The Erosion of Democracy in an Age of Wealth Inequality: Unraveling the Impact of Subjective Socioeconomic Stratification

Table 1. Data information

Data	Year	Source	Website
Wealth Inequality	1900-2021	World Inequality Database	https://wid.world/
World Values Surveys (WVS)	1994-2022	World Values Survey Association	https://www.worldvaluessurvey.org/wvs.jsp
Electoral Democracy	1900-2022	Varieties of Democracy	https://www.v-dem.net/
Liberal Democracy	1900-2022	Varieties of Democracy	https://www.v-dem.net/
Participatory Democracy	1900-2022	Varieties of Democracy	https://www.v-dem.net/
Polity	1900-2020	Polity5 Project	https://www.systemicpeace.org/polityproject.html
GDP p.c.	1900-2018	Maddison Project Database	https://www.rug.nl/ggdc/historicaldevelopment/maddison/releases/maddison-project-database-2020?lang=en

Table 2. Primary variables

	Variable	Description	Note
1	Wealth inequality	Gini index, Top 1% share, Top 10% share Bottom 50% share	World Inequality Database
2	Subjective class	Lower (=1) ~ Upper (=5)	WVS
3	Preference for democratic political system	Q: Having a democratic political system. Very good (=1) Good (=2) Bad (=3) Very bad (=4)	WVS

Table 3. Control variables

	Variable	Description	Note
1	Polity	-10 - 10	Polity5 Project
2	GDP p.c.	GDP per capita	Maddison Project Database
3	Income	Income scale: 1 - 10	WVS
4	Education	Lower (=1) ~ Upper (=3)	WVS
5	Sex	Female (= 1) Otherwise (= 0)	WVS
6	Age	Age scale: 1 - 6	WVS
7	Marital	Living with a spouse (or a partner) (= 1) Otherwise (= 0)	WVS
8	Urban	Size scale: 1 - 8	WVS
9	Religious	Religious (= 1) Otherwise (= 0)	WVS
10	Political orientation	Far right (=1) – Far left (=10)	WVS