COVID-19 Cases Admitted to Hospital for Other Reasons

Hastaneye Diğer Nedenlerle Kabul Edilen COVID-19 Olguları

Onur ÜNAL¹ (iD), Gül Ruhsar YILMAZ¹ (iD), Kağan ŞEVİK¹ (iD), Onur KAYA¹ (iD), Esra NURLU TEMEL¹ (iD), Füsün Zeynep AKÇAM¹ (iD), Mümtaz Cem ŞİRİN² (iD), Önder ÖZTÜRK³ (iD), Münire ÇAKIR³ (iD), Pınar KARABACAK⁴ (iD)

¹ Department of Infectious Diseases and Microbiology, Süleyman Demirel University Faculty of Medicine, Isparta, Turkey

² Department of Medical Microbiology, Süleyman Demirel University Faculty of Medicine, Isparta, Turkey

³ Department of Chest Diseases, Süleyman Demirel University Faculty of Medicine, Isparta, Turkey

Cite this article as: Ünal O, Yılmaz GR, Şevik K, Kaya O, Nurlu Temel E, Akçam FZ, et al. COVID-19 cases admitted to hospital for other reasons. FLORA 2021;26(4):594-602.

⁴ Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Süleyman Demirel University Faculty of Medicine, Isparta, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Introduction: As the pandemic continues, SARS-CoV-2 infection has inevitably been detected in patients hospitalized for other reasons. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 infected cases after hospitalization may lead to delay in taking appropriate infection control measures.

Materials and Methods: The present study retrospectively scanned all electronic and hard copy records of the cases presented to the hospital and hospitalized for other reasons and then diagnosed as COVID-19 in Suleyman Demirel University Faculty of Medicine, Research and Training Hospital between 01 April 2020 and 31 December 2020.

Results: Accordingly, 22 cases were decided as community-acquired, 3 cases as probable community-acquired, 5 cases as hospital-acquired, and 4 cases as probable hospital-acquired. The reason for sampling for SARS-CoV-2 was determined as having symptoms compatible with COVID-19 in 23 (67.6%) of 34 cases, preoperative screening of COVID-19 infection in 9 (26.5%), and high risky contact in 2 cases (5.9%). Considering contact histories epidemiologically, it was thought that transmission occurred to 8 healthcare staff from 2 cases, and to other patients or relatives from 8 cases, but sequence analysis could not be performed.

Conclusion: The high number of hospitalized patients diagnosed as COVID-19 creates a significant burden on the healthcare system in terms of infrastructure and management capacity. It is recommended that patients and healthcare professionals be screened widely and thoroughly, especially in conditions where COVID-19 cases are detected in non-COVID-19 units. This kind of screening will allow the potential outbreak to be controlled.

Key Words: COVID-19; Hospitalization; Nosocomial

ÖZ

Hastaneye Diğer Nedenlerle Kabul Edilen COVID-19 Olguları

Onur ÜNAL¹, Gül Ruhsar YILMAZ¹, Kağan ŞEVİK¹, Onur KAYA¹, Esra NURLU TEMEL¹, Füsün Zeynep AKÇAM¹, Mümtaz Cem ŞİRİN², Önder ÖZTÜRK³, Münire ÇAKIR³, Pınar KARABACAK⁴

¹ Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Enfeksiyon Hastalıkları ve Klinik Mikrobiyoloji Anabilim Dalı, Isparta, Türkiye

² Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Tıbbi Mikrobiyoloji Anabilim Dalı, Isparta, Türkiye

³ Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Göğüs Hastalıkları Anabilim Dalı, Isparta, Türkiye

⁴ Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Anesteziyoloji ve Reanimasyon Anabilim Dalı, Isparta, Türkiye

Giriş: Pandemi ile birlikte hastaneye diğer nedenlerle yatışı yapılan olgularda da SARS-CoV-2 enfeksiyonu saptanmaya başlamıştır. SARS-CoV-2 ile enfekte olguların hastaneye yatıştan sonra saptanması, gerekli enfeksiyon önlemlerinin alınmasında gecikmeye yol açabilmektedir.

Materyal ve Metod: Süleyman Demirel Tıp Fakültesi Araştırma ve Uygulama Hastanesi'ne 01 Nisan 2020 ve 31 Aralık 2020 tarihleri arasında farklı bir nedenle hastaneye başvuran ve hastaneye yatıştan sonra COVID-19 tanısı alan olguların elektronik ve basılı kayıtları retrospektif olarak taranmıştır.

Bulgular: Yirmi iki vaka toplum kaynaklı, üç vaka olası toplum kaynaklı, beş vaka hastane kaynaklı, dört vaka olası hastane kaynaklı olarak tespit edildi. SARS-CoV-2 açısından tetkik istenme nedeni otuz dört olgunun 23'ünde (%67.6) COVID-19 uyumlu semptom olması, 9'unda (%27.3) operasyon öncesi COVID-19 enfeksiyonu açısından tarama yapılması, iki olguda yüksek riskli temas (%5.9) olarak tespit edilmiştir. Epidemiyolojik olarak temas öyküleri değerlendirildiğinde iki vakadan sekiz sağlık personeline, sekiz olgudan ise diğer hastalara veya refakatçilere bulaş olduğu düşünülmüş, fakat sekans analizi yapılamamıştır.

Sonuç: Hastanede yatan COVID-19 tanısı konan hasta sayısının yüksek olması, sağlık sistemi üzerinde altyapı ve yönetim kapasitesi açısından önemli bir yük oluşturmaktadır. Özellikle COVID-19 dışı ünitelerde COVID-19 vakalarının tespit edildiği durumlarda hastaların ve sağlık çalışanlarının yaygın ve detaylı olarak taranması önerilmektedir. Bu tür bir tarama, potansiyel salgının kontrol altına alınmasına katkı sağlayacaktır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: COVID-19; Hospitalizasyon; Nozokomiyal

INTRODUCTION

With the pandemic, SARS-CoV-2 infection has started to be detected in patients admitted to hospital for other reasons. Majority of the cases are community-acquired, and the remaining few are hospital-acquired^[1]. The fear of hospital-acquired COVID-19 causes a decrease in hospital presentations, and the fact that some cases are detected after hospital admission may lead to delays in taking necessary infection control measures. The aim of this study was to determine the ratio of late-diagnosed COVID-19 cases hospitalized for other reasons and nosocomial COVID-19 cases among these patients.

MATERIALS and METHODS

The present study retrospectively scanned all electronic and hard copy records of the cases presented to the hospital for other reasons

and then transferred to the COVID-19 unit in Süleyman Demirel University Faculty of Medicine, Research and Training Hospital between 01 April 2020 and 31 December 2020.

The Ethics Committee of Süleyman Demirel University Hospital approved this study (2//11/2020-349). The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the need for written informed consent was waived due to its retrospective nature.

Demographic data of the patients, the clinic the patients were followed in, the reason of admittance, the reason of performing SARS-CoV-2 PCR test, date of positivity, history of surgical intervention, laboratory and radiological findings compatible with COVID-19, the number of people with whom the patient shared the room before diagnosis, presence of a hospital

attendant, and whether other patients, attendants and healthcare personnel were infected or not were all recorded on a pre-prepared form.

Grouping of the cases as community-acquired and hospital-acquired was carried out by a research assistant and faculty member of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology. The classification of the groups was composed by changing the definitions of the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC)^[2]. Definitions are as shown below:

Community-Acquired COVID-19

Cases with close contact with a patient diagnosed with COVID-19 epidemiologically prior to hospital admission, cases with symptoms during admission and/or those receiving COVID-19 diagnosis within 7 days of hospital admission.

Probable Community-Acquired COVID-19

Cases with symptoms and laboratory findings compatible with COVID-19 during hospital presentation and/or cases receiving COVID-19 diagnosis within 8-14 days after hospital admission, cases not evaluated for COVID-19 before 8 days, and those without any known exposure in hospital.

Hospital-Acquired COVID-19

This group consists of the cases whose onset of symptoms and first Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) positivity occurred 15 days after hospital admission.

Probable Hospital-Acquired COVID-19

Cases whose onset of symptoms and first PCR positivity occurred between the 3rd and 7th days of hospital admission and who had known contact in hospital on the first day of admission, cases without any known exposure prior to hospital admission or those whose onset of symptoms and first PCR positivity occurred between the 8th and 14th days of admission, and cases with no known exposure during hospitalization or prior to hospital admission.

COVID-19 Diagnosis

Diagnosis was made by showing SARS-CoV-2 positivity with PCR in nasopharyngeal swab samples.

Statistical Analysis

Data were recorded on statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS) 15.0, and frequency and percentage calculations were performed. Mean $\pm$ standard deviation was found for numerical variables.

RESULTS

A total of 18.054 patients were treated as inpatients during this 9-month period. 573 of these patients (0.03%) were followed in COVID-19 services with a definitive diagnosis of COVID-19. During the same period of time, a total of 34 patients (5.9%), 23 males and 11 females, were determined to have COVID-19 after having been admitted to our hospital for reasons other than COVID-19, and they were included into the study. Mean age of the patients was 60.6 ± 17.2 years (min. 32, max. 80), and the departments they were followed in and their reason of admission are given in Table 1.

Time of detection of the 34 cases, total number of patients admitted to hospital during the same period, and the number of patients followed with a definitive diagnosis of COVID-19 are shown in Figure 1. COVID-19 cases admitted to the hospital for other reasons were mostly diagnosed in November, and there were no cases detected in April, May, and July.

Twenty-two cases were decided as community-acquired, three cases as probable community-acquired, five cases as hospital-acquired, and four cases as probable hospital-acquired. It was identified that 18 patients (52.9%) stayed in a single room before diagnosis, and the remaining 16 patients (47.1%) were followed in rooms where two or more patients were followed. Infection of other patients/patients' attendants was seen in eight cases (Table 2). Third PCR sample was found positive after a symptom was detected in a case hospitalized to undergo coronary bypass and tested negative preoperatively by PCR test twice. It was considered that seven healthcare workers were probably infected by this case. Another patient was hospitalized in the Internal Medicine department to be investigated for anemia etiology, and COVID-19 PCR of this case was detected positive only one day after admission. It

Table 1. Reason of admission and relevant departments of the patients admitted to hospital for other reasons but diagnosed with COVID-19

Departments admitting the patients and reason of admission	n (%)
Internal Diseases	10 (29.4)
Orthopedics	5 (14.7)
Chest Diseases	3 (8.8)
General Surgery	3 (8.8)
Obstetrics and Gynecology	2 (5.9)
Neurology	2 (5.9)
Neurosurgery	2 (5.9)
Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery	2 (5.9)
Cardiovascular Surgery	2 (5.9)
Cardiology	1 (2.9)
Urology	1 (2.9)
Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology	1 (2.9)
Hospitalization diagnosis	
Surgical intervention*	6 (17.6)
GIS disease**	5 (14.7)
Infectious pathology***	5 (14.7)
Solid organ malignancy	3 (8.8)
Pulmonary pathology****	3 (8.8)
Pregnancy	2 (5.9)
Palliative care	2 (5.9)
Acute renal failure	1 (2.9)
Investigation of anemia etiology	1 (2.9)
Heart failure	1 (2.9)
Ischemic cardiac pathology	1 (2.9)
Hematologic malignancy	1 (2.9)
Lumbar disc hernia	1 (2.9)
Muscle disease	1 (2.9)
Cerebral infarct	1 (2.9)

*Orthopedic surgery (4), Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery (2).

**Gastrointestinal bleeding (2), ulcerative colitis, cholecystitis, liver cirrhosis.

***Urinary tract infection, catheter associated blood-stream infection, neutropenic fever, septic arthritis, ventriculoperitoneal shunt infection.

****Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease attack, pleural effusion (2).

was considered that one healthcare worker was infected by this case.

It was detected that the reasons for running tests against COVID-19 were the presence of symptoms in 23 (67.6%) of the 34 cases. It was established that the present symptoms were associated with an alternative diagnosis in 17 of

the remaining 23 patients. It was determined that the symptoms appeared in an appropriate period in terms of hospital-acquired infection in six cases (Table 3).

Infection control measures taken and enforced during the COVID-19 pandemic are shown in chronological order in Table 4.

Figure 1. Total number of patients admitted to hospital according to months, the number of patients followed with a definitive diagnosis of COVID-19, and the number of COVID-19 cases admitted to hospital for other reasons.

Table 2. Source of infection of the cases, the rooms they were followed in, status of patients' attendants, infection of other patients or personnel

	Number of cases n (%)
Source of infection	
Community-acquired	22 (64.7)
Possible community-acquired	3 (8.8)
Hospital-acquired	5 (14.7)
Possible hospital-acquired	4 (11.8)
Number of patients followed in the same room with the case	
None	18 (52.9)
1	8 (23.5)
2	4 (11.8)
3	1 (2.9)
5	3 (8.8)
Hospital attendants of the cases	
Present	27 (79.4)
None	7 (20.6)
Infection of other patients and/or patients' attendants	
Yes	8 (23.5)
No	26 (76.5)
Infection of healthcare personnel	
Yes	2 (5.9)
No	32 (94.1)

Table 3. Distribution of the cases according to the presence of symptoms

Presence of symptoms	Community-acquired	Probable community-acquired	Hospital-acquired	Probable hospital-acquired	Total
Yes	14	3	4	2	23
No (High risky contact)	1	0	0	1	2
No (Pre-operative screening)	7	0	1	1	9
Total	22	3	5	4	34

Table 4. Infection control measures taken and enforced during the COVID-19 pandemic

Measure	Date
Restriction of family visits	05.02.2020
Training on PPE use	05.02.2020
Triage	16.03.2020
Opening COVID-19 polyclinics/emergency room	16.03.2020
Cafeteria organization	17.03.2020
Instruction of PPE use	19.03.2020
Opening definitive and possible COVID-19 services	19.03.2020
Cessation of elective surgeries	19.03.2020
Implementing ministry algorithm for contact healthcare workers	26.03.2020
Turning of ventilation in spaces with no fresh air circulation	01.04.2020
N95 use in COVID-19 services and intensive care units	01.04.2020
Daily symptom monitoring of the healthcare workers	01.04.2020
Daily questioning of inpatients for COVID-19 symptoms	01.04.2020
Online continuation of trainings	06.04.2020
Opening a COVID-19 intensive care unit	08.04.2020
Enforcement of widespread surgical mask use for healthcare personnel	01.04.2020
Widespread surgical mask use for patients and their attendants	15.04.2020
Preoperative PCR test in predetermined surgeries	17.04.2020
Recommendation for the follow-up of patients in single rooms	14.09.2020

PPE: Personnel protective equipment.

DISCUSSION

In this period of the pandemic, there have also been asymptomatic cases admitted to hospital for other reasons or symptomatic cases whose symptoms were associated with alternative diagnoses. Non-specific nature of the symptoms and findings, the fact that not detecting SARS-CoV-2 in the samples is not sufficient to rule out infection and that lack of adequate evidence about the transmission of the infection by aerosol in the early period of the pandemic,

not performing PCR test in all patients admitted to hospital due to limited resources, and various architectural issues were the fundamental reasons of experiencing problems in taking appropriate infection control measures on time. Moreover, long incubation time of COVID-19 and surplus asymptomatic cases or cases with mild symptoms creates the perfect environment in terms of silent infection^[3,4].

This study detected 34 cases (5.9%) that presented to the hospital for reasons other than

COVID-19 and received COVID-19 diagnosis after hospitalization. In a study by Rhee et al., the rate of patients diagnosed with COVID-19 three days after admission or later has been reported as 1.7%^[1]. In our study, the condition of having been detected 3 days after admission or later was not required for cases that presented for reasons other than COVID-19 but diagnosed with COVID-19 upon admission. We are of the opinion that the rate found in this study is therefore higher compared to the study by Rhee et al.

It was determined in 17 of the 34 patients detected in our study that there was one or more than one symptom related to COVID-19, but these symptoms were associated with other diagnoses. Triage was started in our hospital in terms of COVID-19 disease as of March 16, 2020. We believe that alternative diagnoses were also primarily considered in triage due to the fact that these cases were detected after admission to the hospital despite symptoms.

The literature reports different rates in terms of nosocomial COVID-19 infection. It has been reported that at the start of the pandemic and before the identification of infection control measures, 44% of COVID-19 infections in China would be nosocomial^[5]. In a series including 42 cases from Hong Kong, it has been reported that there was not any nosocomial case within 6 weeks after virus identification^[6]. According to a media report from the United Kingdom, 5-7% of all COVID-19 cases were related to healthcare^[7]. In a retrospective study conducted in a research and training hospital in London, 15% of the cases between March 2 and April 12 were reported as definitive or possible nosocomial^[8]. Since it is difficult to detect the source of infection in cases infected with coronavirus, it is not easy to carry out a nosocomial/community-acquired COVID-19 classification. Different definitions are found in the literature for nosocomial COVID-19 cases. In the classification of nosocomial COVID-19 in the present study, revised definitions of European Center for Disease Control were used^[2]. Taking the 14-day incubation period reported at the start of the pandemic into account, cases detected 14 days after hospital admission were considered

as definitive nosocomial. A total of 5 (1.4%) nosocomial cases were detected in our study. Four cases were evaluated as possible nosocomial (1.1%). When possible and definitive nosocomial cases were evaluated together, 2.5% of the total cases were nosocomial.

High number of COVID-19 inpatients creates an important burden on the healthcare system in terms of infrastructure and management capacity^[9,10]. The increase in colonization throughout the hospital raises the probability of being infected by SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare workers and patients admitted to the hospital for reasons other than COVID-19^[3]. In the institution where the present study was conducted, recommendations related to infection control and isolation given by the Coronavirus Scientific Advisory Board of the Ministry of Health were taken into consideration^[11,12]. COVID-19 cases were first started to be followed in April 2020. All cases followed in that period were those that came from Hadj and quarantined, and there were no cases that resided in the city. Since the virus had not spread in the community yet, there were no cases detected subsequently in non-COVID-19 services. The number of patients followed for COVID-19 in our hospital in May, June and July was very low, and one patient was admitted to the non-COVID-19 service only in June. Along with the increase in the number of cases as of August, cases admitted to non-COVID services but later detected as COVID-19 were started to be seen again.

Possible and definitive COVID-19 cases in our hospital were followed in different services on two floors in the main building and on two floors in another one. Possible COVID-19 service and definitive COVID-19 service were opened on March 16th and April 1st, respectively. Cohorting were made for cases with definitive diagnosis. Possible cases were followed in single rooms until they were diagnosed. As of the start of the pandemic, the Ministry of Health has recommended following patients in non-COVID services in single rooms and questioning the patients daily and during admission in terms of COVID-19^[11]. However, due to the number of patients presenting to the hospital and insufficient

infrastructure of the hospital, two or more patients had to be followed in the same room in some departments. Infection of patients or attendants occurred in eight cases.

In accordance with the recommendations of the Scientific Advisory Board of the Ministry of Health and the instructions of the Provincial Directorate of Health, PCR sample for SARS-CoV-2 was taken from symptomatic patients, patients planned to undergo major surgery, and healthcare personnel who had moderate or high risky contact. Universal screening could not be performed in all patients admitted to the hospital. Upon the increase in the number of cases detected in non-COVID-19 services, other departments were informed by the Infection Control Committee in written again to admit patients in single rooms on September 14, 2020. On the same day, a check-list was added on the hospital's information management system in order not to overlook daily questioning of each inpatient in terms of COVID-19. After having started to follow patients diagnosed with COVID-19 in our hospital, patients and their attendants to be admitted to other departments were asked to sign a consent form stating that cases with COVID-19 were followed in the hospital and infection could occur despite all measures taken.

It was considered that seven healthcare workers were infected by a case who tested negative preoperatively for coronavirus on PCR twice but was found positive on the third PCR test performed due to symptom detection following the suspension of isolation measures.

The mentioned case was a warning for all hospital staff in terms of the necessity of continuing daily symptom interrogation and the application of contact and droplet infection control measures, even if the PCR result was negative.

Proper sample taking, sufficient sample, and appropriate follow of procedure are also known as factors affecting PCR result^[13].

Another strategy of pandemic control is to follow healthcare personnel daily in terms of COVID-19 symptoms and/or screening by PCR^[3,13,14]. In line with the Ministry of Health

recommendations, healthcare workers with moderate or high risky contact were isolated for seven days and started duty after seven days by showing PCR negativity if there is no symptom. In the event of detecting a COVID-19 case especially in non-COVID-19 services, it is recommended to perform widespread screening on patients and healthcare personnel^[3]. This screening will provide the possibility to control a potential epidemic.

Since it is inevitable to encounter asymptomatic patients who are not considered to have COVID-19 infection during the pandemic, single rooms, not allowing visitors and attendants, and proper use of mask along with standard infection control measures will prevent spread of the infection to hospital staff and other patients^[15-21]. Although a negative result does not show that the individual is not infected, it has been reported that a universal screening in regions with high prevalence might be efficient^[22].

ETHICS COMMITTEE APPROVAL

This study approval was obtained from Süleyman Demirel University Faculty of Medicine Clinical Researches Ethics Committee (Decision No: 349, Date: 02.11.2020).

CONFLICT of INTEREST

None of the authors had conflict of interest.

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTIONS

Concept and Design: OÜ, GRY

Data Collection or Processing: KŞ, ÖÖ, MÇ, PK

Analysis/Interpretation: OK, FZA, MÇŞ

Literature Search: ENT, KŞ

Writing: OÜ, GRY

Final Approval: OÜ, GRY

REFERENCES

1. Rhee C, Baker M, Vaidya V, Tucker R, Resnick A, Morris CA, et al. Incidence of Nosocomial COVID-19 in Patients Hospitalized at a Large US Academic Medical Center. *JAMA Netw Open* 2020;3(9):e2020498.
2. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Surveillance Definitions for COVID-19. [Updated 2021. Accessed 2021 February 11]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/covid-19/surveillance/surveillance-definitions>.

3. Abbas M, Nunes TR, Martischang R, Zingg W, Iten A, Pittet D, et al. Nosocomial transmission and outbreaks of coronavirus disease 2019: the need to protect both patients and healthcare workers. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control* 2021;10(1):7.
4. Arons MM, Hatfield KM, Reddy SC, Kimball A, James A, Jacobs JR, et al. Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections and transmission in a skilled nursing facility. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382(22):2081-90.
5. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA* 2020;323(11):1061-9.
6. Cheng VCC, Wong SC, Chen JHK, Yip CCY, Chuang VWM, Tsang OTY, et al. Escalating infection control response to the rapidly evolving epidemiology of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) due to SARS-CoV-2 in Hong Kong. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020;41(5):493-8.
7. The Guardian. Up to 20% of hospital patients with Covid-19 caught it at hospital. Accessed date: 14.01.2021. Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/may/17/hospital-patients-england-coronavirus-covid-19>.
8. Rickman HM, Rampling T, Shaw K, Martinez-Garcia G, Hail L, Coen P, et al. Nosocomial transmission of COVID-19: a retrospective study of 66 hospital-acquired cases in a London teaching hospital. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021;72(4):690-3.
9. Griffin KM, Karas MG, Ivascu NS, Lief L. Hospital preparedness for COVID-19: a practical guide from a critical care perspective. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020;201(11):1337-44.
10. Carenzo L, Costantini E, Greco M, Barra FL, Rendiniello V, Mainetti M, et al. Hospital surge capacity in a tertiary emergency referral centre during the COVID-19 outbreak in Italy. *Anaesthesia* 2020;75(7):928-34.
11. Republic of Turkey Ministry of Health. Study guide and infection control measures in health institutions. Accessed date: 16.05.2021. Available from: <https://covid19.saglik.gov.tr/TR-66532/saglik-kurumlarinda-calisma-rehberi-ve-enfeksiyon-kontrol-onlemleri.html>.
12. Republic of Turkey Ministry of Health. Infection Control and Isolation. Accessed date: 14.06.2021. Available from: <https://covid19.saglik.gov.tr/TR-66338/enfeksiyon-kontrolu-ve-izolasyon.html>.
13. Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA). IDSA Guidelines on the Diagnosis of COVID-19: Molecular Diagnostic Testing. Accessed date: 15.01.2021. Available from: <https://www.idsociety.org/practice-guideline/covid-19-guideline-diagnostics/>.
14. Mostafa A, Kandil S, El-Sayed MH, Girgis S, Hafez H, Yosef M, et al. Universal COVID-19 screening of 4040 health care workers in a resource-limited setting: an Egyptian pilot model in a university with 12 public hospitals and medical centers. *Int J Epidemiol* 2020;50(1):50-61.
15. Wong SC, Kwong RT, Wu TC, Chan JWM, Chu MY, Lee SY, et al. Risk of nosocomial transmission of coronavirus disease 2019: an experience in a general ward setting in Hong Kong. *J Hosp Infect* 2020;105(2):119-27.
16. McMichael TM, Currie DW, Clark S, Pogostians S, Kay M, Schwartz NG, et al. Epidemiology of Covid-19 in a long-term care facility in King County, Washington. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382(21):2005-11.
17. Kimball A, Hatfield KM, Arons M, James A, Taylor J, Spicer K, et al. Asymptomatic and presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections in residents of a long-term care skilled nursing facility—King County, Washington, March 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2020;69(13):377-81.
18. Patel MC, Chaisson LH, Borgetti S, Burdsall D, Chugh RK, Hoff CR, et al. Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 mortality during an outbreak investigation in a skilled nursing facility. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020;71(11):2920-26.
19. Roxby AC, Greninger AL, Hatfield KM, Lynch JB, Dellit TH, James A, et al. Outbreak investigation of COVID-19 among residents and staff of an independent and assisted living community for older adults in Seattle, Washington. *JAMA Intern Med* 2020;180(8):1101-5.
20. Luong-Nguyen M, Hermand H, Abdalla S, Cabrit N, Hobeika C, Brouquet A, et al. Nosocomial infection with SARS-CoV-2 within Departments of Digestive Surgery. *J Visc Surg* 2020;157(3s1):13-8.
21. Schneider KN, Correa-Martinez CL, Gosheger G, Rickert C, Schorn D, Mellmann A, et al. Assessing the spreading potential of an undetected case of COVID-19 in orthopaedic surgery. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2021;141(7):1-7.
22. Sutton D, Fuchs K, D'Alton M, Goffman D. Universal Screening for SARS-CoV-2 in women admitted for delivery. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382(22):2163-4.

Address for Correspondence/Yazışma Adresi

Dr. Onur ÜNAL

Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi,
İnfeksiyon Hastalıkları ve
Klinik Mikrobiyoloji Anabilim Dalı
Isparta-Türkiye
E-posta: onurunal_84@hotmail.com