CONVENTION DELEGATE STUDY, 1980

(ICPSR 8367)

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

WARREN E. MILLER
ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

M. KENT JENNINGS
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

BARBARA G. FARAH
CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES

FIRST ICPSR EDITION
WINTER 1986

INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR
POLITICAL AND SOCIAL RESEARCH
P.O. BOX 1248
ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48106

-
-

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ASSISTANCE

0

ALL MANUSCRIPTS UTILIZING DATA MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CONSORTIUM SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT FACT AS WELL AS IDENTIFY THE ORIGINAL COLLECTOR OF THE DATA. THE ICPSR COUNCIL URGES ALL USERS OF THE ICPSR DATA FACILITIES TO FOLLOW SOME ADAPTATION OF THIS STATEMENT WITH THE PARENTHESES INDICATING ITEMS TO BE FILLED IN APPROPRIATELY OR DELETED BY THE INDIVIDUAL USER.

-

> THE DATA (AND TABULATIONS) UTILIZED IN THIS (PUBLICATION) WERE MADE AVAILABLE (IN PART) BY THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL AND SOCIAL RESEARCH. THE DATA FOR CONVENTION DELEGATE STUDY, 1980 WERE ORIGINALLY COLLECTED BY WARREN E. MILLER, M. KENT JENNINGS AND BARBARA G. FARAH. NEITHER THE COLLECTORS OF THE ORIGINAL DATA NOR THE CONSORTIUM BEAR ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ANALYSES OR INTERPRETATIONS PRESENTED HERE.

-

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FUNDING AGENCIES WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE USE OF ARCHIVAL RESOURCES AND TO FACILITATE THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ABOUT ICPSR PARTICIPANTS' RESEARCH ACTIVITIES, EACH USER OF THE ICPSR DATA FACILITIES IS EXPECTED TO SEND TWO COPIES OF EACH COMPLETED MANUSCRIPT OR THESIS ABSTRACT TO THE CONSORTIUM. PLEASE INDICATE IN THE COVER LETTER WHICH DATA WERE USED.

1

1

-

INTRODUCTION

0

THE CONVENTION DELEGATE STUDY, 1980 IS A CONTINUATION OF THE STUDY OF THE GROUP OF POLITICAL ACTIVISTS REPRESENTED BY DELEGATES TO THE DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN NATIONAL CONVENTIONS BEGUN WITH THE 1972 CONVENTION DELEGATE STUDY (ICPSR 7287). IT WAS DESIGNED TO PROVIDE FOR EXAMINATION OF THE CONTINUITIES AND CHANGES IN THE CAREERS, POLITICAL PARTICIPATION, ISSUE PREFERENCES AND POLITICAL PERSPECTIVES OF THAT GROUP.

MAIL QUESTIONNAIRES WERE DISTRIBUTED BETWEEN JANUARY AND APRIL OF 1981 TO ALL DELEGATES TO THE 1976 AND 1980 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CONVENTIONS AND TO THOSE 1972 DELEGATES WHO HAD RESPONDED TO THE 1972 STUDY.

THE DATA COLLECTION CONSISTS OF TWO PARTS. THE FIRST IS A CROSS-SECTION COMPRISED OF ALL RESPONDENTS TO THE 1981 MAIL QUESTIONNAIRE, I.E. DELEGATES TO 1976 AND/OR 1980 CONVENTIONS AND RESPONDENTS FROM THE 1972 STUDY. THE SECOND PART OF THE STUDY IS A PANEL COMPONENT CONSISTING OF THOSE 1981 RESPONDENTS WHO HAD ALSO RESPONDED TO THE 1972 STUDY. THIS DATASET CONTAINS RESPONSES BY THESE DELEGATES TO BOTH THE 1972 AND 1981 QUESTIONNAIRES. IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT THE CROSS-SECTION DATASET WILL CONTAIN SLIGHTLY MORE RESPONDENTS WHO ARE IDENTIFIED AS HAVING ATTENDED THEIR PARTY'S 1972 CONVENTION THAN ARE CONTAINED IN THE PANEL DATASET DUE TO THE FACT THAT SEVERAL OF THOSE WHO WERE CONTACTED AS 1976 OR 1980 DELEGATES HAD BEEN DELEGATES IN 1972 BUT DID NOT RESPOND TO THAT YEAR'S STUDY.

0

WEIGHTING INFORMATION

0

VARIABLE 484 OF THE CROSS-SECTION IS A WEIGHT VARIABLE WHICH PROVIDES FOR EQUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE THREE COHORTS DEFINED BY THE RESPONDENT'S EARLIEST YEAR AS A DELEGATE DURING THE STUDY PERIOD OF 1972-1980.

1

II

-

FILE STRUCTURE

0

THE CONVENTION DELEGATE STUDY, 1980 IS AVAILABLE FROM THE ICPSR IN TWO FORMATS: CARD IMAGE AND OSIRIS. THE CARD IMAGE FILE CONTAINS SEVERAL DECKS PER CASE IN A FORMAT BASED ON 80 COLUMN PUNCHED CARDS. THE DATA ARE SORTED BY CASE WITH ALL DECKS FOR A CASE TOGETHER IN ASCENDING ORDER.

THE OSIRIS DICTIONARY GIVES THE FORMAT AND OTHER INFORMATION FOR EACH VARIABLE IN THE OSIRIS DATA FILE. THE DICTIONARY OR DICTIONARY-CODEBOOK FILE IS USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE OSIRIS SOFTWARE PACKAGE. THE OSIRIS DATA FILE IS CONSTRUCTED WITH A SINGLE LOGICAL RECORD FOR EACH CASE. THERE ARE 511 VARIABLES ON 5406 CASES IN THE CROSS-SECTION DATASET.

THE OSIRIS DATA FILE CAN BE ACCESSED DIRECTLY THROUGH SOFTWARE PACKAGES OR PROGRAMS WHICH DO NOT USE THE OSIRIS DICTIONARY BY SPECIFYING THE TAPE LOCATIONS OF THE DESIRED VARIABLES. THESE TAPE LOCATIONS ARE GIVEN IN THE OSIRIS DICTIONARY-CODEBOOK.

1

III

0

CODEBOOK INFORMATION

0

THE EXAMPLE BELOW IS A REPRODUCTION OF INFORMATION APPEARING IN THE MACHINE-READABLE CODEBOOK FOR A TYPICAL VARIABLE. THE NUMBERS IN BRACKETS DO NOT APPEAR BUT ARE REFERENCES TO THE DESCRIPTIONS WHICH FOLLOW THIS EXAMPLE.

.....

Õ1å VAR 0017 Õ2å 80 ATTENDED CONV Õ3å MD=GE 5
REF 0017 Õ4å LOC 48 WIDTH 1 Õ5å DK 1 COL 48
Õ6å IMP DEC= X

Õ7å Q.1. PLEASE INDICATE IN WHICH YEARS YOU WERE
A DELEGATE OR ALTERNATE TO A NATIONAL CONVENTION.

Õ8å Q.1(A). 1980

Õ9å SEE NOTE(S) XX

Õ10å ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED.

Õ11å Õ12å Õ13å

2749 1. DELEGATE
124 3. ALTERNATE

2534 5. NOT A DELEGATE

Õ14å VALID-N=XXXX MIN=XX MAX=XX MEAN=XX.X ST.DEV=X.X

.....

Õ1å INDICATES THE VARIABLE AND REFERENCE NUMBERS. A VARIABLE NUMBER AND A REFERENCE NUMBER ARE ASSIGNED TO EACH VARIABLE IN THE DATA COLLECTION. IN THE PRESENT CODEBOOK WHICH DOCUMENTS THE ARCHIVED DATA COLLECTION THESE NUMBERS ARE IDENTICAL. SHOULD THE DATA BE SUBSETTED OR REARRANGED BY AN OSIRIS PROGRAM (E.G., MMP TO INTERSPERSE DATA FROM ANOTHER SOURCE, OR TCOT TO PRODUCE AN ANALYSIS DECK), THE VARIABLE NUMBERS WOULD CHANGE TO REFLECT THE ORDER OF THE NEW DATA COLLECTION, WHILE THE REFERENCE NUMBER WOULD REMAIN UNCHANGED TO REFLECT THE VARIABLE NUMBER IN THE CODEBOOK DESCRIBING THE ARCHIVED DATA COLLECTION.

Õ2å INDICATES THE ABBREVIATED VARIABLE NAME (MAXIMUM OF 24 CHARACTERS) USED IN THE OSIRIS SYSTEM TO IDENTIFY THE VARIABLE FOR THE USER. AN EXPANDED VERSION OF THE VARIABLE NAME CAN BE FOUND IN THE VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST.

Õ3å INDICATES THE CODE VALUES OF MISSING DATA. IN THIS EXAMPLE, CODE VALUES GREATER THAN

OR EQUAL TO 5 ARE MISSING DATA (MD=GE 5).
ALTERNATIVE STATEMENTS FOR OTHER VARIABLES ARE
"MD=0," "MD GE 9," OR "NO MISSING DATA CODES."
SOME ANALYSIS SOFTWARE PACKAGES (INCLUDING THE OSIRIS SOFTWARE PACKAGE) REQUIRE THAT CERTAIN TYPES OF DATA WHICH THE USER DESIRES TO BE EXCLUDED FROM ANALYSIS BE DESIGNATED AS "MISSING DATA," E.G., INAPPROPRIATE, UNASCERTAINED, UNASCERTAINABLE, OR AMBIGUOUS DATA CATEGORIES. ALTHOUGH THESE CODES ARE DEFINED AS MISSING DATA CATEGORIES, THIS DOES NOT MEAN THAT THE USER SHOULD NOT OR CANNOT USE THEM IN A SUBSTANTIVE ROLE IF SO DESIRED.

Õ4å INDICATES THE STARTING LOCATION AND WIDTH OF THIS VARIABLE WHEN THE DATA ARE STORED ON A MAGNETIC TAPE IN THE OSIRIS FORMAT. IF THE VARIABLE IS OF A MULTIPLE-RESPONSE TYPE, THE WIDTH REFERENCED IS THAT OF A SINGLE RESPONSE. IN THIS EXAMPLE THE VARIABLE NAMED "80 ATTENDED CONV" IS 1 COLUMN WIDE
AND IS LOCATED IN THE 48TH COLUMN WITHIN THE RECORD.

Õ5å INDICATES THE LOCATION BY DECK AND COLUMN(S) OF THIS VARIABLE WHEN THE DATA ARE STORED ON CARDS OR IN CARD-IMAGE FORMAT (80-COLUMN FORMAT)

Õ6å A VARIABLE CONTAINING DATA WITH IMPLIED DECIMALS IS DENOTED BY THE MESSAGE "IMP DEC= X," WHERE X IS THE NUMBER OF DECIMAL PLACES IMPLIED IN THE VARIABLE.

1

V

0

Õ7å THIS IS THE FULL TEXT (QUESTION) SUPPLIED BY THE INVESTIGATOR TO DESCRIBE THE VARIABLE. THE QUESTION TEXT AND THE NUMBERS AND LETTERS THAT MAY APPEAR AT THE BEGINNING REFLECT THE ORIGINAL WORDING OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE ITEM.

Õ8å INDICATES AN ADDITIONAL COMMENT OR EXPLANATION APPENDED TO THE VARIABLE DESCRIPTION.

Õ9å A VARIABLE WHICH HAS A FOOTNOTE ASSOCIATED WITH IT IS DENOTED BY THE MESSAGE, "SEE NOTE(S) XX" WHERE XX IS THE NUMBER OF THE FOOTNOTE REFERENCED.

Õ10å "ACTUAL NUMBER IS CODED" APPEARS IN THE CODEBOOK TO INDICATE THAT THE VARIABLE HAS BEEN DECLARED CONTINOUS.

Õ11å INDICATES THE FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF EACH CODE VALUE FOR THIS VARIABLE. FREQUENCIES INSERTED IN THIS CODEBOOK ARE NOT WEIGHTED.

Õ12å INDICATES THE CODE VALUES OCCURRING IN THE DATA FOR THIS VARIABLE.

Õ13å INDICATES THE TEXTUAL DEFINITIONS OF THE CODES. ABBREVIATIONS COMMONLY USED IN THE CODE DEFINITIONS ARE "DK" (DO NOT KNOW), "NA" (NOT ASCERTAINED), AND "INAP" (INAPPROPRIATE).

Õ14å INDICATES THE NUMBER OF VALID RESPONSES, THE LOWEST CODED RESPONSE, THE LARGEST CODED RESPONSE, THE MEAN, AND THE STANDARD DEVIATION.

0

ICPSR PROCESSING INFORMATION

0

THE DATA COLLECTION WAS PROCESSED ACCORDING TO THE STANDARD ICPSR PROCESSING PROCEDURES. THE DATA WERE CHECKED FOR ILLEGAL OR INCONSISTENT CODE VALUES WHICH, WHEN FOUND, WERE RECODED TO OSIRIS MISSING DATA VALUES. STATEMENTS BRACKETED IN "<" AND ">" SIGNS IN THE BODY OF THE CODEBOOK WERE ADDED BY THE PROCESSORS FOR EXPLANATORY PURPOSES. INDICES AND OTHER CONSTRUCTED VARIABLES ARE INCLUDED AS CREATED BY THE ORIGINAL INVESTIGATORS.

1

VI

0

SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION

0

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF INDIVIDUAL RESPONDENTS IN THIS ELITE POPULATION, CERTAIN IDENTIFYING VARIABLES WILL BE AVAILABLE ONLY THROUGH REQUESTED ANALYSIS MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE SERVICING STAFF OF THE ICPSR. AGGREGATIONS OF THE RAW DATA FOR STATISTICAL PURPOSES WHICH PRESERVE THE ANONYMITY OF INDIVIDUAL RESPONDENTS CAN BE OBTAINED IN ACCORD WITH EXISTING SERVICING POLICIES.

MOST OF THE VARIABLES WHICH WERE SET TO MISSING DATA FOR REASONS OF CONFIDENTIALITY WERE FIRST RECODED TO FORMS WHICH DO NOT THREATEN CONFIDENTIALITY. THESE RECODED VARIABLES ARE INCLUDED IN THIS DATASET. DESCRIPTIONS OF THESE RECODES FOLLOW.

STATE WAS RECODED TO REGION WHICH IS INCLUDED AS VARIABLE 485.

VARIABLES 23 THROUGH 27 FOR ATTENDENCE AT INDIVIDUAL CONVENTIONS FROM 1948 THROUGH 1964 WERE RECODED INTO A SINGLE VARIABLE FOR ATTENDENCE AT ANY CONVENTION IN 1964 OR BEFORE, VARIABLE NUMBER 486. IN ADDITION, VARIABLE 487 IS A COUNT OF THE NUMBER OF CONVENTIONS R REPORTED ATTENDING IN VARIABLES 17-27.

VARIABLES 133 THROUGH 268 FOR HOLDING PARTICULAR PUBLIC OR PARTY POSITIONS DURING EACH OF FOUR TIME PERIODS WERE RECODED INTO THREE VARIABLES FOR EACH TIME PERIOD WHICH REPRESENT HOLDING A POSITION AT THE NATIONAL, STATE OR LOCAL LEVEL. THERE ARE SEPARATE VARIABLES FOR PUBLIC AND PARTY POSITIONS. THESE VARIABLES APPEAR AS NUMBERS 488-511.

VAR.
NO.

STUDY PROCEDURE INFORMATION

1 ICPSR STUDY NUMBER - 8367
2 ICPSR EDITION NUMBER - 1
3 ICPSR PART NUMBER - 001
4 ICPSR RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER
5 INTRAN NUMBER
6 RESPONDENT'S IDENTIFICATION
7 PANEL IDENTIFICATION FOR 1972 DELEGATES
8 R'S PARTY AFFILIATION
9 ICPSR STATE CODE
10 DID R ATTEND 1980 CONVENTION
11 DID R ATTEND 1976 CONVENTION

12 DID R ATTEND 1972 CONVENTION
13 DATE QUESTIONNAIRE WAS RETURNED
14 MAILING GROUP CODE
15 WAVE WITHIN MAILING GROUP
16 ZIP CODE
17 WAS R DELEGATE/ALTERNATE TO 1980 CONVENTION
18 WAS R DELEGATE/ALTERNATE TO 1978 DEMOCRATIC-MINI CONVENTION
19 WAS R DELEGATE/ALTERNATE TO 1976 CONVENTION
20 WAS R DELEGATE/ALTERNATE TO 1974 DEMOCRATIC-MINI CONVENTION
21 WAS R DELEGATE/ALTERNATE TO 1972 CONVENTION
22 WAS R DELEGATE/ALTERNATE TO 1968 CONVENTION
23 WAS R DELEGATE/ALTERNATE TO 1964 CONVENTION
24 WAS R DELEGATE/ALTERNATE TO 1960 CONVENTION
25 WAS R DELEGATE/ALTERNATE TO 1956 CONVENTION
26 WAS R DELEGATE/ALTERNATE TO 1952 CONVENTION
27 WAS R DELEGATE/ALTERNATE TO 1948 CONVENTION
28 HOW WAS R SELECTED AS DELEGATE IN 1972
29 HOW WAS R SELECTED AS DELEGATE IN 1976
30 HOW WAS R SELECTED AS DELEGATE IN 1980
31 R'S OFFICIAL DELEGATE CLASSIFICATION IN 1972
32 R'S OFFICIAL DELEGATE CLASSIFICATION IN 1976
33 R'S OFFICIAL DELEGATE CLASSIFICATION IN 1980
34 DID EFFORT TO BALANCE DELEGATION BY SEX, RACE AND AGE PLAY ROLE IN R'S SELECTION IN 1972
35 DID EFFORT TO BALANCE DELEGATION BY SEX, AGE, RACE PLAY ROLE IN R'S SELECTION IN 1976
36 DID EFFORT TO BALANCE DELEGATION BY SEX, AGE, RACE PLAY ROLE IN R'S SELECTION IN 1980
37 GROUP MOST HELPFUL IN R'S SELECTION AS DELEGATE IN 1972
38 GROUP SECOND MOST HELPFUL IN R'S SELECTION AS DELEGATE IN 1972

1 39 GROUP THIRD MOST HELPFUL IN R'S SELECTION AS DELEGATE IN 1972
40 GROUP MOST HELPFUL IN R'S SELECTION AS DELEGATE IN 1976
41 GROUP SECOND MOST HELPFUL IN R'S SELECTION AS DELEGATE IN 1976
42 GROUP THIRD MOST HELPFUL IN R'S SELECTION AS DELEGATE IN 1976

43 GROUP MOST HELPFUL IN R'S SELECTION AS DELEGATE IN 1980
44 GROUP SECOND MOST HELPFUL IN R'S SELECTION AS DELEGATE IN 1980
45 GROUP THIRD MOST HELPFUL IN R'S SELECTION AS DELEGATE IN 1980
46 IN CONVENTION DECISION MAKING, DOES R FAVOR OR OPPOSE: PARTY SERVICE IN NOMINATING CANDIDATE
47 IN CONVENTION DECISION MAKING, DOES R FAVOR OR OPPOSE: MINIMIZING PARTY DISAGREEMENTS
48 IN CONVENTION DECISION MAKING, DOES R FAVOR OR OPPOSE: TAKING A FIRM ISSUE POSITION
49 IN CONVENTION DECISION MAKING, DOES R FAVOR OR OPPOSE: MINIMIZING PARTY ROLE IN NOMINATIONS
50 IN CONVENTION DECISION MAKING, DOES R FAVOR OR OPPOSE: PLAY DOWN ISSUES TO WIN
51 IN CONVENTION DECISION MAKING, DOES R FAVOR OR OPPOSE: PARTICIPATION IN PARTY DECISIONS
52 IN CONVENTION DECISION MAKING, DOES R FAVOR OR OPPOSE: NOMINEE COMMITTED TO ISSUES
53 CANDIDATE WITH WHICH R WAS IDENTIFIED IN 1972
54 CANDIDATE WITH WHICH R WAS IDENTIFIED IN 1976
55 CANDIDATE WITH WHICH R WAS IDENTIFIED IN 1980
56 CANDIDATE R PREFERRED IN 1972
57 CANDIDATE R PREFERRED IN 1976
58 CANDIDATE R PREFERRED IN 1980
59 GROUP R REPRESENTED MOST AT 1972 CONVENTION
60 GROUP R REPRESENTED SECOND MOST AT 1972 CONVENTION
61 GROUP R REPRESENTED THIRD MOST AT 1972 CONVENTION
62 GROUP R REPRESENTED MOST AT 1976 CONVENTION
63 GROUP R REPRESENTED SECOND MOST AT 1976 CONVENTION
64 GROUP R REPRESENTED THIRD MOST AT 1976 CONVENTION
65 GROUP R REPRESENTED MOST AT 1980 CONVENTION
66 GROUP R REPRESENTED SECOND MOST AT 1980 CONVENTION
67 GROUP R REPRESENTED THIRD MOST AT 1980 CONVENTION
68 R'S POSITION ON DELEGATE DECISION-MAKING
69 DOES R VOTE A STRAIGHT PARTY TICKET IN A GENERAL ELECTION
70 HOW STRONGLY DOES R SUPPORT PARTY
71 AGE R BECAME ACTIVE IN POLITICS
72 FATHER'S ACTIVITY IN POLITICS
73 MOTHER'S ACTIVITY IN POLITICS
74 SPOUSE'S ACTIVITY IN POLITICS

75 (IF MARRIED) SPOUSE'S ATTITUDE TOWARD R'S POLITICAL ACTIVITY

REASONS FOR INVOLVEMENT IN ELECTION CAMPAIGNS

1

76 1972 PRESIDENTIAL: COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK
77 1972 PRESIDENTIAL: TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE
78 1972 PRESIDENTIAL: TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR GROUP
79 1972 SENATORIAL: COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK
80 1972 SENATORIAL: TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE
81 1972 SENATORIAL: TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR GROUP
82 1972 CONGRESSIONAL: COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK
83 1972 CONGRESSIONAL: TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE
84 1972 CONGRESSIONAL: TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR GROUP
85 1974 SENATORIAL: COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK
86 1974 SENATORIAL: TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE
87 1974 SENATORIAL: TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR GROUP
88 1974 CONGRESSIONAL: COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK
89 1974 CONGRESSIONAL: TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE
90 1974 CONGRESSIONAL: TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR GROUP
91 1976 PRESIDENTIAL: COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK
92 1976 PRESIDENTIAL: TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE
93 1976 PRESIDENTIAL: TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR GROUP
94 1976 SENATORIAL: COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK
95 1976 SENATORIAL: TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE
96 1976 SENATORIAL: TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR GROUP
97 1976 CONGRESSIONAL: COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK
98 1976 CONGRESSIONAL: TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE
99 1976 CONGRESSIONAL: TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR GROUP
100 1978 SENATORIAL: COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK
101 1978 SENATORIAL: TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE
102 1978 SENATORIAL: TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR GROUP
103 1978 CONGRESSIONAL: COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK
104 1978 CONGRESSIONAL: TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE
105 1978 CONGRESSIONAL: TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR GROUP
106 1980 PRESIDENTIAL: COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK
107 1980 PRESIDENTIAL: TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE
108 1980 PRESIDENTIAL: TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR GROUP
109 1980 SENATORIAL: COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK
110 1980 SENATORIAL: TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE
111 1980 SENATORIAL: TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR GROUP
112 1980 CONGRESSIONAL: COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK

113 1980 CONGRESSIONAL: TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE
114 1980 CONGRESSIONAL: TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR GROUP

EXTENT OF R'S CAMPAIGNING FOR CANDIDATES SEEKING OTHER OFFICES

115 EXTENT OF CAMPAIGN IN 1972-1975: STATEWIDE ELECTIVE OFFICE
116 EXTENT OF CAMPAIGN IN 1972-1975: STATE LEGISLATIVE OFFICE
117 EXTENT OF CAMPAIGN IN 1972-1975: COUNTY OFFICES
118 EXTENT OF CAMPAIGN IN 1972-1975: MUNICIPAL AND OTHER LOCAL OFFICES
119 EXTENT OF CAMPAIGN IN 1976-1979: STATEWIDE ELECTIVE OFFICE
120 EXTENT OF CAMPAIGN IN 1976-1979: STATE LEGISLATIVE OFFICE
121 EXTENT OF CAMPAIGN IN 1976-1979: COUNTY OFFICES
122 EXTENT OF CAMPAIGN IN 1976-1979: MUNICIPAL AND OTHER

1 LOCAL OFFICES
123 EXTENT OF CAMPAIGN IN 1980-PRESENT: STATEWIDE ELECTIVE OFFICE
124 EXTENT OF CAMPAIGN IN 1980-PRESENT: STATE LEGISLATIVE OFFICE
125 EXTENT OF CAMPAIGN IN 1980-PRESENT: COUNTY OFFICES
126 EXTENT OF CAMPAIGN IN 1980-PRESENT: MUNICIPAL AND OTHER LOCAL OFFICES

R'S POLITICAL ACTIVITIES

127 EXTENT OF POLITICAL ACTIVITY IN 1972-1975: STATE PARTY
128 EXTENT OF POLITICAL ACTIVITY IN 1972-1975: LOCAL PARTY
129 EXTENT OF POLITICAL ACTIVITY IN 1976-1979: STATE PARTY
130 EXTENT OF POLITICAL ACTIVITY IN 1976-1979: LOCAL PARTY
131 EXTENT OF POLITICAL ACTIVITY IN 1980-PRESENT: STATE PARTY
132 EXTENT OF POLITICAL ACTIVITY IN 1980-PRESENT: LOCAL PARTY

R'S PUBLIC OFFICE HOLDING

133 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: U.S. SENATOR
134 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: U.S. REPRESENTATIVE
135 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: CONGRESSIONAL STAFF
136 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: CABINET MEMBER/AMBASSADOR
137 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: FEDERAL JUDICIAL POSITION
138 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE POSITION
139 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: OTHER NATIONAL OFFICE
140 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: GOVERNOR/LT GOVERNOR
141 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: STATE LEGISLATOR
142 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: STATE JUDICIAL POSITION
143 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: STATE ADMINISTRATIVE POSITION
144 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: OTHER STATE OFFICE
145 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: MAYOR
146 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: COUNCIL MEMBER/COMMISSIONER
147 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: OTHER LOCAL ELECTED OFFICE
148 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: LOCAL JUDICIAL POSITION

1 149 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: LOCAL ADMINISTRATIVE POSITION
150 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: OTHER LOCAL OFFICE
151 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: U.S. SENATOR
152 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: U.S. REPRESENTATIVE
153 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: CONGRESSIONAL STAFF

154 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: CABINET MEMBER/AMBASSADOR
155 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: FEDERAL JUDICIAL POSITION
156 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE POSITION
157 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: OTHER NATIONAL OFFICE
158 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: GOVERNOR/LT. GOVERNOR
159 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: STATE LEGISLATOR
160 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: STATE JUDICIAL POSITION
161 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: STATE ADMINISTRATIVE POSITION
162 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: OTHER STATE OFFICE
163 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: MAYOR
164 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: COUNCIL MEMBER/COMMISSIONER
165 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: OTHER LOCAL ELECTED OFFICE
166 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: LOCAL JUDICIAL POSITION
167 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: LOCAL ADMINISTRATIVE POSITION
168 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: OTHER LOCAL OFFICE
169 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: U.S. SENATOR
170 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: U.S. REPRESENTATIVE
171 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: CONGRESSIONAL STAFF
172 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: CABINET MEMBER/AMBASSADOR
173 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: FEDERAL JUDICIAL POSITION
174 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE POSITION
175 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: OTHER NATIONAL OFFICE
176 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: GOVERNOR/LT.

GOVERNOR
177 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: STATE LEGISLATOR
178 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: STATE

1 JUDICIAL POSITION
179 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: STATE ADMINISTRATIVE POSITION
180 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: OTHER STATE OFFICE
181 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: MAYOR
182 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: COUNCIL MEMBER/COMMISSIONER
183 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: OTHER LOCAL ELECTED OFFICE
184 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: LOCAL JUDICIAL POSITION
185 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: LOCAL ADMINISTRATIVE POSITION
186 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: OTHER LOCAL OFFICE
187 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: U.S. SENATOR
188 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: U.S. REPRESENTATIVE
189 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: CONGRESSIONAL STAFF
190 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: CABINET MEMBER/AMBASSADOR
191 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: FEDERAL JUDICIAL POSITION
192 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE POSITION
193 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: OTHER NATIONAL OFFICE
194 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: GOVERNOR/LT. GOVERNOR
195 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: STATE LEGISLATOR
196 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: STATE JUDICIAL POSITION
197 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: STATE ADMINISTRATIVE POSITION

198 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: OTHER STATE OFFICE
199 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: MAYOR
200 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: COUNCIL MEMBER/COMMISSIONER
201 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: OTHER LOCAL ELECTED OFFICE
202 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: LOCAL JUDICIAL POSITION
203 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: LOCAL ADMINISTRATIVE POSITION
204 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: OTHER LOCAL OFFICE

PARTY POSITIONS SOUGHT/HELD BY R

205 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: NATIONAL PARTY STAFF

1 206 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN STAFF
207 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: NATIONAL COMMITTEEMAN/WOMAN
208 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: OTHER NATIONAL ROLE
209 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: STATE PARTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
210 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: STATE CENTRAL COMMITTEE
211 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: STATE CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR
212 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: OTHER STATE POSITIONS
213 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: DISTRICT CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
214 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: DISTRICT CAMPAIGN MANAGER
215 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: COUNTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
216 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: COUNTY BOARD/COMMITTEE
217 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: COUNTY CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR

218 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: OTHER COUNTY POSITION
219 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: CITY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
220 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: OTHER LOCAL PARTY POST
221 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: NATIONAL PARTY STAFF
222 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN STAFF
223 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: NATIONAL COMMITTEEMAN/WOMAN
224 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: OTHER NATIONAL ROLE
225 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: STATE PARTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
226 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: STATE CENTRAL COMMITTEE
227 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: STATE CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR
228 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: OTHER STATE POSITIONS
229 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: DISTRICT CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
230 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: DISTRICT CAMPAIGN MANAGER
231 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: COUNTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
232 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: COUNTY BOARD/COMMITTEE
233 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: COUNTY CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR

1 234 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: OTHER COUNTY POSITION
235 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: CITY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
236 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: OTHER LOCAL PARTY POST
237 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: NATIONAL PARTY STAFF
238 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN STAFF

239 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: NATIONAL COMMITTEEMAN/WOMAN
240 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: OTHER NATIONAL ROLE
241 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: STATE PARTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
242 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: STATE CENTRAL COMMITTEE
243 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: STATE CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR
244 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: OTHER STATE POSITIONS
245 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: DISTRICT CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
246 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: DISTRICT CAMPAIGN MANAGER
247 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: COUNTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
248 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: COUNTY BOARD/COMMITTEE
249 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: COUNTY CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR
250 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: OTHER COUNTY POSITION
251 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: CITY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
252 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: OTHER LOCAL PARTY POST
253 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: NATIONAL PARTY STAFF
254 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN STAFF
255 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: NATIONAL COMMITTEEMAN/WOMAN
256 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: OTHER NATIONAL ROLE
257 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: STATE PARTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
258 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: STATE CENTRAL COMMITTEE
259 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: STATE CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR
260 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: OTHER STATE

POSITIONS
261 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: DISTRICT CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

1 262 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: DISTRICT CAMPAIGN MANAGER
263 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: COUNTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
264 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: COUNTY BOARD/COMMITTEE
265 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: COUNTY CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR
266 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: OTHER COUNTY POSITION
267 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: CITY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
268 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: OTHER LOCAL PARTY POST

269 PUBLIC OFFICE R WOULD MOST LIKE TO HOLD
270 PARTY POSITION R WOULD LIKE TO HOLD
271 HIGHEST PUBLIC OFFICE R MOST LIKELY TO HOLD
272 HIGHEST PARTY POSITION R MOST LIKELY TO HOLD
273 R DISCUSSES LOCAL/STATE/NATIONAL POLITICS
274 R PREFERS LOCAL/STATE/NATIONAL ACTIVITIES
275 R'S POLITICAL FRIENDS FROM LOCAL/STATE/NATIONAL AREAS

REASONS WHY R PARTICIPATES IN POLITICS

276 R PARTICIPATES BECAUSE: FRIENDS
277 R PARTICIPATES BECAUSE: CANDIDATES
278 R PARTICIPATES BECAUSE: BUSINESS/PROFESSIONAL CONTACTS
279 R PARTICIPATES BECAUSE: WAY OF LIFE
280 R PARTICIPATES BECAUSE: PARTY ATTACHMENT
281 R PARTICIPATES BECAUSE: FRIENDSHIP/SOCIAL CONTACT
282 R PARTICIPATES BECAUSE: FOR FUN AND EXCITEMENT
283 R PARTICIPATES BECAUSE: POLITICAL AMBITION
284 R PARTICIPATES BECAUSE: CIVIC RESPONSIBILITY
285 R PARTICIPATES BECAUSE: TO INFLUENCE POLICIES
286 R PARTICIPATES BECAUSE: TO BE CLOSE TO PEOPLE DOING IMPORTANT WORK
287 R PARTICIPATES BECAUSE: TO GAIN VISIBILITY

AND RECOGNITION
288 INCENTIVE WOULD MISS MOST IF DROPPED OUT OF PARTY ACTIVITY
289 DID R'S POLITICAL ACTIVITIES DECLINE IN RECENT YEARS

REASONS WHY R'S POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DIMINISHED

290 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECAUSE: TAKES TOO MUCH TIME AWAY FROM FAMILY
291 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECAUSE: DOESN'T ENJOY CAMPAIGNS
292 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECAUSE: OF HEALTH
293 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECAUSE: OF LOST INTEREST
294 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECAUSE: HAS MOVED TO NEW COMMUNITY
295 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECAUSE: FRIENDS NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS
296 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECAUSE: GETTING OLDER

1 297 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECAUSE: R FEELS NOTHING IN COMMON WITH PEOPLE WHO RUN PARTY
298 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECAUSE: R FEELS THAT HE/SHE HAS DONE CIVIC DUTY
299 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECASUE: R HAS SOURED ON POLITICS
300 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECAUSE: R HAS WORK CONFLICT
301 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECAUSE: R FEELS HE/SHE HAS ALREADY MADE CONTRIBUTION
302 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECAUSE: R NOT INTERESTED IN CANDIDATES
303 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECAUSE: R FINDS OTHER ORGANIZATIONS MORE REWARDING
304 R PARTICIPATES LESS BECAUSE R: BORED WITH WORK

LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE

305 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE: R'S POSITION
306 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE: R'S PLACEMENT OF ANDERSON
307 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE: R'S PLACEMENT OF BUSH
308 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE: R'S PLACEMENT OF CARTER
309 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE: R'S PLACEMENT OF FORD
310 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE: R'S PLACEMENT OF KENNEDY
311 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE: R'S PLACEMENT OF LUCEY

312 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE: R'S PLACEMENT OF MONDALE
313 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE: R'S PLACEMENT OF REAGAN
314 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE: R'S PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATS
315 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE: R'S PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICANS

R'S POLITICAL ATTITUDES

316 GOVERNMENT SHOULD/SHOULD NOT RELAX ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS
317 GOVERNMENT SHOULD SPEND MORE/LESS ON DEFENSE
318 U.S. SHOULD TRY HARDER/LESS HARD TO GET ALONG WITH RUSSIA
319 BUSING FOR INTEGRATION SCALE VS NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOLS
320 SHOULD GOVERNMENT TRY TO REDUCE INFLATION OR UNEMPLOYMENT
321 R'S OPINION ON ABORTION
322 R'S OPINION ON EQUAL RIGHTS AMENDMENT (ERA)

R'S VIEWS OF WOMEN IN POLITICS

323 AGREE/DISAGREE: TO BE ACTIVE IN POLITICS, IT IS NECESSARY FOR MEN TO NEGLECT FAMILIES
324 AGREE/DISAGREE: WOMEN ARE TAUGHT NOT TO WANT POLITICAL CAREERS
325 AGREE/DISAGREE: WOMEN HAVE FEW OPPORTUNITIES IN POLITICS
326 AGREE/DISAGREE: BEING A WOMAN IS AN ADVANTAGE IN POLITICS
327 AGREE/DISAGREE: IMPOSSIBLE TO BE WIFE, MOTHER, AND

1 OFFICEHOLDER
328 AGREE/DISAGREE: MEN KEEP WOMEN OUT OF PARTY ORGANIZATION
329 AGREE/DISAGREE: WOMEN WHO SEEK POLITICAL CAREERS ARE NOT TAKEN SERIOUSLY

FEELING THERMOMETER

330 FEELING THERMOMETER: WOMEN'S LIBERATION MOVEMENT
331 FEELING THERMOMETER: CONSERVATIVES
332 FEELING THERMOMETER: MORAL MAJORITY

333 FEELING THERMOMETER: GAY RIGHTS GROUP
334 FEELING THERMOMETER: ENVIRONMENTALISTS
335 FEELING THERMOMETER: UNION LEADERS
336 FEELING THERMOMETER: BLACKS
337 FEELING THERMOMETER: LIBERALS
338 FEELING THERMOMETER: PRO-LIFE GROUPS
339 FEELING THERMOMETER: BUSINESS INTEREST
340 FEELING THERMOMETER: DEMOCRATS
341 FEELING THERMOMETER: ANTI-NUCLEAR GROUPS
342 FEELING THERMOMETER: REPUBLICANS
343 FEELING THERMOMETER: WALTER MONDALE
344 FEELING THERMOMETER: GERALD FORD
345 FEELING THERMOMETER: JOHN ANDERSON
346 FEELING THERMOMETER: GEORGE BUSH
347 FEELING THERMOMETER: RICHARD NIXON
348 FEELING THERMOMETER: PATRICK LUCEY
349 FEELING THERMOMETER: JIMMY CARTER
350 FEELING THERMOMETER: GEORGE MCGOVERN
351 FEELING THERMOMETER: RONALD REAGAN
352 FEELING THERMOMETER: EDWARD KENNEDY

R'S MEMBERSHIP IN ORGANIZATIONS OR ASSOCIATIONS

353 R BELONGS TO: SCHOOL GROUPS
354 R BELONGS TO: TEACHERS' ASSOCIATIONS/UNIONS
355 R BELONGS TO: PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS
356 R BELONGS TO: VETERANS' GROUPS
357 R BELONGS TO: FRATERNAL ORGANIZATIONS
358 R BELONGS TO: LABOR UNIONS
359 R BELONGS TO: POLITICAL EVANGELICAL GROUPS
360 R BELONGS TO: OTHER CHURCH RELATED GROUPS
361 R BELONGS TO: SERVICE CLUBS
362 R BELONGS TO: NON-PARTISAN PUBLIC INTEREST GROUP
363 R BELONGS TO: POLITICALLY-RELATED WOMEN'S GROUPS
364 R BELONGS TO: ABORTION-RELATED GROUPS
365 R BELONGS TO: ETHNIC/RACIAL ASSOCIATIONS
366 R BELONGS TO: ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS
367 IMPORTANCE OF POLITICAL WORK VS OTHER ORGANIZATIONAL WORK

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

368 R'S SEX
369 DATE OF BIRTH - YEAR

1 370 MARITAL STATUS
371 HAS MARITAL STATUS CHANGED IN PAST TEN YEARS
372 CHANGE IN MARITAL STATUS: MARRIED
373 CHANGE IN MARITAL STATUS: DIVORCED
374 CHANGE IN MARITAL STATUS: SEPARATED
375 CHANGE IN MARITAL STATUS: WIDOWED
376 CHANGE IN MARITAL STATUS: REMARRIED
377 NUMBER OF CHILDREN
378 AGE OF YOUNGEST CHILD
379 AGE OF OLDEST CHILD
380 WHICH SOCIAL CLASS DOES R THINK OF SELF AS BELONGING TO
381 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: BLACK/NEGRO/AFRO-AMERICAN
382 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: ENGLISH/SCOTTISH/WELSH
383 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: IRISH
384 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: GERMANIC
385 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: ITALIAN
386 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: GREEK
387 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: FRENCH
388 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: SCANDINAVIAN
389 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: OTHER WESTERN EUROPEAN
390 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: POLISH
391 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: SLAVIC
392 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: OTHER EASTERN EUROPEAN
393 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: ARABIC
394 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: MEXICAN-AMERICAN
395 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: CUBAN
396 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: PUERTO RICAN
397 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: AMERICAN INDIAN
398 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: JAPANESE
399 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: CHINESE
400 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: OTHER ASIAN
401 R'S ETHNIC/RACIAL GROUP: NO IDENTIFICATION
402 R'S EDUCATION - HIGHEST LEVEL COMPLETED
403 R'S SPOUSE'S EDUCATION: HIGHEST LEVEL COMPLETED
404 R'S FATHER'S EDUCATION: HIGHEST LEVEL COMPLETED
405 R'S MOTHER'S EDUCATION: HIGHEST LEVEL COMPLETED
406 IS R RETIRED
407 (IF EMPLOYED) TYPE OF EMPLOYER
408 SPOUSE'S TYPE OF EMPLOYER

409 R'S OCCUPATION
410 SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION
411 FATHER'S OCCUPATION
412 MOTHER'S OCCUPATION
413 DOES OCCUPATION INVOLVE: DEALING WITH THE PUBLIC
414 DOES OCCUPATION INVOLVE: SUPERVISING OTHERS
415 DOES OCCUPATION INVOLVE: NEGOTIATION/BARGAINING
416 DOES OCCUPATION INVOLVE: DOING BUSINESS WITH THE GOVERNMENT
417 DOES OCCUPATION INVOLVE: WORKING WITH GROUPS
418 R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE
419 HOW OFTEN DOES R ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICE
420 IS RELIGION IMPORTANT TO YOUR LIFE
421 (IF YES) HOW MUCH DOES IT GUIDE DAILY LIFE
422 IS R A BORN-AGAIN CHRISTIAN
423 WHAT KIND OF COMMUNITY WAS R BROUGHT UP IN

1 424 STATE OF RESIDENCE BETWEEN 10-16 YEARS: 1ST MENTION
425 STATE OF RESIDENCE BETWEEN 10-16 YEARS: 2ND MENTION
426 STATE OF RESIDENCE BETWEEN 10-16 YEARS: 3RD MENTION
427 STATE OF RESIDENCE BETWEEN 10-16 YEARS: 4TH MENTION
428 STATE OF RESIDENCE BETWEEN 10-16 YEARS: 5TH MENTION
429 NUMBER OF YEARS IN PRESENT COMMUNITY
430 R'S FAMILY INCOME IN THE PAST YEAR
431 R'S PERSONAL INCOME IN THE PAST YEAR

R'S VIEWS ON NOMINATING PROCESS

432 IDEOLOGICAL SOPHISTICATION OF R'S ATTITUDE TOWARD THE PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATING PROCESS
433 R'S OVERALL SATISFACTION WITH NOMINATING PROCESS
434 SALIENCE OF THE PARTY IN R'S OVERALL ATTITUDE TOWARD THE PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATING PROCESS
435 R'S EVALUATION OF PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATING PROCESS: 1ST MENTION
436 R'S EVALUATION OF PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATING PROCESS: 2ND MENTION
437 R'S EVALUATION OF PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATING PROCESS: 3RD MENTION
438 R'S EVALUATIVE OF PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATING PROCESS: 4TH MENTION
439 R'S EVALUATION OF PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATING PROCESS: 5TH MENTION

440 R'S PRESCRIPTION FOR PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATING PROCESS: 1ST MENTION
441 R'S PRESCRIPTION FOR PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATING PROCESS: 2ND MENTION
442 R'S PRESCRIPTION FOR PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATING PROCESS: 3RD MENTION
443 R'S PRESCRIPTION FOR PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATING PROCESS: 4TH MENTION
444 R'S PRESCRIPTION FOR PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATING PROCESS: 5TH MENTION
445 CODER NOMINATING PROCESS
446 OVERALL EVALUATION OF MAGNITUDE OF IMPACT OF PUBLIC FUNDING OF PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS
447 OVERALL EVALUATION OF HOW PUBLIC FUNDING HAS AFFECTED PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS
448 OVERALL ATTITUDE TOWARD THE CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING OF ELECTIONS
449 EFFECTS OF NATIONAL FUNDING OF PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS: 1ST MENTION
450 EFFECTS OF NATIONAL FUNDING OF PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS: 2ND MENTION
451 EFFECTS OF NATIONAL FUNDING OF PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS: 3RD MENTION
452 EFFECTS OF NATIONAL FUNDING OF PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS: 4TH MENTION
453 EFFECTS OF NATIONAL FUNDING OF PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS: 5TH MENTION
454 OVERALL LIKELY EVALUATION OF MAGNITUDE OF IMPACT OF PUBLIC FUNDING OF CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS

1 455 OVERALL EVALUATION OF HOW PUBLIC FUNDING WOULD AFFECT CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS
456 OVERALL ATTITUDE TOWARD THE CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING OF CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS
457 EFFECTS OF NATIONAL FUNDING OF CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS: 1ST MENTION
458 EFFECTS OF NATIONAL FUNDING OF CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS: 2ND MENTION
459 EFFECTS OF NATIONAL FUNDING OF CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS: 3RD MENTION
460 EFFECTS OF NATIONAL FUNDING OF CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS: 4TH MENTION

461 EFFECTS OF NATIONAL FUNDING OF CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS: 5TH MENTION
462 CODER PUBLIC FUNDING
463 RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER
464 SECOND NAMED COMMUNITY IN WHICH R LIVED AGED 10-16
465 DID R'S STATE HAVE A PRIMARY IN 1980
466 DID R'S STATE HAVE A PRIMARY IN 1976
467 DID R'S STATE HAVE A PRIMARY IN 1972
468 AGE
469 YEAR BECAME ACTIVE IN POLITICS

CONSTRUCTED VARIABLES

470 GROUP EVALUATION INDEX: LIBERAL - CONSERVATIVE
471 GROUP EVALUATION INDEX: MORALITY
472 CANDIDATE EVALUATION INDEX: DEMOCRATS - REPUBLICANS
473 ISSUE INDEX: LIBERAL - CONSERVATIVE
474 GROUP EVALUATION INDEX: NEW LEFT
475 GROUP EVALUATION INDEX: TRADITIONAL
476 CANDIDATE TYPE SUPPORTED IN 1972 - DEMOCRATS
477 CANDIDATE TYPE SUPPORTED IN 1976 - DEMOCRATS
478 CANDIDATE TYPE SUPPORTED IN 1980 - DEMOCRATS
479 CANDIDATE TYPE SUPPORTED IN 1972 - REPUBLICANS
480 CANDIDATE TYPE SUPPORTED IN 1976 - REPUBLICANS
481 CANDIDATE TYPE SUPPORTED IN 1980 - REPUBLICANS
482 COHORT
483 1972-1980 PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY CHANGE
484 WEIGHT FOR POOLED ANALYSIS
485 REGION
486 WAS R DELEGATE/ALTERNATE TO CONVENTIONS: 1964 OR EARLIER
487 NUMBER OF CONVENTIONS AS DELEGATE/ALTERNATE: 1948-1964
488 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: NATIONAL
489 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: STATE
490 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: LOCAL
491 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: NATIONAL
492 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: STATE
493 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: LOCAL
494 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: NATIONAL
495 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: STATE
496 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: LOCAL
497 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: NATIONAL

498 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: STATE

1 499 PUBLIC POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: LOCAL
500 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: NATIONAL
501 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: STATE
502 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD PRE-1972: LOCAL
503 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: NATIONAL
504 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: STATE
505 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1972-1975: LOCAL
506 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: NATIONAL
507 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: STATE
508 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1976-1979: LOCAL
509 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: NATIONAL
510 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: STATE
511 PARTY POSITION SOUGHT/HELD 1980-PRESENT: LOCAL
1 1
-

DECK IDENTIFICATION NUMBER IS '01' DK 1 COL 1- 2
---

0 VAR 0001 ICPSR STUDY NO. 8367 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0001 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 1 COL 3- 6

0

ICPSR STUDY #
---

0 VAR 0002 ICPSR EDITION NUMBER-1 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0002 LOC 5 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 7

0

ICPSR EDITION NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THE RELEASE EDITION OF THIS DATASET.

1. WINTER 1986

0 VAR 0003 ICPSR PART NUMBER-001 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0003 LOC 6 WIDTH 3 DK 1 COL 8-10

0

ICPSR PART NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THIS PART OF A TWO PART STUDY.

001. CROSS-SECTION
002. PANEL

0 .....

0 VAR 0004 ICPSR RESPONDENT ID NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0004 LOC 9 WIDTH 4 DK 1 COL 11-14

0

ICPSR RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

THE ICPSR HAS ASSIGNED A SEQUENTIAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER TO EACH RECORD. THIS NUMBER UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH CASE IN

1 2
- (CONTINUED)
- THE DATA COLLECTION.

.....

0 VAR 0005 INTRAN NUMBER MD=GE 999999
REF 0005 LOC 13 WIDTH 6 DK 1 COL 15-20

0

INTRAN PROCESSING #

.....

0 VAR 0006 1ST ID RESPONDENT MD=GE 99999
REF 0006 LOC 19 WIDTH 5 DK 1 COL 21-25

0

RESPONDENT'S ID <FIRST MENTION>

.....

0 VAR 0007 72 PANEL ID MD=GE 99999
REF 0007 LOC 24 WIDTH 5 DK 1 COL 26-30

0

PANEL ID FOR 1972 DELEGATES

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, THIS VARIABLE HAS BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA.

.....

0 VAR 0008 PARTY AFFILIATION MD=GE 9
REF 0008 LOC 29 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 31

0

PARTY AFFILIATION OF RESPONDENT

2204 1. REPUBLICAN
3198 2. DEMOCRAT

4 9. NA

0
1 3
-
0 VAR 0009 STATE MD=GE 99
REF 0009 LOC 30 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 32-33

0

ICPSR STATE CODE

IN ORDER TO PROTECT RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, THIS VARIABLE HAS BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. STATE HAS BEEN RECODED TO REGION IN VARIABLE 485.

86 01. CONNECTICUT
54 02. MAINE
144 03. MASSACHUSETTS
42 04. NEW HAMPSHIRE
36 05. RHODE ISLAND
48 06. VERMONT

31 11. DELAWARE
139 12. NEW JERSEY
345 13. NEW YORK
301 14. PENNSYLVANIA

259 21. ILLINOIS
139 22. INDIANA
223 23. MICHIGAN

255 24. OHIO
136 25. WISCONSIN

119 31. IOWA
98 32. KANSAS
137 33. MINNESOTA
113 34. MISSOURI
58 35. NEBRASKA
44 36. NORTH DAKOTA
61 37. SOUTH DAKOTA

110 40. VIRGINIA
66 41. ALABAMA
45 42. ARKANSAS
126 43. FLORIDA
106 44. GEORGIA
55 45. LOUISIANA
51 46. MISSISSIPPI
139 47. NORTH CAROLINA
50 48. SOUTH CAROLINA
225 49. TEXAS

86 51. KENTUCKY
79 52. MARYLAND

1 4
- (CONTINUED)
- 75 53. OKLAHOMA
88 54. TENNESSEE
44 55. WASHINGTON, D. C.
46 56. WEST VIRGINIA

67 61. ARIZONA
87 62. COLORADO
43 63. IDAHO
44 64. MONTANA
42 65. NEVADA
40 66. NEW MEXICO
51 67. UTAH
47 68. WYOMING

356 71. CALIFORNIA
73 72. OREGON

130 73. WASHINGTON

32 80. ALASKA
34 81. HAWAII

17 82. PUERTO RICO
3 86. VIRGIN ISLANDS
4 87. GUAM

0 90. FOREIGN COUNTRY

77 99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0010 ATTENDED 80 CONVENTION MD=GE 9
REF 0010 LOC 32 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 34

0

RESPONDENT'S ATTENDANCE AT 1980 CONVENTION

1111 1. R ATTENDED REPUBLICAN CONVENTION AS DELEGATE
1635 2. R ATTENDED DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION AS DELEGATE

2660 9. R DID NOT ATTEND THE CONVENTION AS DELEGATE

0
1 5
-
0 VAR 0011 ATTENDED 76 CONVENTION MD=GE 9
REF 0011 LOC 33 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 35

0

RESPONDENT'S ATTENDANCE AT 1976 CONVENTION
---

968 1. R ATTENDED REPUBLICAN CONVENTION AS DELEGATE
1067 2. R ATTENDED DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION AS DELEGATE

3371 9. R DID NOT ATTEND THE CONVENTION AS DELEGATE

0 .....
0 VAR 0012 ATTENDED 72 CONVENTION MD=GE 9
REF 0012 LOC 34 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 36

0

RESPONDENT'S ATTENDANCE AT 1972 CONVENTION
---

509 1. R ATTENDED REPUBLICAN CONVENTION AS DELEGATE
864 2. R ATTENDED DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION AS DELEGATE

4033 9. R DID NOT ATTEND THE CONVENTION AS DELEGATE

0 .....
0 VAR 0013 DATE 80 MQ RTN MD=GE 999999
REF 0013 LOC 35 WIDTH 6 DK 1 COL 37-42

0

DATE MAIL QUESTIONNAIRE RETURNED

A SIX DIGIT VARIABLE, CODING DAY, MONTH AND YEAR THAT QUESTIONNAIRE RETURNED

9999. NO DATE RECORDED

0 .....
0 VAR 0014 MAILING GROUP MD=GE 9
REF 0014 LOC 41 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 43

0

GROUP CODE
---

1 6
- (CONTINUED)
-

4469 1. GROUP A: POPULATION CONSISTS OF 5,263 DEMOCRATS AND 3,197 REPUBLICANS
545 2. GROUP B: POPULATION CONSISTS OF 880 DEMOCRATS AND 809 REPUBLICANS
367 3. GROUP C: POPULATION CONSISTS OF 1,330 DEMOCRATS AND 487 REPUBLICANS

25 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0015 # OF MAILING MD=GE 9
REF 0015 LOC 42 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 44

0

WAVE #

5360 1. WAVE 1 MAILING DATES WERE AS FOLLOWS: FOR GROUP A DEMOCRATS, JAN. 29, 1980. FOR GROUP A REPUBLICANS, FEB. 5, 1980. FOR GROUP B, MARCH 5, 1980 FOR GROUP C, APRIL 27, 1980.

14 2. WAVE 2 MAILING DATES WERE AS FOLLOWS: FOR GROUP A, MARCH, 1980. FOR GROUP B, APRIL 13, 1980. FOR GROUP C, JUNE 10, 1980.

6 3. WAVE 3 MAILING DATES WERE AS FOLLOWS: FOR GROUP A, APRIL 17, 1980.

26 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0016 ZIP CODE MD=GE 99999

REF 0016 LOC 43 WIDTH 5 DK 1 COL 45-49

0

ZIP CODE OF DELEGATE'S CURRENT ADDRESS

THIS VARIABLE HAS BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA.

1 7

-

0 VAR 0017 80 ATTENDED CONV MD=GE 5

REF 0017 LOC 48 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 50

0

Q.1. PLEASE INDICATE IN WHICH YEARS YOU WERE A DELEGATE OR ALTERNATE TO A NATIONAL CONVENTION.

Q.1(A). 1980

2749 1. DELEGATE

124 3. ALTERNATE

2533 5. NOT A DELEGATE

0 .....
0 VAR 0018 78 ATTENDED CONV MD=0 OR GE 5
REF 0018 LOC 49 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 51

0

Q.1(B). <DID R ATTEND NATIONAL CONVENTION IN> 1978
DEMOCRATIC MINI CONVENTION

<SEE Q.1 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

217 1. DELEGATE
56 3. ALTERNATE

2943 5. NOT A DELEGATE
2190 0. INAP., REPUBLICAN DELEGATE

0 .....
0 VAR 0019 76 ATTENDED CONV MD=GE 5
REF 0019 LOC 50 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 52

0

Q.1(C). <DID R ATTEND NATIONAL CONVENTION IN> 1976

<SEE Q.1 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2036 1. DELEGATE
231 3. ALTERNATE

3139 5. NOT A DELEGATE

0
1 8
-
0 VAR 0020 74 ATTENDED CONV MD=0 OR GE 5
REF 0020 LOC 51 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 53

0

Q.1(D). <DID R ATTEND NATIONAL CONVENTION IN> 1974
DEMOCRATIC MINI CONVENTION

<SEE Q.1 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

219 1. DELEGATE
38 3. ALTERNATE

2959 5. NOT A DELEGATE
2190 0. INAP., REPUBLICAN DELEGATE

0 .....
0 VAR 0021 72 ATTENDED CONV MD=GE 5
REF 0021 LOC 52 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 54

0

Q.1(E). <DID R ATTEND NATIONAL CONVENTION IN> 1972

<SEE Q.1 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1377 1. DELEGATE
161 3. ALTERNATE

3868 5. NOT A DELEGATE

0 .....
0 VAR 0022 68 ATTENDED CONV MD=GE 5
REF 0022 LOC 53 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 55

0

Q.1(F). <DID R ATTEND NATIONAL CONVENTION IN> 1968

<SEE Q.1 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

287 1. DELEGATE
154 3. ALTERNATE

4965 5. NOT A DELEGATE

0
1 9
-
0 VAR 0023 64 ATTENDED CONV MD=GE 5
REF 0023 LOC 54 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 56

0

Q.1(G). <DID R ATTEND NATIONAL CONVENTION IN> 1964

<SEE Q.1 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 23 THROUGH 27 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. A COUNT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF CONVENTIONS ATTENDED BETWEEN 1948 AND 1980 IS AVAILABLE IN VARIABLE 487. FOR A VARIABLE REPORTING ATTENDENCE AT AT LEAST ONE CONVENTION FROM 1964 OR BEFORE, SEE VARIABLE 486.

151 1. DELEGATE
70 3. ALTERNATE

5185 5. NOT A DELEGATE

0 .....
0 VAR 0024 60 ATTENDED CONV MD=GE 5
REF 0024 LOC 55 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 57

0

Q.1(H). <DID R ATTEND NATIONAL CONVENTION IN> 1960

<SEE Q.1 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 23 THROUGH 27 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. A COUNT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF CONVENTIONS ATTENDED BETWEEN 1948 AND 1980 IS AVAILABLE IN VARIABLE 487. FOR A VARIABLE REPORTING ATTENDENCE AT AT LEAST ONE CONVENTION FROM 1964 OR BEFORE, SEE VARIABLE 486.

90 1. DELEGATE
45 3. ALTERNATE

5271 5. NOT A DELEGATE

0
1 10
-
0 VAR 0025 56 ATTENDED CONV MD=GE 5

REF 0025 LOC 56 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 58

0

Q.1(I). <DID R ATTEND NATIONAL CONVENTION IN> 1956

<SEE Q.1 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 23 THROUGH 27 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. A COUNT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF CONVENTIONS ATTENDED BETWEEN 1948 AND 1980 IS AVAILABLE IN VARIABLE 487. FOR A VARIABLE REPORTING ATTENDENCE AT AT LEAST ONE CONVENTION FROM 1964 OR BEFORE, SEE VARIABLE 486.

49 1. DELEGATE
23 3. ALTERNATE

5334 5. NOT A DELEGATE

0 .....
0 VAR 0026 52 ATTENDED CONV MD=GE 5
REF 0026 LOC 57 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 59

0

Q.1(J). <DID R ATTEND NATIONAL CONVENTION IN> 1952

<SEE Q.1 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 23 THROUGH 27 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. A COUNT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF CONVENTIONS ATTENDED BETWEEN 1948 AND 1980 IS AVAILABLE IN VARIABLE 487. FOR A VARIABLE REPORTING ATTENDENCE AT AT LEAST ONE CONVENTION FROM 1964 OR BEFORE, SEE VARIABLE 486.

23 1. DELEGATE
9 3. ALTERNATE

5374 5. NOT A DELEGATE

0
1 11
-
0 VAR 0027 48 ATTENDED CONV MD=GE 5
REF 0027 LOC 58 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 60

0

Q.1(K). <DID R ATTEND NATIONAL CONVENTION IN> 1948 OR EARLIER

<SEE Q.1 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 23 THROUGH 27 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. A COUNT OF TOTAL NUMBER OF CONVENTIONS ATTENDED BETWEEN 1948 AND 1980 IS AVAILABLE IN VARIABLE 487. FOR A VARIABLE REPORTING ATTENDENCE AT AT LEAST ONE CONVENTION FROM 1964 OR BEFORE, SEE VARIABLE 486.

13 1. DELEGATE
7 3. ALTERNATE

5386 5. NOT A DELEGATE

0 .....
0 VAR 0028 72 DEL SELECTION METH MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0028 LOC 59 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 61

0

Q.2. WHEN YOU WERE SELECTED AS A DELEGATE TO THE NATIONAL CONVENTION, WERE YOU SELECTED:

Q.2(A). <HOW SELECTED DELEGATE IN> 1972

RESPONDENTS WERE INSTRUCTED TO MARK ONE RESPONSE FOR EACH YEAR THAT IS APPROPRIATE

513 1. AS A DIRECT RESULT OF A PRIMARY
843 2. AS A DIRECT RESULT OF A STATE OR DISTRICT CONVENTION OR CAUCUS

70 3. BY A MEETING OF OTHER DELEGATES FROM YOUR STATE
67 4. BY A PRE-EXISTING PARTY COMMITTEE

3897 9. NA
16 0. INAP., NOT DELEGATE IN 1972
0
1 12
-
0 VAR 0029 76 DEL SELECTION METH MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0029 LOC 60 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 62

0

Q.2(B). <HOW SELECTED DELEGATE IN> 1976.

<SEE Q.2. FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

796 1. AS A DIRECT RESULT OF A PRIMARY
1185 2. AS A DIRECT RESULT OF A STATE OR DISTRICT CONVENTION OR CAUCUS
83 3. BY A MEETING OF OTHER DELEGATES FROM YOUR STATE
134 4. BY A PRE-EXISTING PARTY COMMITTEE

3193 9. NA
15 0. INAP., NOT DELEGATE IN 1976
0 .....
0 VAR 0030 80 DEL SELECTION METH MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0030 LOC 61 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 63

0

Q.2(B). <HOW SELECTED DELEGATE IN> 1980.

<SEE Q.2 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

753 1. AS A DIRECT RESULT OF A PRIMARY
1692 2. AS A DIRECT RESULT OF A STATE OR DISTRICT CONVENTION OR CAUCUS
136 3. BY A MEETING OF OTHER DELEGATES FROM YOUR STATE
148 4. BY A PRE-EXISTING PARTY COMMITTEE
107 5. (DEMOCRATS ONLY) AS ONE OF THE GUARANTEED "ADD ON" DELEGATES FOR PUBLIC AND PARTY POSITIONS

37 9. NA
2533 0. INAP., NOT DELEGATE IN 1980
0 .....
0 VAR 0031 72 DELEGATE TYPE MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0031 LOC 62 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 64

0

Q.3. WHAT WAS YOUR OFFICIAL CLASSIFICATION AS DELEGATE IN EACH YEAR THAT YOU ATTENDED?

1 13
- (CONTINUED)
-

Q.3(A). <OFFICIAL CLASSIFICATION AS DELEGATE> 1972

R WAS INSTRUCTED TO MARK ONE RESPONSE FOR EACH YEAR THAT IS APPROPRIATE

1067 1. DISTRICT DELEGATE
350 2. AT-LARGE DELEGATE

121 9. NA
3868 0. INAP., NOT DELEGATE IN 1972
0 .....
0 VAR 0032 76 DELEGATE TYPE MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0032 LOC 63 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 65

0

Q.3(B). <OFFICIAL CLASSIFICATION AS DELEGATE> 1976

<SEE Q.3 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1560 1. DISTRICT DELEGATE
599 2. AT-LARGE DELEGATE

108 9. NA
3139 0. INAP., NOT DELEGATE IN 1976
0 .....
0 VAR 0033 80 DELEGATE TYPE MD=0 OR GE 9

REF 0033 LOC 64 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 66

0

Q.3(C). <OFFICIAL CLASSIFICATION AS DELEGATE> 1980

<SEE Q.3 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1988 1. DISTRICT DELEGATE
709 2. AT-LARGE DELEGATE
145 3. PARTY OFFICIAL/ELECTED OFFICE HOLDER (DEMOCRATS ONLY)

31 9. NA
2533 0. INAP., NOT DELEGATE IN 1980

1 14
-
0 VAR 0034 72 AFFIRM ACTION? MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0034 LOC 65 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 67

0

Q.4. DID THE EFFORT TO SEE THAT YOUR DELEGATION WAS BALANCED BY SEX, RACE, AND AGE PLAY ANY ROLE IN YOUR SELECTION?

Q.4(A). <IN> 1972
---

565 1. YES
935 5. NO

38 9. NA
3868 0. INAP., NOT DELEGATE IN 1972
0 .....
0 VAR 0035 76 AFFIRM ACTION? MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0035 LOC 66 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 68

0

Q.4(B). <WAS AFFIRMATIVE ACTION A SELECTION FACTOR IN> 1976

<SEE Q.4 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

535 1. YES
1684 5. NO

48 9. NA
3139 0. INAP., NOT DELEGATE IN 1976
0 .....
0 VAR 0036 80 AFFIRM ACTION? MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0036 LOC 67 WIDTH 1 DK 1 COL 69

0

Q.4(C). <WAS AFFIRMATIVE ACTION A SELECTION FACTOR IN> 1980

<SEE Q.4 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1029 1. YES
1822 5. NO

1 15
- (CONTINUED)
- 22 9. NA
2533 0. INAP., NOT DELEGATE IN 1980
0 .....
0 VAR 0037 72 GRP MST HELPFUL MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0037 LOC 68 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 70-71

0

Q.5. WHEN YOU WERE BEING SELECTED AS A DELEGATE, DID YOU GET ANY HELP FROM THE FOLLOWING GROUPS? PLEASE RANK THE GROUPS IN ORDER OF IMPORTANCE TO YOUR SELECTION WITH THE FIRST AS THE MOST IMPORTANT AND SO ON.

Q.5A1. <GROUP MOST HELPFUL TO SELECTION IN> 1972

RESPONDENTS WERE INSTRUCTED TO RANK GROUPS ACCORDING TO HELPFULNESS SEPARATELY FOR EACH APPLICABLE CONVENTION YEAR

84 01. NO ONE IN PARTICULAR

230 02. FRIENDS, LOCAL PEOPLE WHOM YOU KNEW
655 03. POLITICAL PARTIES OR LOCAL PARTY LEADERS
304 04. PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE'S CAMPAIGN GROUPS
0
WOMEN'S GROUPS

12 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATIONS
(UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
0 13. NOW
1 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS
0
POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

5 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
9 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
0 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
2 26. CONSERVATIVES
0 27. LIBERALS
36 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
0 33. ACORN/THE POOR
4 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS
0
UNIONS

18 40. UNIONS/LABOR

1 16
- (CONTINUED)
- 1 41. UAW
3 42. AFL-CIO
0 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
0 44. AFSCME
1 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS
0
RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

1 50. BLACKS
0 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
1 58. HISPANICS
1 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS
0
SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

0 60. ABORTION GROUPS
0 65. ERA GROUPS
0 66. GAY RIGHTS
0 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS
0 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0

BUSINESS GROUPS

0 72. AGRICULTURE
0 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS
0

OTHER GROUPS

6 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
0 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
1 83. MYSELF
0 86. SENIOR CITIZENS
3 89. OTHER GROUP
0

COMBINATIONS

8 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY
17 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
2 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
41 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
0 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
0 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
1 96. VOTERS
1 97. OTHER

1 17
- (CONTINUED)
- 91 99. NA

3867 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1972
0 .....
0 VAR 0038 72 GRP 2ND HELPFUL MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0038 LOC 70 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 72-73

0

Q.5A2. <SECOND MOST HELPFUL GROUP IN SELECTION IN> 1972

<SEE Q.5 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

33 01. NO ONE IN PARTICULAR
322 02. FRIENDS, LOCAL PEOPLE WHOM YOU KNEW
208 03. POLITICAL PARTIES OR LOCAL PARTY LEADERS
250 04. PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE'S CAMPAIGN GROUPS
0

WOMEN'S GROUPS

16 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATIONS (UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
1 13. NOW
0 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS
0

POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

9 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
6 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
0 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
0 26. CONSERVATIVES
2 27. LIBERALS
21 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
0 33. ACORN/THE POOR
4 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS
0

UNIONS

18 40. UNIONS/LABOR
1 41. UAW
1 42. AFL-CIO
1 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
0 44. AFSCME

1 18
- (CONTINUED)
- 0 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS
0

RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

7 50. BLACKS
0 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY

0 58. HISPANICS
0 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS
0
SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

0 60. ABORTION GROUPS
0 65. ERA GROUPS
0 66. GAY RIGHTS
0 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS
0 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0
BUSINESS GROUPS

0 72. AGRICULTURE
1 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS
0
OTHER GROUPS

4 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
0 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
0 83. MYSELF
0 86. SENIOR CITIZENS
2 89. OTHER GROUP
0
COMBINATIONS

2 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY
3 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
0 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
3 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
0 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
0 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
0 96. VOTERS
1 97. OTHER

623 99. NA

3867 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1972
0
1 19
-
0 VAR 0039 72 GRP 3RD HELPFUL MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0039 LOC 72 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 74-75

0

Q.5A3. <THIRD MOST HELPFUL GROUP IN SELECTION IN> 1972

---

104 01. NO ONE IN PARTICULAR
152 02. FRIENDS, LOCAL PEOPLE WHOM YOU KNEW
128 03. POLITICAL PARTIES OR LOCAL PARTY LEADERS
101 04. PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE'S CAMPAIGN GROUPS

0

WOMEN'S GROUPS

6 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATIONS (UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
0 13. NOW
0 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS

0

POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

5 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
2 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
0 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
0 26. CONSERVATIVES
0 27. LIBERALS
13 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
0 33. ACORN/THE POOR
2 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS

0

UNIONS

8 40. UNIONS/LABOR
0 41. UAW
1 42. AFL-CIO
0 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
0 44. AFSCME
0 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

0

RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

1 50. BLACKS
0 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
0 58. HISPANICS

0 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS

1 20
- (CONTINUED)
-

SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

0 60. ABORTION GROUPS
1 65. ERA GROUPS
1 66. GAY RIGHTS
0 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS
0 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0

BUSINESS GROUPS

1 72. AGRICULTURE
0 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS
0

OTHER GROUPS

4 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
0 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
2 83. MYSELF
0 86. SENIOR CITIZENS
2 89. OTHER GROUP
0

COMBINATIONS

11 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY
3 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
0 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
1 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
0 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
0 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
0 96. VOTERS
2 97. OTHER

988 99. NA

3867 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1972
0 .....
0 VAR 0040 76 GRP MST HELPFUL MD=0 OR GE 99

REF 0040 LOC 74 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 76-77

0

Q.5B1. <GROUP MOST HELPFUL TO SELECTION IN> 1976
---

1 21
- (CONTINUED)
-

132 01. NO ONE IN PARTICULAR
358 02. FRIENDS, LOCAL PEOPLE WHOM YOU KNEW
758 03. POLITICAL PARTIES OR LOCAL PARTY LEADERS
623 04. PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE'S CAMPAIGN GROUPS

0

WOMEN'S GROUPS

7 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATIONS (UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
0 13. NOW
0 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS

0

POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

0 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
5 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
0 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
1 26. CONSERVATIVES
2 27. LIBERALS
41 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
0 33. ACORN/THE POOR
5 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS

0

UNIONS

37 40. UNIONS/LABOR
6 41. UAW
4 42. AFL-CIO
27 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
2 44. AFSCME
0 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

0

RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

1 50. BLACKS
1 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
0 58. HISPANICS
0 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS
0

SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

5 60. ABORTION GROUPS
0 65. ERA GROUPS
0 66. GAY RIGHTS

1 22
- (CONTINUED)
- 0 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS
1 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0

BUSINESS GROUPS

0 72. AGRICULTURE
0 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS
0

OTHER GROUPS

6 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
1 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
7 83. MYSELF
0 86. SENIOR CITIZENS
10 89. OTHER GROUP
0

COMBINATIONS

14 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY
14 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
6 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
29 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
0 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
0 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
1 96. VOTERS
2 97. OTHER

161 99. NA

3139 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1972

0 .....

0 VAR 0041 76 GRP 2ND HELPFUL MD=0 OR GE 99

REF 0041 LOC 76 WIDTH 2 DK 1 COL 78-79

0

Q.5B(2). <SECOND MOST HELPFUL GROUP IN SELECTION IN> 1976

---

57 01. NO ONE IN PARTICULAR

425 02. FRIENDS, LOCAL PEOPLE WHOM YOU KNEW

473 03. POLITICAL PARTIES OR LOCAL PARTY LEADERS

398 04. PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE'S CAMPAIGN GROUPS

0

1 23

- (CONTINUED)

-

WOMEN'S GROUPS

14 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATIONS (UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)

0 13. NOW

1 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS

0

POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

0 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS

0 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION

0 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS

2 26. CONSERVATIVES

1 27. LIBERALS

30 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR

0 33. ACORN/THE POOR

3 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS

0

UNIONS

18 40. UNIONS/LABOR

2 41. UAW

3 42. AFL-CIO

12 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT

1 44. AFSCME

0 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS
0

RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

4 50. BLACKS
2 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
0 58. HISPANICS
0 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS
0

SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

1 60. ABORTION GROUPS
0 65. ERA GROUPS
2 66. GAY RIGHTS
1 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS
0 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0

BUSINESS GROUPS

1 24
- (CONTINUED)
- 0 72. AGRICULTURE
0 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS
0

OTHER GROUPS

6 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
0 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
1 83. MYSELF
0 86. SENIOR CITIZENS
2 89. OTHER GROUP
0

COMBINATIONS

4 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY
2 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
2 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
9 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
1 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
0 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
2 96. VOTERS
2 97. OTHER

786 99. NA

3139 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1976

0

DECK IDENTIFICATION NUMBER IS '02' DK 2 COL 1- 2

.....

0 VAR 0001 ICPSR STUDY NO. 8367 NO MISSING DATA CODES

REF 0001 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 2 COL 3- 6

0

ICPSR STUDY #

.....

0 VAR 0002 ICPSR EDITION NUMBER-1 NO MISSING DATA CODES

REF 0002 LOC 5 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 7

0

ICPSR EDITION NUMBER

---

1 25

- (CONTINUED)
- THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THE RELEASE EDITION OF THIS DATASET.

1. WINTER 1986

0 .....

0 VAR 0003 ICPSR PART NUMBER-001 NO MISSING DATA CODES

REF 0003 LOC 6 WIDTH 3 DK 2 COL 8-10

0

ICPSR PART NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THIS PART OF A TWO PART STUDY.

001. CROSS-SECTION
002. PANEL

0 .....

0 VAR 0004 ICPSR RESPONDENT ID NO MISSING DATA CODES

REF 0004 LOC 9 WIDTH 4 DK 2 COL 11-14

0

ICPSR RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

THE ICPSR HAS ASSIGNED A SEQUENTIAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER TO EACH RECORD. THIS NUMBER UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH CASE IN THE DATA COLLECTION.

.....

0 VAR 0042 76 GRP 3RD HELPFUL MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0042 LOC 78 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 15-16

0

Q.5B(3). <THIRD MOST HELPFUL GROUP IN SELECTION IN> 1976
---

140 01. NO ONE IN PARTICULAR
292 02. FRIENDS, LOCAL PEOPLE WHOM YOU KNEW
215 03. POLITICAL PARTIES OR LOCAL PARTY LEADERS
184 04. PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE'S CAMPAIGN GROUPS

0

WOMEN'S GROUPS

1 26
- (CONTINUED)
-

4 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATIONS (UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
1 13. NOW
0 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS

0

POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

0 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
1 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
0 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
2 26. CONSERVATIVES
2 27. LIBERALS
19 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
0 33. ACORN/THE POOR
2 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS

0

UNIONS

18 40. UNIONS/LABOR
2 41. UAW
0 42. AFL-CIO
7 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
0 44. AFSCME
0 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

0

RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

1 50. BLACKS
0 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
0 58. HISPANICS
0 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS

0

SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

1 60. ABORTION GROUPS
0 65. ERA GROUPS
1 66. GAY RIGHTS
0 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS
0 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

0

BUSINESS GROUPS

0 72. AGRICULTURE
0 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS

1 27

- (CONTINUED)
-

OTHER GROUPS

3 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
0 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
1 83. MYSELF
0 86. SENIOR CITIZENS
1 89. OTHER GROUP

0

COMBINATIONS

11 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY
3 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
0 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
1 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
0 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
0 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
0 96. VOTERS
4 97. OTHER

1351 99. NA

3139 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1976

0 .....
0 VAR 0043 80 GRP MST HELPFUL MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0043 LOC 80 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 17-18

0

Q.5C(1). <GROUP MOST HELPFUL TO SELECTION IN> 1980
---

142 01. NO ONE IN PARTICULAR
587 02. FRIENDS, LOCAL PEOPLE WHOM YOU KNEW
869 03. POLITICAL PARTIES OR LOCAL PARTY LEADERS
752 04. PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE'S CAMPAIGN GROUPS

0

WOMEN'S GROUPS

19 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATIONS
(UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
9 13. NOW
0 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS

0

POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

1 28
- (CONTINUED)
-

0 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
0 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
2 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
1 26. CONSERVATIVES
1 27. LIBERALS
58 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR

2 33. ACORN/THE POOR
3 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS
0

UNIONS

33 40. UNIONS/LABOR
13 41. UAW
2 42. AFL-CIO
76 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
1 44. AFSCME
2 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS
0

RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

5 50. BLACKS
2 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
4 58. HISPANICS
0 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS
0

SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

10 60. ABORTION GROUPS
1 65. ERA GROUPS
11 66. GAY RIGHTS
0 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS
0 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0

BUSINESS GROUPS

1 72. AGRICULTURE
0 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS
0

OTHER GROUPS

4 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
0 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
11 83. MYSELF
1 86. SENIOR CITIZENS

1 29
- (CONTINUED)
- 4 89. OTHER GROUP
0

COMBINATIONS

20 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY
17 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
9 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
47 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
0 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
0 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
1 96. VOTERS
2 97. OTHER

151 99. NA
2533 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1980
0 .....
0 VAR 0044 80 GRP 2ND HELPFUL MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0044 LOC 82 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 19-20

0

Q.5C(2). <SECOND MOST HELPFUL GROUP IN SELECTION IN> 1980

<SEE Q.5 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

53 01. NO ONE IN PARTICULAR
538 02. FRIENDS, LOCAL PEOPLE WHOM YOU KNEW
597 03. POLITICAL PARTIES OR LOCAL PARTY LEADERS
518 04. PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE'S CAMPAIGN GROUPS
0
WOMEN'S GROUPS

22 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATIONS
(UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
6 13. NOW
0 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS
0
POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

0 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
3 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
1 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
3 26. CONSERVATIVES
4 27. LIBERALS

1 30
- (CONTINUED)
- 49 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
1 33. ACORN/THE POOR
3 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS
0

UNIONS

34 40. UNIONS/LABOR
9 41. UAW
3 42. AFL-CIO
34 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
1 44. AFSCME
1 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS
0

RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

3 50. BLACKS
2 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
1 58. HISPANICS
2 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS
0

SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

6 60. ABORTION GROUPS
1 65. ERA GROUPS
5 66. GAY RIGHTS
1 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS
1 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0

BUSINESS GROUPS

1 72. AGRICULTURE
0 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS
0

OTHER GROUPS

3 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
0 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
4 83. MYSELF
2 86. SENIOR CITIZENS
2 89. OTHER GROUP
0

COMBINATIONS

5 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY

1 31
- (CONTINUED)
- 7 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
1 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
6 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
0 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
0 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
0 96. VOTERS
3 97. OTHER

937 99. NA
2533 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1980
0 .....
0 VAR 0045 80 GRP 3RD HELPFUL MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0045 LOC 84 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 21-22

0

Q.5C(3). <THIRD MOST HELPFUL GROUP IN SELECTION IN> 1980

<SEE Q.5 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

165 01. NO ONE IN PARTICULAR
403 02. FRIENDS, LOCAL PEOPLE WHOM YOU KNEW
328 03. POLITICAL PARTIES OR LOCAL PARTY LEADERS
298 04. PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE'S CAMPAIGN GROUPS
0
WOMEN'S GROUPS

14 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATIONS
(UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
1 13. NOW
0 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS
0
POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

3 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
1 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
3 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS

3 26. CONSERVATIVES
1 27. LIBERALS
16 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
1 33. ACORN/THE POOR
6 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS

0
UNIONS

1 32
- (CONTINUED)
-

18 40. UNIONS/LABOR
3 41. UAW
2 42. AFL-CIO
25 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
1 44. AFSCME
1 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

0
RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

8 50. BLACKS
0 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
0 58. HISPANICS
0 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS

0
SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

6 60. ABORTION GROUPS
2 65. ERA GROUPS
3 66. GAY RIGHTS
1 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS
0 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

0
BUSINESS GROUPS

2 72. AGRICULTURE
0 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS

0
OTHER GROUPS

6 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
0 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
2 83. MYSELF

1 86. SENIOR CITIZENS
2 89. OTHER GROUP

0

COMBINATIONS

14 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY
3 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
2 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
8 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
0 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
0 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
1 96. VOTERS

1 33
- (CONTINUED)
- 2 97. OTHER

1517 99. NA
2533 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1980
0 .....
0 VAR 0046 PTY SERV-IMPC OF MD=5 OR GE 9
REF 0046 LOC 86 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 23

0

Q.6. IN THINKING ABOUT DECISIONS THAT ARE MADE AT THE CONVENTIONS, WHICH POSITIONS DO YOU FAVOR AND WHICH DO YOU OPPOSE?

Q.6A. COUNTING SERVICE TO THE PARTY HEAVILY IN NOMINATING CANDIDATES

1136 1. STRONGLY FAVOR
2583 2. FAVOR
693 3. OPPOSE
167 4. STRONGLY OPPOSE

465 5. NO POSITION
362 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0047 PTY DISAGREEMT MINIMIZE MD=5 OR GE 9
REF 0047 LOC 87 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 24

0

Q.6B. <R'S ATTITUDE TOWARD> WORKING TO MINIMIZE DISAGREEMENT WITHIN THE PARTY

<SEE Q.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1095 1. STRONGLY FAVOR
2927 2. FAVOR
480 3. OPPOSE
146 4. STRONGLY OPPOSE

354 5. NO POSITION
404 9. NA

0

1 34

-

0 VAR 0048 FIRM ISSUE PSN MD=5 OR GE 9
REF 0048 LOC 88 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 25

0

Q.6C. <R'S ATTITUDE TOWARD> STANDING FIRM FOR POSITION EVEN IF IT MEANS RESIGNING FROM THE PARTY

<SEE Q.6 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

322 1. STRONGLY FAVOR
1028 2. FAVOR
1978 3. OPPOSE
1029 4. STRONGLY OPPOSE

603 5. NO POSITION
446 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0049 MIN ROLE OF PTY ORG MD=5 OR GE 9
REF 0049 LOC 89 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 26

0

Q.6D. <R'S ATTITUDE TOWARD> MINIMIZING THE ROLE OF THE PARTY ORGANIZATION IN NOMINATING CANDIDATES FOR OFFICE

<SEE Q.6. FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

245 1. STRONGLY FAVOR
813 2. FAVOR
2031 3. OPPOSE
1638 4. STRONGLY OPPOSE

260 5. NO POSITION
419 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0050 PLAY DOWN ISSUE MD=5 OR GE 9
REF 0050 LOC 90 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 27

0

Q.6E. <R'S ATTITUDE TOWARD> PLAYING DOWN SOME ISSUES IF IT WILL IMPROVE THE CHANCES OF WINNING
---

1 35
- (CONTINUED)
- <SEE Q.6. FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

496 1. STRONGLY FAVOR
2656 2. FAVOR
1174 3. OPPOSE
436 4. STRONGLY OPPOSE

252 5. NO POSITION
392 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0051 ENCOURAGE PARTIC MD=5 OR GE 9
REF 0051 LOC 91 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 28

0

Q.6F. <R'S ATTITUDE TOWARD> ENCOURAGING WIDESPREAD PARTICIPATION IN MAKING MOST PARTY DECISIONS

<SEE Q.6. FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1976 1. STRONGLY FAVOR
2514 2. FAVOR
297 3. OPPOSE
67 4. STRONGLY OPPOSE

182 5. NO POSITION
370 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0052 NOM COMMITTED CAND MD=5 OR GE 9
REF 0052 LOC 92 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 29

0

Q.6G. <R'S ATTITUDE TOWARD> SELECTING A NOMINEE WHO IS STRONGLY COMMITTED ON THE ISSUES

<SEE Q.6. FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2116 1. STRONGLY FAVOR
2464 2. FAVOR
201 3. OPPOSE
33 4. STRONGLY OPPOSE

256 5. NO POSITION

1 36
- (CONTINUED)
- 336 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0053 72 CAND R SUPPORTED MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0053 LOC 93 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 30-31

0

Q.7. WHEN YOU ENTERED THE CONTEST TO BECOME A DELEGATE, WITH WHICH CANDIDATE WERE YOU PUBLICLY IDENTIFIED?

Q.7(A). <CANDIDATE IDENTIFIED WITH IN> 1972

DEMOCRATS

8 01. CHISHOLM

138 02. HUMPHREY
38 03. JACKSON
9 04. KENNEDY
469 05. MCGOVERN
4 06. MILLS
84 07. MUSKIE
38 08. WALLACE
0
REPUBLICANS

513 13. NIXON
0
OTHER/NONE

48 19. OTHER
147 50. NONE, NOT IDENTIFIED WITH A CANDIDATE

42 99. NA
3868 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1972
0 .....
0 VAR 0054 76 CAND R SUPPORTED MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0054 LOC 95 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 32-33

0

Q.7(B) <CANDIDATE IDENTIFIED WITH IN> 1976
---

1 37
- (CONTINUED)
-
<SEE Q.7 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

DEMOCRATS

74 01. BROWN
503 02. CARTER
34 03. CHURCH
61 04. HUMPHREY
84 05. JACKSON
2 06. SHRIVER
162 07. UDALL
37 08. WALLACE

0

REPUBLICANS

459 11. FORD
569 14. REAGAN

0

OTHER/NONE

54 19. OTHER
185 50. NONE

43 99. NA
3139 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1976

0 .....

0 VAR 0055 80 CAND R SUPPORTED MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0055 LOC 97 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 34-35

0

Q.7(C). <CANDIDATE IDENTIFIED WITH IN> 1980

<SEE Q.7 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

DEMOCRATS

976 01. CARTER
626 02. KENNEDY

0

REPUBLICANS

29 10. ANDERSON

1 38
- (CONTINUED)
- 11 11. BAKER
170 12. BUSH
10 13. CONNALLY
839 14. REAGAN

0

OTHER/NONE

19 19. OTHER

115 50. NONE

78 99. NA
2533 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1980
0 .....
0 VAR 0056 72 CANDIDATE PREF MD=GE 99
REF 0056 LOC 99 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 36-37

0

Q.8. WHETHER COMMITTED OR NOT, WHOM DID YOU MOST PREFER AS YOUR PARTY'S NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT IN THE LAST THREE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS?

Q.8(A). <CANDIDATE PREFERRED IN> 1972

DEMOCRATS

40 01. CHISHOLM
912 02. HUMPHREY
117 03. JACKSON
276 04. KENNEDY
912 05. MCGOVERN
4 06. MILLS
320 07. MUSKIE
76 08. WALLACE
0

REPUBLICANS

1593 13. NIXON
0

OTHER/NONE

264 19. OTHER
60 50. NONE

1 39
- (CONTINUED)
- 832 99. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0057 76 CANDIDATE PREF MD=GE 99

REF 0057 LOC 101 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 38-39

0

Q.8(B). <CANDIDATE PREFERRED IN> 1976

<SEE Q.8 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

DEMOCRATS

106 01. BROWN
1090 02. CARTER
110 03. CHURCH
556 04. HUMPHREY
163 05. JACKSON
18 06. SHRIVER
508 07. UDALL
45 08. WALLACE

0

REPUBLICANS

919 11. FORD
1025 14. REAGAN

0

OTHER/NONE

112 19. OTHER
68 50. NONE

686 99. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0058 80 CANDIDATE PREF MD=GE 99

REF 0058 LOC 103 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 40-41

0

Q.8(C). <CANDIDATE PREFERRED IN> 1980

<SEE Q.8 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1 40

- (CONTINUED)

-

DEMOCRATS

1415 01. CARTER
1125 02. KENNEDY

0

REPUBLICANS

72 10. ANDERSON
72 11. BAKER
416 12. BUSH
106 13. CONNALLY
1275 14. REAGAN

0

OTHER/NONE

259 19. OTHER
103 50. NONE

563 99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0059 72 1ST RNK GRP REP MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0059 LOC 105 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 42-43

0

Q.9. WHICH GROUPS LISTED BELOW COME CLOSEST TO DESCRIBING THE ONES YOU REPRESENTED AT THE CONVENTION? PLEASE RANK THE GROUPS IN ORDER OF IMPORTANCE TO YOU WITH FIRST AS THE MOST IMPORTANT AND SO ON.

Q.9A(1). <MOST IMPORTANT GROUP REPRESENTED IN> 1972

RESPONDENTS WERE INSTRUCTED TO MARK EACH CONVENTION YEAR THAT IS APPLICABLE

613 01. PARTY ORGANIZATION
598 02. CANDIDATE SUPPORT GROUP
96 03. PARTISAN VOTERS

0

WOMEN'S GROUPS

10 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATION
(UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)

1 41
- (CONTINUED)
- 1 13. NOW
0 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS
0

POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

17 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
5 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
0 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
0 26. CONSERVATIVES
8 27. LIBERALS
14 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
0 33. ACORN/THE POOR
6 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS
0

UNIONS

22 40. UNIONS/LABOR
1 41. UAW
4 42. AFL-CIO
0 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
0 44. AFSCME
0 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS
0

RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

3 50. BLACKS
2 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
1 58. HISPANICS
1 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS
0

SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

0 60. ABORTION GROUPS
0 65. ERA GROUPS
0 66. GAY RIGHTS
0 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS
2 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0

BUSINESS GROUPS

1 72. AGRICULTURE
0 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS
0

OTHER GROUPS

1 42
- (CONTINUED)
-

9 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
1 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
3 83. MYSELF
0 86. SENIOR CITIZENS
1 89. OTHER GROUP
0

COMBINATIONS

13 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY
0 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
0 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
0 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
7 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
6 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
2 96. VOTERS
0 97. OTHER

92 99. NA
3867 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1972
0 .....
0 VAR 0060 72 2ND RNK GRP REP MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0060 LOC 107 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 44-45

0

Q.9A(2). <SECOND MOST IMPORTANT GROUP REPRESENTED IN> 1972

<SEE Q.9 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

329 01. PARTY ORGANIZATION
334 02. CANDIDATE SUPPORT GROUP
147 03. PARTISAN VOTERS
0

WOMEN'S GROUPS

32 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATION
(UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
1 13. NOW
2 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS
0

POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

15 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS

1 43
- (CONTINUED)
- 9 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
0 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
1 26. CONSERVATIVES
5 27. LIBERALS
10 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
0 33. ACORN/THE POOR
6 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS
0

UNIONS

6 40. UNIONS/LABOR
0 41. UAW
0 42. AFL-CIO
2 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
0 44. AFSCME
0 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS
0

RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

2 50. BLACKS
2 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
0 58. HISPANICS
0 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS
0

SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

0 60. ABORTION GROUPS
1 65. ERA GROUPS
2 66. GAY RIGHTS
0 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS

1 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0
BUSINESS GROUPS

0 72. AGRICULTURE
3 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS
0
OTHER GROUPS

12 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
0 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
0 83. MYSELF
0 86. SENIOR CITIZENS
1 89. OTHER GROUP
0
1 44
- (CONTINUED)
-
COMBINATIONS

4 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY
0 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
1 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
0 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
6 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
1 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
0 96. VOTERS
1 97. OTHER

603 99. NA
3867 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1972
0 .....
0 VAR 0061 72 3RD RNK GRP REP MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0061 LOC 109 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 46-47

0
Q.9A(3). <THIRD MOST IMPORTANT GROUP REPRESENTED IN> 1972

<SEE Q.9 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

178 01. PARTY ORGANIZATION
122 02. CANDIDATE SUPPORT GROUP

192 03. PARTISAN VOTERS

0

WOMEN'S GROUPS

19 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATION (UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
3 13. NOW
0 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS

0

POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

10 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
0 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
0 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
2 26. CONSERVATIVES
3 27. LIBERALS
4 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
0 33. ACORN/THE POOR
1 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS

1 45

- (CONTINUED)
-

UNIONS

7 40. UNIONS/LABOR
0 41. UAW
0 42. AFL-CIO
3 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
0 44. AFSCME
0 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

0

RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

2 50. BLACKS
1 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
0 58. HISPANICS
0 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS

0

SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

0 60. ABORTION GROUPS
0 65. ERA GROUPS

```
            0  66.  GAY RIGHTS
            1  67.  ENVIRONMENTALISTS
            1  69.  OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0
            BUSINESS GROUPS

            2  72.  AGRICULTURE
            0  79.  OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS
0
            OTHER GROUPS

            8  80.  YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
            1  82.  PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
            0  83.  MYSELF
            0  86.  SENIOR CITIZENS
            1  89.  OTHER GROUP
0
            COMBINATIONS

            1  90.  CANDIDATE AND PARTY
            0  91.  CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
            0  92.  PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
            0  93.  PARTY AND FRIENDS
            1  94.  CANDIDATE AND VOTERS

1           46
-            (CONTINUED)
-            4  95.  PARTY AND VOTERS
            1  96.  VOTERS
            3  97.  OTHER

           968  99.  NA
          3867  00.  INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1972
0          ..........................................................
0          VAR 0062      76 1ST RNK GRP REP              MD=0 OR GE  99
          REF 0062        LOC  111 WIDTH  2           DK   2 COL 48-49

0
            Q.9B(1). <MOST IMPORTANT GROUP REPRESENTED IN> 1976
            -------------------------------------------------

           597  01.  PARTY ORGANIZATION
          1135  02.  CANDIDATE SUPPORT GROUP
```

155 03. PARTISAN VOTERS

0

WOMEN'S GROUPS

8 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATION (UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
2 13. NOW
0 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS

0

POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

2 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
2 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
2 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
7 26. CONSERVATIVES
6 27. LIBERALS
18 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
1 33. ACORN/THE POOR
5 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS

0

UNIONS

43 40. UNIONS/LABOR
6 41. UAW
4 42. AFL-CIO
26 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
3 44. AFSCME
0 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

1 47

- (CONTINUED)
-

RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

5 50. BLACKS
1 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
0 58. HISPANICS
0 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS

0

SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

9 60. ABORTION GROUPS
0 65. ERA GROUPS

```
            1  66.  GAY RIGHTS
            1  67.  ENVIRONMENTALISTS
            0  69.  OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0
            BUSINESS GROUPS

            3  72.  AGRICULTURE
            0  79.  OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS
0
            OTHER GROUPS

            4  80.  YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
            3  82.  PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
            6  83.  MYSELF
            0  86.  SENIOR CITIZENS
            0  89.  OTHER GROUP
0
            COMBINATIONS

           21  90.  CANDIDATE AND PARTY
            2  91.  CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
            3  92.  PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
            0  93.  PARTY AND FRIENDS
           10  94.  CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
            9  95.  PARTY AND VOTERS
            1  96.  VOTERS
            2  97.  OTHER

          164  99.  NA
         3139  00.  INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1976
0
1           48
-
0           VAR 0063      76 2ND RNK GRP REP              MD=0 OR GE  99
          REF 0063        LOC  113 WIDTH  2          DK   2 COL 50-51

0
            Q.9B(2).  <SECOND MOST IMPORTANT GROUP IN> 1976
            ----------------------------------------------

            <SEE Q.9 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

           622  01.  PARTY ORGANIZATION
```

450 02. CANDIDATE SUPPORT GROUP
234 03. PARTISAN VOTERS
0

WOMEN'S GROUPS

24 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATION
(UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
1 13. NOW
0 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS
0

POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

1 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
2 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
0 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
6 26. CONSERVATIVES
5 27. LIBERALS
11 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
0 33. ACORN/THE POOR
1 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS
0

UNIONS

15 40. UNIONS/LABOR
1 41. UAW
1 42. AFL-CIO
25 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
0 44. AFSCME
0 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS
0

RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

1 50. BLACKS
3 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
1 58. HISPANICS
0 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS

1 49
- (CONTINUED)
-

SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

0 60. ABORTION GROUPS

```
            2  65.  ERA GROUPS
            1  66.  GAY RIGHTS
            2  67.  ENVIRONMENTALISTS
            1  69.  OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0
            BUSINESS GROUPS

            2  72.  AGRICULTURE
            0  79.  OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS
0
            OTHER GROUPS

            9  80.  YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
            0  82.  PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
            1  83.  MYSELF
            0  86.  SENIOR CITIZENS
            5  89.  OTHER GROUP
0
            COMBINATIONS

            7  90.  CANDIDATE AND PARTY
            0  91.  CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
            0  92.  PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
            0  93.  PARTY AND FRIENDS
            4  94.  CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
            2  95.  PARTY AND VOTERS
            3  96.  VOTERS
            0  97.  OTHER

          824  99.  NA
         3139  00.  INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1976
0           .....................................................................
0           VAR 0064      76 3RD RNK GRP REP              MD=0 OR GE  99
           REF 0064        LOC  115 WIDTH  2           DK   2 COL 52-53


0
            Q.9B(3).  <THIRD MOST IMPORTANT GROUP IN> 1976
            ----------------------------------------------

            <SEE Q.9 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1           50
-           (CONTINUED)
```

-

312 01. PARTY ORGANIZATION
130 02. CANDIDATE SUPPORT GROUP
295 03. PARTISAN VOTERS

0

WOMEN'S GROUPS

16 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATION (UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
1 13. NOW
0 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS

0

POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

2 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
1 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
0 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
3 26. CONSERVATIVES
5 27. LIBERALS
12 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
0 33. ACORN/THE POOR
1 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS

0

UNIONS

18 40. UNIONS/LABOR
1 41. UAW
1 42. AFL-CIO
12 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
1 44. AFSCME
0 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

0

RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

0 50. BLACKS
0 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
0 58. HISPANICS
0 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS

0

SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

0 60. ABORTION GROUPS
3 65. ERA GROUPS

0 66. GAY RIGHTS
2 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS

(CONTINUED)
1 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

BUSINESS GROUPS

1 72. AGRICULTURE
0 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS

OTHER GROUPS

4 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
1 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
1 83. MYSELF
0 86. SENIOR CITIZENS
2 89. OTHER GROUP

COMBINATIONS

3 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY
0 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
0 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
0 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
1 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
5 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
2 96. VOTERS
0 97. OTHER

1430 99. NA
3139 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1976

.....

VAR 0065 80 1ST RNK GRP REP MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0065 LOC 117 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 54-55

Q.9C(1). <MOST IMPORTANT GROUP REPRESENTED IN> 1980

<SEE Q.9 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

672 01. PARTY ORGANIZATION
1472 02. CANDIDATE SUPPORT GROUP
232 03. PARTISAN VOTERS
0
WOMEN'S GROUPS

1 52
- (CONTINUED)
-
24 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATION (UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
15 13. NOW
0 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS
0
POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

5 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
5 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
2 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
14 26. CONSERVATIVES
5 27. LIBERALS
26 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
3 33. ACORN/THE POOR
6 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS
0
UNIONS

38 40. UNIONS/LABOR
9 41. UAW
3 42. AFL-CIO
64 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
2 44. AFSCME
4 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS
0
RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

10 50. BLACKS
1 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
8 58. HISPANICS
0 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS
0
SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

13 60. ABORTION GROUPS
3 65. ERA GROUPS
8 66. GAY RIGHTS
0 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS
4 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0
BUSINESS GROUPS

2 72. AGRICULTURE
0 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS

1 53
- (CONTINUED)
-
OTHER GROUPS

4 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
6 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
7 83. MYSELF
1 86. SENIOR CITIZENS
8 89. OTHER GROUP
0
COMBINATIONS

34 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY
0 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
3 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
1 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
14 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
5 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
3 96. VOTERS
7 97. OTHER

129 99. NA
2534 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1980
0 .....
0 VAR 0066 80 2ND RNK GRP REP MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0066 LOC 119 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 56-57

0

Q.9C(2). <SECOND MOST IMPORTANT GROUP REPRESENTED IN> 1980

<SEE Q.9 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

809 01. PARTY ORGANIZATION
614 02. CANDIDATE SUPPORT GROUP
275 03. PARTISAN VOTERS
0
WOMEN'S GROUPS

54 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATION (UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
6 13. NOW
2 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS
0
POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

1 54
- (CONTINUED)
-
2 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
3 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
1 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
9 26. CONSERVATIVES
6 27. LIBERALS
19 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR
3 33. ACORN/THE POOR
6 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS
0
UNIONS

32 40. UNIONS/LABOR
7 41. UAW
1 42. AFL-CIO
47 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
3 44. AFSCME
1 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS
0
RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

9 50. BLACKS
4 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
1 58. HISPANICS
1 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS
0

SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

11 60. ABORTION GROUPS
8 65. ERA GROUPS
8 66. GAY RIGHTS
4 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS
2 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0
BUSINESS GROUPS

3 72. AGRICULTURE
1 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS
0
OTHER GROUPS

6 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
3 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
3 83. MYSELF
2 86. SENIOR CITIZENS

1 55
- (CONTINUED)
- 7 89. OTHER GROUP
0
COMBINATIONS

8 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY
0 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
1 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
0 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
5 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
5 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
1 96. VOTERS
4 97. OTHER

875 99. NA
2534 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1980
0 .....
0 VAR 0067 80 3RD RNK GRP REP MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0067 LOC 121 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 58-59

0

Q.9C(3). <THIRD MOST IMPORTANT GROUP REPRESENTED IN> 1980

<SEE Q.9 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

483 01. PARTY ORGANIZATION
155 02. CANDIDATE SUPPORT GROUP
439 03. PARTISAN VOTERS

0

WOMEN'S GROUPS

28 10. WOMEN/WOMEN'S GROUPS AND ORGANIZATION (UNNAMED-INCLUDING ETHNIC WOMEN)
4 13. NOW
1 19. OTHER WOMEN'S GROUPS

0

POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS

5 20. ANTI-WAR/PEACE/NO NUKES/ANTI-DRAFT GROUPS
1 21. NEW DEMOCRATIC COALITION
3 25. MORAL MAJORITY/RELIGIOUS GROUPS
6 26. CONSERVATIVES
6 27. LIBERALS
13 28. STATE OR LOCAL CANDIDATE SUPPORTERS/GOVERNOR/MAYOR

1 56
- (CONTINUED)
- 3 33. ACORN/THE POOR
4 39. OTHER POLITICAL GROUPS

0

UNIONS

18 40. UNIONS/LABOR
0 41. UAW
2 42. AFL-CIO
26 43. NEA/TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION/AFT
2 44. AFSCME
1 49. OTHER UNIONS/PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

0

RACIAL ORGANIZATIONS/GROUPS

2 50. BLACKS
3 55. MINORITY DELEGATES/MINORITY
1 58. HISPANICS

0 59. OTHER ETHNIC MINORITY GROUPS
0
SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS

8 60. ABORTION GROUPS
8 65. ERA GROUPS
4 66. GAY RIGHTS
2 67. ENVIRONMENTALISTS
1 69. OTHER SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS
0
BUSINESS GROUPS

6 72. AGRICULTURE
4 79. OTHER BUSINESS GROUPS
0
OTHER GROUPS

6 80. YOUTH/STUDENT GROUPS
0 82. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND VOTER
1 83. MYSELF
1 86. SENIOR CITIZENS
7 89. OTHER GROUP
0
COMBINATIONS

7 90. CANDIDATE AND PARTY
0 91. CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS

1 57
- (CONTINUED)
- 0 92. PARTY, CANDIDATE AND FRIENDS
0 93. PARTY AND FRIENDS
2 94. CANDIDATE AND VOTERS
5 95. PARTY AND VOTERS
1 96. VOTERS
6 97. OTHER

1597 99. NA
2534 00. INAP., NOT A DELEGATE IN 1980
0 .....
0 VAR 0068 REP ROLE ORIENTATION MD=GE 9
REF 0068 LOC 123 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 60

0

Q.10. DURING THE CONVENTIONS YOU MAY HAVE BEEN ASKED TO MAKE SOME IMPORTANT DECISIONS. WHAT WAS YOUR APPROACH, IN GENERAL, TO DECISION MAKING? ON A SCALE OF 1 TO 7, WITH "1" BEING "VOTE THE WAY I BELIEVE IS RIGHT, REGARDLESS OF WHAT THE PEOPLE I REPRESENTED BELIEVE" AND "7" BEING "VOTE THE WAY THOSE PEOPLE WOULD VOTE IF THEY WERE HERE, REGARDLESS OF WHAT I BELIEVE," WHERE WOULD YOU PLACE YOURSELF IN REGARD TO YOUR ROLE AS A DELEGATE DECISION-MAKER?

1366 1. VOTE THE WAY I THINK IS RIGHT
920 2. .
705 3. .
647 4. .
412 5. .
386 6. .
669 7. VOTE THE WAY THE PEOPLE I REPRESENTED WOULD VOTE

301 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0069 VOTE STRAIGHT TICKET MD=GE 9
REF 0069 LOC 124 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 61

0

Q.11. WHEN YOU VOTE IN A GENERAL ELECTION, DO YOU VOTE A STRAIGHT PARTY TICKET:

1301 1. ALL THE TIME
2765 2. MOST OF THE TIME

1 58
- (CONTINUED)
- 595 3. SOME OF THE TIME
456 4. ALMOST NEVER
229 5. NEVER

60 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0070 STRENGTH OF PTY SUPP MD=GE 9
REF 0070 LOC 125 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 62

0

Q.12. PLEASE CHOOSE THE NUMBER THAT BEST DESCRIBES HOW STRONGLY YOU SUPPORT YOUR POLITICAL PARTY.

---

164 1. NOT VERY STRONGLY
154 2. .
148 3. .
239 4. .
596 5. .
1480 6. .
2556 7. VERY STRONGLY

69 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0071 AGE BEGAN POL ACTIV MD=GE 99
REF 0071 LOC 126 WIDTH 2 DK 2 COL 63-64

0

Q.13. HOW OLD WERE YOU WHEN YOU FIRST BECAME ACTIVE IN POLITICS?
<ACTUAL NUMBER OF YEARS CODED FROM 01-69>

---

01. 01
.
.
.
69. 69

99. NA

0

1 59

-

0 VAR 0072 DAD'S POL ACTIVITY MD=GE 9
REF 0072 LOC 128 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 65

0

Q.14. FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING, INDICATE WHETHER THEY ARE (WERE) ACTIVE IN POLITICS.

Q.14(A). <R'S> FATHER <WAS/IS>:

1207 1. ACTIVE DEMOCRAT
864 2. ACTIVE REPUBLICAN
111 3. ACTIVE INDEPENDENT OR OTHER PARTY
2922 4. NOT ACTIVE IN POLITICS

302 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0073 MOM'S POL ACTIVITY MD=GE 9
REF 0073 LOC 129 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 66

0

Q.14(B). <R'S> MOTHER <WAS/IS>:

<SEE Q.14 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

925 1. ACTIVE DEMOCRAT
676 2. ACTIVE REPUBLICAN
68 3. ACTIVE INDEPENDENT OR OTHER PARTY
3372 4. NOT ACTIVE IN POLITICS

365 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0074 SPOUSE'S POL ACTIVITY MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0074 LOC 130 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 67

0

Q.14(C). <R'S> SPOUSE <WAS/IS>:

<SEE Q.14 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1386 1. ACTIVE DEMOCRAT
1132 2. ACTIVE REPUBLICAN

1 60
- (CONTINUED)
- 109 3. ACTIVE INDEPENDENT OR OTHER PARTY
1672 4. NOT ACTIVE IN POLITICS

365 9. NA
742 0. INAP., NOT MARRIED

0 .....
0 VAR 0075 SPOUSE ATT TWD POL ACT MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0075 LOC 131 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 68

0

Q.15. (IF MARRIED) WHAT IS YOUR SPOUSE'S ATTITUDE TOWARD YOUR OWN POLITICAL ACTIVITY? IS HE/SHE:

2585 1. VERY MUCH IN FAVOR
853 2. SOMEWHAT IN FAVOR
614 3. MIXED FEELINGS
156 4. SOMEWHAT OPPOSED
44 5. VERY MUCH OPPOSED
114 6. NOT CONCERNED

71 9. NA
969 0. INAP., R IS NOT MARRIED

0 .....
0 VAR 0076 PTY 72 PRES RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0076 LOC 132 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 69

0

Q.16. WE ARE INTERESTED IN KNOWING THE REASONS FOR YOUR POLITICAL INVOLVEMENT OVER THE PAST THREE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION PERIODS. FOR EACH CAMPAIGN IN WHICH YOU WERE INVOLVED, PLEASE INDICATE HOW MUCH OF YOUR ACTIVITY WAS MOTIVATED BY COMMITMENTS TO THE PARTY, TO THE CANDIDATE, OR TO AN ISSUE POSITION OR SPECIAL GROUP. IF YOU WERE NOT AT ALL INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN, PLEASE INDICATE THIS IN THE FIRST COLUMN.

Q.16A1(A). <INVOLVED IN THE 1972 PRESIDENTIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WAS COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK:

1213 0. NOT INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN
2156 1. A LOT
1094 2. SOME

1

```
-           (CONTINUED)
-           201  3.  NONE
            697  7.  DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR PARTY.  (INFERRED FROM
                     DATA.  R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER
                     TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN
                     ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

             45  9.  NA
0           ..............................................................
0           VAR 0077     CND 72 PRES RSN INVL                MD=GE  9
           REF 0077       LOC  133 WIDTH  1          DK   2 COL 70


0
             Q.16A1(B).  <INVOLVED IN THE 1972 PRESIDENTIAL RACE BECAUSE>
             I WANTED TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE:
             ----------------------------------------------------------

             <SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

            1213  0.  NOT INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN
            2101  1.  A LOT
             745  2.  SOME
              76  3.  NONE
            1226  7.  DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR CANDIDATE  (INFERRED
                     FROM DATA.  R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE
                     OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN
                     ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

             45  9.  NA
0           ..............................................................
0           VAR 0078     ISS 72 PRES RSN INVL                MD=GE  9
           REF 0078       LOC  134 WIDTH  1          DK   2 COL 71


0
             Q.16A1(C).  <INVOLVED IN THE 1972 PRESIDENTIAL RACE BECAUSE>
             I WANTED TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR FOR SOME SPECIFIC GROUP:
             ----------------------------------------------------------

             <SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

            1213  0.  NOT INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN
             932  1.  A LOT
             562  2.  SOME
```

754 3. NONE
1900 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR SPECIFIC GROUP.

1 62
- (CONTINUED)
- (INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0079 PTY 72 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0079 LOC 135 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 72

0

Q.16A2(A). <INVOLVED IN THE 1972 SENATORIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WAS COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1515 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1116 1. A LOT
573 2. SOME
97 3. NONE
434 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR PARTY.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE.

1626 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE
45 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0080 CND 72 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0080 LOC 136 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 73

0

Q.16A2(B). <INVOLVED IN THE 1972 SENATORIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1515 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
942 1. A LOT
453 2. SOME
91 3. NONE
734 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR CANDIDATE.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE

1 63
- (CONTINUED)
- OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

1626 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE
45 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0081 ISS 72 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0081 LOC 137 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 74

0

Q.16A2(C). <INVOLVED IN THE 1972 SENATORIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR FOR SOME SPECIFIC GROUP:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1515 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
287 1. A LOT
264 2. SOME
462 3. NONE
1207 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR SPECIFIC GROUP.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

1626 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE
+
45 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0082 PTY 72 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0082 LOC 138 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 75

0

Q.16A3(A). <INVOLVED IN THE 1972 CONGRESSIONAL RACE BECAUSE> I WAS COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2421 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1464 1. A LOT
753 2. SOME
132 3. NONE
591 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR PARTY.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE

1 64
- (CONTINUED)
- OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE)>

45 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0083 CND 72 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0083 LOC 139 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 76

0

Q.16A3(B). <INVOLVED IN THE 1972 CONGRESSIONAL RACE> I WANTED TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2421 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1288 1. A LOT
612 2. SOME
136 3. NONE
904 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR CANDIDATE.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0084 ISS 72 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9

REF 0084 LOC 140 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 77

0

Q.16A3(C). <INVOLVED IN THE 1972 CONGRESSIONAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR FOR SOME SPECIFIC GROUP:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2421 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
417 1. A LOT
340 2. SOME
644 3. NONE
1539 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR SPECIFIC GROUP. (INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN

1 65
- (CONTINUED)
- CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0085 PTY 74 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0085 LOC 141 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 78

0

Q.16B1(A). <INVOLVED IN THE 1974 SENATORIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WAS COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1924 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1166 1. A LOT
592 2. SOME
85 3. NONE
477 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR PARTY. (INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

1117 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE
45 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0086 CND 74 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0086 LOC 142 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 79

0

Q.16B1(B). <INVOLVED IN THE 1974 SENATORIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1062 1. A LOT
1924 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
438 2. SOME
81 3. NONE
739 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR CANDIDATE. (INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

1 66
- (CONTINUED)
-

1117 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE
45 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0087 ISS 74 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0087 LOC 143 WIDTH 1 DK 2 COL 80

0

Q.16B1(C). <INVOLVED IN THE 1974 SENATORIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR FOR SOME SPECIFIC GROUP:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1924 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
333 1. A LOT
272 2. SOME
445 3. NONE

1270 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR SPECIFIC GROUP.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

1117 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE
45 9. NA

0

DECK IDENTIFICATION NUMBER IS '03' DK 3 COL 1- 2

.....

0 VAR 0001 ICPSR STUDY NO. 8367 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0001 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 3 COL 3- 6

0

ICPSR STUDY #

.....

0 VAR 0002 ICPSR EDITION NUMBER-1 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0002 LOC 5 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 7

0

ICPSR EDITION NUMBER
---

1 67
- (CONTINUED)
-

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THE RELEASE EDITION OF THIS DATASET.

1. WINTER 1986

0 .....

0 VAR 0003 ICPSR PART NUMBER-001 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0003 LOC 6 WIDTH 3 DK 3 COL 8-10

0

ICPSR PART NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THIS PART OF A TWO PART STUDY.

001. CROSS-SECTION

002. PANEL

0 .....

0 VAR 0004 ICPSR RESPONDENT ID NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0004 LOC 9 WIDTH 4 DK 3 COL 11-14

0

ICPSR RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

THE ICPSR HAS ASSIGNED A SEQUENTIAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER TO EACH RECORD. THIS NUMBER UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH CASE IN THE DATA COLLECTION.

.....

0 VAR 0088 PTY 74 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0088 LOC 144 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 15

0

Q.16B2(A). <INVOLVED IN THE 1974 CONGRESSIONAL RACE BECAUSE> I WAS COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2478 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1421 1. A LOT
719 2. SOME
107 3. NONE

1 68
- (CONTINUED)
- 636 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR PARTY.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0089 CND 74 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0089 LOC 145 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 16

0

Q.16B2(B). <INVOLVED IN THE 1974 CONGRESSIONAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2478 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1376 1. A LOT
543 2. SOME
115 3. NONE
849 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR CANDIDATE.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0090 ISS 74 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0090 LOC 146 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 17

0

Q.16B2(C). <INVOLVED IN THE 1974 CONGRESSIONAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR FOR SOME SPECIFIC GROUP:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2478 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
381 1. A LOT
331 2. SOME
590 3. NONE
1581 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR SPECIFIC GROUP.

1 69
- (CONTINUED)
- (INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0091 PTY 76 PRES RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0091 LOC 147 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 18

0

Q.16C1(A). <INVOLVED IN THE 1976 PRESIDENTIAL RACE BECAUSE>
I WAS COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

866 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
2428 1. A LOT
925 2. SOME
140 3. NONE
1002 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR PARTY.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0092 CND 76 PRES RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0092 LOC 148 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 19

0

Q.16C.1(B). <INVOLVED IN THE 1976 PRESIDENTIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

866 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
2658 1. A LOT
634 2. SOME
78 3. NONE
1125 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR CANDIDATE.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN

1 70
- (CONTINUED)
- CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0093 ISS 76 PRES RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0093 LOC 149 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 20

0

Q.16C1(C). <INVOLVED IN THE 1976 PRESIDENTIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR FOR SOME SPECIFIC GROUP:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

866 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
812 1. A LOT
503 2. SOME
798 3. NONE
2382 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR SPECIFIC GROUP. (INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0094 PTY 76 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0094 LOC 150 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 21

0

Q.16C2(A). <INVOLVED IN THE 1976 SENATORIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WAS COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2008 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1120 1. A LOT
566 2. SOME
86 3. NONE
489 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR PARTY. (INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

1 71
- (CONTINUED)
- 45 9. NA
1092 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE
0 .....
0 VAR 0095 CND 76 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0095 LOC 151 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 22

0

Q.16C2(B). <INVOLVED IN THE 1976 SENATORIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1009 1. A LOT
2008 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
464 2. SOME
107 3. NONE
681 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR CANDIDATE.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA
1092 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE
0 .....
0 VAR 0096 ISS 76 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0096 LOC 152 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 23

0

Q.16C2(C). <INVOLVED IN THE 1976 SENATORIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR FOR SOME SPECIFIC GROUP:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2008 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
343 1. A LOT
272 2. SOME
464 3. NONE
1182 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR SPECIFIC GROUP.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE

OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

1 72
- (CONTINUED)
- 45 9. NA
1092 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE
0 .....
0 VAR 0097 PTY 76 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0097 LOC 153 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 24

0

Q.16C3(A). <INVOLVED IN THE 1976 CONGRESSIONAL RACE BECAUSE> I WAS COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2168 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1599 1. A LOT
758 2. SOME
117 3. NONE
719 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR PARTY.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0098 CND 76 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0098 LOC 154 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 25

0

Q.16C3(B). <INVOLVED IN THE 1976 CONGRESSIONAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2168 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1539 1. A LOT

642 2. SOME
136 3. NONE
876 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR CANDIDATE.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

1 73
-
0 VAR 0099 ISS 76 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0099 LOC 155 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 26

0

Q.16C3(C). <INVOLVED IN THE 1976 CONGRESSIONAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR FOR SOME SPECIFIC GROUP:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2168 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
465 1. A LOT
362 2. SOME
659 3. NONE
1707 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR SPECIFIC GROUP.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0100 PTY 78 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0100 LOC 156 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 27

0

Q.16D1(A). <INVOLVED IN THE 1978 SENATORIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WAS COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1241 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1230 1. A LOT
474 2. SOME
81 3. NONE
490 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR PARTY.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA
1845 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE

0
1 74
-
0 VAR 0101 CND 78 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0101 LOC 157 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 28

0

Q.16D1(B). <INVOLVED IN THE 1978 SENATORIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1241 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1103 1. A LOT
403 2. SOME
83 3. NONE
686 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR CANDIDATE.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA
1845 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE
0 .....
0 VAR 0102 ISS 78 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0102 LOC 158 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 29

0

Q.16D1(C). <INVOLVED IN THE 1978 SENATORIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR FOR SOME SPECIFIC GROUP:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1241 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
372 1. A LOT
242 2. SOME
439 3. NONE
1222 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR SPECIFIC GROUP.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

1845 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE
45 9. NA

0
1 75
-
0 VAR 0103 PTY 78 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0103 LOC 159 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 30

0

Q.16D2(A). <INVOLVED IN THE 1978 CONGRESSIONAL RACE BECAUSE> I WAS COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2259 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1595 1. A LOT
663 2. SOME
128 3. NONE
716 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR PARTY.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN RACE.

45 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0104 CND 78 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0104 LOC 160 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 31

0

Q.16D2(B). <INVOLVED IN THE 1978 CONGRESSIONAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2259 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1540 1. A LOT
605 2. SOME
134 3. NONE
823 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR CANDIDATE. (INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

0
1 76
-
0 VAR 0105 ISS 78 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0105 LOC 161 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 32

0

Q.16D2(C). <INVOLVED IN THE 1978 CONGRESSIONAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR FOR SOME SPECIFIC GROUP:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2259 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
459 1. A LOT
343 2. SOME
632 3. NONE
1668 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR SPECIFIC GROUP. (INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0106 PTY 80 PRES RSN INVL MD=GE 9

REF 0106 LOC 162 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 33

0

Q.16E1(A). <INVOLVED IN THE 1980 PRESIDENTIAL RACE BECAUSE>
I WAS COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

859 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
2433 1. A LOT
833 2. SOME
179 3. NONE
1057 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR PARTY.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

0

1 77

-

0 VAR 0107 CND 80 PRES RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0107 LOC 163 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 34

0

Q.16E1(B). <INVOLVED IN THE 1980 PRESIDENTIAL RACE BECAUSE>
I WANTED TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

859 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
2850 1. A LOT
520 2. SOME
87 3. NONE
1045 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR CANDIDATE.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0108 ISS 80 PRES RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0108 LOC 164 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 35

0

Q.16E1(C). <INVOLVED IN THE 1980 PRESIDENTIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR FOR SOME SPECIFIC GROUP:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

859 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
998 1. A LOT
451 2. SOME
697 3. NONE
2356 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR SPECIFIC GROUP. (INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

0
1 78
-
0 VAR 0109 PTY 80 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0109 LOC 165 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 36

0

Q.16E2(A). <INVOLVED IN THE 1980 SENATORIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WAS COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1362 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1326 1. A LOT
586 2. SOME
137 3. NONE
619 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR PARTY (INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

1331 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE
45 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0110 CND 80 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0110 LOC 166 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 37

0

Q.16E2(B). <INVOLVED IN THE 1980 SENATORIAL RACE> I WANTED TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1362 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1367 1. A LOT
472 2. SOME
106 3. NONE
723 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR CANDIDATE.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

1331 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE
45 9. NA

0
1 79
-

0 VAR 0111 ISS 80 SEN RSN INVL MD=8 OR GE 9
REF 0111 LOC 167 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 38

0

Q.16E2(C). <INVOLVED IN THE 1980 SENATORIAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR FOR SOME SPECIFIC GROUP:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1362 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
488 1. A LOT
287 2. SOME
479 3. NONE
1414 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR SPECIFIC GROUP.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE

OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

1331 8. INAP., NO SENATE RACE
45 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0112 PTY 80 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0112 LOC 168 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 39

0

Q.16E3(A). <INVOLVED IN THE 1980 CONGRESSIONAL RACE BECAUSE> I WAS COMMITTED TO PARTY WORK:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2014 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1709 1. A LOT
704 2. SOME
159 3. NONE
775 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR PARTY.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA
0
1 80
-
0 VAR 0113 CND 80 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0113 LOC 169 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 40

0

Q.16E3(B). <INVOLVED IN THE 1980 CONGRESSIONAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO HELP A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2014 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
1732 1. A LOT
591 2. SOME
169 3. NONE

855 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR CANDIDATE.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0114 ISS 80 CONG RSN INVL MD=GE 9
REF 0114 LOC 170 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 41

0

Q.16E3(C). <INVOLVED IN THE 1980 CONGRESSIONAL RACE BECAUSE> I WANTED TO WORK FOR AN ISSUE OR FOR SOME SPECIFIC GROUP:

<SEE Q.16 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2014 0. NOT INVOLVED IN THE CAMPAIGN
550 1. A LOT
358 2. SOME
652 3. NONE
1787 7. DID NOT WORK IN CAMPAIGN FOR SPECIFIC GROUP.
(INFERRED FROM DATA. R INDICATED THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE OTHER TWO FACTORS WAS A MOTIVATING FORCE IN CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY IN THAT PARTICULAR RACE).

45 9. NA

0
1 81
-
0 VAR 0115 72-75 STWD CMPN MD=GE 9
REF 0115 LOC 171 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 42

0

Q.17. AND WHAT ABOUT CAMPAIGNING AT THE STATE LEVEL? HOW MUCH DID YOU CAMPAIGN FOR THE CANDIDATES SEEKING THE FOLLOWING OFFICES?

Q.17(A1). <CAMPAIGN EFFORT FOR> 1972-1975: STATEWIDE ELECTIVE OFFICES

2374 1. A LOT
1614 2. SOME
722 3. NONE

696 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0116 72-75 LEGISL CMPN MD=GE 9
REF 0116 LOC 172 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 43

0
Q.17(A2). <CAMPAIGN EFFORT FOR> 1972-1975: STATE LEGISLATIVE OFFICES

<SEE Q.17 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2380 1. A LOT
1508 2. SOME
733 3. NONE

785 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0117 72-75 COUNTY CMPN MD=GE 9
REF 0117 LOC 173 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 44

0
Q.17(A3). <CAMPAIGN EFFORT FOR> 1972-1975: COUNTY OFFICES

<SEE Q.17 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1726 1. A LOT

1 82
- (CONTINUED)
- 1482 2. SOME
1173 3. NONE

1025 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0118 72-75 MUNICL CMPN MD=GE 9

REF 0118 LOC 174 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 45

0

Q.17(A4). <CAMPAIGN EFFORT FOR> 1972-1975: MUNICIPAL AND OTHER LOCAL OFFICES

<SEE Q.17 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1602 1. A LOT
1313 2. SOME
1447 3. NONE

1044 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0119 76-79 STWD CMPN MD=GE 9
REF 0119 LOC 175 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 46

0

Q.17(B1). <CAMPAIGN EFFORT FOR> 1976-1979: STATEWIDE ELECTIVE OFFICES

<SEE Q.17 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2895 1. A LOT
1410 2. SOME
476 3. NONE

625 9. NA

0

1 83

-

0 VAR 0120 76-79 LEGISL CMPN MD=GE 9
REF 0120 LOC 176 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 47

0

Q.17(B2). <CAMPAIGN EFFORT FOR> 1976-1979: STATE LEGISLATIVE OFFICES

<SEE Q.17 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2777 1. A LOT
1444 2. SOME
528 3. NONE

657 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0121 76-79 COUNTY CMPN MD=GE 9
REF 0121 LOC 177 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 48

0

Q.17(B3). <CAMPAIGN EFFORT FOR> 1976-1979: COUNTY OFFICES

<SEE Q.17 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1917 1. A LOT
1519 2. SOME
1029 3. NONE

941 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0122 76-79 MUNICL CMPN MD=GE 9
REF 0122 LOC 178 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 49

0

Q.17(B4). <CAMPAIGN EFFORT FOR> 1976-1979: MUNICIPAL AND OTHER LOCAL OFFICES

<SEE Q.17 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1754 1. A LOT
1385 2. SOME
1286 3. NONE

1 84
- (CONTINUED)
-

981 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0123 80 STWD CMPN MD=GE 9

REF 0123 LOC 179 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 50

0

Q.17(C1). <CAMPAIGN EFFORT FOR> 1980-PRESENT: STATEWIDE ELECTIVE OFFICES

<SEE Q.17 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2457 1. A LOT
1226 2. SOME
964 3. NONE

759 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0124 80 LEGISL CMPN MD=GE 9
REF 0124 LOC 180 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 51

0

Q.17(C2). <CAMPAIGN EFFORT FOR> 1980-PRESENT: STATE LEGISLATIVE OFFICES

<SEE Q.17 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2559 1. A LOT
1347 2. SOME
819 3. NONE

681 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0125 80 COUNTY CMPN MD=GE 9
REF 0125 LOC 181 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 52

0

Q.17(C3). <CAMPAIGN EFFORT FOR> 1980-PRESENT: COUNTY OFFICES

---

1 85
- (CONTINUED)
-

<SEE Q.17 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1768 1. A LOT
1380 2. SOME
1314 3. NONE

944 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0126 80 MUNICL CMPN MD=GE 9
REF 0126 LOC 182 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 53

0

Q.17(C4). <CAMPAIGN EFFORT FOR> 1980-PRESENT: MUNICIPAL AND OTHER LOCAL OFFICES

<SEE Q.17 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1425 1. A LOT
1275 2. SOME
1659 3. NONE

1047 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0127 72-75 ST PTY ACT MD=GE 9
REF 0127 LOC 183 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 54

0

Q.18. APART FROM ELECTION CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES, HOW MUCH OF YOUR POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS BEEN IN CONNECTION WITH THE STATE PARTY OR LOCAL PARTY DURING THE PAST FEW YEARS?

Q.18A1. <HOW MUCH> 1972-1975 STATE PARTY <ACTIVITY>

1885 1. A LOT
1541 2. SOME
1171 3. NONE

809 9. NA
0
1 86
-

```
0          VAR 0128      72-75 LOC PTY ACT                  MD=GE  9
          REF 0128        LOC  184 WIDTH  1          DK   3 COL 55

0
            Q.18A2.  <HOW MUCH> 1972-1975 LOCAL PARTY <ACTIVITY>
            ---------------------------------------------------

            <SEE Q.18 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

           2657  1.  A LOT
           1312  2.  SOME
            708  3.  NONE

            729  9.  NA
0          ..............................................................
0          VAR 0129      76-79 ST PTY ACT                   MD=GE  9
          REF 0129        LOC  185 WIDTH  1          DK   3 COL 56

0
            Q.18B1.  <HOW MUCH> 1976-1979 STATE PARTY <ACTIVITY>
            ---------------------------------------------------

            <SEE Q.18 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

           2270  1.  A LOT
           1646  2.  SOME
            827  3.  NONE

            663  9.  NA
0          ..............................................................
0          VAR 0130      76-79 LOC PTY ACT                  MD=GE  9
          REF 0130        LOC  186 WIDTH  1          DK   3 COL 57

0
            Q.18B2.  <HOW MUCH> 1976-1979 LOCAL PARTY <ACTIVITY>
            ---------------------------------------------------

            <SEE Q.18 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

           2883  1.  A LOT
           1331  2.  SOME
            568  3.  NONE
```

624 9. NA

1 87
-
0 VAR 0131 80 ST PTY ACT MD=GE 9
REF 0131 LOC 187 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 58

0

Q.18C1. <HOW MUCH> 1980-PRESENT STATE PARTY <ACTIVITY>

2273 1. A LOT
1465 2. SOME
1069 3. NONE

599 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0132 80 LOC PTY ACT MD=GE 9
REF 0132 LOC 188 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 59

0

Q.18C2. <HOW MUCH> 1980-PRESENT LOCAL PARTY <ACTIVITY>

<SEE Q.18 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2738 1. A LOT
1303 2. SOME
799 3. NONE

566 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0133 P72 SEN-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0133 LOC 189 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 60

0

Q.19. FOR THE FOLLOWING PUBLIC POSITIONS, MARK ALL THOSE WHICH YOU SOUGHT BUT DID NOT OBTAIN AS WELL AS THOSE WHICH YOU HELD AND THOSE WHICH YOU PRESENTLY HOLD.

Q.19A(1). PRE-1972: U.S. SENATOR

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 133 THROUGH 139 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 488.

1 88
- (CONTINUED)
-

15 1. POSITION HELD
19 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5046 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
39 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0134 P72 REP-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0134 LOC 190 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 61

0

Q.19A(2). PRE-1972: U.S. REPRESENTATIVE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 133 THROUGH 139 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 488.

47 1. POSITION HELD
95 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4856 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
121 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0135 P72 CNG STF-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9

REF 0135 LOC 191 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 62

0

Q.19A(3). PRE-1972: CONGRESSIONAL STAFF

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 133 THROUGH 139 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING

1 89
- (CONTINUED)
- OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 488.

124 1. POSITION HELD
13 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4790 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
192 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0136 P72 CAB/AMB-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0136 LOC 192 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 63

0

Q.19A(4). PRE-1972: CABINET MEMBER/AMBASSADOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 133 THROUGH 139 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 488.

7 1. POSITION HELD
4 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED

5089 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
19 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0137 P72 JUDGE,FED-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0137 LOC 193 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 64

0

Q.19A(5). PRE-1972: FEDERAL JUDICIAL POSITION

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES

1 90
- (CONTINUED)
- 133 THROUGH 139 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 488.

5 1. POSITION HELD
7 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5061 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
46 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0138 P72 ADM,FED-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0138 LOC 194 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 65

0

Q.19A(6). PRE-1972: FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES

133 THROUGH 139 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 488.

51 1. POSITION HELD
14 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4928 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
126 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0139 P72 NAT OFF,OTH-PB PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0139 LOC 195 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 66

0

Q.19A(7). PRE-1972: OTHER NATIONAL OFFICE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1 91
- (CONTINUED)
-

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 133 THROUGH 139 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 488.

15 1. POSITION HELD
1 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5062 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
41 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0140 P72 GOV/LT GOV-PB PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0140 LOC 196 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 67

0

Q.19A(8). PRE-1972: GOVERNOR/LT. GOVERNOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 140 THROUGH 144 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 489.

42 1. POSITION HELD
40 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4951 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
86 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0141 P72 LEG,ST-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0141 LOC 197 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 68

0

Q.19A(9). PRE-1972: STATE LEGISLATOR
---

1 92
- (CONTINUED)
-

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 140 THROUGH 144 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 489.

366 1. POSITION HELD
228 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4004 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
521 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0142 P72 JUDGE,ST-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0142 LOC 198 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 69

0

Q.19A(10). PRE-1972: STATE JUDICIAL POSITION

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 140 THROUGH 144 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 489.

13 1. POSITION HELD
9 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5045 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
52 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 93
-
0 VAR 0143 P72 ADM,ST-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0143 LOC 199 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 70

0

Q.19A(11). PRE-1972: STATE ADMINISTRATIVE POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 140 THROUGH 144 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 489.

134 1. POSITION HELD
8 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4750 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
227 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0144 P72 ST OFF,OTH-PB PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0144 LOC 200 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 71

0

Q.19A(12). PRE-1972: OTHER STATE OFFICE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 140 THROUGH 144 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 489.

99 1. POSITION HELD
20 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4836 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
164 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 94
-
0 VAR 0145 P72 MAYOR-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0145 LOC 201 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 72

0

Q.19A(13). PRE-1972: MAYOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 145 THROUGH 150 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 490.

102 1. POSITION HELD
29 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4879 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
109 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0146 P72 CNCL/COM-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0146 LOC 202 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 73

0

Q.19A(14). PRE-1972: COUNCIL MEMBER/COMMISSIONER

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 145 THROUGH 150 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 490.

279 1. POSITION HELD
115 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4300 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
425 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 95
-
0 VAR 0147 P72 ELC,OTH LOC-PB PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0147 LOC 203 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 74

0

Q.19A(15). PRE-1972: OTHER LOCAL ELECTED POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 145 THROUGH 150 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 490.

377 1. POSITION HELD
99 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4226 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
417 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0148 P72 JUD,LOC-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0148 LOC 204 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 75

0

Q.19A(16). PRE-1972: LOCAL JUDICIAL POSITION

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 145 THROUGH 150 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 490.

52 1. POSITION HELD
9 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5010 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
48 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0

1 96

-

0 VAR 0149 P72 ADM,LOC-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0149 LOC 205 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 76

0

Q.19A(17). PRE-1972: LOCAL ADMINISTRATIVE POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 145 THROUGH 150 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 490.

150 1. POSITION HELD
8 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4805 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
156 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0150 P72 LOC OTH-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0150 LOC 206 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 77

0

Q.19A(18). PRE-1972: OTHER LOCAL OFFICE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 145 THROUGH 150 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 490.

221 1. POSITION HELD
32 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4631 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
235 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 97
-
0 VAR 0151 72-75 SEN-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0151 LOC 207 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 78

0

Q.19B(1). 1972-1975: U.S. SENATOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 151 THROUGH 157 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 491.

17 1. POSITION HELD
15 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5046 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
41 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0152 72-75 REP-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0152 LOC 208 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 79

0

Q.19B(2). 1972-1975: U.S. REPRESENTATIVE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES
151 THROUGH 157 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL
SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING
OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975,
SEE VARIABLE 491.

47 1. POSITION HELD
47 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4856 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
169 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT
NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 98
-
0 VAR 0153 72-75 CNG STF-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0153 LOC 209 WIDTH 1 DK 3 COL 80

0

Q.19B(3). 1972-1975: CONGRESSIONAL STAFF

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES
151 THROUGH 157 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL
SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING
OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975,
SEE VARIABLE 491.

89 1. POSITION HELD
33 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4790 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
207 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT
NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
DECK IDENTIFICATION NUMBER IS '04' DK 4 COL 1- 2

.....
0 VAR 0001 ICPSR STUDY NO. 8367 NO MISSING DATA CODES

REF 0001 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 4 COL 3- 6

0

ICPSR STUDY #

.....

0 VAR 0002 ICPSR EDITION NUMBER-1 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0002 LOC 5 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 7

0

ICPSR EDITION NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THE RELEASE EDITION OF THIS DATASET.

1. WINTER 1986

0

1 99

-

0 VAR 0003 ICPSR PART NUMBER-001 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0003 LOC 6 WIDTH 3 DK 4 COL 8-10

0

ICPSR PART NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THIS PART OF A TWO PART STUDY.

001. CROSS-SECTION
002. PANEL

0 .....

0 VAR 0004 ICPSR RESPONDENT ID NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0004 LOC 9 WIDTH 4 DK 4 COL 11-14

0

ICPSR RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

THE ICPSR HAS ASSIGNED A SEQUENTIAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER TO EACH RECORD. THIS NUMBER UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH CASE IN THE DATA COLLECTION.

.....

0 VAR 0154 72-75 CAB/AMB-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0154 LOC 210 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 15

0

Q.19B(4). 1972-1975: CABINET MEMBER/AMBASSADOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 151 THROUGH 157 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 491.

4 1. POSITION HELD
3 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5089 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
23 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

1 100
-
0 VAR 0155 72-75 JUD,FED-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0155 LOC 211 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 16

0

Q.19B(5). 1972-1975: FEDERAL JUDICIAL POSITION

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 151 THROUGH 157 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 491.

4 1. POSITION HELD
6 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED

5061 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
48 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0156 72-75 ADM,FED-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0156 LOC 212 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 17

0

Q.19B(6). 1972-1975: FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 151 THROUGH 157 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 491.

30 1. POSITION HELD
14 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4928 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
147 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 101
-
0 VAR 0157 72-75 NAT OFF,OTH-PB PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0157 LOC 213 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 18

0

Q.19B(7). 1972-1975: OTHER NATIONAL OFFICE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 151 THROUGH 157 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL

SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 491.

13 1. POSITION HELD
2 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5062 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
42 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0158 72-75 GOV/LT GOV-PB PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0158 LOC 214 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 19

0

Q.19B(8). 1972-1975: GOVERNOR/LT. GOVERNOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 158 THROUGH 162 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 492.

31 1. POSITION HELD
34 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4951 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
103 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 102
-
0 VAR 0159 72-75 LEG,ST-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0159 LOC 215 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 20

0

Q.19B(9). 1972-1975: STATE LEGISLATOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 158 THROUGH 162 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 492.

342 1. POSITION HELD
183 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4004 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
590 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0160 72-75 JUD,ST-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0160 LOC 216 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 21

0

Q.19B(10) 1972-1975: STATE JUDICIAL POSITION

<SEE Q.19. FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 158 THROUGH 162 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 492.

13 1. POSITION HELD
5 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5045 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
56 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1
-

0 VAR 0161 72-75 ADM,ST-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0161 LOC 217 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 22

0

Q.19B(11). 1972-1975: STATE ADMINISTRATIVE POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 158 THROUGH 162 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 492.

138 1. POSITION HELD
17 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4750 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
214 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0162 72-75 ST OFF,OTH-PB PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0162 LOC 218 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 23

0

Q.19B(12). 1972-1975: OTHER STATE OFFICE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 158 THROUGH 162 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 492.

92 1. POSITION HELD
19 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4836 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
172 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT

NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0

1 104

-

0 VAR 0163 72-75 MAYOR-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0163 LOC 219 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 24

0

Q.19B(13). 1972-1975: MAYOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 163 THROUGH 168 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 493.

67 1. POSITION HELD
21 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4879 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
152 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0164 72-75 CNCL/COM-PB PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0164 LOC 220 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 25

0

Q.19B(14). 1972-1975: COUNCIL MEMBER/COMMISSIONER

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 163 THROUGH 168 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975,

SEE VARIABLE 493.

253 1. POSITION HELD
84 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4300 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
482 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 105
-
0 VAR 0165 72-75 ELEC,OTH LOC-PB PN MD=GE 9
REF 0165 LOC 221 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 26

0

Q.19B(15). 1972-1975: OTHER LOCAL ELECTED POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 163 THROUGH 168 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 493.

327 1. POSITION HELD
77 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4226 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
489 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0166 72-75 JUD,LOC-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0166 LOC 222 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 27

0

Q.19B(16). 1972-1975: LOCAL JUDICIAL POSITION

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 163 THROUGH 168 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 493.

24 1. POSITION HELD
6 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5010 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
79 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 106
-
0 VAR 0167 72-75 ADM,LOC-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0167 LOC 223 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 28

0

Q.19B(17). 1972-1975: LOCAL ADMINISTRATIVE POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 163 THROUGH 168 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 493.

137 1. POSITION HELD
7 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4805 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
170 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0168 72-75 LOC OTH-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0168 LOC 224 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 29

0

Q.19B(18). 1972-1975: OTHER LOCAL OFFICE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 163 THROUGH 168 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 493.

185 1. POSITION HELD
14 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4631 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
289 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 107
-
0 VAR 0169 76-79 SEN-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0169 LOC 225 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 30

0

Q.19C(1). 1976-1979: U.S. SENATOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 169 THROUGH 175 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 494.

16 1. POSITION HELD
18 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5046 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
39 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT

NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0170 76-79 REP-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0170 LOC 226 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 31

0

Q.19C(2). 1976-1979: U.S. REPRESENTATIVE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 169 THROUGH 175 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 494.

46 1. POSITION HELD
60 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4856 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
157 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0

1 108

-

0 VAR 0171 76-79 CNG STF-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0171 LOC 227 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 32

0

Q.19C(3). 1976-1979: CONGRESSIONAL STAFF

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 169 THROUGH 175 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979,

SEE VARIABLE 494.

91 1. POSITION HELD
38 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4790 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
200 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0172 76-79 CAB/AMB-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0172 LOC 228 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 33

0

Q.19C(4). 1976-1979: CABINET MEMBER/AMBASSADOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 169 THROUGH 175 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 494.

8 1. POSITION HELD
2 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5089 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
20 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 109
-
0 VAR 0173 76-79 JUD,FED-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0173 LOC 229 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 34

0

Q.19C(5). 1976-1979: FEDERAL JUDICIAL POSITION

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 169 THROUGH 175 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 494.

8 1. POSITION HELD
28 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5061 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
22 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0174 76-79 ADM,FED-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0174 LOC 230 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 35

0

Q.19C(6). 1976-1979: FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 169 THROUGH 175 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 494.

42 1. POSITION HELD
35 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4928 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
114 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 110
-
0 VAR 0175 76-79 NAT OFF,OTH-PB PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0175 LOC 231 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 36

0

Q.19C(7). 1976-1979: OTHER NATIONAL OFFICE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 169 THROUGH 175 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 494.

18 1. POSITION HELD
10 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5062 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
29 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0176 76-79 GOV/LT GOV-PB PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0176 LOC 232 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 37

0

Q.19C(8). 1976-1979: GOVERNOR/LT. GOVERNOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 176 THROUGH 180 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 495.

31 1. POSITION HELD
34 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4951 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
103 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0

1 111

-

0 VAR 0177 76-79 LEG,ST-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0177 LOC 233 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 38

0

Q.19C(9). 1976-1979: STATE LEGISLATOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 176 THROUGH 180 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 495.

353 1. POSITION HELD
171 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4004 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
591 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0178 76-79 JUD,ST-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0178 LOC 234 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 39

0

Q.19C(10). 1976-1979: STATE JUDICIAL POSITION

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 176 THROUGH 180 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 495.

24 1. POSITION HELD
11 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5045 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
39 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 112
-
0 VAR 0179 76-79 ADM,ST-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0179 LOC 235 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 40

0

Q.19C(11). 1976-1979: STATE ADMINISTRATIVE POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 176 THROUGH 180 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 495.

152 1. POSITION HELD
32 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4750 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
185 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0180 76-79 ST OFF,OTH-PB PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0180 LOC 236 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 41

0

Q.19C(12). 1976-1979: OTHER STATE OFFICE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 176 THROUGH 180 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1980, SEE VARIABLE 495.

110 1. POSITION HELD
26 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4836 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
147 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 113
-
0 VAR 0181 76-79 MAYOR-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0181 LOC 237 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 42

0

Q.19C(13). 1976-1979: MAYOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 181 THROUGH 186 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1980, SEE VARIABLE 496.

61 1. POSITION HELD
21 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4879 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
158 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0182 76-79 CNCL/COM-PB PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0182 LOC 238 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 43

0

Q.19C(14). 1976-1979: COUNCIL MEMBER/COMMISSIONER

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 181 THROUGH 186 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1980, SEE VARIABLE 496.

287 1. POSITION HELD
84 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4300 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
448 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 114
-
0 VAR 0183 76-79 ELEC,OTH LOC-PB PN MD=GE 9
REF 0183 LOC 239 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 44

0

Q.19C(15). 1976-1979: OTHER LOCAL ELECTED POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 181 THROUGH 186 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1980, SEE VARIABLE 496.

345 1. POSITION HELD
74 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4226 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
474 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0184 76-79 JUD,LOC-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0184 LOC 240 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 45

0

Q.19C(16). 1976-1979: LOCAL JUDICIAL POSITION

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 181 THROUGH 186 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1980, SEE VARIABLE 496.

27 1. POSITION HELD
17 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5010 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
65 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 115
-
0 VAR 0185 76-79 ADM,LOC-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0185 LOC 241 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 46

0

Q.19C(17). 1976-1979: LOCAL ADMINISTRATIVE POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 181 THROUGH 186 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1980, SEE VARIABLE 496.

155 1. POSITION HELD
18 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4805 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
141 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0186 76-79 LOC OTH-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0186 LOC 242 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 47

0

Q.19C(18). 1976-1979: OTHER LOCAL OFFICE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 181 THROUGH 186 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1980, SEE VARIABLE 496.

211 1. POSITION HELD
29 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4631 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
248 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 116
-
0 VAR 0187 80 SEN-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0187 LOC 243 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 48

0

Q.19D(1). 1980-PRESENT: U.S. SENATOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 187 THROUGH 193 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 497.

9 1. POSITION HELD
9 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5046 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
55 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0188 80 REP-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0188 LOC 244 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 49

0

Q.19D(2). 1980-PRESENT: U.S. REPRESENTATIVE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 187 THROUGH 193 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 497.

44 1. POSITION HELD
12 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4856 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
207 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 117
-
0 VAR 0189 80 CNG STF-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0189 LOC 245 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 50

0

Q.19D(3). 1980-PRESENT: CONGRESSIONAL STAFF

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 187 THROUGH 193 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 497.

52 1. POSITION HELD
17 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4790 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
260 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0190 80 CAB/AMB-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0190 LOC 246 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 51

0

Q.19D(4). 1980-PRESENT: CABINET MEMBER/AMBASSADOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 187 THROUGH 193 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 497.

3 1. POSITION HELD
7 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5090 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
19 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0

1 118
-
0 VAR 0191 80 JUD,FED-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0191 LOC 247 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 52

0

Q.19D(5). 1980-PRESENT: FEDERAL JUDICIAL POSITION

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 187 THROUGH 193 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 497.

8 1. POSITION HELD
8 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5061 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
42 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0192 80 ADM,FED-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0192 LOC 248 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 53

0

Q.19D(6). 1980-PRESENT: FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 187 THROUGH 193 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 497.

36 1. POSITION HELD
29 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED

4928 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
126 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 119
-
0 VAR 0193 80 NAT OFF,OTH-PB PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0193 LOC 249 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 54

0

Q.19D(7). 1980-PRESENT: OTHER NATIONAL OFFICE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 187 THROUGH 193 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 497.

14 1. POSITION HELD
8 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5062 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
35 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0194 80 GOV/LT GOV-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0194 LOC 250 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 55

0

Q.19D(8). 1980-PRESENT: GOVERNOR/LT. GOVERNOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 194 THROUGH 198 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL

SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 498.

23 1. POSITION HELD
8 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4951 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
137 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 120
-
0 VAR 0195 80 LEG,ST-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0195 LOC 251 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 56

0

Q.19D(9). 1980-PRESENT: STATE LEGISLATOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 194 THROUGH 198 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 498.

261 1. POSITION HELD
85 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4004 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
769 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0196 80 JUD,ST-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0196 LOC 252 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 57

0

Q.19D(10). 1980-PRESENT: STATE JUDICIAL POSITION

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 194 THROUGH 198 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 498.

20 1. POSITION HELD
11 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5045 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
43 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 121
-
0 VAR 0197 80 ADM,ST-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0197 LOC 253 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 58

0

Q.19D(11). 1980-PRESENT: STATE ADMINISTRATIVE POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 194 THROUGH 198 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 498.

109 1. POSITION HELD
21 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4750 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
239 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA
0 .....

0 VAR 0198 80 ST OFF,OTH-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0198 LOC 254 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 59

0

Q.19D(12). 1980-PRESENT: OTHER STATE OFFICE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 194 THROUGH 198 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 498.

108 1. POSITION HELD
12 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4836 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
163 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 122
-
0 VAR 0199 80 MAYOR-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0199 LOC 255 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 60

0

Q.19D(13). 1980-PRESENT: MAYOR

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 199 THROUGH 204 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 499.

75 1. POSITION HELD
7 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED

4879 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
158 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0200 80 CNCL/COM-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0200 LOC 256 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 61

0

Q.19D(14). 1980-PRESENT: COUNCIL MEMBER/COMMISSIONER

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 199 THROUGH 204 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 499.

210 1. POSITION HELD
27 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4300 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
582 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 123
-
0 VAR 0201 80 ELECT,OTH LOC-PB PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0201 LOC 257 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 62

0

Q.19D(15). 1980-PRESENT: OTHER LOCAL ELECTED POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 199 THROUGH 204 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL

SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 499.

311 1. POSITION HELD
32 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4226 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
550 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0202 80 JUD,LOC-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0202 LOC 258 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 63

0

Q.19D(16). 1980-PRESENT: LOCAL JUDICIAL POSITION

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 199 THROUGH 204 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 499.

22 1. POSITION HELD
5 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5010 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
82 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 124
-
0 VAR 0203 80 ADM,LOC-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0203 LOC 259 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 64

0

Q.19D(17). 1980-PRESENT: LOCAL ADMINISTRATIVE POST

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 199 THROUGH 204 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 499.

130 1. POSITION HELD
12 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4805 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
172 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0204 80 LOC,OTH-PB PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0204 LOC 260 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 65

0

Q.19D(18). 1980-PRESENT: OTHER LOCAL OFFICE

<SEE Q.19 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 199 THROUGH 204 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING OFFICE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 499.

213 1. POSITION HELD
16 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4631 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
259 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0
1 125
-

0 VAR 0205 P72 STF NAT PTY-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0205 LOC 261 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 66

0

Q.20. FOR THE FOLLOWING PARTY POSITIONS, MARK ALL THOSE WHICH YOU SOUGHT BUT DID NOT OBTAIN AS WELL AS THOSE WHICH YOU HELD AND THOSE WHICH YOU PRESENTLY HOLD.

Q.20A(1). PRE-1972: NATIONAL PARTY STAFF

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 205 THROUGH 208 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 500.

44 1. POSITION HELD
4 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5090 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
54 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0206 P72 PRES CMP STF-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0206 LOC 262 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 67

0

Q.20A(2). PRE-1972: PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN STAFF

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 205 THROUGH 208 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 500.

172 1. POSITION HELD
9 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED

4509 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
502 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

1 126
-
0 VAR 0207 P72 NAT COM-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0207 LOC 263 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 68

0

Q.20A(3). PRE-1972: NATIONAL COMMITTEEMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 205 THROUGH 208 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 500.

91 1. POSITION HELD
33 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4838 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
230 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0208 P72 OTH NAT PTY-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0208 LOC 264 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 69

0

Q.20A(4). PRE-1972: OTHER NATIONAL ROLE

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 205 THROUGH 208 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL

SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 500.

94 1. POSITION HELD
2 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4874 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
222 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 127
-
0 VAR 0209 P72 CHR ST PTY-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0209 LOC 265 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 70

0

Q.20A(5). PRE-1972: STATE PARTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 209 THROUGH 212 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 501.

90 1. POSITION HELD
15 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4892 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
195 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0210 P72 ST CNT COM-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0210 LOC 266 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 71

0

Q.20A(6). PRE-1972: STATE CENTRAL COMMITTEE

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 209 THROUGH 212 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 501.

837 1. POSITION HELD
28 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3261 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
1066 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 128
-
0 VAR 0211 P72 CMPN,ST DIR-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0211 LOC 267 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 72

0

Q.20A(7). PRE-1972: STATE CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 209 THROUGH 212 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 501.

146 1. POSITION HELD
3 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4731 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
312 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0212 P72 OTH ST-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0212 LOC 268 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 73

0

Q.20A(8). PRE-1972: OTHER STATE POSITIONS

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 209 THROUGH 212 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 501.

400 1. POSITION HELD
8 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4217 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
567 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 129
-
0 VAR 0213 P72 CHR,DIST-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0213 LOC 269 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 74

0

Q.20A(9). PRE-1972: DISTRICT CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 213 THROUGH 220 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 502.

398 1. POSITION HELD
15 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED

4291 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
488 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0214 P72 CMPN,DIST DIR-PTY PS MD=GE 9
REF 0214 LOC 270 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 75

0

Q.20A(10). PRE-1972: DISTRICT CAMPAIGN MANAGER

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 213 THROUGH 220 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 502.

420 1. POSITION HELD
4 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4171 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
597 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 130
-
0 VAR 0215 P72 CHR,CO-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0215 LOC 271 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 76

0

Q.20A(11). PRE-1972: COUNTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 213 THROUGH 220 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL

SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 502.

504 1. POSITION HELD
25 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4058 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
605 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0216 P72 CO COM-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0216 LOC 272 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 77

0

Q.20A(12). PRE-1972: COUNTY BOARD/COMMITTEE

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 213 THROUGH 220 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 502.

1011 1. POSITION HELD
13 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3329 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
839 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 131
-
0 VAR 0217 P72 CMPN,CO DIR-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0217 LOC 273 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 78

0

Q.20A(13). PRE-1972: COUNTY CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 213 THROUGH 220 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 502.

548 1. POSITION HELD
3 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3880 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
761 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0218 P72 OTH CO-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0218 LOC 274 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 79

0

Q.20A(14). PRE-1972: OTHER COUNTY POSITION

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 213 THROUGH 220 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 502.

614 1. POSITION HELD
10 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4000 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
568 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 132
-

0 VAR 0219 P72 CTY CHR-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0219 LOC 275 WIDTH 1 DK 4 COL 80

0

Q.20A(15). PRE-1972: CITY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 213 THROUGH 220 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 502.

287 1. POSITION HELD
3 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4693 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
209 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0

DECK IDENTIFICATION NUMBER IS '05' DK 5 COL 1- 2

.....

0 VAR 0001 ICPSR STUDY NO. 8367 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0001 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 5 COL 3- 6

0

ICPSR STUDY #

.....

0 VAR 0002 ICPSR EDITION NUMBER-1 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0002 LOC 5 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 7

0

ICPSR EDITION NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THE RELEASE EDITION OF THIS DATASET.

1. WINTER 1986

0

1 133

-

0 VAR 0003 ICPSR PART NUMBER-001 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0003 LOC 6 WIDTH 3 DK 5 COL 8-10

0

ICPSR PART NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THIS PART OF A TWO PART STUDY.

001. CROSS-SECTION
002. PANEL

0 .....
0 VAR 0004 ICPSR RESPONDENT ID NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0004 LOC 9 WIDTH 4 DK 5 COL 11-14

0

ICPSR RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

THE ICPSR HAS ASSIGNED A SEQUENTIAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER TO EACH RECORD. THIS NUMBER UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH CASE IN THE DATA COLLECTION.

.....
0 VAR 0220 P72 LOC,OTH-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0220 LOC 276 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 15

0

Q.20A(16). PRE-1972: OTHER LOCAL PARTY POST

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 213 THROUGH 220 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL PRIOR TO 1972, SEE VARIABLE 502.

1250 1. POSITION HELD
13 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3002 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
927 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

1 134
-
0 VAR 0221 72-75 STF NAT-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0221 LOC 277 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 16

0

Q.20B(1). 1972-1975: NATIONAL PARTY STAFF

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 221 THROUGH 224 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 503.

21 1. POSITION HELD
8 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5090 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
73 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0222 72-75 PRES CMPN STF-PTY MD=GE 9
REF 0222 LOC 278 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 17

0

Q.20B(2). 1972-1975: PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN STAFF

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 221 THROUGH 224 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 503.

177 1. POSITION HELD
4 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4509 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
502 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 135
-
0 VAR 0223 72-75 NAT COM-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0223 LOC 279 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 18

0

Q.20B(3). 1972-1975: NATIONAL COMMITTEEMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 221 THROUGH 224 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 503.

107 1. POSITION HELD
24 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4838 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
223 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0224 72-75 OTH NAT-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0224 LOC 280 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 19

0

Q.20B(4). 1972-1975: OTHER NATIONAL ROLE

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 221 THROUGH 224 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 503.

84 1. POSITION HELD
3 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4874 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
231 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 136
-
0 VAR 0225 72-75 CHR ST PTY-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0225 LOC 281 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 20

0

Q.20B(5). 1972-1975: STATE PARTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 225 THROUGH 228 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 504.

77 1. POSITION HELD
17 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4892 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
206 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0226 72-75 ST CNT COM-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0226 LOC 282 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 21

0

Q.20B(6). 1972-1975: STATE CENTRAL COMMITTEE

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 225 THROUGH 228 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 504.

1015 1. POSITION HELD
27 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3261 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
889 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 137
-
0 VAR 0227 72-75 CMP,ST DIR-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0227 LOC 283 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 22

0

Q.20B(6). 1972-1975: STATE CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 225 THROUGH 228 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 504.

121 1. POSITION HELD
4 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4731 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
336 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0228 72-75 OTH ST-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0228 LOC 284 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 23

0

Q.20B(8). 1972-1975: OTHER STATE POSITIONS

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 225 THROUGH 228 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 504.

429 1. POSITION HELD
16 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4217 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
530 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 138
-
0 VAR 0229 72-75 CHR,DST-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0229 LOC 285 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 24

0

Q.20B(9). 1972-1975: DISTRICT CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 229 THROUGH 236 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 505.

377 1. POSITION HELD
19 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4291 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
505 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0230 72-75 CMP,DST DR-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0230 LOC 286 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 25

0

Q.20B(10). 1972-1975: DISTRICT CAMPAIGN MANAGER

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 229 THROUGH 236 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 505.

393 1. POSITION HELD
4 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4171 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
624 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 139
-
0 VAR 0231 72-75 CHR,CO-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0231 LOC 287 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 26

0

Q.20B(11). 1972-1975: COUNTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 229 THROUGH 236 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 505.

449 1. POSITION HELD
15 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4058 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
670 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0232 72-75 CO COM-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0232 LOC 288 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 27

0

Q.20B(12). 1972-1975: COUNTY BOARD/COMMITTEE

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 229 THROUGH 236 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 505.

1101 1. POSITION HELD
19 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3329 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
743 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA
0

1 140
-
0 VAR 0233 72-75 CMP,CO DIR-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0233 LOC 289 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 28

0

Q.20B(13). 1972-1975: COUNTY CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 229 THROUGH 236 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 505.

522 1. POSITION HELD
2 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3880 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
788 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0234 72-75 OTH CO-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0234 LOC 290 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 29

0

Q.20B(14). 1972-1975: OTHER COUNTY POSITION

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 229 THROUGH 236 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 505.

609 1. POSITION HELD
8 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED

4000 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
575 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 141
-
0 VAR 0235 72-75 CTY CHR-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0235 LOC 291 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 30

0

Q.20B(15). 1972-1975: CITY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 229 THROUGH 236 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 505.

217 1. POSITION HELD
3 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4693 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
279 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0236 72-75 LOC,OTH-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0236 LOC 292 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 31

0

Q.20B(16). 1972-1975: OTHER LOCAL PARTY POST

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 229 THROUGH 236 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL

SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1972-1975, SEE VARIABLE 505.

1212 1. POSITION HELD
14 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3002 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
964 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 142
-
0 VAR 0237 76-79 STF NAT PTY-PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0237 LOC 293 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 32

0

Q.20C(1). 1976-1979: NATIONAL PARTY STAFF

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 237 THROUGH 240 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 506.

18 1. POSITION HELD
10 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5090 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
74 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0238 76-79 PRES CMPN STF-PTY MD=GE 9
REF 0238 LOC 294 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 33

0

Q.20C(2). 1976-1979: PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN STAFF

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 237 THROUGH 240 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 506.

276 1. POSITION HELD
23 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4509 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
384 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 143
-
0 VAR 0239 76-79 NAT COM-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0239 LOC 295 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 34

0

Q.20C(3). 1976-1979: NATIONAL COMMITTEEMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 237 THROUGH 240 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 506.

137 1. POSITION HELD
32 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4838 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
185 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA
0 .....

0 VAR 0240 76-79 OTH NAT PTY-PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0240 LOC 296 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 35

0

Q.20C(4). 1976-1979: OTHER NATIONAL ROLE

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 237 THROUGH 240 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 506.

123 1. POSITION HELD
9 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4874 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
186 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 144
-
0 VAR 0241 76-79 CHR ST PTY-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0241 LOC 297 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 36

0

Q.20C(5). 1976-1979: STATE PARTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 241 THROUGH 244 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 507.

112 1. POSITION HELD
28 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED

4892 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
160 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0242 76-79 ST CNT COM-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0242 LOC 298 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 37

0

Q.20C(6). 1976-1979: STATE CENTRAL COMMITTEE

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 241 THROUGH 244 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 507.

1223 1. POSITION HELD
50 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3261 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
658 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 145
-
0 VAR 0243 76-79 CMP,ST DIR-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0243 LOC 299 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 38

0

Q.20C(7). 1976-1979: STATE CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 241 THROUGH 244 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL

SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 507.

187 1. POSITION HELD
9 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4731 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
265 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0244 76-79 OTH ST-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0244 LOC 300 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 39

0

Q.20C(8). 1976-1979: OTHER STATE POSITIONS

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 241 THROUGH 244 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 507.

512 1. POSITION HELD
22 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4217 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
441 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 146
-
0 VAR 0245 76-79 CHR,DST-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0245 LOC 301 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 40

0

Q.20C(9). 1976-1979: DISTRICT CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 245 THROUGH 252 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 508.

438 1. POSITION HELD
21 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4291 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
442 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0246 76-79 CMP,DST DR-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0246 LOC 302 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 41

0

Q.20C(10). 1976-1979: DISTRICT CAMPAIGN MANAGER

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 245 THROUGH 252 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 508.

505 1. POSITION HELD
1 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4171 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
515 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 147
-

0 VAR 0247 76-79 CHR,CO-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0247 LOC 303 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 42

0

Q.20C(11). 1976-1979: COUNTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 245 THROUGH 252 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 508.

511 1. POSITION HELD
21 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4058 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
602 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0248 76-79 CO COM-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0248 LOC 304 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 43

0

Q.20C(12). 1976-1979: COUNTY BOARD/COMMITTEE

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 245 THROUGH 252 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 508.

1202 1. POSITION HELD
25 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3329 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
636 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT

NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA
0
1 148
-
0 VAR 0249 76-79 CMP,CO DIR-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0249 LOC 305 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 44

0

Q.20C(13). 1976-1979: COUNTY CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 245 THROUGH 252 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 508.

652 1. POSITION HELD
4 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3880 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
656 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0250 76-79 OTH CO-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0250 LOC 306 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 45

0

Q.20C(14). 1976-1979: OTHER COUNTY POSITION

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 245 THROUGH 252 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD

1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 508.

654 1. POSITION HELD
8 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4000 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
530 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 149
-
0 VAR 0251 76-79 CTY CHR-PTY PSN HL MD=GE 9
REF 0251 LOC 307 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 46

0

Q.20C(15). 1976-1979: CITY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 245 THROUGH 252 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 508.

219 1. POSITION HELD
11 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4693 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
269 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0252 76-79 LOC,OTH-PTY PSN HL MD=GE 9
REF 0252 LOC 308 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 47

0

Q.20C(16). 1976-1979: OTHER LOCAL PARTY POST

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 245 THROUGH 252 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD 1976-1979, SEE VARIABLE 508.

1352 1. POSITION HELD
17 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3002 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
821 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 150
-
0 VAR 0253 80 STF NAT PTY-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0253 LOC 309 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 48

0

Q.20D(1). 1980-PRESENT: NATIONAL PARTY STAFF

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 253 THROUGH 256 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 509.

18 1. POSITION HELD
6 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
5090 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
78 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0254 80 PRES CMPN STF-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0254 LOC 310 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 49

0

Q.20D(2). 1980-PRESENT: PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN STAFF

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 253 THROUGH 256 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 509.

321 1. POSITION HELD
20 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4509 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
342 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 151
-
0 VAR 0255 80 NAT COM-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0255 LOC 311 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 50

0

Q.20D(3). 1980-PRESENT: NATIONAL COMMITTEEMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 253 THROUGH 256 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 509.

135 1. POSITION HELD
32 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4838 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
187 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT

NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0256 80 OTH NAT PTY-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0256 LOC 312 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 51

0

Q.20D(4). 1980-PRESENT: OTHER NATIONAL ROLE

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 253 THROUGH 256 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 509.

141 1. POSITION HELD
4 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4874 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
173 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA
0
1 152
-
0 VAR 0257 80 CHR ST PTY-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0257 LOC 313 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 52

0

Q.20D(5). 1980-PRESENT: STATE PARTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 257 THROUGH 260 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM

1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 510.

87 1. POSITION HELD
15 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4892 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
198 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0258 80 ST CNT COM-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0258 LOC 314 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 53

0

Q.20D(6). 1980-PRESENT: STATE CENTRAL COMMITTEE

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 257 THROUGH 260 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 510.

1016 1. POSITION HELD
44 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3261 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
871 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 153
-
0 VAR 0259 80 CMPN ST DIR-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0259 LOC 315 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 54

0

Q.20C(7). 1980-PRESENT: STATE CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR

<SEE Q.20. FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 257 THROUGH 260 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 510.

159 1. POSITION HELD
4 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4731 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
298 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0260 80 OTH ST-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0260 LOC 316 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 55

0

Q.20D(8). 1980-PRESENT: OTHER STATE POSITIONS

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 257 THROUGH 260 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE STATE LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 510.

416 1. POSITION HELD
11 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4217 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
548 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 154
-
0 VAR 0261 80 CHR,DST-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0261 LOC 317 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 56

0

Q.20D(9). 1980-PRESENT: DISTRICT CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 261 THROUGH 268 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 511.

307 1. POSITION HELD
13 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4291 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
581 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0262 80 CMPN,DST DIR-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0262 LOC 318 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 57

0

Q.20D(10). 1980-PRESENT: DISTRICT CAMPAIGN MANAGER

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 261 THROUGH 268 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 511.

365 1. POSITION HELD
2 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4171 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
654 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0

1 155

-

0 VAR 0263 80 CHR,CO-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0263 LOC 319 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 58

0

Q.20D(11). 1980-PRESENT: COUNTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 261 THROUGH 268 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 511.

386 1. POSITION HELD
17 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4058 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
731 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0264 80 CO COM-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0264 LOC 320 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 59

0

Q.20D(12). 1980-PRESENT: COUNTY BOARD/COMMITTEE

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 261 THROUGH 268 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 511.

1047 1. POSITION HELD
21 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3329 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
795 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 156
-
0 VAR 0265 80 CMPN,CO DIR-PTY PSN MD=GE 9
REF 0265 LOC 321 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 60

0

Q.20D(13). 1980-PRESENT: COUNTY CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 261 THROUGH 268 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 511.

554 1. POSITION HELD
4 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3880 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
754 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0266 80 OTH CO-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0266 LOC 322 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 61

0

Q.20D(14). 1980-PRESENT: OTHER COUNTY POSITION

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 261 THROUGH 268 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 511.

529 1. POSITION HELD
10 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4000 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
653 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 157
-
0 VAR 0267 80 CTY CHR-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0267 LOC 323 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 62

0

Q.20D(15). 1980-PRESENT: CITY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 261 THROUGH 268 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 511.

162 1. POSITION HELD
6 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4693 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
331 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0268 80 LOC,OTH-PTY PSN HLD MD=GE 9
REF 0268 LOC 324 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 63

0

Q.20D(16). 1980-PRESENT: OTHER LOCAL PARTY POST

<SEE Q.20 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

IN ORDER TO PRESERVE RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY, VARIABLES 261 THROUGH 268 HAVE BEEN SET TO MISSING DATA. (SEE SPECIAL SERVICING INFORMATION) FOR A RECODED VARIABLE FOR HOLDING A PARTY POSITION AT THE LOCAL LEVEL DURING THE PERIOD FROM 1980 THROUGH THE TIME OF THE SURVEY, SEE VARIABLE 511.

1238 1. POSITION HELD
12 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3002 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD THIS POSITION
940 7. HELD OR SOUGHT THIS POSITION AT SOME OTHER TIME BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 158
-
0 VAR 0269 ASPIRE PUB OFF MD=GE 99
REF 0269 LOC 325 WIDTH 2 DK 5 COL 64-65

0

Q.21. THINKING OF ALL THE POSSIBLE OFFICES AND POSITIONS IN POLITICS, FROM LOCAL TO NATIONAL AND FROM PUBLIC OFFICE TO POSITIONS IN THE PARTY, WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING WOULD YOU MOST LIKE TO BE IF YOU COULD HAVE YOUR PERSONAL CHOICE?

Q.21A. PUBLIC OFFICE <WOULD MOST LIKE TO HOLD>
---

346 01. PRESIDENT
20 02. VICE PRESIDENT
1014 03. U.S. SENATOR
450 04. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE
125 05. CONGRESSIONAL STAFF
212 06. CABINET MEMBER/AMBASSADOR
91 07. FEDERAL JUDICIAL POSITION
74 08. FEDERAL OFFICIAL OR ADMINISTRATOR
8 09. OTHER NATIONAL OFFICE
255 10. GOVERNOR

36 11. LT. GOVERNOR
328 12. STATE SENATOR
320 13. STATE REPRESENTATIVE
31 14. STATE JUDICIAL POSITION
74 15. STATE ADMINISTRATIVE POST
29 16. OTHER STATE OFFICE
71 17. MAYOR
157 18. COUNCIL MEMBER/COMMISSIONER
56 19. OTHER LOCAL ELECTED POST
21 20. LOCAL JUDICIAL POSITION
37 21. LOCAL ADMINISTRATIVE POST
42 22. OTHER LOCAL OFFICE

1426 00. NO INTEREST IN PUBLIC OFFICE

183 99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0270 ASPIRE PARTY POSN MD=GE 99
REF 0270 LOC 327 WIDTH 2 DK 5 COL 66-67

0

Q.21B. PARTY POSITION <WOULD MOST LIKE TO HOLD>

<SEE Q.21 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1 159
- (CONTINUED)
-

344 01. NATIONAL PARTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
223 02. PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN MANAGER
167 03. NATIONAL PARTY STAFF
387 04. NATIONAL PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN STAFF
910 05. NATIONAL COMMITTEEMAN/WOMAN
83 06. OTHER NATIONAL ROLE
322 07. STATE PARTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
366 08. STATE CENTRAL COMMITTEE
76 09. STATE CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR/STAFF
58 10. OTHER STATE POSITION
118 11. DISTRICT CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
26 12. DISTRICT CAMPAIGN MANAGER
184 13. COUNTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
101 14. COUNTY BOARD/COMMITTEE

25 15. COUNTY CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR/STAFF
35 16. OTHER COUNTY POSITION
42 17. CITY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
125 18. OTHER LOCAL PARTY POSITION

1502 00. NO INTEREST IN PARTY OFFICE

312 99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0271 EXPECT PUB OFF MD=GE 99
REF 0271 LOC 329 WIDTH 2 DK 5 COL 68-69

0

Q.22. NOW ALL THINGS CONSIDERED, WHICH OF ALL OF THESE PUBLIC OFFICES AND WHICH OF THESE PARTY POSITIONS DO YOU THINK YOU ARE MOST LIKELY TO HOLD AT THE TOP OF YOUR CAREER IN POLITICS?

Q.22A. PUBLIC OFFICE
---

29 01. PRESIDENT
8 02. VICE PRESIDENT
214 03. U.S. SENATOR
343 04. U.S. REPRESENTATIVE
113 05. CONGRESSIONAL STAFF
116 06. CABINET MEMBER/AMBASSADOR
70 07. FEDERAL JUDICIAL POSITION
118 08. FEDERAL OFFICIAL OR ADMINISTRATOR
28 09. OTHER NATIONAL OFFICE
140 10. GOVERNOR
48 11. LT. GOVERNOR

1 160
- (CONTINUED)
- 401 12. STATE SENATOR
339 13. STATE REPRESENTATIVE
67 14. STATE JUDICIAL POSITION
143 15. STATE ADMINISTRATIVE POST
53 16. OTHER STATE OFFICE
116 17. MAYOR
198 18. COUNCIL MEMBER/COMMISSIONER
128 19. OTHER LOCAL ELECTED POST

35 20. LOCAL JUDICIAL POSITION
52 21. LOCAL ADMINISTRATIVE POST
72 22. OTHER LOCAL OFFICE

2330 00. NOT LIKELY TO HOLD ANY OF ABOVE

245 99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0272 EXPECT PARTY OFF MD=GE 99
REF 0272 LOC 331 WIDTH 2 DK 5 COL 70-71

0

Q.22B. PARTY POSITION <WOULD MOST LIKELY HOLD>

<SEE Q.22 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

72 01. NATIONAL PARTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
65 02. PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN MANAGER
82 03. NATIONAL PARTY STAFF
263 04. NATIONAL PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN STAFF
605 05. NATIONAL COMMITTEEMAN/WOMAN
116 06. OTHER NATIONAL ROLE
272 07. STATE PARTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
615 08. STATE CENTRAL COMMITTEE
111 09. STATE CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR/STAFF
136 10. OTHER STATE POSITION
189 11. DISTRICT CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
60 12. DISTRICT CAMPAIGN MANAGER
265 13. COUNTY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
130 14. COUNTY BOARD/COMMITTEE
46 15. COUNTY CAMPAIGN DIRECTOR/STAFF
66 16. OTHER COUNTY POSITION
57 17. CITY CHAIRMAN/WOMAN
176 18. OTHER LOCAL PARTY POSITION

1728 00. NOT LIKELY TO HOLD ANY OF ABOVE

352 99. NA

1 161
-
0 VAR 0273 PROB DISC W LCL/ST/NAT? MD=GE 9

REF 0273 LOC 333 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 72

0

Q.23. WHEN YOU TALK TO OTHER PEOPLE WHO ARE INTERESTED IN POLITICS, DO YOU SPEND MORE TIME TALKING ABOUT LOCAL, STATE, OR NATIONAL POLITICAL PROBLEMS?

<RESPONSES 4-7 WERE VOLUNTEERED - I.E., R MARKED MORE THAN 1 COLUMN>

993 1. LOCAL POLITICAL PROBLEMS
1461 2. STATE POLITICAL PROBLEMS
2594 3. NATIONAL POLITICAL PROBLEMS
75 4. NATIONAL AND STATE POLITICAL PROBLEMS
35 5. NATIONAL AND LOCAL POLITICAL PROBLEMS
36 6. STATE AND LOCAL POLITICAL PROBLEMS
135 7. NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL POLITICAL PROBLEMS

77 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0274 POL ACTIVITY LCL/ST/NAT? MD=GE 9
REF 0274 LOC 334 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 73

0

Q.24. GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY AND MORE FREE TIME, WOULD YOU PREFER TO BE INVOLVED IN ACTIVITIES THAT BENEFIT THE LOCAL AREA, THE STATE, OR THE NATION AS A WHOLE?

<RESPONSES 4-7 WERE VOLUNTEERED - I.E., R MARKED MORE THAN 1 COLUMN>

949 1. ACTIVITIES THAT BENEFIT LOCAL AREA
1498 2. ACTIVITIES THAT BENEFIT THE STATE
2708 3. ACTIVITIES THAT BENEFIT THE WHOLE NATION
34 4. ACTIVITIES THAT BENEFIT NATION AND STATE
14 5. ACTIVITIES THAT BENEFIT NATION AND LOCAL AREA
30 6. ACTIVITIES THAT BENEFIT STATE AND LOCAL AREA
62 7. ACTIVITIES THAT BENEFIT NATION, STATE AND LOCAL AREA

111 9. NA

0
1 162
-
0 VAR 0275 POL FRIENDS LCL/ST/NAT? MD=GE 9
REF 0275 LOC 335 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 74

0

Q.25. DO YOUR POLITICAL FRIENDS COME MAINLY FROM YOUR LOCAL AREA, THE STATE, OR FROM OUT-OF-STATE?

<RESPONSES 4-7 WERE VOLUNTEERED - I.E., R MARKED MORE THAN 1 COLUMN>

2126 1. LOCAL AREA
2688 2. STATE
242 3. OUT-OF-STATE
31 4. OUT-OF-STATE AND STATE
18 5. OUT-OF-STATE AND LOCAL AREA
154 6. STATE AND LOCAL AREA
71 7. OUT-OF-STATE, STATE AND LOCAL AREA

76 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0276 FRND/FAM ACTV,RSN PARTIC MD=GE 9
REF 0276 LOC 336 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 75

0

Q.26. WE ARE INTERESTED IN PEOPLES' REASONS FOR BEING INVOLVED IN POLITICS. HOW IMPORTANT ARE EACH OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS FOR YOUR OWN PARTICIPATION IN POLITICS?

Q.26A. PERSONAL FRIENDS OR MEMBERS OF MY FAMILY ARE ACTIVE IN THE PARTY (AS WORKERS, CANDIDATES, OR OFFICE HOLDERS).
---

661 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
1206 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
1405 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
1842 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

292 9. NA
0

-

0 VAR 0277 SUPPT CAND,RSN PARTIC MD=GE 9
REF 0277 LOC 337 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 76

0

Q.26B. I WANT TO SEE PARTICULAR CANDIDATES ELECTED.

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

3131 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
1898 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
171 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
34 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

172 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0278 BUSN/PROF CNTCTS, RSN PA MD=GE 9
REF 0278 LOC 338 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 77

0

Q.26C. PARTY WORK HELPS ME MAKE BUSINESS OR PROFESSIONAL CONTACTS.

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

120 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
488 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
1422 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
3052 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

324 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0279 WAY OF LIFE,RSN PARTIC MD=GE 9
REF 0279 LOC 339 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 78

0

Q.26D. POLITICS IS A PART OF MY WAY OF LIFE.

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1890 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
2174 2. QUITE IMPORTANT

1 164
- (CONTINUED)
- 782 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
366 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

194 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0280 PTY ATTACH,RSN PARTIC MD=GE 9
REF 0280 LOC 340 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 79

0

Q.26E. I AM STRONGLY ATTACHED TO THE PARTY AND WANT TO GIVE IT MY SUPPORT.

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1561 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
2238 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
1032 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
368 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

207 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0281 FSHP/SOC CNTCT,RSN PAR MD=GE 9
REF 0281 LOC 341 WIDTH 1 DK 5 COL 80

0

Q.26F. I ENJOY THE FRIENDSHIPS AND SOCIAL CONTACTS I HAVE WITH OTHER PEOPLE IN POLITICS.

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

927 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
2477 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
1380 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT

405 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

217 9. NA

0

DECK IDENTIFICATION NUMBER IS '06' DK 6 COL 1- 2

---

1 165

-

0 VAR 0001 ICPSR STUDY NO. 8367 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0001 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 6 COL 3- 6

0

ICPSR STUDY #

.....

0 VAR 0002 ICPSR EDITION NUMBER-1 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0002 LOC 5 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 7

0

ICPSR EDITION NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THE RELEASE EDITION OF THIS DATASET.

1. WINTER 1986

0 .....

0 VAR 0003 ICPSR PART NUMBER-001 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0003 LOC 6 WIDTH 3 DK 6 COL 8-10

0

ICPSR PART NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THIS PART OF A TWO PART STUDY.

001. CROSS-SECTION
002. PANEL

0 .....

0 VAR 0004 ICPSR RESPONDENT ID NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0004 LOC 9 WIDTH 4 DK 6 COL 11-14

0

ICPSR RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

THE ICPSR HAS ASSIGNED A SEQUENTIAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER TO EACH RECORD. THIS NUMBER UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH CASE IN THE DATA COLLECTION.

1 166
-
0 VAR 0282 FUN/XCTMT,RSN PARTIC MD=GE 9
REF 0282 LOC 342 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 15

0

Q.26G. I LIKE THE FUN AND EXCITEMENT OF CONVENTIONS AND CAMPAIGNS.

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1074 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
2100 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
1467 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
516 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

249 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0283 POL CAREER,RSN PARTIC MD=GE 9
REF 0283 LOC 343 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 16

0

Q.26H. I WANT TO HAVE A PERSONAL CAREER IN POLITICS.

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

711 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
932 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
1381 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
2059 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

323 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0284 CIVIC DUTY,RSN PARTIC MD=GE 9
REF 0284 LOC 344 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 17

0

Q.26I. PARTY WORK GIVES ME A SENSE OF FULFILLING CIVIC RESPONSIBILITY.

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1433 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

1 167
- (CONTINUED)
- 2486 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
903 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
385 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

199 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0285 INFL PTY PLCY,RSN PARTIC MD=GE 9
REF 0285 LOC 345 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 18

0

Q.26J. I WANT TO GET THE PARTY AND ITS CANDIDATES TO SUPPORT THE POLICIES I BELIEVE IN.

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1772 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
2395 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
770 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
245 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

224 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0286 DO IMP WRK,RSN PARTIC MD=GE 9
REF 0286 LOC 346 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 19

0

Q.26K. I LIKE THE FEELING OF BEING CLOSE TO PEOPLE WHO ARE DOING IMPORTANT WORK.

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

531 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
1599 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
1801 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
1226 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

249 9. NA

0
1 168
-
0 VAR 0287 VIS/RECOG,RSN PARTIC MD=GE 9
REF 0287 LOC 347 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 20

0

Q.26L. PARTY WORK GIVES ONE VISIBILITY AND RECOGNITION.

<SEE Q.26 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

302 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
1286 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
1917 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
1640 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

261 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0288 WHCH RSN WLD MISS MOST? MD=13 OR GE 99
REF 0288 LOC 348 WIDTH 2 DK 6 COL 21-22

0

Q.27. IF YOU HAD TO DROP OUT OF PARTY ACTIVITY TOMORROW, WHICH OF THE ABOVE REASONS FOR BEING ACTIVE WOULD YOU MISS THE MOST?

---

164 01. PERSONAL FRIENDS OR MEMBERS OF MY FAMILY ARE ACTIVE IN THE PARTY (AS WORKERS, CANDIDATES, OR OFFICE

HOLDERS)
843 02. I WANT TO SEE PARTICULAR CANDIDATES ELECTED
27 03. PARTY WORK HELPS ME MAKE BUSINESS OR PROFESSIONAL CONTACTS
1025 04. POLITICS IS A PART OF MY WAY OF LIFE
211 05. I AM STRONGLY ATTACHED TO THE PARTY AND WANT TO GIVE IT MY SUPPORT
505 06. I ENJOY THE FRIENDSHIPS AND SOCIAL CONTACTS I HAVE WITH OTHER PEOPLE IN POLITICS
193 07. I LIKE THE FUN AND EXCITEMENT OF CONVENTIONS AND CAMPAIGNS
197 08. I WANT TO HAVE A PERSONAL CAREER IN POLITICS
549 09. PARTY WORK GIVES ME A SENSE OF FULFILLING CIVIC RESPONSIBILITY
685 10. I WANT TO GET THE PARTY AND ITS CANDIDATES TO SUPPORT THE POLICIES I BELIEVE IN
88 11. I LIKE THE FEELING OF BEING CLOSE TO PEOPLE WHO ARE DOING IMPORTANT WORK
49 12. PARTY WORK GIVES ONE VISIBILITY AND RECOGNITION

1 169
- (CONTINUED)
- 134 13. MORE THAN ONE OF THE ABOVE
736 99. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0289 POL ACTV DIMINISH? MD=GE 9
REF 0289 LOC 350 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 23

0
Q.28. HAS YOUR POLITICAL ACTIVITY DROPPED OFF IN RECENT YEARS?
---

881 1. YES, A LOT
655 2. YES, SOMEWHAT
769 3. YES, A LITTLE
3017 4. NO, NOT AT ALL

84 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0290 TIME FRM FAM-DIMIN MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0290 LOC 351 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 24

0

Q.29. (IF POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF SOMEWHAT OR A LOT) HOW IMPORTANT ARE EACH OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS FOR YOUR DIMINISHED POLITICAL ACTIVITY?

Q.29A. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> POLITICAL ACTIVITY TAKES TOO MUCH TIME AWAY FROM MY FAMILY

---

168 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
409 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
412 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
438 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

109 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28

0
1 170
-
0 VAR 0291 DN'T ENJOY CMPN-DIMIN MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0291 LOC 352 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 25

0

Q.29B. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> I NO LONGER ENJOY CAMPAIGNS AND CONVENTIONS

<SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

50 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
259 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
458 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
636 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

133 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28

0 .....
0 VAR 0292 HEALTH-DIMIN MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0292 LOC 353 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 26

0

Q.29C. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> MY HEALTH WILL NOT PERMIT ME TO BE AS ACTIVE AS I ONCE WAS

<SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

86 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
121 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
146 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
1083 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

100 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28

0 .....
0 VAR 0293 LOST INTRST IN POL-DIMIN MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0293 LOC 354 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 27

0

Q.29D. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> I HAVE LOST INTEREST IN POLITICS

---

1 171
- (CONTINUED)
- <SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

58 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
192 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
420 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
720 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

146 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28

0 .....
0 VAR 0294 NEW CMMNITY-DIMIN MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0294 LOC 355 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 28

0

Q.29E. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> MOVING TO A NEW COMMUNITY HAS MADE IT DIFFICULT TO BE POLITICALLY ACTIVE

<SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

80 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
101 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
67 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
1142 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

146 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28

0 .....
0 VAR 0295 FRNDS NT INTR IN POL-DIM MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0295 LOC 356 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 29

0

Q.29F. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> MOST OF MY FRIENDS ARE NOT INTERESTED OR INVOLVED IN POLITICS

<SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

12 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
93 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
346 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
954 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

1 172
- (CONTINUED)
- 131 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28

0 .....
0 VAR 0296 GETTING OLD-DIMIN MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0296 LOC 357 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 30

0

Q.29G. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> I AM JUST NOT AS YOUNG AS I USED TO BE AND DO NOT HAVE THE ENERGY FOR POLITICAL WORK

<SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

116 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
247 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
335 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
743 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

95 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28

0 .....
0 VAR 0297 NTHNG IN CMMON PTY-DIM MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0297 LOC 358 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 31

0

Q.29H. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> I HAVE VERY LITTLE IN COMMON WITH THE PEOPLE WHO NOW RUN THE PARTY.

<SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

239 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
358 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
288 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
533 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

118 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28

0
1 173
-
0 VAR 0298 DONE CIVIC DUTY-DIMIN MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0298 LOC 359 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 32

0

Q.29I. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> I HAVE DONE MY CIVIC DUTY, NOW IT IS TIME FOR OTHERS TO TAKE OVER

<SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

82 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
272 2. QUITE IMPORTANT

322 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
752 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

108 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28

0 .....
0 VAR 0299 EVENTS SOURED-DIMIN MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0299 LOC 360 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 33

0

Q.29J. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> EVENTS OF RECENT YEARS HAVE SOURED ME ON THE WHOLE POLITICAL PROCESS

<SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

178 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
317 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
302 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
623 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

116 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28

0 .....
0 VAR 0300 WORK CONFLICT-DIMIN MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0300 LOC 361 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 34

0

Q.29K. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> POLITICAL ACTIVITY CONFLICTS WITH MY REGULAR WORK OBLIGATIONS

---

1 174
- (CONTINUED)
-

<SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

279 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
387 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
238 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
516 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

116 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28
0 .....
0 VAR 0301 TIME STEP ASIDE-DIMIN MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0301 LOC 362 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 35

0

Q.29L. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> I HAVE ALREADY DEVOTED MUCH OF MY LIFE TO POLITICS AND NOW IT IS TIME TO STEP ASIDE.

<SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

129 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
287 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
345 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
686 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

89 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28
0 .....
0 VAR 0302 NO CANDIDATES-DIMIN MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0302 LOC 363 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 36

0

Q.29M. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> THERE ARE NO CANDIDATES FOR WHOM I WISH TO WORK

<SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

142 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
288 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
258 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
718 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

1 175
- (CONTINUED)
-

130 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28

0 .....
0 VAR 0303 OTH ORG MRE RWDNG-DIMIN MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0303 LOC 364 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 37

0

Q.29N. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> MY WORK IN OTHER ORGANIZATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS IS MORE REWARDING THAN PARTY WORK

<SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

134 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
258 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
279 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
738 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

127 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28

0 .....
0 VAR 0304 BORED W PTY WRK-DIMIN MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0304 LOC 365 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 38

0

Q.29O. <POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS DROPPED OFF BECAUSE> I GOT BORED DOING THE SAME KIND OF WORK FOR THE PARTY YEAR AFTER YEAR

<SEE Q.29 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

51 1. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
173 2. QUITE IMPORTANT
333 4. NOT VERY IMPORTANT
855 5. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT

124 9. NA
3870 0. INAP., CODED 3 OR 4 IN Q.28

0
1 176
-
0 VAR 0305 L/C SELF-PLACEMENT MD=GE 9
REF 0305 LOC 366 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 39

0

Q.30. WE HEAR A LOT OF TALK THESE DAYS ABOUT LIBERALS AND CONSERVATIVES. HERE IS A SEVEN-POINT SCALE ON WHICH THE POLITICAL VIEWS THAT PEOPLE MIGHT HOLD ARE ARRANGED FROM EXTREMELY LIBERAL TO EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE.

WHERE WOULD YOU PLACE YOURSELF ON THIS SCALE?

---

321 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
880 2. .
896 3. .
985 4. .
995 5. .
932 6. .
254 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

143 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0306 L/C ANDERSON MD=GE 9
REF 0306 LOC 367 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 40

0

Q.31. USING THE SAME SCALE, WHERE WOULD YOU PLACE EACH OF THE FOLLOWING?

Q.31(A). <R'S PLACING OF> JOHN ANDERSON

---

659 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
1215 2. .
1068 3. .
928 4. .
775 5. .
405 6. .
145 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

211 9. NA

0

1 177

-

0 VAR 0307 L/C BUSH MD=GE 9
REF 0307 LOC 368 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 41

0

Q.31(B). <R'S PLACING OF> GEORGE BUSH

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

32 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
117 2. .
448 3. .
1232 4. .
1791 5. .
1197 6. .
396 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

193 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0308 L/C CARTER MD=GE 9
REF 0308 LOC 369 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 42

0

Q.31(C). <R'S PLACING OF> JIMMY CARTER

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

512 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
1041 2. .
1603 3. .
1441 4. .
497 5. .
116 6. .
35 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

161 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0309 L/C FORD MD=GE 9
REF 0309 LOC 370 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 43

0

Q.31(D). <R'S PLACING OF> GERALD FORD
---

1 178
- (CONTINUED)
-

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

23 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
73 2. .
327 3. .
1346 4. .
2045 5. .
1163 6. .
238 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

191 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0310 L/C KENNEDY MD=GE 9
REF 0310 LOC 371 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 44

0

Q.31(E). <R'S PLACING OF> EDWARD KENNEDY

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2994 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
1730 2. .
389 3. .
76 4. .
11 5. .
20 6. .
38 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

148 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0311 L/C LUCEY MD=GE 9
REF 0311 LOC 372 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 45

0

Q.31(F). <R'S PLACING OF> PATRICK LUCEY

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1120 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
1179 2. .
851 3. .

- (CONTINUED)
- 539 4. .
247 5. .
130 6. .
79 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

1261 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0312 L/C MONDALE MD=GE 9
REF 0312 LOC 373 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 46

0

Q.31(G). <R'S PLACING OF> WALTER MONDALE

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1567 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
1724 2. .
1311 3. .
491 4. .
83 5. .
35 6. .
28 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

167 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0313 L/C REAGAN MD=GE 9
REF 0313 LOC 374 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 47

0

Q.31(H). <R'S PLACING OF> RONALD REAGAN

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

31 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
29 2. .
35 3. .
72 4. .
523 5. .
2332 6. .
2228 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

156 9. NA

1 180
-
0 VAR 0314 L/C DEMOCRATIC PARTY MD=GE 9
REF 0314 LOC 375 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 48

0

Q.31(I). <R'S PLACING OF> DEMOCRATIC PARTY

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

763 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
1703 2. .
1808 3. .
759 4. .
145 5. .
52 6. .
16 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

160 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0315 L/C REPUBLICAN PARTY MD=GE 9
REF 0315 LOC 376 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 49

0

Q.31(J). <R'S PLACING OF> REPUBLICAN PARTY

<SEE Q.31 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

15 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
37 2. .
57 3. .
372 4. .
1698 5. .
2115 6. .
953 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

159 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0316 POLLUTION/ENVIRON REGUL MD=GE 9
REF 0316 LOC 377 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 50

0

Q.32. PRESENT GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS WITH REGARD TO POLLUTION AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS LIMIT FULL USE OF

1 181

- (CONTINUED)
- SOME ENERGY SOURCES. DO YOU THINK THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD RELAX ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REGULATIONS TO INCREASE THE USE OF THESE ENERGY SOURCES, OR SHOULD THE GOVERNMENT KEEP ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REGULATIONS UNCHANGED EVEN THOUGH THIS MAY DELAY THE PRODUCTION OF MORE ENERGY?

---

934 1. RELAX REGULATIONS
2971 2. RELAX REGULATIONS, WITH QUALIFICATIONS
1409 3. KEEP REGULATIONS UNCHANGED

92 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0317 DEFENSE SPENDING MD=GE 9
REF 0317 LOC 378 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 51

0

Q.33. SOME PEOPLE BELIEVE THAT WE SHOULD SPEND MUCH MORE MONEY FOR DEFENSE. SUPPOSE THESE PEOPLE ARE AT ONE END OF THE SCALE AT POINT NUMBER "1". OTHERS FEEL THAT DEFENSE SPENDING SHOULD BE GREATLY DECREASED. SUPPOSE THESE PEOPLE ARE AT THE OTHER END, AT POINT "7". AND, OF COURSE, SOME OTHER PEOPLE HAVE OPINIONS SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN. WHERE WOULD YOU PLACE YOURSELF ON THIS SCALE?

---

602 1. GREATLY INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
1053 2. .
1140 3. .
995 4. .
594 5. .
511 6. .
445 7. GREATLY DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING

66 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0318 DETENTE WITH RUSSIANS MD=GE 9
REF 0318 LOC 379 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 52

0

Q.34. SOME PEOPLE FEEL IT IS IMPORTANT FOR US TO TRY VERY HARD TO GET ALONG WITH RUSSIA. OTHERS FEEL IT IS A BIG MISTAKE TO TRY TOO HARD TO GET ALONG WITH RUSSIA. WHERE WOULD YOU PLACE YOURSELF ON THIS SEVEN-POINT SCALE?

---

1 182
- (CONTINUED)
-

554 1. IMPORTANT TO TRY VERY HARD TO GET ALONG WITH RUSSIA
825 2. .
1049 3. .
1146 4. .
775 5. .
624 6. .
364 7. BIG MISTAKE TO TRY TOO HARD TO GET ALONG WITH RUSSIA

69 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0319 BUSING MD=GE 9
REF 0319 LOC 380 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 53

0

Q.35. THERE IS MUCH DISCUSSION ABOUT THE BEST WAY TO DEAL WITH RACIAL PROBLEMS. SOME PEOPLE THINK LETTING CHILDREN GO TO THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOLS IS SO IMPORTANT THAT THEY OPPOSE BUSING. OTHERS THINK ACHIEVING RACIAL INTEGRATION OF SCHOOLS IS SO IMPORTANT THAT IT JUSTIFIES BUSING CHILDREN TO

SCHOOLS OUT OF THEIR OWN NEIGHBORHOODS. WHERE WOULD YOU PLACE YOURSELF ON THE FOLLOWING SCALE?

2057 1. KEEPING CHILDREN IN NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOLS
882 2. .
589 3. .
485 4. .
518 5. .
434 6. .
361 7. BUSING TO ACHIEVE INTEGRATION

80 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0320 INFLATION MD=GE 9
REF 0320 LOC 381 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 54

0

Q.36. SOME PEOPLE FEEL THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD TAKE ACTION TO REDUCE THE INFLATION RATE, EVEN IF IT MEANS THAT UNEMPLOYMENT WOULD GO UP A LOT. OTHERS FEEL THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD TAKE ACTION TO REDUCE THE RATE OF UNEMPLOYMENT, EVEN IF IT MEANS THAT INFLATION WOULD GO UP A LOT. WHERE WOULD YOU PLACE YOURSELF ON THIS SCALE?

---

1 183
- (CONTINUED)
-

778 1. REDUCE INFLATION EVEN IF UNEMPLOYMENT GOES UP A LOT
1090 2. .
1017 3. .
1031 4. .
647 5. .
437 6. .
265 7. REDUCE UNEMPLOYMENT EVEN IF INFLATION GOES UP A LOT

141 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0321 ABORTION MD=GE 9
REF 0321 LOC 382 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 55

0

Q.37. THERE HAS BEEN MUCH DISCUSSION ABOUT ABORTION DURING

RECENT YEARS. WHICH ONE OF THE OPINIONS LISTED BELOW BEST AGREES WITH YOUR VIEW?

---

251 1. ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED
1844 2. ABORTION SHOULD BE PERMITTED ONLY IF THE LIFE AND HEALTH OF THE WOMAN IS IN DANGER
1149 3. ABORTION SHOULD BE PERMITTED IF, DUE TO PERSONAL REASONS, THE WOMAN WOULD HAVE DIFFICULTY IN CARING FOR THE CHILD
1992 4. ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE FORBIDDEN

170 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0322 ERA MD=GE 9
REF 0322 LOC 383 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 56

0

Q.38. DO YOU APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE OF THE PROPOSED EQUAL RIGHTS AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION, SOMETIMES CALLED THE ERA AMENDMENT?

2394 1. APPROVE STRONGLY
965 2. APPROVE SOMEWHAT
704 3. DISAPPROVE SOMEWHAT
1266 4. DISAPPROVE STRONGLY

1 184
- (CONTINUED)
- 77 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0323 POL MEN NEGLECT FAM MD=GE 9
REF 0323 LOC 384 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 57

0

Q.39. PLEASE TELL US HOW MUCH YOU AGREE WITH EACH OF THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS CONCERNING WOMEN AND MEN IN POLITICS.

Q.39(A). TO BE REALLY ACTIVE IN POLITICS, MEN HAVE TO NEGLECT THEIR WIVES AND CHILDREN.

---

375 1. STRONGLY AGREE
1128 2. MODERATELY AGREE
1305 3. SLIGHTLY AGREE
472 4. SLIGHTLY DISAGREE
740 5. MODERATELY DISAGREE
1280 6. STRONGLY DISAGREE

106 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0324 WMN TGHT NT WNT POL CARR MD=GE 9
REF 0324 LOC 385 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 58

0

Q.39(B). WOMEN ARE TAUGHT NOT TO WANT POLITICAL CAREERS.

<SEE Q.39 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

484 1. STRONGLY AGREE
905 2. MODERATELY AGREE
1227 3. SLIGHTLY AGREE
787 4. SLIGHTLY DISAGREE
893 5. MODERATELY DISAGREE
977 6. STRONGLY DISAGREE

133 9. NA

0
1 185
-
0 VAR 0325 WMN HAVE FEW OPPOR MD=GE 9
REF 0325 LOC 386 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 59

0

Q.39(C). WOMEN ARE UNDERREPRESENTED AMONG POLITICAL LEADERS BECAUSE THEY HAVE FEWER OPPORTUNITIES THAN MEN TO PREPARE FOR LEADERSHIP POSITIONS

<SEE Q.39 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1371 1. STRONGLY AGREE

1337 2. MODERATELY AGREE
1056 3. SLIGHTLY AGREE
522 4. SLIGHTLY DISAGREE
531 5. MODERATELY DISAGREE
479 6. STRONGLY DISAGREE

110 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0326 BEING WMN IS ADVANTAGE MD=GE 9
REF 0326 LOC 387 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 60

0

Q.39(D). WOMEN ARE SOMETIMES GIVEN AN ADVANTAGE IN POLITICS JUST BECAUSE THEY ARE WOMEN

<SEE Q.39 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

387 1. STRONGLY AGREE
886 2. MODERATELY AGREE
1382 3. SLIGHTLY AGREE
816 4. SLIGHTLY DISAGREE
777 5. MODERATELY DISAGREE
1044 6. STRONGLY DISAGREE

114 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0327 MOTHER-POL DN'T MIX MD=GE 9
REF 0327 LOC 388 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 61

0

Q.39(E). IT IS ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE TO BE A GOOD WIFE AND

1 186
- (CONTINUED)
- MOTHER AND HOLD PUBLIC OFFICE TOO

<SEE Q.39 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

299 1. STRONGLY AGREE
641 2. MODERATELY AGREE

879 3. SLIGHTLY AGREE
812 4. SLIGHTLY DISAGREE
1087 5. MODERATELY DISAGREE
1577 6. STRONGLY DISAGREE

111 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0328 MEN KEEP WMN OUT MD=GE 9
REF 0328 LOC 389 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 62

0

Q.39(F). MOST MEN IN THE PARTY ORGANIZATION TRY TO KEEP WOMEN OUT OF LEADERSHIP ROLES.

<SEE Q.39 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

588 1. STRONGLY AGREE
1050 2. MODERATELY AGREE
1192 3. SLIGHTLY AGREE
801 4. SLIGHTLY DISAGREE
930 5. MODERATELY DISAGREE
737 6. STRONGLY DISAGREE

108 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0329 WMN NT TKN SERIOUSLY MD=GE 9
REF 0329 LOC 390 WIDTH 1 DK 6 COL 63

0

Q.39(G). WOMEN WHO SEEK POLITICAL CAREERS OFTEN FIND THEY ARE NOT TAKEN SERIOUSLY.

<SEE Q.39 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT.>

712 1. STRONGLY AGREE

1 187
- (CONTINUED)
- 1212 2. MODERATELY AGREE
1481 3. SLIGHTLY AGREE

696 4. SLIGHTLY DISAGREE
700 5. MODERATELY DISAGREE
499 6. STRONGLY DISAGREE

106 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0330 WMN LIB-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0330 LOC 391 WIDTH 2 DK 6 COL 64-65

0

Q.40. FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING GROUPS PLEASE INDICATE YOUR FEELINGS TOWARD THEM ON WHAT WE CALL A "FEELING THERMOMETER."

HERE'S HOW IT WORKS: IF YOU DON'T FEEL EITHER PARTICULARLY WARM OR COLD TOWARD A GROUP, THEN YOU SHOULD PLACE THEM IN THE MIDDLE OF THE THERMOMETER, AT THE 50 DEGREE MARK.

IF YOU HAVE A WARM FEELING TOWARD A GROUP, OR FEEL FAVORABLY TOWARD THEM, YOU WOULD GIVE THEM A SCORE SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 50 DEGREES AND 100 DEGREES, DEPENDING ON HOW WARM YOUR FEELING IS TOWARD THAT GROUP.

ON THE OTHER HAND, IF YOU DON'T FEEL VERY FAVORABLE TOWARD A GROUP - THAT IS, IF YOU DON'T CARE MUCH FOR THEM - THEN YOU WOULD PLACE THEM SOMEWHAT BETWEEN 0 AND 50 DEGREES. REMEMBER 50 DEGREES MEAN YOU FEEL NEUTRAL TOWARD THE GROUP.

Q.40(A). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> WOMEN'S LIBERATION MOVEMENT

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .

90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

1 188
- (CONTINUED)
-

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0331 CONSERVATIVES-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0331 LOC 393 WIDTH 2 DK 6 COL 66-67

0

Q.40(B). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> CONSERVATIVES

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0332 MORAL MAJORITY-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0332 LOC 395 WIDTH 2 DK 6 COL 68-69

0

Q.40(C). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> MORAL MAJORITY

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .

20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .

1 189
- (CONTINUED)
- 90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0333 GAY RTS-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0333 LOC 397 WIDTH 2 DK 6 COL 70-71

0
Q.40(D) <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> GAY RIGHTS GROUP

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0334 ENVIRONMENTALISTS-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0334 LOC 399 WIDTH 2 DK 6 COL 72-73

0
Q.40(E). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> ENVIRONMENTALISTS

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .

1 190
- (CONTINUED)
- 70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0335 UNION LEADERS-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0335 LOC 401 WIDTH 2 DK 6 COL 74-75

0

Q.40(F). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> UNION LEADERS

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0336 BLACKS-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0336 LOC 403 WIDTH 2 DK 6 COL 76-77

0

Q.40(G). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> BLACKS

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .

1 191
- (CONTINUED)
- 50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0337 LIBERALS-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0337 LOC 405 WIDTH 2 DK 6 COL 78-79

0

Q.40(H). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> LIBERALS

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL

60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0

DECK IDENTIFICATION NUMBER IS '07' DK 7 COL 1- 2

.....

0 VAR 0001 ICPSR STUDY NO. 8367 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0001 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 7 COL 3- 6

0

ICPSR STUDY #
---

1 192
-

0 VAR 0002 ICPSR EDITION NUMBER-1 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0002 LOC 5 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 7

0

ICPSR EDITION NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THE RELEASE EDITION OF THIS DATASET.

1. WINTER 1986

0 .....

0 VAR 0003 ICPSR PART NUMBER-001 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0003 LOC 6 WIDTH 3 DK 7 COL 8-10

0

ICPSR PART NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THIS PART OF A TWO PART STUDY.

001. CROSS-SECTION
002. PANEL

0 .....
0 VAR 0004 ICPSR RESPONDENT ID NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0004 LOC 9 WIDTH 4 DK 7 COL 11-14

0

ICPSR RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

THE ICPSR HAS ASSIGNED A SEQUENTIAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER TO EACH RECORD. THIS NUMBER UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH CASE IN THE DATA COLLECTION.

.....
0 VAR 0338 PROLIFE-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0338 LOC 407 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 15-16

0

Q.40(I). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> PRO-LIFE GROUPS

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1 193
- (CONTINUED)
-

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0339 BUSINESS-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0339 LOC 409 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 17-18

0

Q.40(J). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> BUSINESS INTEREST

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0340 DEMOCRATS-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0340 LOC 411 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 19-20

0

Q.40(K). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> DEMOCRATS

---

1 194
- (CONTINUED)
-

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0341 NO NUKES-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0341 LOC 413 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 21-22

0

Q.40(L). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> ANTI-NUCLEAR POWER GROUPS

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0
1 195
-
0 VAR 0342 REPUBLICANS-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0342 LOC 415 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 23-24

0

Q.40(M). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> REPUBLICANS

<SEE Q.40 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .

70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0343 MONDALE-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0343 LOC 417 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 25-26

0

Q.41. WE WOULD ALSO LIKE TO GET YOUR FEELINGS TOWARD THE PRESIDENTIAL AND VICE PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES OF 1980 AS WELL AS OTHER PARTY NOTABLES. PLEASE USE THE THERMOMETER AGAIN - THIS TIME INDICATE YOUR FEELINGS TOWARD THESE PERSONS.

Q.41(A). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> WALTER MONDALE

<SEE Q.41 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .

1 196
- (CONTINUED)
- 90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0344 FORD-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0344 LOC 419 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 27-28

0

Q.41(B). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> GERALD FORD

<SEE Q.41 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0345 ANDERSON-THMTR MD=GE 99

REF 0345 LOC 421 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 29-30

0

Q.41(C). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> JOHN ANDERSON

<SEE Q.41 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .

1 197

\- (CONTINUED)

\- 70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0346 BUSH-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0346 LOC 423 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 31-32

0

Q.41(D). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> GEORGE BUSH

<SEE Q.41 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0347 NIXON-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0347 LOC 425 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 33-34

0

Q.41(E). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> RICHARD NIXON

<SEE Q.41 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .

1 198

- (CONTINUED)
- 50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0348 LUCEY-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0348 LOC 427 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 35-36

0

Q.41(F). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> PATRICK LUCEY

<SEE Q.41 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0349 CARTER-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0349 LOC 429 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 37-38

0

Q.41(G). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> JIMMY CARTER

<SEE Q.41 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE

10. .
20. .

1 199
- (CONTINUED)
- 30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0350 MCGOVERN-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0350 LOC 431 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 39-40

0

Q.41(H). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> GEORGE MCGOVERN

<SEE Q.41 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0351 REAGAN-THMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0351 LOC 433 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 41-42

0

Q.41(I). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> RONALD REAGAN

<SEE Q.41. FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE

1 200
- (CONTINUED)
- 10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0352 KENNEDY-THRMTR MD=GE 99
REF 0352 LOC 435 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 43-44

0

Q.41(J). <FEELING THERMOMETER RATING OF> EDWARD KENNEDY

<SEE Q.41 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

00. UNFAVORABLE
10. .
20. .
30. .
40. .
50. NEUTRAL
60. .
70. .
80. .
90. .
97. FAVORABLE <100 DEGREES IS CODED AS 97>

99. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0353 SCHOOL GRP MBR MD=GE 9
REF 0353 LOC 437 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 45

0

Q.42. ARE YOU A MEMBER OF ANY OF THE FOLLOWING ORGANIZATIONS OR ASSOCIATIONS? IF A MEMBER, PLEASE INDICATE WHETHER YOU ARE AN ACTIVE MEMBER OR NOT.

1 201
- (CONTINUED)
- Q.42(A). SCHOOL AND SCHOOL-RELATED GROUPS (E.G. PTA, SAFETY COMMITTEES, FUND-RAISING PROJECTS)

---

846 1. ACTIVE MEMBER
882 3. NOT ACTIVE MEMBER
3200 5. NOT A MEMBER

478 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0354 TEACHER ASSO MBR MD=GE 9
REF 0354 LOC 438 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 46

0

Q.42(B). TEACHER'S ASSOCIATIONS OR UNIONS (E.G., NEA, AFT)

<SEE Q.42 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

430 1. ACTIVE MEMBER
247 3. NOT ACTIVE MEMBER
4139 5. NOT A MEMBER

590 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0355 PROF/OCCUP ASSO MBR MD=GE 9
REF 0355 LOC 439 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 47

0

Q.42(C). OTHER PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONALLY-RELATED GROUPS (E.G., CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, NAM, ABA, AMA, FARM BUREAU)

<SEE Q.42 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1533 1. ACTIVE MEMBER
1220 3. NOT ACTIVE MEMBER
2231 5. NOT A MEMBER

422 9. NA

0

1 202

-

0 VAR 0356 VETERAN GRP MBR MD=GE 9
REF 0356 LOC 440 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 48

0

Q.42(D). VETERAN'S GROUPS

<SEE Q.42 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

360 1. ACTIVE MEMBER
684 3. NOT ACTIVE MEMBER
3785 5. NOT A MEMBER

577 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0357 FRATERNAL ORG MBR MD=GE 9
REF 0357 LOC 441 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 49

0

Q.42(E). FRATERNAL ORGANIZATIONS (E.G., KNIGHTS OF COLUMBUS, MASONS, EASTERN STAR)

<SEE Q.42 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

665 1. ACTIVE MEMBER

816 3. NOT ACTIVE MEMBER
3490 5. NOT A MEMBER

435 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0358 LABOR UNION MBR MD=GE 9
REF 0358 LOC 442 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 50

0
Q.42(F). LABOR UNIONS

<SEE Q.42 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

440 1. ACTIVE MEMBER
329 3. NOT ACTIVE MEMBER
4152 5. NOT A MEMBER

1 203
- (CONTINUED)
- 485 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0359 POL EVANGELICAL GRP MBR MD=GE 9
REF 0359 LOC 443 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 51

0
Q.42(G). POLITICALLY-CONCERNED EVANGELICAL GROUPS (E.G., MORAL MAJORITY)

<SEE Q.42 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

71 1. ACTIVE MEMBER
135 3. NOT ACTIVE MEMBER
4735 5. NOT A MEMBER

465 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0360 CHURCH-REL GRP MBR MD=GE 9
REF 0360 LOC 444 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 52

0

Q.42(H). OTHER CHURCH-RELATED GROUPS

<SEE Q.42 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1217 1. ACTIVE MEMBER
891 3. NOT ACTIVE MEMBER
2853 5. NOT A MEMBER

445 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0361 SERVICE CLUBS MBR MD=GE 9
REF 0361 LOC 445 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 53

0

Q.42(I). SERVICE CLUBS (E.G., ROTARY, LIONS)

<SEE Q.42 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1 204
- (CONTINUED)
-

1050 1. ACTIVE MEMBER
608 3. NOT ACTIVE MEMBER
3287 5. NOT A MEMBER

461 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0362 PUBLIC INT MBR MD=GE 9
REF 0362 LOC 446 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 54

0

Q.42(J). NON-PARTISAN PUBLIC INTEREST GROUPS (E.G., LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS, COMMON CAUSE)

<SEE Q.42 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

640 1. ACTIVE MEMBER
987 3. NOT ACTIVE MEMBER

3373 5. NOT A MEMBER

406 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0363 POL WOMEN'S GRP MBR MD=GE 9
REF 0363 LOC 447 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 55

0

Q.42(K). POLITICALLY-RELATED WOMEN'S GROUPS (E.G., NOW, NWPC, STOP-ERA)

<SEE Q.42 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

646 1. ACTIVE MEMBER
608 3. NOT ACTIVE MEMBER
3714 5. NOT A MEMBER

438 9. NA

0
1 205
-

0 VAR 0364 ABORTION-REL GRP MBR MD=GE 9
REF 0364 LOC 448 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 56

0

Q.42(L). ABORTION-RELATED GROUPS (E.G., PRO-CHOICE GROUPS, PRO-LIFE GROUPS)

<SEE Q.42 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

342 1. ACTIVE MEMBER
532 3. NOT ACTIVE MEMBER
4079 5. NOT A MEMBER

453 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0365 ETHNIC/RACIAL ASSO MBR MD=GE 9
REF 0365 LOC 449 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 57

0

Q.42(M). ETHNIC OR RACIAL ASSOCIATIONS OR GROUPS (E.G., URBAN LEAGUE, NAACP, LULAC)

<SEE Q.42 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

419 1. ACTIVE MEMBER
518 3. NOT ACTIVE MEMBER
4042 5. NOT A MEMBER

427 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0366 ENVIRONMENTAL GRP MBR MD=GE 9
REF 0366 LOC 450 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 58

0

Q.42(N). ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS (E.G., SAVE THE WHALES, GREENPEACE)

<SEE Q.42 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

238 1. ACTIVE MEMBER
702 3. NOT ACTIVE MEMBER

1 206
- (CONTINUED)
- 4021 5. NOT A MEMBER

445 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0367 POL WRK VS OTH ORG-IMP MD=GE 9
REF 0367 LOC 451 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 59

0

Q.43. HOW WOULD YOU COMPARE THE IMPORTANCE OF YOUR POLITICAL ACTIVITY WITH YOUR INVOLVEMENT IN OTHER GROUPS? WOULD YOU SAY YOUR POLITICAL ACTIVITY IS:

3017 1. MORE IMPORTANT TO ME
1619 3. ABOUT AS IMPORTANT

491 5. LESS IMPORTANT TO ME

279 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0368 R'S SEX MD=GE 9
REF 0368 LOC 452 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 60

0

Q.44. WHAT IS YOUR SEX?

3127 1. MALE
2229 2. FEMALE

50 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0369 YEAR R BORN MD=70
REF 0369 LOC 453 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 61-62

0

Q.45. IN WHAT YEAR WERE YOU BORN?
---

00. 1900
01. 1901
.

1 207
- (CONTINUED)
- .
.
62. 1962

81. 1881
.
.
.

99. 1899

70. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0370 R MARITAL STATUS MD=GE 9
REF 0370 LOC 455 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 63

0

Q.46. WHAT IS YOUR MARITAL STATUS?

4051 1. MARRIED
566 2. SINGLE
74 3. SEPARATED
373 4. DIVORCED
224 5. WIDOWED
14 7. OTHER

104 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0371 NO CHNG IN MARITAL STAT MD=GE 9
REF 0371 LOC 456 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 64

0

Q.47. HAS YOUR MARITAL STATUS CHANGED IN THE PAST TEN YEARS?

Q.47(A). <HAS MARITAL STATUS CHANGED>

IF "NO CHANGE" CHECKED, THIS TAKES PRIORITY OVER OTHER CHECKS

1143 1. CHANGED
4144 5. NO CHANGE

1 208
- (CONTINUED)
-

119 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0372 MARRIED,CHNG MD=GE 9
REF 0372 LOC 457 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 65

0

Q.47(B). <HAVE YOU BEEN> MARRIED <IN LAST 10 YEARS>

<SEE Q.47 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

510 1. YES
4777 5. NO

119 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0373 DIVORCED,CHNG MD=GE 9
REF 0373 LOC 458 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 66

0

Q.47(C). <HAVE YOU BEEN> DIVORCED <IN LAST 10 YEARS>

<SEE Q.47 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

466 1. YES
4821 5. NO

119 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0374 SEPARATED,CHNG MD=GE 9
REF 0374 LOC 459 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 67

0

Q.47(D). <HAVE YOU BEEN> SEPARATED <IN LAST 10 YEARS>

<SEE Q.47 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

110 1. YES

1 209
- (CONTINUED)
- 5177 5. NO

119 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0375 WIDOWED,CHNG MD=GE 9
REF 0375 LOC 460 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 68

0

Q.47(E). <HAVE YOU BEEN> WIDOWED <IN LAST 10 YEARS>

<SEE Q.47 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

204 1. YES
5083 5. NO

119 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0376 REMARRIED,CHNG MD=GE 9
REF 0376 LOC 461 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 69

0

Q.47(F). <HAVE YOU BEEN> REMARRIED <IN LAST 10 YEARS>

<SEE Q.47 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

268 1. YES
5019 5. NO

119 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0377 # OF CHILDREN MD=GE 9
REF 0377 LOC 462 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 70

0

Q.48. HOW MANY CHILDREN DO YOU HAVE?

1033 0. NONE
552 1. ONE

1 210
- (CONTINUED)
- 1351 2. TWO
1107 3. THREE
688 4. FOUR
268 5. FIVE

288 6. SIX OR MORE

119 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0378 AGE YOUNGEST CHILD MD=98 OR GE 99
REF 0378 LOC 463 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 71-72

0

Q.49(A). (IF R HAS CHILDREN) WHAT IS THE AGE OF YOUR YOUNGEST CHILD?

<ACTUAL YEAR CODED>

00. LESS THAN ONE YEAR
01. ONE YEAR
.
.
.
69. SIXTY-NINE YEARS

98. INAP., NO CHILDREN
99. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0379 AGE OLDEST CHILD MD=98 OR GE 99
REF 0379 LOC 465 WIDTH 2 DK 7 COL 73-74

0

Q.49(B). WHAT IS AGE OF YOUR OLDEST CHILD?

<ACTUAL YEAR CODED>

00. LESS THAN ONE YEAR
01. ONE YEAR
.
.
.
69. SIXTY-NINE YEARS

```
-           (CONTINUED)
-              98.  INAP., NO CHILDREN OR ONLY 1 CHILD
               99.  NA
0          ..............................................................
0          VAR 0380     SOC CLASS SELF PLCMT                 MD=GE  9
          REF 0380       LOC  467 WIDTH  1          DK   7 COL 75


0
           Q.50.  IN WHICH SOCIAL CLASS WOULD YOU PLACE YOURSELF?
           ----------------------------------------------------

           10  1.  LOWER CLASS
           390  2.  WORKING CLASS
          2111  3.  MIDDLE CLASS
          2374  4.  UPPER MIDDLE CLASS
           342  5.  UPPER CLASS

           179  9.  NA
0          ..............................................................
0          VAR 0381     BLACK                          MD=GE  9
          REF 0381       LOC  468 WIDTH  1          DK   7 COL 76


0
           Q.51.  TO WHICH ETHNIC OR RACIAL GROUPS DO YOU BELONG?  <R
           WAS INSTRUCTED TO MARK ALL THAT APPLIED>

           Q.51(A).  BLACK/NEGRO/AFRO-AMERICAN
           ----------------------------------------------------

           233  1.  YES
          5000  5.  NO

           173  9.  NA
0          ..............................................................
0          VAR 0382     ENGLISH/SCOT/WELSH                   MD=GE  9
          REF 0382       LOC  469 WIDTH  1          DK   7 COL 77


0
           Q.51(B).  ENGLISH/SCOTTISH/WELSH
           --------------------------------

           <SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>
```

1 212
- (CONTINUED)
-

2091 1. YES
3142 5. NO

173 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0383 IRISH MD=GE 9
REF 0383 LOC 470 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 78

0

Q.51(C). IRISH

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1261 1. YES
3972 5. NO

173 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0384 GERMANIC MD=GE 9
REF 0384 LOC 471 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 79

0

Q.51(D). GERMANIC

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1208 1. YES
4025 5. NO

173 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0385 ITALIAN MD=GE 9
REF 0385 LOC 472 WIDTH 1 DK 7 COL 80

0

Q.51(E). ITALIAN

---

1 213

- (CONTINUED)
- <SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

286 1. YES
4947 5. NO

173 9. NA

0

DECK IDENTIFICATION NUMBER IS '08' DK 8 COL 1- 2

.....

0 VAR 0001 ICPSR STUDY NO. 8367 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0001 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 8 COL 3- 6

0

ICPSR STUDY #

.....

0 VAR 0002 ICPSR EDITION NUMBER-1 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0002 LOC 5 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 7

0

ICPSR EDITION NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THE RELEASE EDITION OF THIS DATASET.

1. WINTER 1986

0 .....

0 VAR 0003 ICPSR PART NUMBER-001 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0003 LOC 6 WIDTH 3 DK 8 COL 8-10

0

ICPSR PART NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THIS PART OF A TWO PART STUDY.

001. CROSS-SECTION
002. PANEL

0

1 214

-

0 VAR 0004 ICPSR RESPONDENT ID NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0004 LOC 9 WIDTH 4 DK 8 COL 11-14

0

ICPSR RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

THE ICPSR HAS ASSIGNED A SEQUENTIAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER TO EACH RECORD. THIS NUMBER UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH CASE IN THE DATA COLLECTION.

.....

0 VAR 0386 GREEK MD=GE 9
REF 0386 LOC 473 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 15

0

Q.51(F). GREEK

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

31 1. YES
5202 5. NO

173 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0387 FRENCH MD=GE 9
REF 0387 LOC 474 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 16

0

Q.51(G). FRENCH

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

299 1. YES
4934 5. NO

173 9. NA

0

1 215

-

0 VAR 0388 SCAND MD=GE 9
REF 0388 LOC 475 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 17

0

Q.51(H). SCANDINAVIAN

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

383 1. YES
4850 5. NO

173 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0389 WESTERN EUROP,OTHER MD=GE 9
REF 0389 LOC 476 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 18

0

Q.51(I). OTHER WESTERN EUROPEAN

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

190 1. YES
5043 5. NO

173 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0390 POLISH MD=GE 9
REF 0390 LOC 477 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 19

0

Q.51(J). POLISH

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

145 1. YES

```
          5088  5.  NO

           173  9.  NA
0
1         216
-
0          VAR 0391     SLAVIC                          MD=GE  9
          REF 0391       LOC  478 WIDTH  1          DK   8 COL 20

0
           Q.51(K).  SLAVIC
           ----------------

           <SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

           106  1.  YES
          5127  5.  NO

           173  9.  NA
0          ..............................................................
0          VAR 0392     EASTERN EUROPE,OTHER                 MD=GE  9
          REF 0392       LOC  479 WIDTH  1          DK   8 COL 21

0
           Q.51(L).  OTHER EASTERN EUROPEAN
           --------------------------------

           <SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

           218  1.  YES
          5015  5.  NO

           173  9.  NA
0          ..............................................................
0          VAR 0393     ARABIC                           MD=GE  9
          REF 0393       LOC  480 WIDTH  1          DK   8 COL 22

0
           Q.51(M).  ARABIC
           ----------------

           <SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>
```

19 1. YES
5214 5. NO

173 9. NA
0
1 217
-
0 VAR 0394 MEXICAN-AM MD=GE 9
REF 0394 LOC 481 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 23

0
Q.51(N). MEXICAN-AMERICAN

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

73 1. YES
5160 5. NO

173 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0395 CUBAN MD=GE 9
REF 0395 LOC 482 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 24

0
Q.51(O). CUBAN

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

9 1. YES
5224 5. NO

173 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0396 PUERTO RICAN MD=GE 9
REF 0396 LOC 483 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 25

0
Q.51(P). PUERTO RICAN

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

32 1. YES
5201 5. NO

173 9. NA
0
1 218
-
0 VAR 0397 AMERICAN INDIAN MD=GE 9
REF 0397 LOC 484 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 26

0
Q.51(Q). AMERICAN INDIAN

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

134 1. YES
5099 5. NO

173 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0398 JAPANESE MD=GE 9
REF 0398 LOC 485 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 27

0
Q.51(R). JAPANESE

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

13 1. YES
5220 5. NO

173 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0399 CHINESE MD=GE 9
REF 0399 LOC 486 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 28

0

Q.51(S). CHINESE

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

11 1. YES
5222 5. NO

173 9. NA

0
1 219
-
0 VAR 0400 ASIAN,OTHER MD=GE 9
REF 0400 LOC 487 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 29

0

Q.51(T). OTHER ASIAN

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

10 1. YES
5223 5. NO

173 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0401 NO ETHNIC ID MD=GE 9
REF 0401 LOC 488 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 30

0

Q.51(U). NO ETHNIC OR RACIAL IDENTIFICATION

<SEE Q.51 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

900 1. YES
4333 5. NO

173 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0402 R'S EDUCATION MD=GE 99
REF 0402 LOC 489 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 31-32

0

Q.52(A). HOW MANY YEARS OF EDUCATION DID YOU COMPLETE?
---

26 01. GRADE SCHOOL OR LESS/0-8 YEARS
491 02. HIGH SCHOOL/9-12 YEARS
1189 03. SOME COLLEGE/13-15 YEAS
935 04. COLLEGE GRADUATE, B.A., B.S.
685 05. SOME GRADUATE SCHOOL
108 06. MBA
634 07. OTHER MASTER'S DEGREE
852 08. LL.B., J.D.
279 09. M.D., D.D.S., PH.D., D.ED.

1 220
- (CONTINUED)
- 77 10. OTHER ADVANCED DEGREE

130 99. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0403 R'S SPOUSE EDUCATION MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0403 LOC 491 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 33-34

0

Q.52(B). <HOW MANY YEARS OF EDUCATION DID> YOUR SPOUSE <COMPLETE>?
---

56 01. GRADE SCHOOL OR LESS/0-8 YEARS
892 02. HIGH SCHOOL/9-12 YEARS
1004 03. SOME COLLEGE/13-15 YEARS
1024 04. COLLEGE GRADUATE, B.A., B.S.
335 05. SOME GRADUATE SCHOOL
86 06. MBA
392 07. OTHER MASTER'S DEGREE
235 08. LL.B., J.D.
260 09. M.D., D.D.S., PH.D., D.ED.
48 10. OTHER ADVANCED DEGREE

846 00. INAP., NOT MARRIED
228 99. NA
0 .....

0 VAR 0404 R'S FATHER'S EDUCATION MD=GE 99
REF 0404 LOC 493 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 35-36

0

Q.52(C). <HOW MANY YEARS OF EDUCATION DID> YOUR FATHER <COMPLETE>?

1503 01. GRADE SCHOOL OR LESS/0-8 YEARS
1633 02. HIGH SCHOOL/9-12 YEARS
744 03. SOME COLLEGE/13-15 YEARS
544 04. COLLEGE GRADUATE, B.A., B.S.
115 05. SOME GRADUATE SCHOOL
28 06. MBA
106 07. OTHER MASTER'S DEGREE
220 08. LL.B., J.D.
177 09. M.D., D.D.S., PH.D., D.ED.
31 10. OTHER ADVANCED DEGREE

1 221
- (CONTINUED)
-

305 99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0405 R'S MOTHER'S EDUCATION MD=GE 99
REF 0405 LOC 495 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 37-38

0

Q.52(D). <HOW MANY YEARS OF EDUCATION DID> YOUR MOTHER <COMPLETE>?

1203 01. GRADE SCHOOL OR LESS/0-8 YEARS
2107 02. HIGH SCHOOL/9-12 YEARS
925 03. SOME COLLEGE/13-15 YEARS
598 04. COLLEGE GRADUATE, B.A., B.S.
98 05. SOME GRADUATE SCHOOL
13 06. MBA
119 07. OTHER MASTER'S DEGREE
10 08. LL.B., J.D.
17 09. M.D., D.D.S., PH.D., D.ED.
13 10. OTHER ADVANCED DEGREE

303 99. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0406 R RETIRED? MD=GE 9

REF 0406 LOC 497 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 39

0

Q.53. ARE YOU RETIRED?

---

574 1. YES

4579 5. NO

253 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0407 R'S EMPLOYER TYPE MD=0 OR GE 99

REF 0407 LOC 498 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 40-41

0

Q.54(A) (IF R IS EMPLOYED) WHAT TYPE OF EMPLOYER DO YOU

1 222

- (CONTINUED)

- HAVE?

---

440 01. SMALL BUSINESS (UNDER 50 EMPLOYEES)

608 02. LARGE BUSINESS (OVER 50 EMPLOYEES)

862 03. GOVERNMENT

614 04. EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION

164 05. LABOR UNION

48 06. POLITICAL PARTY

182 07. NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION

1421 08. SELF-EMPLOYED

388 99. NA

679 0. INAP., NOT EMPLOYED

0 .....

0 VAR 0408 SP'S EMPLOYER'S TYPE MD=0 OR GE 99

REF 0408 LOC 500 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 42-43

0

Q.54(B). WHAT TYPE OF EMPLOYER DOES YOUR SPOUSE HAVE?

<SEE Q.54 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

305 01. SMALL BUSINESS (UNDER 50 EMPLOYEES)
499 02. LARGE BUSINESS (OVER 50 EMPLOYEES)
386 03. GOVERNMENT
431 04. EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION
32 05. LABOR UNION
6 06. POLITICAL PARTY
112 07. NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION
895 08. SELF-EMPLOYED

1375 99. NA
1365 0. INAP., NOT EMPLOYED, NOT MARRIED

0 .....
0 VAR 0409 R'S OCCUPATION MD=GE 99
REF 0409 LOC 502 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 44-45

0

Q.55(A). WHAT IS (WAS) YOUR OCCUPATION?

1132 01. PROFESSIONAL WITH DOCTORAL DEGREE OR EQUIVALENT

1 223
- (CONTINUED)
- 1166 02. PROFESSIONAL WITHOUT DOCTORAL DEGREE
1238 03. MANAGER OR ADMINISTRATOR
276 04. SALES REPRESENTATIVE
398 05. OWNER OF SMALL BUSINESS
139 06. FARM OWNER, FARM MANAGER
105 07. CRAFTSMAN OR SKILLED WORKER
285 08. CLERICAL OR OFFICE WORKER
42 09. OPERATIVE OR SEMI-SKILLED WORKER
40 10. SERVICE WORKER, LABORER
264 11. HOUSEWIFE
75 12. STUDENT
20 13. UNEMPLOYED
5 14. PERMANENTLY DISABLED
20 00. RETIRED, NO PREVIOUS OCCUPATION SPECIFIED

201 99. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0410 SP'S OCCUPATION MD=0 OR GE 99
REF 0410 LOC 504 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 46-47

0

Q.55(B). WHAT IS (WAS) YOUR SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION?
---

538 01. PROFESSIONAL WITH DOCTORAL DEGREE OR EQUIVALENT
992 02. PROFESSIONAL WITHOUT DOCTORAL DEGREE
591 03. MANAGER OR ADMINISTRATOR
166 04. SALES REPRESENTATIVE
295 05. OWNER OF A SMALL BUSINESS
95 06. FARM OWNER, FARM MANAGER
140 07. CRAFTSMAN OR SKILLED WORKER
0 08. CLERICAL OR OFFICE WORKER
399 09. OPERATIVE OR SEMI-SKILLED WORKER
81 10. SERVICE WORKER, LABORER
908 11. HOUSEWIFE
16 12. STUDENT
35 13. UNEMPLOYED
10 14. PERMANENTLY DISABLED

573 00. INAP., NOT MARRIED
567 99. NA

0
1 224
-
0 VAR 0411 FATHER'S OCCUPATION MD=GE 99
REF 0411 LOC 506 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 48-49

0

Q.55(C). WHAT IS (WAS) YOUR FATHER'S OCCUPATION?
---

435 01. PROFESSIONAL WITH DOCTORAL DEGREE OR EQUIVALENT
491 02. PROFESSIONAL WITHOUT DOCTORAL DEGREE
818 03. MANAGER OR ADMINISTRATOR
291 04. SALES REPRESENTATIVE
799 05. OWNER OF A SMALL BUSINESS
610 06. FARM OWNER, FARM MANAGER
649 07. CRAFTSMAN OR SKILLED WORKER
76 08. CLERICAL OR OFFICE WORKER
300 09. OPERATIVE OR SEMI-SKILLED WORKER

318 10. SERVICE WORKER, LABORER
8 11. HOUSEWIFE
0 12. STUDENT
26 13. UNEMPLOYED
37 14. PERMANENTLY DISABLED

548 99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0412 MOTHER'S OCCUPATION MD=GE 99
REF 0412 LOC 508 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 50-51

0

Q.55(D). WHAT IS (WAS) YOUR MOTHER'S OCCUPATION?
---

30 01. PROFESSIONAL WITH DOCTORAL DEGREE OR EQUIVALENT
625 02. PROFESSIONAL WITHOUT DOCTORAL DEGREE
109 03. MANAGER OR ADMINISTRATOR
51 04. SALES REPRESENTATIVE
256 05. OWNER OF A SMALL BUSINESS
110 06. FARM OWNER, FARM MANAGER
28 07. CRAFTSMAN OR SKILLED WORKER
494 08. CLERICAL OR OFFICE WORKER
139 09. OPERATIVE OR SEMI-SKILLED WORKER
176 10. SERVICE WORKER, LABORER
2737 11. HOUSEWIFE
5 12. STUDENT
88 13. UNEMPLOYED
26 14. PERMANENTLY DISABLED

532 99. NA

1 225
-
0 VAR 0413 DEAL W PUBLIC-WRK INVL MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0413 LOC 510 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 52

0

Q.56. DOES YOUR DAILY WORK INVOLVE:

Q.56(A). DEALING WITH THE PUBLIC

3265 1. A LOT
1198 2. SOMEWHAT
284 3. RARELY
137 4. NEVER

248 9. NA
274 0. INAP., NOT EMPLOYED

0 .....
0 VAR 0414 SUPERV OTH-WRK INVL MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0414 LOC 511 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 53

0

. Q.56(B). <DAILY WORK INVOLVES> SUPERVISING AND COORDINATING THE WORKS OF OTHERS:

<SEE Q.56 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

2301 1. A LOT
1577 2. SOMEWHAT
511 3. RARELY
273 4. NEVER

420 9. NA
324 0. INAP., NOT EMPLOYED

0 .....
0 VAR 0415 NEGOT/BARGAIN-WRK INVOL MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0415 LOC 512 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 54

0

Q.56(C). <DAILY WORK INVOLVES> NEGOTIATING AND BARGAINING WITH OTHERS:

<SEE Q.56 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1 226
- (CONTINUED)
-

1946 1. A LOT
1427 2. SOMEWHAT
684 3. RARELY

507 4. NEVER

496 9. NA
346 0. INAP., NOT EMPLOYED

0 .....
0 VAR 0416 BUSINESS W GOV-WRK INVL MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0416 LOC 513 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 55

0

Q.56(D). <DAILY WORK INVOLVES> DOING BUSINESS WITH THE GOVERNMENT:

<SEE Q.56 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1237 1. A LOT
1077 2. SOMEWHAT
1091 3. RARELY
1124 4. NEVER

354 0. INAP., NOT EMPLOYED
523 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0417 WRK W GRPS-WRK INVOL MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0417 LOC 514 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 56

0

Q.56(E). <DAILY WORK INVOLVES> WORKING WITH ORGANIZED GROUPS:

<SEE Q.56 FOR COMPLETE QUESTION TEXT>

1415 1. A LOT
1457 2. SOMEWHAT
1045 3. RARELY
733 4. NEVER

296 0. INAP., NOT EMPLOYED
460 9. NA

1

-
0 VAR 0418 RELG PREF MD=GE 99
REF 0418 LOC 515 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 57-58

0

Q.57. WHAT IS YOUR RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE?
---

458 10. PRESBYTERIAN
299 11. LUTHERAN
142 12. CONGREGATIONAL
54 15. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST
439 16. EPISCOPALIAN
662 20. METHODIST
290 23. BAPTIST SOUTHERN
177 24. BAPTIST, OTHER
86 36. CHURCH OF CHRIST
73 52. LATTER DAY SAINTS, MORMON
194 59. OTHER PROTESTANT
1276 60. CATHOLIC
359 70. JEWISH
20 80. GREEK ORTHODOX
184 88. AGNOSTIC, ATHEIST
174 90. OTHER RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE (SPECIFY)
367 98. NO RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE

152 99. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0419 FREQ ATTND RELIG SERV MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0419 LOC 517 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 59

0

Q.58. (IF SOME RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE) HOW OFTEN WOULD YOU SAY YOU ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES?

2069 1. ALMOST EVERY WEEK
650 2. ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH
1335 3. A FEW TIMES A YEAR
898 4. ALMOST NEVER

270 0. INAP., NO RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE
184 9. NA

0
1 228
-
0 VAR 0420 RELIGION IMP? MD=GE 9
REF 0420 LOC 518 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 60

0

Q.59. DO YOU CONSIDER RELIGION TO BE AN IMPORTANT PART OF YOUR LIFE OR NOT?

3781 1. YES
1548 5. NO

77 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0421 RELIGION GUIDE LIFE? MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0421 LOC 519 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 61

0

Q.60. (IF YES) WOULD YOU SAY YOUR RELIGION PROVIDES A GREAT DEAL OF GUIDANCE IN YOUR DAY-TO-DAY LIVING, QUITE A BIT OF GUIDANCE, OR ONLY SOME GUIDANCE?

1675 1. GREAT DEAL OF GUIDANCE
1459 2. QUITE A BIT OF GUIDANCE
977 3. ONLY SOME GUIDANCE

1224 0. INAP., CODED 5 IN Q.59
71 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0422 COMMITTED TO CHRIST? MD=GE 9
REF 0422 LOC 520 WIDTH 1 DK 8 COL 62

0

Q.61. WAS THERE EVER A SPECIFIC TIME IN YOUR LIFE WHEN YOU MADE A PERSONAL COMMITMENT TO CHRIST THAT CHANGED YOUR LIFE?

1457 1. YES
3706 5. NO

243 9. NA

0

1 229

-

0 VAR 0423 COMMUNITY WHEN 10-16 MD=GE 99
REF 0423 LOC 521 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 63-64

0

Q.62. IN WHAT TYPE OF COMMUNITY DID YOU LIVE WHEN YOU WERE BETWEEN THE AGES OF 10-16?

---

776 01. ON A FARM
421 02. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
1854 03. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000)
571 04. IN A MEDIUM SIZED CITY (50,000-100,000)
659 05. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000-500,000)
294 06. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY
570 07. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
235 08. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY

26 99. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0424 1ST STATE RESID 10-16 MD=GE 99
REF 0424 LOC 523 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 65-66

0

Q.63. IN WHICH STATES DID YOU LIVE BETWEEN THE AGES 10-16?

Q.63(A). FIRST MENTION OF STATE IN WHICH R RESIDED.

---

87 01. CONNECTICUT
41 02. MAINE
183 03. MASSACHUSETTS
30 04. NEW HAMPSHIRE
39 05. RHODE ISLAND
25 06. VERMONT

22 11. DELAWARE
157 12. NEW JERSEY
490 13. NEW YORK

316 14. PENNSYLVANIA

332 21. ILLINOIS
160 22. INDIANA
205 23. MICHIGAN
278 24. OHIO
118 25. WISCONSIN

137 31. IOWA

1 230
- (CONTINUED)
- 112 32. KANSAS
129 33. MINNESOTA
144 34. MISSOURI
79 35. NEBRASKA
45 36. NORTH DAKOTA
71 37. SOUTH DAKOTA

91 40. VIRGINIA
89 41. ALABAMA
61 42. ARKANSAS
74 43. FLORIDA
113 44. GEORGIA
58 45. LOUISIANA
56 46. MISSISSIPPI
116 47. NORTH CAROLINA
51 48. SOUTH CAROLINA
221 49. TEXAS

87 51. KENTUCKY
67 52. MARYLAND
78 53. OKLAHOMA
96 54. TENNESSEE
34 55. WASHINGTON, D. C.
50 56. WEST VIRGINIA

42 61. ARIZONA
64 62. COLORADO
39 63. IDAHO
39 64. MONTANA
22 65. NEVADA
27 66. NEW MEXICO

41 67. UTAH
30 68. WYOMING

287 71. CALIFORNIA
57 72. OREGON
96 73. WASHINGTON

6 80. ALASKA
16 81. HAWAII

19 82. PUERTO RICO
2 86. VIRGIN ISLANDS
3 87. GUAM

48 90. FOREIGN COUNTRY

26 99. NA

0
1 231
-
0 VAR 0425 2ND STATE RESID 10-16 MD=GE 99
REF 0425 LOC 525 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 67-68

0

Q.63(B). SECOND MENTION OF STATE IN WHICH R RESIDED BETWEEN THE AGES 10-16

---

0 01. CONNECTICUT
1 02. MAINE
3 03. MASSACHUSETTS
2 04. NEW HAMPSHIRE
0 05. RHODE ISLAND
2 06. VERMONT

0 11. DELAWARE
6 12. NEW JERSEY
20 13. NEW YORK
16 14. PENNSYLVANIA

4 21. ILLINOIS
2 22. INDIANA

3 23. MICHIGAN
9 24. OHIO
8 25. WISCONSIN

3 31. IOWA
6 32. KANSAS
4 33. MINNESOTA
4 34. MISSOURI
1 35. NEBRASKA
1 36. NORTH DAKOTA
2 37. SOUTH DAKOTA

8 40. VIRGINIA
0 41. ALABAMA
0 42. ARKANSAS
2 43. FLORIDA
7 44. GEORGIA
3 45. LOUISIANA
3 46. MISSISSIPPI
7 47. NORTH CAROLINA
2 48. SOUTH CAROLINA
7 49. TEXAS

2 51. KENTUCKY
1 52. MARYLAND
8 53. OKLAHOMA
6 54. TENNESSEE
4 55. WASHINGTON, D. C.

1 232
- (CONTINUED)
- 0 56. WEST VIRGINIA

0 61. ARIZONA
1 62. COLORADO
1 63. IDAHO
0 64. MONTANA
2 65. NEVADA
1 66. NEW MEXICO
4 67. UTAH
2 68. WYOMING

4 71. CALIFORNIA

4 72. OREGON
8 73. WASHINGTON

1 80. ALASKA
1 81. HAWAII

3 82. PUERTO RICO
1 86. VIRGIN ISLANDS
1 87. GUAM

22 90. FOREIGN COUNTRY

5193 99. NA; NO FURTHER MENTION
0 .....
0 VAR 0426 3RD STATE RESID 10-16 MD=GE 99
REF 0426 LOC 527 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 69-70

0

Q.63(C). THIRD MENTION OF STATE IN WHICH R RESIDED BETWEEN THE AGES OF 10-16
---

0 01. CONNECTICUT
0 02. MAINE
2 03. MASSACHUSETTS
0 04. NEW HAMPSHIRE
0 05. RHODE ISLAND
0 06. VERMONT

0 11. DELAWARE
0 12. NEW JERSEY
4 13. NEW YORK
5 14. PENNSYLVANIA

2 21. ILLINOIS

1 233
- (CONTINUED)
- 1 22. INDIANA
4 23. MICHIGAN
1 24. OHIO
3 25. WISCONSIN

0 31. IOWA
0 32. KANSAS
0 33. MINNESOTA
1 34. MISSOURI
0 35. NEBRASKA
0 36. NORTH DAKOTA
1 37. SOUTH DAKOTA

2 40. VIRGINIA
0 41. ALABAMA
0 42. ARKANSAS
0 43. FLORIDA
1 44. GEORGIA
2 45. LOUISIANA
4 46. MISSISSIPPI
0 47. NORTH CAROLINA
1 48. SOUTH CAROLINA
6 49. TEXAS

0 51. KENTUCKY
0 52. MARYLAND
1 53. OKLAHOMA
1 54. TENNESSEE
0 55. WASHINGTON, D. C.
3 56. WEST VIRGINIA

0 61. ARIZONA
0 62. COLORADO
0 63. IDAHO
1 64. MONTANA
1 65. NEVADA
1 66. NEW MEXICO
1 67. UTAH
0 68. WYOMING

0 71. CALIFORNIA
3 72. OREGON
0 73. WASHINGTON

0 80. ALASKA
0 81. HAWAII

0 82. PUERTO RICO
1 86. VIRGIN ISLANDS
0 87. GUAM

1 234
- (CONTINUED)
- 7 90. FOREIGN COUNTRY

5346 99. NA; NO FURTHER MENTION
0 .....
0 VAR 0427 4TH STATE RESID 10-16 MD=GE 99
REF 0427 LOC 529 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 71-72

0

Q.63(D). FOURTH MENTION OF STATE IN WHICH R RESIDED BETWEEN THE AGES OF 10-16

---

0 01. CONNECTICUT
0 02. MAINE
0 03. MASSACHUSETTS
0 04. NEW HAMPSHIRE
1 05. RHODE ISLAND
0 06. VERMONT

0 11. DELAWARE
0 12. NEW JERSEY
0 13. NEW YORK
2 14. PENNSYLVANIA

0 21. ILLINOIS
0 22. INDIANA
2 23. MICHIGAN
3 24. OHIO
1 25. WISCONSIN

0 31. IOWA
0 32. KANSAS
0 33. MINNESOTA
1 34. MISSOURI
0 35. NEBRASKA
2 36. NORTH DAKOTA
0 37. SOUTH DAKOTA

1 40. VIRGINIA
0 41. ALABAMA
0 42. ARKANSAS
0 43. FLORIDA
0 44. GEORGIA
0 45. LOUISIANA
1 46. MISSISSIPPI
0 47. NORTH CAROLINA
0 48. SOUTH CAROLINA

1 235
- (CONTINUED)
- 2 49. TEXAS

0 51. KENTUCKY
0 52. MARYLAND
0 53. OKLAHOMA
0 54. TENNESSEE
0 55. WASHINGTON, D. C.
0 56. WEST VIRGINIA

0 61. ARIZONA
0 62. COLORADO
0 63. IDAHO
0 64. MONTANA
1 65. NEVADA
1 66. NEW MEXICO
0 67. UTAH
1 68. WYOMING

0 71. CALIFORNIA
0 72. OREGON
1 73. WASHINGTON

0 80. ALASKA
0 81. HAWAII

0 82. PUERTO RICO
0 86. VIRGIN ISLANDS
0 87. GUAM
1 90. FOREIGN COUNTRY

5385 99. NA; NO FURTHER MENTION

0 .....

0 VAR 0428 5TH STATE RESID 10-16 MD=GE 99

REF 0428 LOC 531 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 73-74

0

Q.63(E). FIFTH MENTION OF STATE IN WHICH R RESIDED BETWEEN THE AGES OF 10-16

---

0 01. CONNECTICUT
0 02. MAINE
0 03. MASSACHUSETTS
0 04. NEW HAMPSHIRE
0 05. RHODE ISLAND
0 06. VERMONT

1 236

\- (CONTINUED)

\- 0 11. DELAWARE
0 12. NEW JERSEY
0 13. NEW YORK
3 14. PENNSYLVANIA

0 21. ILLINOIS
0 22. INDIANA
0 23. MICHIGAN
1 24. OHIO
0 25. WISCONSIN

0 31. IOWA
0 32. KANSAS
0 33. MINNESOTA
0 34. MISSOURI
0 35. NEBRASKA
0 36. NORTH DAKOTA
0 37. SOUTH DAKOTA

0 40. VIRGINIA
0 41. ALABAMA
0 42. ARKANSAS

0 43. FLORIDA
0 44. GEORGIA
0 45. LOUISIANA
0 46. MISSISSIPPI
0 47. NORTH CAROLINA
0 48. SOUTH CAROLINA
0 49. TEXAS

0 51. KENTUCKY
0 52. MARYLAND
1 53. OKLAHOMA
1 54. TENNESSEE
0 55. WASHINGTON, D. C.
0 56. WEST VIRGINIA

0 61. ARIZONA
0 62. COLORADO
0 63. IDAHO
0 64. MONTANA
0 65. NEVADA
0 66. NEW MEXICO
0 67. UTAH
0 68. WYOMING

0 71. CALIFORNIA
0 72. OREGON
1 73. WASHINGTON

1 237
- (CONTINUED)
- 0 81. ALASKA
0 82. HAWAII

0 82. PUERTO RICO
0 86. VIRGIN ISLANDS
0 87. GUAM

2 90. FOREIGN COUNTRY

5397 99. NA; NO FURTHER MENTION
0 00. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTION

0 .....
0 VAR 0429 YRS LVD IN PRES COMM MD=GE 99
REF 0429 LOC 533 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 75-76

0

Q.64. HOW MANY YEARS HAVE YOU LIVED IN YOUR PRESENT COMMUNITY?

THE ACTUAL NUMBER OF YEARS WAS CODED

00. LESS THAN 6 MONTHS (VOLUNTEERED RESPONSE)
01. 6 MONTHS - 12 MONTHS; 1 YEAR
02. 13 MONTHS - 24 MONTHS; 2 YEARS
03. 3 YEARS
.
.
.
98. 98 YEARS OR MORE

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0430 FAMILY INCOME MD=GE 99
REF 0430 LOC 535 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 77-78

0

Q.65. PLEASE INDICATE THE APPROXIMATE ANNUAL INCOME OF YOUR FAMILY AND YOURSELF FOR THE LAST YEAR - BEFORE TAXES.

Q.65(A). FAMILY <INCOME BEFORE TAXES LAST YEAR>

---

63 01. UNDER $7,500

1 238
- (CONTINUED)
- 136 02. $7,500-$14,999
330 03. $15,000-$22,499
480 04. $22,500-$29,999
582 05. $30,000-$37,499
515 06. $37,500-$44,999
484 07. $45,000-$52,499
294 08. $52,500-$59,999

257 09. $60,000-$67,499
190 10. $67,500-$74,999
293 11. $75,000-$99,999
542 12. $100,000 AND OVER

1240 99. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0431 PERSONAL INCOME MD=GE 99
REF 0431 LOC 537 WIDTH 2 DK 8 COL 79-80

0

Q.65(B). PERSONAL <INCOME BEFORE TAXES LAST YEAR>
---

426 01. UNDER $7,500
494 02. $7,500-$14,999
728 03. $15,000-$22,499
676 04. $22,500-$29,999
484 05. $30,000-$37,499
311 06. $37,500-$44,999
277 07. $45,000-$52,499
181 08. $52,500-$59,999
144 09. $60,000-$67,499
120 10. $67,500-$74,999
192 11. $75,000-$99,999
403 12. $100,000 AND OVER

970 99. NA

0

DECK IDENTIFICATION NUMBER IS '09' DK 9 COL 1- 2

.....

0 VAR 0001 ICPSR STUDY NO. 8367 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0001 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 9 COL 3- 6

0

ICPSR STUDY #
---

1 239
-

0 VAR 0002 ICPSR EDITION NUMBER-1 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0002 LOC 5 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 7

0

ICPSR EDITION NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THE RELEASE EDITION OF THIS DATASET.

1. WINTER 1986

0 .....

0 VAR 0003 ICPSR PART NUMBER-001 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0003 LOC 6 WIDTH 3 DK 9 COL 8-10

0

ICPSR PART NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THIS PART OF A TWO PART STUDY.

001. CROSS-SECTION
002. PANEL

0 .....

0 VAR 0004 ICPSR RESPONDENT ID NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0004 LOC 9 WIDTH 4 DK 9 COL 11-14

0

ICPSR RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

THE ICPSR HAS ASSIGNED A SEQUENTIAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER TO EACH RECORD. THIS NUMBER UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH CASE IN THE DATA COLLECTION.

.....

0 VAR 0432 IDEOLOGICAL SOPHIST MD=GE 8
REF 0432 LOC 539 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 15

0

Q.F1. THERE HAS BEEN MUCH DISCUSSION ABOUT THE WAY THE PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATION PROCESS WORKS - HOW THE CANDIDATES RUN FOR THE NOMINATION AND HOW THEY ARE SELECTED BY THE PARTIES. PLEASE EVALUATE THE PRESENT NOMINATING PROCESS AND

1 240
- (CONTINUED)

- WHAT CHANGES - IF ANY - YOU WOULD LIKE TO SEE INSTITUTED.

Q.F1(A). GLOBAL DIMENSION 1: THE IDEOLOGICAL SOPHISTICATION OF RESPONSE TO Q.F1

---

60 0. IRRELEVANT, EXTRANEOUS COMMENTS NOT PERTAINING TO THE QUESTION
473 1. GENERAL, UNDIFFERENTIATED RESPONSES
1705 2. LOW IDEOLOGICAL-LOW SOPHISTICATION: "PRIMARIES ARE TOO LONG AND COST TOO MUCH" (NA WHY OR WHAT EFFECT)
599 3. LOW IDEOLOGICAL-HIGH SOPHISTICATION: "WOULD PREFER A REGIONAL PRIMARY OR CAUCUS SYSTEM SO THAT CANDIDATES ARE BETTER ABLE TO UNDERSTAND THE REGIONAL ISSUES" (CAUSE-EFFECT BUT NO CONNECTION OF PRIMARIES OR CAUCUSES TO SOME HIGHER IDEOLOGICAL CONCEPT).
349 4. MEDIUM IDEOLOGICAL (GROUP, POWER) -- LOW SOPHISTICATION: "UNION PEOPLE HAVE TOO MUCH SAY" (NA WHY OR WHAT EFFECT)
310 5. MEDIUM IDEOLOGICAL (GROUP, POWER) -- HIGH SOPHISTICATION: THE MEDIA CONTROLS THE CANDIDATES AND THE WHOLE PROCESS, THAT'S WHY IT IS SUCH A MESS."
149 6. HIGH IDEOLOGICAL-LOW SOPHISTICATION: "THE PRESENT SYSTEM IS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE GRASS-ROOTS", STATE'S RIGHTS, (NA WHY OR WHAT THE RAMIFICATIONS OF THIS ARE).
195 7. HIGH IDEOLOGICAL-HIGH SOPHISTICATION: "PRESIDENTIAL PRIMARIES GREW OUT OF A DESIRE TO HAVE THE NOMINATING PROCESS AS CLOSE TO THE PEOPLE AS POSSIBLE. THE CURRENT SYSTEM IS REASONABLY ATTUNED TO THE PUBLIC WILL..."

27 8. DK
1539 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0433 SATISFACTION MD=GE 7
REF 0433 LOC 540 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 16

0

Q.F1(B). GLOBAL DIMENSION 2: INDEX OF R'S SATISFACTION WITH NOMINATION PROCESS IN RESPONSE TO Q.F1

<THIS VARIABLE WAS CODED FROM R'S WHOLE RESPONSE TO Q.F1>

1 241
- (CONTINUED)
- 825 1. STRONGLY DISSATISFIED--NOTHING GOOD, (SEVERAL THINGS) SHOULD BE CHANGED; IT IS WEAKENING DEMOCRACY; DEVASTATING THE SYSTEM; CHANGES MUST BE MADE
1976 2. DISSATISFIED--A FEW OR MODEST CHANGES SHOULD BE MADE; CURRENT PROCESS HAS SOME (A FEW, A COUPLE) DEFICIENCIES; MORE BAD THAN GOOD POINTS; CONS OUTWEIGH THE PROS.
70 3. AMBIVALENT OR NEUTRAL--IT HAS ITS GOOD POINTS AND ITS BAD POINTS; EQUAL PROS AND CONS; LIKES (ONE ASPECT) BUT DISLIKES (ANOTHER ASPECT).
373 4. SATISFIED--LIKES THE PROCESS BUT IT CAN BE IMPROVED; BASICALLY OKAY WITH ONE OR TWO MODEST CHANGES; PROS OUTWEIGH CONS; MORE GOOD POINTS THAN BAD POINTS.
546 5. STRONGLY SATISFIED--LIKES SYSTEM AS IS; NO REFORMS NECESSARY; THE BEST THERE IS; AGAINST ANY CHANGES; NO CHANGE.

46 7. DEGREE OF SATISFACTION CANNOT BE DETERMINED FROM AN OTHERWISE MEANINGFUL RESPONSE.
26 8. DON'T KNOW, HAVEN'T THOUGHT ABOUT IT
1544 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0434 SALIENCE OF PARTY MD=GE 8
REF 0434 LOC 541 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 17

0

Q.F1(C). GLOBAL DIMENSION 3: SALIENCE OF PARTY IN R'S RESPONSE TO Q.F1

<THIS VARIABLE WAS CODED FROM R'S WHOLE RESPONSE TO Q.R1>

422 1. PARTY REFERRED TO IN GENERAL TERMS--NO LEVEL SPECIFIED. PARTIES ARE TOO WEAK UNDER PRESENT SYSTEM; NEED TO STRENGTHEN PARTIES; PRESENT SYSTEM

LESSENS ROLE OF PARTIES; (CERTAIN) CHANGES WOULD WOULD HELP THE PARTY; PARTY ORGANIZATION HAS SUFFERED

281 2. PARTY LEADERSHIP EMPHASIZED. DECISION-MAKING SHOULD BE DONE BY PARTY AND PUBLIC OFFICEHOLDERS; BACKROOM POLITICS PREFERABLE TO TOO MUCH POPULAR CONTROL; PROCESS NEGLECTS THE ROLE OF PARTY LEADERS.

197 3. PARTY RANK AND FILE EMPHASIZED. PARTY WORKERS NEED MORE INFLUENCE; PARTY FAITHFUL BEING SHUT OUT; ALL

1 242
- (CONTINUED)
- DELEGATES SHOULD BE ELECTED IN A CLOSED PRIMARY; CHANGES SHOULD BE APPROVED BY MEMBERSHIP, NOT JUST LEADERS; DECREASE CONTROL OF THE LEADERS; INCREASE INFLUENCE OF THE PARTY SUPPORTERS.

2933 5. PARTY NOT MENTIONED IN THE RESPONSE

31 8. DK
1542 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0435 EVAL 1:NOM PROCESS MD=0 OR GE 98
REF 0435 LOC 542 WIDTH 2 DK 9 COL 18-19

0

Q.F1(D1). R'S EVALUATION OF NOMINATING PROCESS: FIRST RESPONSE TO Q.F1

<UP TO 5 MENTIONS WERE CODED FOR R'S EVALUATION OF THE PRESENT NOMINATION PROCESS>

I. GENERAL "PRESENT SYSTEM" EVALUATIONS (PART OF SYSTEM NOT SPECIFIED)

CODERS WERE INSTRUCTED NOT TO USE THESE CATEGORIES IF MORE SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE PROCESS OR SYSTEM WERE NAMED AS SOURCES OF THE EVALUATION.

451 01. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PRESENT SYSTEM. BEST AVAILABLE. NOT TOO BAD. WORKS WELL. NONE BETTER. GOOD.

115 02. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRESENT SYSTEM. STINKS. BAD. INEFFECTIVE. CUMBERSOME. UNWIELDLY. BIG FRAUD. WRONG.

15 03. NEGATIVE EFFECTS ON PARTY SYSTEM. FRAGMENTS RESPONSIBILITY. ERODES 2 PARTY SYSTEM. CONTROLLED BY FACTIONS.

86 04. NEGATIVE OR BIASED IMPACT ON TYPES OF CANDIDATES. NEED A WIDER FIELD. NOT SET UP TO OFFER CANDIDATES WHO CAN WIN. FAILS TO ATTRACT BEST CANDIDATES. CANDIDATES SELECTED ARE APOLITICAL. FAVORS INCUMBENT.

1 05. NOT ENOUGH DISCUSSION OF ISSUES.

1 243

- (CONTINUED)

-

41 06. POSITIVE EFFECTS ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. REPRESENTATIVE. OPEN

0 07. POSITIVE EFFECTS ON PARTY SYSTEM. SUPPORTS PARTY STRUCTURE.

11 09. OTHER GENERAL RESPONSES.

0

II.DURATION AND TIMING

364 10. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN. CAMPAIGN IS TOO LONG. PROCESS IS TOO PROTRACTED. PRESENT SYSTEM TAKES TOO MUCH TIME. CAMPAIGN STARTS TOO EARLY.

136 11. PRIMARY/CAUCUS/STATE CONVENTION SEASON IS TOO LONG. PRIMARIES ARE TOO LONG. PRIMARY/CONVENTION SEASON IS TOO LONG. TOO MANY CONTESTS.

3 12. TIME BETWEEN DELEGATE SELECTION AND NATIONAL CONVENTION TOO LONG. TOO MUCH TIME BETWEEN PRIMARIES/CAUCUS AND NATIONAL CONVENTION.

32 13. LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN'S EFFECTS ON VOTERS. LENGTHY CAMPAIGN WEARS ON VOTERS. PUBLIC GETS FED UP.

34 14. LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN'S EFFECTS ON CANDIDATES. EXHAUSTS CANDIDATES. TOO ARDUOUS FOR CANDIDATE. TIRES CANDIDATES.

80 15. UNDUE INFLUENCE OF EARLY PRIMARIES/CAUCUSES. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE IN EARLY STATES. BANDWAGON EFFECT. SNOWBALL EFFECT. VIABLE CANDIDATES NOT IN LATER RACES AFTER EARLY DEFEATS.

2 16. POSITIVE EVALUATION - GIVES VOTERS MORE INFORMATION ON CANDIDATES - MORE TIME TO DECIDE, CHANCE TO EVALUATE THE ENDURANCE OF CANDIDATES.

13 18. ALL OTHER POSITIVE REFERENCES TO LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN. ALLOWS SEPARATION OF VIABLE AND NON-VIABLE CANDIDATES. TESTS CANDIDATES OVER LONG DURATION, UNDER A VARIETY OF CIRCUMSTANCES.

0 19. OTHER REFERENCES TO CAMPAIGN LENGTH.

0

III. EXPENSES AND PUBLIC FINANCNG

1 244
- (CONTINUED)
-

87 20. GENERAL REFERENCES TO EXPENSE OF CAMPAIGN. PROCESS IS TOO EXPENSIVE. CAMPAIGNS COST TOO MUCH. TOO MUCH MONEY IS SPENT ON CAMPAIGNS. PRIMARIES TOO EXPENSIVE.

16 21. CAMPAIGN LENGTH'S EFFECTS ON CAMPAIGN EXPENSE. CAMPAIGNS ARE EXPENSIVE BECAUSE THEY'RE TOO LONG. BECAUSE CAMPAIGNS START TOO SOON.

3 22. REFERENCES TO MEDIA (TELEVISION) CAMPAIGN COSTS.

TV ADVERTISING TOO EXPENSIVE. DRAINS MONEY FROM OTHER TYPES OF CAMPAIGNING.

26 23. PROCESS FAVORS WEALTHY CANDIDATES. ONLY RICH CAN WIN. ALL CANDIDATES ARE MILLIONAIRES. FAVORS WEALTHY. ONLY THOSE BACKED BY THE RICH OR RICH GROUPS CAN WIN.

1 25. OTHER REFERENCES TO CAMPAIGN EXPENSE.

3 26. ALL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO FEC/PUBLIC FINANCING/SPENDING LIMITS. PUBLIC FINANCING IS A GOOD IDEA. HAS HELPED. MADE THINGS EASIER.

8 27. ALL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO FEC/PUBLIC FINANCING/SPENDING LIMITS. FEC IS A FARCE. PUBLIC FINANCING IS A JOKE. BAD IDEA. SPENDING LIMITS NOT GOOD. PRODUCES TOO MANY CANDIDATES.

0 29. OTHER REFERENCES TO PUBLIC FINANCING/SPENDING LIMITS.

0

IV. MEDIA

38 30. GENERAL REFERENCE TO EXCESSIVE OR HARMFUL MEDIA INFLUENCE IN PROCESS. MEDIA HAS TOO MUCH INFLUENCE. PROCESS USES MEDIA TO UNDESIRABLE EXTENT. MEDIA MANIPULATES PROCESS. RACE IS A MEDIA EVENT.

8 31. UNDUE MEDIA INFLUENCE IN EARLY CAMPAIGN AND PRIMARY SEASON. RACE GOES TO CANDIDATE WHO GETS TO PRESS FIRST. PRESS DECLARES WINNER TOO EARLY. MEDIA OVEREMPHASIZES FRONTRUNNERS, WINNERS. PRIMARY SEASON DEPENDENT ON MEDIA HYPE.

21 32. REFERENCE TO MEDIA INFLUENCE IN CANDIDATE SELECTION. MANIPULATES PUBLIC OPINION OF

1 245

- (CONTINUED)

- CANDIDATES. PRESS IS CHOOSING FOR US. HAS HUGE

HAND IN CHOOSING CANDIDATES. AD AGENT MORE IMPORTANT THAN CANDIDATES.

11 33. MEDIA COVERAGE IS QUALITATIVELY POOR. REPORTERS ARE IGNORANT. NAIVE. TOO MUCH MEDIA SCRUTINY OF CANDIDATES' PERSONAL AFFAIRS. RACE IS COVERED LIKE A MARATHON.

3 34. TELEVISION INFLUENCE SINGLED OUT (DOUBLE CODE WITH OTHER CATEGORIES IN THIS RANGE). ESPECIALLY TV. NETWORKS. TV REPORTERS.

0 35. REFERENCE EMPHASIZES PRINT INFLUENCE SINGLED OUT (DOUBLE CODE WITH OTHER CATEGORIES IN THIS RANGE). EDITORS. NATIONAL COLUMN WRITERS. NEWSPAPERS. MAGAZINES. PRESS.

4 38. MEDIA COVERAGE VIEWED POSITIVELY. VOTERS HAVE MORE INFORMATION. CANDIDATES ARE BETTER KNOWN.

6 39. OTHER REFERENCES TO MEDIA INFLUENCE.

0

V. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS

12 40. GENERAL REFERENCES TO UNDUE OR NEGATIVE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS. PACS ARE TOO POWERFUL. TOO MANY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS. EMERGENCE OF DELEGATES COMMITTED TO A SINGLE CAUSE.

15 41. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS' IMPACT ON SPECIFIC PART OR FUNCTION OF SYSTEM OR PROCESS. FRAGMENTS SYSTEM. TOO MUCH WEIGHT IN NOMINATING PROCESS. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE WITH CANDIDATES. SPECIAL INTERESTS HAVE TAKEN OVER PARTIES.

0 42. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PACS. INVOLVED GRASS ROOTS. ENSURES PARTY CONSIDERATION OF VARIETY OF ISSUES.

0 49. OTHER REFERENCES TO SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS/PACS.

0

VI. EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES

29 50. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES. LIKE PRIMARIES. PRIMARIES ARE A GOOD IDEA.

32 51. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES. DISLIKES PRIMARIES. BAD FOR THE SYSTEM.

1 246
- (CONTINUED)
-

25 52. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES ON PARTY/PARTY SYSTEM. WEAKENS POLITICAL PARTIES. DISMANTLES 2-PARTY SYSTEM. CONTRIBUTES TO INTRA-PARTY BITTERNESS.

22 53. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES ON QUALITY AND CONDUCT OF CANDIDATES. CANDIDATES LESS CONCERNED WITH PARTIES. CANDIDATES ARE LESS THAN PRESIDENTIAL QUALITY. ARE NOT THE BEST PEOPLE. CANNOT WIN IN GENERAL ELECTION. CAUSES CANDIDATES TO BE MORE INVOLVED WITH SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS.

2 54. NEGATIVE QUALITIES OF DELEGATES SELECTED BY PRIMARIES. LESS KNOWLEDGABLE. WARM BODIES. LOYAL TO CANDIDATE OR CAUSE, NOT PARTY, AMATEURS.

21 55. NEGATIVE EFFECT OF PRIMARIES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. TOO DEMOCRATIC. TOO MUCH UNINFORMED PARTICIPATION.

33 56. POSITIVE EFFECT OF PRIMARIES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. MORE DEMOCRATIC. INVOLVES GRASS ROOTS. GIVES PEOPLE RIGHT TO DECIDE.

8 57. NEGATIVE EVALUATION OF OPEN PRIMARIES. POSITIVE EVALUATION OF CLOSED PRIMARIES.

4 58. OTHER POSITIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES.

10 59. OTHER NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES' EFFECTS.

0

VII. EFFECTS OF CAUCUS/ STATE CONVENTION

20 60. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUSES. LIKES

CAUCUSES. CAUCUSES ARE BETTER.

4 61. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUSES. DISLIKES CAUCUSES.

6 62. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON PARTY/PARTY SYSTEM. STRENGTHENS PARTY MECHANISMS. PROMOTES PARTY UNITY.

5 63. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON QUALITY AND CONDUCT OF CANDIDATES. PRODUCES CANDIDATES WHO CAN WIN. PRODUCES OLD GUARD CANDIDATES.

10 64. POSITIVE QUALITIES OF CAUCUS PARTICIPANTS. CAUCUS PARTICIPANTS ARE INFORMED. KNOWLEDGEABLE. MORE

(CONTINUED)

WILLING TO WORK FOR A PARTY.

14 65. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. DOES NOT THWART DEMOCRACY. JUST AS DEMOCRATIC.

18 66. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. TOO FEW GET INVOLVED. RESTRICTS DECISION TO ELITES.

3 67. NEGATIVE QUALITIES OF DELEGATES; MEDIOCRE

6 68. OTHER NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUS EFFECTS.

3 69. OTHER POSITIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUS EFFECTS.

0

VIII. DELEGATE SELECTION PROCESS (NOT SPECIFICALLY PRIMARIES OR CAUCUSES, BUT INCLUDING DELEGATE ALLOCATION, PROCEDURES AND ROLE OF CONVENTION)

0 70. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCESS. THE PRESENT SYSTEM FOR PICKING DELEGATES IS OK. FAIR.

3 71. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION

PROCESS. THE PRESENT SYSTEM STINKS. ABSURD. POOR.

12 72. POOR QUALITY OF DELEGATES. SENDS RANK AMATEURS TO NATIONAL CONVENTION. FOLLOW CANDIDATES BLINDLY. BEHOLDEN TO INTEREST GROUPS.

2 73. NOT ENOUGH OR POOR CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN DELEGATE SELECTION. ARE NO HELP IN GETTING WORKERS NAMED. IGNORE CAMPAIGN WORKERS. TOO MUCH CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN DELEGATE SELECTION.

4 74. NOT ENOUGH PARTICIPATION IN PROCESS.

3 75. DELEGATE ALLOCATION AFFECTS PARTY UNITY. PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION CAUSES DELEGATES TO BE MORE LOYAL TO CANDIDATES. WINNER-TAKE-ALL PROMOTES PARTY UNITY.

28 76. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO NON-UNIFORMITY AND INSTABILITY OF PROCESSES. CONFUSING. CHANGING RULES IS UNFAIR.

7 77. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO NON-UNIFORMITY OF PROCEDURES. HODGEPODGE TESTS CANDIDATES IN MANY

1 248
- (CONTINUED)
- SITUATIONS. GIVES EACH STATE RIGHT TO SELECT ITS OWN PROCEDURE.

39 78. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO NOMINATING CONVENTIONS. NOT ENOUGH MEANING. OUTMODED.

9 79. OTHER REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES.

28 80. REFORMS HAVE GONE TOO FAR; MCGOVERN RULES WEAKENED PARTY

21 81. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO QUOTA SYSTEM.

12 82. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO QUOTA SYSTEM.

11 83. CLOSED CONVENTION RULING OPPOSED; DOESN'T LIKE BOUND CONVENTION DELEGATES.

17 84. PARTY LEADERS HAVE TOO MUCH INFLUENCE.

1 85. POSITIVE EVALUATION OF NATIONAL CONVENTION.

1 86. SMALL STATES HAVE TOO MUCH INFLUENCE.

0 87. NEGATIVE EFFECT OF CAUCUSES ON CANDIDATES.

0

IX. MISCELLANEOUS

16 90. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED ELSEWHERE (LOW PRIORITY).

7 91. REFERENCES TO ELECTORAL COLLEGE, POPULAR ELECTION OF PRESIDENT.

3 93. NEGATIVE IMPACT OF CAMPAIGN ON GOVERNMENT.

10 97. OTHER NONRELEVANT COMMENTS

21 98. DK

3230 99. NA

0

1 249

-

0 VAR 0436 EVAL 2:NOM PROCESS MD=0 OR GE 98
REF 0436 LOC 544 WIDTH 2 DK 9 COL 20-21

0

Q.F1(D2). R'S EVALUATION OF NOMINATING PROCESS: SECOND RESPONSE TO Q.F1

<UP TO 5 MENTIONS WERE CODED FOR R'S EVALUATION OF THE PRESENT NOMINATION PROCESS>

I. GENERAL "PRESENT SYSTEM" EVALUATIONS (PART OF SYSTEM NOT

SPECIFIED)

CODERS WERE INSTRUCTED NOT TO USE THESE CATEGORIES IF MORE SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE PROCESS OR SYSTEM WERE NAMED AS SOURCES OF THE EVALUATION.

8 01. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PRESENT SYSTEM. BEST AVAILABLE. NOT TOO BAD. WORKS WELL. NONE BETTER. GOOD.

6 02. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRESENT SYSTEM. STINKS. BAD. INEFFECTIVE. CUMBERSOME. UNWIELDLY. BIG FRAUD. WRONG.

9 03. NEGATIVE EFFECTS ON PARTY SYSTEM. FRAGMENTS RESPONSIBILITY. ERODES 2 PARTY SYSTEM. CONTROLLED BY FACTIONS.

25 04. NEGATIVE OR BIASED IMPACT ON TYPES OF CANDIDATES. NEED A WIDER FIELD. NOT SET UP TO OFFER CANDIDATES WHO CAN WIN. FAILS TO ATTRACT BEST CANDIDATES. CANDIDATES SELECTED ARE APOLITICAL. FAVORS INCUMBENT.

0 05. NOT ENOUGH DISCUSSION OF ISSUES.

7 06. POSITIVE EFFECTS ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. REPRESENTATIVE. OPEN

3 07. POSITIVE EFFECTS ON PARTY SYSTEM. SUPPORTS PARTY STRUCTURE.

5 09. OTHER GENERAL RESPONSES.

0

II.DURATION AND TIMING

58 10. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN.

1 250

- (CONTINUED)

- CAMPAIGN IS TOO LONG. PROCESS IS TOO PROTRACTED. PRESENT SYSTEM TAKES TOO MUCH TIME. CAMPAIGN

STARTS TOO EARLY.

39 11. PRIMARY/CAUCUS/STATE CONVENTION SEASON IS TOO LONG. PRIMARIES ARE TOO LONG. PRIMARY/CONVENTION SEASON IS TOO LONG. TOO MANY CONTESTS.

3 12. TIME BETWEEN DELEGATE SELECTION AND NATIONAL CONVENTION TOO LONG. TOO MUCH TIME BETWEEN PRIMARIES/CAUCUS AND NATIONAL CONVENTION.

16 13. LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN'S EFFECTS ON VOTERS. LENGTHY CAMPAIGN WEARS ON VOTERS. PUBLIC GETS FED UP.

36 14. LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN'S EFFECTS ON CANDIDATES. EXHAUSTS CANDIDATES. TOO ARDUOUS FOR CANDIDATE. TIRES CANDIDATES.

42 15. UNDUE INFLUENCE OF EARLY PRIMARIES/CAUCUSES. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE IN EARLY STATES. BANDWAGON EFFECT. SNOWBALL EFFECT. VIABLE CANDIDATES NOT IN LATER RACES AFTER EARLY DEFEATS.

4 16. POSITIVE EVALUATION - GIVES VOTERS MORE INFORMATION ON CANDIDATES - MORE TIME TO DECIDE, CHANCE TO EVALUATE THE ENDURANCE OF CANDIDATES.

6 18. ALL OTHER POSITIVE REFERENCES TO LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN. ALLOWS SEPARATION OF VIABLE AND NON-VIABLE CANDIDATES. TESTS CANDIDATES OVER LONG DURATION, UNDER A VARIETY OF CIRCUMSTANCES.

2 19. OTHER REFERENCES TO CAMPAIGN LENGTH.

0

III. EXPENSES AND PUBLIC FINANCING

204 20. GENERAL REFERENCES TO EXPENSE OF CAMPAIGN. PROCESS IS TOO EXPENSIVE. CAMPAIGNS COST TOO MUCH. TOO MUCH MONEY IS SPENT ON CAMPAIGNS. PRIMARIES TOO EXPENSIVE.

7 21. CAMPAIGN LENGTH'S EFFECTS ON CAMPAIGN EXPENSE. CAMPAIGNS ARE EXPENSIVE BECAUSE THEY'RE TOO LONG.

BECAUSE CAMPAIGNS START TOO SOON.

3 22. REFERENCES TO MEDIA (TELEVISION) CAMPAIGN COSTS. TV ADVERTISING TOO EXPENSIVE. DRAINS MONEY FROM OTHER TYPES OF CAMPAIGNING.

1 251

- (CONTINUED)

-

25 23. PROCESS FAVORS WEALTHY CANDIDATES. ONLY RICH CAN WIN. ALL CANDIDATES ARE MILLIONAIRES. FAVORS WEALTHY. ONLY THOSE BACKED BY THE RICH OR RICH GROUPS CAN WIN.

0 25. OTHER REFERENCES TO CAMPAIGN EXPENSE.

2 26. ALL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO FEC/PUBLIC FINANCING/SPENDING LIMITS. PUBLIC FINANCING IS A GOOD IDEA. HAS HELPED. MADE THINGS EASIER.

7 27. ALL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO FEC/PUBLIC FINANCING/SPENDING LIMITS. FEC IS A FARCE. PUBLIC FINANCING IS A JOKE. BAD IDEA. SPENDING LIMITS NOT GOOD. PRODUCES TOO MANY CANDIDATES.

0 29. OTHER REFERENCES TO PUBLIC FINANCING/SPENDING LIMITS.

0

IV. MEDIA

43 30. GENERAL REFERENCE TO EXCESSIVE OR HARMFUL MEDIA INFLUENCE IN PROCESS. MEDIA HAS TOO MUCH INFLUENCE. PROCESS USES MEDIA TO UNDESIRABLE EXTENT. MEDIA MANIPULATES PROCESS. RACE IS A MEDIA EVENT.

20 31. UNDUE MEDIA INFLUENCE IN EARLY CAMPAIGN AND PRIMARY SEASON. RACE GOES TO CANDIDATE WHO GETS TO PRESS FIRST. PRESS DECLARES WINNER TOO EARLY. MEDIA OVEREMPHASIZES FRONTRUNNERS, WINNERS. PRIMARY SEASON DEPENDENT ON MEDIA HYPE.

23 32. REFERENCE TO MEDIA INFLUENCE IN CANDIDATE SELECTION. MANIPULATES PUBLIC OPINION OF CANDIDATES. PRESS IS CHOOSING FOR US. HAS HUGE HAND IN CHOOSING CANDIDATES. AD AGENT MORE IMPORTANT THAN CANDIDATES.

21 33. MEDIA COVERAGE IS QUALITATIVELY POOR. REPORTERS ARE IGNORANT. NAIVE. TOO MUCH MEDIA SCRUTINY OF CANDIDATES' PERSONAL AFFAIRS. RACE IS COVERED LIKE A MARATHON.

13 34. TELEVISION INFLUENCE SINGLED OUT (DOUBLE CODE WITH OTHER CATEGORIES IN THIS RANGE). ESPECIALLY TV. NETWORKS. TV REPORTERS.

1 252
- (CONTINUED)
- 2 35. REFERENCE EMPHASIZES PRINT INFLUENCE SINGLED OUT (DOUBLE CODE WITH OTHER CATEGORIES IN THIS RANGE). EDITORS. NATIONAL COLUMN WRITERS. NEWSPAPERS. MAGAZINES. PRESS.

6 38. MEDIA COVERAGE VIEWED POSITIVELY. VOTERS HAVE MORE INFORMATION. CANDIDATES ARE BETTER KNOWN.

0 39. OTHER REFERENCES TO MEDIA INFLUENCE.
0

V. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS

16 40. GENERAL REFERENCES TO UNDUE OR NEGATIVE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS. PACS ARE TOO POWERFUL. TOO MANY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS. EMERGENCE OF DELEGATES COMMITTED TO A SINGLE CAUSE.

15 41. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS' IMPACT ON SPECIFIC PART OR FUNCTION OF SYSTEM OR PROCESS. FRAGMENTS SYSTEM. TOO MUCH WEIGHT IN NOMINATING PROCESS. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE WITH CANDIDATES. SPECIAL INTERESTS HAVE TAKEN OVER PARTIES.

2 42. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PACS. INVOLVED GRASS ROOTS.

ENSURES PARTY CONSIDERATION OF VARIETY OF ISSUES.

0 49. OTHER REFERENCES TO SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS/PACS.

0

VI. EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES

3 50. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES. LIKES PRIMARIES. PRIMARIES ARE A GOOD IDEA.

9 51. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES. DISLIKES PRIMARIES. BAD FOR THE SYSTEM.

9 52. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES ON PARTY/PARTY SYSTEM. WEAKENS POLITICAL PARTIES. DISMANTLES 2-PARTY SYSTEM. CONTRIBUTES TO INTRA-PARTY BITTERNESS.

28 53. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES ON QUALITY AND CONDUCT OF CANDIDATES. CANDIDATES LESS CONCERNED WITH PARTIES. CANDIDATES ARE LESS THAN PRESIDENTIAL QUALITY. ARE NOT THE BEST PEOPLE. CANNOT WIN IN GENERAL ELECTION. CAUSES CANDIDATES TO BE MORE INVOLVED WITH SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS.

1 253

- (CONTINUED)

- 4 54. NEGATIVE QUALITIES OF DELEGATES SELECTED BY PRIMARIES. LESS KNOWLEDGABLE. WARM BODIES. LOYAL TO CANDIDATE OR CAUSE, NOT PARTY, AMATEURS.

17 55. NEGATIVE EFFECT OF PRIMARIES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. TOO DEMOCRATIC. TOO MUCH UNINFORMED PARTICIPATION.

8 56. POSITIVE EFFECT OF PRIMARIES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. MORE DEMOCRATIC. INVOLVES GRASS ROOTS. GIVES PEOPLE RIGHT TO DECIDE.

2 57. NEGATIVE EVALUATION OF OPEN PRIMARIES. POSITIVE EVALUATION OF CLOSED PRIMARIES.

5 58. OTHER POSITIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES.

4 59. OTHER NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES' EFFECTS.

0

VII. EFFECTS OF CAUCUS/STATE CONVENTION

3 60. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUSES. LIKES CAUCUSES. CAUCUSES ARE BETTER.

1 61. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUSES. DISLIKES CAUCUSES.

5 62. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON PARTY/PARTY SYSTEM. STRENGTHENS PARTY MECHANISMS. PROMOTES PARTY UNITY.

7 63. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON QUALITY AND CONDUCT OF CANDIDATES. PRODUCES CANDIDATES WHO CAN WIN. PRODUCES OLD GUARD CANDIDATES.

7 64. POSITIVE QUALITIES OF CAUCUS PARTICIPANTS. CAUCUS PARTICIPANTS ARE INFORMED. KNOWLEDGEABLE. MORE WILLING TO WORK FOR A PARTY.

10 65. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. DOES NOT THWART DEMOCRACY. JUST AS DEMOCRATIC.

12 66. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. TOO FEW GET INVOLVED. RESTRICTS DECISION TO ELITES.

0 67. NEGATIVE QUALITIES OF DELEGATES; MEDIOCRE.

4 68. OTHER NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUS EFFECTS.

1 254
- (CONTINUED)
- 2 69. OTHER POSITIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUS EFFECTS.
0

VIII. DELEGATE SELECTION PROCESS (NOT SPECIFICALLY PRIMARIES OR CAUCUSES, BUT INCLUDING DELEGATE ALLOCATION, PROCEDURES AND ROLE OF CONVENTION)

1 70. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCESS. THE PRESENT SYSTEM FOR PICKING DELEGATES IS OK. FAIR.

0 71. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCESS. THE PRESENT SYSTEM STINKS. ABSURD. POOR.

10 72. POOR QUALITY OF DELEGATES. SENDS RANK AMATEURS TO NATIONAL CONVENTION. FOLLOW CANDIDATES BLINDLY. BEHOLDEN TO INTEREST GROUPS.

7 73. NOT ENOUGH OR POOR CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN DELEGATE SELECTION. ARE NO HELP IN GETTING WORKERS NAMED. IGNORE CAMPAIGN WORKERS. TOO MUCH CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN DELEGATE SELECTION.

2 74. NOT ENOUGH PARTICIPATION IN PROCESS.

2 75. DELEGATE ALLOCATION AFFECTS PARTY UNITY. PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION CAUSES DELEGATES TO BE MORE LOYAL TO CANDIDATES. WINNER-TAKE-ALL PROMOTES PARTY UNITY.

9 76. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO NON-UNIFORMITY AND INSTABILITY OF PROCESSES. CONFUSING. CHANGING RULES IS UNFAIR.

7 77. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO NON-UNIFORMITY OF PROCEDURES. HODGEPODGE TESTS CANDIDATES IN MANY SITUATIONS. GIVES EACH STATE RIGHT TO SELECT ITS OWN PROCEDURE.

20 78. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO NOMINATING CONVENTIONS. NOT ENOUGH MEANING. OUTMODED.

9 79. OTHER REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES.

6 80. REFORMS HAVE GONE TOO FAR; MCGOVERN RULES WEAKENED PARTY

11 81. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO QUOTA SYSTEM.

1 255
- (CONTINUED)
- 4 82. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO QUOTA SYSTEM.

7 83. CLOSED CONVENTION RULING OPPOSED; DOESN'T LIKE BOUND CONVENTION DELEGATES.

11 84. PARTY LEADERS HAVE TOO MUCH INFLUENCE.

2 85. POSITIVE EVALUATION OF NATIONAL CONVENTION.

0 86. SMALL STATES HAVE TOO MUCH INFLUENCE.

1 87. NEGATIVE EFFECT OF CAUCUSES ON CANDIDATES.
0
IX. MISCELLANEOUS

13 90. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED ELSEWHERE (LOW PRIORITY).

9 91. REFERENCES TO ELECTORAL COLLEGE, POPULAR ELECTION OF PRESIDENT.

0 93. NEGATIVE IMPACT OF CAMPAIGN ON GOVERNMENT.

9 97. OTHER NONRELEVANT COMMENTS

0 98. DK
2 99. NA
4446 00. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTION
0 .....
0 VAR 0437 EVAL 3:NOM PROCESS MD=0 OR GE 98
REF 0437 LOC 546 WIDTH 2 DK 9 COL 22-23

0
Q.F1(D3). R'S EVALUATION OF NOMINATING PROCESS: THIRD RESPONSE TO Q.F1

<UP TO 5 MENTIONS WERE CODED FOR R'S EVALUATION OF THE PRESENT NOMINATION PROCESS>

I. GENERAL "PRESENT SYSTEM" EVALUATIONS (PART OF SYSTEM NOT SPECIFIED)

CODERS WERE INSTRUCTED NOT TO USE THESE CATEGORIES IF MORE SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE PROCESS OR SYSTEM WERE NAMED AS SOURCES OF THE EVALUATION.

1 256
- (CONTINUED)
-

4 01. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PRESENT SYSTEM. BEST AVAILABLE. NOT TOO BAD. WORKS WELL. NONE BETTER. GOOD.

3 02. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRESENT SYSTEM. STINKS. BAD. INEFFECTIVE. CUMBERSOME. UNWIELDLY. BIG FRAUD. WRONG.

4 03. NEGATIVE EFFECTS ON PARTY SYSTEM. FRAGMENTS RESPONSIBILITY. ERODES 2 PARTY SYSTEM. CONTROLLED BY FACTIONS.

30 04. NEGATIVE OR BIASED IMPACT ON TYPES OF CANDIDATES. NEED A WIDER FIELD. NOT SET UP TO OFFER CANDIDATES WHO CAN WIN. FAILS TO ATTRACT BEST CANDIDATES. CANDIDATES SELECTED ARE APOLITICAL. FAVORS INCUMBENT.

1 05. NOT ENOUGH DISCUSSION OF ISSUES.

4 06. POSITIVE EFFECTS ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. REPRESENTATIVE. OPEN

1 07. POSITIVE EFFECTS ON PARTY SYSTEM. SUPPORTS PARTY STRUCTURE.

1 09. OTHER GENERAL RESPONSES.

0

II.DURATION AND TIMING

17 10. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN. CAMPAIGN IS TOO LONG. PROCESS IS TOO PROTRACTED. PRESENT SYSTEM TAKES TOO MUCH TIME. CAMPAIGN STARTS TOO EARLY.

10 11. PRIMARY/CAUCUS/STATE CONVENTION SEASON IS TOO LONG. PRIMARIES ARE TOO LONG. PRIMARY/CONVENTION SEASON IS TOO LONG. TOO MANY CONTESTS.

1 12. TIME BETWEEN DELEGATE SELECTION AND NATIONAL CONVENTION TOO LONG. TOO MUCH TIME BETWEEN PRIMARIES/CAUCUS AND NATIONAL CONVENTION.

10 13. LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN'S EFFECTS ON VOTERS. LENGTHY CAMPAIGN WEARS ON VOTERS. PUBLIC GETS FED UP.

15 14. LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN'S EFFECTS ON CANDIDATES. EXHAUSTS CANDIDATES. TOO ARDUOUS FOR CANDIDATE.

1 257

- (CONTINUED)
- TIRES CANDIDATES.

24 15. UNDUE INFLUENCE OF EARLY PRIMARIES/CAUCUSES. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE IN EARLY STATES. BANDWAGON EFFECT. SNOWBALL EFFECT. VIABLE CANDIDATES NOT IN LATER RACES AFTER EARLY DEFEATS.

0 16. POSITIVE EVALUATION - GIVES VOTERS MORE INFORMATION ON CANDIDATES - MORE TIME TO DECIDE, CHANCE TO EVALUATE THE ENDURANCE OF CANDIDATES.

0 18. ALL OTHER POSITIVE REFERENCES TO LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN. ALLOWS SEPARATION OF VIABLE AND NON-VIABLE CANDIDATES. TESTS CANDIDATES OVER LONG DURATION, UNDER A VARIETY OF CIRCUMSTANCES.

1 19 OTHER REFERENCES TO CAMPAIGN LENGTH.

0

III. EXPENSES AND PUBLIC FINANCING

42 20. GENERAL REFERENCES TO EXPENSE OF CAMPAIGN. PROCESS IS TOO EXPENSIVE. CAMPAIGNS COST TOO MUCH. TOO MUCH MONEY IS SPENT ON CAMPAIGNS. PRIMARIES TOO EXPENSIVE.

3 21. CAMPAIGN LENGTH'S EFFECTS ON CAMPAIGN EXPENSE. CAMPAIGNS ARE EXPENSIVE BECAUSE THEY'RE TOO LONG. BECAUSE CAMPAIGNS START TOO SOON.

0 22. REFERENCES TO MEDIA (TELEVISION) CAMPAIGN COSTS. TV ADVERTISING TOO EXPENSIVE. DRAINS MONEY FROM OTHER TYPES OF CAMPAIGNING.

4 23. PROCESS FAVORS WEALTHY CANDIDATES. ONLY RICH CAN WIN. ALL CANDIDATES ARE MILLIONAIRES. FAVORS WEALTHY. ONLY THOSE BACKED BY THE RICH OR RICH GROUPS CAN WIN.

2 25. OTHER REFERENCES TO CAMPAIGN EXPENSE.

0 26. ALL POSITIVE REFERENCE TO FEC/PUBLIC FINANCING/SPENDING LIMITS. PUBLIC FINANCING IS A GOOD IDEA. HAS HELPED. MADE THINGS EASIER.

6 27. ALL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO FEC/PUBLIC FINANCING/ SPENDING LIMITS. FEC IS A FARCE. PUBLIC FINANCING IS A JOKE. BAD IDEA. SPENDING LIMITS NOT GOOD. PRODUCES TOO MANY CANDIDATES.

1 258
- (CONTINUED)
- 1 29. OTHER REFERENCES TO PUBLIC FINANCING/ SPENDING LIMITS.
0

IV. MEDIA

20 30. GENERAL REFERENCE TO EXCESSIVE OR HARMFUL MEDIA INFLUENCE IN PROCESS. MEDIA HAS TOO MUCH INFLUENCE. PROCESS USES MEDIA TO UNDESIRABLE EXTENT. MEDIA MANIPULATES PROCESS. RACE IS A MEDIA EVENT.

6 31. UNDUE MEDIA INFLUENCE IN EARLY CAMPAIGN AND PRIMARY SEASON. RACE GOES TO CANDIDATE WHO GETS TO PRESS FIRST. PRESS DECLARES WINNER TOO EARLY. MEDIA OVEREMPHASIZES FRONTRUNNERS, WINNERS. PRIMARY SEASON DEPENDENT ON MEDIA HYPE.

15 32. REFERENCE TO MEDIA INFLUENCE IN CANDIDATE SELECTION. MANIPULATES PUBLIC OPINION OF CANDIDATES. PRESS IS CHOOSING FOR US. HAS HUGE HAND IN CHOOSING CANDIDATES. AD AGENT MORE IMPORTANT THAN CANDIDATES.

10 33. MEDIA COVERAGE IS QUALITATIVELY POOR. REPORTERS ARE IGNORANT. NAIVE. TOO MUCH MEDIA SCRUTINY OF CANDIDATES' PERSONAL AFFAIRS. RACE IS COVERED LIKE A MARATHON.

18 34. TELEVISION INFLUENCE SINGLED OUT (DOUBLE CODE WITH OTHER CATEGORIES IN THIS RANGE.). ESPECIALLY TV. NETWORKS. TV REPORTERS.

8 35. REFERENCE EMPHASIZES PRINT INFLUENCE SINGLED OUT (DOUBLE CODE WITH OTHER CATEGORIES IN THIS RANGE). EDITORS. NATIONAL COLUMN WRITERS. NEWSPAPERS. MAGAZINES. PRESS.

3 38. MEDIA COVERAGE VIEWED POSITIVELY. VOTERS HAVE MORE INFORMATION. CANDIDATES ARE BETTER KNOWN.

1 39. OTHER REFERENCES TO MEDIA INFLUENCE.

0

V. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS

12 40. GENERAL REFERENCES TO UNDUE OR NEGATIVE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS. PACS ARE TOO POWERFUL. TOO MANY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS. EMERGENCE OF DELEGATES COMMITTED TO A SINGLE CAUSE.

1 259

- (CONTINUED)

-

15 41. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS' IMPACT ON SPECIFIC PART OR FUNCTION OF SYSTEM OR PROCESS. FRAGMENTS SYSTEM. TOO MUCH WEIGHT IN NOMINATING PROCESS. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE WITH CANDIDATES. SPECIAL INTERESTS HAVE TAKEN OVER PARTIES.

0 42. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PACS. INVOLVED GRASS ROOTS. ENSURES PARTY CONSIDERATION OF VARIETY OF ISSUES.

0 49. OTHER REFERENCES TO SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS/PACS.

0

VI. EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES

1 50. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES. LIKE PRIMARIES. PRIMARIES ARE A GOOD IDEA.

3 51. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES. DISLIKE PRIMARIES. BAD FOR THE SYSTEM.

7 52. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES ON PARTY/PARTY SYSTEM. WEAKEN POLITICAL PARTIES. DISMANTLES 2 PARTY SYSTEM. CONTRIBUTES TO INTRA-PARTY BITTERNESS.

7 53. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES ON QUALITY AND CONDUCT OF CANDIDATES. CANDIDATES LESS CONCERNED WITH PARTIES. CANDIDATES ARE LESS THAN PRESIDENTIAL QUALITY. ARE NOT BEST PEOPLE. CANNOT WIN IN GENERAL ELECTION. CAUSES CANDIDATES TO BE MORE INVOLVED WITH SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS.

0 54. NEGATIVE QUALITIES OF DELEGATES SELECTED BY PRIMARIES. LESS KNOWLEDGABLE. WARM BODIES. LOYAL TO CANDIDATE OR CAUSE, NOT PARTY, AMATEURS.

11 55. NEGATIVE EFFECT OF PRIMARIES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. TOO DEMOCRATIC. TOO MUCH UNINFORMED PARTICIPATION.

4 56. POSITIVE EFFECT OF PRIMARIES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. MORE DEMOCRATIC. INVOLVES GRASS ROOTS. GIVES PEOPLE RIGHT TO DECIDE.

2 57. NEGATIVE EVALUATION OF OPEN PRIMARIES. POSITIVE EVALUATION OF CLOSED PRIMARIES.

0 58. OTHER POSITIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES.

3 59. OTHER NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES' EFFECTS.

1 260
- (CONTINUED)
-

VII. EFFECTS OF CAUCUS/ STATE CONVENTION

4 60. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUSES. LIKE CAUCUSES. CAUCUSES ARE BETTER.

0 61. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUSES. DISLIKE CAUCUSES.

4 62. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON PARTY/PARTY SYSTEM. STRENGTHENS PARTY MECHANISMS. PROMOTES PARTY UNITY.

5 63. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON QUALITY AND CONDUCT OF CANDIDATES. PRODUCES CANDIDATES WHO CAN WIN. PRODUCES OLD GUARD CANDIDATES.

5 64. POSITIVE QUALITIES OF CAUCUS PARTICIPANTS. CAUCUS PARTICIPANTS ARE INFORMED. KNOWLEDGEABLE. MORE WILLING TO WORK FOR A PARTY.

4 65. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. DOES NOT THWART DEMOCRACY. JUST AS DEMOCRATIC.

3 66. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. TOO FEW GET INVOLVED. RESTRICTS DECISION TO ELITES.

2 67. NEGATIVE QUALITIES OF DELEGATES; MEDIOCRE

1 68. OTHER NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUS EFFECTS.

0 69. OTHER POSITIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUS EFFECTS.

0

VIII. DELEGATE SELECTION PROCESS (NOT SPECIFICALLY PRIMARIES OR CAUCUSES, BUT INCLUDING DELEGATE ALLOCATION, PROCEDURES AND ROLE OF CONVENTION)

0 70. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCESS. THE PRESENT SYSTEM FOR PICKING DELEGATES IS OK. FAIR.

0 71. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCESS. THE PRESENT SYSTEM STINKS. ABSURD. POOR.

3 72. POOR QUALITY DELEGATES. SENDS RANK AMATEURS TO NATIONAL CONVENTION. FOLLOW CANDIDATES BLINDLY. BEHOLDEN TO INTEREST GROUPS.

1 261

\- (CONTINUED)

\-

1 73. NOT ENOUGH OR POOR CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN DELEGATE SELECTION. ARE NO HELP IN GETTING WORKERS NAMED. IGNORE CAMPAIGN WORKERS. TOO MUCH CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN DELEGATE SELECTION.

1 74. NOT ENOUGH PARTICIPATION IN PROCESS.

2 75. DELEGATE ALLOCATION AFFECTS PARTY UNITY. PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION CAUSES DELEGATES TO BE MORE LOYAL TO CANDIDATES. WINNER-TAKE-ALL PROMOTES PARTY UNITY.

2 76. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO NON-UNIFORMITY AND INSTABILITY OF PROCESSES. CONFUSING. CHANGING RULES IS UNFAIR.

3 77. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO NON-UNIFORMITY PROCEDURES. HODGEPODGE TESTS CANDIDATES IN MANY SITUATIONS. GIVES EACH STATE RIGHT TO SELECT ITS OWN PROCEDURE.

9 78. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO NOMINATING CONVENTIONS. NOT ENOUGH MEANING. OUTMODED.

6 79. OTHER REFERENCES IN DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES.

3 80. REFORMS HAVE GONE TOO FAR; MCGOVERN RULES WEAKENED PARTY

7 81. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO QUOTA SYSTEM.

2 82. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO QUOTA SYSTEM.

2 83. CLOSED CONVENTION RULING OPPOSED; DOESN'T LIKE BOUND CONVENTION DELEGATES.

3 84. PARTY LEADERS HAVE TOO MUCH INFLUENCE.

2 85. POSITIVE EVALUATION OF NATIONAL CONVENTION.

0 86. SMALL STATES HAVE TOO MUCH INFLUENCE.

0 87. NEGATIVE EFFECT OF CAUCUSES ON CANDIDATES.

0

IX. MISCELLANEOUS

8 90. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED ELSEWHERE (LOW PRIORITY).

1 262

\- (CONTINUED)

\- 3 91. REFERENCES TO ELECTORAL COLLEGE, POPULAR ELECTION OF PRESIDENT.

3 93. NEGATIVE IMPACT OF CAMPAIGN ON GOVERNMENT.

2 97. OTHER NONRELEVANT COMMENTS

0 98. DK

0 99. NA

4986 00. INAP., NO MENTION

0 .....

0 VAR 0438 EVAL 4:NOM PROCESS MD=0 OR GE 98

REF 0438 LOC 548 WIDTH 2 DK 9 COL 24-25

0

Q.F1(D4). R'S EVALUATION OF NOMINATING PROCESS: FOURTH RESPONSE TO Q.F1

<UP TO 5 MENTIONS WERE CODED FOR R'S EVALUATION OF THE PRESENT NOMINATION PROCESS>

I. GENERAL "PRESENT SYSTEM" EVALUATIONS (PART OF SYSTEM NOT SPECIFIED)

CODERS WERE INSTRUCTED NOT TO USE THESE CATEGORIES IF MORE SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE PROCESS OR SYSTEM WERE NAMED AS SOURCES OF THE EVALUATION.

1 01. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PRESENT SYSTEM. BEST AVAILABLE. NOT TOO BAD. WORKS WELL. NONE BETTER. GOOD.

0 02. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRESENT SYSTEM. STINKS. BAD. INEFFECTIVE. CUMBERSOME. UNWIELDLY. BIG FRAUD. WRONG.

1 03. NEGATIVE EFFECTS ON PARTY SYSTEM. FRAGMENTS RESPONSIBILITY. ERODES 2 PARTY SYSTEM. CONTROLLED BY FACTIONS.

12 04. NEGATIVE OR BIASED IMPACT ON TYPES OF CANDIDATES. NEED A WIDER FIELD. NOT SET UP TO OFFER CANDIDATES WHO CAN WIN. FAILS TO ATTRACT BEST CANDIDATES. CANDIDATES SELECTED ARE APOLITICAL. FAVORS INCUMBENT.

1 263

- (CONTINUED)

- 0 05. NOT ENOUGH DISCUSSION OF ISSUES.

0 06. POSITIVE EFFECTS ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. REPRESENTATIVE. OPEN

0 07. POSITIVE EFFECTS ON PARTY SYSTEM. SUPPORTS PARTY STRUCTURE.

1 09. OTHER GENERAL RESPONSES.

0

II.DURATION AND TIMING

4 10. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN. CAMPAIGN IS TOO LONG. PROCESS IS TOO PROTRACTED. PRESENT SYSTEM TAKES TOO MUCH TIME. CAMPAIGN STARTS TOO EARLY.

2 11. PRIMARY/CAUCUS/STATE CONVENTION SEASON IS TOO LONG. PRIMARIES ARE TOO LONG. PRIMARY/CONVENTION SEASON IS TOO LONG. TOO MANY CONTESTS.

0 12. TIME BETWEEN DELEGATE SELECTION AND NATIONAL CONVENTION TOO LONG. TOO MUCH TIME BETWEEN PRIMARIES/CAUCUS AND NATIONAL CONVENTION.

3 13. LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN'S EFFECTS ON VOTERS. LENGTHY CAMPAIGN WEARS ON VOTERS. PUBLIC GETS FED UP.

3 14. LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN'S EFFECTS ON CANDIDATES. EXHAUSTS CANDIDATES. TOO ARDUOUS FOR CANDIDATE. TIRES CANDIDATES.

11 15. UNDUE INFLUENCE OF EARLY PRIMARIES/CAUCUSES. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE IN EARLY STATES. BANDWAGON EFFECT. SNOWBALL EFFECT. VIABLE CANDIDATES NOT IN LATER RACES AFTER EARLY DEFEATS.

0 16. POSITIVE EVALUATION - GIVES VOTERS MORE INFORMATION ON CANDIDATES - MORE TIME TO DECIDE, CHANCE TO EVALUATE THE ENDURANCE OF CANDIDATES.

0 18. ALL OTHER POSITIVE REFERENCES TO LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN. ALLOWS SEPARATION OF VIABLE AND NON-VIABLE CANDIDATES. TESTS CANDIDATES OVER LONG DURATION, UNDER A VARIETY OF CIRCUMSTANCES.

0 19. OTHER REFERENCES TO CAMPAIGN LENGTH.

0

1 264
- (CONTINUED)
- III. EXPENSES AND PUBLIC FINANCING

11 20. GENERAL REFERENCES TO EXPENSE OF CAMPAIGN. PROCESS IS TOO EXPENSIVE. CAMPAIGNS COST TOO MUCH. TOO MUCH MONEY IS SPENT ON CAMPAIGNS. PRIMARIES TOO EXPENSIVE.

2 21. CAMPAIGN LENGTH'S EFFECTS ON CAMPAIGN EXPENSE. CAMPAIGNS ARE EXPENSIVE BECAUSE THEY'RE TOO LONG. BECAUSE CAMPAIGNS START TOO SOON.

0 22. REFERENCES TO MEDIA (TELEVISION) CAMPAIGN COSTS. TV ADVERTISING TOO EXPENSIVE. DRAINS MONEY FROM OTHER TYPES OF CAMPAIGNING.

3 23. PROCESS FAVORS WEALTHY CANDIDATES. ONLY RICH CAN WIN. ALL CANDIDATES ARE MILLIONAIRES. FAVORS WEALTHY. ONLY THOSE BACKED BY THE RICH OR RICH GROUPS CAN WIN

0 25. OTHER REFERENCES TO CAMPAIGN EXPENSE.

0 26. ALL POSITIVE REFERENCE TO FEC/PUBLIC FINANCING/ SPENDING LIMITS. PUBLIC FINANCING IS A GOOD IDEA. HAS HELPED. MADE THINGS EASIER.

0 27. ALL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO FEC/PUBLIC FINANCING/ SPENDING LIMITS. FEC IS A FARCE. PUBLIC FINANCING IS A JOKE. BAD IDEA. SPENDING LIMITS NOT GOOD. PRODUCES TOO MANY CANDIDATES.

0 29. OTHER REFERENCES TO PUBLIC FINANCING/ SPENDING LIMITS.

0

IV. MEDIA

7 30. GENERAL REFERENCE TO EXCESSIVE OR HARMFUL MEDIA INFLUENCE IN PROCESS. MEDIA HAS TOO MUCH

INFLUENCE. PROCESS USES MEDIA TO UNDESIRABLE EXTENT. MEDIA MANIPULATES PROCESS. RACE IS A MEDIA EVENT.

1 31. UNDUE MEDIA INFLUENCE IN EARLY CAMPAIGN AND PRIMARY SEASON. RACE GOES TO CANDIDATE WHO GETS TO PRESS FIRST. PRESS DECLARES WINNER TOO EARLY. MEDIA OVEREMPHASIZES FRONTRUNNERS, WINNERS. PRIMARY SEASON DEPENDENT ON MEDIA HYPE.

7 32. REFERENCE TO MEDIA INFLUENCE IN CANDIDATE

1 265

- (CONTINUED)

- SELECTION. MANIPULATES PUBLIC OPINION OF CANDIDATES. PRESS IS CHOOSING FOR US. HAS HUGE HAND IN CHOOSING CANDIDATES. AD AGENT MORE IMPORTANT THAN CANDIDATES.

3 33. MEDIA COVERAGE IS QUALITATIVELY POOR. REPORTERS ARE IGNORANT. NAIVE. TOO MUCH MEDIA SCRUTINY OF CANDIDATES' PERSONAL AFFAIRS. RACE IS COVERED LIKE A MARATHON.

9 34. TELEVISION INFLUENCE SINGLED OUT DOUBLE CODE WITH OTHER CATEGORIES IN THIS RANGE.). ESPECIALLY TV. NETWORKS. TV REPORTERS.

4 35. REFERENCE EMPHASIZES PRINT INFLUENCE SINGLED OUT (DOUBLE CODE WITH OTHER CATEGORIES IN THIS RANGE). EDITORS. NATIONAL COLUMN WRITERS. NEWSPAPERS. MAGAZINES. PRESS.

1 38. MEDIA COVERAGE VIEWED POSITIVELY. VOTERS HAVE MORE INFORMATION. CANDIDATES ARE BETTER KNOWN.

0 39. OTHER REFERENCES TO MEDIA INFLUENCE.

0

V. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS

6 40. GENERAL REFERENCES TO UNDUE OR NEGATIVE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS. PACS ARE TOO

POWERFUL. TOO MANY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS. EMERGENCE OF DELEGATES COMMITTED TO A SINGLE CAUSE.

4 41. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS' IMPACT ON SPECIFIC PART OR FUNCTION OF SYSTEM OR PROCESS. FRAGMENTS SYSTEM. TOO MUCH WEIGHT IN NOMINATING PROCESS. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE WITH CANDIDATES. SPECIAL INTERESTS HAVE TAKEN OVER PARTIES.

0 42. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PACS. INVOLVED GRASS ROOTS. ENSURES PARTY CONSIDERATION OF VARIETY OF ISSUES.

0 49. OTHER REFERENCES TO SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS/PACS.

0

VI. EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES

1 50. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES. LIKE PRIMARIES. PRIMARIES ARE A GOOD IDEA.

2 51. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES. DISLIKE

1 266
- (CONTINUED)
- PRIMARIES. BAD FOR THE SYSTEM.

3 52. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES ON PARTY/PARTY SYSTEM. WEAKEN POLITICAL PARTIES. DISMANTLES 2 PARTY SYSTEM. CONTRIBUTES TO INTRA-PARTY BITTERNESS.

1 53. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES ON QUALITY AND CONDUCT OF CANDIDATES. CANDIDATES LESS CONCERNED WITH PARTIES. CANDIDATES ARE LESS THAN PRESIDENTIAL QUALITY. ARE NOT BEST PEOPLE. CANNOT WIN IN GENERAL ELECTION. CAUSES CANDIDATES TO BE MORE INVOLVED WITH SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS.

1 54. NEGATIVE QUALITIES OF DELEGATES SELECTED BY PRIMARIES. LESS KNOWLEDGABLE. WARM BODIES. LOYAL TO CANDIDATE OR CAUSE, NOT PARTY, AMATEURS.

2 55. NEGATIVE EFFECT OF PRIMARIES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY.

TOO DEMOCRATIC. TOO MUCH UNINFORMED PARTICIPATION.

0 56. POSITIVE EFFECT OF PRIMARIES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. MORE DEMOCRATIC. INVOLVES GRASS ROOTS. GIVES PEOPLE RIGHT TO DECIDE.

1 57. NEGATIVE EVALUATION OF OPEN PRIMARIES. POSITIVE EVALUATION OF CLOSED PRIMARIES.

1 58. OTHER POSITIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES.

2 59. OTHER NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES' EFFECTS.

0

VII. EFFECTS OF CAUCUS/ STATE CONVENTION

1 60. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUSES. LIKE CAUCUSES. CAUCUSES ARE BETTER.

0 61. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUSES. DISLIKE CAUCUSES.

1 62. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON PARTY/PARTY SYSTEM. STRENGTHENS PARTY MECHANISMS. PROMOTES PARTY UNITY.

1 63. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON QUALITY AND CONDUCT OF CANDIDATES. PRODUCES CANDIDATES WHO CAN WIN. PRODUCES OLD GUARD CANDIDATES.

5 64. POSITIVE QUALITIES OF CAUCUS PARTICIPANTS. CAUCUS

1

- (CONTINUED)

- PARTICIPANTS ARE INFORMED. KNOWLEDGEABLE. MORE WILLING TO WORK FOR A PARTY.

1 65. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. DOES NOT THWART DEMOCRACY. JUST AS DEMOCRATIC.

0 66. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. TOO FEW GET INVOLVED. RESTRICTS DECISION TO ELITES.

2 67. NEGATIVE QUALITIES OF DELEGATES; MEDIOCRE

0 68. OTHER NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUS EFFECTS.

1 69. OTHER POSITIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUS EFFECTS.

0

VIII. DELEGATE SELECTION PROCESS (NOT SPECIFICALLY PRIMARIES OR CAUCUSES, BUT INCLUDING DELEGATE ALLOCATION, PROCEDURES AND ROLE OF CONVENTION)

0 70. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCESS. THE PRESENT SYSTEM FOR PICKING DELEGATES IS OK. FAIR.

0 71. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCESS. THE PRESENT SYSTEM STINKS. ABSURD. POOR.

1 72. POOR QUALITY DELEGATES. SENDS RANK AMATEURS TO NATIONAL CONVENTION. FOLLOW CANDIDATES BLINDLY. BEHOLDEN TO INTEREST GROUPS.

1 73. NOT ENOUGH OR POOR CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN DELEGATE SELECTION. ARE NO HELP IN GETTING WORKERS NAMED. IGNORE CAMPAIGN WORKERS. TOO MUCH CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN DELEGATE SELECTION.

1 74. NOT ENOUGH PARTICIPATION IN PROCESS.

0 75. DELEGATE ALLOCATION AFFECTS PARTY UNITY. PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION CAUSES DELEGATES TO BE MORE LOYAL TO CANDIDATES. WINNER-TAKE-ALL PROMOTES PARTY UNITY.

2 76. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO NON-UNIFORMITY AND INSTABILITY OF PROCESSES. CONFUSING. CHANGING RULES IS UNFAIR.

0 77. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO NON-UNIFORMITY PROCEDURES.

1 268

- (CONTINUED)
- HODGEPODGE TESTS CANDIDATES IN MANY SITUATIONS. GIVES EACH STATE RIGHT TO SELECT ITS OWN PROCEDURE.

7 78. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO NOMINATING CONVENTIONS. NOT ENOUGH MEANING. OUTMODED.

1 79. OTHER REFERENCES IN DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES.

2 80. REFORMS HAVE GONE TOO FAR; MCGOVERN RULES WEAKENED PARTY

0 81. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO QUOTA SYSTEM.

1 82. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO QUOTA SYSTEM.

2 83. CLOSED CONVENTION RULING OPPOSED; DOESN'T LIKE BOUND CONVENTION DELEGATES.

0 84. PARTY LEADERS HAVE TOO MUCH INFLUENCE.

0 85. POSITIVE EVALUATION OF NATIONAL CONVENTION.

0 86. SMALL STATES HAVE TOO MUCH INFLUENCE.

0 87. NEGATIVE EFFECT OF CAUCUSES ON CANDIDATES.

0

IX. MISCELLANEOUS

5 90. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED ELSEWHERE (LOW PRIORITY).

1 91. REFERENCES TO ELECTORAL COLLEGE, POPULAR ELECTION OF PRESIDENT.

0 93. NEGATIVE IMPACT OF CAMPAIGN ON GOVERNMENT.

2 97. OTHER NONRELEVANT COMMENTS

0 98. DK
0 99. NA
5258 00. INAP., NO MENTION

0
1 269
-
0 VAR 0439 EVAL 5:NOM PROCESS MD=0 OR GE 98
REF 0439 LOC 550 WIDTH 2 DK 9 COL 26-27

0

Q.F1(D5). R'S EVALUATION OF NOMINATING PROCESS: FIFTH RESPONSE TO Q.F1

<UP TO 5 MENTIONS WERE CODED FOR R'S EVALUATION OF THE PRESENT NOMINATION PROCESS>

I. GENERAL "PRESENT SYSTEM" EVALUATIONS (PART OF SYSTEM NOT SPECIFIED)

CODERS WERE INSTRUCTED NOT TO USE THESE CATEGORIES IF MORE SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE PROCESS OR SYSTEM WERE NAMED AS SOURCES OF THE EVALUATION.

0 01. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PRESENT SYSTEM. BEST AVAILABLE. NOT TOO BAD. WORKS WELL. NONE BETTER. GOOD.

0 02. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRESENT SYSTEM. STINKS. BAD. INEFFECTIVE. CUMBERSOME. UNWIELDLY. BIG FRAUD. WRONG.

0 03. NEGATIVE EFFECTS ON PARTY SYSTEM. FRAGMENTS RESPONSIBILITY. ERODES 2 PARTY SYSTEM. CONTROLLED BY FACTIONS.

3 04. NEGATIVE OR BIASED IMPACT ON TYPES OF CANDIDATES. NEED A WIDER FIELD. NOT SET UP TO OFFER CANDIDATES WHO CAN WIN. FAILS TO ATTRACT BEST CANDIDATES. CANDIDATES SELECTED ARE APOLITICAL. FAVORS INCUMBENT.

0 05. NOT ENOUGH DISCUSSION OF ISSUES.

0 06. POSITIVE EFFECTS ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY.

REPRESENTATIVE. OPEN

0 07. POSITIVE EFFECTS ON PARTY SYSTEM. SUPPORTS PARTY STRUCTURE.

0 09. OTHER GENERAL RESPONSES.

0

II.DURATION AND TIMING

0 10. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN.

1 270

\- (CONTINUED)

\- CAMPAIGN IS TOO LONG. PROCESS IS TOO PROTRACTED. PRESENT SYSTEM TAKES TOO MUCH TIME. CAMPAIGN STARTS TOO EARLY.

0 11. PRIMARY/CAUCUS/STATE CONVENTION SEASON IS TOO LONG. PRIMARIES ARE TOO LONG. PRIMARY/CONVENTION SEASON IS TOO LONG. TOO MANY CONTESTS.

0 12. TIME BETWEEN DELEGATE SELECTION AND NATIONAL CONVENTION TOO LONG. TOO MUCH TIME BETWEEN PRIMARIES/CAUCUS AND NATIONAL CONVENTION.

0 13. LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN'S EFFECTS ON VOTERS. LENGTHY CAMPAIGN WEARS ON VOTERS. PUBLIC GETS FED UP.

0 14. LENGTH OF CAMPAIGN'S EFFECTS ON CANDIDATES. EXHAUSTS CANDIDATES. TOO ARDUOUS FOR CANDIDATE. TIRES CANDIDATES.

2 15. UNDUE INFLUENCE OF EARLY PRIMARIES/CAUCUSES. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE IN EARLY STATES. BANDWAGON EFFECT. SNOWBALL EFFECT. VIABLE CANDIDATES NOT IN LATER RACES AFTER EARLY DEFEATS.

0 16. POSITIVE EVALUATION - GIVES VOTERS MORE INFORMATION ON CANDIDATES - MORE TIME TO DECIDE, CHANCE TO EVALUATE THE ENDURANCE OF CANDIDATES.

0 18. ALL OTHER POSITIVE REFERENCES TO LENGTH OF

CAMPAIGN. ALLOWS SEPARATION OF VIABLE AND NON-VIABLE CANDIDATES. TESTS CANDIDATES OVER LONG DURATION, UNDER A VARIETY OF CIRCUMSTANCES.

0 19. OTHER REFERENCES TO CAMPAIGN LENGTH.

0

III. EXPENSES AND PUBLIC FINANCING

0 20. GENERAL REFERENCES TO EXPENSE OF CAMPAIGN. PROCESS IS TOO EXPENSIVE. CAMPAIGNS COST TOO MUCH. TOO MUCH MONEY IS SPENT ON CAMPAIGNS. PRIMARIES TOO EXPENSIVE.

1 21. CAMPAIGN LENGTH'S EFFECTS ON CAMPAIGN EXPENSE. CAMPAIGNS ARE EXPENSIVE BECAUSE THEY'RE TOO LONG. BECAUSE CAMPAIGNS START TOO SOON.

0 22. REFERENCES TO MEDIA (TELEVISION) CAMPAIGN COSTS. TV ADVERTISING TOO EXPENSIVE. DRAINS MONEY FROM OTHER TYPES OF CAMPAIGNING.

1 271

- (CONTINUED)

-

0 23. PROCESS FAVORS WEALTHY CANDIDATES. ONLY RICH CAN WIN. ALL CANDIDATES ARE MILLIONAIRES. FAVORS WEALTHY. ONLY THOSE BACKED BY THE RICH OR RICH GROUPS CAN WIN

1 25. OTHER REFERENCES TO CAMPAIGN EXPENSE.

0 26. ALL POSITIVE REFERENCE TO FEC/PUBLIC FINANCING/ SPENDING LIMITS. PUBLIC FINANCING IS A GOOD IDEA. HAS HELPED. MADE THINGS EASIER.

1 27. ALL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO FEC/PUBLIC FINANCING/ SPENDING LIMITS. FEC IS A FARCE. PUBLIC FINANCING IS A JOKE. BAD IDEA. SPENDING LIMITS NOT GOOD. PRODUCES TOO MANY CANDIDATES.

0 29. OTHER REFERENCES TO PUBLIC FINANCING/ SPENDING LIMITS.

0

IV. MEDIA

3 30. GENERAL REFERENCE TO EXCESSIVE OR HARMFUL MEDIA INFLUENCE IN PROCESS. MEDIA HAS TOO MUCH INFLUENCE. PROCESS USES MEDIA TO UNDESIRABLE EXTENT. MEDIA MANIPULATES PROCESS. RACE IS A MEDIA EVENT.

0 31. UNDUE MEDIA INFLUENCE IN EARLY CAMPAIGN AND PRIMARY SEASON. RACE GOES TO CANDIDATE WHO GETS TO PRESS FIRST. PRESS DECLARES WINNER TOO EARLY. MEDIA OVEREMPHASIZES FRONTRUNNERS, WINNERS. PRIMARY SEASON DEPENDENT ON MEDIA HYPE.

0 32. REFERENCE TO MEDIA INFLUENCE IN CANDIDATE SELECTION. MANIPULATES PUBLIC OPINION OF CANDIDATES. PRESS IS CHOOSING FOR US. HAS HUGE HAND IN CHOOSING CANDIDATES. AD AGENT MORE IMPORTANT THAN CANDIDATES.

0 33. MEDIA COVERAGE IS QUALITATIVELY POOR. REPORTERS ARE IGNORANT. NAIVE. TOO MUCH MEDIA SCRUTINY OF CANDIDATES' PERSONAL AFFAIRS. RACE IS COVERED LIKE A MARATHON.

2 34. TELEVISION INFLUENCE SINGLED OUT DOUBLE CODE WITH OTHER CATEGORIES IN THIS RANGE.). ESPECIALLY TV. NETWORKS. TV REPORTERS.

1 272

\- (CONTINUED)

\- 2 35. REFERENCE EMPHASIZES PRINT INFLUENCE SINGLED OUT (DOUBLE CODE WITH OTHER CATEGORIES IN THIS RANGE). EDITORS. NATIONAL COLUMN WRITERS. NEWSPAPERS. MAGAZINES. PRESS.

0 38. MEDIA COVERAGE VIEWED POSITIVELY. VOTERS HAVE MORE INFORMATION. CANDIDATES ARE BETTER KNOWN.

0 39. OTHER REFERENCES TO MEDIA INFLUENCE.

0

V. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS

3 40. GENERAL REFERENCES TO UNDUE OR NEGATIVE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS. PACS ARE TOO POWERFUL. TOO MANY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS. EMERGENCE OF DELEGATES COMMITTED TO A SINGLE CAUSE.

0 41. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS' IMPACT ON SPECIFIC PART OR FUNCTION OF SYSTEM OR PROCESS. FRAGMENTS SYSTEM. TOO MUCH WEIGHT IN NOMINATING PROCESS. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE WITH CANDIDATES. SPECIAL INTERESTS HAVE TAKEN OVER PARTIES.

0 42. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PACS. INVOLVED GRASS ROOTS. ENSURES PARTY CONSIDERATION OF VARIETY OF ISSUES.

0 49. OTHER REFERENCES TO SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS/PACS.

0

VI. EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES

0 50. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES. LIKE PRIMARIES. PRIMARIES ARE A GOOD IDEA.

0 51. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES. DISLIKE PRIMARIES. BAD FOR THE SYSTEM.

0 52. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES ON PARTY/PARTY SYSTEM. WEAKEN POLITICAL PARTIES. DISMANTLES 2 PARTY SYSTEM. CONTRIBUTES TO INTRA-PARTY BITTERNESS.

1 53. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES ON QUALITY AND CONDUCT OF CANDIDATES. CANDIDATES LESS CONCERNED WITH PARTIES. CANDIDATES ARE LESS THAN PRESIDENTIAL QUALITY. ARE NOT BEST PEOPLE. CANNOT WIN IN GENERAL ELECTION. CAUSES CANDIDATES TO BE MORE INVOLVED WITH SINGLE ISSUE GROUPS.

- (CONTINUED)

- 0 54. NEGATIVE QUALITIES OF DELEGATES SELECTED BY PRIMARIES. LESS KNOWLEDGABLE. WARM BODIES. LOYAL TO CANDIDATE OR CAUSE, NOT PARTY, AMATEURS.

3 55. NEGATIVE EFFECT OF PRIMARIES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. TOO DEMOCRATIC. TOO MUCH UNINFORMED PARTICIPATION.

0 56. POSITIVE EFFECT OF PRIMARIES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. MORE DEMOCRATIC. INVOLVES GRASS ROOTS. GIVES PEOPLE RIGHT TO DECIDE.

0 57. NEGATIVE EVALUATION OF OPEN PRIMARIES. POSITIVE EVALUATION OF CLOSED PRIMARIES.

0 58. OTHER POSITIVE EFFECTS OF PRIMARIES.

0 59. OTHER NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO PRIMARIES' EFFECTS.

0

VII. EFFECTS OF CAUCUS/ STATE CONVENTION

0 60. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUSES. LIKE CAUCUSES. CAUCUSES ARE BETTER.

0 61. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUSES. DISLIKE CAUCUSES.

0 62. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON PARTY/PARTY SYSTEM. STRENGTHENS PARTY MECHANISMS. PROMOTES PARTY UNITY.

1 63. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON QUALITY AND CONDUCT OF CANDIDATES. PRODUCES CANDIDATES WHO CAN WIN. PRODUCES OLD GUARD CANDIDATES.

1 64. POSITIVE QUALITIES OF CAUCUS PARTICIPANTS. CAUCUS PARTICIPANTS ARE INFORMED. KNOWLEDGEABLE. MORE WILLING TO WORK FOR A PARTY.

2 65. POSITIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY. DOES NOT THWART DEMOCRACY. JUST AS DEMOCRATIC.

0 66. NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF CAUCUSES ON POPULAR DEMOCRACY.

TOO FEW GET INVOLVED. RESTRICTS DECISION TO ELITES.

0 67. NEGATIVE QUALITIES OF DELEGATES; MEDIOCRE

0 68. OTHER NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUS EFFECTS.

1 274
- (CONTINUED)
- 0 69. OTHER POSITIVE REFERENCES TO CAUCUS EFFECTS.
0

VIII. DELEGATE SELECTION PROCESS (NOT SPECIFICALLY PRIMARIES OR CAUCUSES, BUT INCLUDING DELEGATE ALLOCATION, PROCEDURES AND ROLE OF CONVENTION)

0 70. GENERAL POSITIVE REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCESS. THE PRESENT SYSTEM FOR PICKING DELEGATES IS OK. FAIR.

0 71. GENERAL NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCESS. THE PRESENT SYSTEM STINKS. ABSURD. POOR.

0 72. POOR QUALITY DELEGATES. SENDS RANK AMATEURS TO NATIONAL CONVENTION. FOLLOW CANDIDATES BLINDLY. BEHOLDEN TO INTEREST GROUPS.

0 73. NOT ENOUGH OR POOR CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN DELEGATE SELECTION. ARE NO HELP IN GETTING WORKERS NAMED. IGNORE CAMPAIGN WORKERS. TOO MUCH CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN DELEGATE SELECTION.

0 74. NOT ENOUGH PARTICIPATION IN PROCESS.

0 75. DELEGATE ALLOCATION AFFECTS PARTY UNITY. PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION CAUSES DELEGATES TO BE MORE LOYAL TO CANDIDATES. WINNER-TAKE-ALL PROMOTES PARTY UNITY.

0 76. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO NON-UNIFORMITY AND INSTABILITY OF PROCESSES. CONFUSING. CHANGING

RULES IS UNFAIR.

0 77. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO NON-UNIFORMITY PROCEDURES. HODGEPODGE TESTS CANDIDATES IN MANY SITUATIONS. GIVES EACH STATE RIGHT TO SELECT ITS OWN PROCEDURE.

2 78. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO NOMINATING CONVENTIONS. NOT ENOUGH MEANING. OUTMODED.

2 79. OTHER REFERENCES IN DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES.

0 80. REFORMS HAVE GONE TOO FAR; MCGOVERN RULES WEAKENED PARTY

0 81. NEGATIVE REFERENCES TO QUOTA SYSTEM.

0 82. POSITIVE REFERENCES TO QUOTA SYSTEM.

1 275

- (CONTINUED)

-

0 83. CLOSED CONVENTION RULING OPPOSED; DOESN'T LIKE BOUND CONVENTION DELEGATES.

2 84. PARTY LEADERS HAVE TOO MUCH INFLUENCE.

0 85. POSITIVE EVALUATION OF NATIONAL CONVENTION.

0 86. SMALL STATES HAVE TOO MUCH INFLUENCE.

0 87. NEGATIVE EFFECT OF CAUCUSES ON CANDIDATES.

0

IX. MISCELLANEOUS

3 90. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED ELSEWHERE (LOW PRIORITY).

0 91. REFERENCES TO ELECTORAL COLLEGE, POPULAR ELECTION OF PRESIDENT.

0 93. NEGATIVE IMPACT OF CAMPAIGN ON GOVERNMENT.

1 97. OTHER NONRELEVANT COMMENTS

0 98. DK
1 99. NA
5369 00. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTION
0 .....
0 VAR 0440 PRESCRPT 1:NOM PROCESS MD=0 OR GE 98
REF 0440 LOC 552 WIDTH 2 DK 9 COL 28-29

0

Q.F1(E1). R'S PRESCRIPTIONS FOR NOMINATING PROCESS: FIRST MENTION TO Q.F1

I. DURATION AND TIMING

310 01. GENERAL REFERENCE TO SHORTER NOMINATING PROCESS, PRIMARY CAMPAIGN, OR PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON. PROCESS SHOULD BE SHORTER. LIMIT PRIMARY CAMPAIGN TIME. START PRIMARY CAMPAIGN LATER. PRIMARY AND CAUCUS START SIMULTANEOUSLY.

75 02. SPECIFIED LENGTH OF NOMINATING PROCESS, PRIMARY CAMPAIGN, OR PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON.

1 276
- (CONTINUED)
- CONDENSE PROCESS TO 6 MONTHS. THREE MONTHS FOR PRIMARIES.

15 03. SPECIFIC DATES FOR DELEGATE SELECTION. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE HELD ON (SPECIFIC DAY). UDALL PLAN.

50 04. DESIGNATES TIMING OF PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE IN MONTH BEFORE CONVENTION. APRIL-JUNE.

12 05. GENERAL REFERENCE TO SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON AND NOMINATING CONVENTION. PRIMARIES CLOSER TO CONVENTION TIME. SPECIFIC AMOUNT OF TIME BETWEEN LAST PRIMARY AND

CONVENTION.

29 06. SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON AND GENERAL ELECTION. SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARIES AND GENERAL ELECTION.

7 09. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO DURATION AND TIMING.

0

II. DELEGATE SELECTION (PRIMARIES AND CAUCUSES)

93 10. FAVORS PRIMARIES OVER CAUCUSES. (NO LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION SPECIFIED) PREFERS PRIMARIES. MORE PRIMARIES. FEWER CAUCUSES. MORE DELEGATES SHOULD BE ELECTED BY THE PEOPLE.

9 11. FAVORS PRIMARIES AT CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT LEVEL.

53 12. FAVORS PRIMARIES AT STATE LEVEL.

597 13. FAVORS REGIONAL PRIMARIES.

37 14. FAVORS REGIONAL PRIMARIES WITH STATE OPTION TO PARTICIPATE. ALL STATES IN ONE REGION WHICH HAVE PRIMARIES HAVE THEM ON THE SAME DAY. IN ONE WEEK. (ONLY THE ONES WHO HAVE PRIMARIES ANYHOW).

355 15. FAVORS NATIONAL PRIMARY, IMPLIES SAME DAY, NOT NECESSARILY UNIFORM RULES.

70 16. FAVORS NATIONAL PRIMARY WITH STATE OPTION TO PARTICIPATE. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE ON SAME DAY. (NOT CLEAR THAT ALL STATES SHOULD HAVE PRIMARIES).

1 277

- (CONTINUED)

- 6 17. OPPOSES REGIONAL PRIMARIES.

10 18. OPPOSES NATIONAL PRIMARY.

3 19. OTHER LEVEL OF PRIMARY FAVORED.

3 20. REGIONAL PRIMARIES AS SECOND OPTION. IF WE MUST HAVE PRIMARIES, THEY SHOULD BE REGIONAL. IF NOT NATIONAL, AT LEAST REGIONAL.

302 21. FAVORS CAUCUSES/CONVENTION OVER PRIMARIES. (NO LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION SPECIFIED) PREFERS CAUCUSES. OPPOSES PRIMARIES. MORE CAUCUSES. MORE DELEGATES SHOULD BE ELECTED BY CAUCUS.

7 22. FAVORS PRECINCT CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

1 23. FAVORS COUNTY CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

7 24. FAVORS CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

4 25. FAVORS OTHER LEVEL CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

23 26. FAVORS COMBINATION OF PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION WITHIN EACH STATE. PRESIDENTIAL PREFERENCE PRIMARY FOLLOWED BY CAUCUS.

6 27. FAVORS PARTY ELITE SELECTION OF DELEGATES. STATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE SHOULD ELECT DELEGATES.

0 28. NATIONAL PRIMARY, SECOND OPTION.

2 29. OTHER METHOD OF DELEGATE SELECTION PREFERRED.

0

III. DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES (BEYOND PRIMARY, CAUCUS DISTINCTIONS)

18 30. FAVORS OPEN PRIMARIES. (DOUBLE CODE WITH 10 SERIES IF NECESSARY). OPEN. ANYONE CAN VOTE. FAVORS CROSS-OVER VOTING.

46 31. FAVORS CLOSED PRIMARIES. (DOUBLE CODE WITH 10 SERIES IF NECESSARY) CLOSED ARE BETTER. PARTY MEMBERS, ACTIVISTS ONLY. OPPOSES CROSS-OVER VOTING.

34 32. FAVORS DIRECT ELECTION OF DELEGATES. DELEGATES SHOULD BE VOTED IN BY THE PEOPLE.

1 278
- (CONTINUED)
-

3 33. OPPOSES DIRECT ELECTION OF DELEGATES. SHOULD GO BACK TO INDIRECT SELECTION.

31 34. FAVORS BINDING DELEGATES. DELEGATES SHOULD BE BOUND. THEY SHOULD VOTE FOR WHO THE PEOPLE VOTED FOR.

108 35. OPPOSES BINDING DELEGATES. THEY SHOULD NOT BE COMMITTED. LIMIT BINDING POWER. MORE UNCOMMITTEDS.

36 36. FAVORS UNIFORMITY IN PROCEDURES. ALL STATES SHOULD HAVE ONE SYSTEM. NATIONAL GUIDELINES.

30 37. FAVORS VARIETY IN PROCEDURES. FAVORS HODGEPODGE. STATES SHOULD DO IT THE WAY THEY WANT TO.

6 38. FAVORS LESS INFLUENCE FOR CANDIDATES IN SELECTING DELEGATES. CANDIDATES SHOULD NOT BE ABLE TO APPOINT. VETO-FOR-CAUSE INSTEAD OF SELECTION. SHOULD STAY OUT OF IT.

17 39. OTHER REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES.

0

IV. ALLOCATION OF DELEGATES (INCLUDING AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AND NOTABLES)

22 40. FAVORS PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION OF DELEGATES, DISFAVORS WINNER-TAKE-ALL. CANDIDATES SHOULD RECEIVE A PERCENTAGE OF DELEGATES EQUAL TO THEIR PERCENTAGE VOTE IN THE PRIMARY.

10 41. FAVORS WINNER-TAKE-ALL, DISFAVORS PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION. A CANDIDATE WHO GETS A MAJORITY (PLURALITY) SHOULD GET ALL THE DELEGATES.

13 42. FAVORS AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN DELEGATE SELECTION.

ETHNIC GROUPS SHOULD BE REPRESENTED. EQUAL NUMBERS OF MALES AND FEMALES. QUOTAS.

29 43. OPPOSES AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN DELEGATE SELECTION. WHOEVER WINS SHOULD GET DELEGATE SEAT, REGARDLESS OF RACE, SEX. LESS EMPHASIS ON QUOTAS.

6 44. MORE POLITICAL INFLUENTIALS IN GENERAL. POLITICIANS. POLITICAL OFFICIALS.

14 45. MORE PARTY OFFICIALS. PARTY COMMITTEES. STATE BOSSES. PARTY ORGANIZATION. PARTY LEADERS.

1 279

- (CONTINUED)

-

16 46. MORE PUBLIC OFFICIALS. ELECTED OFFICIALS. GOVERNORS. SENATORS. HOUSE MEMBERS.

7 47. MORE PARTY MEMBERSHIP. PARTY WORKERS. ACTIVISTS. PARTY RANK AND FILE. FEWER BIG SHOTS.

3 48. FAVORS MORE CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN SELECTION OF DELEGATES

1 49. OTHER REFERENCES TO ALLOCATION.

0

V. MEDIA

4 50. GENERAL REFERENCES TO REDUCING MEDIA INFLUENCE. MEDIA'S INFLUENCE MUST BE REDUCED.

5 51. LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF MEDIA COVERAGE. CONTROL COVERAGE. CANDIDATES SHOULD BE ALLOWED PRIVACY. TV CAMERAS SHOULD BE BARRED SOMETIMES.

11 52. CHANGE THE QUALITY OR TYPE OF MEDIA COVERAGE. MEDIA SHOULD NOT CONCENTRATE ON HORSERACE. PRESS SHOULD EMPHASIZE OTHER THINGS.

2 53. CONTROL OF ADVERTISING. SHOULD RESTRICT ADS. TOTAL LIMIT ON ADVERTISING.

0 55. ALL POLLS CLOSE AT SAME TIME OR NO PUBLICATION.

0 56. TV SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED (DOUBLE CODE IN THIS RANGE).

0 57. PRINT MEDIA SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED (DOUBLE CODE IN THIS RANGE).

1 58. NOMINATING CAMPAIGN SHOULD BE MORE ISSUE ORIENTED

3 59. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO MEDIA.

0

VI. EXPENSES AND PUBLIC FINANCING

15 60. GENERAL REFERENCES TO MAKING PROCESS LESS EXPENSIVE. CAMPAIGNS SHOULD NOT BE AS COSTLY. WE NEED TO LOWER THE PRICE TAG ON THE PROCESS.

26 61. FAVORS LIMITS ON CAMPAIGN SPENDING. LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF MONEY SPENT. FAVOR SPENDING LIMITS.

1 280
- (CONTINUED)
- FAVOR TIGHTER SPENDING LIMITS.

26 62. OPPOSES PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS OR CONTRIBUTION LIMITS. OPPOSES PUBLIC FINANCING. CANDIDATES SHOULD NOT RELY ON GOVERNMENT TO FUND THEIR CAMPAIGNS. CANDIDATES SHOULD BE ABLE TO SPEND WHATEVER THEY RAISE.

13 63. FAVORS PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS, OR CONTRIBUTION LIMITS. INCREASED PUBLIC FINANCING. PUBLIC FINANCING WOULD HELP.

14 64. FREE ALLOCATION OF MEDIA TIME/SPACE FOR CANDIDATES. ALL CANDIDATES SHOULD BE GIVEN T.V. TIME. TELEVISED DEBATES FREE. MORE DEBATES.

2 67. FAVORS MODIFICATION BUT NOT ABOLITION OF PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS, CONTRIBUTION LIMITS.

4 68. SUGGESTS REVISION BUT NOT ABOLITION OF PRIMARIES.

2 69. OTHER EXPENSE AND PUBLIC FINANCE RELATED PRESCRIPTIONS.

0

VII. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS

3 70. GENERAL REFERENCE TO LIMITING INFLUENCE OF INTEREST GROUPS. PRESSURE GROUPS MUST BE CONTROLLED. RESTRICTED.

3 71. ABOLISHING SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS. PACS SHOULD BE DECLARED ILLEGAL. BAN PACS. ABOLISH PRESSURE GROUPS.

3 72. REFERENCES TO LIMITING CONTRIBUTIONS BY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS. BAN SPECIAL INTEREST FUNDING. LESS CORPORATE AND INTEREST GROUP FUNDING. PAC FUNDING ILLEGAL.

0 73. REFERENCES TO PARTY STRUCTURE CONTROL OF SPECIAL INTEREST INFLUENCE. STOP CAUCUSING BY ISSUE. LIMIT NUMBER OF ISSUE GROUP DELEGATES.

1 78. REDUCE THE DISPROPORTIONATE INFLUENCE OF EARLY PRIMARIES.

2 79. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS.

0

1 281

- (CONTINUED)

-

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS PRESCRIPTIONS (NOT CLASSIFIED ELSEWHERE)

7 80. WHOLE PROCESS NEEDS CHANGE. NEEDS OVERHAULING (NO SPECIFICS).

34 81. FAVORS RADICAL OR UNUSUAL ALTERATIONS (WITHIN NOMINATION PROCESS) E.G. REGIONAL CONVENTIONS NOMINATE FOR NATIONAL CONVENTION CONSIDERATION.

PRESIDENT-VICE-PRESIDENT TEAMS RUN FOR PARTY APPROVAL. NATIONAL ELITE CAUCUS SELECTION OF CANDIDATE. RUNOFFS.

16 82. OPPOSES MORE INFLUENCE FOR POLITICAL INFLUENTIALS. OPPOSES "CLOSING PARTIES." OPPOSES REVERTING TO SMOKE-FILLED ROOMS.

72 83. FAVORS MORE INFLUENCE AND INVOLVEMENT OF PARTY LEADERS, PARTY OFFICIALS. PARTY LEADERS SHOULD PLAY BIGGER ROLE.

63 84. FAVOR BIGGER ROLE FOR PARTY, PARTY ORGANIZATION, PARTY SHOULD BE MORE INVOLVED.

12 85. REFERENCE TO TYPE OF CANDIDATE OR QUALITY OF CANDIDATE.

17 86. FAVORS MORE INFLUENCE AND INVOLVEMENT OF RANK AND FILE, ACTIVISTS.

1 87. REDUCE INCUMBENT INFLUENCE. GIVE ALL CANDIDATES EQUAL OPPORTUNITY. NATIONAL PARTY SHOULD STAY NEUTRAL IN PRIMARIES.

266 88. NO CHANGE.

24 89. RELATIVELY NORMAL MISCELLANEOUS PRESCRIPTIONS - GENERAL ELECTION.

6 90. RETURN TO SMOKE FILLED ROOMS. LIKED OLD CONVENTION.

67 91. REFERENCE TO ELECTORAL COLLEGE, POPULAR ELECTION OF PRESIDENT.

18 92. SYSTEMIC LEVEL CHANGE; PARLIMENTARY SYSTEM, 6 YEAR TERM FOR PRESIDENT.

7 93. REFERENCE TO PARTY PLATFORM'S IMPORTANCE IN CONVENTIONS.

1 282
- (CONTINUED)
-

16 94. NEED MORE PARTICIPATION. MORE PEOPLE SHOULD BE INVOLVED.

1 95. LESSENED IMPORTANCE OF NATIONAL CONVENTION. DO AWAY WITH OR SCALE DOWN NATIONAL CONVENTION.

0 96. KEEP NATIONAL CONVENTION.

30 97. OTHER NON-RELEVANT COMMENTS.

41 98. DK

2032 99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0441 PRESCRPT 2:NOM PROCESS MD=0 OR GE 98
REF 0441 LOC 554 WIDTH 2 DK 9 COL 30-31

0

Q.F1(E2). R'S PRESCRIPTIONS FOR NOMINATING PROCESS: SECOND MENTION TO Q.F1

I. DURATION AND TIMING

137 01. GENERAL REFERENCE TO SHORTER NOMINATING PROCESS, PRIMARY CAMPAIGN, OR PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON. PROCESS SHOULD BE SHORTER. LIMIT PRIMARY CAMPAIGN TIME. START PRIMARY CAMPAIGN LATER. PRIMARY AND CAUCUS START SIMULTANEOUSLY.

84 02. SPECIFIED LENGTH OF NOMINATING PROCESS, PRIMARY CAMPAIGN, OR PRIMARY/CACUS/CONVENTION SEASON. CONDENSE PROCESS TO 6 MONTHS. THREE MONTHS FOR PRIMARIES.

13 03. SPECIFIC DATES FOR DELEGATE SELECTION. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE HELD ON (TIME SPECIFIED). UDALL PLAN.

75 04. DESIGNATES (PRECISE) TIMING OF PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE IN MONTH BEFORE CONVENTION. APRIL-JUNE.

18 05. GENERAL REFERENCE TO SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARY/ CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON AND NOMINATING CONVENTION. PRIMARIES CLOSER TO CONVENTION TIME. SPECIFIC

- (CONTINUED)
- AMOUNT OF TIME BETWEEN LAST PRIMARY AND CONVENTION.

24 06. SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON AND GENERAL ELECTION. SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARIES AND GENERAL ELECTION.

4 09. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO DURATION AND TIMING.

0

II. DELEGATE SELECTION (PRIMARIES AND CAUCUSES)

29 10. FAVORS PRIMARIES OVER CAUCUSES. (NO LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION SPECIFIED) PREFERS PRIMARIES. MORE PRIMARIES. FEWER CAUCUSES. MORE DELEGATES SHOULD BE ELECTED BY THE PEOPLE.

5 11. FAVORS PRIMARIES AT CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT LEVEL.

11 12. FAVORS PRIMARIES AT STATE LEVEL.

128 13. FAVORS REGIONAL PRIMARIES.

11 14. FAVORS REGIONAL PRIMARIES WITH STATE OPTION TO PARTICIPATE. ALL STATES IN ONE REGION WHICH HAVE PRIMARIES HAVE THEM ON THE SAME DAY. IN ONE WEEK. (ONLY THE ONES WHO HAVE PRIMARIES ANYHOW).

51 15. FAVORS NATIONAL PRIMARY, IMPLIES SAME DAY. NOT NECESSARILY UNIFORM RULES.

11 16. FAVORS NATIONAL PRIMARY WITH STATE OPTION TO

PARTICIPATE. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE ON SAME DAY (NOT CLEAR THAT ALL STATES SHOULD HAVE PRIMARIES).

10 17. OPPOSES REGIONAL PRIMARIES.

12 18. OPPOSES NATIONAL PRIMARY.

4 19. OTHER LEVEL OF PRIMARY FAVORED.

43 20. REGIONAL PRIMARIES AS SECOND OPTION. IF WE MUST HAVE PRIMARIES, THEY SHOULD BE REGIONAL. IF NOT NATIONAL, AT LEAST REGIONAL.

67 21. FAVORS CAUCUSES/CONVENTION OVER PRIMARIES. (NO LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION SPECIFIED) PREFERS CAUCUSES. OPPOSES PRIMARIES. MORE CAUCUSES. MORE DELEGATES SHOULD BE ELECTED BY CAUCUS.

1 284
- (CONTINUED)
-

5 22. FAVORS PRECINCT CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

1 23. FAVORS COUNTY CAUCUS AS LOWEST LEVEL.

3 24. FAVORS CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

3 25. FAVORS OTHER LEVEL CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

17 26. FAVORS COMBINATION OF PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION WITHIN EACH STATE. PRESIDENTIAL PREFERENCE PRIMARY FOLLOWED BY CAUCUS.

5 27. FAVORS PARTY ELITE SELECTION OF DELEGATES. STATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE SHOULD ELECT DELEGATES.

21 28. NATIONAL PRIMARY, SECOND OPTION.

3 29. OTHER METHOD OF DELEGATE SELECTION PREFERRED.

0

III. DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES (BEYOND PRIMARY, CAUCUS

DISTINCTIONS)

24 30. FAVORS OPEN PRIMARIES. (DOUBLE CODE WITH 10 SERIES IF NECESSARY). OPEN. ANYONE CAN VOTE. FAVORS CROSS-OVER VOTING.

55 31. FAVORS CLOSED PRIMARIES. (DOUBLE CODE WITH 10 SERIES IF NECESSARY) CLOSED ARE BETTER. PARTY MEMBERS, ACTIVISTS ONLY. OPPOSES CROSS-OVER VOTING.

17 32. FAVORS DIRECT ELECTION OF DELEGATES. DELEGATE SHOULD BE VOTED IN BY THE PEOPLE.

4 33. OPPOSES DIRECT ELECTION OF DELEGATES. SHOULD GO BACK TO INDIRECT SELECTION.

56 34. FAVORS BINDING DELEGATES. DELEGATES SHOULD BE BOUND. THEY SHOULD VOTE FOR WHO THE PEOPLE VOTED FOR.

93 35. OPPOSES BINDING DELEGATES. THEY SHOULD NOT BE COMMITTED. LIMIT BINDING POWER. MORE UNCOMMITTEDS.

36 36. FAVORS UNIFORMITY IN PROCEDURES. ALL STATES SHOULD HAVE ONE SYSTEM. NATIONAL GUIDELINES.

1 285

- (CONTINUED)

- 13 37. FAVORS VARIETY IN PROCEDURES. FAVORS HODGEPODGE. STATES SHOULD DO IT THE WAY THEY WANT TO.

6 38. FAVORS LESS INFLUENCE FOR CANDIDATES IN SELECTING DELEGATES. CANDIDATES SHOULD NOT BE ABLE TO APPOINT. VETO-FOR-CAUSE INSTEAD OF SELECTION. SHOULD STAY OUT OF IT.

9 39. OTHER REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES.

0

IV. ALLOCATION OF DELEGATES (INCLUDING AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AND NOTABLES)

15 40. FAVORS PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION OF DELEGATES, DISFAVORS WINNER-TAKE-ALL. CANDIDATES SHOULD RECEIVE A PERCENTAGE OF DELEGATES EQUAL TO THEIR PERCENTAGE VOTE IN THE PRIMARY.

13 41. FAVORS WINNER-TAKE-ALL, DISFAVORS PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION. A CANDIDATE WHO GETS A MAJORITY (PLURALITY) SHOULD GET ALL THE DELEGATES.

11 42. FAVORS AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN DELEGATE SELECTION. ETHNIC GROUPS SHOULD BE REPRESENTED. EQUAL NUMBERS OF MALES AND FEMALES. QUOTAS.

20 43. OPPOSES AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN DELEGATE SELECTION. WHOEVER WINS SHOULD GET DELEGATE SEAT, REGARDLESS OF RACE, SEX. LESS EMPHASIS ON QUOTAS.

3 44. MORE POLITICAL INFLUENTIALS IN GENERAL. POLITICIANS. POLITICAL OFFICIALS.

8 45. MORE PARTY OFFICIALS. PARTY COMMITTEES. STATE BOSSES. PARTY ORGANIZATION. PARTY LEADERS.

22 46. MORE PUBLIC OFFICIALS. ELECTED OFFICIALS. GOVERNORS. SENATORS. HOUSE MEMBERS.

6 47. MORE PARTY MEMBERSHIP. PARTY WORKERS. ACTIVISTS. PARTY RANK AND FILE. PARTY MEMBERS. FEWER BIG SHOTS.

4 48. FAVORS MORE CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN SELECTION OF DELEGATES.

4 49. OTHER REFERENCES TO ALLOCATION.

0

1 286
- (CONTINUED)
- V. MEDIA

14 50. GENERAL REFERENCES TO REDUCING MEDIA INFLUENCE.

MEDIA'S INFLUENCE MUST BE REDUCED.

13 51. LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF MEDIA COVERAGE. CONTROL COVERAGE. CANDIDATES SHOULD BE ALLOWED PRIVACY. TV CAMERAS SHOULD BE BARRED SOMETIMES.

12 52. CHANGE THE QUALITY OR TYPE OF MEDIA COVERAGE. MEDIA SHOULD NOT CONCENTRATE ON HORSERACE. PRESS SHOULD EMPHASIZE OTHER THINGS.

6 53. CONTROL OF ADVERTISING. SHOULD RESTRICT ADS. TOTAL LIMIT ON ADVERTISING.

4 55. ALL POLLS CLOSE AT SAME TIME OR NO PUBLICATION.

9 56. TV SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED (DOUBLE CODE IN THIS RANGE).

0 57. PRINT MEDIA SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED (DOUBLE CODE IN THIS RANGE).

3 58. NOMINATING CAMPAIGN SHOULD BE MORE ISSUE ORIENTED

1 59. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO MEDIA.

0

VI. EXPENSES AND PUBLIC FINANCING

19 60. GENERAL REFERENCES TO MAKING PROCESS LESS EXPENSIVE. CAMPAIGNS SHOULD NOT BE AS COSTLY. WE NEED TO LOWER THE PRICE TAG ON THE PROCESS.

33 61. FAVORS LIMITS ON CAMPAIGN SPENDING. LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF MONEY SPENT. FAVOR SPENDING LIMITS. FAVOR TIGHTER SPENDING LIMITS.

21 62. OPPOSES PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS OR CONTRIBUTION LIMITS. OPPOSES PUBLIC FINANCING. CANDIDATES SHOULD NOT RELY ON GOVERNMENT TO FUND THEIR CAMPAIGNS. CANDIDATES SHOULD BE ABLE TO SPEND WHATEVER THEY RAISE.

22 63. FAVORS PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS, OR

CONTRIBUTION LIMITS. INCREASED PUBLIC FINANCING. PUBLIC FINANCING WOULD HELP.

33 64. FREE ALLOCATION OF MEDIA TIME FOR CANDIDATES.

1 287

- (CONTINUED)

- ALL CANDIDATES SHOULD BE GIVEN T.V. TIME. TELEVISED DEBATES. MORE DEBATE.

3 67. FAVORS MODIFICATION BUT NOT ABOLITION OF PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS, CONTRIBUTION LIMITS.

2 68. SUGGESTS REVISION BUT NOT ABOLITION OF PRIMARIES.

3 69. OTHER EXPENSE AND PUBLIC FINANCE RELATED PRESCRIPTIONS.

0

VII. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS

3 70. GENERAL REFERENCE TO LIMITING INFLUENCE OF INTEREST GROUPS. PRESSURE GROUPS MUST BE CONTROLLED. RESTRICTED.

4 71. ABOLISHING SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS. PACS SHOULD BE DECLARED ILLEGAL. BAN PACS. ABOLISH PRESSURE GROUPS.

10 72. REFERENCES TO LIMITING CONTRIBUTIONS BY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS. BAN SPECIAL INTEREST FUNDING. LESS CORPORATE AND INTEREST GROUP FUNDING. PAC FUNDING ILLEGAL.

0 73. REFERENCES TO PARTY STRUCTURE CONTROL OF SPECIAL INTEREST INFLUENCE. STOP CAUCUSING BY ISSUE. LIMIT NUMBER OF ISSUE GROUP DELEGATES.

2 78. REDUCE THE DISPROPORTIONATE INFLUENCE OF EARLY PRIMARIES.

1 79. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS.

0

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS PRESCRIPTIONS (NOT CLASSIFIED ELSEWHERE)

3 80. WHOLE PROCESS NEEDS CHANGE. NEEDS OVERHAULING (NO SPECIFICS).

8 81. FAVORS RADICAL OR UNUSUAL ALTERATIONS (WITHIN NOMINATION PROCESS) E.G. REGIONAL CONVENTIONS NOMINATE FOR NATIONAL CONVENTION CONSIDERATION. PRESIDENT-VICE-PRESIDENT TEAMS RUN FOR PARTY APPROVAL. NATIONAL ELITE CAUCUS SELECTION OF CANDIDATE. RUNOFFS.

1 288
- (CONTINUED)
- 9 82. OPPOSES MORE INFLUENCE FOR POLTICAL INFLUENTIALS. OPPOSES "CLOSING PARTIES." OPPOSES REVERTING TO SMOKE-FILLED ROOMS.

34 83. FAVORS MORE INFLUENCE AND INVOLVEMENT OF PARTY LEADERS, OFFICIALS. PARTY LEADERS SHOULD PLAY BIGGER ROLE.

61 84. FAVOR BIGGER ROLE FOR PARTY, PARTY ORGANIZATION, PARTY SHOULD BE MORE INVOLVED.

8 85. REFERENCES TO TYPE OF CANDIDATE OR QUALITY OF CANDIDATE.

19 86. FAVORS MORE INFLUENCE AND INVOLVEMENT OF RANK AND FILE, ACTIVISTS.

5 87. REDUCE POWER OF INCUMBENT. GIVE ALL CANDIDATES EQUAL OPPORTUNITY. NATIONAL PARTY SHOULD STAY NEUTRAL IN PRIMARIES.

2 88. NO CHANGE.

19 89. RELATIVELY NORMAL MISCELLANEOUS PRESCRIPTIONS - GENERAL ELECTION.

0 90. RETURN TO SMOKE FILLED ROOMS. LIKED OLD CONVENTION.

39 91. REFERENCES TO ELECTORAL COLLEGE, POPULAR ELECTION OF PRESIDENT.

17 92. SYSTEMIC LEVEL CHANGES; PARLIMENTARY SYSTEM, 6 YEAR TERM FOR PRESIDENT.

10 93. REFERENCES TO PARTY PLATFORM'S IMPORTANCE IN CONVENTIONS.

4 94. NEED MORE PARTICIPATION.

4 95. LESSENED IMPORTANCE OF NATIONAL CONVENTION. DO AWAY WITH OR SCALE DOWN NATIONAL CONVENTION.

2 96. KEEP NATIONAL CONVENTION.

46 97. OTHER NON-RELEVANT COMMENTS.

0 98. DK

0 99. NA

1 289

- (CONTINUED)

-

3684 00. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTIONS.

0 .....

0 VAR 0442 PRESCRPT 3:NOM PROCESS MD=0 OR GE 98
REF 0442 LOC 556 WIDTH 2 DK 9 COL 32-33

0

Q.F1(E3). R'S PRESCRIPTIONS FOR NOMINATING PROCESS: THIRD MENTION TO Q.F1

I. DURATION AND TIMING

43 01. GENERAL REFERENCE TO SHORTER NOMINATING PROCESS, PRIMARY CAMPAIGN, OR PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION

SEASON. PROCESS SHOULD BE SHORTER. LIMIT PRIMARY CAMPAIGN TIME. START PRIMARY CAMPAIGN LATER. PRIMARY AND CAUCUS START SIMULTANEOUSLY.

26 02. SPECIFIED LENGTH OF NOMINATING PROCESS, PRIMARY CAMPAIGN, OR PRIMARY/CACUS/CONVENTION SEASON. CONDENSE PROCESS TO 6 MONTHS. THREE MONTHS FOR PRIMARIES.

4 03. SPECIFIC DATES FOR DELEGATE SELECTION. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE HELD ON (TIME SPECIFIED). UDALL PLAN.

21 04. DESIGNATES (PRECISE) TIMING OF PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE IN MONTH BEFORE CONVENTION. APRIL-JUNE.

8 05. SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON AND NOMINATING CONVENTION. PRIMARIES CLOSER TO CONVENTION TIME. SPECIFIC AMOUNT OF TIME BETWEEN LAST PRIMARY AND CONVENTION.

7 06. SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON AND GENERAL ELECTION. SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARIES AND GENERAL ELECTION.

3 09. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO DURATION AND TIMING.

0

II. DELEGATE SELECTION (PRIMARIES AND CAUCUSES)

1 290

\- (CONTINUED)

\- 6 10. FAVORS PRIMARIES OVER CAUCUSES. (NO LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION SPECIFIED) PREFERS PRIMARIES. MORE PRIMARIES. FEWER CAUCUSES. MORE DELEGATES SHOULD BE ELECTED BY THE PEOPLE.

4 11. FAVORS PRIMARIES AT CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT LEVEL.

4 12. FAVORS PRIMARIES AT STATE LEVEL.

27 13. FAVORS REGIONAL PRIMARIES.

2 14. FAVORS REGIONAL PRIMARIES WITH STATE OPTION TO PARTICIPATE. ALL STATES IN ONE REGION WHICH HAVE PRIMARIES HAVE THEM ON THE SAME DAY. IN ONE WEEK. (ONLY THE ONES WHO HAVE PRIMARIES ANYHOW).

6 15. FAVORS NATIONAL PRIMARY, IMPLIES SAME DAY. NOT NECESSARILY UNIFORM RULES.

2 16. FAVORS NATIONAL PRIMARY WITH STATE OPTION TO PARTICIPATE. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE ON SAME DAY (NOT CLEAR THAT ALL STATES SHOULD HAVE PRIMARIES)

2 17. OPPOSES REGIONAL PRIMARIES.

8 18. OPPOSES NATIONAL PRIMARY.

2 19. OTHER LEVEL OF PRIMARY FAVORED.

10 20. REGIONAL PRIMARIES AS SECOND OPTION. IF WE MUST HAVE PRIMARIES, THEY SHOULD BE REGIONAL. IF NOT NATIONAL, AT LEAST REGIONAL.

19 21. FAVORS CAUCUSES/CONVENTION OVER PRIMARIES. (NO LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION SPECIFIED) PREFERS CAUCUSES. OPPOSES PRIMARIES. MORE CAUCUSES. MORE DELEGATES SHOULD BE ELECTED BY CAUCUS.

0 22. FAVORS PRECINCT CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

1 23. FAVORS COUNTY CAUCUS AS LOWEST LEVEL.

0 24. FAVORS CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

1 25. FAVORS OTHER LEVEL CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

13 26. FAVORS COMBINATION OF PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION WITHIN EACH STATE. PRESIDENTIAL PREFERENCE PRIMARY FOLLOWED BY CAUCUS.

(CONTINUED)

3 27. FAVORS PARTY ELITE SELECTION OF DELEGATES. STATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE SHOULD ELECT DELEGATES.

5 28. NATIONAL PRIMARY, SECOND OPTION.

1 29. OTHER METHOD OF DELEGATE SELECTION PREFERRED.

III. DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES (BEYOND PRIMARY, CAUCUS DISTINCTIONS)

6 30. FAVORS OPEN PRIMARIES. (DOUBLE CODE WITH 10 SERIES IF NECESSARY). OPEN. ANYONE CAN VOTE. FAVORS CROSS-OVER VOTING.

26 31. FAVORS CLOSED PRIMARIES. (DOUBLE CODE WITH 10 SERIES IF NECESSARY) CLOSED ARE BETTER. PARTY MEMBERS, ACTIVISTS ONLY. OPPOSES CROSS-OVER VOTING.

7 32. FAVORS DIRECT ELECTION OF DELEGATES. DELEGATES SHOULD BE VOTED IN BY THE PEOPLE.

1 33. OPPOSES DIRECT ELECTION OF DELEGATES. SHOULD GO BACK TO INDIRECT SELECTION.

19 34. FAVORS BINDING DELEGATES. DELEGATES SHOULD BE BOUND. THEY SHOULD VOTE FOR WHO THE PEOPLE VOTED FOR.

45 35. OPPOSES BINDING DELEGATES. THEY SHOULD NOT BE COMMITTED. LIMIT BINDING POWER. MORE UNCOMMITTEDS.

12 36. FAVORS UNIFORMITY IN PROCEDURES. ALL STATES SHOULD HAVE ONE SYSTEM. NATIONAL GUIDELINES.

5 37. FAVORS VARIETY IN PROCEDURES. FAVORS HODGEPODGE. STATES SHOULD DO IT THE WAY THEY WANT TO.

3 38. FAVORS LESS INFLUENCE FOR CANDIDATES IN SELECTING DELEGATES. CANDIDATES SHOULD NOT BE ABLE TO APPOINT. VETO-FOR-CAUSE INSTEAD OF SELECTION. SHOULD STAY OUT OF IT.

11 39. OTHER REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES.

0

IV. ALLOCATION OF DELEGATES (INCLUDING AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AND NOTABLES)

1 292

\- (CONTINUED)

\-

17 40. FAVORS PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION OF DELEGATES, DISFAVORS WINNER-TAKE-ALL. CANDIDATES SHOULD RECEIVE A PERCENTAGE OF DELEGATES EQUAL TO THEIR PERCENTAGE VOTE IN THE PRIMARY.

3 41. FAVORS WINNER-TAKE-ALL, DISFAVORS PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION. A CANDIDATE WHO GETS A MAJORITY (PLURALITY) SHOULD GET ALL THE DELEGATES.

11 42. FAVORS AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN DELEGATE SELECTION. ETHNIC GROUPS SHOULD BE REPRESENTED. EQUAL NUMBERS OF MALES AND FEMALES. QUOTAS.

17 43. OPPOSES AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN DELEGATE SELECTION. WHOEVER WINS SHOULD GET DELEGATE SEAT, REGARDLESS OF RACE, SEX. LESS EMPHASIS ON QUOTAS.

5 44. MORE POLITICAL INFLUENTIALS IN GENERAL. POLITICIANS. POLITICAL OFFICIALS.

6 45. MORE PARTY OFFICIALS. PARTY COMMITTEES. STATE BOSSES. PARTY ORGANIZATION. PARTY LEADERS.

9 46. MORE PUBLIC OFFICIALS. ELECTED OFFICIALS. GOVERNORS. SENATORS. HOUSE MEMBERS.

4 47. MORE PARTY MEMBERSHIP. PARTY WORKERS. ACTIVISTS. PARTY RANK AND FILE. PARTY MEMBERS. FEWER BIG SHOTS.

0 48. FAVORS MORE CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN SELECTION OF DELEGATES.

5 49. OTHER REFERENCES TO ALLOCATION.

0

V. MEDIA

2 50. GENERAL REFERENCES TO REDUCING MEDIA INFLUENCE. MEDIA'S INFLUENCE MUST BE REDUCED.

3 51. LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF MEDIA COVERAGE. CONTROL COVERAGE. CANDIDATES SHOULD BE ALLOWED PRIVACY. TV CAMERAS SHOULD BE BARRED SOMETIMES.

14 52. CHANGE THE QUALITY OR TYPE OF MEDIA COVERAGE. MEDIA SHOULD NOT CONCENTRATE ON HORSERACE. PRESS SHOULD EMPHASIZE OTHER THINGS.

1 293

- (CONTINUED)

- 4 53. CONTROL OF ADVERTISING. SHOULD RESTRICT ADS. TOTAL LIMIT ON ADVERTISING.

2 55. ALL POLLS CLOSE AT SAME TIME OR NO PUBLICATION.

13 56. TV SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED (DOUBLE CODE IN THIS RANGE).

1 57. PRINT MEDIA SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED (DOUBLE CODE IN THIS RANGE).

4 58. NOMINATING CAMPAIGN SHOULD BE MORE ISSUE ORIENTED

2 59. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO MEDIA.

0

VI. EXPENSES AND PUBLIC FINANCING

12 60. GENERAL REFERENCES TO MAKING PROCESS LESS EXPENSIVE. CAMPAIGNS SHOULD NOT BE AS COSTLY. WE

NEED TO LOWER THE PRICE TAG ON THE PROCESS.

21 61. FAVORS LIMITS ON CAMPAIGN SPENDING. LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF MONEY SPENT. FAVOR SPENDING LIMITS. FAVOR TIGHTER SPENDING LIMITS.

9 62. OPPOSES PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS OR CONTRIBUTION LIMITS. OPPOSES PUBLIC FINANCING. CANDIDATES SHOULD NOT RELY ON GOVERNMENT TO FUND THEIR CAMPAIGNS. CANDIDATES SHOULD BE ABLE TO SPEND WHATEVER THEY RAISE.

14 63. FAVORS PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS, OR CONTRIBUTION LIMITS. INCREASED PUBLIC FINANCING. PUBLIC FINANCING WOULD HELP.

26 64. FREE ALLOCATION OF MEDIA TIME FOR CANDIDATES. ALL CANDIDATES SHOULD BE GIVEN T.V. TIME. TELEVISED DEBATES. MORE DEBATES.

4 67. FAVORS MODIFICATION BUT NOT ABOLITION OF PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS, CONTRIBUTION LIMITS.

1 68. SUGGESTS REVISION BUT NOT ABOLITION OF PRIMARIES.

5 69. OTHER EXPENSE AND PUBLIC FINANCE RELATED PRESCRIPTIONS.

0

1 294
- (CONTINUED)
- VII. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS

5 70. GENERAL REFERENCE TO LIMITING INFLUENCE OF INTEREST GROUPS. PRESSURE GROUPS MUST BE CONTROLLED. RESTRICTED.

0 71. ABOLISHING SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS. PACS SHOULD BE DECLARED ILLEGAL. BAN PACS. ABOLISH PRESSURE GROUPS.

5 72. REFERENCES TO LIMITING CONTRIBUTIONS BY SPECIAL

INTEREST GROUPS/PACS. BAN SPECIAL INTEREST FUNDING. LESS CORPORATE AND INTEREST GROUP FUNDING. PAC FUNDING ILLEGAL.

2 73. REFERENCES TO PARTY STRUCTURE CONTROL OF SPECIAL INTEREST INFLUENCE. STOP CAUCUSING BY ISSUE. LIMIT NUMBER OF ISSUE GROUP DELEGATES.

2 78. REDUCE THE DISPROPORTIONATE INFLUENCE OF EARLY PRIMARIES.

0 79. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS.

0

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS PRESCRIPTIONS (NOT CLASSIFIED ELSEWHERE)

1 80. WHOLE PROCESS NEEDS CHANGE. NEEDS OVERHAULING (NO SPECIFICS).

10 81. FAVORS RADICAL OR UNUSUAL ALTERATIONS (WITHIN NOMINATION PROCESS) E.G. REGIONAL CONVENTIONS NOMINATE FOR NATIONAL CONVENTION CONSIDERATION. PRESIDENT-VICE-PRESIDENT TEAMS RUN FOR PARTY APPROVAL. NATIONAL ELITE CAUCUS SELECTION OF CANDIDATE. RUNOFFS.

7 82. OPPOSES MORE INFLUENCE FOR POLTICAL INFLUENTIALS. OPPOSES "CLOSING PARTIES." OPPOSES REVERTING TO SMOKE-FILLED ROOMS.

27 83. FAVORS MORE INFLUENCE AND INVOLVEMENT OF PARTY LEADERS, OFFICIALS. PARTY LEADERS SHOULD PLAY BIGGER ROLE.

21 84. FAVOR BIGGER ROLE FOR PARTY, PARTY ORGANIZATION, PARTY SHOULD BE MORE INVOLVED.

3 85. REFERENCES TO TYPE OF CANDIDATE OR QUALITY.

8 86. FAVORS MORE INFLUENCE AND INVOLVEMENT OF RANK AND FILE, ACTIVISTS.

2 87. REDUCE POWER OF INCUMBENT. GIVE ALL CANDIDATES EQUAL OPPORTUNITY. NATIONAL PARTY SHOULD STAY NEUTRAL IN PRIMARIES.

0 88. NO CHANGE.

15 89. RELATIVELY NORMAL MISCELLANEOUS PRESCRIPTIONS - GENERAL ELECTION

3 90. RETURN TO SMOKE FILLED ROOMS. LIKED OLD CONVENTIONS

20 91. REFERENCES TO ELECTORAL COLLEGE, POPULAR ELECTION OF PRESIDENT.

5 92. SYSTEMIC LEVEL CHANGES; PARLIMENTARY SYSTEM, 6 YEAR TERM FOR PRESIDENT.

18 93. REFERENCES TO PARTY PLATFORM'S IMPORTANCE IN CONVENTIONS.

10 94. NEED MORE PARTICIPATION.

4 95. LESSENED IMPORTANCE OF NATIONAL CONVENTION. DO AWAY WITH OR SCALE DOWN NATIONAL CONVENTION.

3 96. KEEP NATIONAL CONVENTION.

43 97. OTHER NON-RELEVANT COMMENTS.

0 98. DK

0 99. NA

4615 00. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTIONS.

0 .....

0 VAR 0443 PRESCRPT 4:NOM PROCESS MD=0 OR GE 98

REF 0443 LOC 558 WIDTH 2 DK 9 COL 34-35

0

Q.F1(E4). R'S PRESCRIPTIONS FOR NOMINATING PROCESS: FOURTH MENTION TO Q.F1

---

1 296
- (CONTINUED)
- I. DURATION AND TIMING

11 01. GENERAL REFERENCE TO SHORTER NOMINATING PROCESS, PRIMARY CAMPAIGN, OR PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON. PROCESS SHOULD BE SHORTER. LIMIT PRIMARY CAMPAIGN TIME. START PRIMARY CAMPAIGN LATER. PRIMARY AND CAUCUS START SIMULTANEOUSLY.

5 02. SPECIFIED LENGTH OF NOMINATING PROCESS, PRIMARY CAMPAIGN, OR PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON. CONDENSE PROCESS TO 6 MONTHS. THREE MONTHS FOR PRIMARIES.

1 03. SPECIFIC DATES FOR DELEGATE SELECTION. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE HELD ON (TIME SPECIFIED). UDALL PLAN.

5 04. DESIGNATES (PRECISE) TIMING OF PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE IN MONTH BEFORE CONVENTION. APRIL-JUNE.

0 05. SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON AND NOMINATING CONVENTION. PRIMARIES CLOSER TO CONVENTION TIME. SPECIFIC AMOUNT OF TIME BETWEEN LAST PRIMARY AND CONVENTION.

5 06. SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON AND GENERAL ELECTION. SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARIES AND GENERAL ELECTION.

0 09. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO DURATION AND TIMING.

0

II. DELEGATE SELECTION (PRIMARIES AND CAUCUSES)

4 10. FAVORS PRIMARIES OVER CAUCUSES. (NO LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION SPECIFIED) PREFERS PRIMARIES. MORE PRIMARIES. FEWER CAUCUSES. MORE DELEGATES SHOULD BE ELECTED BY THE PEOPLE.

1 11. FAVORS PRIMARIES AT CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT LEVEL.

0 12. FAVORS PRIMARIES AT STATE LEVEL.

7 13. FAVORS REGIONAL PRIMARIES.

0 14. FAVORS REGIONAL PRIMARIES WITH STATE OPTION TO PARTICIPATE. ALL STATES IN ONE REGION WHICH HAVE PRIMARIES HAVE THEM ON THE SAME DAY. IN ONE WEEK.

1 297

- (CONTINUED)
- (ONLY THE ONES WHO HAVE PRIMARIES ANYHOW).

2 15. FAVORS NATIONAL PRIMARY, IMPLIES SAME DAY.

0 16. FAVORS NATIONAL PRIMARY WITH STATE OPTION TO PARTICIPATE. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE ON SAME DAY (NOT CLEAR THAT ALL STATES SHOULD HAVE PRIMARIES).

1 17. OPPOSES REGIONAL PRIMARIES.

1 18. OPPOSES NATIONAL PRIMARY.

0 19. OTHER LEVEL OF PRIMARY FAVORED.

2 20. REGIONAL PRIMARIES AS SECOND OPTION. IF WE MUST HAVE PRIMARIES, THEY SHOULD BE REGIONAL. IF NOT NATIONAL, AT LEAST REGIONAL.

6 21. FAVORS CAUCUSES/CONVENTION OVER PRIMARIES. (NO LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION SPECIFIED) PREFERS CAUCUSES. OPPOSES PRIMARIES. MORE CAUCUSES. MORE DELEGATES SHOULD BE ELECTED BY CAUCUS.

1 22. FAVORS PRECINCT CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

0 23. FAVORS COUNTY CAUCUS AS LOWEST LEVEL.

0 24. FAVORS CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

0 25. FAVORS OTHER LEVEL CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

5 26. FAVORS COMBINATION OF PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION WITHIN EACH STATE. PRESIDENTIAL PREFERENCE PRIMARY FOLLOWED BY CAUCUS.

3 27. FAVORS PARTY ELITE SELECTION OF DELEGATES. STATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE SHOULD ELECT DELEGATES.

3 28. NATIONAL PRIMARY, SECOND OPTION.

1 29. OTHER METHOD OF DELEGATE SELECTION PREFERRED.

0

III. DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES (BEYOND PRIMARY, CAUCUS DISTINCTIONS)

4 30. FAVORS OPEN PRIMARIES. (DOUBLE CODE WITH 10 SERIES IF NECESSARY). OPEN. ANYONE CAN VOTE. FAVORS CROSS-OVER VOTING.

1 298
- (CONTINUED)
-

3 31. FAVORS CLOSED PRIMARIES. (DOUBLE CODE WITH 10 SERIES IF NECESSARY) CLOSED ARE BETTER. PARTY MEMBERS, ACTIVISTS ONLY. OPPOSES CROSS-OVER VOTING.

6 32. FAVORS DIRECT ELECTION OF DELEGATES. DELEGATE SHOULD BE VOTED IN BY THE PEOPLE.

0 33. OPPOSES DIRECT ELECTION OF DELEGATES. SHOULD GO BACK TO INDIRECT SELECTION.

6 34. FAVORS BINDING DELEGATES. DELEGATES SHOULD BE BOUND. THEY SHOULD VOTE FOR WHO THE PEOPLE VOTED FOR.

20 35. OPPOSES BINDING DELEGATES. THEY SHOULD NOT BE COMMITTED. LIMIT BINDING POWER. MORE UNCOMMITTEDS.

7 36. FAVORS UNIFORMITY IN PROCEDURES. ALL STATES SHOULD HAVE ONE SYSTEM. NATIONAL GUIDELINES.

1 37. FAVORS VARIETY IN PROCEDURES. FAVORS HODGEPODGE. STATES SHOULD DO IT THE WAY THEY WANT TO.

3 38. FAVORS LESS INFLUENCE FOR CANDIDATES IN SELECTING DELEGATES. CANDIDATES SHOULD NOT BE ABLE TO APPOINT. VETO-FOR-CAUSE INSTEAD OF SELECTION. SHOULD STAY OUT OF IT.

6 39. OTHER REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES.

0

IV. ALLOCATION OF DELEGATES (INCLUDING AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AND NOTABLES)

7 40. FAVORS PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION OF DELEGATES, DISFAVORS WINNER-TAKE-ALL. CANDIDATES SHOULD RECEIVE A PERCENTAGE OF DELEGATES EQUAL TO THEIR PERCENTAGE VOTE IN THE PRIMARY.

2 41. FAVORS WINNER-TAKE-ALL, DISFAVORS PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION. A CANDIDATE WHO GETS A MAJORITY (PLURALITY) SHOULD GET ALL THE DELEGATES.

5 42. FAVORS AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN DELEGATE SELECTION. ETHNIC GROUPS SHOULD BE REPRESENTED. EQUAL NUMBERS OF MALES AND FEMALES. QUOTAS.

5 43. OPPOSES AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN DELEGATE SELECTION.

1 299

- (CONTINUED)

- WHOEVER WINS SHOULD GET DELEGATE SEAT, REGARDLESS OF RACE, SEX. LESS EMPHASIS ON QUOTAS.

1 44. MORE POLITICAL INFLUENTIALS IN GENERAL. POLITICIANS. POLITICAL OFFICIALS.

4 45. MORE PARTY OFFICIALS. PARTY COMMITTEES. STATE BOSSES. PARTY ORGANIZATION. PARTY LEADERS.

3 46. MORE PUBLIC OFFICIALS. ELECTED OFFICIALS. GOVERNORS. SENATORS. HOUSE MEMBERS.

3 47. MORE PARTY MEMBERSHIP. PARTY WORKERS. ACTIVISTS. PARTY RANK AND FILE. PARTY MEMBERS FEWER BIG SHOTS.

0 48. FAVORS MORE CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN SELECTION OF DELEGATES.

3 49. OTHER REFERENCES TO ALLOCATION.

0

V. MEDIA

4 50. GENERAL REFERENCES TO REDUCING MEDIA INFLUENCE. MEDIA'S INFLUENCE MUST BE REDUCED.

2 51. LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF MEDIA COVERAGE. CONTROL COVERAGE. CANDIDATES SHOULD BE ALLOWED PRIVACY. TV CAMERAS SHOULD BE BARRED SOMETIMES.

4 52. CHANGE THE QUALITY OR TYPE OF MEDIA COVERAGE. MEDIA SHOULD NOT CONCENTRATE ON HORSERACE. PRESS SHOULD EMPHASIZE OTHER THINGS.

2 53. CONTROL OF ADVERTISING. SHOULD RESTRICT ADS. TOTAL LIMIT ON ADVERTISING.

1 55. ALL POLLS CLOSE AT SAME TIME OR NO PUBLICATION.

3 56. TV SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED (DOUBLE CODE IN THIS RANGE).

0 57. PRINT MEDIA SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED (DOUBLE CODE IN THIS RANGE).

2 58. NOMINATING CAMPAIGN SHOULD BE MORE ISSUE ORIENTED

3 59. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO MEDIA.

0

1 300

- (CONTINUED)

-

VI. EXPENSES AND PUBLIC FINANCING

6 60. GENERAL REFERENCES TO MAKING PROCESS LESS EXPENSIVE. CAMPAIGNS SHOULD NOT BE AS COSTLY. WE NEED TO LOWER THE PRICE TAG ON THE PROCESS.

6 61. FAVORS LIMITS ON CAMPAIGN SPENDING. LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF MONEY SPENT. FAVOR SPENDING LIMITS. FAVOR TIGHTER SPENDING LIMITS.

2 62. OPPOSES PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS OR CONTRIBUTION LIMITS. OPPOSES PUBLIC FINANCING. CANDIDATES SHOULD NOT RELY ON GOVERNMENT TO FUND THEIR CAMPAIGNS. CANDIDATES SHOULD BE ABLE TO SPEND WHATEVER THEY RAISE.

2 63. FAVORS PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS, OR CONTRIBUTION LIMITS. INCREASED PUBLIC FINANCING. PUBLIC FINANCING WOULD HELP.

19 64. FREE ALLOCATION OF MEDIA TIME FOR CANDIDATES. ALL CANDIDATES SHOULD BE GIVEN T.V. TIME. TELEVISED DEBATES. MORE DEBATES.

2 67. FAVORS MODIFICATION BUT NOT ABOLITION OF PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS, CONTRIBUTION LIMITS.

0 68. SUGGESTS REVISION BUT NOT ABOLITION OF PRIMARIES.

3 69. OTHER EXPENSE AND PUBLIC FINANCE RELATED PRESCRIPTIONS.

0

VII. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS

2 70. GENERAL REFERENCE TO LIMITING INFLUENCE OF INTEREST GROUPS. PRESSURE GROUPS MUST BE CONTROLLED. RESTRICTED.

5 71. ABOLISHING SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS. PACS SHOULD BE DECLARED ILLEGAL. BAN PACS. ABOLISH PRESSURE GROUPS.

1 72. REFERENCES TO LIMITING CONTRIBUTIONS BY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS. BAN SPECIAL INTEREST FUNDING. LESS CORPORATE AND INTEREST GROUP FUNDING. PAC FUNDING ILLEGAL.

2 73. REFERENCES TO PARTY STRUCTURE CONTROL OF SPECIAL

- (CONTINUED)
- INTEREST INFLUENCE. STOP CAUCUSING BY ISSUE. LIMIT NUMBER OF ISSUE GROUP DELEGATES.

0 78. REDUCE THE DISPROPORTIONATE INFLUENCE OF EARLY PRIMARIES.

1 79. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS.

0

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS PRESCRIPTIONS (NOT CLASSIFIED ELSEWHERE)

0 80. WHOLE PROCESS NEEDS CHANGE. NEEDS OVERHAULING (NO SPECIFICS).

5 81. FAVORS RADICAL OR UNUSUAL ALTERATIONS (WITHIN NOMINATION PROCESS) E.G. REGIONAL CONVENTIONS NOMINATE FOR NATIONAL CONVENTION CONSIDERATION. PRESIDENT-VICE-PRESIDENT TEAMS RUN FOR PARTY APPROVAL. NATIONAL ELITE CAUCUS SELECTION OF CANDIDATE. RUNOFFS.

5 82. OPPOSES MORE INFLUENCE FOR POLTICAL INFLUENTIALS. OPPOSES "CLOSING PARTIES." OPPOSES REVERTING TO SMOKE-FILLED ROOMS.

8 83. FAVORS MORE INFLUENCE AND INVOLVEMENT OF PARTY LEADERS, OFFICIALS. PARTY LEADERS SHOULD PLAY BIGGER ROLE.

10 84. FAVOR BIGGER ROLE FOR PARTY, PARTY ORGANIZATION, PARTY SHOULD BE MORE INVOLVED.

0 85. REFERENCES TO TYPE OF CANDIDATE OR QUALITY.

5 86. FAVORS MORE INFLUENCE AND INVOLVEMENT OF RANK AND FILE, ACTIVISTS.

0 87. REDUCE POWER OF INCUMBENT. GIVE ALL CANDIDATES EQUAL OPPORTUNITY. NATIONAL PARTY SHOULD STAY NEUTRAL IN PRIMARIES.

0 88. NO CHANGE.

7 89. RELATIVELY NORMAL MISCELLANEOUS PRESCRIPTIONS - GENERAL ELECTION.

1 90. RETURN TO SMOKE FILLED ROOMS. LIKED OLD CONVENTION.

1 302
- (CONTINUED)
- 12 91. REFERENCES TO ELECTORAL COLLEGE, POPULAR ELECTION OF PRESIDENT.

5 92. SYSTEMIC LEVEL CHANGES; PARLIMENTARY SYSTEM, 6 YEAR TERM FOR PRESIDENT.

2 93. REFERENCES TO PARTY PLATFORM'S IMPORTANCE IN CONVENTIONS.

1 94. NEED MORE PARTICIPATION.

6 95. LESSENED IMPORTANCE OF NATIONAL CONVENTION. DO AWAY WITH OR SCALE DOWN NATIONAL CONVENTION.

2 96. KEEP NATIONAL CONVENTION.

20 97. OTHER NON-RELEVANT COMMENTS.

0 98. DK

0 99. NA

5092 00. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTIONS.

0 .....

0 VAR 0444 PRESCRPT 5:NOM PROCESS MD=0 OR GE 98
REF 0444 LOC 560 WIDTH 2 DK 9 COL 36-37

0

Q.F1(E5). R'S PRESCRIPTIONS FOR NOMINATING PROCESS: FIFTH MENTION TO Q.F1

I. DURATION AND TIMING

3 01. GENERAL REFERENCE TO SHORTER NOMINATING PROCESS, PRIMARY CAMPAIGN, OR PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON. PROCESS SHOULD BE SHORTER. LIMIT PRIMARY CAMPAIGN TIME. START PRIMARY CAMPAIGN LATER. PRIMARY AND CAUCUS START SIMULTANEOUSLY.

3 02. SPECIFIED LENGTH OF NOMINATING PROCESS, PRIMARY CAMPAIGN, OR PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON. CONDENSE PROCESS TO 6 MONTHS. THREE MONTHS FOR PRIMARIES.

0 03. SPECIFIC DATES FOR DELEGATE SELECTION. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE HELD ON (TIME SPECIFIED).

1 303

- (CONTINUED)
- UDALL PLAN.

0 04. DESIGNATES (PRECISE) TIMING OF PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE IN MONTH BEFORE CONVENTION. APRIL-JUNE.

1 05. SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON AND NOMINATING CONVENTION. PRIMARIES CLOSER TO CONVENTION TIME. SPECIFIC AMOUNT OF TIME BETWEEN LAST PRIMARY AND CONVENTION.

0 06. SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION SEASON AND GENERAL ELECTION. SHORTER TIME BETWEEN PRIMARIES AND GENERAL ELECTION.

0 09. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO DURATION AND TIMING.

0

II. DELEGATE SELECTION (PRIMARIES AND CAUCUSES)

1 10. FAVORS PRIMARIES OVER CAUCUSES. (NO LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION SPECIFIED) PREFERS PRIMARIES. MORE PRIMARIES. FEWER CAUCUSES. MORE DELEGATES SHOULD BE ELECTED BY THE PEOPLE.

0 11. FAVORS PRIMARIES AT CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT LEVEL.

1 12. FAVORS PRIMARIES AT STATE LEVEL.

2 13. FAVORS REGIONAL PRIMARIES.

1 14. FAVORS REGIONAL PRIMARIES WITH STATE OPTION TO PARTICIPATE. ALL STATES IN ONE REGION WHICH HAVE PRIMARIES HAVE THEM ON THE SAME DAY. IN ONE WEEK. (ONLY THE ONES WHO HAVE PRIMARIES ANYHOW).

0 15. FAVORS NATIONAL PRIMARY, IMPLIES SAME DAY.

0 16. FAVORS NATIONAL PRIMARY WITH STATE OPTION TO PARTICIPATE. ALL PRIMARIES SHOULD BE ON SAME DAY (NOT CLEAR THAT ALL STATES SHOULD HAVE PRIMARIES).

0 17. OPPOSES REGIONAL PRIMARIES.

1 18. OPPOSES NATIONAL PRIMARY.

0 19. OTHER LEVEL OF PRIMARY FAVORED.

2 20. REGIONAL PRIMARIES AS SECOND OPTION. IF WE MUST

1 304
- (CONTINUED)

- HAVE PRIMARIES, THEY SHOULD BE REGIONAL. IF NOT NATIONAL, AT LEAST REGIONAL.

2 21. FAVORS CAUCUSES/CONVENTION OVER PRIMARIES. (NO LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION SPECIFIED) PREFERS CAUCUSES. OPPOSES PRIMARIES. MORE CAUCUSES. MORE DELEGATES SHOULD BE ELECTED BY CAUCUS.

0 22. FAVORS PRECINCT CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

0 23. FAVORS COUNTY CAUCUS AS LOWEST LEVEL.

0 24. FAVORS CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

0 25. FAVORS OTHER LEVEL CAUCUSES AS LOWEST LEVEL.

1 26. FAVORS COMBINATION OF PRIMARY/CAUCUS/CONVENTION WITHIN EACH STATE. PRESIDENTIAL PREFERENCE PRIMARY FOLLOWED BY CAUCUS.

0 27. FAVORS PARTY ELITE SELECTION OF DELEGATES. STATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE SHOULD ELECT DELEGATES.

1 28. NATIONAL PRIMARY, SECOND OPTION.

0 29. OTHER METHOD OF DELEGATE SELECTION PREFERRED.

0

III. DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES (BEYOND PRIMARY, CAUCUS DISTINCTIONS)

0 30. FAVORS OPEN PRIMARIES. (DOUBLE CODE WITH 10 SERIES IF NECESSARY). OPEN. ANYONE CAN VOTE. FAVORS CROSS-OVER VOTING.

3 31. FAVORS CLOSED PRIMARIES. (DOUBLE CODE WITH 10 SERIES IF NECESSARY) CLOSED ARE BETTER. PARTY MEMBERS, ACTIVISTS ONLY. OPPOSES CROSS-OVER VOTING.

5 32. FAVORS DIRECT ELECTION OF DELEGATES. DELEGATES SHOULD BE VOTED IN BY THE PEOPLE.

2 33. OPPOSES DIRECT ELECTION OF DELEGATES. SHOULD GO BACK TO INDIRECT SELECTION.

1 34. FAVORS BINDING DELEGATES. DELEGATES SHOULD BE BOUND. THEY SHOULD VOTE FOR WHO THE PEOPLE VOTED FOR.

1 305

- (CONTINUED)

-

3 35. OPPOSES BINDING DELEGATES. THEY SHOULD NOT BE COMMITTED. LIMIT BINDING POWER. MORE UNCOMMITTEDS.

1 36. FAVORS UNIFORMITY IN PROCEDURES. ALL STATES SHOULD HAVE ONE SYSTEM. NATIONAL GUIDELINES.

2 37. FAVORS VARIETY IN PROCEDURES. FAVORS HODGEPODGE. STATES SHOULD DO IT THE WAY THEY WANT TO.

0 38. FAVORS LESS INFLUENCE FOR CANDIDATES IN SELECTING DELEGATES. CANDIDATES SHOULD NOT BE ABLE TO APPOINT. VETO-FOR-CAUSE INSTEAD OF SELECTION. SHOULD STAY OUT OF IT.

4 39. OTHER REFERENCES TO DELEGATE SELECTION PROCEDURES.

0

IV. ALLOCATION OF DELEGATES (INCLUDING AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AND NOTABLES)

3 40. FAVORS PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION OF DELEGATES. DISFAVORS WINNER-TAKE-ALL. CANDIDATES SHOULD RECEIVE A PERCENTAGE OF DELEGATES EQUAL TO THEIR PERCENTAGE VOTE IN THE PRIMARY.

0 41. FAVORS WINNER-TAKE-ALL, DISFAVORS PROPORTIONAL ALLOCATION. A CANDIDATE WHO GETS A MAJORITY (PLURALITY) SHOULD GET ALL THE DELEGATES.

2 42. FAVORS AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN DELEGATE SELECTION. ETHNIC GROUPS SHOULD BE REPRESENTED. EQUAL NUMBERS OF MALES AND FEMALES. QUOTAS.

1 43. OPPOSES AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN DELEGATE SELECTION. WHOEVER WINS SHOULD GET DELEGATE SEAT, REGARDLESS OF RACE, SEX. LESS EMPHASIS ON QUOTAS.

0 44. MORE POLITICAL INFLUENTIALS IN GENERAL. POLITICIANS. POLITICAL OFFICIALS.

1 45. MORE PARTY OFFICIALS. PARTY COMMITTEES. STATE BOSSES. PARTY ORGANIZATION. PARTY LEADERS.

2 46. MORE PUBLIC OFFICIALS. ELECTED OFFICIALS. GOVERNORS. SENATORS. HOUSE MEMBERS.

0 47. MORE PARTY MEMBERSHIP. PARTY WORKERS. ACTIVISTS. PARTY RANK AND FILE. PARTY MEMBERS FEWER BIG SHOTS.

1 306
- (CONTINUED)
-

0 48. FAVORS MORE CANDIDATE INFLUENCE IN SELECTION OF DELEGATES.

0 49. OTHER REFERENCES TO ALLOCATION.

0

V. MEDIA

2 50. GENERAL REFERENCES TO REDUCING MEDIA INFLUENCE. MEDIA'S INFLUENCE MUST BE REDUCED.

2 51. LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF MEDIA COVERAGE. CONTROL COVERAGE. CANDIDATES SHOULD BE ALLOWED PRIVACY. TV CAMERAS SHOULD BE BARRED SOMETIMES.

1 52. CHANGE THE QUALITY OR TYPE OF MEDIA COVERAGE. MEDIA SHOULD NOT CONCENTRATE ON HORSERACE. PRESS SHOULD EMPHASIZE OTHER THINGS.

1 53. CONTROL OF ADVERTISING. SHOULD RESTRICT ADS. TOTAL LIMIT ON ADVERTISING.

0 55. ALL POLLS CLOSE AT SAME TIME OR NO PUBLICATION.

4 56. TV SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED (DOUBLE CODE IN THIS RANGE).

1 57. PRINT MEDIA SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED (DOUBLE CODE IN THIS RANGE).

1 58. NOMINATING CAMPAIGN SHOULD BE MORE ISSUE ORIENTED

1 59. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO MEDIA.

0

VI. EXPENSES AND PUBLIC FINANCING

0 60. GENERAL REFERENCES TO MAKING PROCESS LESS EXPENSIVE. CAMPAIGNS SHOULD NOT BE AS COSTLY. WE NEED TO LOWER THE PRICE TAG ON THE PROCESS.

2 61. FAVORS LIMITS ON CAMPAIGN SPENDING. LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF MONEY SPENT. FAVOR SPENDING LIMITS. FAVOR TIGHTER SPENDING LIMITS.

2 62. OPPOSES PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS OR CONTRIBUTION LIMITS. OPPOSES PUBLIC FINANCING. CANDIDATES SHOULD NOT RELY ON GOVERNMENT TO FUND THEIR CAMPAIGNS. CANDIDATES SHOULD BE ABLE TO

1 307

- (CONTINUED)
- SPEND WHATEVER THEY RAISE.

4 63. FAVORS PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS, OR CONTRIBUTION LIMITS. INCREASED PUBLIC FINANCING. PUBLIC FINANCING WOULD HELP.

4 64. FREE ALLOCATION OF MEDIA TIME FOR CANDIDATES. ALL CANDIDATES SHOULD BE GIVEN T.V. TIME. TELEVISED DEBATES. MORE DEBATES.

2 67. FAVORS MODIFICATION BUT NOT ABOLITION OF PUBLIC FINANCING, SPENDING LIMITS, CONTRIBUTION LIMITS.

0 68. SUGGESTS REVISION BUT NOT ABOLITION OF PRIMARIES.

1 69. OTHER EXPENSE AND PUBLIC FINANCE RELATED PRESCRIPTIONS.

0

VII. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS

3 70. GENERAL REFERENCE TO LIMITING INFLUENCE OF INTEREST GROUPS. PRESSURE GROUPS MUST BE CONTROLLED. RESTRICTED.

0 71. ABOLISHING SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS. PACS SHOULD BE DECLARED ILLEGAL. BAN PACS. ABOLISH PRESSURE GROUPS.

3 72. REFERENCES TO LIMITING CONTRIBUTIONS BY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS. BAN SPECIAL INTEREST FUNDING. LESS CORPORATE AND INTEREST GROUP FUNDING. PAC FUNDING ILLEGAL.

0 73. REFERENCES TO PARTY STRUCTURE CONTROL OF SPECIAL INTEREST INFLUENCE. STOP CAUCUSING BY ISSUE. LIMIT NUMBER OF ISSUE GROUP DELEGATES.

0 78. REDUCE THE DISPROPORTIONATE INFLUENCE OF EARLY PRIMARIES.

1 79. OTHER PRESCRIPTIVE REFERENCES TO SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS/PACS.

0

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS PRESCRIPTIONS (NOT CLASSIFIED ELSEWHERE)

0 80. WHOLE PROCESS NEEDS CHANGE. NEEDS OVERHAULING (NO SPECIFICS).

1 308

- (CONTINUED)

- 2 81. FAVORS RADICAL OR UNUSUAL ALTERATIONS (WITHIN NOMINATION PROCESS) E.G. REGIONAL CONVENTIONS NOMINATE FOR NATIONAL CONVENTION CONSIDERATION. PRESIDENT-VICE-PRESIDENT TEAMS RUN FOR PARTY

APPROVAL. NATIONAL ELITE CAUCUS SELECTION OF CANDIDATE. RUNOFFS.

3 82. OPPOSES MORE INFLUENCE FOR POLTICAL INFLUENTIALS. OPPOSES "CLOSING PARTIES." OPPOSES REVERTING TO SMOKE-FILLED ROOMS.

1 83. FAVORS MORE INFLUENCE AND INVOLVEMENT OF PARTY LEADERS, OFFICIALS. PARTY LEADERS SHOULD PLAY BIGGER ROLE.

2 84. FAVOR BIGGER ROLE FOR PARTY, PARTY ORGANIZATION, PARTY SHOULD BE MORE INVOLVED.

0 85. REFERENCES TO TYPE OF CANDIDATE OR QUALITY.

3 86. FAVORS MORE INFLUENCE AND INVOLVEMENT OF RANK AND FILE, ACTIVISTS.

2 87. REDUCE POWER OF INCUMBENT. GIVE ALL CANDIDATES EQUAL OPPORTUNITY. NATIONAL PARTY SHOULD STAY NEUTRAL IN PRIMARIES.

0 88. NO CHANGE.

7 89. RELATIVELY NORMAL MISCELLANEOUS PRESCRIPTIONS - GENERAL ELECTION.

0 90. RETURN TO SMOKE FILLED ROOMS. LIKED OLD CONVENTIONS

1 91. REFERENCES TO ELECTORAL COLLEGE, POPULAR ELECTION OF PRESIDENT.

3 92. SYSTEMIC LEVEL CHANGES; PARLIMENTARY SYSTEM, 6 YEAR TERM FOR PRESIDENT.

1 93. REFERENCES TO PARTY PLATFORM'S IMPORTANCE IN CONVENTIONS.

1 94. NEED MORE PARTICIPATION.

1 95. LESSENED IMPORTANCE OF NATIONAL CONVENTION. DO AWAY WITH OR SCALE DOWN NATIONAL CONVENTION.

1 96. KEEP NATIONAL CONVENTION.

1 309
- (CONTINUED)
-

9 97. OTHER NON-RELEVANT COMMENTS.

0 98. DK

0 99. NA

5285 00. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTIONS.

0 .....
0 VAR 0445 CODER NOM PROCESS MD=GE 9
REF 0445 LOC 562 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 38

0

CODER NOMINATING PROCESS

.....
0 VAR 0446 MAG IMPCT OF PUB FND MD=7 OR GE 8
REF 0446 LOC 563 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 39

0

Q.F2 AS YOU KNOW, THE FEDERAL ELECTION ACT OF 1974 CREATED THE TAX CHECK-OFF FUND TO FINANCE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION CAMPAIGNS. BASED ON YOUR PERSONAL OBSERVATIONS OF THE PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGNS IN 1976 AND 1980, WHAT IMPACT, IF ANY, HAS PUBLIC CAMPAIGN FUNDING HAD ON THE PARTY'S ORGANIZATION AND ACTIVITIES IN YOUR STATE?

Q.F2(A) GLOBAL DIMENSION 1: R'S EVALUATION OF MAGNITUDE OF IMPACT.
---

719 1. NONE--NOT AT ALL, NOTHING IN THIS STATE, NO DIFFERENCE, CAN'T SEE THAT IT HAS MADE ANY DIFFERENCE
646 2. MINOR--A LITTLE, VERY LITTLE, NOT MUCH, SMALL,

INSIGNIFICANT, NEGLIGIBLE
1284 3. SOME--MODERATE, SOME DIFFERENCE, IMPACT BUT NA HOW MUCH
642 4. CONSIDERABLE--SUBSTANTIAL, A LOT, QUITE A BIT, MUCH
97 5. GREAT--A GREAT DEAL, TREMENDOUS, GREAT, SEVERE, SHARP, DEVASTATING
216 7. LEVEL OF IMPACT NOT PRESENT IN RESPONSE. R GIVES MEANINGFUL RESPONSE FOR OTHER CODES BUT IMPACT CANNOT BE DETERMINED

1 310
- (CONTINUED)
- 212 8. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE, NOT INFORMED
1590 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0447 EVAL OF IMPCT:PUB FND MD=7 OR GE 8
REF 0447 LOC 564 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 40

0

Q.F2(B) GLOBAL DIMENSION 2: R'S OVERALL EVALUATION OF IMPACT OF PUBLIC FUNDING
---

202 1. STRONGLY NEGATIVE--DISASTER, CAUSED GREAT HARM, LOUSY, STRONGLY OPPOSE IT (AS IT HAS OPERATED), WRECKED US, HURT A LOT
1141 2. NEGATIVE--SOME HARM, SOME BAD FEATURES, SOMEWHAT NEGATIVE, MADE LIFE MORE DIFFICULT, NEGATIVES OUTWEIGH POSITIVE; NEGATIVE BUT NA HOW MUCH
92 3. AMBIVALENT OR NEUTRAL--A MIXED BAG, SOME GOOD AND SOME BAD (EQUAL PORTIONS), CAN'T DECIDE, BOTH PROS AND CONS
754 4. POSITIVE--SOME GOOD, SOME USEFUL CONSEQUENCES, SOMEWHAT POSITIVE, ON BALANCE A GOOD THING, POSITIVES OUTWEIGH NEGATIVES, LIKE IT OR SUPPORT IT (AS IT HAS OPERATED); POSITIVE BUT NA HOW MUCH
47 5. STRONGLY POSITIVE--HELPED TREMENDOUSLY, A BIG ASSET, MADE ALL THE DIFFERENCE IN THE WORLD, A BOON, SAVED US, STRONGLY FAVOR OR LIKE IT (AS IT HAS OPERATED).
1370 7. EVALUATION NOT PRESENT. R GIVES CODEABLE RESPONSES BUT THERE IS NO EVALUATION COMPONENT

211 8. DON'T KNOW, NOT INFORMED
1589 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0448 ATT TWD CONCEPT:PUB FND MD=7 OR GE 8
REF 0448 LOC 565 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 41

0

Q.F2(C) GLOBAL DIMENSION 3: R'S ATTITUDE TOWARD THE CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING

1224 1. UNCONDITIONAL DISAPPROVAL--STRONGLY OPPOSED TO THE IDEA, PUBLIC FUNDING IS WRONG, WOULD NEVER SUPPORT IT, NEGATIVE IMPACT, HURT US, BAD EFFECTS.

1 311
- (CONTINUED)
- 139 2. CONDITIONAL DISAPPROVAL--DON'T LIKE IT BUT MIGHT CHANGE MIND, WITH CERTAIN IMPROVEMENTS MIGHT APPROVE, DOES DO SOME GOOD, OPPOSED TO THE IDEA BUT IT HAS HELPED.
27 3. AMBIVALENT OR NEUTRAL--BOTH GOOD AND BAD ASPECTS (EQUAL), PROS AND CONS.
351 4. CONDITIONAL APPROVAL--GOOD BUT CHANGES NEEDED, LOOPHOLES STILL PRESENT, IMPROVEMENTS NEEDED, TIGHTENING UP, PREDOMINANTLY GOOD, SUPPORT BUT IT HASN'T MET ALL GOALS.
669 5. UNCONDITIONAL APPROVAL--FAVOR IT, A GOOD THING, HELPED A LOT, MADE IT EASIER, TERRIFIC, WORKED WELL, STRONGLY FOR IT. NO RESERVATIONS EXPRESSED
1209 7. ATTITUDE NOT PRESENT. R GIVES CODEABLE RESPONSES BUT THEY ARE NOT RELEVANT OR APPLICABLE FOR THIS DIMENSION

198 8. DK
1589 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0449 EFFECT 1:NAT FUNDING MD=0 OR GE 998
REF 0449 LOC 566 WIDTH 3 DK 9 COL 42-44

0

Q.F2(C1Z) EFFECT OF PUBLIC FUNDING - FIRST MENTION

<UP TO 5 SPECIFIC RESPONSES TO Q.F2 ARE CODED>

I. 001 - 099 GENERAL REFERENCES
THESE CODES SHOULD BE USED ONLY IF THE SPECIFIC CATEGORIES GIVEN IN 100 - 950 SERIES ARE NOT APPLICABLE. RESPONSES THAT ONLY INDICATE LEVEL OF IMPACT OR EVALUATION OF IMPACT SHOULD BE CODED HERE. THESE ARE CODES OF LAST RESORT. IF A RESPONSE CAN BE CODED IN ONE OF THE HIGHER SERIES, IT SHOULD NOT BE CODED HERE. THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT IF R GIVES AN EVALUATION THERE WAS AT LEAST SOME IMPACT.

643 001. NO IMPACT, NO EVALUATION: NONE, NOTHING, NOT AT ALL, ZERO

205 002. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND POSITIVE EVALUATION: DID SOME GOOD, HELPED A LOT, AIDED US, MADE THINGS EASIER (NOT SPECIFIED)

77 003. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND NEGATIVE EVALUATION: BAD RESULTS, RUINOUS,

1 312
- (CONTINUED)
- TERRIBLE, HARMFUL, HURT A LITTLE (NOT SPECIFIED)

439 004. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND AMBIVALENT, NEUTRAL OR NOT CLEAR, PRESENT EVALUATION: GOOD AND BAD, MIXED BAG, PROS AND CONS, HELPED AND HURT (NOT SPECIFIED), (INCLUDES VERY LITTLE, NOT MUCH)

37 010. R EXPRESSES FAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD THE CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): FAVORS PUBLIC FUNDING, SUPPORTS IDEA, RIGHT APPROACH.

97 011. R EXPRESSES UNFAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): OPPOSES PUBLIC FUNDING, A BAD IDEA, WRONGHEADED, STUPID; GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN

FUNDING

0 099. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

II. CANDIDATE REFERENCES

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

7 101. MORE CANDIDATES; MORE CANDIDATES RUN, NA DIRECTION

1 119. OTHER CANDIDATE RESPONSES, NA DIRECTION

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

77 121. MORE FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; EQUALIZES FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; GIVES NON-WEALTHY CANDIDATES A CHANCE, HELPS RECRUIT CANDIDATES

10 122. CANDIDATES NOW MORE RESPONSIVE TO PEOPLE AND FOCUS ON ISSUES; PAY MORE ATTENTION TO PEOPLE RATHER THAN BIG CONTRIBUTORS

9 123. OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE INCUMBENT; INCUMBENT'S ADVANTAGE REDUCED; MORE COMPETITIVE; MORE VISIBILITY/EXPOSURE

14 124. JOHN ANDERSON WAS ABLE TO EMERGE; ANY POSITIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; ALLOWS THIRD PARTY CANDIDATES OPPORTUNITY TO RUN

1 313

- (CONTINUED)

- 23 125. MORE CANDIDATES RUN; MORE CANDIDATES

0 126. BETTER CANDIDATES, BETTER QUALIFIED CANDIDATES; HIGHER GRADE OF CANDIDATES

2 149. OTHER POSITIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

36 151. CANDIDATES MORE INDEPENDENT; SEVERS CANDIDATE FROM PARTY (BECAUSE OF INDEPENDENT FUNDS); REDUCES ACCOUNTABILITY OF CANDIDATES TO VOTERS OR PARTY; CANDIDATES WORK FOR OWN WELL-BEING

34 152. FAVORS INCUMBENT/HANDICAPS CHALLENGER

26 153. CANDIDATES WITH LARGEST OUTSIDE SUPPORT, MONEY BASE, GOOD MANAGEMENT HAVE ADVANTAGE; CAMPAIGNS WOULD BE RUN BY PROFESSIONALS

58 154. ONE-ISSUE CANDIDATES PROSPER; SPLINTER CANDIDATES JOIN THE RACE; UNQUALIFIED CANDIDATES RUN; CANDIDATES WHO HAVE NO CHANCE OF WINNING RUN

23 155. JOHN ANDERSON HAD AN UNFAIR ADVANTAGE; ANY NEGATIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; MAKES IT TOO EASY FOR THIRD PARTY CANDIDATE

0 156. CANDIDATES CONCENTRATE ON KEY STATES AND DO NOT GO TO SMALL (ELECTORAL) STATES

7 157. MORE CANDIDATES RUN; WORSE CANDIDATES RUN

0 158. HAS NOT IMPROVED QUALITY OF CANDIDATES; CANDIDATES STILL DO NOT FOCUS ON ISSUES

3 159. FEWER CANDIDATES RUN; INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES DON'T HAVE A CHANCE

1 160. INTEREST IN PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN DIMINISHED

6 199. OTHER NEGATIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSES

0

III. PARTY REFERENCES; FISCAL ASPECTS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

3 219. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

1 314

- (CONTINUED)

-

POSITIVE DIRECTION

61 221. LESS FUND-RAISING; PRESSURE OF FUND-RAISING RELIEVED; PARTY NOT FINANCIALLY RESPONSIBLE

23 222. PARTY IN CONTROL OF FUND-RAISING; FUND-RAISING SHIFTED TO LOCAL AND STATE CANDIDATES

106 223. PARTY CAN TARGET EXPENDITURES; ALLOWS MORE MONEY FOR THE STATE; HAVE MONEY TO STRENGTHEN/BUILD PARTY ORGANIZATION; MORE FUNDS

17 224. HELPS EQUALIZE BOTH PARTIES FINANCIALLY

4 225. HELPS THE DEMOCRATS FINANCIALLY

2 226. HELPS THE REPUBLICANS FINANCIALLY

8 227. LESS MONEY SPENT, HOLDS DOWN COST OF ELECTION

1 249. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

83 251. AMOUNT INADEQUATE; FUNDS SCARCE; FUND-RAISING STILL REQUIRED FOR PRESIDENTIAL/OTHER CANDIDATES; HARDER TO RAISE FUNDS

49 252. FUNDS STILL SCARCE, NEVER REACH GRASS-ROOTS; LOCAL AREA HAS TO RAISE MONEY; LOCAL CANDIDATES NOT GIVEN FUNDING --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

14 253. REDUCED EXPENDITURES; CAMPAIGN MATERIALS NOT EASILY AVAILABLE; LESS MONEY TO SPEND ON LOCAL CANDIDATES --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

85 254. RAISED COST OF POLITICS; SPENDING UP; CAMPAIGN TOO EXPENSIVE AND TOO LONG

20 255. DID NOT CORRECT FINANCIAL IMBALANCE

5 257. MONEY SPENT IN CITIES, NOT RURAL AREAS

5 260. DEMOCRATS HURT FINANCIALLY

1 261. REPUBLICANS HURT FINANCIALLY

4 299. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

1 315
- (CONTINUED)
-

IV. PARTY REFERENCES: ORGANIZATIONAL AND PERSONNEL

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 319. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE
0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

42 321. PARTY STRENGTHENED; PARTY WORK MORE VALUABLE (DUE TO LESS FUNDS); GET BETTER STAFF; MAKES WORK MORE EFFICIENT

22 322. HELPED/CHANGED (STATE/LOCAL) PARTY ORGANIZATION/ROLE, NA HOW

20 323. FAVORABLE IMPACT ON DEMOCRATIC PARTY

10 324. GOP HAS BEEN HELPED

1 325. PATRONAGE WEAKENED (JOBS); LESSENS CONTROL OF PARTY MACHINE

1 326. INCREASE PARTY COMPETITION

8 349. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES
0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

170 351. DIMINISHED/WEAKENED ROLE/IMPORTANCE/EFFECTIVENESS/INFLUENCE OF POLITICAL PARTIES, PARTY STRUCTURE, ORGANIZATION,

NA HOW

59 352. ELIMINATES/REDUCES PARTY CONTROL OF CANDIDATES; NO CONTROL OVER CAMPAIGN IN STATE; SEPARATION BETWEEN PARTY ACTIVITY AND NATIONAL CAMPAIGN

36 353. LESS CONCERN FOR LOCAL INPUTS; LOCAL CAMPAIGN PREEMPTED BY PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

34 354. ACTIVITY/PARTICIPATION REDUCED; REDUCED INVOLVEMENT; LIMITS RESERVE (POOL) OF PARTY WORKERS

50 355. DECLINE OF LOCAL PARTY ACTIVITY; DECREASED PRECINCT VOLUNTEER WORK; CAN'T FIND GRASS-ROOTS

1 316
- (CONTINUED)
- PEOPLE TO WORK ON CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

18 356. WEAKENS/DESTROYS TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ENTRENCHES THE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ERODES THE INTEGRATION OF PARTIES

12 357. DISCOURAGES THIRD PARTY MOVEMENT

8 358. DEMOCRATS HURT

5 359. REPUBLICANS HURT

0 360. PATRONAGE WEAKENED, PARTY CAN NO LONGER REPAY FAVORS

1 361. CAUSES PARTY INFIGHTING/FRAGMENTATION/DISUNITY

7 399. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

V. ROLE OF TAXPAYERS, CITIZENS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

5 401. TAX CHECK-OFF UNDERUTILIZED

1 419. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

28 421. MORE DEMOCRATIC; MORE PARTICIPATION; PEOPLE FEEL MORE A PART OF THE ELECTORAL PROCESS; PEOPLE MORE INTERESTED IN POLITICS; CHECK-OFF ENCOURAGES PARTICIPATION

41 422. SMALL DONORS BECOME MORE IMPORTANT; HELPS PREVENT PRESIDENCY FROM BEING "BOUGHT"; MAY REDUCE INFLUENCE OF A FEW "FAT CATS"

0 449. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

47 451. PEOPLE'S PARTICIPATION AND INVOLVEMENT CURTAILED; NO LONGER NEED CONTRIBUTIONS BEYOND CHECK-OFF; LIMITS PARTICIPATION TO CHECK-OFF; DIMINISHES

1 317

- (CONTINUED)
- POWER OF INDIVIDUAL; LESS INVOLVEMENT BECAUSE NO CASH INVESTMENT

14 452. DOES NOT EFFECTIVELY RESTRICT INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS; CAN CONTRIBUTE THROUGH SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS

21 453. PEOPLE DON'T KNOW WHERE THE MONEY REALLY GOES; WRONG PEOPLE CAN GET MONEY; A RAID ON TAXPAYER'S MONEY; PEOPLE DECEIVED

16 454. PEOPLE DON'T LIKE FINANCING POLITICAL ENEMIES; HAVE NO CHOICE WHICH CANDIDATE MONEY SUPPORTS

2 455. INFRINGEMENT OF FREE SPEECH (FIRST AMENDMENT

RIGHTS) (BECAUSE VOTERS CHECK-OFF ONE PARTY)

2 456. PEOPLE WON'T/DON'T LIKE IT, NA WHY

0 457. WE CAN'T AFFORD IT; CREATES DEBT

2 499. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VI. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

2 519. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

7 521. PRESENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS HELPS STRENGTHEN PARTY; HELPS OFFSET NEGATIVE FEATURES OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING

28 522. HELPED TO REDUCE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; CURTAILED SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP'S ACTIVITY

0 549. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

22 551. BIG MONEY STILL CONTROLS; BIG MONEY GETS AROUND SPENDING RESTRICTIONS; BIG BUSINESS, LABOR UNIONS STILL HAVE AN IMPACT/STILL CONTROL

1 318

- (CONTINUED)

- 7 552. SINGLE ISSUE INTEREST GROUPS STILL PLAY SIGNIFICANT ROLE, NA HOW

18 553. ENCOURAGES DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; "HATE" GROUPS EMERGING

64 560. PACS BECOMING ENTIRELY TOO POWERFUL, NEGATING GOOD

RESULTS; PACS ARE A BAD LOOPHOLE IN LAW

11 561. PACS PROLIFERATE (BECAUSE THEY CAN RAISE MONEY); PACS INFLUENCE LOCAL CAMPAIGNS

1 599. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VII. ROLE OF MEDIA

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

2 601. INCREASED EFFECT OF MEDIA, NA HOW

0 619. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

2 621. CANDIDATES/PARTY/CAMPAIGN/VOTERS BENEFIT FROM MEDIA

0 649. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION (MEDIA)

34 651. CANDIDATES SPEND PUBLIC FUNDING ON TV; GREATER STRESS ON TV, TV HAS GREATER IMPACT, TV PROFITS

22 660. DEPEND ON NATIONAL MEDIA FOR CAMPAIGN, NA HOW

1 699. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VIII. RULES AND REGULATIONS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 719. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 319

- (CONTINUED)

-

9 730. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES CURTAILED

1 749. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE RESPONSES

103 751. DRAWBACKS DUE TO RED-TAPE; TOO MUCH PAPER WORK; TOO MANY REGULATIONS; TOO COMPLICATED (FINANCING); KEEPS LAWYERS AND ACCOUNTANTS BUSY; INEFFICIENT

18 752. TOO MANY LOOPHOLES, NA WHICH

0 753. DIRECT MAILING A WASTE

15 754. TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT CONTROL; GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE

16 780. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES PERPETUATED; PUBLIC OFFICIAL CAUGHT SIPHONING FUNDS

1 799. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IX. DIFFERENTIAL IMPACT ON STATES/DISTRICTS

33 801. LITTLE IMPACT IN LOW POPULATION STATES; LITTLE IMPACT IN SMALL STATES

20 802. UNIMPORTANT STATES DO NOT BENEFIT; KEY/SWING STATES BENEFIT

23 803. ONE-PARTY DOMINANT STATES/DISTRICTS/AREAS DO NOT BENEFIT; CONTESTS ALREADY DECIDED

3 804. LITTLE FUNDING GOES TO SMALL STATES

1 849. OTHER STATE SPECIFIC RESPONSES

0

X. REFORMS SUGGESTED (THIS CATEGORY SHOULD BE GIVEN LOW PRIORITY IN CODING)

23 851. LOWER LIMITS ON TOTAL CAMPAIGN SPENDING; ENACT LIMITS

2 852. ALLOW CITIZENS RIGHT TO GIVE MORE IN CHECK-OFF

21 853. RESTRICT USE OF MONEY BY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS;

1 320
- (CONTINUED)
- PACS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO GIVE

6 854. CHANNEL MONEY TO PARTIES, NOT CANDIDATES; FUND ONLY TWO MAJOR PARTIES

49 855. PUBLIC FUNDING SHOULD BE REPEALED. GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING

5 856. ELIMINATE/LIMIT PRIVATE FUNDING

11 857. EXTEND TO OTHER CONTESTS; INCLUDE CONGRESSIONAL RACES

3 858. DISCLOSURE LAWS STRONGER

1 860. RAISE SPENDING LIMIT - CONTRIBUTIONS

2 861. FREE MEDIA EXPOSURE FOR CANDIDATES

1 862. EQUALIZE AMOUNT OF MONEY GIVEN TO ALL CANDIDATES

3 863. CONTROL AMOUNT SPENT IN EACH STATE; EACH STATE SHOULD GET SOME MONEY; STATE SHOULD CONTROL ITS MONEY CONTRIBUTION

5 875. FAVORS BUT WITH SOME CHANGES, E.G. TIGHTER REGULATIONS, STRICTER REQUIREMENTS FOR CANDIDATES

7 899. OTHER REFORM RESPONSES

0

XI. MISCELLANEOUS RESPONSES AND MISSING DATA

4 901. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED ELSEWHERE (THIS SHOULD BE GIVEN LOWEST PRIORITY)

196 998. DK

1594 999. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0450 EFFECT 2:NAT FUNDING MD=0 OR GE 998
REF 0450 LOC 569 WIDTH 3 DK 9 COL 45-47

0

Q.F2(C1Z) EFFECT OF PUBLIC FUNDING - SECOND MENTION
---

1 321
- (CONTINUED)
- <UP TO 5 SPECIFIC RESPONSES TO Q.F2 ARE CODED>

I. 001 - 099 GENERAL REFERENCES
THESE CODES SHOULD BE USED ONLY IF THE SPECIFIC CATEGORIES GIVEN IN 100 - 950 SERIES ARE NOT APPLICABLE. RESPONSES THAT ONLY INDICATE LEVEL OF IMPACT OR EVALUATION OF IMPACT SHOULD BE CODED HERE. THESE ARE CODES OF LAST RESORT. IF A RESPONSE CAN BE CODED IN ONE OF THE HIGHER SERIES, IT SHOULD NOT BE CODED HERE. THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT IF R GIVES AN EVALUATION THERE WAS AT LEAST SOME IMPACT.

1 001. NO IMPACT, NO EVALUATION: NONE, NOTHING, NOT AT ALL, ZERO

2 002. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND POSITIVE EVALUATION: DID SOME GOOD, HELPED A LOT, AIDED US, MADE THINGS EASIER (NOT SPECIFIED)

1 003. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND NEGATIVE EVALUATION: BAD RESULTS, RUINOUS, TERRIBLE, HARMFUL, HURT A LITTLE (NOT SPECIFIED)

1 004. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND AMBIVALENT, NEUTRAL, OR NOT CLEAR PRESENT EVALUATION: GOOD AND BAD, MIXED BAG, PROS AND CONS, HELPED AND HURT (NOT SPECIFIED), (INCLUDES VERY LITTLE, NOT MUCH.)

15 010. R EXPRESSES FAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD THE CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): FAVORS PUBLIC FUNDING, SUPPORTS IDEA, RIGHT APPROACH.

27 011. R EXPRESSES UNFAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): OPPOSES PUBLIC FUNDING, A BAD IDEA, WRONGHEADED, STUPID; GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING

0 099. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

II. CANDIDATE REFERENCES

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

1 101. MORE CANDIDATES; MORE CANDIDATES RUN, NA DIRECTION

0 119. OTHER CANDIDATE RESPONSES, NA DIRECTION

1 322
- (CONTINUED)
-

POSITIVE DIRECTION

12 121. MORE FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; EQUALIZES FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; GIVES NON-WEALTHY CANDIDATES A CHANCE, HELPS RECRUIT CANDIDATES

5 122. CANDIDATES NOW MORE RESPONSIVE TO PEOPLE AND FOCUS ON ISSUES; PAY MORE ATTENTION TO PEOPLE RATHER THAN BIG CONTRIBUTORS

4 123. OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE INCUMBENT; INCUMBENT'S ADVANTAGE REDUCED; MORE COMPETITIVE; MORE VISIBILITY/EXPOSURE

3 124. JOHN ANDERSON WAS ABLE TO EMERGE; ANY POSITIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; ALLOWS THIRD PARTY CANDIDATES OPPORTUNITY TO RUN

4 125. MORE CANDIDATES RUN; MORE CANDIDATES

3 126. BETTER CANDIDATES, BETTER QUALIFIED CANDIDATES; HIGHER GRADE OF CANDIDATES

1 149. OTHER POSITIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

23 151. CANDIDATES MORE INDEPENDENT; SEVERS CANDIDATE FROM PARTY (BECAUSE OF INDEPENDENT FUNDS); REDUCES ACCOUNTABILITY OF CANDIDATES TO VOTERS OR PARTY; CANDIDATES WORK FOR OWN WELL-BEING

8 152. FAVORS INCUMBENT/HANDICAPS CHALLENGER

12 153. CANDIDATES WITH LARGEST OUTSIDE SUPPORT, MONEY BASE, GOOD MANAGEMENT HAVE ADVANTAGE; CAMPAIGNS WOULD BE RUN BY PROFESSIONALS

21 154. ONE-ISSUE CANDIDATES PROSPER; SPLINTER CANDIDATES JOIN THE RACE; UNQUALIFIED CANDIDATES RUN; CANDIDATES WHO HAVE NO CHANCE OF WINNING RUN

7 155. JOHN ANDERSON HAD AN UNFAIR ADVANTAGE; ANY NEGATIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; MAKES IT TOO EASY FOR THIRD PARTY CANDIDATE

0 156. CANDIDATES CONCENTRATE ON KEY STATES AND DO NOT GO TO SMALL (ELECTORAL) STATES

1 323

-

(CONTINUED)

-

4 157. MORE CANDIDATES RUN. WORSE CANDIDATES RUN.

0 158. HAS NOT IMPROVED QUALITY OF CANDIDATES; CANDIDATES STILL DO NOT FOCUS ON ISSUES

2 159. FEWER CANDIDATES RUN; INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES DON'T HAVE A CHANCE

1 160. INTEREST IN PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN DIMINISHED

2 199. OTHER NEGATIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSES

0

III. PARTY REFERENCES; FISCAL ASPECTS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

1 219. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

17 221. LESS FUND-RAISING; PRESSURE OF FUND-RAISING RELIEVED; PARTY NOT FINANCIALLY RESPONSIBLE

12 222. PARTY IN CONTROL OF FUND-RAISING; FUND-RAISING SHIFTED TO LOCAL AND STATE CANDIDATES

27 223. PARTY CAN TARGET EXPENDITURES; ALLOWS MORE MONEY FOR THE STATE; HAVE MONEY TO STRENGTHEN/BUILD PARTY ORGANIZATION; MORE FUNDS

4 224. HELPS EQUALIZE BOTH PARTIES FINANCIALLY

6 225. HELPS THE DEMOCRATS FINANCIALLY

2 226. HELPS THE REPUBLICANS FINANCIALLY

2 227. LESS MONEY SPENT, HOLDS DOWN COST OF ELECTION

0 249. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

26 251. AMOUNT INADEQUATE; FUNDS SCARCE; FUND-RAISING STILL REQUIRED FOR PRESIDENTIAL/OTHER CANDIDATES; HARDER TO RAISE FUNDS

1 324

- (CONTINUED)

- 23 252. FUNDS STILL SCARCE, NEVER REACH GRASS-ROOTS; LOCAL AREA HAS TO RAISE MONEY; LOCAL CANDIDATES NOT GIVEN FUNDING --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

15 253. REDUCED EXPENDITURES; CAMPAIGN MATERIALS NOT EASILY AVAILABLE; LESS MONEY TO SPEND ON LOCAL CANDIDATES --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

24 254. RAISED COST OF POLITICS; SPENDING UP; CAMPAIGN TOO EXPENSIVE AND TOO LONG

12 255. DID NOT CORRECT FINANCIAL IMBALANCE

1 257. MONEY SPENT IN CITIES, NOT RURAL AREAS

3 260. DEMOCRATS HURT FINANCIALLY

1 261. REPUBLICANS HURT FINANCIALLY

1 299. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IV. PARTY REFERENCES: ORGANIZATIONAL AND PERSONNEL

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 319. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

10 321. PARTY STRENGTHENED; PARTY WORK MORE VALUABLE (DUE TO LESS FUNDS); GET BETTER STAFF; MAKES WORK MORE EFFICIENT

6 322. HELPED/CHANGED (STATE/LOCAL) PARTY ORGANIZATION/ROLE, NA HOW

3 323. FAVORABLE IMPACT ON DEMOCRATIC PARTY

2 324. GOP HAS BEEN HELPED

2 325. PATRONAGE WEAKENED (JOBS); LESSENS CONTROL OF PARTY MACHINE

1 326. INCREASE PARTY COMPETITION

0 349. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

1 325

- (CONTINUED)
- NEGATIVE DIRECTION

26 351. DIMINISHED/WEAKENED ROLE/IMPORTANCE/EFFECTIVENESS/INFLUENCE OF POLITICAL PARTIES, PARTY STRUCTURE, ORGANIZATION, NA HOW

42 352. ELIMINATES/REDUCES PARTY CONTROL OF CANDIDATES; NO CONTROL OVER CAMPAIGN IN STATE; SEPARATION BETWEEN PARTY ACTIVITY AND NATIONAL CAMPAIGN

14 353. LESS CONCERN FOR LOCAL INPUTS; LOCAL CAMPAIGN PREEMPTED BY PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

16 354. ACTIVITY/PARTICIPATION REDUCED; REDUCED INVOLVEMENT; LIMITS RESERVE (POOL) OF PARTY WORKERS

38 355. DECLINE OF LOCAL PARTY ACTIVITY; DECREASED PRECINCT VOLUNTEER WORK; CAN'T FIND GRASS-ROOTS PEOPLE TO WORK ON CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

3 356. WEAKENS/DESTROYS TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ENTRENCHES THE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ERODES THE INTEGRATION OF PARTIES

5 357. DISCOURAGES THIRD PARTY MOVEMENT

6 358. DEMOCRATS HURT

2 359. REPUBLICANS HURT

2 360. PATRONAGE WEAKENED, PARTY CAN NO LONGER REPAY FAVORS

0 361. CAUSES PARTY INFIGHTING/FRAGMENTATION/DISUNITY

1 399. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES
0

V. ROLE OF TAXPAYERS, CITIZENS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 401. TAX CHECK-OFF UNDERUTILIZED

0 419. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES
0
1 326
- (CONTINUED)
-

POSITIVE DIRECTION

8 421. MORE DEMOCRATIC; MORE PARTICIPATION; PEOPLE FEEL MORE A PART OF THE ELECTORAL PROCESS; PEOPLE MORE INTERESTED IN POLITICS; CHECK-OFF ENCOURAGES PARTICIPATION

14 422. SMALL DONORS BECOME MORE IMPORTANT; HELPS PREVENT PRESIDENCY FROM BEING "BOUGHT"; MAY REDUCE INFLUENCE OF A FEW "FAT CATS"

0 449. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES
0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

24 451. PEOPLE'S PARTICIPATION AND INVOLVEMENT CURTAILED; NO LONGER NEED CONTRIBUTIONS BEYOND CHECK-OFF; LIMITS PARTICIPATION TO CHECK-OFF; DIMINISHES POWER OF INDIVIDUAL; LESS INVOLVEMENT BECAUSE NO CASH INVESTMENT

9 452. DOES NOT EFFECTIVELY RESTRICT INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS; CAN CONTRIBUTE THROUGH SPECIAL

INTEREST GROUPS

14 453. PEOPLE DON'T KNOW WHERE THE MONEY REALLY GOES; WRONG PEOPLE CAN GET MONEY; A RAID ON TAXPAYER'S MONEY; PEOPLE DECEIVED

7 454. PEOPLE DON'T LIKE FINANCING POLITICAL ENEMIES; HAVE NO CHOICE WHICH CANDIDATE MONEY SUPPORTS

6 455. INFRINGEMENT OF FREE SPEECH (FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS) (BECAUSE VOTERS CHECK-OFF ONE PARTY)

1 456. PEOPLE WON'T/DON'T LIKE IT, NA WHY

1 457. WE CAN'T AFFORD IT; CREATES DEBT

1 499. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VI. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 519. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

1 327

- (CONTINUED)

-

POSITIVE DIRECTION

5 521. PRESENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP'S HELPS STRENGTHEN PARTY; HELPS OFFSET NEGATIVE FEATURES OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING

13 522. HELPED TO REDUCE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; CURTAILED SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP'S ACTIVITY

0 549. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

12 551. BIG MONEY STILL CONTROLS; BIG MONEY GETS AROUND

SPENDING RESTRICTIONS; BIG BUSINESS, LABOR UNIONS STILL HAVE AN IMPACT/STILL CONTROL

6 552. SINGLE ISSUE INTEREST GROUPS STILL PLAY SIGNIFICANT ROLE, NA HOW

12 553. ENCOURAGES DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; "HATE" GROUPS EMERGING

27 560. PACS BECOMING ENTIRELY TOO POWERFUL, NEGATING GOOD RESULTS; PACS ARE A BAD LOOPHOLE IN LAW

7 561. PACS PROLIFERATE (BECAUSE THEY CAN RAISE MONEY); PACS INFLUENCE LOCAL CAMPAIGNS

0 599. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES
0

VII. ROLE OF MEDIA

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 601. INCREASED EFFECT OF MEDIA, NA HOW

0 619. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES
0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

3 621. CANDIDATES/PARTY/CAMPAIGN/VOTERS BENEFIT FROM MEDIA

0 649. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

1 328
- (CONTINUED)
-

NEGATIVE DIRECTION (MEDIA)

32 651. CANDIDATES SPEND PUBLIC FUNDING ON TV; GREATER STRESS ON TV, TV HAS GREATER IMPACT, TV PROFITS

33 660. DEPEND ON NATIONAL MEDIA FOR CAMPAIGN, NA HOW

1 699. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES
0

VIII. RULES AND REGULATIONS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 719. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES
0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

4 730. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES CURTAILED

0 749. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES
0

NEGATIVE RESPONSES

30 751. DRAWBACKS DUE TO RED-TAPE; TOO MUCH PAPER WORK; TOO MANY REGULATIONS; TOO COMPLICATED (FINANCING); KEEPS LAWYERS AND ACCOUNTANTS BUSY; INEFFICIENT

9 752. TOO MANY LOOPHOLES, NA WHICH

3 753. DIRECT MAILING A WASTE

11 754. TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT CONTROL; GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE

5 780. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES PERPETUATED; PUBLIC OFFICIAL CAUGHT SIPHONING FUNDS

0 799. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES
0

IX. DIFFERENTIAL IMPACT ON STATES/DISTRICTS

3 801. LITTLE IMPACT IN LOW POPULATION STATES; LITTLE IMPACT IN SMALL STATES

6 802. UNIMPORTANT STATES DO NOT BENEFIT; KEY/SWING STATES

- (CONTINUED)
- BENEFIT

2 803. ONE-PARTY DOMINANT STATES/DISTRICTS/AREAS DO NOT BENEFIT; CONTESTS ALREADY DECIDED

1 804. LITTLE FUNDING GOES TO SMALL STATES

0 849. OTHER STATE SPECIFIC RESPONSES

0

X. REFORMS SUGGESTED (THIS CATEGORY SHOULD BE GIVEN LOW PRIORITY IN CODING)

20 851. LOWER LIMITS ON TOTAL CAMPAIGN SPENDING; ENACT LIMITS

5 852. ALLOW CITIZENS RIGHT TO GIVE MORE IN CHECK-OFF

11 853. RESTRICT USE OF MONEY BY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; PACS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO GIVE

16 854. CHANNEL MONEY TO PARTIES, NOT CANDIDATES; FUND ONLY TWO MAJOR PARTIES

31 855. PUBLIC FUNDING SHOULD BE REPEALED. GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING.

2 856. ELIMINATE/LIMIT PRIVATE FUNDING

13 857. EXTEND TO OTHER CONTESTS; INCLUDE CONGRESSIONAL RACES

3 858. DISCLOSURE LAWS STRONGER

2 860. RAISE SPENDING LIMIT - CONTRIBUTIONS

2 861. FREE MEDIA EXPOSURE FOR CANDIDATES

1 862. EQUALIZE AMOUNT OF MONEY GIVEN TO ALL CANDIDATES

1 863. CONTROL AMOUNT SPENT IN EACH STATE; EACH STATE SHOULD GET SOME MONEY; STATE SHOULD CONTROL ITS MONEY CONTRIBUTION

6 875. FAVORS BUT WITH SOME CHANGES, E.G. TIGHTER REGULATIONS, STRICTER REQUIREMENTS FOR CANDIDATES

11 899. OTHER REFORM RESPONSES

0

1 330
- (CONTINUED)
- XI. MISCELLANEOUS RESPONSES AND MISSING DATA

6 901. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED ELSEWHERE (THIS SHOULD BE GIVEN LOWEST PRIORITY)

0 998. DK

0 999. NA

4450 000. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTION

0 .....

0 VAR 0451 EFFECT 3:NAT FUNDING MD=0 OR GE 998
REF 0451 LOC 572 WIDTH 3 DK 9 COL 48-50

0

Q.F2(C1Z) EFFECT OF PUBLIC FUNDING - THIRD MENTION

<UP TO 5 SPECIFIC RESPONSES TO Q.F2 ARE CODED>

I. 001 - 099 GENERAL REFERENCES
THESE CODES SHOULD BE USED ONLY IF THE SPECIFIC CATEGORIES GIVEN IN 100 - 950 SERIES ARE NOT APPLICABLE. RESPONSES THAT ONLY INDICATE LEVEL OF IMPACT OR EVALUATION OF IMPACT SHOULD BE CODED HERE. THESE ARE CODES OF LAST RESORT. IF A RESPONSE CAN BE CODED IN ONE OF THE HIGHER SERIES, IT SHOULD NOT BE CODED HERE. THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT IF R GIVES AN EVALUATION THERE WAS AT LEAST SOME IMPACT.

0 001. NO IMPACT, NO EVALUATION: NONE, NOTHING, NOT AT ALL, ZERO

1 002. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND POSITIVE EVALUATION: DID SOME GOOD, HELPED A LOT,

AIDED US, MADE THINGS EASIER (NOT SPECIFIED)

0 003. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND NEGATIVE EVALUATION: BAD RESULTS, RUINOUS, TERRIBLE, HARMFUL, HURT A LITTLE (NOT SPECIFIED)

0 004. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND AMBIVALENT, NEUTRAL, OR NOT CLEAR PRESENT EVALUATION: GOOD AND BAD, MIXED BAG, PROS AND CONS, HELPED AND HURT (NOT SPECIFIED), (INCLUDES VERY LITTLE, NOT MUCH)

0 010. R EXPRESSES FAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD THE CONCEPT

1 331

- (CONTINUED)
- OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): FAVORS PUBLIC FUNDING, SUPPORTS IDEA, RIGHT APPROACH.

1 011. R EXPRESSES UNFAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): OPPOSES PUBLIC FUNDING, A BAD IDEA, WRONGHEADED, STUPID; GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING

0 099. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

II. CANDIDATE REFERENCES

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 101. MORE CANDIDATES; MORE CANDIDATES RUN, NA DIRECTION

0 119. OTHER CANDIDATE RESPONSES, NA DIRECTION

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 121. MORE FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; EQUALIZES FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; GIVES NON-WEALTHY CANDIDATES A CHANCE, HELPS RECRUIT CANDIDATES

0 122. CANDIDATES NOW MORE RESPONSIVE TO PEOPLE AND FOCUS ON ISSUES; PAY MORE ATTENTION TO PEOPLE RATHER THAN BIG CONTRIBUTORS

0 123. OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE INCUMBENT; INCUMBENT'S ADVANTAGE REDUCED; MORE COMPETITIVE; MORE VISIBILITY/EXPOSURE

1 124. JOHN ANDERSON WAS ABLE TO EMERGE; ANY POSITIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; ALLOWS THIRD PARTY CANDIDATES OPPORTUNITY TO RUN

1 125. MORE CANDIDATES RUN; MORE CANDIDATES

0 126. BETTER CANDIDATES, BETTER QUALIFIED CANDIDATES; HIGHER GRADE OF CANDIDATES

0 149. OTHER POSITIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

1 332

\- (CONTINUED)

\-

7 151. CANDIDATES MORE INDEPENDENT; SEVERS CANDIDATE FROM PARTY (BECAUSE OF INDEPENDENT FUNDS); REDUCES ACCOUNTABILITY OF CANDIDATES TO VOTERS OR PARTY; CANDIDATES WORK FOR OWN WELL-BEING

3 152. FAVORS INCUMBENT/HANDICAPS CHALLENGER

3 153. CANDIDATES WITH LARGEST OUTSIDE SUPPORT, MONEY BASE, GOOD MANAGEMENT HAVE ADVANTAGE; CAMPAIGNS WOULD BE RUN BY PROFESSIONALS

4 154. ONE-ISSUE CANDIDATES PROSPER; SPLINTER CANDIDATES JOIN THE RACE; UNQUALIFIED CANDIDATES RUN; CANDIDATES WHO HAVE NO CHANCE OF WINNING RUN

0 155. JOHN ANDERSON HAD AN UNFAIR ADVANTAGE; ANY NEGATIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; MAKES IT TOO EASY

FOR THIRD PARTY CANDIDATE

0 156. CANDIDATES CONCENTRATE ON KEY STATES AND DO NOT GO TO SMALL (ELECTORAL) STATES

3 157. MORE CANDIDATES RUN. WORSE CANDIDATES RUN.

1 158. HAS NOT IMPROVED QUALITY OF CANDIDATES; CANDIDATES STILL DO NOT FOCUS ON ISSUES

1 159. FEWER CANDIDATES RUN; INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES DON'T HAVE A CHANCE

1 160. INTEREST IN PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN DIMINISHED

1 199. OTHER NEGATIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSES

0

III. PARTY REFERENCES; FISCAL ASPECTS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 219. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 221. LESS FUND-RAISING; PRESSURE OF FUND-RAISING RELIEVED; PARTY NOT FINANCIALLY RESPONSIBLE

0 222. PARTY IN CONTROL OF FUND-RAISING; FUND-RAISING SHIFTED TO LOCAL AND STATE CANDIDATES

1 333

- (CONTINUED)

-

5 223. PARTY CAN TARGET EXPENDITURES; ALLOWS MORE MONEY FOR THE STATE; HAVE MONEY TO STRENGTHEN/BUILD PARTY ORGANIZATION; MORE FUNDS

1 224. HELPS EQUALIZE BOTH PARTIES FINANCIALLY

0 225. HELPS THE DEMOCRATS FINANCIALLY

0 226. HELPS THE REPUBLICANS FINANCIALLY

0 227. LESS MONEY SPENT, HOLDS DOWN COST OF ELECTION

0 249. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

7 251. AMOUNT INADEQUATE; FUNDS SCARCE; FUND-RAISING STILL REQUIRED FOR PRESIDENTIAL/OTHER CANDIDATES; HARDER TO RAISE FUNDS

7 252. FUNDS STILL SCARCE, NEVER REACH GRASS-ROOTS; LOCAL AREA HAS TO RAISE MONEY; LOCAL CANDIDATES NOT GIVEN FUNDING --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

7 253. REDUCED EXPENDITURES; CAMPAIGN MATERIALS NOT EASILY AVAILABLE; LESS MONEY TO SPEND ON LOCAL CANDIDATES --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

4 254. RAISED COST OF POLITICS; SPENDING UP; CAMPAIGN TOO EXPENSIVE AND TOO LONG

2 255. DID NOT CORRECT FINANCIAL IMBALANCE

0 257. MONEY SPENT IN CITIES, NOT RURAL AREAS

1 260. DEMOCRATS HURT FINANCIALLY

0 261. REPUBLICANS HURT FINANCIALLY

0 299. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IV. PARTY REFERENCES: ORGANIZATIONAL AND PERSONNEL

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 319. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

1 334

- (CONTINUED)

-

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 321. PARTY STRENGTHENED; PARTY WORK MORE VALUABLE (DUE TO LESS FUNDS); GET BETTER STAFF; MAKES WORK MORE EFFICIENT

0 322. HELPED/CHANGED (STATE/LOCAL) PARTY ORGANIZATION/ROLE, NA HOW

1 323. FAVORABLE IMPACT ON DEMOCRATIC PARTY

2 324. GOP HAS BEEN HELPED

0 325. PATRONAGE WEAKENED (JOBS); LESSENS CONTROL OF PARTY MACHINE

0 326. INCREASE PARTY COMPETITION

0 349. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

9 351. DIMINISHED/WEAKENED ROLE/IMPORTANCE/EFFECTIVENESS/INFLUENCE OF POLITICAL PARTIES, PARTY STRUCTURE, ORGANIZATION, NA HOW

9 352. ELIMINATES/REDUCES PARTY CONTROL OF CANDIDATES; NO CONTROL OVER CAMPAIGN IN STATE; SEPARATION BETWEEN PARTY ACTIVITY AND NATIONAL CAMPAIGN

4 353. LESS CONCERN FOR LOCAL INPUTS; LOCAL CAMPAIGN PREEMPTED BY PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

3 354. ACTIVITY/PARTICIPATION REDUCED; REDUCED INVOLVEMENT; LIMITS RESERVE (POOL) OF PARTY WORKERS

5 355. DECLINE OF LOCAL PARTY ACTIVITY; DECREASED PRECINCT VOLUNTEER WORK; CAN'T FIND GRASS-ROOTS PEOPLE TO WORK ON CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL

REFERENCES

1 356. WEAKENS/DESTROYS TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ENTRENCHES THE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ERODES THE INTEGRATION OF PARTIES

- (CONTINUED)

- 0 357. DISCOURAGES THIRD PARTY MOVEMENT

2 358. DEMOCRATS HURT

0 359. REPUBLICANS HURT

0 360. PATRONAGE WEAKENED, PARTY CAN NO LONGER REPAY FAVORS

0 361. CAUSES PARTY INFIGHTING/FRAGMENTATION/DISUNITY

1 399. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

V. ROLE OF TAXPAYERS, CITIZENS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 401. TAX CHECK-OFF UNDERUTILIZED

0 419. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 421. MORE DEMOCRATIC; MORE PARTICIPATION; PEOPLE FEEL MORE A PART OF THE ELECTORAL PROCESS; PEOPLE MORE INTERESTED IN POLITICS; CHECK-OFF ENCOURAGES PARTICIPATION

3 422. SMALL DONORS BECOME MORE IMPORTANT; HELPS PREVENT PRESIDENCY FROM BEING "BOUGHT"; MAY REDUCE INFLUENCE OF A FEW "FAT CATS"

0 449. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

5 451. PEOPLE'S PARTICIPATION AND INVOLVEMENT CURTAILED; NO LONGER NEED CONTRIBUTIONS BEYOND CHECK-OFF; LIMITS PARTICIPATION TO CHECK-OFF; DIMINISHES POWER OF INDIVIDUAL; LESS INVOLVEMENT BECAUSE NO CASH INVESTMENT

2 452. DOES NOT EFFECTIVELY RESTRICT INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS; CAN CONTRIBUTE THROUGH SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS

1 336
- (CONTINUED)
- 3 453. PEOPLE DON'T KNOW WHERE THE MONEY REALLY GOES; WRONG PEOPLE CAN GET MONEY; A RAID ON TAXPAYER'S MONEY; PEOPLE DECEIVED

1 454. PEOPLE DON'T LIKE FINANCING POLITICAL ENEMIES; HAVE NO CHOICE WHICH CANDIDATE MONEY SUPPORTS

2 455. INFRINGEMENT OF FREE SPEECH (FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS) (BECAUSE VOTERS CHECK-OFF ONE PARTY)

0 456. PEOPLE WON'T/DON'T LIKE IT, NA WHY

0 457. WE CAN'T AFFORD IT; CREATES DEBT

2 499. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VI. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 519. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 521. PRESENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS HELPS

STRENGTHEN PARTY; HELPS OFFSET NEGATIVE FEATURES OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING

6 522. HELPED TO REDUCE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; CURTAILED SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP'S ACTIVITY

0 549. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

7 551. BIG MONEY STILL CONTROLS; BIG MONEY GETS AROUND SPENDING RESTRICTIONS; BIG BUSINESS, LABOR UNIONS STILL HAVE AN IMPACT/STILL CONTROL

1 552. SINGLE ISSUE INTEREST GROUPS STILL PLAY SIGNIFICANT ROLE, NA HOW

4 553. ENCOURAGES DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; "HATE" GROUPS EMERGING

10 560. PACS BECOMING ENTIRELY TOO POWERFUL, NEGATING GOOD

- (CONTINUED)
- RESULTS; PACS ARE A BAD LOOPHOLE IN LAW

2 561. PACS PROLIFERATE (BECAUSE THEY CAN RAISE MONEY); PACS INFLUENCE LOCAL CAMPAIGNS

0 599. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VII. ROLE OF MEDIA

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 601. INCREASED EFFECT OF MEDIA, NA HOW

0 619. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 621. CANDIDATES/PARTY/CAMPAIGN/VOTERS BENEFIT FROM MEDIA

0 649. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION (MEDIA)

13 651. CANDIDATES SPEND PUBLIC FUNDING ON TV; GREATER STRESS ON TV, TV HAS GREATER IMPACT, TV PROFITS

12 660. DEPEND ON NATIONAL MEDIA FOR CAMPAIGN, NA HOW

2 699. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VIII. RULES AND REGULATIONS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 719. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 730. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES CURTAILED

0 749. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE RESPONSES

1 338
- (CONTINUED)
-

9 751. DRAWBACKS DUE TO RED-TAPE; TOO MUCH PAPER WORK; TOO MANY REGULATIONS; TOO COMPLICATED (FINANCING); KEEPS LAWYERS AND ACCOUNTANTS BUSY; INEFFICIENT

2 752. TOO MANY LOOPHOLES, NA WHICH

3 753. DIRECT MAILING A WASTE

3 754. TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT CONTROL; GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE

3 780. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES PERPETUATED; PUBLIC OFFICIAL CAUGHT SIPHONING FUNDS

1 799. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IX. DIFFERENTIAL IMPACT ON STATES/DISTRICTS

1 801. LITTLE IMPACT IN LOW POPULATION STATES; LITTLE IMPACT IN SMALL STATES

3 802. UNIMPORTANT STATES DO NOT BENEFIT; KEY/SWING STATES BENEFIT

2 803. ONE-PARTY DOMINANT STATES/DISTRICTS/AREAS DO NOT BENEFIT; CONTESTS ALREADY DECIDED

1 804. LITTLE FUNDING GOES TO SMALL STATES

0 849. OTHER STATE SPECIFIC RESPONSES

0

X. REFORMS SUGGESTED (THIS CATEGORY SHOULD BE GIVEN LOW PRIORITY IN CODING)

4 851. LOWER LIMITS ON TOTAL CAMPAIGN SPENDING; ENACT LIMITS

1 852. ALLOW CITIZENS RIGHT TO GIVE MORE IN CHECK-OFF

9 853. RESTRICT USE OF MONEY BY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; PACS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO GIVE

4 854. CHANNEL MONEY TO PARTIES, NOT CANDIDATES; FUND ONLY TWO MAJOR PARTIES

8 855. PUBLIC FUNDING SHOULD BE REPEALED. GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING

- (CONTINUED)

-

4 856. ELIMINATE/LIMIT PRIVATE FUNDING

2 857. EXTEND TO OTHER CONTESTS; INCLUDE CONGRESSIONAL RACES

3 858. DISCLOSURE LAWS STRONGER

1 860. RAISE SPENDING LIMIT - CONTRIBUTIONS

1 861. FREE MEDIA EXPOSURE FOR CANDIDATES

2 862. EQUALIZE AMOUNT OF MONEY GIVEN TO ALL CANDIDATES

0 863. CONTROL AMOUNT SPENT IN EACH STATE; EACH STATE SHOULD GET SOME MONEY; STATE SHOULD CONTROL ITS MONEY CONTRIBUTION

0 875. FAVORS BUT WITH SOME CHANGES, E.G. TIGHTER REGULATIONS, STRICTER REQUIREMENTS FOR CANDIDATES

5 899. OTHER REFORM RESPONSES

0

XI. MISCELLANEOUS RESPONSES AND MISSING DATA

4 901. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED ELSEWHERE (THIS SHOULD BE GIVEN LOWEST PRIORITY)

0 998. DK

0 999. NA

5152 000. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTION

0 .....

0 VAR 0452 EFFECT 4:NAT FUNDING MD=0 OR GE 998

REF 0452 LOC 575 WIDTH 3 DK 9 COL 51-53

0

Q.F2(C1Z) EFFECT OF PUBLIC FUNDING - FOURTH MENTION

<UP TO 5 SPECIFIC RESPONSES TO Q.F2 ARE CODED>

I. 001 - 099 GENERAL REFERENCES

THESE CODES SHOULD BE USED ONLY IF THE SPECIFIC CATEGORIES

GIVEN IN 100 - 950 SERIES ARE NOT APPLICABLE. RESPONSES

1 340
- (CONTINUED)
- THAT ONLY INDICATE LEVEL OF IMPACT OR EVALUATION OF IMPACT SHOULD BE CODED HERE. THESE ARE CODES OF LAST RESORT. IF A RESPONSE CAN BE CODED IN ONE OF THE HIGHER SERIES, IT SHOULD NOT BE CODED HERE. THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT IF R GIVES AN EVALUATION THERE WAS AT LEAST SOME IMPACT.

0 001. NO IMPACT, NO EVALUATION: NONE, NOTHING, NOT AT ALL, ZERO

0 002. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND POSITIVE EVALUATION: DID SOME GOOD, HELPED A LOT, AIDED US, MADE THINGS EASIER (NOT SPECIFIED)

0 003. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND NEGATIVE EVALUATION: BAD RESULTS, RUINOUS, TERRIBLE, HARMFUL, HURT A LITTLE (NOT SPECIFIED)

0 004. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND AMBIVALENT, NEUTRAL, OR NOT CLEAR PRESENT EVALUATION: GOOD AND BAD, MIXED BAG, PROS AND CONS, HELPED AND HURT (NOT SPECIFIED), (INCLUDES VERY LITTLE, NOT MUCH.)

0 010. R EXPRESSES FAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD THE CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): FAVORS PUBLIC FUNDING, SUPPORTS IDEA, RIGHT APPROACH.

0 011. R EXPRESSES UNFAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): OPPOSES PUBLIC FUNDING, A BAD IDEA, WRONGHEADED, STUPID; GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING

0 099. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

II. CANDIDATE REFERENCES

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 101. MORE CANDIDATES; MORE CANDIDATES RUN, NA DIRECTION

0 119. OTHER CANDIDATE RESPONSES, NA DIRECTION

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 121. MORE FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; EQUALIZES FUNDS FOR

1 341

- (CONTINUED)

- CANDIDATES; GIVES NON-WEALTHY CANDIDATES A CHANCE, HELPS RECRUIT CANDIDATES

0 122. CANDIDATES NOW MORE RESPONSIVE TO PEOPLE AND FOCUS ON ISSUES; PAY MORE ATTENTION TO PEOPLE RATHER THAN BIG CONTRIBUTORS

0 123. OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE INCUMBENT; INCUMBENT'S ADVANTAGE REDUCED; MORE COMPETITIVE; MORE VISIBILITY/EXPOSURE

0 124. JOHN ANDERSON WAS ABLE TO EMERGE; ANY POSITIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; ALLOWS THIRD PARTY CANDIDATES OPPORTUNITY TO RUN

0 125. MORE CANDIDATES RUN; MORE CANDIDATES

0 126. BETTER CANDIDATES, BETTER QUALIFIED CANDIDATES; HIGHER GRADE OF CANDIDATES

0 149. OTHER POSITIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 151. CANDIDATES MORE INDEPENDENT; SEVERS CANDIDATE FROM PARTY (BECAUSE OF INDEPENDENT FUNDS); REDUCES ACCOUNTABILITY OF CANDIDATES TO VOTERS OR PARTY; CANDIDATES WORK FOR OWN WELL-BEING

0 152. FAVORS INCUMBENT/HANDICAPS CHALLENGER

0 153. CANDIDATES WITH LARGEST OUTSIDE SUPPORT, MONEY BASE, GOOD MANAGEMENT HAVE ADVANTAGE; CAMPAIGNS WOULD BE RUN BY PROFESSIONALS

0 154. ONE-ISSUE CANDIDATES PROSPER; SPLINTER CANDIDATES JOIN THE RACE; UNQUALIFIED CANDIDATES RUN; CANDIDATES WHO HAVE NO CHANCE OF WINNING RUN

0 155. JOHN ANDERSON HAD AN UNFAIR ADVANTAGE; ANY NEGATIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; MAKES IT TOO EASY FOR THIRD PARTY CANDIDATE

0 156. CANDIDATES CONCENTRATE ON KEY STATES AND DO NOT GO TO SMALL (ELECTORAL) STATES

0 157. MORE CANDIDATES RUN. WORSE CANDIDATES RUN.

1 158. HAS NOT IMPROVED QUALITY OF CANDIDATES; CANDIDATES

1 342
- (CONTINUED)
- STILL DO NOT FOCUS ON ISSUES

0 159. FEWER CANDIDATES RUN; INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES DON'T HAVE A CHANCE

0 160. INTEREST IN PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN DIMINISHED

1 199. OTHER NEGATIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSES

0

III. PARTY REFERENCES; FISCAL ASPECTS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 219. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

2 221. LESS FUND-RAISING; PRESSURE OF FUND-RAISING RELIEVED; PARTY NOT FINANCIALLY RESPONSIBLE

0 222. PARTY IN CONTROL OF FUND-RAISING; FUND-RAISING SHIFTED TO LOCAL AND STATE CANDIDATES

1 223. PARTY CAN TARGET EXPENDITURES; ALLOWS MORE MONEY FOR THE STATE; HAVE MONEY TO STRENGTHEN/BUILD PARTY ORGANIZATION; MORE FUNDS

0 224. HELPS EQUALIZE BOTH PARTIES FINANCIALLY

0 225. HELPS THE DEMOCRATS FINANCIALLY

0 226. HELPS THE REPUBLICANS FINANCIALLY

1 227. LESS MONEY SPENT, HOLDS DOWN COST OF ELECTION

0 249. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 251. AMOUNT INADEQUATE; FUNDS SCARCE; FUND-RAISING STILL REQUIRED FOR PRESIDENTIAL/OTHER CANDIDATES; HARDER TO RAISE FUNDS

1 252. FUNDS STILL SCARCE, NEVER REACH GRASS-ROOTS; LOCAL AREA HAS TO RAISE MONEY; LOCAL CANDIDATES NOT GIVEN FUNDING --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

1 343

- (CONTINUED)

- 2 253. REDUCED EXPENDITURES; CAMPAIGN MATERIALS NOT EASILY AVAILABLE; LESS MONEY TO SPEND ON LOCAL CANDIDATES --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

1 254. RAISED COST OF POLITICS; SPENDING UP; CAMPAIGN TOO EXPENSIVE AND TOO LONG

1 255. DID NOT CORRECT FINANCIAL IMBALANCE

0 257. MONEY SPENT IN CITIES, NOT RURAL AREAS

0 260. DEMOCRATS HURT FINANCIALLY

0 261. REPUBLICANS HURT FINANCIALLY

0 299. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IV. PARTY REFERENCES: ORGANIZATIONAL AND PERSONNEL

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 319. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 321. PARTY STRENGTHENED; PARTY WORK MORE VALUABLE (DUE TO LESS FUNDS); GET BETTER STAFF; MAKES WORK MORE EFFICIENT

0 322. HELPED/CHANGED (STATE/LOCAL) PARTY ORGANIZATION/ROLE, NA HOW

0 323. FAVORABLE IMPACT ON DEMOCRATIC PARTY

0 324. GOP HAS BEEN HELPED

0 325. PATRONAGE WEAKENED (JOBS); LESSENS CONTROL OF PARTY MACHINE

0 326. INCREASE PARTY COMPETITION

0 349. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

4 351. DIMINISHED/WEAKENED ROLE/IMPORTANCE/EFFECTIVENESS/INFLUENCE OF

1 344
- (CONTINUED)
- POLITICAL PARTIES, PARTY STRUCTURE, ORGANIZATION, NA HOW

1 352. ELIMINATES/REDUCES PARTY CONTROL OF CANDIDATES; NO CONTROL OVER CAMPAIGN IN STATE; SEPARATION BETWEEN PARTY ACTIVITY AND NATIONAL CAMPAIGN

2 353. LESS CONCERN FOR LOCAL INPUTS; LOCAL CAMPAIGN PREEMPTED BY PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

1 354. ACTIVITY/PARTICIPATION REDUCED; REDUCED INVOLVEMENT; LIMITS RESERVE (POOL) OF PARTY WORKERS

4 355. DECLINE OF LOCAL PARTY ACTIVITY; DECREASED PRECINCT VOLUNTEER WORK; CAN'T FIND GRASS-ROOTS PEOPLE TO WORK ON CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

2 356. WEAKENS/DESTROYS TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ENTRENCHES THE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ERODES THE INTEGRATION OF PARTIES

0 357. DISCOURAGES THIRD PARTY MOVEMENT

0 358. DEMOCRATS HURT

0 359. REPUBLICANS HURT

2 360. PATRONAGE WEAKENED, PARTY CAN NO LONGER REPAY FAVORS

2 361. CAUSES PARTY INFIGHTING/FRAGMENTATION/DISUNITY

1 399. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

V. ROLE OF TAXPAYERS, CITIZENS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 401. TAX CHECK-OFF UNDERUTILIZED

0 419. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 345

- (CONTINUED)

- 1 421. MORE DEMOCRATIC; MORE PARTICIPATION; PEOPLE FEEL MORE A PART OF THE ELECTORAL PROCESS; PEOPLE MORE INTERESTED IN POLITICS; CHECK-OFF ENCOURAGES PARTICIPATION

0 422. SMALL DONORS BECOME MORE IMPORTANT; HELPS PREVENT PRESIDENCY FROM BEING "BOUGHT"; MAY REDUCE INFLUENCE OF A FEW "FAT CATS"

0 449. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

4 451. PEOPLE'S PARTICIPATION AND INVOLVEMENT CURTAILED; NO LONGER NEED CONTRIBUTIONS BEYOND CHECK-OFF; LIMITS PARTICIPATION TO CHECK-OFF; DIMINISHES POWER OF INDIVIDUAL; LESS INVOLVEMENT BECAUSE NO CASH INVESTMENT

1 452. DOES NOT EFFECTIVELY RESTRICT INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS; CAN CONTRIBUTE THROUGH SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS

1 453. PEOPLE DON'T KNOW WHERE THE MONEY REALLY GOES; WRONG PEOPLE CAN GET MONEY; A RAID ON TAXPAYER'S MONEY; PEOPLE DECEIVED

1 454. PEOPLE DON'T LIKE FINANCING POLITICAL ENEMIES; HAVE NO CHOICE WHICH CANDIDATE MONEY SUPPORTS

1 455. INFRINGEMENT OF FREE SPEECH (FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS) (BECAUSE VOTERS CHECK-OFF ONE PARTY)

0 456. PEOPLE WON'T/DON'T LIKE IT, NA WHY

0 457. WE CAN'T AFFORD IT; CREATES DEBT

1 499. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES
0

VI. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 519. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES
0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 346
- (CONTINUED)
- 0 521. PRESENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS HELPS STRENGTHEN PARTY; HELPS OFFSET NEGATIVE FEATURES OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING

0 522. HELPED TO REDUCE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; CURTAILED SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP'S ACTIVITY

0 549. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES
0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 551. BIG MONEY STILL CONTROLS; BIG MONEY GETS AROUND SPENDING RESTRICTIONS; BIG BUSINESS, LABOR UNIONS STILL HAVE AN IMPACT/STILL CONTROL

1 552. SINGLE ISSUE INTEREST GROUPS STILL PLAY SIGNIFICANT ROLE, NA HOW

0 553. ENCOURAGES DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; "HATE" GROUPS EMERGING

3 560. PACS BECOMING ENTIRELY TOO POWERFUL, NEGATING GOOD RESULTS; PACS ARE A BAD LOOPHOLE IN LAW

0 561. PACS PROLIFERATE (BECAUSE THEY CAN RAISE MONEY); PACS INFLUENCE LOCAL CAMPAIGNS

0 599. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VII. ROLE OF MEDIA

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 601. INCREASED EFFECT OF MEDIA, NA HOW

0 619. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 621. CANDIDATES/PARTY/CAMPAIGN/VOTERS BENEFIT FROM MEDIA

0 649. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION (MEDIA)

1 347

- (CONTINUED)

-

2 651. CANDIDATES SPEND PUBLIC FUNDING ON TV; GREATER STRESS ON TV, TV HAS GREATER IMPACT, TV PROFITS

5 660. DEPEND ON NATIONAL MEDIA FOR CAMPAIGN, NA HOW

0 699. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VIII. RULES AND REGULATIONS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 719. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 730. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES CURTAILED

0 749. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE RESPONSES

1 751. DRAWBACKS DUE TO RED-TAPE; TOO MUCH PAPER WORK; TOO MANY REGULATIONS; TOO COMPLICATED (FINANCING); KEEPS LAWYERS AND ACCOUNTANTS BUSY; INEFFICIENT

1 752. TOO MANY LOOPHOLES, NA WHICH

1 753. DIRECT MAILING A WASTE

1 754. TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT CONTROL; GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE

0 780. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES PERPETUATED; PUBLIC OFFICIAL CAUGHT SIPHONING FUNDS

0 799. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IX. DIFFERENTIAL IMPACT ON STATES/DISTRICTS

0 801. LITTLE IMPACT IN LOW POPULATION STATES; LITTLE IMPACT IN SMALL STATES

0 802. UNIMPORTANT STATES DO NOT BENEFIT; KEY/SWING STATES BENEFIT

1 348

- (CONTINUED)

- 0 803. ONE-PARTY DOMINANT STATES/DISTRICTS/AREAS/ DO NOT BENEFIT; CONTESTS ALREADY DECIDED

0 804. LITTLE FUNDING GOES TO SMALL STATES

0 849. OTHER STATE SPECIFIC RESPONSES

0

X. REFORMS SUGGESTED (THIS CATEGORY SHOULD BE GIVEN LOW PRIORITY IN CODING)

1 851. LOWER LIMITS ON TOTAL CAMPAIGN SPENDING; ENACT LIMITS

0 852. ALLOW CITIZENS RIGHT TO GIVE MORE IN CHECK-OFF

6 853. RESTRICT USE OF MONEY BY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; PACS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO GIVE

2 854. CHANNEL MONEY TO PARTIES, NOT CANDIDATES; FUND ONLY TWO MAJOR PARTIES

1 855. PUBLIC FUNDING SHOULD BE REPEALED. GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING.

1 856. ELIMINATE/LIMIT PRIVATE FUNDING

0 857. EXTEND TO OTHER CONTEST; INCLUDE CONGRESSIONAL RACES

1 858. DISCLOSURE LAWS STRONGER

1 860. RAISE SPENDING LIMIT - CONTRIBUTIONS

0 861. FREE MEDIA EXPOSURE FOR CANDIDATES

1 862. EQUALIZE AMOUNT MONEY GIVEN TO ALL CANDIDATES

0 863. CONTROL AMOUNT SPENT IN EACH STATE; EACH STATE SHOULD GET SOME MONEY; STATE SHOULD CONTROL ITS MONEY CONTRIBUTION

2 875. FAVORS BUT WITH SOME CHANGES, E.G. TIGHTER REGULATIONS, STRICTER REQUIREMENTS FOR CANDIDATES

1 899. OTHER REFORM RESPONSES

0

XI. MISCELLANEOUS RESPONSES AND MISSING DATA

1 349

- (CONTINUED)

- 1 901. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED ELSEWHERE (THIS SHOULD BE GIVEN LOWEST PRIORITY)

0 998. DK

0 999. NA

5332 000. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTION

0 .....

0 VAR 0453 EFFECT 5:NAT FUNDING MD=0 OR GE 998
REF 0453 LOC 578 WIDTH 3 DK 9 COL 54-56

0

Q.F2(C1Z) EFFECT OF PUBLIC FUNDING - FIFTH MENTION

<UP TO 5 SPECIFIC RESPONSES TO Q.F2 ARE CODED>

I. 001 - 099 GENERAL REFERENCES
THESE CODES SHOULD BE USED ONLY IF THE SPECIFIC CATEGORIES GIVEN IN 100 - 950 SERIES ARE NOT APPLICABLE. RESPONSES THAT ONLY INDICATE LEVEL OF IMPACT OR EVALUATION OF IMPACT SHOULD BE CODED HERE. THESE ARE CODES OF LAST RESORT. IF A RESPONSE CAN BE CODED IN ONE OF THE HIGHER SERIES, IT SHOULD NOT BE CODED HERE. THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT IF R GIVES AN EVALUATION THERE WAS AT LEAST SOME IMPACT.

0 001. NO IMPACT, NO EVALUATION: NONE, NOTHING, NOT AT ALL, ZERO

0 002. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND POSITIVE EVALUATION: DID SOME GOOD, HELPED A LOT, AIDED US, MADE THINGS EASIER (NOT SPECIFIED)

0 003. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND NEGATIVE EVALUATION: BAD RESULTS, RUINOUS, TERRIBLE, HARMFUL, HURT A LITTLE (NOT SPECIFIED)

0 004. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND AMBIVALENT, NEUTRAL, OR NOT CLEAR PRESENT EVALUATION: GOOD AND BAD, MIXED BAG, PROS AND CONS, HELPED AND HURT (NOT SPECIFIED), (INCLUDES VERY LITTLE, NOT MUCH).

0 010. R EXPRESSES FAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD THE CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): FAVORS PUBLIC

1 350
- (CONTINUED)
- FUNDING, SUPPORTS IDEA, RIGHT APPROACH.

0 011. R EXPRESSES UNFAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): OPPOSES PUBLIC FUNDING, A BAD IDEA, WRONGHEADED, STUPID; GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING

0 099. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

II. CANDIDATE REFERENCES

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 101. MORE CANDIDATES; MORE CANDIDATES RUN, NA DIRECTION

0 119. OTHER CANDIDATE RESPONSES, NA DIRECTION

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 121. MORE FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; EQUALIZES FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; GIVES NON-WEALTHY CANDIDATES A CHANCE, HELPS RECENT CANDIDATES

0 122. CANDIDATES NOW MORE RESPONSIVE TO PEOPLE AND FOCUS ON ISSUES; PAY MORE ATTENTION TO PEOPLE RATHER THAN FEW CONTRIBUTORS

0 123. OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE INCUMBENT; INCUMBENT'S ADVANTAGE REDUCED; MORE COMPETITIVE; MORE VISIBILITY/EXPOSURE

0 124. JOHN ANDERSON WAS ABLE TO EMERGE; ANY POSITIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; ALLOWS THIRD PARTY CANDIDATES OPPORTUNITY TO RUN

0 125. MORE CANDIDATES RUN; MORE CANDIDATES

0 126. BETTER CANDIDATES, BETTER QUALIFIED CANDIDATES; HIGHER GRADE OF CANDIDATES

0 149. OTHER POSITIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 151. CANDIDATES MORE INDEPENDENT; SEVERS CANDIDATE FROM

1 351

- (CONTINUED)
- PARTY (BECAUSE OF INDEPENDENT FUNDS); REDUCES ACCOUNTABILITY OF CANDIDATES TO VOTERS OR PARTY; CANDIDATES WORK FOR OWN WELL-BEING

0 152. FAVORS INCUMBENT/HANDICAPS CHALLENGER

0 153. CANDIDATES WITH LARGEST OUTSIDE SUPPORT, MONEY BASE, GOOD MANAGEMENT HAVE ADVANTAGE; CAMPAIGNS WOULD BE RUN BY PROFESSIONALS

0 154. ONE-ISSUE CANDIDATES PROSPER; SPLINTER CANDIDATES JOIN THE RACE; UNQUALIFIED CANDIDATES RUN; CANDIDATES WHO HAVE NO CHANCE OF WINNING RUN

0 155. JOHN ANDERSON HAD AN UNFAIR ADVANTAGE; ANY NEGATIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; MAKES IT TOO EASY FOR THIRD PARTY CANDIDATE

0 156. CANDIDATES CONCENTRATE ON KEY STATES AND DO NOT GO TO SMALL (ELECTORAL) STATES

0 157. MORE CANDIDATES RUN. WORSE CANDIDATES RUN.

0 158. HAS NOT IMPROVED QUALITY OF CANDIDATES; CANDIDATES STILL DO NOT FOCUS ON ISSUES.

0 159. FEWER CANDIDATES RUN; INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES DON'T HAVE A CHANCE

0 160. INTEREST IN PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN DIMINISHED

0 199. OTHER NEGATIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSES
0

III. PARTY REFERENCES; FISCAL ASPECTS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 219. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE
0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 221. LESS FUND-RAISING; PRESSURE OF FUND-RAISING RELIEVED; PARTY NOT FINANCIALLY RESPONSIBLE

0 222. PARTY IN CONTROL OF FUND-RAISING; FUND-RAISING SHIFTED TO LOCAL AND STATE CANDIDATES

0 223. PARTY CAN TARGET EXPENDITURES; ALLOWS MORE MONEY

1 352
- (CONTINUED)
- FOR THE STATE; HAVE MONEY TO STRENGTHEN/BUILD PARTY ORGANIZATION; MORE FUNDS

0 224. HELPS EQUALIZE BOTH PARTIES FINANCIALLY

0 225. HELPS THE DEMOCRATS FINANCIALLY

0 226. HELPS THE REPUBLICANS FINANCIALLY

0 227. LESS MONEY SPENT, HOLDS DOWN COST OF ELECTION

0 249. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSE
0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 251. AMOUNT INADEQUATE; FUNDS SCARCE; FUND-RAISING STILL REQUIRED FOR PRESIDENTIAL/OTHER CANDIDATES; HARDER TO RAISE FUNDS

0 252. FUNDS STILL SCARCE, NEVER REACH GRASS-ROOTS; LOCAL AREA HAS TO RAISE MONEY; LOCAL CANDIDATES NOT GIVEN FUNDING --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

2 253. REDUCED EXPENDITURES; CAMPAIGN MATERIALS NOT EASILY AVAILABLE; LESS MONEY TO SPEND ON LOCAL CANDIDATES --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

0 254. RAISED COST OF POLITICS; SPENDING UP; CAMPAIGN TOO EXPENSIVE AND TOO LONG

1 255. DID NOT CORRECT FINANCIAL IMBALANCE

0 257. MONEY SPENT IN CITIES, NOT RURAL AREAS

0 260. DEMOCRATS HURT FINANCIALLY

0 261. REPUBLICANS HURT FINANCIALLY

0 299. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IV. PARTY REFERENCES: ORGANIZATIONAL AND PERSONNEL

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 319. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1

-

(CONTINUED)

-

0 321. PARTY STRENGTHENED; PARTY WORK MORE VALUABLE (DUE TO LESS FUNDS); GET BETTER STAFF; MAKES WORK MORE EFFICIENT

0 322. HELPED/CHANGED (STATE/LOCAL) PARTY ORGANIZATION/ROLE, NA HOW

0 323. FAVORABLE IMPACT ON DEMOCRATIC PARTY

0 324. GOP HAS BEEN HELPED

0 325. PATRONAGE WEAKENED (JOBS); LESSENS CONTROL OF PARTY MACHINE

0 326. INCREASE PARTY COMPETITION

0 349. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

1 351. DIMINISHED/WEAKENED ROLE/IMPORTANCE/EFFECTIVENESS/INFLUENCE OF POLITICAL PARTIES, PARTY STRUCTURE, ORGANIZATION, NA HOW

2 352. ELIMINATES/REDUCES PARTY CONTROL OF CANDIDATES; NO CONTROL OVER CAMPAIGN IN STATE; SEPARATION BETWEEN PARTY ACTIVITY AND NATIONAL CAMPAIGN

0 353. LESS CONCERN FOR LOCAL INPUTS; LOCAL CAMPAIGN PREEMPTED BY PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

0 354. ACTIVITY/PARTICIPATION REDUCED; REDUCED INVOLVEMENT; LIMITS RESERVE (POOL) OF PARTY WORKERS

1 355. DECLINE OF LOCAL PARTY ACTIVITY; DECREASED PRECINCT VOLUNTEER WORK; CAN'T FIND GRASS-ROOTS PEOPLE TO WORK ON CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

0 356. WEAKENS/DESTROYS TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ENTRENCHES THE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ERODES THE INTEGRATION OF PARTIES

0 357. DISCOURAGES THIRD PARTY MOVEMENT

1 354

\- (CONTINUED)

\- 0 358. DEMOCRATS HURT

0 359. REPUBLICANS HURT

0 360. PATRONAGE WEAKENED, PARTY CAN NO LONGER REPAY FAVORS

0 361. CAUSES PARTY INFIGHTING/FRAGMENTATION/DISUNITY

0 399. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

V. ROLE OF TAXPAYERS, CITIZENS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 401. TAX CHECK-OFF UNDERUTILIZED

0 419. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 421. MORE DEMOCRATIC; MORE PARTICIPATION; PEOPLE FEEL MORE A PART OF THE ELECTORAL PROCESS; PEOPLE MORE INTERESTED IN POLITICS; CHECK-OFF ENCOURAGES PARTICIPATION

1 422. SMALL DONORS BECOME MORE IMPORTANT; HELPS PREVENT PRESIDENCY FROM BEING "BOUGHT"; MAY REDUCE INFLUENCE OF A FEW "FAT CATS"

0 449. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 451. PEOPLE'S PARTICIPATION AND INVOLVEMENT CURTAILED; NO LONGER NEED CONTRIBUTIONS BEYOND CHECK-OFF; LIMITS PARTICIPATION TO CHECK-OFF; DIMINISHES POWER OF INDIVIDUAL; LESS INVOLVEMENT BECAUSE NO CASH INVESTMENT

0 452. DOES NOT EFFECTIVELY RESTRICT INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS; CAN CONTRIBUTE THROUGH SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS

0 453. PEOPLE DON'T KNOW WHERE THE MONEY REALLY GOES;

WRONG PEOPLE CAN GET MONEY; A RAID ON TAXPAYER'S

1 355
- (CONTINUED)
- MONEY; PEOPLE DECEIVED

0 454. PEOPLE DON'T LIKE FINANCING POLITICAL ENEMIES; HAVE NO CHOICE WHICH CANDIDATE MONEY SUPPORTS

0 455. INFRINGEMENT OF FREE SPEECH (FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS) (BECAUSE VOTERS CHECK-OFF ONE PARTY)

0 456. PEOPLE WON'T/DON'T LIKE IT, NA WHY

0 457. WE CAN'T AFFORD IT; CREATES DEBT

0 499. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES
0

VI. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 519. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES
0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 521. PRESENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS HELPS STRENGTHEN PARTY; HELPS OFFSET NEGATIVE FEATURES OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING

0 522. HELPED TO REDUCE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; CURTAILED SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP'S ACTIVITY

0 549. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES
0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 551. BIG MONEY STILL CONTROLS; BIG MONEY GETS AROUND SPENDING RESTRICTIONS; BIG BUSINESS, LABOR UNIONS STILL HAVE AN IMPACT/STILL CONTROL

0 552. SINGLE ISSUE INTEREST GROUPS STILL PLAY SIGNIFICANT ROLE, NA HOW

0 553. ENCOURAGES DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; "HATE" GROUPS EMERGING

0 560. PACS BECOMING ENTIRELY TOO POWERFUL, NEGATING GOOD RESULTS; PACS ARE A BAD LOOPHOLE IN LAW

1 356
- (CONTINUED)
- 0 561. PACS PROLIFERATE (BECAUSE THEY CAN RAISE MONEY); PACS INFLUENCE LOCAL CAMPAIGNS

0 599. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES
0

VII. ROLE OF MEDIA

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 601. INCREASED EFFECT OF MEDIA, NA HOW

0 619. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES
0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 621. CANDIDATES/PARTY/CAMPAIGN/VOTERS BENEFIT FROM MEDIA

0 649. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES
0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION (MEDIA)

0 651. CANDIDATES SPEND PUBLIC FUNDING ON TV; GREATER STRESS ON TV, TV HAS GREATER IMPACT, TV PROFITS

0 660. DEPEND ON NATIONAL MEDIA FOR CAMPAIGN, NA HOW

0 699. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES
0

VIII. RULES AND REGULATIONS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 719. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES
0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 730. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES CURTAILED

0 749. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES
0

NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0 751. DRAWBACKS DUE TO RED-TAPE; TOO MUCH PAPER WORK;

1 357
- (CONTINUED)
- TOO MANY REGULATIONS; TOO COMPLICATED (FINANCING); KEEPS LAWYERS AND ACCOUNTANTS BUSY; INEFFICIENT

0 752. TOO MANY LOOPHOLES, NA WHICH

0 753. DIRECT MAILING A WASTE

0 754. TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT CONTROL; GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE

0 780. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES PERPETUATED; PUBLIC OFFICIAL CAUGHT SIPHONING FUNDS

0 799. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES
0

IX. DIFFERENTIAL IMPACT ON STATES/DISTRICTS

0 801. LITTLE IMPACT IN LOW POPULATION STATES; LITTLE IMPACT IN SMALL STATES

0 802. UNIMPORTANT STATES DO NOT BENEFIT; KEY/SWING STATES BENEFIT

0 803. ONE-PARTY DOMINANT STATES/DISTRICTS/AREAS/ DO NOT BENEFIT; CONTESTS ALREADY DECIDED

0 804. LITTLE FUNDING GOES TO SMALL STATES

0 849. OTHER STATE SPECIFIC RESPONSES

0

X. REFORMS SUGGESTED (THIS CATEGORY SHOULD BE GIVEN LOW PRIORITY IN CODING)

0 851. LOWER LIMITS ON TOTAL CAMPAIGN SPENDING; ENACT LIMITS

0 852. ALLOW CITIZENS RIGHT TO GIVE MORE IN CHECK-OFF

0 853. RESTRICT USE OF MONEY BY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; PACS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO GIVE

1 854. CHANNEL MONEY TO PARTIES, NOT CANDIDATES; FUND ONLY TWO MAJOR PARTIES

1 855. PUBLIC FUNDING SHOULD BE REPEALED. GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING.

0 856. ELIMINATE/LIMIT PRIVATE FUNDING

1 358
- (CONTINUED)
-

0 857. EXTEND TO OTHER CONTEST; INCLUDE CONGRESSIONAL RACES

0 858. DISCLOSURE LAWS STRONGER

0 860. RAISE SPENDING LIMIT - CONTRIBUTIONS

0 861. FREE MEDIA EXPOSURE FOR CANDIDATES

0 862. EQUALIZE AMOUNT OF MONEY GIVEN TO ALL CANDIDATES

1 863. CONTROL AMOUNT SPENT IN EACH STATE; EACH STATE SHOULD GET SOME MONEY; STATE SHOULD CONTROL ITS MONEY CONTRIBUTION

0 875. FAVORS BUT WITH SOME CHANGES, E.G. TIGHTER REGULATIONS, STRICTER REQUIREMENTS FOR CANDIDATES

0 899. OTHER REFORM RESPONSES

0

XI. MISCELLANEOUS RESPONSES AND MISSING DATA

0 901. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED ELSEWHERE (THIS SHOULD BE GIVEN LOWEST PRIORITY)

0 998. DK

0 999. NA

5395 000. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTION

0 .....

0 VAR 0454 CONG,MAG IMPCT PUB FND MD=7 OR GE 8

REF 0454 LOC 581 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 57

0

Q.F2A HOW WOULD POLITICAL PARTY ORGANIZATION AND ACTIVITIES IN YOUR STATE BE AFFECTED IF PUBLIC FUNDING WERE EXTENDED TO INCLUDE CAMPAIGNS FOR ELECTION TO THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES?

Q.F2A(A) GLOBAL DIMENSION 1: R'S EVALUATION OF MAGNITUDE OF IMPACT

---

192 1. NONE--NOT AT ALL, NOTHING IN THIS STATE, NO

1 359

- (CONTINUED)
- DIFFERENCE, CAN'T SEE THAT IT HAS MADE ANY DIFFERENCE

291 2. MINOR--A LITTLE, VERY LITTLE, NOT MUCH, SMALL, INSIGNIFICANT, NEGLIGIBLE

1860 3. SOME--MODERATE, SOME DIFFERENCE, IMPACT BUT NA HOW MUCH

600 4. CONSIDERABLE--SUBSTANTIAL, A LOT, QUITE A BIT, MUCH

152 5. GREAT--A GREAT DEAL, TREMENDOUS, GREAT, SEVERE, SHARP, DEVASTATING

478 7. LEVEL OF IMPACT NOT PRESENT IN RESPONSE. R GIVES

MEANINGFUL RESPONSE FOR OTHER CODES BUT IMPACT CANNOT BE DETERMINED

263 8. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE, NOT INFORMED
1570 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0455 CONG,EVAL OF IMPCT MD=7 OR GE 8
REF 0455 LOC 582 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 58

0

Q.F2A(B) GLOBAL DIMENSION 2: R'S OVERALL EVALUATION OF IMPACT OF PUBLIC FUNDING OF CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS
---

267 1. STRONGLY NEGATIVE--DISASTER, CAUSED GREAT HARM, LOUSY, STRONGLY OPPOSE IT (AS IT HAS OPERATED), WRECKED US, HURT A LOT
1082 2. NEGATIVE--SOME HARM, SOME BAD FEATURES, SOMEWHAT NEGATIVE, MADE LIFE MORE DIFFICULT, NEGATIVES OUTWEIGH POSITIVE; NEGATIVE BUT NA HOW MUCH
64 3. AMBIVALENT OR NEUTRAL--A MIXED BAG, SOME GOOD AND SOME BAD (EQUAL PORTIONS), CAN'T DECIDE, BOTH PROS AND CONS
1253 4. POSITIVE--SOME GOOD, SOME USEFUL CONSEQUENCES, SOMEWHAT POSITIVE, ON BALANCE A GOOD THING, POSITIVES OUTWEIGH NEGATIVES, LIKE IT OR SUPPORT IT (AS IT HAS OPERATED); POSITIVE BUT NA HOW MUCH
84 5. STRONGLY POSITIVE--HELPED TREMENDOUSLY, A BIG ASSET, MADE ALL THE DIFFERENCE IN THE WORLD, A BOON, SAVED US, STRONGLY FAVOR OR LIKE IT (AS IT HAS OPERATED).
822 7. EVALUATION NOT PRESENT. R GIVES CODEABLE RESPONSES BUT THERE IS NO EVALUATION COMPONENT

263 8. DON'T KNOW, NOT INFORMED
1571 9. NA

0
1 360
-
0 VAR 0456 CONG,ATT TWD CNCPT MD=7 OR GE 8
REF 0456 LOC 583 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 59

0

Q.F2A(C) GLOBAL DIMENSION 3: R'S ATTITUDE TOWARD CONCEPT

OF PUBLIC FUNDING OF CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS

1492 1. UNCONDITIONAL DISAPPROVAL--STRONGLY OPPOSED TO THE IDEA, PUBLIC FUNDING IS WRONG, WOULD NEVER SUPPORT IT, NEGATIVE IMPACT, HURT US, BAD EFFECTS.
109 2. CONDITIONAL DISAPPROVAL--DON'T LIKE IT BUT MIGHT CHANGE MIND, WITH CERTAIN IMPROVEMENTS MIGHT APPROVE, DOES DO SOME GOOD, OPPOSED TO THE IDEA BUT IT HAS HELPED.
30 3. AMBIVALENT OR NEUTRAL--BOTH GOOD AND BAD ASPECTS (EQUAL), PROS AND CONS
291 4. CONDITIONAL APPROVAL--GOOD BUT CHANGES NEEDED, LOOPHOLES STILL PRESENT, IMPROVEMENTS NEEDED TIGHTENING UP, PREDOMINANTLY GOOD, SUPPORT BUT IT HASN'T MET ALL GOALS
1171 5. UNCONDITIONAL APPROVAL--FAVOR IT, A GOOD THING, HELPED A LOT, MADE IT EASIER, TERRIFIC, WORKED WELL, STRONGLY FOR IT. NO RESERVATIONS EXPRESSED
502 7. ATTITUDE NOT PRESENT. R GIVES CODEABLE RESPONSE BUT THEY ARE NOT RELEVANT OR APPLICABLE FOR THIS DIMENSION

240 8. DK
1571 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0457 EFFECT 1:CONG FUNDING MD=0 OR GE 998
REF 0457 LOC 584 WIDTH 3 DK 9 COL 60-62

0

Q.F2A(D1) EFFECT OF PUBLIC FUNDING ON CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS - FIRST MENTION

<UP TO 5 SPECIFIC RESPONSES TO Q.F2A ARE CODED>

I. 001 - 099 GENERAL REFERENCES
THESE CODES SHOULD BE USED ONLY IF THE SPECIFIC CATEGORIES GIVEN IN 100 - 950 SERIES ARE NOT APPLICABLE. RESPONSES THAT ONLY INDICATE LEVEL OF IMPACT OR EVALUATION OF IMPACT SHOULD BE CODED HERE. THESE ARE CODES OF LAST RESORT. IF A RESPONSE CAN BE CODED IN ONE OF THE HIGHER SERIES, IT SHOULD

- (CONTINUED)
- NOT BE CODED HERE. THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT IF R GIVES AN EVALUATION THERE WAS AT LEAST SOME IMPACT.

165 001. NO IMPACT, NO EVALUATION: NONE, NOTHING, NOT AT ALL, ZERO

262 002. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND POSITIVE EVALUATION: DID SOME GOOD, HELPED A LOT, AIDED US, MADE THINGS EASIER (NOT SPECIFIED)

226 003. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND NEGATIVE EVALUATION: BAD RESULTS, RUINOUS, TERRIBLE, HARMFUL, HURT A LITTLE (NOT SPECIFIED)

219 004. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND AMBIVALENT, NEUTRAL, OR NOT CLEAR PRESENT EVALUATION: GOOD AND BAD, MIXED BAG, PROS AND CONS, HELPED AND HURT (NOT SPECIFIED), (INCLUDES VERY LITTLE, NOT MUCH).

94 010. R EXPRESSES FAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD THE CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): FAVORS PUBLIC FUNDING, SUPPORTS IDEA, RIGHT APPROACH.

276 011. R EXPRESSES UNFAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): OPPOSES PUBLIC FUNDING, A BAD IDEA, WRONGHEADED, STUPID; GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING

1 099. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

II. CANDIDATE REFERENCES

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

60 101. MORE CANDIDATES; MORE CANDIDATES RUN, NA DIRECTION

1 119. OTHER CANDIDATE RESPONSES, NA DIRECTION
0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

204 121. MORE FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; EQUALIZES FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; GIVES NON-WEALTHY CANDIDATES A CHANCE, HELPS RECRUIT CANDIDATES

1 362
- (CONTINUED)
- 43 122. CANDIDATES NOW MORE RESPONSIVE TO PEOPLE AND FOCUS ON ISSUES; PAY MORE ATTENTION TO PEOPLE RATHER THAN BIG CONTRIBUTORS

88 123. OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE INCUMBENT; INCUMBENT'S ADVANTAGE REDUCED; MORE COMPETITIVE; MORE VISIBILITY/EXPOSURE

2 124. JOHN ANDERSON WAS ABLE TO EMERGE; ANY POSITIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; ALLOWS THIRD PARTY CANDIDATES OPPORTUNITY TO RUN

126 125. MORE CANDIDATES RUN; MORE CANDIDATES

62 126. BETTER CANDIDATES, BETTER QUALIFIED CANDIDATES; HIGHER GRADE OF CANDIDATES

4 149. OTHER POSITIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSE
0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

49 151. CANDIDATES MORE INDEPENDENT; SEVERS CANDIDATE FROM PARTY (BECAUSE OF INDEPENDENT FUNDS); REDUCES ACCOUNTABILITY OF CANDIDATES TO VOTERS OR PARTY; CANDIDATES WORK FOR OWN WELL-BEING

177 152. FAVORS INCUMBENT/HANDICAPS CHALLENGER

14 153. CANDIDATES WITH LARGEST OUTSIDE SUPPORT, MONEY BASE, GOOD MANAGEMENT HAVE ADVANTAGE; CAMPAIGNS WOULD BE RUN BY PROFESSIONALS

119 154. ONE-ISSUE CANDIDATES PROSPER; SPLINTER CANDIDATES JOIN THE RACE; UNQUALIFIED CANDIDATES RUN; CANDIDATES WHO HAVE NO CHANCE OF WINNING RUN

0 155. JOHN ANDERSON HAD AN UNFAIR ADVANTAGE; ANY NEGATIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; MAKES IT TOO EASY FOR THIRD PARTY CANDIDATE

0 156. CANDIDATES CONCENTRATE ON KEY STATES AND DO NOT GO TO SMALL (ELECTORAL) STATES

57 157. MORE CANDIDATES RUN. WORSE CANDIDATES RUN.

1 158. HAS NOT IMPROVED QUALITY OF CANDIDATES; CANDIDATES STILL DO NOT FOCUS ON ISSUES

4 159. FEWER CANDIDATES RUN; INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES DON'T

- (CONTINUED)
- HAVE A CHANCE

0 160. INTEREST IN PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN DIMINISHED

1 199. OTHER NEGATIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSES

0

III. PARTY REFERENCES; FISCAL ASPECTS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 219. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

51 221. LESS FUND-RAISING; PRESSURE OF FUND-RAISING RELIEVED; PARTY NOT FINANCIALLY RESPONSIBLE

15 222. PARTY IN CONTROL OF FUND-RAISING; FUND-RAISING SHIFTED TO LOCAL AND STATE CANDIDATES

51 223. PARTY CAN TARGET EXPENDITURES; ALLOWS MORE MONEY

FOR THE STATE; HAVE MONEY TO STRENGTHEN/BUILD PARTY ORGANIZATION; MORE FUNDS

45 224. HELPS EQUALIZE BOTH PARTIES FINANCIALLY

28 225. HELPS THE DEMOCRATS FINANCIALLY

7 226. HELPS THE REPUBLICANS FINANCIALLY

10 227. LESS MONEY SPENT, HOLDS DOWN COST OF ELECTION

4 249. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

15 251. AMOUNT INADEQUATE; FUNDS SCARCE; FUND-RAISING STILL REQUIRED FOR PRESIDENTIAL/OTHER CANDIDATES; HARDER TO RAISE FUNDS

8 252. FUNDS STILL SCARCE, NEVER REACH GRASS-ROOTS; LOCAL AREA HAS TO RAISE MONEY; LOCAL CANDIDATES NOT GIVEN FUNDING --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

2 253. REDUCED EXPENDITURES; CAMPAIGN MATERIALS NOT EASILY AVAILABLE; LESS MONEY TO SPEND ON LOCAL CANDIDATES --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

1 364
- (CONTINUED)
-

61 254. RAISED COST OF POLITICS; SPENDING UP; CAMPAIGN TOO EXPENSIVE AND TOO LONG

12 255. DID NOT CORRECT FINANCIAL IMBALANCE

0 257. MONEY SPENT IN CITIES, NOT RURAL AREAS

0 260. DEMOCRATS HURT FINANCIALLY

2 261. REPUBLICANS HURT FINANCIALLY

1 299. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IV. PARTY REFERENCES: ORGANIZATIONAL AND PERSONNEL

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

1 319. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

51 321. PARTY STRENGTHENED; PARTY WORK MORE VALUABLE (DUE TO LESS FUNDS); GET BETTER STAFF; MAKES WORK MORE EFFICIENT

22 322. HELPED/CHANGED (STATE/LOCAL) PARTY ORGANIZATION/ROLE, NA HOW

41 323. FAVORABLE IMPACT ON DEMOCRATIC PARTY

26 324. GOP HAS BEEN HELPED

1 325. PATRONAGE WEAKENED (JOBS); LESSENS CONTROL OF PARTY MACHINE

15 326. INCREASE PARTY COMPETITION

5 349. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

190 351. DIMINISHED/WEAKENED ROLE/IMPORTANCE/EFFECTIVENESS/INFLUENCE OF POLITICAL PARTIES, PARTY STRUCTURE, ORGANIZATION, NA HOW

1 365

- (CONTINUED)

- 23 352. ELIMINATES/REDUCES PARTY CONTROL OF CANDIDATES; NO CONTROL OVER CAMPAIGN IN STATE; SEPARATION BETWEEN PARTY ACTIVITY AND NATIONAL CAMPAIGN

7 353. LESS CONCERN FOR LOCAL INPUTS; LOCAL CAMPAIGN

PREEMPTED BY PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

23 354. ACTIVITY/PARTICIPATION REDUCED; REDUCED INVOLVEMENT; LIMITS RESERVE (POOL) OF PARTY WORKERS

22 355. DECLINE OF LOCAL PARTY ACTIVITY; DECREASED PRECINCT VOLUNTEER WORK; CAN'T FIND GRASS-ROOTS PEOPLE TO WORK ON CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

22 356. WEAKENS/DESTROYS TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ENTRENCHES THE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ERODES THE INTEGRATION OF PARTIES

3 357. DISCOURAGES THIRD PARTY MOVEMENT

5 358. DEMOCRATS HURT

9 359. REPUBLICANS HURT

0 360. PATRONAGE WEAKENED, PARTY CAN NO LONGER REPAY FAVORS

2 361. CAUSES PARTY INFIGHTING/FRAGMENTATION/DISUNITY

8 399. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

V. ROLE OF TAXPAYERS, CITIZENS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 401. TAX CHECK-OFF UNDERUTILIZED

0 419. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

30 421. MORE DEMOCRATIC; MORE PARTICIPATION; PEOPLE FEEL MORE A PART OF THE ELECTORAL PROCESS; PEOPLE MORE

INTERESTED IN POLITICS; CHECK-OFF ENCOURAGES

1 366
- (CONTINUED)
- PARTICIPATION

33 422. SMALL DONORS BECOME MORE IMPORTANT; HELPS PREVENT PRESIDENCY FROM BEING "BOUGHT"; MAY REDUCE INFLUENCE OF A FEW "FAT CATS"

0 449. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES
0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

42 451. PEOPLE'S PARTICIPATION AND INVOLVEMENT CURTAILED; NO LONGER NEED CONTRIBUTIONS BEYOND CHECK-OFF; LIMITS PARTICIPATION TO CHECK-OFF; DIMINISHES POWER OF INDIVIDUAL; LESS INVOLVEMENT BECAUSE NO CASH INVESTMENT

1 452. DOES NOT EFFECTIVELY RESTRICT INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS; CAN CONTRIBUTE THROUGH SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS

26 453. PEOPLE DON'T KNOW WHERE THE MONEY REALLY GOES; WRONG PEOPLE CAN GET MONEY; A RAID ON TAXPAYER'S MONEY; PEOPLE DECEIVED

9 454. PEOPLE DON'T LIKE FINANCING POLITICAL ENEMIES; HAVE NO CHOICE WHICH CANDIDATE MONEY SUPPORTS

1 455. INFRINGEMENT OF FREE SPEECH (FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS) (BECAUSE VOTERS CHECK-OFF ONE PARTY)

5 456. PEOPLE WON'T/DON'T LIKE IT, NA WHY

10 457. WE CAN'T AFFORD IT; CREATES DEBT

0 499. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES
0

VI. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 519. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES
0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

2 521. PRESENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS HELPS STRENGTHEN PARTY; HELPS OFFSET NEGATIVE FEATURES OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING

- (CONTINUED)
-

96 522. HELPED TO REDUCE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; CURTAILED SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP'S ACTIVITY

0 549. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES
0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

13 551. BIG MONEY STILL CONTROLS; BIG MONEY GETS AROUND SPENDING RESTRICTIONS; BIG BUSINESS, LABOR UNIONS STILL HAVE AN IMPACT/STILL CONTROL

10 552. SINGLE ISSUE INTEREST GROUPS STILL PLAY SIGNIFICANT ROLE, NA HOW

7 553. ENCOURAGES DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; "HATE" GROUPS EMERGING

14 560. PACS BECOMING ENTIRELY TOO POWERFUL, NEGATING GOOD RESULTS; PACS ARE A BAD LOOPHOLE IN LAW

4 561. PACS PROLIFERATE (BECAUSE THEY CAN RAISE MONEY); PACS INFLUENCE LOCAL CAMPAIGNS

0 599. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES
0

VII. ROLE OF MEDIA

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

1 601. INCREASED EFFECT OF MEDIA, NA HOW

1 619. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 621. CANDIDATES/PARTY/CAMPAIGN/VOTERS BENEFIT FROM MEDIA

0 649. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION (MEDIA)

14 651. CANDIDATES SPEND PUBLIC FUNDING ON TV; GREATER STRESS ON TV, TV HAS GREATER IMPACT, TV PROFITS

1 368

- (CONTINUED)

-

6 660. DEPEND ON NATIONAL MEDIA FOR CAMPAIGN, NA HOW

0 699. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VIII. RULES AND REGULATIONS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

2 719. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

5 730. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES CURTAILED

0 749. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE RESPONSES

53 751. DRAWBACKS DUE TO RED-TAPE; TOO MUCH PAPER WORK; TOO MANY REGULATIONS; TOO COMPLICATED (FINANCING); KEEPS LAWYERS AND ACCOUNTANTS BUSY; INEFFICIENT

6 752. TOO MANY LOOPHOLES, NA WHICH

0 753. DIRECT MAILING A WASTE

23 754. TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT CONTROL; GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE

8 780. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES PERPETUATED; PUBLIC OFFICIAL CAUGHT SIPHONING FUNDS

1 799. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IX. DIFFERENTIAL IMPACT ON STATES/DISTRICTS

8 801. LITTLE IMPACT IN LOW POPULATION STATES; LITTLE IMPACT IN SMALL STATES

2 802. UNIMPORTANT STATES DO NOT BENEFIT; KEY/SWING STATES BENEFIT

4 803. ONE-PARTY DOMINANT STATES/DISTRICTS/AREAS/ DO NOT BENEFIT; CONTESTS ALREADY DECIDED

1 369

- (CONTINUED)

- 0 804. LITTLE FUNDING GOES TO SMALL STATES

4 849. OTHER STATE SPECIFIC RESPONSES

0

X. REFORMS SUGGESTED (THIS CATEGORY SHOULD BE GIVEN LOW PRIORITY IN CODING)

38 851. LOWER LIMITS ON TOTAL CAMPAIGN SPENDING; ENACT LIMITS

1 852. ALLOW CITIZENS RIGHT TO GIVE MORE IN CHECK-OFF

20 853. RESTRICT USE OF MONEY BY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; PACS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO GIVE

10 854. CHANNEL MONEY TO PARTIES, NOT CANDIDATES; FUND

ONLY TWO MAJOR PARTIES

13 855. PUBLIC FUNDING SHOULD BE REPEALED. GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING.

8 856. ELIMINATE/LIMIT PRIVATE FUNDING

4 857. EXTEND TO OTHER CONTEST; INCLUDE CONGRESSIONAL RACES

0 858. DISCLOSURE LAWS STRONGER

1 860. RAISE SPENDING LIMIT - CONTRIBUTIONS

1 861. FREE MEDIA EXPOSURE FOR CANDIDATES

0 862. EQUALIZE AMOUNT OF MONEY GIVEN TO ALL CANDIDATES

0 863. CONTROL AMOUNT SPENT IN EACH STATE; EACH STATE SHOULD GET SOME MONEY; STATE SHOULD CONTROL ITS MONEY CONTRIBUTION

3 870. EXTEND CONGRESSIONAL TERM TO MORE THAN 2 YEARS (Q.2A ONLY)

2 875. FAVORS BUT WITH SOME CHANGES, E.G. TIGHTER REGULATIONS, STRICTER REQUIREMENTS FOR CANDIDATES

4 899. OTHER REFORM RESPONSES

0

XI. MISCELLANEOUS RESPONSES AND MISSING DATA

1 370

\- (CONTINUED)

\- 6 901. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED ELSEWHERE (THIS SOULD BE GIVEN LOWEST PRIORITY)

236 998. DK

1574 999. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0458 EFFECT 2:CONG FUNDING MD=0 OR GE 998
REF 0458 LOC 587 WIDTH 3 DK 9 COL 63-65

0

Q.F2A(D2) EFFECT OF PUBLIC FUNDING OF CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS - SECOND MENTION

<UP TO 5 SPECIFIC RESPONSES TO Q.F2A ARE CODED>

I. 001 - 099 GENERAL REFERENCES
THESE CODES SHOULD BE USED ONLY IF THE SPECIFIC CATEGORIES GIVEN IN 100 - 950 SERIES ARE NOT APPLICABLE. RESPONSES THAT ONLY INDICATE LEVEL OF IMPACT OR EVALUATION OF IMPACT SHOULD BE CODED HERE. THESE ARE CODES OF LAST RESORT. IF A RESPONSE CAN BE CODED IN ONE OF THE HIGHER SERIES, IT SHOULD NOT BE CODED HERE. THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT IF R GIVES AN EVALUATION THERE WAS AT LEAST SOME IMPACT.

1 001. NO IMPACT, NO EVALUATION: NONE, NOTHING, NOT AT ALL, ZERO

8 002. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND POSITIVE EVALUATION: DID SOME GOOD, HELPED A LOT, AIDED US, MADE THINGS EASIER (NOT SPECIFIED)

4 003. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND NEGATIVE EVALUATION: BAD RESULTS, RUINOUS, TERRIBLE, HARMFUL, HURT A LITTLE (NOT SPECIFIED)

1 004. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND AMBIVALENT, NEUTRAL, OR NOT CLEAR PRESENT EVALUATION: GOOD AND BAD, MIXED BAG, PROS AND CONS, HELPED AND HURT (NOT SPECIFIED), (INCLUDES VERY LITTLE, NOT MUCH).

14 010. R EXPRESSES FAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD THE CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): FAVORS PUBLIC FUNDING, SUPPORTS IDEA, RIGHT APPROACH.

1 371

- (CONTINUED)
-

35 011. R EXPRESSES UNFAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): OPPOSES PUBLIC FUNDING, A BAD IDEA, WRONGHEADED, STUPID; GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING

0 099. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

II. CANDIDATE REFERENCES

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 101. MORE CANDIDATES; MORE CANDIDATES RUN, NA DIRECTION

0 119. OTHER CANDIDATE RESPONSES, NA DIRECTION

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

34 121. MORE FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; EQUALIZES FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; GIVES NON-WEALTHY CANDIDATES A CHANCE, HELPS RECRUIT CANDIDATES

36 122. CANDIDATES NOW MORE RESPONSIVE TO PEOPLE AND FOCUS ON ISSUES; PAY MORE ATTENTION TO PEOPLE RATHER THAN BIG CONTRIBUTORS

32 123. OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE INCUMBENT; INCUMBENT'S ADVANTAGE REDUCED; MORE COMPETITIVE; MORE VISIBILITY/EXPOSURE

1 124. JOHN ANDERSON WAS ABLE TO EMERGE; ANY POSITIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; ALLOWS THIRD PARTY CANDIDATES OPPORTUNITY TO RUN

26 125. MORE CANDIDATES RUN; MORE CANDIDATES

45 126. BETTER CANDIDATES, BETTER QUALIFIED CANDIDATES; HIGHER GRADE OF CANDIDATES

1 149. OTHER POSITIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSE
0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

35 151. CANDIDATES MORE INDEPENDENT; SEVERS CANDIDATE FROM PARTY (BECAUSE OF INDEPENDENT FUNDS); REDUCES

1 372
- (CONTINUED)
- ACCOUNTABILITY OF CANDIDATES TO VOTERS OR PARTY; CANDIDATES WORK FOR OWN WELL-BEING

31 152. FAVORS INCUMBENT/HANDICAPS CHALLENGER

11 153. CANDIDATES WITH LARGEST OUTSIDE SUPPORT, MONEY BASE, GOOD MANAGEMENT HAVE ADVANTAGE; CAMPAIGNS WOULD BE RUN BY PROFESSIONALS

18 154. ONE-ISSUE CANDIDATES PROSPER; SPLINTER CANDIDATES JOIN THE RACE; UNQUALIFIED CANDIDATES RUN; CANDIDATES WHO HAVE NO CHANCE OF WINNING RUN

3 155. JOHN ANDERSON HAD AN UNFAIR ADVANTAGE; ANY NEGATIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; MAKES IT TOO EASY FOR THIRD PARTY CANDIDATE

0 156. CANDIDATES CONCENTRATE ON KEY STATES AND DO NOT GO TO SMALL (ELECTORAL) STATES

11 157. MORE CANDIDATES RUN. WORSE CANDIDATES RUN.

4 158. HAS NOT IMPROVED QUALITY OF CANDIDATES; CANDIDATES STILL DO NOT FOCUS ON ISSUES.

2 159. FEWER CANDIDATES RUN; INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES DON'T HAVE A CHANCE

0 160. INTEREST IN PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN DIMINISHED

2 199. OTHER NEGATIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSES
0

III. PARTY REFERENCES; FISCAL ASPECTS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 219. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

15 221. LESS FUND-RAISING; PRESSURE OF FUND-RAISING RELIEVED; PARTY NOT FINANCIALLY RESPONSIBLE

4 222. PARTY IN CONTROL OF FUND-RAISING; FUND-RAISING SHIFTED TO LOCAL AND STATE CANDIDATES

19 223. PARTY CAN TARGET EXPENDITURES; ALLOWS MORE MONEY FOR THE STATE; HAVE MONEY TO STRENGTHEN/BUILD

1 373

- (CONTINUED)
- PARTY ORGANIZATION; MORE FUNDS

8 224. HELPS EQUALIZE BOTH PARTIES FINANCIALLY

10 225. HELPS THE DEMOCRATS FINANCIALLY

5 226. HELPS THE REPUBLICANS FINANCIALLY

5 227. LESS MONEY SPENT, HOLDS DOWN COST OF ELECTION

0 249. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

10 251. AMOUNT INADEQUATE; FUNDS SCARCE; FUND-RAISING STILL REQUIRED FOR PRESIDENTIAL/OTHER CANDIDATES; HARDER TO RAISE FUNDS

0 252. FUNDS STILL SCARCE, NEVER REACH GRASS-ROOTS; LOCAL AREA HAS TO RAISE MONEY; LOCAL CANDIDATES NOT GIVEN FUNDING --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

1 253. REDUCED EXPENDITURES; CAMPAIGN MATERIALS NOT EASILY AVAILABLE; LESS MONEY TO SPEND ON LOCAL

CANDIDATES --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

17 254. RAISED COST OF POLITICS; SPENDING UP; CAMPAIGN TOO EXPENSIVE AND TOO LONG

4 255. DID NOT CORRECT FINANCIAL IMBALANCE

0 257. MONEY SPENT IN CITIES, NOT RURAL AREAS

1 260. DEMOCRATS HURT FINANCIALLY

0 261. REPUBLICANS HURT FINANCIALLY

1 299. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IV. PARTY REFERENCES: ORGANIZATIONAL AND PERSONNEL

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 319. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 374

- (CONTINUED)

- 17 321. PARTY STRENGTHENED; PARTY WORK MORE VALUABLE (DUE TO LESS FUNDS); GET BETTER STAFF; MAKES WORK MORE EFFICIENT

8 322. HELPED/CHANGED (STATE/LOCAL) PARTY ORGANIZATION/ROLE, NA HOW

13 323. FAVORABLE IMPACT ON DEMOCRATIC PARTY

6 324. GOP HAS BEEN HELPED

1 325. PATRONAGE WEAKENED (JOBS); LESSENS CONTROL OF PARTY MACHINE

12 326. INCREASE PARTY COMPETITION

6 349. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

31 351. DIMINISHED/WEAKENED ROLE/IMPORTANCE/EFFECTIVENESS/INFLUENCE OF POLITICAL PARTIES, PARTY STRUCTURE, ORGANIZATION, NA HOW

20 352. ELIMINATES/REDUCES PARTY CONTROL OF CANDIDATES; NO CONTROL OVER CAMPAIGN IN STATE; SEPARATION BETWEEN PARTY ACTIVITY AND NATIONAL CAMPAIGN

3 353. LESS CONCERN FOR LOCAL INPUTS; LOCAL CAMPAIGN PREEMPTED BY PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

12 354. ACTIVITY/PARTICIPATION REDUCED; REDUCED INVOLVEMENT; LIMITS RESERVE (POOL) OF PARTY WORKERS

12 355. DECLINE OF LOCAL PARTY ACTIVITY; DECREASED PRECINCT VOLUNTEER WORK; CAN'T FIND GRASS-ROOTS PEOPLE TO WORK ON CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

11 356. WEAKENS/DESTROYS TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ENTRENCHES THE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ERODES THE INTEGRATION OF PARTIES

7 357. DISCOURAGES THIRD PARTY MOVEMENT

2 358. DEMOCRATS HURT

1 375

- (CONTINUED)

-

8 359. REPUBLICANS HURT

0 360. PATRONAGE WEAKENED, PARTY CAN NO LONGER REPAY FAVORS

2 361. CAUSES PARTY INFIGHTING/FRAGMENTATION/DISUNITY

2 399. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

V. ROLE OF TAXPAYERS, CITIZENS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

1 401. TAX CHECK-OFF UNDERUTILIZED

0 419. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

9 421. MORE DEMOCRATIC; MORE PARTICIPATION; PEOPLE FEEL MORE A PART OF THE ELECTORAL PROCESS; PEOPLE MORE INTERESTED IN POLITICS; CHECK-OFF ENCOURAGES PARTICIPATION

11 422. SMALL DONORS BECOME MORE IMPORTANT; HELPS PREVENT PRESIDENCY FROM BEING "BOUGHT"; MAY REDUCE INFLUENCE OF A FEW "FAT CATS"

0 449. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

21 451. PEOPLE'S PARTICIPATION AND INVOLVEMENT CURTAILED; NO LONGER NEED CONTRIBUTIONS BEYOND CHECK-OFF; LIMITS PARTICIPATION TO CHECK-OFF; DIMINISHES POWER OF INDIVIDUAL; LESS INVOLVEMENT BECAUSE NO CASH INVESTMENT

1 452. DOES NOT EFFECTIVELY RESTRICT INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS; CAN CONTRIBUTE THROUGH SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS

16 453. PEOPLE DON'T KNOW WHERE THE MONEY REALLY GOES; WRONG PEOPLE CAN GET MONEY; A RAID ON TAXPAYER'S MONEY; PEOPLE DECEIVED

1 376
- (CONTINUED)
-

4 454. PEOPLE DON'T LIKE FINANCING POLITICAL ENEMIES; HAVE NO CHOICE WHICH CANDIDATE MONEY SUPPORTS

1 455. INFRINGEMENT OF FREE SPEECH (FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS) (BECAUSE VOTERS CHECK-OFF ONE PARTY)

3 456. PEOPLE WON'T/DON'T LIKE IT, NA WHY

3 457. WE CAN'T AFFORD IT; CREATES DEBT

1 499. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES
0

VI. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 519. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES
0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 521. PRESENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS HELPS STRENGTHEN PARTY; HELPS OFFSET NEGATIVE FEATURES OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING

47 522. HELPED TO REDUCE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; CURTAILED SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP'S ACTIVITY

0 549. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES
0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

9 551. BIG MONEY STILL CONTROLS; BIG MONEY GETS AROUND SPENDING RESTRICTIONS; BIG BUSINESS, LABOR UNIONS STILL HAVE AN IMPACT/STILL CONTROL

3 552. SINGLE ISSUE INTEREST GROUPS STILL PLAY SIGNIFICANT ROLE, NA HOW

6 553. ENCOURAGES DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; "HATE" GROUPS EMERGING

11 560. PACS BECOMING ENTIRELY TOO POWERFUL, NEGATING GOOD RESULTS; PACS ARE A BAD LOOPHOLE IN LAW

3 561. PACS PROLIFERATE (BECAUSE THEY CAN RAISE MONEY);

- (CONTINUED)
- PACS INFLUENCE LOCAL CAMPAIGNS

0 599. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VII. ROLE OF MEDIA

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 601. INCREASED EFFECT OF MEDIA, NA HOW

0 619. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 621. CANDIDATES/PARTY/CAMPAIGN/VOTERS BENEFIT FROM MEDIA

0 649. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION (MEDIA)

7 651. CANDIDATES SPEND PUBLIC FUNDING ON TV; GREATER STRESS ON TV, TV HAS GREATER IMPACT, TV PROFITS

11 660. DEPEND ON NATIONAL MEDIA FOR CAMPAIGN, NA HOW

1 699. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VIII. RULES AND REGULATIONS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 719. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 730. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES CURTAILED

1 749. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE RESPONSES

7 751. DRAWBACKS DUE TO RED-TAPE; TOO MUCH PAPER WORK; TOO MANY REGULATIONS; TOO COMPLICATED (FINANCING);

1 378
- (CONTINUED)
- KEEPS LAWYERS AND ACCOUNTANTS BUSY; INEFFICIENT

4 752. TOO MANY LOOPHOLES, NA WHICH

0 753. DIRECT MAILING A WASTE

8 754. TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT CONTROL; GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE

7 780. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES PERPETUATED; PUBLIC OFFICIAL CAUGHT SIPHONING FUNDS

0 799. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IX. DIFFERENTIAL IMPACT ON STATES/DISTRICTS

0 801. LITTLE IMPACT IN LOW POPULATION STATES; LITTLE IMPACT IN SMALL STATES

0 802. UNIMPORTANT STATES DO NOT BENEFIT; KEY/SWING STATES BENEFIT

1 803. ONE-PARTY DOMINANT STATES/DISTRICTS/AREAS/ DO NOT BENEFIT; CONTESTS ALREADY DECIDED

0 804. LITTLE FUNDING GOES TO SMALL STATES

1 849. OTHER STATE SPECIFIC RESPONSES

0

X. REFORMS SUGGESTED (THIS CATEGORY SHOULD BE GIVEN LOW PRIORITY IN CODING)

17 851. LOWER LIMITS ON TOTAL CAMPAIGN SPENDING; ENACT LIMITS

0 852. ALLOW CITIZENS RIGHT TO GIVE MORE IN CHECK-OFF

13 853. RESTRICT USE OF MONEY BY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; PACS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO GIVE

22 854. CHANNEL MONEY TO PARTIES, NOT CANDIDATES; FUND ONLY TWO MAJOR PARTIES

8 855. PUBLIC FUNDING SHOULD BE REPEALED. GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING.

3 856. ELIMINATE/LIMIT PRIVATE FUNDING

1 379

- (CONTINUED)

- 9 857. EXTEND TO OTHER CONTEST; INCLUDE CONGRESSIONAL RACES

4 858. DISCLOSURE LAWS STRONGER

0 860. RAISE SPENDING LIMIT - CONTRIBUTIONS

2 861. FREE MEDIA EXPOSURE FOR CANDIDATES

2 862. EQUALIZE AMOUNT OF MONEY GIVEN TO ALL CANDIDATES

0 863. CONTROL AMOUNT SPENT IN EACH STATE; EACH STATE SHOULD GET SOME MONEY; STATE SHOULD CONTROL ITS MONEY CONTRIBUTION

2 870. EXTEND CONGRESSIONAL TERM TO MORE THAN 2 YEARS (Q.2A ONLY)

0 875. FAVORS BUT WITH SOME CHANGES, E.G. TIGHTER REGULATIONS, STRICTER REQUIREMENTS FOR CANDIDATES

10 899. OTHER REFORM RESPONSES

0

XI. MISCELLANEOUS RESPONSES AND MISSING DATA

4 901. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED ELSEWHERE (THIS SHOULD BE GIVEN LOWEST PRIORITY)

0 998. DK

0 999. NA

4484 000. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTION

0 .....

0 VAR 0459 EFFECT 3:CONG FUNDING MD=0 OR GE 998

REF 0459 LOC 590 WIDTH 3 DK 9 COL 66-68

0

Q.F2A(D3) EFFECT OF PUBLIC FUNDING OF CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS - THIRD MENTION

<UP TO 5 SPECIFIC RESPONSES TO Q.F2A ARE CODED>

I. 001 - 099 GENERAL REFERENCES

THESE CODES SHOULD BE USED ONLY IF THE SPECIFIC CATEGORIES

1 380

\- (CONTINUED)

\- GIVEN IN 100 - 950 SERIES ARE NOT APPLICABLE. RESPONSES THAT ONLY INDICATE LEVEL OF IMPACT OR EVALUATION OF IMPACT SHOULD BE CODED HERE. THESE ARE CODES OF LAST RESORT. IF A RESPONSE CAN BE CODED IN ONE OF THE HIGHER SERIES, IT SHOULD NOT BE CODED HERE. THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT IF R GIVES AN EVALUATION THERE WAS AT LEAST SOME IMPACT.

0 001. NO IMPACT, NO EVALUATION: NONE, NOTHING, NOT AT ALL, ZERO

0 002. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND

POSITIVE EVALUATION: DID SOME GOOD, HELPED A LOT, AIDED US, MADE THINGS EASIER (NOT SPECIFIED)

0 003. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND NEGATIVE EVALUATION: BAD RESULTS, RUINOUS, TERRIBLE, HARMFUL, HURT A LITTLE (NOT SPECIFIED)

0 004. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND AMBIVALENT, NEUTRAL, OR NOT CLEAR PRESENT EVALUATION: GOOD AND BAD, MIXED BAG, PROS AND CONS, HELPED AND HURT (NOT SPECIFIED), (INCLUDES VERY LITTLE, NOT MUCH).

0 010. R EXPRESSES FAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD THE CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): FAVORS PUBLIC FUNDING, SUPPORTS IDEA, RIGHT APPROACH.

1 011. R EXPRESSES UNFAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): OPPOSES PUBLIC FUNDING, A BAD IDEA, WRONGHEADED, STUPID; GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING

0 099. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

II. CANDIDATE REFERENCES

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 101. MORE CANDIDATES; MORE CANDIDATES RUN, NA DIRECTION

0 119. OTHER CANDIDATE RESPONSES, NA DIRECTION

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 381

- (CONTINUED)

- 3 121. MORE FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; EQUALIZES FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; GIVES NON-WEALTHY CANDIDATES A CHANCE,

HELPS RECRUIT CANDIDATES

7 122. CANDIDATES NOW MORE RESPONSIVE TO PEOPLE AND FOCUS ON ISSUES; PAY MORE ATTENTION TO PEOPLE RATHER THAN BIG CONTRIBUTORS

2 123. OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE INCUMBENT; INCUMBENT'S ADVANTAGE REDUCED; MORE COMPETITIVE; MORE VISIBILITY/EXPOSURE

2 124. JOHN ANDERSON WAS ABLE TO EMERGE; ANY POSITIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; ALLOWS THIRD PARTY CANDIDATES OPPORTUNITY TO RUN

5 125. MORE CANDIDATES RUN; MORE CANDIDATES

5 126. BETTER CANDIDATES, BETTER QUALIFIED CANDIDATES; HIGHER GRADE OF CANDIDATES

0 149. OTHER POSITIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

4 151. CANDIDATES MORE INDEPENDENT; SEVERS CANDIDATE FROM PARTY (BECAUSE OF INDEPENDENT FUNDS); REDUCES ACCOUNTABILITY OF CANDIDATES TO VOTERS OR PARTY; CANDIDATES WORK FOR OWN WELL-BEING

4 152. FAVORS INCUMBENT/HANDICAPS CHALLENGER

0 153. CANDIDATES WITH LARGEST OUTSIDE SUPPORT, MONEY BASE, GOOD MANAGEMENT HAVE ADVANTAGE; CAMPAIGNS WOULD BE RUN BY PROFESSIONALS

4 154. ONE-ISSUE CANDIDATES PROSPER; SPLINTER CANDIDATES JOIN THE RACE; UNQUALIFIED CANDIDATES RUN; CANDIDATES WHO HAVE NO CHANCE OF WINNING RUN

0 155. JOHN ANDERSON HAD AN UNFAIR ADVANTAGE; ANY NEGATIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; MAKES IT TOO EASY FOR THIRD PARTY CANDIDATE

0 156. CANDIDATES CONCENTRATE ON KEY STATES AND DO NOT GO TO SMALL (ELECTORAL) STATES

4 157. MORE CANDIDATES RUN. WORSE CANDIDATES RUN.

1 382
- (CONTINUED)
- 0 158. HAS NOT IMPROVED QUALITY OF CANDIDATES; CANDIDATES STILL DO NOT FOCUS ON ISSUES.

1 159. FEWER CANDIDATES RUN; INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES DON'T HAVE A CHANCE

0 160. INTEREST IN PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN DIMINISHED

0 199. OTHER NEGATIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSES

0

III. PARTY REFERENCES; FISCAL ASPECT

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 219. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

4 221. LESS FUND-RAISING; PRESSURE OF FUND-RAISING RELIEVED; PARTY NOT FINANCIALLY RESPONSIBLE

1 222. PARTY IN CONTROL OF FUND-RAISING; FUND-RAISING SHIFTED TO LOCAL AND STATE CANDIDATES

6 223. PARTY CAN TARGET EXPENDITURES; ALLOWS MORE MONEY FOR THE STATE; HAVE MONEY TO STRENGTHEN/BUILD PARTY ORGANIZATION; MORE FUNDS

4 224. HELPS EQUALIZE BOTH PARTIES FINANCIALLY

0 225. HELPS THE DEMOCRATS FINANCIALLY

0 226. HELPS THE REPUBLICANS FINANCIALLY

0 227. LESS MONEY SPENT, HOLDS DOWN COST OF ELECTION

0 249. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

3 251. AMOUNT INADEQUATE; FUNDS SCARCE; FUND-RAISING STILL REQUIRED FOR PRESIDENTIAL/OTHER CANDIDATES; HARDER TO RAISE FUNDS

0 252. FUNDS STILL SCARCE, NEVER REACH GRASS-ROOTS; LOCAL AREA HAS TO RAISE MONEY; LOCAL CANDIDATES NOT GIVEN FUNDING --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

1 383

- (CONTINUED)

-

3 253. REDUCED EXPENDITURES; CAMPAIGN MATERIALS NOT EASILY AVAILABLE; LESS MONEY TO SPEND ON LOCAL CANDIDATES --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

4 254. RAISED COST OF POLITICS; SPENDING UP; CAMPAIGN TOO EXPENSIVE AND TOO LONG

2 255. DID NOT CORRECT FINANCIAL IMBALANCE

0 257. MONEY SPENT IN CITIES, NOT RURAL AREAS

0 260. DEMOCRATS HURT FINANCIALLY

0 261. REPUBLICANS HURT FINANCIALLY

0 299. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IV. PARTY REFERENCES: ORGANIZATIONAL AND PERSONNEL

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 319. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 321. PARTY STRENGTHENED; PARTY WORK MORE VALUABLE (DUE TO LESS FUNDS); GET BETTER STAFF; MAKES WORK MORE EFFICIENT

2 322. HELPED/CHANGED (STATE/LOCAL) PARTY ORGANIZATION/ROLE, NA HOW

4 323. FAVORABLE IMPACT ON DEMOCRATIC PARTY

0 324. GOP HAS BEEN HELPED

0 325. PATRONAGE WEAKENED (JOBS); LESSENS CONTROL OF PARTY MACHINE

2 326. INCREASE PARTY COMPETITION

1 349. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

4 351. DIMINISHED/WEAKENED

1 384

\- (CONTINUED)

\- ROLE/IMPORTANCE/EFFECTIVENESS/INFLUENCE OF POLITICAL PARTIES, PARTY STRUCTURE, ORGANIZATION, NA HOW

4 352. ELIMINATES/REDUCES PARTY CONTROL OF CANDIDATES; NO CONTROL OVER CAMPAIGN IN STATE; SEPARATION BETWEEN PARTY ACTIVITY AND NATIONAL CAMPAIGN

1 353. LESS CONCERN FOR LOCAL INPUTS; LOCAL CAMPAIGN PREEMPTED BY PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

2 354. ACTIVITY/PARTICIPATION REDUCED; REDUCED INVOLVEMENT; LIMITS RESERVE (POOL) OF PARTY WORKERS

3 355. DECLINE OF LOCAL PARTY ACTIVITY; DECREASED PRECINCT VOLUNTEER WORK; CAN'T FIND GRASS-ROOTS

PEOPLE TO WORK ON CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

0 356. WEAKENS/DESTROYS TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ENTRENCHES THE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ERODES THE INTEGRATION OF PARTIES

1 357. DISCOURAGES THIRD PARTY MOVEMENT

1 358. DEMOCRATS HURT

0 359. REPUBLICANS HURT

0 360. PATRONAGE WEAKENED, PARTY CAN NO LONGER REPAY FAVORS

2 361. CAUSES PARTY INFIGHTING/FRAGMENTATION/DISUNITY

3 399. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

V. ROLE OF TAXPAYERS, CITIZENS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 401. TAX CHECK-OFF UNDERUTILIZED

0 419. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 385

- (CONTINUED)

-

1 421. MORE DEMOCRATIC; MORE PARTICIPATION; PEOPLE FEEL MORE A PART OF THE ELECTORAL PROCESS; PEOPLE MORE INTERESTED IN POLITICS; CHECK-OFF ENCOURAGES PARTICIPATION

3 422. SMALL DONORS BECOME MORE IMPORTANT; HELPS PREVENT PRESIDENCY FROM BEING "BOUGHT"; MAY REDUCE INFLUENCE OF A FEW "FAT CATS"

1 449. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES
0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

5 451. PEOPLE'S PARTICIPATION AND INVOLVEMENT CURTAILED; NO LONGER NEED CONTRIBUTIONS BEYOND CHECK-OFF; LIMITS PARTICIPATION TO CHECK-OFF; DIMINISHES POWER OF INDIVIDUAL; LESS INVOLVEMENT BECAUSE NO CASH INVESTMENT

1 452. DOES NOT EFFECTIVELY RESTRICT INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS; CAN CONTRIBUTE THROUGH SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS

1 453. PEOPLE DON'T KNOW WHERE THE MONEY REALLY GOES; WRONG PEOPLE CAN GET MONEY; A RAID ON TAXPAYER'S MONEY; PEOPLE DECEIVED

2 454. PEOPLE DON'T LIKE FINANCING POLITICAL ENEMIES; HAVE NO CHOICE WHICH CANDIDATE MONEY SUPPORTS

2 455. INFRINGEMENT OF FREE SPEECH (FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS) (BECAUSE VOTERS CHECK-OFF ONE PARTY)

0 456. PEOPLE WON'T/DON'T LIKE IT, NA WHY

0 457. WE CAN'T AFFORD IT; CREATES DEBT

0 499. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES
0

VI. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 519. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES
0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 386
- (CONTINUED)
-

1 521. PRESENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS HELPS STRENGTHEN PARTY; HELPS OFFSET NEGATIVE FEATURES OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING

7 522. HELPED TO REDUCE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; CURTAILED SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP'S ACTIVITY

0 549. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

1 551. BIG MONEY STILL CONTROLS; BIG MONEY GETS AROUND SPENDING RESTRICTIONS; BIG BUSINESS, LABOR UNIONS STILL HAVE AN IMPACT/STILL CONTROL

0 552. SINGLE ISSUE INTEREST GROUPS STILL PLAY SIGNIFICANT ROLE, NA HOW

5 553. ENCOURAGES DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; "HATE" GROUPS EMERGING

5 560. PACS BECOMING ENTIRELY TOO POWERFUL, NEGATING GOOD RESULTS; PACS ARE A BAD LOOPHOLE IN LAW

0 561. PACS PROLIFERATE (BECAUSE THEY CAN RAISE MONEY); PACS INFLUENCE LOCAL CAMPAIGNS

0 599. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VII. ROLE OF MEDIA

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 601. INCREASED EFFECT OF MEDIA, NA HOW

0 619. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 621. CANDIDATES/PARTY/CAMPAIGN/VOTERS BENEFIT FROM MEDIA

0 649. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

1 387

- (CONTINUED)

- NEGATIVE DIRECTION (MEDIA)

3 651. CANDIDATES SPEND PUBLIC FUNDING ON TV; GREATER STRESS ON TV, TV HAS GREATER IMPACT, TV PROFITS

3 660. DEPEND ON NATIONAL MEDIA FOR CAMPAIGN, NA HOW

0 699. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VIII. RULES AND REGULATIONS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 719. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 730. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES CURTAILED

0 749. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE RESPONSES

6 751. DRAWBACKS DUE TO RED-TAPE; TOO MUCH PAPER WORK; TOO MANY REGULATIONS; TOO COMPLICATED (FINANCING); KEEPS LAWYERS AND ACCOUNTANTS BUSY; INEFFICIENT

0 752. TOO MANY LOOPHOLES, NA WHICH

0 753. DIRECT MAILING A WASTE

1 754. TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT CONTROL; GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE

2 780. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES PERPETUATED; PUBLIC OFFICIAL CAUGHT SIPHONING FUNDS

2 799. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IX. DIFFERENTIAL IMPACT ON STATES/DISTRICTS

1 801. LITTLE IMPACT IN LOW POPULATION STATES; LITTLE IMPACT IN SMALL STATES

0 802. UNIMPORTANT STATES DO NOT BENEFIT; KEY/SWING STATES BENEFIT

1 388
- (CONTINUED)
-

0 803. ONE-PARTY DOMINANT STATES/DISTRICTS/AREAS/ DO NOT BENEFIT; CONTESTS ALREADY DECIDED

0 804. LITTLE FUNDING GOES TO SMALL STATES

0 849. OTHER STATE SPECIFIC RESPONSES

0

X. REFORMS SUGGESTED (THIS CATEGORY SHOULD BE GIVEN LOW PRIORITY IN CODING)

3 851. LOWER LIMITS ON TOTAL CAMPAIGN SPENDING; ENACT LIMITS

0 852. ALLOW CITIZENS RIGHT TO GIVE MORE IN CHECK-OFF

8 853. RESTRICT USE OF MONEY BY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; PACS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO GIVE

7 854. CHANNEL MONEY TO PARTIES, NOT CANDIDATES; FUND ONLY TWO MAJOR PARTIES

0 855. PUBLIC FUNDING SHOULD BE REPEALED. GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING.

2 856. ELIMINATE/LIMIT PRIVATE FUNDING

1 857. EXTEND TO OTHER CONTEST; INCLUDE CONGRESSIONAL RACES

0 858. DISCLOSURE LAWS STRONGER

0 860. RAISE SPENDING LIMIT - CONTRIBUTIONS

0 861. FREE MEDIA EXPOSURE FOR CANDIDATES

0 862. EQUALIZE AMOUNT OF MONEY GIVEN TO ALL CANDIDATES

0 863. CONTROL AMOUNT SPENT IN EACH STATE; EACH STATE SHOULD GET SOME MONEY; STATE SHOULD CONTROL ITS MONEY CONTRIBUTION

2 870. EXTEND CONGRESSIONAL TERM TO MORE THAN 2 YEARS (Q.2A ONLY)

2 875. FAVORS BUT WITH SOME CHANGES, E.G. TIGHTER REGULATIONS, STRICTER REQUIREMENTS FOR CANDIDATES

2 899. OTHER REFORM RESPONSES

1 389

\- (CONTINUED)

\-

XI. MISCELLANEOUS RESPONSES AND MISSING DATA

1 901. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED ELSEWHERE (THIS SHOULD BE GIVEN LOWEST PRIORITY)

0 998. DK

0 999. NA

5225 000. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTION

0 .....

0 VAR 0460 EFFECT 4:CONG FUNDING MD=0 OR GE 998

REF 0460 LOC 593 WIDTH 3 DK 9 COL 69-71

0

Q.F2A(D4) EFFECT OF PUBLIC FUNDING ON CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS - FOURTH MENTION

<UP TO 5 SPECIFIC RESPONSES TO Q.F2A ARE CODED>

I. 001 - 099 GENERAL REFERENCES
THESE CODES SHOULD BE USED ONLY IF THE SPECIFIC CATEGORIES GIVEN IN 100 - 950 SERIES ARE NOT APPLICABLE. RESPONSES THAT ONLY INDICATE LEVEL OF IMPACT OR EVALUATION OF IMPACT SHOULD BE CODED HERE. THESE ARE CODES OF LAST RESORT. IF A RESPONSE CAN BE CODED IN ONE OF THE HIGHER SERIES, IT SHOULD NOT BE CODED HERE. THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT IF R GIVES AN EVALUATION THERE WAS AT LEAST SOME IMPACT.

0 001. NO IMPACT, NO EVALUATION: NONE, NOTHING, NOT AT ALL, ZERO

0 002. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND POSITIVE EVALUATION: DID SOME GOOD, HELPED A LOT, AIDED US, MADE THINGS EASIER (NOT SPECIFIED)

0 003. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND NEGATIVE EVALUATION: BAD RESULTS, RUINOUS, TERRIBLE, HARMFUL, HURT A LITTLE (NOT SPECIFIED)

0 004. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND AMBIVALENT, NEUTRAL, OR NOT CLEAR PRESENT EVALUATION: GOOD AND BAD, MIXED BAG, PROS AND CONS, HELPED AND HURT (NOT SPECIFIED), (INCLUDES VERY LITTLE, NOT MUCH).

1 390
- (CONTINUED)
-

0 010. R EXPRESSES FAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD THE CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): FAVORS PUBLIC FUNDING, SUPPORTS IDEA, RIGHT APPROACH.

0 011. R EXPRESSES UNFAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): OPPOSES PUBLIC FUNDING, A BAD IDEA, WRONGHEADED, STUPID; GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING

0 099. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

II. CANDIDATE REFERENCES

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 101. MORE CANDIDATES; MORE CANDIDATES RUN, NA DIRECTION

0 119. OTHER CANDIDATE RESPONSES, NA DIRECTION

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 121. MORE FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; EQUALIZES FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; GIVES NON-WEALTHY CANDIDATES A CHANCE, HELPS RECRUIT CANDIDATES

1 122. CANDIDATES NOW MORE RESPONSIVE TO PEOPLE AND FOCUS ON ISSUES; PAY MORE ATTENTION TO PEOPLE RATHER THAN BIG CONTRIBUTORS

0 123. OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE INCUMBENT; INCUMBENT'S ADVANTAGE REDUCED; MORE COMPETITIVE; MORE VISIBILITY/EXPOSURE

0 124. JOHN ANDERSON WAS ABLE TO EMERGE; ANY POSITIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; ALLOWS THIRD PARTY CANDIDATES OPPORTUNITY TO RUN

0 125. MORE CANDIDATES RUN; MORE CANDIDATES

0 126. BETTER CANDIDATES, BETTER QUALIFIED CANDIDATES; HIGHER GRADE OF CANDIDATES

0 149. OTHER POSITIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSE

0

1

-

-

(CONTINUED)

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

3 151. CANDIDATES MORE INDEPENDENT; SEVERS CANDIDATE FROM

PARTY (BECAUSE OF INDEPENDENT FUNDS); REDUCES ACCOUNTABILITY OF CANDIDATES TO VOTERS OR PARTY; CANDIDATES WORK FOR OWN WELL-BEING

0 152. FAVORS INCUMBENT/HANDICAPS CHALLENGER

0 153. CANDIDATES WITH LARGEST OUTSIDE SUPPORT, MONEY BASE, GOOD MANAGEMENT HAVE ADVANTAGE; CAMPAIGNS WOULD BE RUN BY PROFESSIONALS

0 154. ONE-ISSUE CANDIDATES PROSPER; SPLINTER CANDIDATES JOIN THE RACE; UNQUALIFIED CANDIDATES RUN; CANDIDATES WHO HAVE NO CHANCE OF WINNING RUN

0 155. JOHN ANDERSON HAD AN UNFAIR ADVANTAGE; ANY NEGATIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; MAKES IT TOO EASY FOR THIRD PARTY CANDIDATE

0 156. CANDIDATES CONCENTRATE ON KEY STATES AND DO NOT GO TO SMALL (ELECTORAL) STATES

1 157. MORE CANDIDATES RUN. WORSE CANDIDATES RUN.

0 158. HAS NOT IMPROVED QUALITY OF CANDIDATES; CANDIDATES STILL DO NOT FOCUS ON ISSUES.

0 159. FEWER CANDIDATES RUN; INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES DON'T HAVE A CHANCE

0 160. INTEREST IN PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN DIMINISHED

0 199. OTHER NEGATIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSES

0

III. PARTY REFERENCES; FISCAL ASPECTS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 219. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 221. LESS FUND-RAISING; PRESSURE OF FUND-RAISING

RELIEVED; PARTY NOT FINANCIALLY RESPONSIBLE

1 392
- (CONTINUED)
- 0 222. PARTY IN CONTROL OF FUND-RAISING; FUND-RAISING SHIFTED TO LOCAL AND STATE CANDIDATES

0 223. PARTY CAN TARGET EXPENDITURES; ALLOWS MORE MONEY FOR THE STATE; HAVE MONEY TO STRENGTHEN/BUILD PARTY ORGANIZATION; MORE FUNDS

0 224. HELPS EQUALIZE BOTH PARTIES FINANCIALLY

0 225. HELPS THE DEMOCRATS FINANCIALLY

0 226. HELPS THE REPUBLICANS FINANCIALLY

0 227. LESS MONEY SPENT, HOLDS DOWN COST OF ELECTION

0 249. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 251. AMOUNT INADEQUATE; FUNDS SCARCE; FUND-RAISING STILL REQUIRED FOR PRESIDENTIAL/OTHER CANDIDATES; HARDER TO RAISE FUNDS

0 252. FUNDS STILL SCARCE, NEVER REACH GRASS-ROOTS; LOCAL AREA HAS TO RAISE MONEY; LOCAL CANDIDATES NOT GIVEN FUNDING --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

0 253. REDUCED EXPENDITURES; CAMPAIGN MATERIALS NOT EASILY AVAILABLE; LESS MONEY TO SPEND ON LOCAL CANDIDATES --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

1 254. RAISED COST OF POLITICS; SPENDING UP; CAMPAIGN TOO EXPENSIVE AND TOO LONG

0 255. DID NOT CORRECT FINANCIAL IMBALANCE

0 257. MONEY SPENT IN CITIES, NOT RURAL AREAS

0 260. DEMOCRATS HURT FINANCIALLY

0 261. REPUBLICANS HURT FINANCIALLY

0 299. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IV. PARTY REFERENCES: ORGANIZATIONAL AND PERSONNEL

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

1 393

- (CONTINUED)

- 0 319. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 321. PARTY STRENGTHENED; PARTY WORK MORE VALUABLE (DUE TO LESS FUNDS); GET BETTER STAFF; MAKES WORK MORE EFFICIENT

0 322. HELPED/CHANGED (STATE/LOCAL) PARTY ORGANIZATION/ROLE, NA HOW

1 323. FAVORABLE IMPACT ON DEMOCRATIC PARTY

0 324. GOP HAS BEEN HELPED

0 325. PATRONAGE WEAKENED (JOBS); LESSENS CONTROL OF PARTY MACHINE

0 326. INCREASE PARTY COMPETITION

0 349. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 351. DIMINISHED/WEAKENED ROLE/IMPORTANCE/EFFECTIVENESS/INFLUENCE OF POLITICAL PARTIES, PARTY STRUCTURE, ORGANIZATION, NA HOW

0 352. ELIMINATES/REDUCES PARTY CONTROL OF CANDIDATES; NO CONTROL OVER CAMPAIGN IN STATE; SEPARATION BETWEEN PARTY ACTIVITY AND NATIONAL CAMPAIGN

0 353. LESS CONCERN FOR LOCAL INPUTS; LOCAL CAMPAIGN PREEMPTED BY PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

0 354. ACTIVITY/PARTICIPATION REDUCED; REDUCED INVOLVEMENT; LIMITS RESERVE (POOL) OF PARTY WORKERS

2 355. DECLINE OF LOCAL PARTY ACTIVITY; DECREASED PRECINCT VOLUNTEER WORK; CAN'T FIND GRASS-ROOTS PEOPLE TO WORK ON CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

0 356. WEAKENS/DESTROYS TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ENTRENCHES THE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ERODES THE INTEGRATION OF

1 394
- (CONTINUED)
- PARTIES

0 357. DISCOURAGES THIRD PARTY MOVEMENT

0 358. DEMOCRATS HURT

0 359. REPUBLICANS HURT

0 360. PATRONAGE WEAKENED, PARTY CAN NO LONGER REPAY FAVORS

2 361. CAUSES PARTY INFIGHTING/FRAGMENTATION/DISUNITY

3 399. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

V. ROLE OF TAXPAYERS, CITIZENS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 401. TAX CHECK-OFF UNDERUTILIZED

0 419. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

1 421. MORE DEMOCRATIC; MORE PARTICIPATION; PEOPLE FEEL MORE A PART OF THE ELECTORAL PROCESS; PEOPLE MORE INTERESTED IN POLITICS; CHECK-OFF ENCOURAGES PARTICIPATION

0 422. SMALL DONORS BECOME MORE IMPORTANT; HELPS PREVENT PRESIDENCY FROM BEING "BOUGHT"; MAY REDUCE INFLUENCE OF A FEW "FAT CATS"

0 449. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 451. PEOPLE'S PARTICIPATION AND INVOLVEMENT CURTAILED; NO LONGER NEED CONTRIBUTIONS BEYOND CHECK-OFF; LIMITS PARTICIPATION TO CHECK-OFF; DIMINISHES POWER OF INDIVIDUAL; LESS INVOLVEMENT BECAUSE NO CASH INVESTMENT

1 452. DOES NOT EFFECTIVELY RESTRICT INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS; CAN CONTRIBUTE THROUGH SPECIAL

1 395

- (CONTINUED)
- INTEREST GROUPS

1 453. PEOPLE DON'T KNOW WHERE THE MONEY REALLY GOES; WRONG PEOPLE CAN GET MONEY; A RAID ON TAXPAYER'S MONEY; PEOPLE DECEIVED

0 454. PEOPLE DON'T LIKE FINANCING POLITICAL ENEMIES; HAVE NO CHOICE WHICH CANDIDATE MONEY SUPPORTS

0 455. INFRINGEMENT OF FREE SPEECH (FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS) (BECAUSE VOTERS CHECK-OFF ONE PARTY)

0 456. PEOPLE WON'T/DON'T LIKE IT, NA WHY

0 457. WE CAN'T AFFORD IT; CREATES DEBT

0 499. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VI. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 519. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 521. PRESENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS HELPS STRENGTHEN PARTY; HELPS OFFSET NEGATIVE FEATURES OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING

0 522. HELPED TO REDUCE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; CURTAILED SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP'S ACTIVITY

0 549. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 551. BIG MONEY STILL CONTROLS; BIG MONEY GETS AROUND SPENDING RESTRICTIONS; BIG BUSINESS, LABOR UNIONS STILL HAVE AN IMPACT/STILL CONTROL

0 552. SINGLE ISSUE INTEREST GROUPS STILL PLAY SIGNIFICANT ROLE, NA HOW

1 553. ENCOURAGES DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; "HATE" GROUPS EMERGING

1 396

- (CONTINUED)

-

0 560. PACS BECOMING ENTIRELY TOO POWERFUL, NEGATING GOOD RESULTS; PACS ARE A BAD LOOPHOLE IN LAW

1 561. PACS PROLIFERATE (BECAUSE THEY CAN RAISE MONEY); PACS INFLUENCE LOCAL CAMPAIGNS

0 599. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VII. ROLE OF MEDIA

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 601. INCREASED EFFECT OF MEDIA, NA HOW

0 619. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 621. CANDIDATES/PARTY/CAMPAIGN/VOTERS BENEFIT FROM MEDIA

0 649. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION (MEDIA)

1 651. CANDIDATES SPEND PUBLIC FUNDING ON TV; GREATER STRESS ON TV, TV HAS GREATER IMPACT, TV PROFITS

1 660. DEPEND ON NATIONAL MEDIA FOR CAMPAIGN, NA HOW

0 699. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VIII. RULES AND REGULATIONS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 719. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 730. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES CURTAILED

0 749. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

- (CONTINUED)

-

NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0 751. DRAWBACKS DUE TO RED-TAPE; TOO MUCH PAPER WORK; TOO MANY REGULATIONS; TOO COMPLICATED (FINANCING); KEEPS LAWYERS AND ACCOUNTANTS BUSY; INEFFICIENT

0 752. TOO MANY LOOPHOLES, NA WHICH

0 753. DIRECT MAILING A WASTE

1 754. TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT CONTROL; GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE

0 780. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES PERPETUATED; PUBLIC OFFICIAL CAUGHT SIPHONING FUNDS

0 799. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IX. DIFFERENTIAL IMPACT ON STATES/DISTRICTS

0 801. LITTLE IMPACT IN LOW POPULATION STATES; LITTLE IMPACT IN SMALL STATES

1 802. UNIMPORTANT STATES DO NOT BENEFIT; KEY/SWING STATES BENEFIT

0 803. ONE-PARTY DOMINANT STATES/DISTRICTS/AREAS/ DO NOT BENEFIT; CONTESTS ALREADY DECIDED

0 804. LITTLE FUNDING GOES TO SMALL STATES

0 849. OTHER STATE SPECIFIC RESPONSES

0

X. REFORMS SUGGESTED (THIS CATEGORY SHOULD BE GIVEN LOW PRIORITY IN CODING)

2 851. LOWER LIMITS ON TOTAL CAMPAIGN SPENDING; ENACT LIMITS

0 852. ALLOW CITIZENS RIGHT TO GIVE MORE IN CHECK-OFF

3 853. RESTRICT USE OF MONEY BY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; PACS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO GIVE

3 854. CHANNEL MONEY TO PARTIES, NOT CANDIDATES; FUND ONLY TWO MAJOR PARTIES

1 398
- (CONTINUED)
- 1 855. PUBLIC FUNDING SHOULD BE REPEALED. GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING.

1 856. ELIMINATE/LIMIT PRIVATE FUNDING

0 857. EXTEND TO OTHER CONTEST; INCLUDE CONGRESSIONAL RACES

0 858. DISCLOSURE LAWS STRONGER

0 860. RAISE SPENDING LIMIT - CONTRIBUTIONS

0 861. FREE MEDIA EXPOSURE FOR CANDIDATES

0 862. EQUALIZE AMOUNT OF MONEY GIVEN TO ALL CANDIDATES

0 863. CONTROL AMOUNT SPENT IN EACH STATE; EACH STATE SHOULD GET SOME MONEY; STATE SHOULD CONTROL ITS MONEY CONTRIBUTION

0 870. EXTEND CONGRESSIONAL TERM TO MORE THAN 2 YEARS (Q.2A ONLY)

0 875. FAVORS BUT WITH SOME CHANGES, E.G. TIGHTER REGULATIONS, STRICTER REQUIREMENTS FOR CANDIDATES

1 899. OTHER REFORM RESPONSES

0

XI. MISCELLANEOUS RESPONSES AND MISSING DATA

0 901. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED

ELSEWHERE (THIS SHOULD BE GIVEN LOWEST PRIORITY)

0 998. DK

0 999. NA

5371 000. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTION
0 .....
0 VAR 0461 EFFECT 5:CONG FUNDING MD=0 OR GE 998
REF 0461 LOC 596 WIDTH 3 DK 9 COL 72-74

0

Q.F2A(D5) EFFECT OF PUBLIC FUNDING OF CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGNS - FIFTH MENTION
---

1 399
- (CONTINUED)
-

<UP TO 5 SPECIFIC RESPONSES TO Q.F2A ARE CODED>

I. 001 - 099 GENERAL REFERENCES
THESE CODES SHOULD BE USED ONLY IF THE SPECIFIC CATEGORIES GIVEN IN 100 - 950 SERIES ARE NOT APPLICABLE. RESPONSES THAT ONLY INDICATE LEVEL OF IMPACT OR EVALUATION OF IMPACT SHOULD BE CODED HERE. THESE ARE CODES OF LAST RESORT. IF A RESPONSE CAN BE CODED IN ONE OF THE HIGHER SERIES, IT SHOULD NOT BE CODED HERE. THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT IF R GIVES AN EVALUATION THERE WAS AT LEAST SOME IMPACT.

0 001. NO IMPACT, NO EVALUATION: NONE, NOTHING, NOT AT ALL, ZERO

0 002. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND POSITIVE EVALUATION: DID SOME GOOD, HELPED A LOT, AIDED US, MADE THINGS EASIER (NOT SPECIFIED)

0 003. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND NEGATIVE EVALUATION: BAD RESULTS, RUINOUS, TERRIBLE, HARMFUL, HURT A LITTLE (NOT SPECIFIED)

1 004. AT LEAST SOME IMPACT (AMOUNT NOT IMPORTANT) AND

AMBIVALENT, NEUTRAL, OR NOT CLEAR PRESENT EVALUATION: GOOD AND BAD, MIXED BAD, PROS AND CONS, HELPED AND HURT (NOT SPECIFIED), (INCLUDES VERY LITTLE, NOT MUCH).

0 010. R EXPRESSES FAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD THE CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): FAVORS PUBLIC FUNDING, SUPPORTS IDEA, RIGHT APPROACH.

0 011. R EXPRESSES UNFAVORABLE ATTITUDE TOWARD CONCEPT OF PUBLIC FUNDING, WHETHER CONDITIONAL OR UNCONDITIONAL (AS IN DIMENSION 3): OPPOSES PUBLIC FUNDING, A BAD IDEA, WRONGHEADED, STUPID; GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING

0 099. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

II. CANDIDATE REFERENCES

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 101. MORE CANDIDATES; MORE CANDIDATES RUN, NA DIRECTION

1 400

- (CONTINUED)

- 0 119. OTHER CANDIDATE RESPONSES, NA DIRECTION

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 121. MORE FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; EQUALIZES FUNDS FOR CANDIDATES; GIVES NON-WEALTHY CANDIDATES A CHANCE, HELPS RECRUIT CANDIDATES

0 122. CANDIDATES NOW MORE RESPONSIVE TO PEOPLE AND FOCUS ON ISSUES; PAY MORE ATTENTION TO PEOPLE RATHER THAN BIG CONTRIBUTORS

0 123. OPPORTUNITY TO CHALLENGE INCUMBENT; INCUMBENT'S ADVANTAGE REDUCED; MORE COMPETITIVE; MORE

VISIBILITY/EXPOSURE

0 124. JOHN ANDERSON WAS ABLE TO EMERGE; ANY POSITIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; ALLOWS THIRD PARTY CANDIDATES OPPORTUNITY TO RUN

0 125. MORE CANDIDATES RUN; MORE CANDIDATES

0 126. BETTER CANDIDATES, BETTER QUALIFIED CANDIDATES; HIGHER GRADE OF CANDIDATES

0 149. OTHER POSITIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 151. CANDIDATES MORE INDEPENDENT; SEVERS CANDIDATE FROM PARTY (BECAUSE OF INDEPENDENT FUNDS); REDUCES ACCOUNTABILITY OF CANDIDATES TO VOTERS OR PARTY; CANDIDATES WORK FOR OWN WELL-BEING

0 152. FAVORS INCUMBENT/HANDICAPS CHALLENGER

0 153. CANDIDATES WITH LARGEST OUTSIDE SUPPORT, MONEY BASE, GOOD MANAGEMENT HAVE ADVANTAGE; CAMPAIGNS WOULD BE RUN BY PROFESSIONALS

0 154. ONE-ISSUE CANDIDATES PROSPER; SPLINTER CANDIDATES JOIN THE RACE; UNQUALIFIED CANDIDATES RUN; CANDIDATES WHO HAVE NO CHANCE OF WINNING RUN

0 155. JOHN ANDERSON HAD AN UNFAIR ADVANTAGE; ANY NEGATIVE REFERENCE TO ANDERSON; MAKES IT TOO EASY FOR THIRD PARTY CANDIDATE

1 401

- (CONTINUED)

- 0 156. CANDIDATES CONCENTRATE ON KEY STATES AND DO NOT GO TO SMALL (ELECTORAL) STATES

0 157. MORE CANDIDATES RUN. WORSE CANDIDATES RUN.

0 158. HAS NOT IMPROVED QUALITY OF CANDIDATES; CANDIDATES STILL DO NOT FOCUS ON ISSUES.

0 159. FEWER CANDIDATES RUN; INDEPENDENT CANDIDATES DON'T HAVE A CHANCE

0 160. INTEREST IN PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN DIMINISHED

0 199. OTHER NEGATIVE CANDIDATE RESPONSES

0

III. PARTY REFERENCES; FISCAL ASPECTS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 219. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 221. LESS FUND-RAISING; PRESSURE OF FUND-RAISING RELIEVED; PARTY NOT FINANCIALLY RESPONSIBLE

0 222. PARTY IN CONTROL OF FUND-RAISING; FUND-RAISING SHIFTED TO LOCAL AND STATE CANDIDATES

0 223. PARTY CAN TARGET EXPENDITURES; ALLOWS MORE MONEY FOR THE STATE; HAVE MONEY TO STRENGTHEN/BUILD PARTY ORGANIZATION; MORE FUNDS

0 224. HELPS EQUALIZE BOTH PARTIES FINANCIALLY

0 225. HELPS THE DEMOCRATS FINANCIALLY

0 226. HELPS THE REPUBLICANS FINANCIALLY

0 227. LESS MONEY SPENT, HOLDS DOWN COST OF ELECTION

0 249. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSE

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 251. AMOUNT INADEQUATE; FUNDS SCARCE; FUND-RAISING STILL REQUIRED FOR PRESIDENTIAL/OTHER CANDIDATES;

1 402
- (CONTINUED)
- HARDER TO RAISE FUNDS

0 252. FUNDS STILL SCARCE, NEVER REACH GRASS-ROOTS; LOCAL AREA HAS TO RAISE MONEY; LOCAL CANDIDATES NOT GIVEN FUNDING --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

0 253. REDUCED EXPENDITURES; CAMPAIGN MATERIALS NOT EASILY AVAILABLE; LESS MONEY TO SPEND ON LOCAL CANDIDATES --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

0 254. RAISED COST OF POLITICS; SPENDING UP; CAMPAIGN TOO EXPENSIVE AND TOO LONG

1 255. DID NOT CORRECT FINANCIAL IMBALANCE

0 257. MONEY SPENT IN CITIES, NOT RURAL AREAS

0 260. DEMOCRATS HURT FINANCIALLY

0 261. REPUBLICANS HURT FINANCIALLY

0 299. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES
0

IV. PARTY REFERENCES: ORGANIZATIONAL AND PERSONNEL

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 319. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSE
0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 321. PARTY STRENGTHENED; PARTY WORK MORE VALUABLE (DUE TO LESS FUNDS); GET BETTER STAFF; MAKES WORK MORE EFFICIENT

0 322. HELPED/CHANGED (STATE/LOCAL) PARTY ORGANIZATION/ROLE, NA HOW

0 323. FAVORABLE IMPACT ON DEMOCRATIC PARTY

0 324. GOP HAS BEEN HELPED

0 325. PATRONAGE WEAKENED (JOBS); LESSENS CONTROL OF PARTY MACHINE

0 326. INCREASE PARTY COMPETITION

0 349. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

1 403

- (CONTINUED)

-

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 351. DIMINISHED/WEAKENED ROLE/IMPORTANCE/EFFECTIVENESS/INFLUENCE OF POLITICAL PARTIES, PARTY STRUCTURE, ORGANIZATION, NA HOW

0 352. ELIMINATES/REDUCES PARTY CONTROL OF CANDIDATES; NO CONTROL OVER CAMPAIGN IN STATE; SEPARATION BETWEEN PARTY ACTIVITY AND NATIONAL CAMPAIGN

0 353. LESS CONCERN FOR LOCAL INPUTS; LOCAL CAMPAIGN PREEMPTED BY PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

0 354. ACTIVITY/PARTICIPATION REDUCED; REDUCED INVOLVEMENT; LIMITS RESERVE (POOL) OF PARTY WORKERS

1 355. DECLINE OF LOCAL PARTY ACTIVITY; DECREASED PRECINCT VOLUNTEER WORK; CAN'T FIND GRASS-ROOTS PEOPLE TO WORK ON CAMPAIGN --- LOCAL LEVEL REFERENCES

0 356. WEAKENS/DESTROYS TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ENTRENCHES THE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM; ERODES THE INTEGRATION OF PARTIES

0 357. DISCOURAGES THIRD PARTY MOVEMENT

0 358. DEMOCRATS HURT

0 359. REPUBLICANS HURT

0 360. PATRONAGE WEAKENED, PARTY CAN NO LONGER REPAY FAVORS

0 361. CAUSES PARTY INFIGHTING/FRAGMENTATION/DISUNITY

0 399. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

V. ROLE OF TAXPAYERS, CITIZENS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 401. TAX CHECK-OFF UNDERUTILIZED

0 419. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

1 404
- (CONTINUED)
-

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 421. MORE DEMOCRATIC; MORE PARTICIPATION; PEOPLE FEEL MORE A PART OF THE ELECTORAL PROCESS; PEOPLE MORE INTERESTED IN POLITICS; CHECK-OFF ENCOURAGES PARTICIPATION

0 422. SMALL DONORS BECOME MORE IMPORTANT; HELPS PREVENT PRESIDENCY FROM BEING "BOUGHT"; MAY REDUCE INFLUENCE OF A FEW "FAT CATS"

0 449. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 451. PEOPLE'S PARTICIPATION AND INVOLVEMENT CURTAILED; NO LONGER NEED CONTRIBUTIONS BEYOND CHECK-OFF; LIMITS PARTICIPATION TO CHECK-OFF; DIMINISHES

POWER OF INDIVIDUAL; LESS INVOLVEMENT BECAUSE NO CASH INVESTMENT

0 452. DOES NOT EFFECTIVELY RESTRICT INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS; CAN CONTRIBUTE THROUGH SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS

0 453. PEOPLE DON'T KNOW WHERE THE MONEY REALLY GOES; WRONG PEOPLE CAN GET MONEY; A RAID ON TAXPAYER'S MONEY; PEOPLE DECEIVED

0 454. PEOPLE DON'T LIKE FINANCING POLITICAL ENEMIES; HAVE NO CHOICE WHICH CANDIDATE MONEY SUPPORTS

0 455. INFRINGEMENT OF FREE SPEECH (FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS) (BECAUSE VOTERS CHECK-OFF ONE PARTY)

0 456. PEOPLE WON'T/DON'T LIKE IT, NA WHY

0 457. WE CAN'T AFFORD IT; CREATES DEBT

0 499. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VI. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AND PACS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 519. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

1 405

\- (CONTINUED)

\-

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 521. PRESENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS HELPS STRENGTHEN PARTY; HELPS OFFSET NEGATIVE FEATURES OF CAMPAIGN FINANCING

0 522. HELPED TO REDUCE INFLUENCE OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; CURTAILED SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP'S ACTIVITY

0 549. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE DIRECTION

0 551. BIG MONEY STILL CONTROLS; BIG MONEY GETS AROUND SPENDING RESTRICTIONS; BIG BUSINESS, LABOR UNIONS STILL HAVE AN IMPACT/STILL CONTROL

0 552. SINGLE ISSUE INTEREST GROUPS STILL PLAY SIGNIFICANT ROLE, NA HOW

0 553. ENCOURAGES DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; "HATE" GROUPS EMERGING

0 560. PACS BECOMING ENTIRELY TOO POWERFUL, NEGATING GOOD RESULTS; PACS ARE A BAD LOOPHOLE IN LAW

0 561. PACS PROLIFERATE (BECAUSE THEY CAN RAISE MONEY); PACS INFLUENCE LOCAL CAMPAIGNS

0 599. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VII. ROLE OF MEDIA

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 601. INCREASED EFFECT OF MEDIA, NA HOW

0 619. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 621. CANDIDATES/PARTY/CAMPAIGN/VOTERS BENEFIT FROM MEDIA

0 649. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

1 406

\- (CONTINUED)

\-

NEGATIVE DIRECTION (MEDIA)

0 651. CANDIDATES SPEND PUBLIC FUNDING ON TV; GREATER STRESS ON TV, TV HAS GREATER IMPACT, TV PROFITS

0 660. DEPEND ON NATIONAL MEDIA FOR CAMPAIGN, NA HOW

0 699. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

VIII. RULES AND REGULATIONS

NEUTRAL DIRECTION OR UNCLEAR

0 719. OTHER NEUTRAL RESPONSES

0

POSITIVE DIRECTION

0 730. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES CURTAILED

0 749. OTHER POSITIVE RESPONSES

0

NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0 751. DRAWBACKS DUE TO RED-TAPE; TOO MUCH PAPER WORK; TOO MANY REGULATIONS; TOO COMPLICATED (FINANCING); KEEPS LAWYERS AND ACCOUNTANTS BUSY; INEFFICIENT

0 752. TOO MANY LOOPHOLES, NA WHICH

0 753. DIRECT MAILING A WASTE

0 754. TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT CONTROL; GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE

0 780. ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES PERPETUATED; PUBLIC OFFICIAL CAUGHT SIPHONING FUNDS

0 799. OTHER NEGATIVE RESPONSES

0

IX. DIFFERENTIAL IMPACT ON STATES/DISTRICTS

0 801. LITTLE IMPACT IN LOW POPULATION STATES; LITTLE IMPACT IN SMALL STATES

0 802. UNIMPORTANT STATES DO NOT BENEFIT; KEY/SWING STATES

1 407

- (CONTINUED)
- BENEFIT

0 803. ONE-PARTY DOMINANT STATES/DISTRICTS/AREAS/ DO NOT BENEFIT; CONTESTS ALREADY DECIDED

0 804. LITTLE FUNDING GOES TO SMALL STATES

0 849. OTHER STATE SPECIFIC RESPONSES

0

X. REFORMS SUGGESTED (THIS CATEGORY SHOULD BE GIVEN LOW PRIORITY IN CODING)

1 851. LOWER LIMITS ON TOTAL CAMPAIGN SPENDING; ENACT LIMITS

0 852. ALLOW CITIZENS RIGHT TO GIVE MORE IN CHECK-OFF

1 853. RESTRICT USE OF MONEY BY SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS; PACS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO GIVE

0 854. CHANNEL MONEY TO PARTIES, NOT CANDIDATES; FUND ONLY TWO MAJOR PARTIES

0 855. PUBLIC FUNDING SHOULD BE REPEALED. GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE INVOLVED IN CAMPAIGN FUNDING.

0 856. ELIMINATE/LIMIT PRIVATE FUNDING

0 857. EXTEND TO OTHER CONTEST; INCLUDE CONGRESSIONAL RACES

0 858. DISCLOSURE LAWS STRONGER

0 860. RAISE SPENDING LIMIT - CONTRIBUTIONS

0 861. FREE MEDIA EXPOSURE FOR CANDIDATES

0 862. EQUALIZE AMOUNT OF MONEY GIVEN TO ALL CANDIDATES

0 863. CONTROL AMOUNT SPENT IN EACH STATE; EACH STATE SHOULD GET SOME MONEY; STATE SHOULD CONTROL ITS MONEY CONTRIBUTION

0 870. EXTEND CONGRESSIONAL TERM TO MORE THAN 2 YEARS (Q.2A ONLY)

0 875. FAVORS BUT WITH SOME CHANGES, E.G. TIGHTER REGULATIONS, STRICTER REQUIREMENTS FOR CANDIDATES

1 408
- (CONTINUED)
- 1 899. OTHER REFORM RESPONSES
0

XI. MISCELLANEOUS RESPONSES AND MISSING DATA

0 901. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS THAT CANNOT BE CODED ELSEWHERE (THIS SHOULD BE GIVEN LOWEST PRIORITY)

0 998. DK

0 999. NA

5400 000. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTION

0 .....
0 VAR 0462 CODER PUB FUNDING MD=GE 9
REF 0462 LOC 599 WIDTH 1 DK 9 COL 75

0

CODER PUBLIC FUNDING

.....
0 VAR 0463 RESPONDENT MD=GE 99999
REF 0463 LOC 600 WIDTH 5 DK 9 COL 76-80

0

RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

THIS VARIABLE HAS BEEN PADDED WITH MISSING DATA.

DECK IDENTIFICATION NUMBER IS '10' DK 10 COL 1- 2

.....

0 VAR 0001 ICPSR STUDY NO. 8367 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0001 LOC 1 WIDTH 4 DK 10 COL 3- 6

0

ICPSR STUDY #
---

1 409
-

0 VAR 0002 ICPSR EDITION NUMBER-1 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0002 LOC 5 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 7

0

ICPSR EDITION NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THE RELEASE EDITION OF THIS DATASET.

1. WINTER 1986

0 .....

0 VAR 0003 ICPSR PART NUMBER-001 NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0003 LOC 6 WIDTH 3 DK 10 COL 8-10

0

ICPSR PART NUMBER

THE NUMBER IDENTIFYING THIS PART OF A TWO PART STUDY.

001. CROSS-SECTION
002. PANEL

0 .....

0 VAR 0004 ICPSR RESPONDENT ID NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0004 LOC 9 WIDTH 4 DK 10 COL 11-14

0

ICPSR RESPONDENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

THE ICPSR HAS ASSIGNED A SEQUENTIAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER TO EACH RECORD. THIS NUMBER UNIQUELY IDENTIFIES EACH CASE IN THE DATA COLLECTION.

.....

0 VAR 0464 2ND COMMUNITY 10-16 MD=0 OR GE 9
REF 0464 LOC 605 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 15

0

COMMUNITY IN WHICH R LIVED BETWEEN AGES OF 10-16: SECOND MENTION

---

1 410
- (CONTINUED)
- <SEE Q.62 FOR QUESTION TEXT>

0 1. ON A FARM
1 2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
27 3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000)
11 4. IN A MEDIUM SIZED CITY (50,000-100,000)
14 5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000-500,000)
16 6. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY
20 7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
29 8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY

5262 9. NA
26 0. INAP., NO FURTHER MENTION

0 .....

0 VAR 0465 80 PRIMARY STATE MD=GE 9
REF 0465 LOC 606 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 16

0

WAS THERE A 1980 PRESIDENTIAL PRIMARY IN R'S STATE?

2183 1. YES
550 2. NO

2673 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0466 76 PRIMARY STATE MD=GE 9
REF 0466 LOC 607 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 17

0

WAS THERE A 1976 PRIMARY IN R'S STATE?

1561 1. YES
463 2. NO

3382 9. NA

0
1 411
-
0 VAR 0467 72 PRIMARY STATE MD=GE 9
REF 0467 LOC 608 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 18

0

WAS THERE A 1972 PRIMARY IN R'S STATE?
---

916 1. YES
455 2. NO

4035 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0468 AGE MD=GE 99
REF 0468 LOC 609 WIDTH 2 DK 10 COL 19-20

0

AGE
---

18. 18 YEARS
.
.
.
98. 98 YEARS

99. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0469 YEAR BECAME ACTIVE MD=GE 9999

REF 0469 LOC 611 WIDTH 4 DK 10 COL 21-24

0

YEAR R BECAME ACTIVE IN POLITICS

1895. 1895
.
.
.
1980. 1980
9999. NA

0
1 412
-
0 VAR 0470 GRP EVAL INDEX:LIB-CON MD=99
REF 0470 LOC 615 WIDTH 2 DK 10 COL 25-26

0

GROUP EVALUATION INDEX: LIBERAL - CONSERVATIVE

CREATED FROM V330, V331, V334-V337 AND V340-V342 FOLLOWING FACTOR ANALYSIS OF ALL DELEGATES. SCALE RUNS FROM LIBERAL TO CONSERVATIVE (0 TO 97). VARIABLES 330, 334, 335, 336, 337, 340 AND 341 WERE RECODED SO THAT HIGH SCORE (97) IS PRO-CONSERVATIVE. UP TO THREE MISSING DATA VALUES WERE ALLOWED. SCORES REPRESENT THE AVERAGE ON THE VALID CODES ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST WHOLE NUMBER.

00. VERY LIBERAL
.
.
97. VERY CONSERVATIVE

99. MD

0 .....
0 VAR 0471 GRP EVAL INDEX:MORALITY MD=99
REF 0471 LOC 617 WIDTH 2 DK 10 COL 27-28

0

GROUP EVALUATION INDEX: MORALITY

CREATED FROM V332 AND V338 FOLLOWING FACTOR ANALYSIS OF ALL DELEGATES. THE MORALITY INDEX RUNS FROM LIBERAL TO CONSERVATIVE (0 TO 97). NO MISSING DATA WERE ALLOWED. SCORES REPRESENT THE AVERAGE OF SCORES ON THE TWO FEELING THERMOMETERS ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST WHOLE NUMBER.

00. VERY LIBERAL
.
.
97. VERY CONSERVATIVE

99. MD

0
1 413
-
0 VAR 0472 CAND EVAL INDEX:DEM-REP MD=99
REF 0472 LOC 619 WIDTH 2 DK 10 COL 29-30

0

CANDIDATE EVALUATION INDEX: DEMOCRATS - REPUBLICANS

CREATED FROM V343-V344, V346-V347, V349-V352 FOLLOWING FACTOR ANALYSIS OF ALL DELEGATES. THE PARTISAN CANDIDATE EVALUATION SCORE RUNS FROM PRO-DEMOCRATIC TO PRO-REPUBLICAN. SCORES FOR DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATES (V343, V349, V350, V352) WERE REVERSED SUCH THAT PRO-DEMOCRATIC SCORES ARE RECODED AS 0 IF THEY WERE 97, ETC. UP TO TWO MISSING DATA WERE ALLOWED. SCORES REPRESENT THE AVERAGE OF THE VALID SCORES ON THE COMPONENT VARIABLES ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST WHOLE NUMBER.

00. VERY PRO-DEMOCRATIC
.
.
97. VERY PRO-REPUBLICAN

99. MD

0 .....
0 VAR 0473 ISSUE INDEX:LIB-CON MD=99
REF 0473 LOC 621 WIDTH 2 DK 10 COL 31-32

0

ISSUE INDEX: LIBERAL - CONSERVATIVE

CREATED FROM V316, V318-V320 AND V322 FOLLOWING FACTOR ANALYSIS OF ALL DELEGATES. THIS SCALE RUNS FROM LIBERAL TO CONSERVATIVE (1 TO 7). ALL COMPONENTS WERE RECODED SO THAT EACH RUNS FROM 1 TO 7 WITH 7 REPRESENTING THE CONSERVATIVE POSITION. ONE MISSING DATA SCORE WAS ALLOWED. THE INDEX SCORE REPRESENTS THE AVERAGE OF THE VALID COMPONENT VARIABLE SCORES ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST WHOLE NUMBER.

0. VERY LIBERAL
.
.
7. VERY CONSERVATIVE

9. MD

0
1 414
-
0 VAR 0474 GRP EVAL INDEX:NEW LEFT MD=99
REF 0474 LOC 623 WIDTH 2 DK 10 COL 33-34

0

GROUP EVALUATION INDEX: NEW LEFT

CREATED FROM RECODED V330, V333, V334, V336, V337 AND V341. NEW LEFT INDEX RUNS FROM LIBERAL TO CONSERVATIVE (0 TO 97). COMPONENT VARIABLES WERE RECODED SO THAT THE HIGH SCORE (97) WAS PRO-CONSERVATIVE. UP TO TWO MISSING DATA WERE ALLOWED. SCORES REPRESENT THE AVERAGE ON THE VALID CODES ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST WHOLE NUMBER.

00. PRO-NEW LEFT
.
.
97. ANTI-NEW LEFT

99. MISSING DATA
0 .....
0 VAR 0475 GRP EVAL INDEX:TRADIT MD=99

REF 0475 LOC 625 WIDTH 2 DK 10 COL 35-36

0

GROUP EVALUATION INDEX: TRADITIONAL

CREATED FROM RECODED V331, V335, V339, V340 AND V342. TRADITIONAL INDEX RUNS FROM LIBERAL TO CONSERVATIVE (0 TO 97). COMPONENT VARIABLES WERE RECODED SO THAT THE HIGH SCORE (97) WAS PRO-TRADITIONAL. UP TO 2 MISSING DATA WERE ALLOWED. INDEX SCORE REPRESENT THE AVERAGE ON THE VALID CODES ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST WHOLE NUMBER.

00. ANTI-TRADITIONAL
.
.
97. PRO-TRADITIONAL

99. MISSING DATA

0
1 415
-
0 VAR 0476 DEM CAND TYPE 1972 MD=GE 9
REF 0476 LOC 627 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 37

0

CANDIDATE TYPE SUPPORTED IN 1972--DEMOCRATS

CREATED FROM V56: DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATES ONLY

1228 1. NEW POLITICS: CHISHOLM, KENNEDY, MCGOVERN
1353 2. LIBERALS: HUMPHREY, JACKSON, MILLS, MUSKIE
76 4. CONSERVATIVES: WALLACE
324 5. OTHER: OTHER, NONE
1593 6. OTHER PARTY'S CANDIDATE

832 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0477 DEM CAND TYPE 1976 MD=GE 9
REF 0477 LOC 628 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 38

0

CANDIDATE TYPE SUPPORTED IN 1976--DEMOCRATS

CREATED FROM V57: DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATES ONLY

742 1. NEW POLITICS: BROWN, CHURCH, SHRIVER, UDALL
719 2. LIBERALS: HUMPHREY, JACKSON
1090 3. MODERATES: CARTER
45 4. CONSERVATIVES: WALLACE
180 5. OTHERS: NONE, OTHER
1944 6. OTHER PARTY'S CANDIDATE

686 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0478 DEM CAND TYPE 1980 MD=GE 9
REF 0478 LOC 629 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 39

0

CANDIDATE TYPE SUPPORTED IN 1980--DEMOCRATS

CREATED FROM V58: DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATES ONLY

1 416
- (CONTINUED)
- 1125 1. NEW POLITICS: KENNEDY
1415 3. MODERATES: CARTER
362 5. OTHERS: NONE, OTHER
1941 6. OTHER PARTY'S CANDIDATE

563 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0479 REP CAND TYPE 1972 MD=GE 9
REF 0479 LOC 630 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 40

0

CANDIDATE TYPE SUPPORTED IN 1972--REPUBLICANS

CREATED FROM V56: REPUBLICAN CANDIDATES ONLY

1593 1. MODERATES: NIXON
324 3. OTHERS: OTHER, NONE
2657 6. OTHER PARTY'S CANDIDATE

832 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0480 REP CAND TYPE 1976 MD=GE 9
REF 0480 LOC 631 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 41

0
CANDIDATE TYPE SUPPORTED IN 1976--REPUBLICANS

CREATED FROM V57: REPUBLICAN CANDIDATES ONLY

919 1. MODERATES: FORD
1025 2. CONSERVATIVES: REAGAN
180 3. OTHERS: NONE, OTHER
2596 6. OTHER PARTY'S CANDIDATE

686 9. NA
0
1 417
-
0 VAR 0481 REP CAND TYPE 1980 MD=GE 9
REF 0481 LOC 632 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 42

0
CANDIDATE TYPE SUPPORTED IN 1980--REPUBLICANS

CREATED FROM V58: REPUBLICAN CANDIDATES ONLY

666 1. MODERATES: ANDERSON, BAKER, BUSH, CONNALLY
1275 2. CONSERVATIVES: REAGAN
362 3. OTHERS: NONE, OTHER
2540 6. OTHER PARTY'S CANDIDATE

563 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0482 COHORT MD=9

REF 0482 LOC 633 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 43

0

COHORT

CREATED FROM V10-V12. IF DELEGATE ATTENDED MORE THAN ONE CONVENTION, RESPONDENT WAS ASSIGNED TO THE COHORT OF THE EARLIEST CONVENTION ATTENDED.

517 1. 1972 REPUBLICAN
867 2. 1972 DEMOCRAT
832 3. 1976 REPUBLICAN
926 4. 1976 DEMOCRAT
846 5. 1980 REPUBLICAN
1386 6. 1980 DEMOCRAT

32 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0483 72-80 ACT CHG MD=99
REF 0483 LOC 634 WIDTH 2 DK 10 COL 44-45

0

1972-1980 PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY CHANGE

CODES RESPONDENTS BY COHORT ACCORDING TO PRESIDENTIAL

1 418
- (CONTINUED)
- CAMPAIGNS IN WHICH THEY WERE ACTIVE.

802 01. 1972 COHORT: ACTIVE IN 1972, 1976 AND 1980
90 02. 1972 COHORT: ACTIVE IN 1972 AND 1980
1175 03. 1976 COHORT: ACTIVE IN 1972, 1976 AND 1980
1342 04. 1980 COHORT: ACTIVE IN 1972, 1976 AND 1980
91 05. 1980 COHORT: ACTIVE IN 1972 AND 1980
202 06. 1972 COHORT: ACTIVE IN 1972 AND 1976
289 07. 1972 COHORT: ACTIVE IN 1972
173 08. 1976 COHORT: ACTIVE IN 1972 AND 1976
238 09. 1976 COHORT: ACTIVE IN 1976 AND 1980
424 10. 1980 COHORT: ACTIVE IN 1976 AND 1980
355 11. 1980 COHORT: ACTIVE IN 1980

159 12. 1976 COHORT: ACTIVE IN 1976

66 99. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0484 POOLED WEIGHT NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0484 LOC 636 WIDTH 3 DK 10 COL 46-48
IMP DEC= 2

0

WEIGHT FOR POOLED ANALYSIS

USE OF THIS WEIGHT PRODUCES EQUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE 1972, 1976 AND 1980 COHORTS.

.....

0 VAR 0485 REGION MD=GE 99
REF 0485 LOC 639 WIDTH 2 DK 10 COL 49-50

0

REGION (DERIVED FROM ICPSR STATE CODE)
---

410 00. NEW ENGLAND (CONNECTICUT, MAINE, MASSACHUSETTS, NEW HAMPSHIRE, RHODE ISLAND, VERMONT)
816 10. MIDDLE ATLANTIC (DELAWARE, NEW JERSEY, NEW YORK, PENNSYLVANIA)
1012 20. EAST NORTH CENTRAL (ILLINOIS, INDIANA, MICHIGAN, OHIO, WISCONSIN)
630 30. WEST NORTH CENTRAL (IOWA, KANSAS, MINNESOTA, MISSOURI, NEBRASKA, NORTH DAKOTA, SOUTH DAKOTA)
973 40. SOLID SOUTH (VIRGINIA, ALABAMA, ARKANSAS, FLORIDA, GEORGIA, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, NORTH CAROLINA, SOUTH CAROLINA, TEXAS)

1 419
- (CONTINUED)
- 418 50. BORDER STATES (KENTUCKY, MARYLAND, OKLAHOMA, TENNESSEE, WASHINGTON, D.C., WEST VIRGINIA
421 60. MOUNTAIN STATES (ARIZONA, COLORADO, IDAHO, MONTANA, NEVADA, NEW MEXICO, UTAH, WYOMING)
559 70. PACIFIC STATES (CALIFORNIA, OREGON, WASHINGTON)
90 80. EXTERNAL STATES AND TERRITORIES (ALASKA, HAWAII, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, GUAM)
0 90. FOREIGN COUNTRY

77 99. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0486 ATTEND CONV 64 OR BEFORE MD=GE 5
REF 0486 LOC 641 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 51

0

<DID R ATTEND NATIONAL CONVENTION IN> 1964 OR EARLIER
---

204 1. ATTENDED AT LEAST ONE CONVENTION AS A DELEGATE
98 2. ATTENDED AT LEAST ONE CONVENTION AS AN ALTERNATE
(NONE AS DELEGATE)

5104 5. DID NOT ATTEND ANY CONVENTIONS

0 .....

0 VAR 0487 CONVS AS DELEGATE/ALTER NO MISSING DATA CODES
REF 0487 LOC 642 WIDTH 2 DK 10 COL 52-53

0

NUMBER OF CONVENTIONS ATTENDED AS DELEGATE OR ALTERNATE, 1948-1980

THIS VARIABLE IS A COUNT OF THE NUMBER OF TIMES THE RESPONDENT WAS CODED EITHER 1 OR 3 IN VARIABLES 17 THROUGH 27.

.....

0 VAR 0488 NATIONAL OFFICE PRE-72 MD=GE 9
REF 0488 LOC 644 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 54

0

PRE-1972: PUBLIC POSITION(S) AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL
---

1 420
- (CONTINUED)
-

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 133 THROUGH 139.

239 1. POSITION HELD
122 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED

4321 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL
437 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0489 STATE OFFICE PRE-72 MD=GE 9
REF 0489 LOC 645 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 55

0

PRE-1972: PUBLIC POSITION(S) AT THE STATE LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 140 THROUGH 144.

565 1. POSITION HELD
248 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3553 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSTION AT STATE LEVEL
753 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT STATE LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0490 LOCAL OFFICE PRE-72 MD=GE 9
REF 0490 LOC 646 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 56

0

PRE-1972: PUBLIC POSITION(S) AT THE LOCAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 145 THROUGH 150.

887 1. POSITION HELD
210 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3086 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL
936 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

1 421
-

0 VAR 0491 NATIONAL OFFICE 1972-75 MD=GE 9
REF 0491 LOC 647 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 57

0

1972-1975: PUBLIC POSITION(S) AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 151 THROUGH 157.

189 1. POSITION HELD
102 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4321 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL
507 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0492 STATE OFFICE 1972-75 MD=GE 9
REF 0492 LOC 648 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 58

0

1972-1975: PUBLIC POSITION(S) AT THE STATE LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 158 THROUGH 162.

582 1. POSITION HELD
218 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3553 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSTION AT STATE LEVEL
766 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT STATE LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0493 LOCAL OFFICE 1972-75 MD=GE 9
REF 0493 LOC 649 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 59

0

1972-1975: PUBLIC POSITION(S) AT THE LOCAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 163 THROUGH 168.

842 1. POSITION HELD

1 422
- (CONTINUED)
- 168 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3086 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL
1023 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0494 NATIONAL OFFICE 1976-79 MD=GE 9
REF 0494 LOC 650 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 60

0

1976-1979: PUBLIC POSITION(S) AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 169 THROUGH 175.

214 1. POSITION HELD
165 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4321 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL
419 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0495 STATE OFFICE 1976-79 MD=GE 9
REF 0495 LOC 651 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 61

0

1976-1979: PUBLIC POSITION(S) AT THE STATE LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 176 THROUGH 180.

638 1. POSITION HELD
234 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3553 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSTION AT STATE LEVEL
694 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT STATE LEVEL BUT NOT

DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0

1 423

-

0 VAR 0496 LOCAL OFFICE 1976-79 MD=GE 9
REF 0496 LOC 652 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 62

0

1976-1979: PUBLIC POSITION(S) AT THE LOCAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 181 THROUGH 186.

941 1. POSITION HELD
184 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3086 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL
908 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0497 NATIONAL OFFICE 1980-PRE MD=GE 9
REF 0497 LOC 653 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 63

0

1980-PRESENT: PUBLIC POSITION(S) AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 187 THROUGH 193.

163 1. POSITION HELD
77 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4321 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL
558 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0498 STATE OFFICE 1980-PRES MD=GE 9
REF 0498 LOC 654 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 64

0

1980-PRESENT: PUBLIC POSITION(S) AT THE STATE LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 194 THROUGH 198.

511 1. POSITION HELD

1 424
- (CONTINUED)
- 120 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3553 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSTION AT STATE LEVEL
935 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT STATE LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0499 LOCAL OFFICE 1980-PRES MD=GE 9
REF 0499 LOC 655 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 65

0

1980-PRESENT: PUBLIC POSITION(S) AT THE LOCAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 199 THROUGH 204.

844 1. POSITION HELD
78 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
3086 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL
1111 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

287 9. NA
0 .....
0 VAR 0500 NAT PRTY POS PRE-72 MD=GE 9
REF 0500 LOC 656 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 66

0

PRE-1972: PARTY POSITION(S) AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 205 THROUGH 208.

326 1. POSITION HELD
31 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4041 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL
794 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0
1 425
-
0 VAR 0501 ST PRTY POS PRE-72 MD=GE 9
REF 0501 LOC 657 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 67

0

PRE-1972: PARTY POSITION(S) AT THE STATE LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 209 THROUGH 212.

1093 1. POSITION HELD
38 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
2648 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSTION AT STATE LEVEL
1413 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT STATE LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0502 LOCAL PRTY POS PRE-72 MD=GE 9
REF 0502 LOC 658 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 68

0

PRE-1972: PARTY POSITION(S) AT THE LOCAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 213 THROUGH 220.

2338 1. POSITION HELD
21 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
1228 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL
1605 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL BUT NOT

DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0503 NAT PRTY POS 1972-75 MD=GE 9

REF 0503 LOC 659 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 69

0

1972-1975: PARTY POSITION(S) AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 221 THROUGH 224.

335 1. POSITION HELD

1 426

- (CONTINUED)

- 29 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED

4041 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL

787 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0504 ST PRTY POS 1972-75 MD=GE 9

REF 0504 LOC 660 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 70

0

1972-1975: PARTY POSITION(S) AT THE STATE LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 225 THROUGH 228.

1315 1. POSITION HELD

40 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED

2648 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSTION AT STATE LEVEL

1189 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT STATE LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0505 LOCAL PRTY POS 1972-75 MD=GE 9

REF 0505 LOC 661 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 71

0

1972-1975: PARTY POSITION(S) AT THE LOCAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 229 THROUGH 236.

2526 1. POSITION HELD
32 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
1228 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL
1406 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0

1 427

-

0 VAR 0506 NAT PRTY POS 1976-79 MD=GE 9
REF 0506 LOC 662 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 72

0

1976-1979: PARTY POSITION(S) AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 237 THROUGH 240.

498 1. POSITION HELD
47 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4041 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL
606 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0507 STATE PRTY POS 1976-79 MD=GE 9
REF 0507 LOC 663 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 73

0

1976-1979: PARTY POSITION(S) AT THE STATE LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 241 THROUGH 244.

1600 1. POSITION HELD
69 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
2648 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSTION AT STATE LEVEL
875 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT STATE LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0508 LOCAL PRTY POS 1976-79 MD=GE 9
REF 0508 LOC 664 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 74

0

1976-1979: PARTY POSITION(S) AT THE LOCAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 245 THROUGH 252.

2829 1. POSITION HELD

1 428
- (CONTINUED)
- 50 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
1228 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL
1085 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....
0 VAR 0509 NAT PRTY POS 1980-PRES MD=GE 9
REF 0509 LOC 665 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 75

0

1980-PRESENT: PARTY POSITION(S) AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 253 THROUGH 256.

538 1. POSITION HELD
47 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
4041 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL

566 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT NATIONAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0 .....

0 VAR 0510 STATE PRTY POS 1980-PRES MD=GE 9
REF 0510 LOC 666 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 76

0

1980-PRESENT: PARTY POSITION(S) AT THE STATE LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 257 THROUGH 260.

1360 1. POSITION HELD
52 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
2648 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSTION AT STATE LEVEL
1132 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT STATE LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

0

1 429

-

0 VAR 0511 LOCAL PRTY POS 1980-PRES MD=GE 9
REF 0511 LOC 667 WIDTH 1 DK 10 COL 77

0

1980-PRESENT: PARTY POSITION(S) AT THE LOCAL LEVEL

DERIVED FROM VARIABLES 261 THROUGH 268.

2513 1. POSITION HELD
27 2. POSITION SOUGHT BUT NOT OBTAINED
1228 5. HAVE NEVER SOUGHT OR HELD POSTION AT LOCAL LEVEL
1424 7. HELD OR SOUGHT POSITION AT LOCAL LEVEL BUT NOT DURING THIS TIME

214 9. NA

1 TERMINATION OF CODEBOOK LIST.