# PSAD Data Extraction Form

Welcome to the Data Extraction Form!

Important note: Please extract the data from the article itself rather from the Google Sheet. The citation as imported from the databases could include inaccurate or incomplete information (e.g. one instead of many authors or wrong journal name).

1. Data extracted by (write your name)

2. Name of university library/online database through which access to the article was made. This includes ResearchGate and other databases.

3. Title of article

4. Name of author/s (First name, Last name)

5. Year of publication

6. Journal where the article was published

7. Abstract

8. Upload full text of journal article

Please name the PDF file as follows: Author's last name_Year_Title (e.g. Lijphart_1969_Consociational Democracy).

Files submitted:

## Theory and concepts

9. Research question / Thesis statement

In European political science, this is usually framed as an actual question with a question mark (e.g. To what extent...?). In American political science, this is usually framed as a statement (e.g. The article aims at exploring ...) or as a thesis statement (e.g. The article argues that ...). Note: In the very rare cases when you cannot find the research question, you can write it in your own words.

10. Which school does the article belong to?

*Check all that apply.*

- [ ] Power sharing to contain cleavages (i.e. Lijphart)
- [ ] Integration or vote pooling to eliminate cleavages (i.e. Horowitz)
- [ ] Other: _____

11. What is the term used to describe power sharing in the article?

*Mark only one oval.*

- ◯ Consociation (including its variants consociational democracy and consociationalism)
- ◯ Power sharing (including its variants power-sharing and powersharing)
- ◯ Both are used
- ◯ Consociational power sharing
- ◯ Other: _____

12. Does the author come up with own definition of power sharing or consociation or does they cite other definitions?

*Mark only one oval.*

- ◯ Own definition
- ◯ Lijphart
- ◯ Other: _____

13. What is the definition of power sharing or consociation adopted in the article?

If the author cites the definition by other scholars such as Lijphart, please include the name of the definition's original source and year of publication below (e.g. Lijphart 1977) along with the definition itself.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

## Research design and measurement

14. Name of country or countries studied in the article

An article can contain references to many countries but only studies one. Scholars usually refer to other countries to justify their country of choice. The focus here is on the number of countries under study.

_____

15. Research strategy: Number of cases (e.g. countries, societies or institutions) under study

*Mark only one oval.*

- ◯ Case study (i.e. single country, society or institution)
- ◯ Small N: 2 - 19 cases
- ◯ Medium N: 20 - 49 cases
- ◯ Large N: More than 50 cases

16. Time point or period covered in the article

This can be a specific year (e.g. 1975) or a year range such as the Netherlands's consociational experience (1917-1967). Note: Our scoping review's time period is for articles published between 1969-2018 but those articles can cover cases or time periods that happened before 1969.

_____

17. Method of analysis (This is usually written explicitly in the introduction or the methods section. If not, it can also be mentioned in the empirical analysis part. If not, you can identify that yourself by looking if there are a lot of tables that use numbers, this is most probably quantitative/statistical).

*Check all that apply.*

- ☐ Qualitative analysis
- ☐ Quantitative / Statistical analysis
- ☐ Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA)
- ☐ Mixed methods (qualitative and quantitative)

Other: ☐ _____

18. Is power sharing empirically measured in the article?

*Mark only one oval.*

- ◯ Yes
- ◯ No
- ◯ Not sure
- ◯ Other: _____

19. If yes, how is power sharing precisely measured in the article?

_____

## Contextual information

20. Source of conflict

*Check all that apply.*

- ☐ Ethnicity (strictly ethnic groups such as Hutu and Tutsi in Rwanda)
- ☐ Language (such as French and Dutsch speakers in Belgium)
- ☐ Religion (such as Catholics and Protestants in Switzerland)
- ☐ Race
- Other: ☐ _____

21. Why was power sharing adopted?

*Check all that apply.*

- ☐ Post conflict (conflict resolution)
- ☐ Pre conflict (conflict prevention)
- Other: ☐ _____

22. Types of power sharing institutions or arrangements analyzed in the article

*Check all that apply.*

- [ ] Proportionality (e.g. proportional representation electoral systems, proportionality in civil service or others)
- [ ] Grand coalition (e.g. a government that includes representatives of main social groups)
- [ ] Minority veto (e.g. a constituional or legal mandate that allows groups to veto decisions)
- [ ] Cultural autonomy (e.g. freedom of groups to manage their own cultural or religious affairs)
- [ ] Military power sharing (e.g. proportional representation of groups in military or security forces)
- [ ] Economic power sharing (e.g. arrangements to divide financial returns from oil or other natural resources)
- [ ] Other: _____

23. Parties engaged in power sharing

*Check all that apply.*

- [ ] Ethnic groups
- [ ] Religious groups
- [ ] Linguistic groups
- [ ] Political parties
- [ ] Other: _____

## Analysis and findings

24. What are the main finding/s of the article?

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

25. The article found that power sharing contributes positively to

*Check all that apply.*

- [ ] Democracy or democratization
- [ ] Stability and peace (or peaceful coexistence or non-recurrance of war)
- [ ] Pacification of cleavages
- [ ] Moderation of social groups (or political parties)
- [ ] Other: _____

26. Which conditions led to the success or failure of power sharing?

*Check all that apply.*

- [ ] No majority group
- [ ] Equal size of groups
- [ ] Reasonable number of groups (3-5)
- [ ] Geographical concentration of groups
- [ ] Small population of a country
- [ ] Socioeconomic equality
- [ ] Strong sense of national identity
- [ ] Tradition of accommodation by groups
- [ ] External dangers or threats
- [ ] Other: _____

27. Any other significant or worth mentioning information from the article that does not fit the above questions?

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

28. If you are unsure about any of your data extraction parts, please explain referring explicitly to which question and/or to which part in the article.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms