Replication Data for

Measuring the difference between White voting and polling on interracial marriage

The Du Bois Review: 2006 (Fall)

Micah Altman, Harvard University (Micah_Altman@harvard.edu)

Phillip A. Klinkner, Hamilton College

I. **Data Collection**

(a) Voting Files

For our main analysis, we collected data on the referenda results and the number of Blacks and Whites who voted in each precinct in South Carolina and in Alabama. For South Carolina, we obtained our data from the State Election Commission.[i] Of South Carolina's 2005 election precincts, 139 are for absentee, provisional, or other forms of nonpolling-place ballots for which it is impossible to determine the race of the voters.

For Alabama, the data were collected from a variety of sources. Most precinct-level election returns were obtained from the Elections Division of the Secretary of State's Office.[ii] Others were collected directly from county election officials. For approximately two-thirds of the counties, we obtained data on the race of voters from the Office of Voter Registration (under the Secretary of State). For the other counties, we obtained this information from local election officials.

Two of the remaining counties, Chambers and Jefferson, had data only on the race of registered (as opposed to actual) voters, and the third, St. Clair, had no data available.. Chambers is even smaller, and the number of precincts seemed too small to affect any conclusions and were not included..

(b) Pre-Election Survey

This was a telephone survey of 405 adult residents of Alabama, conducted September 4-6, 2000, by USA Polling Group; margin of error is +/-5% at the 95% confidence level..

Data provided to the authors by Keith Nichols of the University of South Alabama.

II. Files

alreplicate : replication file for analysis of voting in Alabama

screplicate: replication file for analysis of voting in South Carolina

alsurvey: telephone survey

gss_racial_marriage_extract: an extract of the 2004 cumulative GSS.

III **Coded Variables**

(a)

- *county*. County name
- *precinct.* Precinct name
- *VTD.* Census FIPS VTD id [AL only]
- *Yes/No.* Number of "Yes"/"No"votes to repeal anti-miscegenation provison
- *NonWhite/White*. Number of Non-White/White registered voters
- *PCrural*. Percentage of county population that is rural (from Census SF3 2000 files).
- *PCblack*. Percentage of county population that is black (from Census SF3 2000 files).
- *PCold*. Percentage of county population that is 65 years or older (from Census SF3 2000 files).
- *PCcollege*. Percentage of county population that is attended some college (from Census SF3 2000 files).
- *PCwcollege*. Percentage of county white population that is attended some college (from Census SF3 2000 files).
- *betaW/betaB*. Propensity for whites/blacks to vote "no" based on EI-2 estimation
- *sbetaW/sbetaB.* Standard errors for propensities, above
- *Excluded* – exclude from analysis because data errors or because precinct is absentee and White/NonWhite is missing

(b)

Q1 Interpretation of referendum. In the November general election, Alabama voters will decide …

1 Prohibits
2 Does not prohibit
3 DK/NA

Q2 If the election were held today, would you most likely vote [for or against proposition] 2

1 For
2 Against
3 Would not vote
4 DK/NA

Q3 Regardless of how you would vote, do generally approve or disapprove [of racial marriage]

1 Approve
2 Disapprove
3 Neither/Neutral
4 DK/NA

Q4 Do you think marriages between people of different races are [more likely]

1 More likely
2 Less likely
3 About the same
4 DK/NA

Q5 Have you ever dated someone of a different race?

1 Yes
2 No
3 Refuse

Q6 Would you say that racial discrimination in Alabama is a big problem…

1 Big problem
2 Somewhat of a problem
3 Not a problem
4 DK/NA

Q7 In the last 10 years, has the amount of racial discrimination…

1 Increased
2 Decreased
3 Stayed about the same
4 DK/NA

Q8 Race of respondent

1 White
2 African-American

3 Hispanic
4 Native American
5 Asian
6 Other
7 Refuse

Q9 Age of respondent

1 Under 35
2 35 to 55
3 Over 55
4 Refuse

Q10 Sex of respondent

1 Male
2 Female

Q11 Interviewer #

27 Ferguson, Keith
28 New (LNAME, FNAME)

(c)

See the full General Social Survey Documentation for details on variables.

---

[i] This data is available at <http://www.state.sc.us/scsec/stats.html> (accessed October 10, 2006).

[ii] This data is available from the commission's website: <http://www.sos.state.al.us/election/2000/2000.htm> (accessed October 10, 2006).