Botryosphaeriaceae* from palms in Thailand - *Barriopsis archontophoenicis* sp. nov, from *Archontophoenix alexandrae

Konta S^{1,3}, Phillips AJL⁴, Bahkali AH⁵, Jones EBG⁵, Eungwanichayapant DP³, Hyde KD^{1,2,3,5} and Boonmee S¹

¹Center of Excellence in Fungal Research, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai 57100, Thailand

²Key Laboratory for Plant Biodiversity and Biogeography of East Asia, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Science, Kunming 650201, Yunnan, China

³School of Science, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai. 57100, Thailand

⁴University of Lisbon, Faculty of Sciences, Biosystems and Integrative Sciences Institute (BioISI), Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisbon, Portugal

⁵Department of Botany and Microbiology, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box: 2455, Riyadh 1145, Saudi Arabia

Konta S, Phillips AJL, Bahkali AH, Jones EBG, Eungwanichayapant PD, Hyde KD, Boonmee S 2016 – *Botryosphaeriaceae* from palms in Thailand - *Barriopsis archontophoenicis* sp. nov, from *Archontophoenix alexandrae*. Mycosphere 7(7), 921–932, Doi 10.5943/mycosphere/si/1b/1

Abstract

During our studies of palm fungi in Thailand we identified a new species of *Barriopsis* on a petiole of *Archontophoenix alexandrae*, which we introduce herein as *B. archontophoenicis*. The new species is compared with other species in the genus *Barriopsis* and differs in its epapillate ostiole and smaller ascospores. Phylogenetic analyses of combined ITS, LSU, SSU and TEF1- α sequence data also show the species to be distinct.

Key words – ascospores – molecular phylogeny – morphology – palm fungi – taxonomy

Introduction

Hyde and co-authors have been studying the fungi on palms since 1988 (Hyde 1988, Hyde et al. 2000, Fröhlich and Hyde 2000, Taylor & Hyde 2003) and this paper is a continuation of that research. In earlier studies, all taxa were described and arranged in the Ascomycota based on morphology. This approach was, however, subjective and many taxa were wrongly placed or placed in Ascomycota genera *incertae sedis*. It is therefore essential that these palm fungi are recollected, epitypified where needed, isolated and sequence data obtained so that the palm fungi can be placed in a natural taxonomic framework (Ariyawansa et al. 2014a, b, Jayasiri et al. 2015). In this paper, we introduce a new *Barriopsis* species collected on *Archontophoenix* in Thailand. It is characterized on the basis of morphology and DNA sequence data. The new species is illustrated and compared with other species in the genus.

Materials & Methods

Collection, isolation and identification

Fresh material of *Archontophoenix alexandrae* was collected from Chiang Mai, Thailand in 2014. Fungal structures were examined with a Motic SMZ 168 series stereomicroscope and photographed with an Axio camera on a Zeiss Discover V8 stereomicroscope. Micromorphological structures were photographed with a Canon 600D camera on a Nikon ECLIPSE 80i microscope. Fungal structures were measured with Image Frame Work (IFW) version 0.9.7. Photoplates were made with Adobe Photoshop CS3 Extended version 10. Isolations were made from single ascospores following the method of Chomnunti et al. (2014). Growth rates were recorded by measuring colony diam. after 7 days of incubation at 25°C on MEA medium. The holotype specimen is deposited in Herb. MFLU and ex-type culture in MFLUCC at Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai, Thailand. Facesoffungi and Index Fungorum numbers are registered as outlined in Jayasiri et al. (2015) and Index Fungorum (2016).

Fungal DNA extraction and PCR reaction

Genomic DNA was extracted from fresh mycelium grown on MEA for two weeks using the Biospin Fungus Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (BioFlux®) (Phukhamsakda et al. 2015). Specific rDNA regions were amplified with different gene primers, i.e. LROR and LR5 to amplify the large subunit rDNA (LSU) (Vilgalys & Hester 1990), NS1 and NS4 to amplify region of nuclear small subunit rDNA (SSU), ITS5 and ITS4 to amplify the internal transcribed spacers (ITS) (White et al. 1990), and a fragment of translation elongation factor 1- α (TEF1- α) was amplified and sequenced using EF1-526F and EF1-1567R (Jacobs et al. 2004). The amplification was performed with an initial denaturing step of 5 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s annealing at 52°C, 56°C for ITS and TEF1- α respectively, then 1 min at 72°C, and a final extension of 7 min at 72°C (Doilom et al. 2014). PCR products were checked on 1% agarose electrophoresis gels stained with ethidium bromide. PCR products were sequenced by Shanghai Majorbio Biopharm Technology Co, Ltd, China.

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses

DNA sequences were checked with BioEdit (Hall 1999) and MEGA6 (Tamura et al. 2013). A BLAST search with the LSU sequence data was used to reveal the closest matching taxa in *Botryosphaeriaceae* (Liu et al. 2014, Phookamsak et al. 2015). Multiple sequence alignments were performed with MAFFT (Katoh et al. 2013) alignment online (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/mafft/>). ITS, LSU, SSU and TEF sequences datasets were first analyzed separately and then the individual datasets were concatenated into a combined dataset and prepared in Mega v.6 (Tamura et al. 2013). Data were converted from fasta to nexus format with Clustal X (Thompson et al. 1997). Maximum-parsimony (MP) analysis was done with PAUP v. 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002) and robustness of the branches was determined with 1000 bootstrap replicates along with 1000 of max-trees. Maximum likelihood analysis was performed by RAxML GUI v.0.9b2 (Kishino & Hasegawa 1989, Silvestro & Michalak 2010). The search strategy was set to rapid bootstrapping at 1000 and the analysis carried out using the GTR-GAMMA model of nucleotide substitution. The number of replicates was inferred using the stopping criterion. Bootstrap values greater than 50% were accepted. The model of evolution was determined with MrModeltest 2.2 (Nylander 2004) and posterior probabilities (PP) (Rannala & Yang 1996, Zhaxybayeva & Gogarten 2002) were determined by Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling (BMCMC) using MrBayes v3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist 2001). Bayesian posterior probabilities (BYPP) greater than 95% were accepted. The phylogenetic tree was visualized with Tree View32 (Page 1996).

Table 1 GenBank accession numbers of the sequences used in phylogenetic analysis.

Species name	strain	GenBank accession number			
		ITS	LSU	SSU	TEF
<i>Alanphillipsia aloecicola</i>	CBS:138896	KP004444	KP004472	-	-
<i>Alanphillipsia aloeigena</i>	CPC 21286	KF777137	KF777193	-	-
<i>Alanphillipsia aloes</i>	CPC 21298	KF777138	KF777194	-	-
<i>Alanphillipsia aloetica</i>	CPC 21109	KF777139	KF777195	-	-
<i>Alanphillipsia euphorbiae</i>	CPC 21628	KF777140	KF777196	-	-
<i>Barriopsis</i>	MFLUCC 14-1164	KX235306	KX235307	KX235308	KX235305
<i>archontophoenicis</i>					
<i>Barriopsis fusca</i>	CBS 174.26	EU673330	NG_042419	EU673182	EU673296
<i>Barriopsis iraniana</i>	IRAN1448C	KF766150	KF766318	KF766231	FJ919652
<i>Barriopsis iraniana</i>	IRAN1451C	FJ919668	-	-	FJ919657
<i>Barriopsis tectonae</i>	MFLUCC 12-0381	KJ556515	-	-	KJ556516
<i>Diplodia mutila</i>	CBS 112553	AY259093	-	EU673213	AY573219
<i>Diplodia mutila</i>	CBS 230.30	DQ458886	EU673265	EU673214	DQ458869
<i>Diplodia seriata</i>	CBS 112555	AY259094	-	KF766244	AY573220
<i>Diplodia seriata</i>	CBS 119049	DQ458889	EU673266	EU673216	DQ458874
<i>Diplodia spgazziniana</i>	CBS 302.75	EU673319	EU673238	EU673168	EU673286
<i>Dothiorella moneti</i>	MUCC505	EF591920	EF591937	-	EF591971
<i>Dothiorella moneti</i>	MUCC507	EF591922	EF591939	-	EF591973
<i>Dothiorella sarmentorum</i>	IMI 63581b	AY573212	-	-	AY573235
<i>Dothiorella sarmentorum</i>	CBS 115038	AY573206	DQ377860	KF766248	AY573223
<i>Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis</i>	CMW14077	AY639595	-	-	DQ103566
<i>Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis</i>	CMW14078	AY639594	-	-	DQ103567
	/CBS115812				
<i>Lasiodiplodia margaritacea</i>	CBS122519 /CBS 116355	EU144050	-	-	EU144065
<i>Lasiodiplodia margaritacea</i>	CBS 122519	EU144050	-	-	EU144065
<i>Lasiodiplodia margaritacea</i>	CBS 122065	EU144051	-	-	EU144066
<i>Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae</i>	CBS 116459	EF622077	EU673256	KF766279	EF622057
<i>Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae</i>	CBS 447.62	EF622081	EU673255	EU673198	EF622060
<i>Lasiodiplodia theobromae</i>	CBS 164.96	AY640255	NG 042460	EU673196	AY640258
<i>Lasiodiplodia theobromae</i>	CBS 124.13	DQ458890	AY928054	EU673195	DQ458875
<i>Neodeightonia palmicola</i>	MFLUCC 10-0822	HQ199222	HQ199221	HQ199223	-
<i>Neodeightonia palmicola</i>	MFLUCC10-0823	HQ199224	HQ199225	HQ199226	-
<i>Neodeightonia phoenicum</i>	CBS 122528	KF766198	EU673261	KF766285	EU673309
<i>Neodeightonia phoenicum</i>	CBS 123168	EU673339	EU673260	EU673204	EU673308
<i>Neodeightonia phoenicum</i>	CBS 169.34	EU673338	EU673259	EU673203	EU673307
<i>Neodeightonia subglobosa</i>	CBS 448.91	EU673337	DQ377866	KF766286	EU673306
<i>Phaeobotryon cupressi</i>	IRAN1455C	FJ919672	-	-	FJ919661
<i>Phaeobotryon cupressi</i>	IRAN1456C	FJ919670	-	-	FJ919659
<i>Phaeobotryon mamane</i>	CPC 12440	EU673332	NG 042459	EU673184	EU673298
<i>Phaeobotryon mamane</i>	CPC 12442	EU673333	DQ377899		EU673299
<i>Pseudofusicoccum stromaticum</i>	CBS 117448	KF766223	DQ377931	EU673146	-
<i>Pseudofusicoccum stromaticum</i>	CBS 117449	-	DQ377932	EU673147	
<i>Spencermartinsia viticola</i>	CBS 117009	AY905554	NG 042420	KF766313	AY905559
<i>Sphaeropsis citrigena</i>	ICMP 16812	EU673328	NG 042458	EU673180	EU673294
<i>Sphaeropsis citrigena</i>	ICMP 16818	EU673329	EU673247	EU673181	EU673295
<i>Sphaeropsis eucalypticola</i>	MFLUCC 11-0579	JX646802	NG 042727	JX646835	JX646867
<i>Sphaeropsis eucalypticola</i>	MFLUCC 11-0654	JX646803	JX646820	JX646836	JX646868
<i>Sphaeropsis porosa</i>	CBS 110496/ STE-U 5132	KF766210	DQ377894	EU673179	AY343340
<i>Sphaeropsis visci</i>	CBS 186.97	KF766211	EU754216	EU754117	EU673293
<i>Sphaeropsis visci</i>	CBS 100163	EU673324	EU754215	EU754116	EU673292

Abbreviation: CBS: Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, The Netherlands; CPC: Collection of Pedro Crous housed at CBS; IRAN: Iranian Fungal Culture Collection, Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection, Iran; MFLUCC: Mae Fah Luang University Culture Collection, Chiang Rai, Thailand; MUCC: Culture Collection, Laboratory of Plant Pathology, Mie University, Tsu, Mie Prefecture, Japan; IMI: CABI Bioscience, Egham, UK; CMW: M.J. Wingfield, FABI, University of Pretoria, South Africa; ICMP: International Collection of Micro-organisms from Plants, Landcare Research, New Zealand; STE-U: Culture collection of the Department of Plant Pathology, University of Stellenbosch, South Africa.

Results

Phylogeny

The combined dataset of 47 taxa with *Pseudofusicoccum stromaticum* (*Botryosphaeriaceae*) as the outgroup taxon contained 3505 characters including gaps. Of these, 2729 were constant, 181 were variable and parsimony-uninformative and 595 were parsimony informative. Phylogenetic trees were generated by maximum parsimony (MP), maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian posterior probabilities (BYPP). The equally weighted maximum parsimony tree resulted in a single tree of 1415 steps, a consistency index (CI) of 0.728, a retention index (RI) of 0.848, a rescaled consistency index (RC) of 0.618 and homoplasy index (HI) of 0.272. Phylogenetic analysis indicated eight major clades in *Botryosphaeriaceae* (Fig. 1). The new species *Barriopsis archontophoenicis* clustered with all other *Barriopsis* species in a well-supported clade.

Barriopsis archontophoenicis Konta, Boonmee & K.D. Hyde, *sp. nov.*

Figs 2–4

Index Fungorum number: IF552104

Facesoffungi number: 02097

Etymology – The specific epithet refers to the host genus *Archontophoenix*.

Holotype – MFLU: 15–0015

Saprobic on woody tissue of *Archontophoenix alexandrae*. **Sexual morph on fresh specimen:** *Ascostromata* 103–194 μm , high $\times$ 99–124 μm diam. ($\bar{x}$ = 132 $\times$ 120 μm , n = 10), solitary to aggregated, immersed, erumpent at maturity, uniloculate, subglobose, minutely papillate, some with a short neck, and slightly flattened at the base. *Ostiole* epapillate. *Peridium* 5–14 μm wide, composed of outer layers of black, thick-walled, cells of *textura angularis*, inner layers hyaline and thin-walled. *Hamathecium* comprising numerous, 2–3 μm wide, hypha-like, septate, cellular pseudoparaphyses. *Asci* 70–144 $\times$ 17–25 μm ($\bar{x}$ = 109 $\times$ 20 μm , n = 10), 8-spored, bitunicate, cylindrical-clavate, short-pedicellate, apically rounded, with an ocular chamber. *Ascospores* 17–24 $\times$ 7–10 μm ($\bar{x}$ = 22 $\times$ 9 μm , n = 20), bi-seriate, ellipsoid to ovoid, broad at the middle, aseptate, initially hyaline, becoming dark brown at maturity, with a large central guttule, without terminal apiculi, smooth-walled, with a distinct mucilaginous sheath. **Sexual morph formed in culture after 6 months of incubation:** *Ascostromata* 206–478 μm high $\times$ 322–435 μm diam. ($\bar{x}$ = 321 $\times$ 336 μm , n = 10), superficial, covered by mycelium, solitary or gregarious, scattered, uniloculate, globose to subglobose, dark brown to black. *Ostiole* and *Hamathecium* not apparent. *Peridium* comprising several layers of *textura angularis*, outer layers dark-brown and thick-walled, inner layers hyaline and thin-walled. *Ascospores* 20–36 $\times$ 10–16 μm ($\bar{x}$ = 32 $\times$ 14 μm , n = 20), ellipsoid to ovoid, initially reddish-brown, becoming dark brown at maturity, 2-septate, with two large guttules in center, thick-walled, smooth-walled, ellipsoid to obovoid, with terminal apiculi at both ends. **Asexual morph in culture:** Coelomycetous. *Conidiomata stromatic*, pycnidial, superficial, dark brown to black, covered with dense mycelium, on PDA mostly uniloculate, individual or aggregated, thick-walled, ostiolate. *Ostiole* central, circular, epapillate. *Paraphyses* arising from the conidiogenous layer, extending above the level of developing conidia, thin-walled, hyaline, usually aseptate, and tip rounded. *Conidiophores* absent. *Conidiogenous cells* 6–11 $\times$ 3–4 μm , hyaline, thin-walled, smooth, cylindrical, holoblastic. *Conidia* 26–34 $\times$ 14–17 μm ($\bar{x}$ = 30 $\times$ 16 μm , n = 10), thick-walled, initially hyaline, aseptate with longitudinal striations on immature conidia, oval, both ends broadly rounded.

Fig. 1 – Maximum Parsimony (MP) tree derived from a combined ITS, LSU, SSU and TEF1- α sequence dataset. Bootstrap support values for maximum likelihood (ML, red), maximum parsimony (MP, black) greater than 60% and Bayesian posterior probabilities (BYPP, blue) greater than 0.95 are given at the nodes. The tree is rooted with *Pseudofusicoccum stromaticum*. The strain numbers are mentioned after the species names. The new species is indicated in blue and ex-type strains are indicated in black bold.

Culture characters – Ascospores germinating on MEA within 24 hours and germ tube produced from one end of the ascospore. Colonies on MEA and PDA fast growing, reaching 7–8.5 cm diam. after 4 days at 25°C, white at the edge, grey in the middle with strong radiations outwards. After 24 days of incubation, colonies on MEA and PDA become grey-olivaceous, spongy, hyphae septate, branched, smooth, producing conidiomata and after 6 months of incubation, producing ascomata and ascospores.

Fig. 2 – *Barriopsis archontophoenicis* (MFLU: 15–0015, **holotype**) a Palm *Archontophoenix alexandrae*. b Appearance of ascostromata on host substrate. c Close up of ascostroma erumpent through the host surface. d Peridium. e Pseudoparaphyses. f Section of ascostromata. g Immature ascus. h–j Mature asci. k–p Ascospores. q Germinating ascospore. Scale bars: b = 500 μm , c = 200 μm , d = 50 μm , e, g–j = 20 μm , f, k–q = 10 μm .

Fig. 3 – *Barriopsis archontophoenicis* (ex-type culture) a Conidiomata on PDA media after 26 days. b, c Close up of conidiomata. d–h Conidia developing on conidiogenous cells. f. Developing conidia and paraphyses. i–l, Immature conidium. m Hyaline, immature, striate conidia. n Mature conidium. o Brown, mature, striate conidia. Scale bars: a = 1,000 μm , b–c = 500 μm , d–o = 10 μm .

Material examined – THAILAND, Chiang Mai Province, Mushroom Research Centre, on dead woody palm (*Archontophoenix alexandrae* (F. Muell.) H.Wendl. & Drude, *Arecaceae*), 15 August 2014, S. Konta P02a (MFLU 15–0015, **holotype**, HKAS92527, **isotype**; ex-type living culture, MFLUCC 14–1164, MUCL 55901).

Notes – *Barriopsis archontophoenicis* is introduced as a novel species in *Barriopsis* based on morphology of the sexual and asexual morphs and phylogenetic analyses. Conidia of *B. archontophoenicis* are longer than in *B. fusca*, shorter than *B. tectonae* but similar to those of *B. iraniana*. Conidia of *B. iraniana* become 1–3 septate while conidia of *B. archontophoenicis* remain aseptate even long after they are formed. Ascospores of *B. archontophoenicis* are smaller than in *B. fusca* and *B. tectonae*. No sexual morph has been reported for *B. iraniana*. Phylogenetically *B. archontophoenicis* is most closely related to *B. iraniana*, but forms a distinct lineage.

Discussion

In this paper we introduce *Barriopsis archontophoenicis* as a new species. Phylogenetically it forms a distinct lineage sister to *B. iraniana*. Morphologically these two species are very similar but the aseptate conidia of *B. archontophoenicis* differentiate it from *B. iraniana*, which has 1–3 septate conidia.

Barriopsis is a member of the family *Botryosphaeriaceae* (Botryosphaeriales, Liu et al. 2014) with *B. fusca* (N.E. Stevens) A.J.L. Phillips et al. as the type species (Phillips et al. 2008). There are presently two other accepted species, *B. iraniana* Abdoll et al. (Abdollahzadeh et al. 2009, Phillips et al. 2013) and *B. tectonae* Doilom et al. (Doilom et al. 2014) in the genus. The sexual morph of *Barriopsis* has brown aseptate ascospores that are widest in the middle, and lack terminal apiculi (Phillips et al. 2008). This feature was considered unique to *Barriopsis* differentiating it from all other genera in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* (Phillips et al. 2008). This feature was also seen in *B. archontophoenicis*.

Interestingly, *B. archontophoenicis* forms the sexual morph in culture after long periods of incubation up to 6 months. Although this has never been reported for other species in this genus it is not clear if very old cultures have ever been studied. Furthermore, within the *Botryosphaeriaceae* production of the sexual morph in culture is extremely rare and has been reported only for *Neodeightonia globosa* (Punithalingam 1969) and *Neofusicoccum luteum* (Denman 2002).

Fig. 4 – Sexual state of *Barriopsis archontophoenicis* (from ex-type culture) a Culture on MEA after 14 days. b–f Ascostromata formed in MEA. g Peridium. h Mycelium. i–n Ascospores. Scale bars: b–f = 100 μ m, g = 50 μ m, h, i–n = 10 μ m.

Table 2 Ascomata, hamathecium, asci and ascospore dimensions, and host range of *Barriopsis* species

Species name	Ascomata	Hamathecium	Asci	Ascospores	Host	Remarks
<i>Barriopsis archontophoenicis</i> MFLU: 15-0015 (specimen)	99–124 μm diam. $\times$ 103–194 μm high	2–3 μm wide	70–144 $\times$ 17–25 μm	17–22 $\times$ 7–10 μm	<i>Archontophoenix alexandrae</i> (palm)	This study
<i>Barriopsis archontophoenicis</i> MFLUCC 14-1164 (in culture)	206–478 μm high $\times$ 322–435 μm diam.	-	-	20–36 $\times$ 10–16 μm 2-septate, with terminal apiculi	<i>Archontophoenix alexandrae</i> (palm)	This study
<i>Barriopsis fusca</i> (type species)	(430–)546.5–520 μm diam. $\times$ 328–349 μm high	3–4.5 μm wide	180–125 $\times$ 30–36 μm	(30–)31–36.5(–38.5) $\times$ (15.5–)16–18.5(– 21) μm	<i>Citrus</i> sp.	Phillips et al. 2008 Phillips et al. 2013 Liu et al. 2012
<i>Barriopsis tectonae</i>	(195–) 280–325 (– 365) μm high $\times$ (230–)265–285(–320) μm diam.- ostiole with periphyses	2.5–6 μm wide	(120–)167–185(–200) $\times$ (28–)30.5–32(–35) μm	(26–)29–30 (–33) $\times$ (13–)14.5–15(–17) μm	<i>Tectona grandis</i> (teak)	Doilom et al. 2014

Table 3 Conidiogenous cells and conidia dimensions of *Barriopsis* species

Species name	Conidiogenous cells	Conidia	Remark
<i>Barriopsis archontophoenicis</i> MFLUCC 14-1164	6–11 $\times$ 3–4 μm	26–34 μm $\times$ 14–17 μm ($\bar{x}$ = 30 $\times$ 16 μm , n = 10)	This study
<i>Barriopsis fusca</i> (type species)	7–12 $\times$ 3–5 μm	(20–)23–25(–28) μm $\times$ (11–)12–13(–16) μm	Phillips et al. 2008 Phillips et al. 2013 Liu et al. 2012
<i>Barriopsis tectonae</i>	-	(29–)37–37.5(–38) μm $\times$ 15.5–17.5(–18) μm ($\bar{x}$ = 36 $\times$ 17 μm , n = 10)	Doilom et al. 2014
<i>Barriopsis iraniana</i>	7–12 $\times$ 3–5 μm	(22.5–)24–30 μm $\times$ (12.8–)14–18(–21.5) μm	Abdollahzadeh et al. 2009

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the International Research Group Program (IRG-14-27), Deanship of Scientific Research, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia. Sirinapa Konta would like to thank the National Research Council of Thailand (grant for microfungi on palms no. 2559A30702006) for supporting studies on microfungi on palms, the Mushroom Research Foundation for supporting this research, and Kunming Institute of Botany for help in molecular work. Sirinapa Konta is grateful to Dhanushka N. Wansinghe, Wenjing Li, Saithong Kaewchaii, Ausana Mapook, Chonticha Singtripop, Mingkwan Doilom, Sinang Hongsan, Saowaluck Tibpromma, Rungtiwa Phookamsak, Chayanard Phukhamsakda and Chada Norphunpuan for their valuable suggestions and help.

References

- Abdollahzadeh J, Mohammadi GE, Javadi A, Shams-Bakhsh M, Zare R, Phillips AJL 2009 – *Barriopsis iraniana* and *Phaeobotryon cupressi*: two new species of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* from trees in Iran. *Persoonia* 23, 1–8.
- Ariyawansa HA, Ji-Chuan Kang, Alias SA, Chukeatirote E, Hyde KD 2014 (a) – Towards a natural classification of Dothideomycetes: The genera *Dermatodothella*, *Dothideopsella*, *Grandigallia*, *Hysteropeltella* and *Gloeodiscus* (Dothideomycetes incertae sedis). *Phytotaxa* 176, 007–017.
- Ariyawansa HA, Hawksworth DL, Hyde KD, Jones EBG, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Manamgoda DS, Thambugala KM, Udayanga D, Camporesi E, Daranagama A, Jayawardena R, Liu JK, McKenzie EHC, Phookamsak R, Senanayake IC, Shivas RG, Tian Q, Xu JC. 2014 (b) – Epitypification and neotypification: guidelines with appropriate and inappropriate examples. *Fungal Diversity* 69, 57–91.
- Chomnunti P, Hongsan S, Hudson BA, Tian Q, Persoh D, Dhami MK, Alias AS, Xu J, Liu X, Stadler M, Hyde KD 2014 – The sooty moulds. *Fungal Diversity* 66, 1–36.
- Denman S 2002 – *Botryosphaeria* diseases of *Proteaceae*. PhD thesis, University of Stellenbosch, South Africa, p. 85.
- Doilom M, Shuttleworth LA, Roux J, Chukeatirote E, Hyde KD 2014 – *Barriopsis tectonae* sp. nov. a new species of *Botryosphaeriaceae* from *Tectona grandis* (teak) in Thailand. *Phytotaxa* 176, 81–91.
- Fröhlich J, Hyde KD 2000 – Palm microfungi. *Fungal Diversity Research Series* 3, 1–393.
- Hall TA 1999 – BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* 41, 95–98.
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F 2001 – MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 17, 754–755.
- Hyde KD 1988 – The genus *Linocarpon* from the mangrove palm *Nypa fruticans*. *Transactions of the Mycological Society of Japan* 29, 339–350.
- Hyde KD, Taylor JE, Fröhlich 2000 – Genera of Ascomycetes from Palms. *Fungal Diversity Research Series* 2, 1–247.
- Index Fungorum (2016) <http://www.indexfungorum.org/Names/Names.asp>
- Jacobs K, Bergdahl DR, Wingfield MJ, Halik S, Seifert KA, Bright DE, Wingfield BD. 2004 *Leptographium wingfieldii* introduced into North America and found associated with exotic *Tomicus piniperda* and native bark beetle. *Mycological Research* 108: 411–418.
- Jayasiri SC, Hyde KD, Ariyawansa HA, Bhat J, Buyck B, Cai L, Dai YC, Abd-Elsalam KA, Ertz D, Hidayat I, Jeewon R, Jones EBG, Bahkali AH, Karunarathna SC, Liu JK, Luangsa-ard JJ, Lumbsch HT, Maharachchikumbura SSN, McKenzie EHC, Jean-Marc Moncalvo, Ghobad-Nejhad M, Nilsson H, Ka-Lai Pang, Pereira OL, Phillips AJL, Raspé O, Rollins AW, Romero AI, Etayo J, Selçuk F, Stephenson SL, Suetrong S, Taylor JE, Tsui CKM, Vizzini A, Abdel-Wahab MA, Wen TC, Boonmee S, Dai DQ, Daranagama DA, Dissanayake AJ, Ekanayaka AH, Fryar SC, Hongsan S, Jayawardena RS, Li WJ, Perera

- RH, Phookamsak R, de Silva NI, Thambugala KM, Tian Q, Wijayawardene NN, Zhao RL, Zhao Q, Kang JC, Promputtha I 2015 – The Faces of Fungi database: fungal names linked with morphology, phylogeny and human impacts. *Fungal Diversity* 74, 3–18.
- Kishino H, Hasegawa M 1989 – Evaluation of the maximum likelihood estimate of the evolutionary tree topologies from DNA sequence data, and the branching order in Hominoidea. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 29, 170–179.
- Katoh K, Standley K 2013 – MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Molecular Biology & Evolution* 30, 772–780.
- Liu JK, Phookamsak R, Doilom M, Wikee S, Li YM, Ariyawansa H, Boonmee S, Chomnunti P, Dai DQ, Bhat JD, Romero AI, Zhuang WY, Monkai J, Jones EBG, Chukeatirote E, Ko Ko TW, Zhao YC, Wang Y, Hyde KD 2012 – Towards a natural classification of Botryosphaeriales. *Fungal Diversity* 57, 149–210.
- Liu JK, Phookamsak R, Dai DQ, Tanaka K, Jones EBG, Xu JC, Chukeatirote E, Hyde KD 2014 – *Roussoellaceae*, a new pleosporalean family to accommodate the genera *Neoroussoella* gen. nov., *Roussoella* and *Roussoellopsis*. *Phytotaxa* 181, 1–33.
- Liu JK, Phookamsak R, Doilom M, Wikee S, Li YM, Ariyawansa H, Boonmee S, Chomnunti P, Dai DQ, Bhat JD, Romero AI, Zhuang WY, Monkai J, Jones EBG, Chukeatirote E, Ko Ko TW, Zhao YC, Wang Y, Hyde KD 2012 – Towards a natural classification of Botryosphaeriales. *Fungal Diversity* 57, 149–210.
- Nylander JAA 2004 – MrModeltest v2.2. Program distributed by the author: 2. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University: 1–2.
- Page RD 1996 – TreeView: an application to display phylogenetic trees on personal computers. *Computer Applications in the Biosciences* 12, 357–358.
- Phillips AJL, Alves A, Pennycook SR, Johnston PR, Ramaley A, Akulov A, Crous PW 2008 – Resolving the phylogenetic and taxonomic status of dark-spored teleomorph genera in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. *Persoonia* 21, 29–55.
- Phillips AJL, Alves A, Abdollahzadeh J, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW 2013 – The *Botryosphaeriaceae*: genera and species known from culture. *Studies in Mycology* 76, 51–167.
- Phookamsak R, Norphanphoun C, Tanaka K, Dai DQ, Luo ZL, Liu JK, Su HY, Bhat DJ, Bahkali AH, Mortimer PE, Xu JC 2015 – Towards a natural classification of *Astrosphaeriella*-like species; introducing *Astrosphaeriellaceae* and *Pseudoastrosphaeriellaceae* fam. nov. and *Astrosphaeriellopsis*, gen. nov. *Fungal Diversity* 74, 143–97.
- Phukhamsakda C, Ariyawansa HA, Phookamsak R, Chomnunti P, Bulgakov TS, Yang JB, Bhat DJ, Bahkali AH, Hyde KD 2015 – *Muriphaeosphaeria galatellae* gen. et sp. nov. in *Phaeosphaeriaceae* (Pleosporales). *Phytotaxa* 227, 55–65.
- Punithalingam E 1969 – Studies on Sphaeropsidales in culture. *Mycological Papers* 119, 1–24.
- Rannala B, Yang Z 1996 – Probability distribution of molecular evolutionary trees: a new method of phylogenetic inference. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 43, 304–311.
- Silvestro D, Michalak I 2010 – raxmlGUI: a graphical front-end for RAxML Program. And documentation available from www.sourceforge.com; Accessed August 2010.
- Swofford DL 2002 – PAUP* 4.0: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (* and other methods). Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.
- Stevens NE 1926 – Two species of *Physalospora* on Citrus and other hosts. *Mycologia* 18, 206–217.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S 2013 – MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology & Evolution* 30, 2725–2729.
- Taylor WJ, Jacobson JD, Kroken S, Kasuga T, Geiser MD, Hibbett SD, Fisher CW 2000 – Phylogenetic species recognition and species concepts in fungi. *Fungal Genetics & Biology* 31, 21–32.
- Taylor JE, Hyde KD 2003 – Microfungi of tropical and temperate palms. *Fungal Diversity Research Series* 12, 1–495.

- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG 1997 – The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Research* 25, 4876.
- Vilgalys R, Hester M 1990 – Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. *Journal of Bacteriology* 172, 4238–4246.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J 1990 – Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis, M.A, Gelfand, D.H, Sninsky, J.J. & White, T.J. (eds) *PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications*. San Diego,
- Zhaxybayeva O, Gogarten JP 2002 – Bootstrap, Bayesian probability and maximum likelihood mapping: exploring new tools for comparative genome analyses. *BMC Genomics* 3, 4.