“The Effect of Wartime Legacies on Electoral Mobilization after Civil War”
Changes in replicated tables to submitted version

Difference 1: Appendix E.6 / Table 9

In our originally submitted appendix table (left) we used an R function that relies on executing C++ code to compute Conley standard errors. To facilitate replicability we replaced that function with another function from the fixest package. This slightly changed the standard errors in models 3 to 5, but does not fundamentally change statistical significance or any substantive interpretation.

Original appendix table

Table 9. Robustness: Spatial Correlation

	No. of New Projects (log +1)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Spatial Lag (t-1)		0.08***			
		(0.01)			
GAM Area X Pre-2006 Election	0.10***	0.07**	0.10***	0.10***	0.10***
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Geographical Unit	Sub-District	Sub-District	Sub-District	Sub-District	Sub-District
Unit FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Conley SE spatial cluster	-	-	50km	100km	200km
Observations	9,640	9,399	9,640	9,640	9,640
Adjusted R^2	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Replicated table

	No. of New Projcts (log + 1)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Spatial Lag (t-1)		0.08***			
		(0.01)			
GAM Area X Pre-2006 Election	0.10**	0.07*	0.10**	0.10**	0.10***
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.02)
Geographical unit	Sub-District	Sub-District	Sub-District	Sub-District	Sub-District
Unit FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Conley SE spatial cluster	-	-	50	100	200
Num.Obs.	9640	9399	9640	9640	9640
R2 Adj.	0.405	0.408	0.405	0.405	0.405

+ p < 0.1, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Difference 2: Appendix E.7 / Table 10

In our originally submitted appendix table (left) we had accidentally dropped a covariate from the estimations. We included the covariate in the replicated table on the right (so that all survey models in the appendix use the same set of covariates). This caused some minor changes in the second decimal place & slight changes in the number of observations. Substantive results remain unchanged.

Original appendix table

Table 10. Survey models excluding highly-affected West Coast

	Did village receive tsunami aid in 2005?				Did village receive tsunami aid in 2006?			
	OLS		Logit		OLS		Logit	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Majority in village supported GAM	0.012	-0.027	0.049	-0.116	0.098**	0.114**	1.189***	1.615***
	(0.070)	(0.075)	(0.276)	(0.489)	(0.045)	(0.047)	(0.453)	(0.557)
Covariates	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Kab. FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
West Coast Excluded?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Num.Obs.	455	415	421	393	455	415	399	379
R2 Adj.	0.179	0.197			0.048	0.036		
AIC			575.4	463.1			246.3	246.6

* p < 0.1, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Replicated table

	Did village receive tsunami aid in 2005?				Did village receive tsunami aid in 2006?			
	OLS		Logit		OLS		Logit	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Majority in village supported GAM	0.012	-0.031	0.012	-0.155	0.098*	0.112*	1.189**	1.637**
	(0.070)	(0.075)	(0.070)	(0.501)	(0.045)	(0.045)	(0.453)	(0.550)
Covariates	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Kab. FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
West Coast Excluded?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Num.Obs.	455	414	455	392	455	414	399	378
R2 Adj.	0.179	0.213			0.048	0.054		
AIC			524.3	456.0			246.3	237.6

+ p < 0.1, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Difference 3: Appendix E.8 / Table 11

In our originally submitted appendix table (left), we had accidentally & incorrectly dropped the West coast from the sample. The results from the corrected sample (right) change signs, but are not statistically significant as expected by our theory. The substantive interpretation does not change.

Original appendix table

Table 11. Survey results for 2007

	Did village receive tsunami aid in 2007?			
	OLS		Logit	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Majority in village supported GAM	-0.004	-0.001	-0.229	-0.335
	(0.012)	(0.019)	(0.756)	(0.979)
Covariates	No	Yes	No	Yes
Kab. FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Num.Obs.	455	415	282	261
R2 Adj.	0.011	-0.007		
AIC			106.9	112.3

* p < 0.1, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Replicated table

	Did village receive tsunami aid in 2007?			
	OLS		Logit	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Majority in village supported GAM	0.008	0.010	0.373	0.615
	(0.016)	(0.018)	(0.738)	(0.895)
Covariates	No	Yes	No	Yes
Kab. FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Num.Obs.	728	674	442	397
R2 Adj.	0.020	0.021		
AIC			178.6	162.1

+ p < 0.1, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001