MIDs investigated for possible changes for MID data set version 3.02 and 3.10 but not changed					
MID #	**Problem investigated**	**Story/Detailed Changes**	**Sources**	**Change**	**Date Reviewed**
0	Not related to a specific MID number: Why is there no hostility level "1" codings for dispute participants? 1 denotes "no codable response". Apparently they are exchanged for -9 (don't know) codes. In addition there was a report of having found -9 cding for hostility level in disputes that were reciprocated.	This question referred to an earlier version of the data. Coding categories have changed and been clarified, and so now there are no -9 codes; 1 is the lowest hostitlity level.	MIDA and MIDB 2.1	No change - no longer an issue	10/9/2003
0	Afghan-Russian war (1978/79): It can hardly be detected in MID. Is that correct?	War starting 1978/79 is coded as an intrastate war. USR coded as participating on request from Afghani government.	Intra-state war data set	No change	10/9/2003
0	Occupation of Peking (1860): Langer reports UKG and FRN occupation of Peking to punish the Chinese. Not coded as a MID.	Occupation occurred October 12, 1860. China is recognized as an independent state October 25, 1860. The acts are before Chinese system membership.	Maoz's paper, Stearns and state system membership data.	No change	10/9/2003
0	China - USSR (1960): Borderviolations were coded as a MID in earlier data set, but is not in MID 2.1.	Farmers on government orders entered Soviet territory. There were numerous borderviolations by civilians, allegedly some by officials as well. But this was uncontested because of traditionally nomadic peoples and undecided borders in the area. Recalled as a problem in the later 1969 dispute	Ginsburgs & Pinkele, Gittings, New York Times, www.encyclopedia.com	No change	10/9/2003
0	First Moroccan crisis (1904): Not included in the 2.1 set, was in the old data set.	No militarized actions. Only diplomatic interaction.	Maoz's paper, internet sources and Langer/Stearns (2001)	No change	10/9/2003
14	Armenian Massacres (1895): A tentative incident coding for the dispute shows ITA, FRN and AUH as participants but the states are not coded as participants in the dispute.	Only British presence proven.	Kohn, New York Times and Bridge	No change	10/9/2003
21	Serbian territorial claims regarding Albania (1912): Discrepancy in the coding of revtype between side A and B. All other disputes have either 0 (n/a) or the same for both sides.	Serbia is the only one seeking territorial revision (access to the Adriatic sea - no establishment of Albania) Russia supports Serbia but does not have territory claims, rather policy claims. The issue at hand is the establishment of Albania, effectively shutting Serbia off from the Adriatic sea. Russia seeks revision in the other states policies.	Stearns and internet resources	No change	10/9/2003

MID #	Problem investigated	Story/Detailed Changes	Sources	Change	Date Reviewed
31	Boxer rebellion (1900): Starting and ending dates discrepancies between MID and COW warlist.	The date coded for beginning of interstate war is the same, the MID coding records incidents leading up to the war, thus an earlier start date.	Langer, New York Times, Duiker.	No change	10/9/2003
51	Korean war (1950/53): Questions regarding Colombia and the Netherlands apparently coded on the side of North Korea. The war list do not have New Zealand as a participant, MID has it as a war participant.	No change regarding Colombia & the Netherlands. New Zealand fulfills criteria for being a war participant, but requires change in COW list, not MID.	MID 3.01 data set, www.nzhistory.net.nz based on McGibbon (1991&1996)	No change	10/9/2003
55	Corfu incident (1923): Dispute coded as being between Italy, Greece and UK in earlier version, excludes UK now. Was the British pressure reported by langer military or diplomatic?	There was "considerable British pressure" (Stearns), but through League of Nations. No militarized actions.	Sources: Langer, Miller, Stavrianos, Woodhouse and internet resources.	No change	10/9/2003
141	Treaty of Berlin/Dulcigno (1880): FRN is coded both actor and target of threat of force in tentative "incident" codings.	Incident coding must be erroneous. No recording of France threatening any state in the reviewed sources.	Langer, New York Times, Miller, Dakin, Keesing's.	No change	10/9/2003
258	WWII: Several concerns about dyadic disputes based on the MID data. Involves UK and France against USSR in 1939 and are identified as a war dyad by Maoz. Not coded in the war list.	This is a dispute of UKG+FRN vs. USR after USR's invasion of Poland. The dispute is in the context of WWII. MID coding is correct according to MID coding rules.	Maoz and MID 2.1 data set.	No change	10/9/2003
261	Seven weeks war (1865/66): Start date discrepancies between MID data set (1865) and Interstate War list (1866).	Date when war is coded is the same, MID codes some incidents before the war, thus different start dates.	Kohn, Friedjung	No change	10/9/2003
375	First Schleswig-Holstein War, first phase (1848/49): Questions reported regarding Maoz's dyadic version. Does the questions have any impact in MID coding?	BAV-SWD starting in 1848, when Bremer's data set says 1849. 1849 seems right based on participant and incident records. This is a comment on Maoz's dyadic data set. Maoz has changed dyadic data set to fit comment.	Maoz's dyadic data set.	No change	10/9/2003
397	Bulgarian entry into WWI (1914): Both UKG and BUL are coded to join interstate war, but the MID is called Bulgaria joins interstate war	UKG was already in the war, the coding is correct	New York Times, Langer and Internet resources.	No change	10/9/2003
1110	Saudi Arabia - South Yemen (YPR) (1969): Langer discusses a clash between Saudi Arabia and South Yemen. There is a MID corresponding to that period #1110, but can find no source for the North Yemen dispute.	Dispute correct as coded.	New York Times, Nov 27, 1969 and Dec 12, 1969.	No change	10/9/2003

MID #	Problem investigated	Story/Detailed Changes	Sources	Change	Date Reviewed
1232	Cambodia - Thailand (1976/87): Should it be so long or rather a series of shorter ones?	Continuous action. No 6 months without an incident.	Maoz and Keesing's.	No change	10/9/2003
1353	Laos-North Vietnam (1962/73): The old MID data coded a series of disputes and repeated clashes. In the new data, these are coded as one long conflict (62-73). Should it be one long or a series of shorter ones?	Continuous action. No 6 months without an incident.	Maoz	No change	10/9/2003
1535	First Central American War (1885): Question whether the dispute is cored with incorrect dates. Ecuador's dates do not overlap with Bolivia's.	A print of the dispute does not have Bolivia as a participant at all.	Inconclusive. This might refer to another dispute (none found) or to an earlier version of the data set. A search (internet sources) does not reveal Ecuador or Bolivia involvement	No change	10/9/2003
1552	Mexican-American War (1843/48): Discrepancies in start dates between MID (1843) and COW (1846) Interstate War list.	Coding for start of war (May 12, 1846) and end-date same. MID also recodes incidents prior to the war, thus differences in start date.	MIDB 2.1	No change	10/9/2003
2373	Saudi Arabia - North & South Yemen (1969/70): Langer discusses a clash between (p. 1307-08) Saudi Arabia and South Yemen. There is a MID corresponding to that period (MID 1110), but reporter can find no source for the North Yemen dispute. Are these two different disputes?	MID 1110 and MID 2373 have somewhat overlapping dates. But two separate disputes is confirmed. Correct as coded.	Gause, Keesing's, New York Times.	No change	10/9/2003
2840	Chile-Norway (1948): Question about whether Norwegian involvement is correct.	Review of original sources and government sources online in Norwegian has not turned up evidence for Norwegian involvement in the MID. Left as is because Norwegian non-involvement cannot be proved.	New York Times, Keesing's, www.odin.no	No change	10/9/2003
2941	USA North Korea (1969): What is the involvement of USSR in the case?	USSR protested buildup of US naval forces near its territory. The naval forces were aimed at North Korea, but USSR saw it as a threat and protested.	Foot notes to the original case coding.	No change	10/9/2003
3957	Gulf war (1990-91): Is the US coded on the wrong side? Seemingly in one version of the data, US had dispute with 20 states, mostly its own allies. Also question regarding Turkey-Oman.	Only IRQ and JOR is coded on side A, the rest on side B. USA is not coded on the wrong side. Correct as coded.	MIDB 2.1	No change	10/9/2003

MID #	Problem investigated	Story/Detailed Changes	Sources	Change	Date Reviewed
115	Italian unification (1850): MID has France fighting Italy, COW Interstate war list (Interstate war #28) has them fighting AUH.	Correct coded in both data sets.	MID 2.1B and Stearns (Langer)	No change	10/9/2003
235	United Kingdom - France (1940): The MID refers to a sinking of a French fleet resulting in the death of at least 1300 French sailors. The French response is coded as 23, when it seems the scale only goes to 22.	The discrepancy has only to do with differences in numeric representation of the 22-point scale in the MID 2.1 coding. 23 is the code for joining interstate war. Correct as coded.	Langer, New York Times and MID 2.1 and 3.01 codebooks.	No change.	10/9/2003
1804	United Kingdom, Zimbabwe and Zambia (1965/66): Involves dispute behavior during 1965 involving Zimbabwe, while an early version of the system member list indicates that Zimbabwe first enters the international system in 1966.	In the most recent system membership list, Zimbabwe is included as a member since Nov 11, 1965. Coding correct.	2001 version of system membership data.	No change.	10/9/2003
MID #	**Problem/Issue investigated**	**Story/Detailed Changes**	**Sources**	**Change Summary**	**Date Reviewed**
4095	Greece, Turkey and Cyprus, 1996-1998: Greece has different records of participation with different start and end dates and different levels of hostility?	No changes are necessary. GRC is correctly coded with multiple entries. GRC enters and exits three times.	AP, AFP, BBC	No changes	Jul-06
4137	Kosovo (1998-1999): Researcher questions dispute exit dates of Albania and Yugoslavia.	No changes are necessary. ALB exits the MID on 5-Jun-1999. YUG exits MID on 10-Jun-1999 -- which is the overall end date of the MID.	NYT, LT	No changes	Jul-06
4137	Kosovo (1998-1999): Researcher questions Macedonia's two recordings - once with a 1-Mar-1998 start date and a -9-Mar-1998 end date and another with a 16-Mar-1999 start date and 10-Jun-1999 end date	No changes are necessary. MAC is involved but not throughout the entire period. Here, the six-months rule applies.	BBC	No changes	Jul-06
4137	Kosovo (1998-1999): Research questions end dates of Iceland - Yugoslavia dyad.	No changes are necessary. ICE participates in a one-day MID incident (#4137069). ICE exits the MID on June 10, 1999 - which is the end date of the MID. Coding corresponds with news reports across all MID files.	NYT, LT, Wall Street Journal	No changes	Jul-06

MID #	Problem investigated	Story/Detailed Changes	Sources	Change	Date Reviewed
4140/4141	Honduras vs Nicaragua (2000): Researcher questions the recording of two separate MIDs since the start date of MID 4141 is within span of 4140.	No changes are necessary. While in both cases HON fights NIC at approximately the same venue (Gulf of Fonseca), the issues over which fighting occurs are different. MID 4140 is in response to a vessel attack. MID 4141 is in response to NIC's stationing of troops along the border.	XGNS, UPI, Keesings	No changes	Jul-06
4156/4157	Guyana vs Surinam (2000): Researcher questions the recording of two separate MIDs since both MIDs involve border violations.	No changes are necessary. While in both cases GUY fights SUR at approximately the same venue (Gulf of Fonseca), the issues over which fighting occurs are different.	BBC, AP	No changes	Jul-06
4186	NATO versus YUG (2000): Are there really 39 dispute participants?	No changes are necessary. There is evidence for all 39 dispute participants, as they are recorded in MID B file	NATO, UK House of Commons	No changes	Jul-06
4343	NATO versus YUG (2000): Why would the end of the last incident (27-Nov-2000) not overlap with the overall end date of the MID (28-Nov-2000)?	No changes are necessary. On 24-Nov-2000 YUG gave KFOR and NATO 72 hrs to stop Kosovar rebels. The ultimatum ends on 27-Nov-2000. According to news reports, KFOR actions satisfied YUG and on 28-Nov-2000 both parties reached an indefinite ceasefire agreement -- which represents the end date of the MID.	Keesings, NYT	No changes	Jul-06
4280	USA vs China (2001): Researcher questions dispute end dates since the end date of the last incident does not overlap with the end date of the MID. This is not a problem, however. While the end date of the last incident was 5-Apr-2001, the actual settlement of the MID takes place on 3-Jul-2001.	No changes are necessary. The MID was resolved by US apologies on 3-Jul-2001.	AFP, LT,	No changes	Jul-06
4341	Bosnia vs Croatia (1993-1994): Maoz points out that dispute end dates and dispute incident end dates do not overlap.	No changes are necessary. Here, the dispute is settled (2-Mar-1994) a few days after the end of the last MID incident (-9-Feb-1994)	BBC, AFP	No changes	Jul-06
3564	Maoz reports mismatch in fatality levels, missing at incident level but present in MIDB.	Not an issue. No specific info is available for specific incident fatalities vs. particular dyadic partners, but in overall MID, between 1 and 25 Russians were killed. Coding rules allow for coding at MID level although separate information not available and incident/indident-participant level.		No changes	Jul-06
4050	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06

MIDs unchanged

MID #	Problem investigated	Story/Detailed Changes	Sources	Change	Date Reviewed
4051	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4064	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4079	Maoz reports mismatch in fatality levels, missing at incident level but present in MIDB.	Not an issue. No specific info is available for specific incident fatalities vs. particular dyadic partners, but in overall MID, between 1 and 25 Russians were killed. Coding rules allow for coding at MID level although separate information not available and incident/indident-participant level.		No changes	Jul-06
4079	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4101	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4102	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4103	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4126	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4111	Maoz reports missing incident end date but precise MID end date.	No problem. MID dates may be coded more precisely than end of incident. Typically in such cases, settlement of MID is some time after last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4130	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Typically in such cases, settlement of MID is some later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4136	Maoz reports missing incident end date but precise MID end date.	No problem. MID dates may be coded more precisely than end of incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4146	Maoz reports missing incident end date but precise MID end date.	No problem. MID dates may be coded more precisely than end of incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4154	Maoz reports missing incident end date but precise MID end date.	No problem. MID dates may be coded more precisely than end of incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4160	Maoz reports missing MID end date but precise incident end date.	No problem. MID dates may need to be coded as missing although there is a specific date for end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4162	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4174	Maoz reports missing incident end date but precise MID end date.	No problem. MID dates may be coded more precisely than end of incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4189	Maoz reports missing incident end date but precise MID end date.	No problem. MID dates may be coded more precisely than end of incident.		No changes	Jul-06

MID #	Problem investigated	Story/Detailed Changes	Sources	Change	Date Reviewed
4197	Maoz reports missing incident end date but precise MID end date.	No problem. MID dates may be coded more precisely than end of incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4208	Maoz reports missing MID end date but precise incident end date.	No problem. MID dates may need to be coded as missing although there is a specific date for end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4213	Maoz reports missing incident end date but precise MID end date.	No problem. MID dates may be coded more precisely than end of incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4226	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4229	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4238	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4251	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4259	Maoz reports missing incident end date but precise MID end date.	No problem. MID dates may be coded more precisely than end of incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4291	Maoz reports missing incident end date but precise MID end date.	No problem. MID dates may be coded more precisely than end of incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4297	Maoz reports missing incident end date but precise MID end date.	No problem. MID dates may be coded more precisely than end of incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4298	Maoz reports missing incident end date but precise MID end date.	No problem. MID dates may be coded more precisely than end of incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4302	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4317	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4319	Maoz reports mismatch in fatality levels, missing at incident level but present in MIDB.	Not an issue. No specific info is available for specific incident fatalities vs. particular dyadic partners, but in overall MID, an estimate can be made of total fatalities. Coding rules allow for coding at MID level although separate information not available and incident/indident-participant level.		No changes	Jul-06
4322	Maoz reports end date of MID after end date of last incident	No problem. Settlement of MID may be later than end of last incident.		No changes	Jul-06
4121	End date discrepency reported.	Dates matched according to data sets in MID and IP in country 531.		No changes	Nov-7-06
4121	End date discrepency reported.	Dates matched according to data sets in MID and IP in country 679.		No changes	Nov-7-06
4137	End date discrepency reported.	Dates matched according to data sets in MID and IP in country 365.		No changes	Nov-7-06

MID #	Problem investigated	Story/Detailed Changes	Sources	Change	Date Reviewed
3564	The hostility level in MIDA is 5, but is a 4 in MIDB and elsewhere.	The issue is whether the overall level and country level can be different. The answer is they can.		No changes	Nov-7-06
4281	End date discrepency reported for country 710 (China).	The incident is coded as 8/-9/2001 for country 710 (China) because the final end date of the MID is unknown but takes place sometime after 8/21/2001	South China Morning Post, The Associated Press, Central News Agency, Central European Time, United Press International	No changes	Nov-16-06
4092	Discrepency in number of fatalities.	Not a problem because overall fatality level can be 1 even if incidents are coded 0.		No changes	Nov-16-2006
3813	Discrepancy btw highact of participants and dispute level of hostility	Not a problem because because a cumulative collection of actions short of war can result in a hostility score of 5			Nov-30-2006
4130	Discrepancy btw end date of dispute	Not a problem because MIDIP shows end date of one incident as 1/-9/1997, which matches overall dispute end date for ccode 530 (ETH)		No changes	Feb-01-2007
4130	Discrepancy btw end date of dispute	Not a problem because MIDIP shows end date of one incident as 1/-9/1997, which matches overall dispute end date for ccode 625 (SUD)		No changes	Feb-01-2007
4154	Discrepancy btw end date of dispute	Not a problem because MIDIP shows end date of one incident as 5/-9/1997 (the file indicates this incident occuring between 5/19/1997 and 5/25/1997), which matches overall dispute end date for ccode 101 (VEN) to be kept at 5/28/1997, which occured after this incident.	INTPS, TSTAR, NYT, BBC, CNN	No changes	Feb-01-2007
4154	Discrepancy btw end date of dispute	Not a problem because MIDIP shows end date of one incident as 5/-9/1997 (the file indicates this incident occuring between 5/19/1997 and 5/25/1997), which matches overall dispute end date for ccode 52 (TRI) to be kept at 5/28/1997, which occured after this incident.	INTPS, TSTAR, NYT, BBC, CNN	No changes	Feb-01-2007
4259	Discrepancy btw end date of dispute	Not a problem because MIDIP shows end date of one incident as 3/-9/1991 (the file indicates this incident occuring sometime before 321/1991), which matches overall dispute end date for ccode 91 to be kept at 3/16/1991, which is when the treaty was signed.		No changes	Feb-06-2007
4259	Discrepancy btw end date of dispute	Not a problem because MIDIP shows end date of one incident as 3/-9/1991 (the file indicates this incident occuring sometime before 3/21/1991), which matches overall dispute end date for ccode 93 to be kept at 3/16/1991, which is when the treaty was signed.		No changes	Feb-06-2007

MID #	Problem investigated	Story/Detailed Changes	Sources	Change	Date Reviewed
4298	Discrepancy btw end date of dispute	Not a problem because MIDIP shows end date of one incident as 9/-9/2000 (the file indicates this incident occuring at 9/26/2000, with no end date give because of stalemate), which matches overall dispute end date for ccode 2 to be kept at 9/29/2000, which agrees with the outcome of a stalemate.	DPA, IPR	No changes	Feb-06-2007
4298	Discrepancy btw end date of dispute	Not a problem because MIDIP shows end date of one incident as 9/-9/2000 (the file indicates this incident occuring at 9/26/2000, with no end date give because of stalemate), which matches overall dispute end date for ccode 345 to be kept at 9/29/2000, which agrees with the outcome of a stalemate.	DPA, IPR	No changes	Feb-06-2007
4298	Discrepancy btw end date of dispute	Not a problem because MIDIP shows end date of one incident as 9/-9/2000 (the file indicates this incident occuring at 9/26/2000, with no end date give because of stalemate), which matches overall dispute end date for ccode 344 to be kept at 9/29/2000, which agrees with the outcome of a stalemate.	DPA, IPR	No changes	Feb-06-2007
4281	Discrepancy btw end date of dispute	Not a problem because MIDIP shows end date of one incident as 9/-9/2000 (the file indicates this incident began on 8/22/2001, with no end date give because of stalemate), which matches overall dispute end date for ccode 710 (CHN) to be kept at 8/-9//2001, which agrees with the outcome of a stalemate.	UPI, SINGST, CENNA, AFX, Japan Economic Newswire, Channel News Asia	No changes	Feb-06-2007
4283	Discrepancy btw end date of dispute	Not a problem because ccode 704 (UZB) left the Afghanistan War sometime in the month of 10/2001, although the exact date is not recorded.	DPA, Pakistan Newswire, LONTIMS	No changes	Feb-06-2007
4095	Discrepancy btw end date of dispute	Not a problem because ccode 350 (GRC) shows an end date in MID B for one incident as 4/26/2001, which matches overall end date in MID IP as 4/26/2001.	BBC	No changes	Feb-15-2007
4251	Discrepancy btwn end date of dispute	Not a problem because ccode 452 (GHA) is involed in two incidents listed in MIDIP, the latter of which has an end date of 3/10/1998, which matches the overall dispute end date recorded in MIDB.		No changes	Feb-15-2007
235	HiAct level discrepancy	Not a problem because ccode 200 (UKG) was already involved in interstate war (WWII) and can have HiAct level of 17. This incident marked the reentry of ccode 220 (FRN) reentrace into WWII		No changes	Feb-22-2007

MIDs unchanged

MID #	Problem investigated	Story/Detailed Changes	Sources	Change	Date Reviewed
3900	Initiator, hostility level, and hi act	Maoz reported problems with all three, but after checking news reports about the dispute (CAN destroyer fired warning shots at US fishing boat that might have bumped/rammed it), the MIDB coding accurately describes the dispute	Toronto Star, The Globe and Mail (Canada), Washington Post	No Changes	Mar-21-07
3703	Hostility Level	Maoz reported that Germany should be coded 14 (occupation of territory) and Luxemburg 10 (mobilization) instead of the 17 (clash) both GER and LUX are coded as because LUX did not resist GER occupation but did call up 125 volunteers. However, there was resistance/rebellion in Aug. 1942 by citizens of LUX that Germany had to put down. Therefore, MIDB's coding of 17 (clash) seems to be appropriate	Historical Encyclopedia of WWII	No changes	Mar-21-07
3628	Fatality Mismatch	Both CHN and DRV are reported as having a fatality level of 5, but the overall MID has a fatality level of 6. This was sent in as a possible error but it is not necessarily a problem. There are conflicting fatality reports between MID and COW Interstate War data set but GP said to go with the MID codings.		No changes	Jun-11-07
3551	End Date Discrepancy	Report of End Date discrepancy among MIDA/MIDB and MIDI/MIDIP/Dyadic MID. There is no discrepancy as the end dates for MIDA/MIDB and MIDI/MIDIP/Dyadic MID can differ. In this case, MID ended (as reported in MIDB) after the end of the final incident (MIDI, MIDIP).		No changes	Jun-11-07