ArchaeoGLOBE Supplement

Figure 1. Average expertise score of respondent per region for each time slice (None = 0, Low = 1, High = 2, rounded to nearest half-integer). Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.

Figure 2. Median data quality score per region for each time slice (Unknown/Not Settled by Humans = 0, Moderate = 1, Good = 2, if two numbers in middle, their average is shown). Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.

Figure 3. Minimum response (lowest prevalence level) for Foraging/Hunting/Gathering/Fishing per region for each time slice. Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.

Figure 4. Median response (or lesser when two in middle differ) for Foraging/Hunting/Gathering per region for each time slice. Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.

Figure 5. Maximum response (highest prevalence level) for Foraging/Hunting/Gathering/Fishing per region for each time slice. Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.

Figure 6. Minimum response (lowest prevalence level) for Extensive Agriculture per region for each time slice. Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.

Figure 7. Median response (or lesser when two in middle differ) for Extensive Agriculture per region for each time slice. Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.

Extensive Agriculture: Median Assessment

Figure 8. Maximum response (highest prevalence level) for Extensive Agriculture per region for each time slice. Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.

Figure 9. Minimum response (lowest prevalence level) for Intensive Agriculture per region for each time slice. Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.

Intensive Agriculture: Minimum Assessment

Prevalence of Intensive Agriculture

None — Minimal (<1%) — Common (1-20%) — Widespread (>20%)

Figure 10. Median response (or lesser when two in middle differ) for Intensive Agriculture per region for each time slice. Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.

Figure 11. Maximum response (highest prevalence level) for Intensive Agriculture per region for each time slice. Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are greatly exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.

Figure 12. Minimum response (lowest prevalence level) for Pastoralism per region for each time slice. Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are greatly exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.

Pastoralism: Minimum Assessment

10,000 BP · 8,000 BP · 6,000 BP · 4,000 BP · 3,000 BP · 2,000 BP · 1,000 BP · 1500 CE · 1750 CE · 1850 CE

Prevalence of Pastoralism

None | Minimal (<1%) | Common (1-20%) | Widespread (>20%)

Figure 13. Median response (or lesser when two in middle differ) for Pastoralism per region for each time slice. Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are greatly exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.

Pastoralism: Median Assessment

Prevalence of Pastoralism

None | Minimal (<1%) | Common (1-20%) | Widespread (>20%)

Figure 14. Maximum response (highest prevalence level) for Pastoralism per region for each time slice. Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.

Figure 15. Presence or Absence of High Density Urban Centers per region for each time slice. Median response is shown, 'split' where two in middle are different. Four island regions at left are aggregated into indicator panels; from top to bottom: Hawaii, Polynesia, Micronesia, Melanesia; areas are exaggerated. Eckert IV projection.