ParteieNavi
Germany
August - September 2017
Data Codebook

Project Management:

- Prof. Dr. Susumu Shikano, *Center für Daten und Methoden, Universität Konstanz*
- Prof. Dr. Theresa Küntzler, *Center für Daten und Methoden, Universität Konstanz*
- Dr. Fernando Mendez, *University of Zurich*
- Dr. Costantinos Djiouvas, *Cyprus University of Technology*

ParteieNavi is a joint academic project led by Universität Konstanz, Germany, in collaboration with the Preference Matcher research consortium (http://www.preferencematcher.org).

Section I: Study Description

General description

This electronic file contains two types of data generated in the context of the *ParteieNavi* voting advice application (VAA): `user data' and `party data'.

User data

User data were collected through an internet questionnaire fielded between August, 29th and September, 24th, 2017. Katakis et al. (2014) provide an overview of the logic of user data collection in the context of VAAs.

Since the Voter Guidance Tool was open to the public, it is possible that users may have filled in the questionnaire multiple times, or rushed through the questions giving nonsensical answers in order to get to the output pages. Andreadis (2014) gives a useful overview of this problem and suggests the use of response latency para-data to clean the dataset from such illegitimate entries; as such, a number of variables have been created at the end of the datafile (less2, less3, less4, noOpinions, maxSuccessiveSameAnswers). It is suggested that researchers eliminate prior to any analyses (see Djouvas, Gemenis & Mendez, 2014 for an overview):

- Users who responded to any of the 30 policy questions in 2 seconds or less
- Users responded to more than three of the 30 policy questions in 3 seconds or less
- Users who completed the questionnaire in under 121 seconds or in over 5399 seconds (90 minutes)
- Users who responded with the same answer consecutively in more than 10 of the 30 policy questions
- Users who responded more than 10 times with “No Opinion” in the 30 policy questions

The codebook for user data including the variable descriptions and response can be found in Section II of this document. The variable values indicate the order in which responses appeared to users, so that e.g. a response value of 1 indicates that this response appeared first, a value of 2 that it appeared second etc.

Party data

The party data refer to the positions of the German political parties that were used in ParteieNavi. The positions of the parties on the 25 issue statements were estimated using an iterative expert survey approach inspired by the `Delphi method' traditionally used in forecasting studies (see Gemenis 2014). **ParteieNavi_partydata.sav** contains the raw data with the positions given as estimated by individual coders over the two rounds. The associated codebook with the variables descriptions can be found in Section III of this document.

Citation

Please cite the data contained in this file as:
Shikano, S., Küntzler, T., Mendez, F. & Djiouvas, C. (2017) ParteieNavi: Voting Advice Application data for the 2017 German General election [computer file].

Contact

If you wish to contact us, please refer to the following e-mail-address:
preferencematcher.org@gmail.com

References

Andreadis, Ioannis. 2014. Data cleaning and data quality. In *Matching Voters to Parties and Candidates*, ed. Diego Garzia & Stefan Marschall. Colchester: ECPR Press.

Djouvas, Constantinos, Kostas Gemenis & Fernando Mendez. 2014. Weeding out the rogues: How to identify them and why it matters for VAA-generated datasets." Paper presented at the ECPR General Conference, Glasgow, September 3-6.

Gemenis, Kostas. 2014. An iterative expert survey approach for estimating parties' policy positions." *Quality & Quantity* doi: 10.1007/s11135-014- 0109-5.

Gemenis, Kostas, Micha Germann, Fernando Mendez, Susumu Shikano, Jonathan Wheatley & Theresa Küntzler. 2014. ParteieNavi: Matching voters with parties in Germany." Unpublished paper.

Katakis, Ioannis, Nicolas Tsapatsoulis, Fernando Mendez, Vasiliki Triga & Costas Djouvas. 2014. Social Voting Advice Applications: Definitions, challenges, datasets and evaluation." *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics* 44:1039-1052.

Mendez, Fernando. 2012. Matching voters with political parties and candidates: An empirical test of four algorithms. *International Journal of Electronic Governance*, *5*(3-4), 264-278.

Van der Ark, L. Andries. 2007. Mokken scale analysis in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *20*(11), 1-19.

Section II: User data

id	**User identification number**
Description	Arbitrary ascending numerical value unique per user

date	**Date of data collection**	
Description	The date user completed the VAA	
Date	N	%
2017-08-29	24	0.2
2017-08-30	1301	10.3
2017-08-31	871	6.9
2017-09-01	330	2.6
2017-09-02	204	1.6
2017-09-03	219	1.7
2017-09-04	203	1.6
2017-09-05	232	1.8
2017-09-06	171	1.4
2017-09-07	192	1.5
2017-09-08	133	1.1
2017-09-09	99	0.8
2017-09-10	149	1.2
2017-09-11	177	1.4
2017-09-12	149	1.2
2017-09-13	141	1.1
2017-09-14	535	4.3
2017-09-15	523	4.2
2017-09-16	803	6.4
2017-09-17	841	6.7
2017-09-18	729	5.8
2017-09-19	849	6.7
2017-09-20	778	6.2
2017-09-21	874	6.9
2017-09-22	884	7
2017-09-23	915	7.3
2017-09-24	255	2

time	**Time of data collection**
Description	The time in which user completed the VAA (GMT)

attempts	**Number of entries from the same computer**		
Description	The number of times the VAA website was visited from a computer with the same IP		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.04	
	Median	1	
	Standard Deviation	0.23	
	Minimum	1	
	Maximum	7	

mobile	**User accessed VAA with mobile device**		
Description	Whether mobile device was used to access the VAA		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100

Values	Label	N	%
0	Desktop version	5739	45.6
1	Mobile version	6842	54.4

device	**Type of device used to access the VAA**
Description	Name of the mobile device used to access the VAA (“Other.0.0.0” if desktop version was used)

OS	**Operating system used to access the VAA**
Description	Name and version of the operating system used to access the VAA

browser	**Browser used to access the VAA**
Description	Name and version of the browser used to access the VAA

UserRating_BarChart	**User-rated - How well result represent you? (Bar Chart)**
Question Wording	Is the graph representative of your own political opinion? [Question appears on the margin of the results screen showing the proximity between user and the different political parties]

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	7136	56.7
	Missing	5445	43.3

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
-1	Dissatisfied	957	7.6	13.4
0	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	2881	22.9	40.4
1	Satisfied	3298	26.2	46.2

UserRating_PolMap	**User-rated - How well result represent you? (Political Map)**
Question Wording	Is the graph representative of your own political opinion? [Question appears on the margin of the results screen showing a 2-D chart placing user and parties on the economic and cultural underlying political dimensions]

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	1322	10.5
	System Missing (-999)	11259	89.5

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
-1	Dissatisfied	185	1.5	14
0	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	483	3.8	36.5
1	Satisfied	654	5.2	49.5

UserRating_SVRchart	**User-rated - How well result represent you? (SVR Chart)**
Question Wording	Is the graph representative of your own political opinion? [Question appears on the margin of the results screen showing the likelihood of other users, who responded to the 30 questions as the user did, for the different political parties]

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	953	7.6
	System Missing (-999)	11628	92.4

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
-1	Dissatisfied	103	0.8	10.8
0	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	314	2.5	32.9
1	Satisfied	536	4.3	56.2

UserRating_RadarChart	**User-rated - How well result represent you? (Radar Chart)**
Question Wording	Is the graph representative of your own political opinion? [Question appears on the margin of the results screen showing the proximity between user and the different political parties on mini-dimensions]

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	893	7.1
	System Missing (-999)	11688	92.9

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
-1	Dissatisfied	102	0.8	11.4
0	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	298	2.4	33.4
1	Satisfied	493	3.9	55.2

suppTimer1	**Overall time taken to respond to the first group of supplementary questions in seconds**
Description	Time taken to respond to the first group of supplementary questions (sex, age, constituency, postcode, education) in seconds

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	104.15	
	Median	35.4	
	Standard Deviation	2572.11	
	Minimum	1.1	
	Maximum	234710.7	

sex	**User sex**
Question Wording	Sex:
Original Wording	Geschlecht
Measurement Level	Nominal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	11385	90.5
	System Missing (-999:= NA)	699	5.6
	User Missing (-998 := I prefer not to answer)	497	4

Values	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Woman	Frau	3327	26.4	29.2
2	Man	Mann	7931	63	69.7
3	Other	Andere	127	1	1.1

age	**User age in years**		
Question Wording	Year of birth		
Original Wording	Geburtsjahr		
Measurement Level	Scale		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	11133	88.5
	System Missing (-999:= NA)	748	5.9
	User Missing (-998 := I prefer not to answer)	700	5.6
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	36.73	
	Median	34	
	Standard Deviation	14.19	
	Minimum	16	
	Maximum	95	

constituency	**User constituency**				
Question Wording	In which state are you eligible to vote?				
Original Wording	In welchem Bundesland sind Sie wahlberechtigt?				
Measurement Level	Nominal				
				N	%
Missing values	Valid			10959	87.1
	System Missing (-999:= NA)			1327	10.5
	User Missing (-998 := I prefer not to answer)			189	1.5
	User Missing (-997 := I am not eligible to vote)			106	0.8
Values	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Baden-Wurttemberg	Baden-Württemberg	2631	20.9	24
2	Bayern	Bayern	1498	11.9	13.7
3	Berlin	Berlin	527	4.2	4.8
4	Brandenburg	Brandenburg	292	2.3	2.7
5	Bremen	Bremen	99	0.8	0.9
6	Hamburg	Hamburg	225	1.8	2.1
7	Hessen	Hessen	759	6	6.9
8	Mecklenburg-Vorpommern	Mecklenburg-Vorpommern	161	1.3	1.5
9	Niedersachsen	Niedersachsen	908	7.2	8.3
10	Nordrhein-Westfalen	Nordrhein-Westfalen	1868	14.8	17
11	Rheinland-Pfalz	Rheinland-Pfalz	497	4	4.5
12	Saarland	Saarland	114	0.9	1
13	Sachsen	Sachsen	638	5.1	5.8
14	Sachen-Anhalt	Sachen-Anhalt	212	1.7	1.9
15	Schleswig-Holstein	Schleswig-Holstein	261	2.1	2.4
16	Thuringen	Thüringen	269	2.1	2.5

postcode	**User postcode**
Question Wording	What is the zip code of your place of residence?
Original Wording	Wie lautet die Postleitzahl Ihres Wohnortes?
Measurement Level	Open responses

education	**User educational level**
Question Wording	What is the highest educational attainment you have obtained?
Original Wording	Was ist die höchste Ausbildung, die Sie abgeschlossen haben?
Measurement Level	Nominal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	10912	86.7
	System Missing (-999:= NA)	1056	8.4
	User Missing (-998 := I prefer not to answer)	613	4.9

Val.	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	I still go to school	Ich gehe noch zur Schule	364	2.9	3.3
2	I have not completed primary education	Schule beendet, ohne Abschluss	28	0.2	0.3
3	Volks-, Hauptschulabschluss	Volks-, Hauptschulabschluss	331	2.6	3
4	Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss	Mittlere Reife, Realschulabschluss	1026	8.2	9.4
5	Berufsabschluss/ Lehre	Berufsabschluss/ Lehre	1529	12.2	14
6	Meister, Technicker, oder Ahnliches	Meister, Technicker, oder Ähnliches	688	5.5	6.3
7	Abitur, Fachhochschulreife, Fachschulreife	Abitur, Fachhochschulreife, Fachschulreife	2748	21.8	25.2
8	(Fach-)Hochschulabschluss	(Fach-)Hochschulabschluss	3581	28.5	32.8
9	Promotion, Habilitation	Promotion, Habilitation	488	3.9	4.5
10	Other	Sonstiges	129	1	1.2

SupTimer_2	**Time taken to respond to the second group of supplementary questions**		
Description	Time taken to respond to the second group of supplementary questions (interestInPolitics, voteInt_firstVote, voteInt_secondVote, voteReason, previousVote) in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	64.75	
	Median	52.8	
	Standard Deviation	186.69	
	Minimum	0.8	
	Maximum	10781.6	

interestInPolitics	**User Interest in politics**				
Question Wording	How interested are you in politics?				
Original Wording	Wie stark interessieren Sie sich für Politik?				
Measurement Level	Nominal				
				N	%
Missing values	Valid			11781	93.6
	System Missing (-999:= NA)			692	5.5
	User Missing (-998 := I prefer not to answer)			108	0.9
Values	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
4[1]	Very interested	Sehr stark	2748	21.8	23.3
3	Fairly interested	Stark	4453	35.4	37.8
2	Somewhat interested	Mittel	3634	28.9	30.8
1	A little interested	Wenig	819	6.5	7
0	Not interested at all	Überhaupt nicht	127	1	1.1

[1] Order reflects the order in which the response categories were presented to the user

voteInt_firstVote	**User vote intention for their first vote in the Bundestag election of 2017**
Question Wording	Which electoral candidates will you vote for with your first vote at the Bundestage election? Please select the candidate's party.
Original Wording	Welchem Wahlkreiskandidaten beabsichtigen Sie bei der Bundestagswahl Ihre Erststimme zu geben? Bitte wählen Sie die Partei des Kandidaten aus.
Measurement Level	Nominal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	8193	65.1
	System Missing (-999:= NA)	1249	9.9
	User Missing (-998 := I prefer not to answer)	455	3.6
	User Missing (-997 := I am not eligible to vote)	155	1.2
	User Missing (-996 := I do not intend to vote)	65	0.5
	User Missing (-995 := I am undecided)	2464	19.6

Values	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	CDU/CSU	CDU/CSU	1348	10.7	16.5
2	SPD	SPD	1916	15.2	23.4
3	Die Linke	Die Linke	1296	10.3	15.8
4	Die Grunen	Die Grünen	880	7	10.7
5	FDP	FDP	669	5.3	8.2
6	AfD	AfD	1593	12.7	19.4
7	Free voter	Freie Wähler	116	0.9	1.4
8	Other	Sonstige	375	3	4.6

voteInt_secondVote	**User vote intention for their second vote in the Bundestag election of 2017**
Question Wording	Which party will you vote for with your second vote at the Bundestage election?
Original Wording	Welche Partei würden Sie bei der Bundestagswahl mit Ihrer Zweitstimme (Parteistimme) wählen?
Measurement Level	Nominal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	8666	68.9
	System Missing (-999:= NA)	1252	10
	User Missing (-998 := I prefer not to answer)	419	3.3
	User Missing (-997 := I am not eligible to vote)	146	1.2
	User Missing (-996 := I do not intend to vote)	63	0.5
	User Missing (-995 := I am undecided)	2035	16.2

Values	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	CDU/CSU	CDU/CSU	774	6.2	8.9
2	SPD	SPD	1166	9.3	13.5
3	Die Linke	Die Linke	1636	13	18.9
4	Die Grunen	Die Grünen	1279	10.2	14.8
5	FDP	FDP	1259	10	14.5
6	AfD	AfD	1804	14.3	20.8
7	Free voter	Freie Wähler	112	0.9	1.3
8	Other	Sonstige	636	5.1	7.3

voteReason	**User main reason for vote intention**
Question Wording	What is the main reason for the way you intend to vote (second vote) in the Bundestag election?
Original Wording	Was ist der wichtigste Grund für Ihre Entscheidung bei der Zweitstimme bei der Bundestagswahl?
Measurement Level	Nominal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	10644	84.6
	System Missing (-999:= NA)	1290	10.3
	User Missing (-998 := I prefer not to answer)	499	4
	User Missing (-997 := I am not eligible to vote)	148	1.2

Val.	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	I like the party leader	Ich mag den/die Spitzenkandidaten/in der Partei	548	4.4	5.1
2	The party helps me and my family	Ich denke, die Partei wird mich und meine Familie unterstützen	718	5.7	6.7
3	The party ideas are closest to my own	Die Ideen der Partei sind meinen am nächsten	5243	41.7	49.3
4	The party is most competent	Ich denke, diese Partei ist am kompetentesten	912	7.2	8.6
5	I dislike the governing coalition	Ich mag die Regierungskoalition nicht	535	4.3	5
6	I dislike the Opposition	Ich mag die Opposition nicht	76	0.6	0.7
7	I would like to see the party as part of a governing coalition	Ich stimme für die Partei, weil ich sie gerne als Teil einer Regierungskoalition sehen würde	1498	11.9	14.1
8	Out of protest	Aus Protest	472	3.8	4.4
9	Other reason	Andere Gründe	642	5.1	6

previousVote	User vote in the previous general elections of 2013
Question Wording	To which party did you give your second vote (party vote) in the previous Bundestag elections of 2013?
Original Wording	Welcher Partei haben Sie bei der letzten Bundestagswahl im Jahr 2013 Ihre Zweitstimme (Parteienstimme) gegeben?
Measurement Level	Nominal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	7720	61.4
	System Missing (-999:= NA)	1342	10.7
	User Missing (-998 := I prefer not to answer)	783	6.2
	User Missing (-997 := I was not eligible to vote)	1932	15.4
	User Missing (-996 := I do not recall)	804	6.4

Values	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	CDU/CSU	CDU/CSU	1585	12.6	20.5
2	SPD	SPD	1693	13.5	21.9
3	Die Linke	Die Linke	987	7.8	12.8
4	Die Grunen	Die Grünen	1434	11.4	18.6
5	FDP	FDP	639	5.1	8.3
6	Piraten Partei	Piraten	290	2.3	3.8
7	AfD	AfD	571	4.5	7.4
8	Free voter	Freie Wähler	65	0.5	0.8
9	Other	Sonstige	456	3.6	5.9

SupTimer_3	Time taken to respond to the third group of supplementary questions		
Description	Time taken to respond to the third group of supplementary questions (participateInElection, heardAboutParteieNavi) in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	44.24	
	Median	34.1	
	Standard Deviation	252.92	
	Minimum	0.9	
	Maximum	20341.6	

participateInElection **Whether user will participate in the upcoming general election of 2017**

Question Wording	How certain are you that you will participate in the upcoming general election?
Original Wording	Wie sicher sind Sie sich, an der kommenden Bundestagswahl teilzunehmen?
Measurement Level	Nominal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	11504	91.4
	System Missing (-999:= NA)	733	5.8
	User Missing (-998 := I prefer not to answer)	81	0.6
	User Missing (-997 := I am not eligible to vote)	262	2.1

Values	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
4	I will very certainly participate	berhaupt nicht sicher	10344	82.2	89.9
3	I will certainly participate	nicht so sicher	762	6.1	6.6
2	I am in the middle	mittelmäßig	178	1.4	1.5
1	I am not sure I will participate	sicher	112	0.9	1
0	I am not at all sure I will participate	sehr sicher	108	0.9	0.9

heardAboutParteieNavi **How user heard about ParteieNavi**

Question Wording	How did you hear about ParteieNavi?
Original Wording	Wie haben Sie von ParteieNavi erfahren?
Measurement Level	Nominal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	11499	91.4
	System Missing (-999:= NA)	816	6.5
	User Missing (-998 := I prefer not to answer)	266	2.1

Values	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Print Media	Printmedien (Zeitung, Zeitschriften, etc.)	236	1.9	2.1
2	TV	Fernsehen	290	2.3	2.5
3	Radio	Radio	792	6.3	6.9
4	Twitter	Twitter	201	1.6	1.7
5	Facebook	Facebook	6755	53.7	58.7
6	From friends/acquaintances	Erzählungen von Freunden/ Bekannten	1677	13.3	14.6
7	Other	Sonstiges	1548	12.3	13.5

selfPlace_LR_timer	Time taken to complete the Left-Right self-placement		
Description	Time taken to respond to the Left-Right placement question for self and all parties in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	104.15	
	Median	35.4	
	Standard Deviation	2572.11	
	Minimum	1.1	
	Maximum	234710.7	

selfPlace_LR_self	User placement of themself on Left-Right scale			
Wording	In politics it is often debated whether the state should intervene more or less in the economy. How would you place yourself and the following parties as to this? You can enter a value between 0 and 10 with the dials below. 0 means that the government should play an active role in the economy. 10 means that the government should not interfere with the market.			
Original wording	In der Politik wird oft debattiert, ob der Staat mehr oder weniger in die Wirtschaft eingreifen soll. Wie ist Ihre Meinung dazu und wie schätzen Sie die der Parteien ein? Sie können mit den untenstehenden Dials (Wählscheiben) einen Wert zwischen 0 und 10 eingeben. 0 bedeutet, dass die Regierung eine aktive Rolle in der Wirtschaft spielen soll. 10 bedeutet, dass die Regierung gar nicht in den Markt eingreifen soll.			
			N	%
Missing values	Valid		9774	77.7
	System Missing (-999:= NA)		2807	22.3

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Left	657	5.2	6.7
1	1	286	2.3	2.9
2	2	591	4.7	6
3	3	974	7.7	10
4	4	936	7.4	9.6
5	5	2300	18.3	23.5
6	6	833	6.6	8.5
7	7	1146	9.1	11.7
8	8	950	7.6	9.7
9	9	274	2.2	2.8
10	10 - Right	827	6.6	8.5

selfPlace_LR_CDU	**User placement of CDU party on Left-Right scale**
Wording	see selfPlace_LR_self above
Original wording	see selfPlace_LR_self above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	9086	72.2
	System Missing (-999)	3495	27.8

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Left	594	4.7	6.5
1	1	242	1.9	2.7
2	2	411	3.3	4.5
3	3	761	6	8.4
4	4	831	6.6	9.1
5	5	1626	12.9	17.9
6	6	1192	9.5	13.1
7	7	1403	11.2	15.4
8	8	866	6.9	9.5
9	9	252	2	2.8
10	10 - Right	908	7.2	10

selfPlace_LR_CSU	**User placement of CSU party on Left-Right scale**
Wording	see selfPlace_LR_self above
Original wording	see selfPlace_LR_self above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	8405	66.8
	System Missing (-999)	4176	33.2

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Left	505	4	6
1	1	277	2.2	3.3
2	2	509	4	6.1
3	3	700	5.6	8.3
4	4	689	5.5	8.2
5	5	1316	10.5	15.7
6	6	942	7.5	11.2
7	7	1193	9.5	14.2
8	8	1085	8.6	12.9
9	9	409	3.3	4.9
10	10 - Right	780	6.2	9.3

selfPlace_LR_SPD	**User placement of SPD party on Left-Right scale**
Wording	see selfPlace_LR_self above
Original wording	see selfPlace_LR_self above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	8907	70.8
	System Missing (-999)	3674	29.2

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Left	472	3.8	5.3
1	1	223	1.8	2.5
2	2	484	3.8	5.4
3	3	1095	8.7	12.3
4	4	1375	10.9	15.4
5	5	1751	13.9	19.7
6	6	998	7.9	11.2
7	7	945	7.5	10.6
8	8	562	4.5	6.3
9	9	175	1.4	2
10	10 - Right	827	6.6	9.3

selfPlace_LR_dieLinke	**User placement of die Linke party on Left-Right scale**
Wording	see selfPlace_LR_self above
Original wording	see selfPlace_LR_self above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	8449	67.2
	System Missing (-999)	4132	32.8

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Left	1516	12	17.9
1	1	1148	9.1	13.6
2	2	1098	8.7	13
3	3	544	4.3	6.4
4	4	278	2.2	3.3
5	5	540	4.3	6.4
6	6	245	1.9	2.9
7	7	359	2.9	4.2
8	8	702	5.6	8.3
9	9	674	5.4	8
10	10 - Right	1345	10.7	15.9

selfPlace_LR_dieGrunen	**User placement of die Grunen party on Left-Right scale**
Wording	see selfPlace_LR_self above
Original wording	see selfPlace_LR_self above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	8717	69.3
	System Missing (-999)	3864	30.7

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Left	697	5.5	8
1	1	377	3	4.3
2	2	791	6.3	9.1
3	3	1184	9.4	13.6
4	4	1007	8	11.6
5	5	1306	10.4	15
6	6	736	5.9	8.4
7	7	784	6.2	9
8	8	631	5	7.2
9	9	242	1.9	2.8
10	10 - Right	962	7.6	11

selfPlace_LR_FDP	**User placement of FDP party on Left-Right scale**
Wording	see selfPlace_LR_self above
Original wording	see selfPlace_LR_self above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	8284	65.8
	System Missing (-999)	4297	34.2

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Left	762	6.1	9.2
1	1	643	5.1	7.8
2	2	714	5.7	8.6
3	3	541	4.3	6.5
4	4	322	2.6	3.9
5	5	636	5.1	7.7
6	6	284	2.3	3.4
7	7	423	3.4	5.1
8	8	1010	8	12.2
9	9	1137	9	13.7
10	10 - Right	1812	14.4	21.9

selfPlace_LR_AfD	**User placement of AfD party on Left-Right scale**
Wording	see selfPlace_LR_self above
Original wording	see selfPlace_LR_self above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	8635	68.6
	System Missing (-999)	3946	31.4

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Left	903	7.2	10.5
1	1	440	3.5	5.1
2	2	486	3.9	5.6
3	3	612	4.9	7.1
4	4	535	4.3	6.2
5	5	1132	9	13.1
6	6	631	5	7.3
7	7	825	6.6	9.6
8	8	949	7.5	11
9	9	560	4.5	6.5
10	10 - Right	1562	12.4	18.1

selfPlace_ProgCons_timer	**Time taken to complete the Progressive-Conservative self-placement scale for self and parties**
Description	Time taken to respond to the Progressive - Conservative placement question for self and all parties in seconds

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	64.75	
	Median	52.8	
	Standard Deviation	186.69	
	Minimum	0.8	
	Maximum	10781.6	

selfPlace_ProgCons_self	User placement of themself on Progressive-Conservative scale
Wording	When people talk about politics, social issues are referred to as "progressive" and "conservative". Conservatives, for example tend to emphasise traditional values. Progressives are more open to alternative lifestyles. Where would you place yourself and the following parties? You can enter a value between 0 (progressive) and 10 (conservative) using the dials below.
Original wording	In der Politik spricht man im Zusammenhang von gesellschaftlichen Themen häufig von „progressiv“ und „konservativ“. Konservativ steht z.B. für die Betonung traditioneller Werte. Progressiv steht z.B. für Offenheit gegenüber alternativen Lebensstilen. Wo stehen Sie und wie schätzen Sie die Parteien ein? Sie können mit den untenstehenden Dials (Wählscheiben) einen Wert zwischen 0 (progressiv) und 10 (konservariv) eingeben.

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	10258	81.5
	System Missing (-999)	2323	18.5

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Progressive	1111	8.8	10.8
1	1	624	5	6.1
2	2	1120	8.9	10.9
3	3	1157	9.2	11.3
4	4	778	6.2	7.6
5	5	1464	11.6	14.3
6	6	636	5.1	6.2
7	7	960	7.6	9.4
8	8	927	7.4	9
9	9	505	4	4.9
10	10 - Conservative	976	7.8	9.5

selfPlace_ProgCons_CDU	**User placement of CDU party on Progressive-Conservative scale**
Wording	see selfPlace_ProgCons_self above
Original wording	see selfPlace_ProgCons_self above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	9279	73.8
	System Missing (-999)	3302	26.2

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Progressive	389	3.1	4.2
1	1	191	1.5	2.1
2	2	378	3	4.1
3	3	570	4.5	6.1
4	4	567	4.5	6.1
5	5	898	7.1	9.7
6	6	888	7.1	9.6
7	7	1755	13.9	18.9
8	8	1842	14.6	19.9
9	9	777	6.2	8.4
10	10 - Conservative	1024	8.1	11

selfPlace_ProgCons_CSU	**User placement of CSU party on Progressive-Conservative scale**
Wording	see selfPlace_ProgCons_self above
Original wording	see selfPlace_ProgCons_self above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	8954	71.2
	System Missing (-999)	3627	28.8

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Progressive	423	3.4	4.7
1	1	368	2.9	4.1
2	2	457	3.6	5.1
3	3	388	3.1	4.3
4	4	260	2.1	2.9
5	5	413	3.3	4.6
6	6	337	2.7	3.8
7	7	726	5.8	8.1
8	8	1657	13.2	18.5
9	9	1781	14.2	19.9
10	10 - Conservative	2144	17	23.9

selfPlace_ProgCons_SPD	**User placement of SPD party on Progressive-Conservative scale**
Wording	see selfPlace_ProgCons_self above
Original wording	see selfPlace_ProgCons_self above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	9144	72.7
	System Missing (-999)	3437	27.3

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Progressive	370	2.9	4
1	1	221	1.8	2.4
2	2	443	3.5	4.8
3	3	1034	8.2	11.3
4	4	1551	12.3	17
5	5	2296	18.2	25.1
6	6	1363	10.8	14.9
7	7	950	7.6	10.4
8	8	404	3.2	4.4
9	9	111	0.9	1.2
10	10 - Conservative	401	3.2	4.4

selfPlace_ProgCons_dieLinke	**User placement of die Linke party on Progressive-Conservative scale**
Wording	see selfPlace_ProgCons_self above
Original wording	see selfPlace_ProgCons_self above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	8946	71.1
	System Missing (-999)	3635	28.9

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Progressive	1384	11	15.5
1	1	1054	8.4	11.8
2	2	1353	10.8	15.1
3	3	1287	10.2	14.4
4	4	891	7.1	10
5	5	825	6.6	9.2
6	6	466	3.7	5.2
7	7	490	3.9	5.5
8	8	456	3.6	5.1
9	9	287	2.3	3.2
10	10 - Conservative	453	3.6	5.1

selfPlace_ProgCons_dieGrunen	**User placement of die Grunen party on Progressive-Conservative scale**
Wording	see selfPlace_ProgCons_self above
Original wording	see selfPlace_ProgCons_self above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	9036	71.8
	System Missing (-999)	3545	28.2

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Progressive	1231	9.8	13.6
1	1	1009	8	11.2
2	2	1479	11.8	16.4
3	3	1426	11.3	15.8
4	4	929	7.4	10.3
5	5	825	6.6	9.1
6	6	377	3	4.2
7	7	451	3.6	5
8	8	460	3.7	5.1
9	9	299	2.4	3.3
10	10 - Conservative	550	4.4	6.1

selfPlace_ProgCons_FDP	**User placement of FDP party on Progressive-Conservative scale**
Wording	see selfPlace_ProgCons_self above
Original wording	see selfPlace_ProgCons_self above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	8850	70.3
	System Missing (-999)	3731	29.7

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Progressive	402	3.2	4.5
1	1	291	2.3	3.3
2	2	676	5.4	7.6
3	3	1071	8.5	12.1
4	4	1138	9	12.9
5	5	1885	15	21.3
6	6	1043	8.3	11.8
7	7	1050	8.3	11.9
8	8	626	5	7.1
9	9	272	2.2	3.1
10	10 - Conservative	396	3.1	4.5

selfPlace_ProgCons_AfD	**User placement of AfD party on Progressive-Conservative scale**			
Wording	see selfPlace_ProgCons_self above			
Original wording	see selfPlace_ProgCons_self above			
			N	%
Missing values	Valid		9206	73.2
	System Missing (-999)		3375	26.8
Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - Progressive	882	7	9.6
1	1	492	3.9	5.3
2	2	295	2.3	3.2
3	3	197	1.6	2.1
4	4	145	1.2	1.6
5	5	285	2.3	3.1
6	6	169	1.3	1.8
7	7	363	2.9	3.9
8	8	786	6.2	8.5
9	9	1346	10.7	14.6
10	10 - Conservative	4246	33.7	46.1

selfPlace_feelTherm_timer	**Time taken to complete the feelings thermometer self-placement scale for all parties**		
Description	Time taken to respond to the feelings thermometer question for all parties in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	44.24	
	Median	34.1	
	Standard Deviation	252.92	
	Minimum	0.9	
	Maximum	20341.6	

selfPlace_feelTherm_CDU	**User opinion (?) regarding the CDU party**
Wording	What do you think of the following parties? Give your opinion on a scale of 0 (I hold the party in no regard) to 10 (I think a lot of the party).
Original wording	Was halten Sie von den einzelnen Parteien? Bitte geben Sie Ihre Meinung ab, auf einer Skala von 0 (ich halte von der Partei gar nichts) bis 10 (ich halte sehr viel von der Partei).

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	11089	88.1
	System Missing (-999)	1492	11.9

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - I hold the party in no regard	1590	12.6	14.3
1	1	647	5.1	5.8
2	2	1012	8	9.1
3	3	1208	9.6	10.9
4	4	1120	8.9	10.1
5	5	1837	14.6	16.6
6	6	1115	8.9	10.1
7	7	1170	9.3	10.6
8	8	842	6.7	7.6
9	9	252	2	2.3
10	10 - I think a lot of the party	296	2.4	2.7

selfPlace_feelTherm_CSU	**User opinion (?) regarding the CSU party**
Wording	see selfPlace_feelTherm_CDU above
Original wording	see selfPlace_feelTherm_CDU above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	11045	87.8
	System Missing (-999)	1536	12.2

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - I hold the party in no regard	2473	19.7	22.4
1	1	1219	9.7	11
2	2	1484	11.8	13.4
3	3	1253	10	11.3
4	4	918	7.3	8.3
5	5	1165	9.3	10.5
6	6	720	5.7	6.5
7	7	703	5.6	6.4
8	8	535	4.3	4.8
9	9	234	1.9	2.1
10	10 - I think a lot of the party	341	2.7	3.1

selfPlace_feelTherm_SPD	**User opinion (?) regarding the SPD party**
Wording	see selfPlace_feelTherm_CDU above
Original wording	see selfPlace_feelTherm_CDU above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	11064	87.9
	System Missing (-999)	1517	12.1

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - I hold the party in no regard	1239	9.8	11.2
1	1	481	3.8	4.3
2	2	739	5.9	6.7
3	3	1050	8.3	9.5
4	4	1112	8.8	10.1
5	5	1907	15.2	17.2
6	6	1405	11.2	12.7
7	7	1444	11.5	13.1
8	8	988	7.9	8.9
9	9	325	2.6	2.9
10	10 - I think a lot of the party	374	3	3.4

selfPlace_feelTherm_dieLinke	**User opinion (?) regarding die Linke party**
Wording	see selfPlace_feelTherm_CDU above
Original wording	see selfPlace_feelTherm_CDU above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	11058	87.9
	System Missing (-999)	1523	12.1

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - I hold the party in no regard	2126	16.9	19.2
1	1	837	6.7	7.6
2	2	965	7.7	8.7
3	3	966	7.7	8.7
4	4	871	6.9	7.9
5	5	1225	9.7	11.1
6	6	911	7.2	8.2
7	7	1031	8.2	9.3
8	8	915	7.3	8.3
9	9	462	3.7	4.2
10	10 - I think a lot of the party	749	6	6.8

selfPlace_feelTherm_dieGrunen	**User opinion (?) regarding die Grunen party**
Wording	see selfPlace_feelTherm_CDU above
Original wording	see selfPlace_feelTherm_CDU above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	10998	87.4
	System Missing (-999)	1583	12.6

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - I hold the party in no regard	2339	18.6	21.3
1	1	640	5.1	5.8
2	2	644	5.1	5.9
3	3	809	6.4	7.4
4	4	758	6	6.9
5	5	1233	9.8	11.2
6	6	1076	8.6	9.8
7	7	1374	10.9	12.5
8	8	1243	9.9	11.3
9	9	482	3.8	4.4
10	10 - I think a lot of the party	400	3.2	3.6

selfPlace_feelTherm_FDP	**User opinion (?) regarding the FDP party**
Wording	see selfPlace_feelTherm_CDU above
Original wording	see selfPlace_feelTherm_CDU above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	11005	87.5
	System Missing (-999)	1576	12.5

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - I hold the party in no regard	1679	13.3	15.3
1	1	909	7.2	8.3
2	2	1222	9.7	11.1
3	3	1331	10.6	12.1
4	4	1118	8.9	10.2
5	5	1468	11.7	13.3
6	6	948	7.5	8.6
7	7	834	6.6	7.6
8	8	748	5.9	6.8
9	9	344	2.7	3.1
10	10 - I think a lot of the party	404	3.2	3.7

selfPlace_feelTherm_AfD	**User opinion (?) regarding the AfD party**
Wording	see selfPlace_feelTherm_CDU above
Original wording	see selfPlace_feelTherm_CDU above

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	11146	88.6
	System Missing (-999)	1435	11.4

Values	Label	N	%	Valid %
0	0 - I hold the party in no regard	6274	49.9	56.3
1	1	951	7.6	8.5
2	2	433	3.4	3.9
3	3	326	2.6	2.9
4	4	257	2	2.3
5	5	474	3.8	4.3
6	6	286	2.3	2.6
7	7	388	3.1	3.5
8	8	442	3.5	4
9	9	254	2	2.3
10	10 - I think a lot of the party	1061	8.4	9.5

q1

Question Wording	In the future, there should be a ban on diesel and petrol cars.
Original Wording	In Deutschland soll es in Zukunft ein Verbot von Diesel- und Benzinautos geben.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12410	98.6
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	171	1.4

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	1040	8.3	8.4
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	2072	16.5	16.7
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	2182	17.3	17.6
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	2858	22.7	23
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	4258	33.8	34.3

q2

Question Wording	In the future, Germany should be completely supplied by renewable energy sources.
Original Wording	Deutschland soll in Zukunft komplett durch erneuerbare Energien versorgt werden.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12495	99.3
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	86	0.7

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	3910	31.1	31.3
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	3711	29.5	29.7
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	2495	19.8	20
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	1340	10.7	10.7
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	1039	8.3	8.3

q3

Question Wording	Companies should be allowed to set the amount of manager salaries without restriction from the State.
Original Wording	Unternehmen sollen die Höhe von Managergehältern ohne staatliche Einschränkungen festlegen dürfen.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12289	97.7
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	292	2.3

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	1444	11.5	11.8
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	2018	16	16.4
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	1905	15.1	15.5
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	3825	30.4	31.1
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	3097	24.6	25.2

q4

Question Wording	Job protection for companies should be relaxed, so that new jobs can be created more easily.
Original Wording	Der betriebliche Kündigungsschutz soll gelockert werden, damit leichter neue Arbeitsplätze geschaffen werden können.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12366	98.3
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	215	1.7

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	465	3.7	3.8
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	1162	9.2	9.4
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	1712	13.6	13.8
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	4455	35.4	36
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	4572	36.3	37

q5

Question Wording	The State should take measures to enforce gender equality with regards to salaries.
Original Wording	Der Staat soll Maßnahmen ergreifen, um gleiche Bezahlung unabhängig vom Geschlecht durchzusetzen.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12461	99
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	120	1

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	5427	43.1	43.6
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	3410	27.1	27.4
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	1438	11.4	11.5
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	1288	10.2	10.3
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	898	7.1	7.2

q6

Question Wording	Germany should continue to reveice an indefinite amount of refugees from war zones.
Original Wording	Deutschland soll weiterhin unbegrenzt Flüchtlinge aus Kriegsgebieten aufnehmen.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12512	99.5
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	69	0.5

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	2145	17	17.1
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	2419	19.2	19.3
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	1982	15.8	15.8
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	1968	15.6	15.7
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	3998	31.8	32

q7

Question Wording	Asylum seekers and applicants who have been rejected should be deported, including to Afghanistan.
Original Wording	Abgelehnte Asylbewerber und -bewerberinnen sollen konsequent abgeschoben werden – auch nach Afghanistan.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12493	99.3
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	88	0.7

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	4724	37.5	37.8
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	2435	19.4	19.5
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	1901	15.1	15.2
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	2041	16.2	16.3
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	1392	11.1	11.1

q8

Question Wording	There should be integration courses for all asylum seekers, even if there is a low chance of obtaining a leave to remain.
Original Wording	Es soll Integrationskurse für alle Asylsuchenden geben, auch bei geringen Chancen auf ein Bleiberecht.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12476	99.2
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	105	0.8

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	3366	26.8	27
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	4078	32.4	32.7
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	1629	12.9	13.1
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	1758	14	14.1
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	1645	13.1	13.2

q9

Question Wording	Tax credits for families with children ought to be introduced in place of joint filling for taxes by married couples (Ehegattensplittings)
Original Wording	Anstelle des Ehegattensplittings sollen Steuervorteile für Familien mit Kindern geschaffen werden.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	11707	93.1
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	874	6.9

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	4589	36.5	39.2
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	4014	31.9	34.3
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	1720	13.7	14.7
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	913	7.3	7.8
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	471	3.7	4

q10

Question Wording	The property tax should be reintroduced.
Original Wording	Die Vermögenssteuer soll wieder eingeführt werden.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12191	96.9
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	390	3.1

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	4629	36.8	38
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	3245	25.8	26.6
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	1530	12.2	12.6
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	1423	11.3	11.7
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	1364	10.8	11.2

q11

Question Wording	Investments in social policy and infrastructure should take precedence over debt reduction.
Original Wording	Investitionen in Sozialpolitik und Infrastruktur sollen Vorrang vor dem Schuldenabbau haben.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12311	97.9
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	270	2.1

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	3320	26.4	27
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	4351	34.6	35.3
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	2875	22.9	23.4
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	1405	11.2	11.4
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	360	2.9	2.9

q12

Question Wording	Top earners ought to be taxed with higher rates.
Original Wording	Spitzenverdiener sollen steuerlich höher belastet werden.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12494	99.3
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	87	0.7

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	5721	45.5	45.8
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	3642	28.9	29.1
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	1258	10	10.1
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	1133	9	9.1
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	740	5.9	5.9

q13

Question Wording	German membership in the European Union is a good thing.
Original Wording	Die Mitgliedschaft Deutschlands in der Europäischen Union ist eine gute Sache.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12478	99.2
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	103	0.8

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	6601	52.5	52.9
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	2241	17.8	18
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	1704	13.5	13.7
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	994	7.9	8
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	938	7.5	7.5

q14

Question Wording	EU-Turkey accession negotiations should be ended.
Original Wording	Die EU-Beitrittsverhandlungen mit der Türkei sollen beendet werden.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12350	98.2
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	231	1.8

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	7209	57.3	58.4
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	3039	24.2	24.6
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	1331	10.6	10.8
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	570	4.5	4.6
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	201	1.6	1.6

q15

Question Wording	If the UK does not grant freedom of movement for persons after Brexit, it should not have unlimited access to the EU internal market.
Original Wording	Wenn Großbritannien nach dem Brexit keine Personenfreizügigkeit gewährt, soll es keinen Zugang zum EU-Binnenmarkt bekommen.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	11667	92.7
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	914	7.3

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	3718	29.6	31.9
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	3812	30.3	32.7
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	2067	16.4	17.7
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	1419	11.3	12.2
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	651	5.2	5.6

q16

Question Wording	Economically weaker countries, e.g. Greece, should not be in the eurozone.
Original Wording	Ökonomisch schwächere Länder, wie zB. Griechenland, sollten nicht in der Eurozone sein.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12207	97
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	374	3

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	2024	16.1	16.6
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	2040	16.2	16.7
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	2315	18.4	19
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	3856	30.6	31.6
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	1972	15.7	16.2

q17

Question Wording	There should be a general ban on the export of armaments by German companies.
Original Wording	Es soll ein generelles Verbot von Rüstungsexporten deutscher Firmen geben.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12285	97.6
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	296	2.4

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	3011	23.9	24.5
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	2585	20.5	21
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	2232	17.7	18.2
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	2556	20.3	20.8
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	1901	15.1	15.5

q18

Question Wording	Germany should be more independent from the US as to foreign policy.
Original Wording	Deutschland soll außenpolitisch unabhängiger von den USA sein.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12316	97.9
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	265	2.1

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	4806	38.2	39
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	5127	40.8	41.6
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	1647	13.1	13.4
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	573	4.6	4.7
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	163	1.3	1.3

q19

Question Wording	Germany should increase its military spending to meet the 2% target of NATO.
Original Wording	Deutschland soll seine Militärausgaben erhöhen, um das 2%-Ziel der Nato einzuhalten.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12065	95.9
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	516	4.1

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	1519	12.1	12.6
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	2198	17.5	18.2
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	1902	15.1	15.8
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	3156	25.1	26.2
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	3290	26.2	27.3

q20

Question Wording	The sanctions against Russia should be relaxed.
Original Wording	Die Sanktionen gegenüber Russland sollen gelockert werden.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	11780	93.6
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	801	6.4

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	2370	18.8	20.1
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	2689	21.4	22.8
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	2426	19.3	20.6
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	3108	24.7	26.4
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	1187	9.4	10.1

q21

Question Wording	Same-sex couples should not have full rights to adoption.
Original Wording	Gleichgeschlechtliche Ehepaare sollen kein vollständiges Adoptionsrecht haben.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12276	97.6
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	305	2.4

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	2000	15.9	16.3
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	1170	9.3	9.5
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	988	7.9	8
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	2130	16.9	17.4
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	5988	47.6	48.8

q22

Question Wording	Christian values should be the guiding principle of German politics.
Original Wording	Christliche Werte sollen das Leitbild deutscher Politik sein.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12244	97.3
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	337	2.7

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	1984	15.8	16.2
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	2354	18.7	19.2
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	2382	18.9	19.5
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	2238	17.8	18.3
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	3286	26.1	26.8

q23

Question Wording	A monitoring programme for the Internet is necessary to combat terrorism.
Original Wording	Ein Überwachungsprogramm im Internet ist für die Bekämpfung des Terrorismus notwendig.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12401	98.6
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	180	1.4

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	1907	15.2	15.4
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	3090	24.6	24.9
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	2846	22.6	22.9
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	2524	20.1	20.4
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	2034	16.2	16.4

q24

Question Wording	Referendums should also be carried out at the federal level.
Original Wording	Volksentscheide sollen auch auf Bundesebene durchgeführt werden.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12278	97.6
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	303	2.4

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	4538	36.1	37
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	3088	24.5	25.2
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	1681	13.4	13.7
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	1692	13.4	13.8
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	1279	10.2	10.4

q25

Question Wording	Video surveillance of public spaces should be expanded.
Original Wording	Die Videoüberwachung im öffentlichen Raum soll ausgeweitet werden.
Measurement Level	Ordinal

		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12467	99.1
	User Missing (-998:= No Opinion)	114	0.9

Val	Label	Original Label	N	%	Valid %
1	Completely Agree	Stimme voll und ganz zu	2183	17.4	17.5
2	Agree	Stimme eher zu	2943	23.4	23.6
3	Neither agree nor disagree	teils/teils	2422	19.3	19.4
4	Disagree	Stimme eher nicht zu	2660	21.1	21.3
5	Completely Disagree	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	2259	18	18.1

rt1 **Response time for q1 (sec)**

Description	Response time for q1 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	13.98	
	Median	10.1	
	Standard Deviation	47.13	
	Minimum	1.1	
	Maximum	3457.1	

rt2	**Response time for q2 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q2 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	8.21	
	Median	5.6	
	Standard Deviation	30.53	
	Minimum	0.6	
	Maximum	1415.1	

rt3	**Response time for q3 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q3 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	14.26	
	Median	8.9	
	Standard Deviation	141.44	
	Minimum	0.6	
	Maximum	12788.6	

rt4	**Response time for q4 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q4 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	13.69	
	Median	7.5	
	Standard Deviation	238.96	
	Minimum	0.4	
	Maximum	25826.9	

rt5	**Response time for q5 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q5 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	11.78	
	Median	7.1	
	Standard Deviation	100.95	
	Minimum	0.4	
	Maximum	7385.2	

rt6	**Response time for q6 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q6 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	7.55	
	Median	5.3	
	Standard Deviation	46.81	
	Minimum	0.4	
	Maximum	3960	

rt7	**Response time for q7 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q7 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	21.66	
	Median	6.2	
	Standard Deviation	1497.29	
	Minimum	0.6	
	Maximum	167941.2	

rt8	**Response time for q8 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q8 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	36.68	
	Median	7.5	
	Standard Deviation	2705.24	
	Minimum	0.4	
	Maximum	302731	

rt9	**Response time for q9 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q9 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	11.48	
	Median	7.2	
	Standard Deviation	58.86	
	Minimum	0.6	
	Maximum	3596.6	

rt10	**Response time for q10 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q10 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	10.77	
	Median	3.9	
	Standard Deviation	415.23	
	Minimum	0.4	
	Maximum	45220.7	

rt11	**Response time for q11 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q11 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	12.13	
	Median	7.7	
	Standard Deviation	89.26	
	Minimum	0.5	
	Maximum	7651.4	

rt12	**Response time for q12 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q12 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	5.64	
	Median	3.9	
	Standard Deviation	23.05	
	Minimum	0.5	
	Maximum	1938.3	

rt13	**Response time for q13 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q13 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	11.36	
	Median	4.3	
	Standard Deviation	584.79	
	Minimum	0.6	
	Maximum	65482.8	

rt14	**Response time for q14 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q14 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	6.22	
	Median	4.3	
	Standard Deviation	38.2	
	Minimum	0.4	
	Maximum	3593.3	

rt15	**Response time for q15 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q15 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	14.56	
	Median	8.2	
	Standard Deviation	226.41	
	Minimum	0.6	
	Maximum	23357.4	

rt16	**Response time for q16 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q16 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	9.39	
	Median	6	
	Standard Deviation	95.9	
	Minimum	0.4	
	Maximum	10024.8	

rt17	**Response time for q17 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q17 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	7.91	
	Median	5.3	
	Standard Deviation	45.78	
	Minimum	0.6	
	Maximum	4367.6	

rt18	**Response time for q18 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q18 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	6.5	
	Median	4.5	
	Standard Deviation	24.18	
	Minimum	0.6	
	Maximum	1453.6	

rt19	**Response time for q19 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q19 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	7.9	
	Median	5.6	
	Standard Deviation	40.94	
	Minimum	0.4	
	Maximum	3980.6	

rt20	**Response time for q20 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q20 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	6.02	
	Median	4.1	
	Standard Deviation	16.2	
	Minimum	0.6	
	Maximum	907.3	

rt21	**Response time for q21 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q21 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	8.04	
	Median	5.9	
	Standard Deviation	36.04	
	Minimum	0.5	
	Maximum	3766.7	

rt22	**Response time for q22 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q22 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	6.65	
	Median	4.4	
	Standard Deviation	49.36	
	Minimum	0.5	
	Maximum	4808	

rt23	**Response time for q23 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q23 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	8.74	
	Median	5.9	
	Standard Deviation	56.2	
	Minimum	0.4	
	Maximum	5628.5	

rt24	**Response time for q24 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q24 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	7.31	
	Median	4.3	
	Standard Deviation	84.7	
	Minimum	0.6	
	Maximum	8467	

rt25	**Response time for q25 (sec)**		
Description	Response time for q25 in seconds		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	6.13	
	Median	3.6	
	Standard Deviation	95.89	
	Minimum	0.2	
	Maximum	10546.3	

totalTime	**Total time in seconds taken to complete questionnaire (q1 to q30)**		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	274.57	
	Median	168.9	
	Standard Deviation	4297.9	
	Minimum	17.2	
	Maximum	470885.7	

suspiciousBeh	**Suspicious responding behaviour**		
Description	Whether user exhibited “suspicious” responding behaviour (see p.4 for description)		
		N	%
Missing values	Valid	12581	100

Values	Label	N	%
0	Desktop version	8388	66.7
1	Mobile version	4193	33.3

Section III: Party data

partyId	**User identification number**
Description	Arbitrary ascending numerical value unique per user

q1

Question Wording	In the future, there should be a ban on diesel and petrol cars.
Original Wording	In Deutschland soll es in Zukunft ein Verbot von Diesel- und Benzinautos geben.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Disagree
CSU	Agree
SPD	Disagree
Linke	Agree
Grüne	Completely Agree
FDP	Disagree
AfD	Completely Disagree

q2

Question Wording	In the future, Germany should be completely supplied by renewable energy sources.
Original Wording	Deutschland soll in Zukunft komplett durch erneuerbare Energien versorgt werden.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Disagree
CSU	Disagree
SPD	Agree
Linke	Completely Agree
Grüne	Completely Agree
FDP	Completely Disagree
AfD	Completely Disagree

q3

Question Wording	Companies should be allowed to set the amount of manager salaries without restriction from the State.
Original Wording	Unternehmen sollen die Höhe von Managergehältern ohne staatliche Einschränkungen festlegen dürfen.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Agree
CSU	Agree
SPD	Disagree
Linke	Completely Disagree
Grüne	Completely Disagree
FDP	Completely Agree
AfD	Disagree

q4

Question Wording	Job protection for companies should be relaxed, so that new jobs can be created more easily.
Original Wording	Der betriebliche Kündigungsschutz soll gelockert werden, damit leichter neue Arbeitsplätze geschaffen werden können.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Completely Agree
CSU	Completely Agree
SPD	Disagree
Linke	Completely Disagree
Grüne	No opinion
FDP	Agree
AfD	No opinion

q5

Question Wording	The State should take measures to enforce gender equality with regards to salaries.
Original Wording	Der Staat soll Maßnahmen ergreifen, um gleiche Bezahlung unabhängig vom Geschlecht durchzusetzen.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Completely Agree
CSU	Completely Agree
SPD	Completely Agree
Linke	Completely Agree
Grüne	Completely Agree
FDP	Disagree
AfD	Completely Disagree

q6

Question Wording	Germany should continue to reveice an indefinite amount of refugees from war zones.
Original Wording	Deutschland soll weiterhin unbegrenzt Flüchtlinge aus Kriegsgebieten aufnehmen.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Agree
CSU	Completely Disagree
SPD	Agree
Linke	Completely Agree
Grüne	Completely Agree
FDP	Agree
AfD	Completely Disagree

q7

Question Wording	Asylum seekers and applicants who have been rejected should be deported, including to Afghanistan.
Original Wording	Abgelehnte Asylbewerber und -bewerberinnen sollen konsequent abgeschoben werden – auch nach Afghanistan.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Agree
CSU	Agree
SPD	Completely Disagree
Linke	Completely Disagree
Grüne	Completely Disagree
FDP	Completely Agree
AfD	Completely Agree

q8

Question Wording	There should be integration courses for all asylum seekers, even if there is a low chance of obtaining a leave to remain.
Original Wording	Es soll Integrationskurse für alle Asylsuchenden geben, auch bei geringen Chancen auf ein Bleiberecht.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Agree
CSU	Agree
SPD	Disagree
Linke	Completely Agree
Grüne	Completely Agree
FDP	Completely Agree
AfD	Completely Disagree

q9

Question Wording	Tax credits for families with children ought to be introduced in place of joint filling for taxes by married couples (Ehegattensplittings)
Original Wording	Anstelle des Ehegattensplittings sollen Steuervorteile für Familien mit Kindern geschaffen werden.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Disagree
CSU	Completely Disagree
SPD	Agree
Linke	Completely Agree
Grüne	Agree
FDP	Disagree
AfD	Disagree

q10

Question Wording	The property tax should be reintroduced.
Original Wording	Die Vermögenssteuer soll wieder eingeführt werden.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Completely Disagree
CSU	Completely Disagree
SPD	Neither agree nor disagree
Linke	Completely Agree
Grüne	Completely Agree
FDP	Completely Disagree
AfD	Completely Disagree

q11

Question Wording	Investments in social policy and infrastructure should take precedence over debt reduction.
Original Wording	Investitionen in Sozialpolitik und Infrastruktur sollen Vorrang vor dem Schuldenabbau haben.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Completely Disagree
CSU	Completely Disagree
SPD	Agree
Linke	Completely Agree
Grüne	Agree
FDP	Completely Disagree
AfD	Completely Disagree

q12

Question Wording	Top earners ought to be taxed with higher rates.
Original Wording	Spitzenverdiener sollen steuerlich höher belastet werden.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Completely Disagree
CSU	Completely Disagree
SPD	Completely Agree
Linke	Completely Agree
Grüne	Agree
FDP	Completely Disagree
AfD	No opinion

q13

Question Wording	German membership in the European Union is a good thing.
Original Wording	Die Mitgliedschaft Deutschlands in der Europäischen Union ist eine gute Sache.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Completely Agree
CSU	Completely Agree
SPD	Completely Agree
Linke	Disagree
Grüne	Completely Agree
FDP	Completely Agree
AfD	Completely Disagree

q14

Question Wording	EU-Turkey accession negotiations should be ended.
Original Wording	Die EU-Beitrittsverhandlungen mit der Türkei sollen beendet werden.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Agree
CSU	Completely Agree
SPD	Disagree
Linke	Completely Agree
Grüne	Completely Disagree
FDP	Completely Agree
AfD	Completely Agree

q15

Question Wording	If the UK does not grant freedom of movement for persons after Brexit, it should not have unlimited access to the EU internal market.
Original Wording	Wenn Großbritannien nach dem Brexit keine Personenfreizügigkeit gewährt, soll es keinen Zugang zum EU-Binnenmarkt bekommen.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Agree
CSU	Disagree
SPD	Agree
Linke	Disagree
Grüne	Agree
FDP	Agree
AfD	Completely Disagree

q16

Question Wording	Economically weaker countries, e.g. Greece, should not be in the eurozone.
Original Wording	Ökonomisch schwächere Länder, wie zB. Griechenland, sollten nicht in der Eurozone sein.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Neither agree nor disagree
CSU	Neither agree nor disagree
SPD	Disagree
Linke	Completely Disagree
Grüne	Disagree
FDP	Completely Agree
AfD	Completely Agree

q17

Question Wording	There should be a general ban on the export of armaments by German companies.
Original Wording	Es soll ein generelles Verbot von Rüstungsexporten deutscher Firmen geben.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Disagree
CSU	Disagree
SPD	Agree
Linke	Completely Agree
Grüne	Agree
FDP	Disagree
AfD	Neither agree nor disagree

q18

Question Wording	Germany should be more independent from the US as to foreign policy.
Original Wording	Deutschland soll außenpolitisch unabhängiger von den USA sein.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Disagree
CSU	Disagree
SPD	Disagree
Linke	Agree
Grüne	Agree
FDP	Disagree
AfD	Agree

q19

Question Wording	Germany should increase its military spending to meet the 2% target of NATO.
Original Wording	Deutschland soll seine Militärausgaben erhöhen, um das 2%-Ziel der Nato einzuhalten.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Completely Agree
CSU	Completely Agree
SPD	Completely Disagree
Linke	Completely Disagree
Grüne	Completely Disagree
FDP	Agree
AfD	Agree

q20

Question Wording	The sanctions against Russia should be relaxed.
Original Wording	Die Sanktionen gegenüber Russland sollen gelockert werden.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Disagree
CSU	Completely Agree
SPD	Disagree
Linke	Completely Agree
Grüne	Completely Disagree
FDP	Disagree
AfD	Completely Agree

q21

Question Wording	Same-sex couples should not have full rights to adoption.
Original Wording	Gleichgeschlechtliche Ehepaare sollen kein vollständiges Adoptionsrecht haben.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Agree
CSU	Agree
SPD	Completely Disagree
Linke	Completely Disagree
Grüne	Completely Disagree
FDP	Completely Disagree
AfD	Completely Agree

q22

Question Wording	Christian values should be the guiding principle of German politics.
Original Wording	Christliche Werte sollen das Leitbild deutscher Politik sein.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Agree
CSU	Completely Agree
SPD	Disagree
Linke	Completely Disagree
Grüne	Completely Disagree
FDP	Completely Disagree
AfD	Agree

q23

Question Wording	A monitoring programme for the Internet is necessary to combat terrorism.
Original Wording	Ein Überwachungsprogramm im Internet ist für die Bekämpfung des Terrorismus notwendig.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Completely Agree
CSU	Completely Agree
SPD	Agree
Linke	Completely Disagree
Grüne	Completely Disagree
FDP	Completely Disagree
AfD	Disagree

q24

Question Wording	Referendums should also be carried out at the federal level.
Original Wording	Volksentscheide sollen auch auf Bundesebene durchgeführt werden.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Completely Disagree
CSU	Completely Agree
SPD	Completely Agree
Linke	Completely Agree
Grüne	Completely Agree
FDP	Disagree
AfD	Completely Agree

q25

Question Wording	Video surveillance of public spaces should be expanded.
Original Wording	Die Videoüberwachung im öffentlichen Raum soll ausgeweitet werden.

Party Name	Response
CDU	Completely Agree
CSU	Completely Agree
SPD	Agree
Linke	Completely Disagree
Grüne	Disagree
FDP	Disagree
AfD	Completely Agree