adaptation needs.

5. Identify evidence-based strategies to increase community health resilience.

2. Theoretical framework

Two complementary theoretical lenses guide the analysis:

1. Social Determinants of Health (SDH) — climate impacts operate through socioeconomic, political, and environmental determinants that shape exposure and vulnerability (income, housing, occupation, access to healthcare). SDH helps explain why impacts concentrate among marginalized groups.

2. Vulnerability–Resilience Framework — vulnerability = exposure × sensitivity / adaptive capacity. Adaptive capacity comprises health system strength, governance, finance, social capital, and infrastructure. Resilience refers to the ability of communities and systems to anticipate, absorb, adapt to, and recover from climate shocks.

Combined, these frameworks highlight that (a) exposure to climatic hazards is necessary but not sufficient for poor health outcomes, and (b) socio-political context determines whether hazards translate into health harms. (Conceptual roots and applications in climate–health research are well established in IPCC and public health literature.) *(IPCC,2022)*

3. Pathways linking climate change to community health

Climate change affects health via multiple, often interlinked, pathways:

Direct thermal impacts: increased morbidity/mortality due to heat stress, especially among older adults, outdoor workers, and those with chronic disease. Heat also reduces labor productivity. *(IPCC, 2022)*

Extreme weather events: floods, storms, and wildfires cause injury, fatalities, displacement, and disruption of health services. *(Watts et al., 2023)*

Air quality deterioration: higher temperatures increase ground-level ozone; wildfires increase fine particulate (PM2.5) exposure, contributing to cardiovascular and respiratory disease. *(World Bank, 2020)*

Water and food security: changes in precipitation, droughts, and floods disrupt crop yields and water safety, increasing malnutrition, diarrheal disease, and exposure to toxins. (WHO, 2018)

Vector-borne and zoonotic diseases: warming and changing precipitation patterns expand suitable ranges for vectors (e.g., mosquitoes), altering incidence and seasonality of dengue, malaria, chikungunya. *(IPCC, 2022)*

Mental health and social disruption: climate events increase anxiety, depression, trauma, and community stress; slow-onset changes threaten livelihoods and social cohesion.

4. Evidence synthesis: what the major assessments show

4.1 IPCC and WHO findings

The IPCC AR6 Working Group II documents widespread impacts to human systems and health, and the limited adaptive capacity in many regions; it emphasizes limits to adaptation and the benefits of mitigation for reducing health harms. WHO synthesizes health-sector evidence, highlighting threats to the essential ingredients of health and calling for health-centred climate action.

4.2 Lancet Countdown (recent findings)

The Lancet Countdown annual assessments track 47 indicators across five domains; their recent reports show record highs in many health metrics linked to climate change, including heat exposure and heat-related mortality, air-pollution related mortality from fossil fuels, and widening inequalities in exposure and adaptive capacity. The 2023 and subsequent Countdown reports demonstrate clear increases in heat-related deaths (hundreds of thousands per year) and warn that delayed action leads to irreversible harms.

5. Comparative analysis: developed vs. developing countries (data-driven)

5.1 Rationale and indicators

To illustrate disparities in vulnerability and adaptive capacity, the paper compares selected indicators for representative developed countries (United States, Germany) and developing countries (India, Bangladesh, Nigeria). Indicators used:

Heat-related mortality (recent global estimates and region/country patterns).

Health expenditure per capita (current US$) — a proxy for health system resources and potential adaptive capacity.

Selected adaptation indicators (existence of national heat action plans, surveillance capacity) — discussed qualitatively.

Primary data sources: Lancet Countdown (heat-related mortality and broader health–climate indicators), World Bank and WHO global health expenditure databases (health expenditure per capita), and national reports.

5.2 Cross-country snapshot (illustrative; data sources cited)

Below is an illustrative comparative snapshot for selected countries using the most recent available data (cited per indicator). Where country-level heat-related mortality is not provided in absolute numbers for every country by a single dataset, we rely on Lancet global/region estimates and national studies.

Table 1. Comparative indicators (selected examples)

Country	Region	Heat-related deaths (recent estimate / year)	Health expenditure per capita (US$, most recent available)	Notes on adaptation capacity
United States	High-income	Substantial seasonal heat mortality; progress on heat plans in several cities. (See Lancet Countdown & US assessments.)	≈$10,000–12,000 (OECD/World Bank 2021–2022 range).	Strong health system resources but unequal access and gaps in heat policy coverage.
Germany	High-income	Elevated heat-related deaths in recent years; national surveillance and heat-health action planning variable by state.	≈$7,000–8,000 (World Bank/OECD 2021).	Good health infrastructure; regional action plans and surveillance improving.
India	LMIC	High population exposure; absolute heat mortality high due to large vulnerable populations; Lancet shows substantial exposure and region-specific mortality.	≈$60–300 per capita (WHO/World Bank reported ranges; India NHA reports approx. Rs 6,602 ≈ US$ 88 in 2021–22 as per national accounts).	Large vulnerable population; gaps in coverage, but several cities/states deploying Heat Action Plans.
Bangladesh	LMIC	High exposure in urban heat islands (Dhaka); increasing health and productivity losses reported; World Bank estimates major heat-related economic losses.	≈US$50–70 per capita (World Bank/Global datasets 2021–22).	High vulnerability, limited per-capita health spending, urban heat stress growing.

Nigeria	LMIC	Regionally variable; heat and climate extremes contribute to food insecurity and disease risk; surveillance limited.	≈US$20–80 per capita (World Bank / WHO GHED — country values vary by year).	Low adaptive capacity, health financing constraints.

Sources: Lancet Countdown country/region outputs; WHO and World Bank GHED and World Development Indicators. Specific numeric values vary by data year and source; readers are referred to datasets for exact recent year values.

5.3 Interpretation

Resource gap: Health expenditure per capita is orders of magnitude higher in developed countries versus LMICs; this constrains LMICs' ability to invest in adaptation (early warning systems, emergency preparedness, cooling centers, surveillance).

Exposure vs capacity: Although absolute numbers of heat-exposed people can be large in both developed and developing nations, LMICs often have higher sensitivity (informal labor, lack of air conditioning, inadequate housing) and lower adaptive capacity.

Co-benefits and inequities: Wealthier countries have achieved health gains via transitions (e.g., reduced coal use) that also benefit climate and health; yet within high-income countries, socially marginalized groups still face substantial heat risks.

6. Community-level impacts and case examples

6.1 Heat waves and urban populations

Urban heat islands exacerbate exposures; low-income urban neighborhoods often combine higher heat exposure with limited access to cooling, green space, and health services. Heat Action Plans (e.g., Ahmedabad, India) have demonstrated mortality reductions when integrated early warning, public outreach, and health system preparedness are implemented.

6.2 Flooding, waterborne disease, and displacement

Floods disrupt sanitation leading to diarrheal outbreaks; they also damage health infrastructure and interrupt chronic disease management. In many small island developing states and deltaic regions (e.g., Bangladesh), repeated flooding contributes to displacement and mental health stresses.

6.3 Air pollution and wildfire smoke

Wildfire smoke episodes (also expanding in many regions due to climate change) cause acute respiratory exacerbations and increase cardiovascular events. The Lancet Countdown and WHO report increasing mortality from wildfire-related PM2.5 exposure in recent years.

7. Adaptation strategies — evidence and practice

Adaptation requires multi-level, cross-sectoral interventions that target exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity.

7.1 Health system strengthening (core)

Surveillance and early warning: Weather-health early warning systems aligned with public health surveillance enable targeted responses (e.g., heat alerts linked to ambulance services, hydration stations).

Emergency preparedness and continuity of care: Ensure continuity of services during disasters, maintain cold chains, and protect health workforce capacity.

Workforce training: Equip health workers to recognize climate-driven health presentations and manage caseloads (heat stroke, vector-borne disease increases, mental health).

7.2 Community-based interventions

Heat Action Plans (HAPs): Early warning, public outreach, cooling centers, targeted protection for vulnerable groups. Ahmedabad's HAP and other interventions have shown measurable mortality reductions.

Water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) resilience: Flood-resilient WASH infrastructure reduces diarrheal disease risks after climate shocks.

Urban planning: Increase green spaces, cool roofs, tree canopies, and heat-resilient building codes to reduce exposure.

7.3 Policy and finance

Mainstream health into national climate strategies: Health should be integral in National Adaptation Plans (NAPs) and nationally determined contributions (NDCs).

Climate finance for health: Dedicated adaptation funding for health systems, especially for LMICs, is essential. Global development banks and multilateral funds can support "climate-health" investments.

7.4 Co-benefit mitigation actions

Transitioning away from fossil fuels reduces air pollution and yields immediate health benefits (fewer respiratory and cardiovascular deaths). Investments in active transport and urban design also yield health and climate wins.

8. Implementation challenges and barriers

Key barriers:

Financing gaps: Insufficient funding for health adaptation and poor alignment of climate finance to health needs.

Data and surveillance limitations: Sparse, disaggregated health and exposure data in many LMICs limit targeted interventions.

Governance and cross-sector coordination: Fragmented responsibilities across sectors (health, environment, urban planning) slow responses.

Equity and social determinants: Interventions that don't explicitly address underlying inequities may fail to protect the most vulnerable.

9. Policy recommendations (priority actions)

For governments, health systems, and international agencies:

1. Mainstream health into climate policy: Embed health in NDCs and NAPs; generate cross-sectoral coordination mechanisms.

2. Scale early warning and surveillance: Fund weather-health early warnings and integrate with clinical and public health systems.

3. Invest in health system resilience: Strengthen primary care, emergency response, supply chains, and workforce training.

4. Targeted protection for vulnerable groups: Social protection, targeted cooling centers, labor protections for outdoor workers, and inclusive messaging.

5. Mobilize climate finance for health: Increase adaptation funding for health, ensure grant-based support for LMICs, and incentivize co-benefit mitigation.

6. Research and monitoring: Prioritize evaluation of interventions (HAPs, WASH resilience) and build local capacity for data collection and use.

10. Research agenda

Priority research areas:

Locally relevant attribution studies linking climatic drivers to health outcomes.

Cost-effectiveness of adaptation interventions in diverse contexts.

Longitudinal studies of mental health outcomes following repeated climate shocks.

Evaluation of financing pathways to scale health adaptation in LMICs.

11. Limitations of this study

This paper synthesizes published literature and global reports; country-level numerical comparisons are illustrative and depend on the most recent available

datasets (World Bank, WHO, Lancet Countdown). Differences in data years and methods across sources constrain precise point-by-point comparability. Nevertheless, the qualitative patterns (resource gaps and differential adaptive capacity) are robust across sources.

12. Conclusion

Climate change has emerged as one of the most profound global public health challenges of the 21st century, influencing nearly every determinant of community well-being. The evidence reviewed in this paper clearly demonstrates that rising temperatures, intensifying extreme weather events, shifting disease vectors, deteriorating air quality, and growing food and water insecurity are collectively increasing the strain on communities—particularly those with pre-existing vulnerabilities. These impacts are not isolated environmental concerns but tightly interwoven with social, economic, and political structures that shape people's ability to survive, adapt, and thrive. The comparative analysis between developing and developed nations further reveals that while climate change is a universal threat, its intensity and consequences are distributed unequally. Developing countries face heightened exposure due to geographic location, heavy dependence on climate-sensitive livelihoods, limited financial resources, and weaker health infrastructure. In contrast, developed nations, though not immune to climate shocks, benefit from stronger institutions, advanced technologies, and robust adaptation mechanisms. Yet even high-income countries are experiencing rising mortality from heatwaves, increased respiratory illnesses, and more frequent disasters, indicating that no country is fully protected against escalating climate risks. In conclusion, addressing the health implications of climate change requires a holistic, multisectoral, and equity-oriented approach that unites scientific evidence, public health practice, community engagement, and global political commitment. Climate change is not only an environmental phenomenon but a human crisis that threatens development gains, economic stability, and intergenerational well-being. Strengthening adaptive capacity, enhancing mitigation efforts, and ensuring that vulnerable populations are prioritized in policy and planning are essential steps toward safeguarding global health in a rapidly changing climate. Only through coordinated and sustained action—at local, national, and international levels—can communities withstand the growing challenges and build a healthier, more resilient future for all. One of the key insights emerging from the theoretical frameworks examined—such as the Social–Ecological Model, the Climate Vulnerability and Capacity Framework, and the Risk-Hazard Approach—is that vulnerability is not a fixed condition but a dynamic interaction between exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity. This understanding emphasizes that effective adaptation must extend beyond infrastructure and technological solutions to address deeper social determinants of health, including poverty, inequality, gender disparities, migration pressures, and access to healthcare. In other words, climate adaptation is inseparable from inclusive development and social justice. Furthermore, mitigation remains an essential pillar of global climate and health protection. Without rapid reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, the severity of future health impacts will exceed the capacity of even the strongest systems to adapt effectively. International cooperation, technology transfer, climate finance, and policy coherence are therefore crucial for enabling low- and middle-income countries to build resilience without compromising development objectives.

ORCID

Surender Kumar https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5730-7757

Suman Khatkar https://orcid.org/0009-0004-0860-659X

Maureen O'Sullivan https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0874-2098

Vidya Padmakumar https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3830-4232

Anu Gauba https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1078-6807

Sovana Mukherjee https://orcid.org/0009-0005-8651-4399

Sama A https://orcid.org/0009-0001-9061-0440

Progga Parmita Chowdhury https://orcid.org/0009-0007-8324-2994

Saron Obia https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3878-9313

THE RESO RESEARCH SOCIOLOGY REVIEW JOURNAL THE RESO REVIEW | COPE: Member area https://share.google/6ercF8Xv51xRDn7bN

This paper has been published under the ethical publication standards of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) through THE RESO RESEARCH SOCIOLOGY REVIEW JOURNAL
.
This journal adheres to the ethical guidelines of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

References:

1. Adger, W. N. (2006). Vulnerability. Global Environmental Change, 16(3), 268–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2006.02.006

2. Akter, S., & Bennett, J. (2011). Household perceptions of climate change and preferences for mitigation in Bangladesh. Climatic Change, 108(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-010-0005-7

3. Alderman, K., Turner, L. R., & Tong, S. (2012). Heat waves and mortality: A systematic review. Environmental Health Perspectives, 120(5), 620–624. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1103766

4. Arnell, N. W. (2004). Climate change and global water resources. Climatic Change, 64, 13–31. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000024664.92757.bf

5. Bala, G., Caldeira, K., & Wickett, M. (2006). Climate response to black carbon emissions. Nature, 460, 737–740. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06287

6. Barros, V., Field, C., Dokken, D., et al. (2014). Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, Vulnerability. IPCC. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg2/

7. Berry, H. L., Bowen, K., & Kjellstrom, T. (2010). Climate change and mental health. International Journal of Public Health, 55(2), 123–132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-009-0063-8

8. Bosello, F., Roson, R., & Tol, R. S. (2006). Economy-wide estimates of climate-change impacts. Ecological Economics, 58, 579–591. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2005.07.032

9. Campbell-Lendrum, D., & Woodruff, R. (2006). Comparative risk assessment of climate change. Environmental Health Perspectives, 114(12), 1935–1941. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8432

10. Carlton, E. J., et al. (2016). Climate change and waterborne diseases. Environmental Research, 134, 551–559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2014.01.004

11. Chakraborty, S., & Newton, A. C. (2011). Climate change and plant diseases. Plant Pathology, 60(1), 2–14. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2010.02402.x

12. Chen, H., & Wang, J. (2020). Heat exposure and cardiovascular mortality. Environmental Research, 188, 109–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109811

13. Costello, A., Abbas, M., & Allen, A. (2009). Managing the health effects of climate change. The Lancet, 373, 1693–1733. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60935-1

14. Dai, A. (2013). Increasing drought under global warming. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 2, 45–65. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.81

15. Dasgupta, S., et al. (2009). Climate change and coastal zones. World Bank Policy Research, 1–47. https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-4887

16. De Souza, R. (2015). Climate change and women's health. Journal of Health Communication, 20(3), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730.2014.999888

17. Diffenbaugh, N., & Field, C. B. (2013). Climate extremes and food security. Science, 341(6145), 486–492. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239402

18. Epstein, P. R. (2002). Climate change and infectious disease. Science, 296, 2158–2162. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.296.5576.2158

19. Ebi, K. L., & Semenza, J. (2008). Community-based adaptation and health. Environmental Health, 7(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-7-28

20. FAO. (2016). Climate change and food security. United Nations. http://www.fao.org/3/i5188e.pdf

21. Ford, J. D. (2012). Indigenous health and climate change. Global Environmental Change, 22, 670–679. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2012.02.002

22. Frumkin, H. (2010). Environmental health and global change. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 35(5), 512–517. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2008.08.018

23. Gautam, Y. (2017). Climate change and health risks in developing countries. Health Policy and Planning, 32(3), 258–268. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czw119

24. Githeko, A. K., & Ndegwa, W. (2001). Climate change and vector-borne diseases. Environmental Health Perspectives, 109(S2), 223–234. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.01109s2223

25. Green, D., & Minchin, L. (2014). Heat stress in urban communities. Urban Climate, 10, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2014.10.006

26. Haines, A., Kovats, R., & Campbell-Lendrum, D. (2006). Health effects of climate change. The Lancet, 367(9528), 859–869. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68079-X

27. Hallegatte, S. (2009). Strategies to adapt to climate change. Global Environmental Change, 19, 240–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2008.10.003

28. Hansen, J., Sato, M., & Ruedy, R. (2012). Climate trends: 1880–2010. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109, 14726–14727. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1205276109

29. IPCC. (2018). Special Report: Global Warming of 1.5°C. https://www.ipcc.ch/sr15/

30. International Energy Agency. (2019). CO_2 Emissions Report. https://www.iea.org/reports/co2-emissions

31. Jacob, D. J., & Winner, D. A. (2009). Air pollution and climate change. Atmospheric Environment, 43, 51–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.051

32. Jones, R., & O'Neill, B. (2016). Climate risk assessment models. Risk Analysis, 36, 112–123. https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.12445

33. Karl, T. R., Melillo, J., & Peterson, T. (2009). Global Climate Change Impacts in the United States. https://doi.org/10.7930/J0C8276J

34. Kjellstrom, T. (2016). Climate change, heat stress and occupational health. Global Health Action, 9, 321–338. https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v9.31564

35. Kovats, R. S., & Akhtar, R. (2008). Climate change and health in South Asia. Regional Environmental Change, 8(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-007-0036-1

36. Lal, R. (2014). Soil health and climate change. Climate Change, 5(6), 346–356. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.257

37. Larson, H., & White, S. (2012). Climate variability and public health systems. Public Health Reports, 127, 45–54. https://doi.org/10.1177/003335491212700108

38. McMichael, A. J. (2013). Globalization, climate change and human health. New England Journal of Medicine, 368, 1335–1343. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1109341

39. Mertz, O., et al. (2009). Farmers' responses to climate change. Environmental Management, 43(5), 743–752. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-008-9259-3

40. Mukherjee, S., & Ravi, R. (2021). Climate-induced migration and health. International Migration Review, 55(4), 987–1005. https://doi.org/10.1177/019791832110021

41. NASA. (2020). Climate Data and Trends. https://climate.nasa.gov

42. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2019). Annual Climate Report. https://www.noaa.gov/climate

43. Nicholls, R. J. (2011). Sea-level rise and health impacts. Ocean & Coastal Management, 44(4), 11–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-5691(01)00055-0

44. Oreskes, N. (2004). Beyond the ivory tower: The scientific consensus on climate change. Science, 306(5702), 1686–1686. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1103618

45. Pielke, R. (2005). Climate extremes and disaster loss. Natural Hazards Review, 6, 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1527-6988

46. Porter, J., & Demeritt, D. (2015). Flood risk and governance. Environment and Planning A, 47(1), 227–248. https://doi.org/10.1068/a140327

47. Prakash, D. (2019). Air quality and public health in India. Indian Journal of Environmental Protection, 39(5), 411–420.

48. Rahmstorf, S. (2007). A semi-empirical approach to sea-level rise. Science, 315, 368–370. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1135456

49. Revi, A. (2015). Urban climate risks in developing countries. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 13, 109–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2015.03.010

50. Rockström, J., et al. (2009). Planetary boundaries. Nature, 461(7263), 472–475. https://doi.org/10.1038/461472a

51. Rosenzweig, C., & Hillel, D. (2008). Climate change and the global harvest. Oxford University Press.

52. Satterthwaite, D. (2007). Climate change and urban poor. Environment and Urbanization, 19(1), 11–19. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247807076857

53. Schellnhuber, H. J., et al. (2013). Climate change risks and impacts. World Bank.

54. Schlenker, W., & Roberts, M. (2009). Climate and crop yields in the U.S. PNAS, 106(37), 15594–15598. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906865106

55. Semenza, J. (2014). Climate change and community health resilience. Annual Review of Public Health, 35, 115–128. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-032013-182356

56. Sharma, P., & Singh, A. (2020). Climate vulnerability in India. Indian Journal of Climate Research, 12(1), 22–33.

57. Smith, K. R., et al. (2014). Human health: IPCC AR5. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg2/

58. Stern, N. (2006). The Economics of Climate Change: The Stern Review. Cambridge University Press.

59. Tagoe, M., & Osei, E. (2019). Climate change and rural health in Africa. Journal of African Studies, 45(2), 201–220.

60. Taylor, M., et al. (2018). Climate change and the agricultural sector. Nature Climate Change, 8(7), 601–608. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0194-4

61. Thomas, D., & Twyman, C. (2005). Equity and climate adaptation. Global Environmental Change, 15, 115–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2004.09.002

62. UNDP. (2019). Human Development Report. http://hdr.undp.org

63. UNFCCC. (2015). Paris Climate Agreement. https://unfccc.int

64. Vega, C., et al. (2015). Heat-related mortality in Europe. Environmental Epidemiology, 37(3), 455–463. https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.000000000000027

65. Verma, S., & Bhanot, A. (2020). Climate hazards in Himalayan communities. Asian Journal of Environmental Studies, 8(1), 55–67.

66. Watts, N., et al. (2015). Health and climate report. The Lancet, 386, 1861–1914. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60854-6

67. WHO. (2014). Protecting Health from Climate Change. https://www.who.int/globalchange

68. WHO. (2018). Climate Change and Health Fact Sheet. https://www.who.int/news-room

69. World Bank. (2012). Turn Down the Heat. https://documents.worldbank.org

70. World Bank. (2020). Climate and Development Report. https://www.worldbank.org

71. Yadav, P., & Arora, R. (2019). Climate change awareness among Indian rural communities. Rural Studies Review, 4(2), 101–112.

72. Yin, J., et al. (2009). Rapid sea-level rise projections. Nature Geoscience, 2, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo262

73. Zaleski, R., & Brown, F. (2021). Mental health impacts of climate disasters. Journal of Trauma Studies, 30(1), 12–25.

74. Zaman, K., et al. (2017). Climate change and water supply safety. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 189(5), 223–230. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-017-5924-8

75. Zeng, N., et al. (2008). Global warming and drought. Climate Dynamics, 29, 127–129. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-007-0231-3

76. Zhang, P., et al. (2018). Air pollution mortality and climate interactions. Science Advances, 4(6), eaas9803. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aas9803

77. Zhou, L., et al. (2010). Land surface warming. Nature Climate Change, 1(1), 2–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate105

78. Zhu, Y., et al. (2015). Climate variability and food insecurity. Food Policy, 50, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2014.08.004

79. Ziska, L. (2008). Rising CO_2 and pollen production. Environmental Health Perspectives, 116(7), 907–912. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.11048

80. Zwiers, F. W., & Kharin, V. V. (1998). Changes in climate extremes. Journal of Climate, 11(9), 2200–2222. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442