Finiteness conditions and sums of rings

By A. V. KELAREV (Hobart)

Abstract. Let R be a ring, which is a sum of its additive subgroups R_s , $s \in S$. Suppose that all rings among the R_s satisfy one of the following classical finiteness properties: right or left Artinian or Noetherian, right or left perfect, semilocal or semiprimary. We provide new conditions on the interaction of the R_s sufficient for the whole ring R to enjoy the same property.

This paper is devoted to several well-known finiteness conditions which play important roles in ring theory. We start with the following natural problem. Let \mathcal{K} be a class of associative rings, S a set, R a ring, and let $R = \sum_{s \in S} R_s$ be a sum of additive subgroups R_s of R. Suppose that all rings among the R_s belong to \mathcal{K} . Find conditions sufficient for R to belong to \mathcal{K} .

In full generality this problem was first recorded in [7]. However, its many interesting special cases have been actively investigated by a number of authors including Bahturin, Beidar, Bokut', Chick, Ferrero, Fukshansky, Gardner, Kegel, Kelarev, Kepczyk, McConnell, Mikhalev, Petravchuk, Puczyłowski and Salwa (see [3]–[8] for references).

We use two restrictions on the interaction of the components R_s introduced in [7]. Let S be a semigroup, $R = \sum_{s \in S} R_s$ a sum of additive subgroups R_s of R. If $T \subseteq S$, then we put $R_T = \sum_{s \in T} R_s$. We say that R is a *structural S-sum* if and only if, for each subsemigroup (left ideal, right ideal) T of S, the sum R_T is a subring (respectively, left ideal, right

 $[\]label{lem:matter} \textit{Mathematics Subject Classification:} \ \text{Primary: 16L30, 16P20, 16P40; Secondary: 16S99.} \\ \textit{Key words and phrases:} \ \text{finiteness conditions, sums of rings.}$

The author was supported by a grant of Australian Research Council.

ideal) of R. For any $s \in S$, denote by $\langle s \rangle$ the subsemigroup generated in S by s, and put $R^s = R_{\langle s \rangle}$. We say that R is an S-sum if $R_s R_t \subseteq R^{st}$ for all $s, t \in S$. Many ring constructions are examples of structural S-sums and S-sums.

This paper investigates the question of when several classical finiteness conditions are preserved by S-sums and structural S-sums. First, we deal with left or right Artinian or Noetherian rings.

A semigroup entirely consisting of idempotents is called a band. A band is said to be a semilattice (rectangular band; left zero band; right zero band; left regular band; right regular band) if it satisfies the identity xy = yx (xyx = x; xy = x; xy = y; xyx = xy; xyx = yx).

Theorem 1. For any semigroup S, the following are equivalent:

- (i) for every structural S-sum $R = \sum_{s \in S} R_s$, if all rings among the R_s are right Artinian (Noetherian), then R is right Artinian (Noetherian), too;
- (ii) for every S-sum $R = \sum_{s \in S} R_s$, if all rings among the R_s are right Artinian (Noetherian), then R is right Artinian (Noetherian), too;
- (iii) S is a finite left regular band.

Next, we look at semilocal, semiprimary, and left or right perfect rings. Let $\mathcal{J}(R)$ be the Jacobson radical of R. A ring R is semilocal if $R/\mathcal{J}(R)$ is Artinian. Recall that R is said to be right (left) T-nilpotent if, for every sequence of elements r_1, r_2, \ldots of R, there exists n such that $r_n \ldots r_1 = 0$ (respectively, $r_1 \ldots r_n = 0$). A semilocal ring is semiprimary (right perfect, left perfect) if $\mathcal{J}(R)$ is nilpotent (right T-nilpotent; left T-nilpotent). A semigroup is said to be combinatorial if all its subgroups are singletons. We obtain the following conditions sufficient for preservation of these properties by structural sums of rings.

Theorem 2. Let S be a periodic combinatorial semigroup with a finite number of idempotents and locally nilpotent (nilpotent, right T-nilpotent, left T-nilpotent) nil factors, and let $R = \sum_{s \in S} R_s$ be an S-sum. If all rings among the R_s are semilocal (respectively, semiprimary, right perfect, left perfect), then R is semilocal (respectively, semiprimary, right perfect, left perfect), too.

A semigroup is said to be *semisimple* if all its principal factors are simple or 0-simple.

Theorem 3. Let S be a semisimple periodic combinatorial semigroup with a finite number of idempotents, and let $R = \sum_{s \in S} R_s$ be a structural S-sum. If all rings among the R_s are semilocal (or semiprimary, or right perfect, or left perfect), then R possesses the same property, too.

PROOF of Theorem 1. The case where |S| = 1 is trivial, and so throughout we assume that S is not a singleton. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious, because every S-sum is a structural S-sum.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Suppose that S contains an element t such that $t \neq t^2$. Take any finite field F. Denote by P the ring of all polynomials over F in commuting variables x_1, x_2, \ldots without constant terms. Let I be the ideal generated in P by all polynomials $x_i x_j - x_k x_\ell$, for any positive integers i, j, k, ℓ . Consider the ring $R = P/(I+P^3)$ and its subrings $R_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Fx_i$, $R_{t^2} = Fx_1^2$. Put $R_s = 0$ for all $s \in S \setminus \{t, t^2\}$. Clearly, $R = \sum_{s \in S} R_s$ is an S-sum. Moreover, it is an S-graded ring. The component R_t is not a subring. The component R_{t^2} is finite, and so it is right Artinian and Noetherian. All the other components are zero. However, R is neither Artinian, nor Noetherian. This contradiction shows that S must be a band.

If S is a band, then every S-sum is an S-graded ring, and therefore [3], Corollary 6.4, shows that (iii) holds.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose that S is a finite left regular band. Take any structural S-sum $R = \sum_{s \in S} R_s$ such that all rings among the R_s are right Artinian (Noetherian). Clearly, R is an S-graded ring. Therefore [3], Corollary 6.4, tells us that R is right Artinian (Noetherian), as required.

For any semigroup S, denote by S^0 the semigroup $S \cup \{0\}$ with zero adjoined. Let G be a group, I and Λ nonempty sets, and let $P = (p_{\lambda i})$ be a $\Lambda \times I$ -matrix with entries $p_{\lambda i} \in G^0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $i \in I$. The Rees matrix semigroup $M^0(G; I, \Lambda; P)$ over the group G with sandwich-matrix P consists of zero and all triples $(g; i, \lambda)$, $i \in I$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and $g \in G$, where all triples of the form $(0; i, \lambda)$ are identified with zero, and multiplication is defined by the rule $(g_1; i_1, \lambda_1)(g_2; i_2, \lambda_2) = (g_1 p_{\lambda_1 i_2} g_2; i_1, \lambda_2)$. Lemma 3.1 of [3] immediately gives us the following

A. V. Kelarev

118

Lemma 4. If S is a periodic combinatorial semigroup with a finite number of idempotents, then S^0 has a finite ideal chain

$$0 = S_0 \subseteq S_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_n = S^0$$

such that each factor S_{i+1}/S_i , where $0 \le i \le n-1$, is nil or is isomorphic to a finite Rees matrix semigroup $M^0(\{e\}; I, \Lambda; P)$.

We also need the following well-known properties of semilocal, semiprimary, right and left perfect rings (see, for example, [3], Lemma 4.3).

Lemma 5. The classes of semilocal, semiprimary, right perfect and left perfect rings are closed for ideal extensions, right and left ideals, homomorphic images and finite sums of one-sided ideals.

PROOF of Theorem 2. Put $R_0 = 0$. Then $R = \sum_{s \in S^0} R_s$ is an S^0 -sum. Lemma 4 tells us that S^0 has a finite ideal chain

$$0 = S_0 \subseteq S_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_n = S^0$$

such that each factor S_{i+1}/S_i , where $0 \le i \le n-1$, is nil or is isomorphic to a finite Rees matrix semigroup $M^0(\{e\}; I, \Lambda; P)$. We show by induction on $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ that all rings R_{S_k} are semilocal (semiprimary, right perfect, left perfect).

The induction basis is trivial, as $R_{S_0} = 0$. Suppose that k > 0 and $R_{S_{k-1}}$ has the desired property. Since R_{S_k} is an extension of $R_{S_{k-1}}$ by $R_{S_k}/R_{S_{k-1}}$, in view of Lemma 5 it suffices to show that $R_{S_k}/R_{S_{k-1}}$ belongs to our class.

Clearly, $Q = R_{S_k}/R_{S_{k-1}} = \sum_{s \in S_k \setminus S_{k-1}} R_s$ is a structural S_k/S_{k-1} -sum. By the hypothesis S_k/S_{k-1} is isomorphic to a finite Rees matrix semigroup $M^0(\{e\}; I, \Lambda; P)$. The definition of multiplication in $M^0(\{e\}; I, \Lambda; P)$ implies that $M^0(\{e\}; I, \Lambda; P)$ is a union of its left ideals $L_{\lambda} = \{0\} \cup \{(e; i, \lambda) \mid i \in I\}$, where $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Besides, each L_{λ} is a union of its right ideals $I_i = \{0, (e; i, \lambda)\}$, where $i \in I$. Given that Q is an S_k/S_{k-1} -sum, it follows that Q is a sum of its left ideals $Q_{L_{\lambda}}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and every $Q_{L_{\lambda}}$ is a sum of its right ideals $Q_{I_i} \cong R_{(e;i,\lambda)}$. Since $R_{(e;i,\lambda)}$ is a subring, we know that it is semilocal (semiprimary, right perfect, left perfect). Lemma 5 implies that all the $Q_{L_{\lambda}}$ are semilocal (semiprimary, right perfect, left perfect), too, and so the same can be said of Q. This completes the proof.

PROOF of Theorem 3. Set $R_0 = 0$. Evidently, $R = \sum_{s \in S^0} R_s$ is a structural S^0 -sum. Given that S is semisimple, Lemma 4 tells us that S^0 has a finite ideal chain

$$0 = S_0 \subseteq S_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_n = S^0$$

such that each factor S_{i+1}/S_i , where $0 \le i \le n-1$, is isomorphic to a finite Rees matrix semigroup $M^0(\{e\}; I, \Lambda; P)$. We show by induction on $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ that all rings R_{S_k} are semilocal (semiprimary, right perfect, left perfect).

The induction basis is trivial again. Suppose that k > 0 and $R_{S_{k-1}}$ has the desired property. As above in view of Lemma 5 it suffices to show that $R_{S_k}/R_{S_{k-1}}$ belongs to our class.

Clearly, $R_{S_k}/R_{S_{k-1}} = \sum_{s \in S_k \setminus S_{k-1}} R_s$ is a structural S_k/S_{k-1} -sum. Given that S_k/S_{k-1} is isomorphic to a finite Rees matrix semigroup, since every structural S_k/S_{k-1} -sum is an S_k/S_{k-1} -sum, it follows from Theorem 3 that $R_{S_k}/R_{S_{k-1}}$ is semilocal (semiprimary, right perfect, left perfect). This completes our proof.

References

- [1] J. M. HOWIE, Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [2] A. V. Kelarev, A sum of two locally nilpotent rings may be not nil, Arch. Math. (Basel) 60 (1993), 431–435.
- [3] A. V. KELAREV, Applications of epigroups to graded ring theory, Semigroup Forum 50 (1995), 327–350.
- [4] A. V. Kelarev, On groupoid graded rings, J. Algebra 178 (1995), 391–399.
- [5] A. V. Kelarev, A primitive ring which is a sum of two Wedderburn radical subrings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), 2191–2193.
- [6] A. V. KELAREV, An answer to a question of Kegel on sums of rings, Canad. Math. Bull. 41 (1998), 79–80.
- [7] A. V. KELAREV and N. R. MCCONNELL, Two versions of graded rings, Publ. Math. Debrecen 47 (1995)(3-4), 219-227.
- [8] A. Petravchuk, On associative algebras which are sums of two almost commutative subalgebras, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **53** (1998)(1–2), 191–206.

A. V. KELAREV SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS UNIVERSITY OF TASMANIA G.P.O. BOX 252-37 HOBART, TASMANIA 7001 AUSTRALIA

E-mail: Andrei.Kelarev@utas.edu.au

(Received March 11, 1999)