Electoral Reform, Values and Party Self-Interest

Damien Bol

Online Appendix to Party Politics' article

Description of the predictive variable

Support for the more inclusive electoral system by electoral reform proposals

Party	Country year	Position
GA Greens	Austria 1989	In favor
SPO Socialists	Austria 1989	Opposed
FPO Freedom Movement	Austria 1989	In favor
OVP Christian Democrats	Austria 1989	Opposed
PSC Christian Social Party	Belgium 2000	In favor
PS Francophone Socialist Party	Belgium 2000	In favor
PRL-FDF-MCC Liberals - Democratic Front - Citizens' Movement	Belgium 2000	Opposed
VLD Flemish Liberals and Democrats	Belgium 2000	Opposed
CVP Christian People's Party	Belgium 2000	In favor
SP Flemish Socialist Party	Belgium 2000	In favor
ECOLO Francophone Ecologists	Belgium 2000	In favor
AGALEV Live Differently	Belgium 2000	In favor
VU-ID21 People's Union-ID21	Belgium 2000	in favor
VB Flemish Bloc	Belgium 2000	In favor
NDP New Democratic Party	Canada 1979	In favor
LP Liberals	Canada 1979	Opposed
PCP Progressive Conservative Party	Canada 1979	Opposed
NDP New Democratic Party	Canada 2004	In favor
LP Liberals	Canada 2004	Opposed
CP Conservative Party of Canada	Canada 2004	Opposed
BQ Quebecan Bloc	Canada 2004	Opposed
CSSD Social Democratic Party	Czech Republic 1998	Opposed
ODS Civic Democratic Party	Czech Republic 1998	Opposed
US Freedom Union	Czech Republic 1998	In favor
KDU-CSL Alliance	Czech Republic 1998	In favor
PCF Communist Party	France 1985	In favor
PS Socialist Party	France 1985	In favor
UDF Union for French Democracy	France 1985	Opposed
Gaullists	France 1985	Opposed
PCF Communist Party	France 1986	In favor
PS Socialist Party	France 1986	In favor
UDF Union for French Democracy	France 1986	Opposed
Gaullists	France 1985	Opposed
FN National Front	France 1986	In favor
PCF Communist Party	France 1986	In favor
PS Socialist Party	France 2007	Opposed
Greens	France 2007	In favor
UDF Union for French Democracy	France 2007	In favor
UMP Union for the Presidential Majority	France 2007	Opposed
FIDESZ	Hungary 2011	Opposed
LMP	Hungary 2011	In favor
JOBBIK	Hungary 2011	Opposed
LP Labour Party	Ireland 1968	In favor
Fine Gael	Ireland 1968	In favor
Fianna Fail	Ireland 1968	Opposed
CnP Republican Party	Ireland 1968	In favor
LP Labour Party	Ireland 1996	Opposed
FG Finne Gael	Ireland 1996	Opposed
FF Fianna Fail	Ireland 1996	Opposed
Greens Ecology Party/Green Party	Ireland 1996	In favor
PD Progressive Democrats	Ireland 1996	In favor
DC Christian Democrats	Italy 1993	In favor
PLI Liberal Party	Italy 1993	In favor
PRI Republican Party	Italy 1993	In favor
PSDI Democratic Socialist Party	Italy 1993	In favor

Party	Country year	Position
PSI Socialist Party	Italy 1993	In favor
FdV Green Federation	Italy 1993	Opposed
LN Northern League	Italy 1993	Opposed
LR The Network/Movement for Democracy	Italy 1993	Opposed
MSI-DN Social Movement-Right National	Italy 1993	Opposed
Lista Panella	Italy 1993	Opposed
PDS Democratic Party of the Left	Italy 1993	Opposed
RC Newly Founded Communists	Italy 1993	Opposed
RC Newly Founded Communists	Italy 2005	In favor
FI Go Italy	Italy 2005	Opposed
AN National Alliance	Italy 2005	Opposed
LN Northern League	Italy 2005	Opposed
Biancofiore	Italy 2005	Opposed
DS Democrats of the Left	Italy 2005	In favor
Margherita	Italy 2005	In favor
PDCI Italian Communists	Italy 2005	In favor
Il Girasole	Italy 2005	In favor
LPD Liberal Democratic Party	Japan 1993	Opposed
JCP Japan Communist Party	Japan 1993	In favor
JSP Japan Socialist Party	Japan 1993	In favor
DSP Democratic Socialist Party	Japan 1993	In favor
SDF Social Democratic Federation	Japan 1993	In favor
CGP Clean Government Party	Japan 1993	In favor
CDA Christian Democratic Appeal	Nehterlands 2002	In favor
D'66 Democrats 66	Nehterlands 2002	Opposed
LPF List Pim Fortuyn	Nehterlands 2002	In favor
CU Christian Union	Nehterlands 2002	In favor
GL Green Left	Nehterlands 2002	In favor
SP Socialist Party	Nehterlands 2002	In favor
PvdA Labour Party	Nehterlands 2002	In favor
VVD People's Party for Freedom and Democracy	Nehterlands 2002	In favor
LP Labour Party	New Zealand 1986	Opposed
NP National Party	New Zealand 1986	Opposed
Social Credit/Democratic Party	New Zealand 1986	In favor
NP National Party	New Zealand 1993	Opposed
Alliance	New Zealand 1993	In favor
NZPF First Party	New Zealand 1993	In favor
LP Labour Party	New Zealand 1993	Opposed
NP National Party	New Zealand 2011	Opposed
Maori Party	New Zealand 2011	In favor
Progressive Coalition	New Zealand 2011	In favor
United Party	New Zealand 2011	In favor
ACT	New Zealand 2011	Opposed
LP Labour Party	New Zealand 2011	In favor
PCP Communist Party	Portugal 2008	In favor
PSP Socialist Party	Portugal 2008	In favor
PSD Social Democratic Party	Portugal 2008	Opposed
PP Popular Party	Portugal 2008	Opposed
PEV Greens	Portugal 2008	In favor
New Millenium Democratic Party	South Korea 2003	In favor
Gran National Party	South Korea 2003	Opposed
Liberal United Party	South Korea 2003	Opposed
UK: Labour	UK 1997	Opposed
UK: Conservatives	UK 1997	Opposed
UK: LDP Liberal Democrats	UK 1997	In favor
SNP Scottish National Party	UK 1997	In favor
UK: Labour	UK 2011	In favor
UK: Conservatives	UK 2011	Opposed
UK: LDP Liberal Democrats	UK 2011	In favor
SNP Scottish National Party	UK 2011	In favor

Secondary literature surveyed

Country	Renwick (2010)	Blais (2008)	Gallagher & Mitchell (2005)	Colomer (2004)	Grofman & Lijphart (2002)	Shugart & Wattenberg (2001)	Others
Australia			X	X			
Austria			X				
Belgium			X				Pilet (2007)
Canada		X	X				Milner (2004)
Czech Republic				X			Nikolenyi (2011)
Denmark			X		X		
Finland			X		X		
France	X			X			
Germany			X	X		X	Bawn (1993)
Greece							
Hungary			X	X		X	Birch et al. (2002)
Iceland					X		
Ireland			X				
Italy	X		X	X		X	
Japan	X		X	X		X	
South Korea							Reilly (2007)
Luxembourg							
Mexico				X		X	
Netherlands				X			van der Kolk (2007)
New Zealand	X	X	X	X		X	
Norway					X		
Poland							Birch et al. (2002)
Portugal							Freire (2013)
Slovakia							Birch et al. (2002)
Spain			X	X			
Sweden				X	X		
Switzerland				X			
Turkey							
United Kingdom		X	X	X			
United States		X	X	X			

Robustness checks

Table 1: Position in favor of social groups, all cases, no control

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Position in favor of social groups	1.079**	(0.325)
N	115	
Log-likelihood	-40.507	
$\chi^2_{(1)}$	14.837	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 2: Position in favor of social groups, all cases, controls

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Position in favor of social groups	1.024**	(0.327)
Incumbency	-0.437	(0.434)
Position in favor of decentralization	-0.035	(0.095)
N	115	
Log-likelihood	-39.943	
$\chi^2_{(3)}$	15.965	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 3: Position in favor of social groups, without outlier, no control

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Position in favor of social groups	0.995**	(0.304)
N	114	
Log-likelihood	-40.488	
$\chi^2_{(1)}$	13.798	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 4: Position in favor of social groups, without outlier, controls

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Position in favor of social groups	0.941**	(0.308)
Incumbency	-0.442	(0.434)
Position in favor of decentralization	-0.034	(0.095)
N	114	
Log-likelihood	-39.915	
$\chi^2_{(3)}$	14.945	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 5: Ideology (family), no control

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Right family (others as reference)	-1.751**	(0.630)
Left family (others as reference)	-0.118	(0.577)
N	115	
Log-likelihood	-40.506	
$\chi^2_{(2)}$	14.839	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 6: Ideology (family), controls

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Right family (others as reference)	-1.616*	(0.630)
Left family (others as reference)	-0.008	(0.588)
Incumbency	-0.496	(0.414)
Position in favor of decentralization	0.049	(0.106)
N	115	
Log-likelihood	-39.679	
$\chi^2_{(4)}$	16.494	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 7: Ideology (scale), no control

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Ideology (scale)	-0.683**	(0.257)
N	115	
Log-likelihood	-43.713	
$\chi^2_{(1)}$	8.425	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 8: Ideology (scale), controls

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Ideology (scale)	-0.626*	(0.261)
Incumbency	-0.494	(0.410)
Position in favor of decentralization	0.026	(0.092)
N	115	
Log-likelihood	-42.931	
$\chi^2_{(3)}$	9.989	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 9: Seat share, no control

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Seat share (reversed)	0.846**	(0.240)
N	115	
Log-likelihood	-40.111	
$\chi^2_{(1)}$	15.63	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 10: Seat share, controls

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Seat share (reversed)	0.867**	(0.268)
Incumbency	0.097	(0.491)
Position in favor of decentralization	0.026	(0.096)
N	115	
Log-likelihood	-40.054	
$\chi^2_{(3)}$	15.743	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 11: Confrontation with position in favor of social groups, all cases, no control

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Seat share (reversed)	0.749**	(0.248)
Position in favor of social groups	0.981**	(0.350)
N	115	
Log-likelihood	-35.075	
$\chi^2_{(2)}$	25.703	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 12: Confrontation with position in favor of social groups, all cases, controls

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Seat share (reversed)	0.811**	(0.276)
Position in favor of social groups	1.015**	(0.361)
Incumbency	0.285	(0.517)
Position in favor of decentralization	-0.006	(0.101)
N	115	
Log-likelihood	-34.916	
$\chi^2_{(4)}$	26.02	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 13: Confrontation with position in favor of social groups, without outlier, no control

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Seat share (reversed)	0.750**	(0.248)
Position in favor of social groups	0.896**	(0.331)
N	114	
Log-likelihood	-35.036	
$\chi^2_{(2)}$	24.702	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 14: Confrontation with position in favor of social groups, without outlier, controls

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Seat share (reversed)	0.811**	(0.275)
Position in favor of social groups	0.929**	(0.342)
Incumbency	0.279	(0.518)
Position in favor of decentralization	-0.006	(0.101)
N	114	
Log-likelihood	-34.884	
$\chi^2_{(4)}$	25.005	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 15: Confrontation with ideology (family), no control

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Seat share (reversed)	0.771**	(0.251)
Right family (others as reference)	-1.366*	(0.689)
Left family (others as reference)	0.266	(0.652)
N	115	
Log-likelihood	-34.653	
$\chi^2_{(3)}$	26.546	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 16: Confrontation with ideology (family), controls

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Seat share (reversed)	0.909**	(0.295)
Right family (others as reference)	-1.412*	(0.714)
Left family (others as reference)	0.374	(0.673)
Incumbency	0.444	(0.538)
Position in favor of decentralization	0.081	(0.116)
N	115	
Log-likelihood	-34.046	
$\chi^2_{(5)}$	27.76	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 17: Confrontation with ideology (scale), no control

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Seat share	-0.778**	(0.241)
Ideology (scale)	-0.634*	(0.282)
N	115	
Log-likelihood	-37.231	
$\chi^2_{(2)}$	21.39	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%

Table 18: Confrontation with ideology (scale), controls

Variable	**Coefficient**	**(Std. Err.)**
Seat share	-0.872**	(0.278)
Ideology (scale)	-0.674*	(0.286)
Incumbency	0.357	(0.512)
Position in favor of decentralization	0.037	(0.098)
N	115	
Log-likelihood	-36.919	
$\chi^2_{(4)}$	22.015	

Significance levels (two-tailed) : † : 10% ∗ : 5% ∗∗ : 1%