Contents lists available at bostonsciencepublishing.us

International Journal Of Medicine And Healthcare Reports

3D Bioprinting Methods and Techniques – A Information

CrossMark

Kumari Priya^{*}, Dr. P.M. Chavhan¹

^{*}Applied Science, Amity School of Applied Sciences, Amity University Mumbai, Unnamed Road, Maharashtra 410221

¹Asst. Professor, Amity University Maharashtra, Mumbai

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 06 January 2021

Accepted 12 February 2021

Revised 15 February 2021

Available online 18 February 2021

© 2021, . Shibil PK, Dilip C, Mohamed Sajid AM. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

1. Introduction

3D Bioprinting is a form of additive manufacturing that uses cells and other biocompatible materials as “inks”, also known as bio inks, to print living structures layer-by-layer which mimic the behaviour of natural living systems [1-4].

Three dimensional (3D) bioprinting is the utilization of 3D printing-like techniques to combine cells, growth factors, and biomaterials to fabricate biomedical parts that maximally imitate natural tissue characteristics. Generally, 3D bioprinting utilizes the layer-by-layer [5] method to deposit materials known as bio inks to create tissue-like structures that are later used in medical and tissue engineering fields. Bioprinting covers a broad range of biomaterials [6,7].

Currently, bioprinting can be used to print tissues and organs to help research drugs and pills. However, emerging innovations span from bioprinting of cells or extracellular matrix deposited into a 3D gel layer by layer to produce the desired tissue or organ. In addition, 3D bioprinting has begun to incorporate the printing of scaffolds. These scaffolds can be used to regenerate joints and ligaments [8-11].

3D bioprinting has emerged as a promising new approach for fabricating complex biological constructs in the field of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine [2]. It aims to alleviate the hurdles of conventional tissue engineering methods by precise and controlled layer-by-layer assembly of biomaterials in a desired 3D pattern. The 3D bioprinting of cells, tissues, and organs Collection at Scientific Reports brings together a myriad of studies portraying the capabilities of different bioprinting modalities [7,12,13]. This Collection amalgamates research aimed at 3D bio printing organs for fulfilling demands of organ shortage, cell patterning for better tissue fabrication, and building better disease models.

In the 15th century, the development of the printing (press) industry enabled the fast reproduction of text and images and the widespread dissemination of information, which had a tremendous social, political and financial impact. Five centuries later, 3D printing technology is a new revolution with an expected groundbreaking contribution to industry and to other fields including medicine. After the first developments in 3D printing, which was described as “stereolithography” by Charles W. Hull in the early 1980s, new methods and techniques for the construction of 3D objects have been developed and used for educational, research and even clinical purposes. Initially, stereolithography, also termed photo-solidification, optical fabrication or resin printing, was used to form 3D structures using sequentially printed thin layers of a material processed by ultraviolet light [14-16]. Various additive manufacturing techniques have since been developed for the automated production of personalized, computer-modelled tissue replicas and even organs. A major challenge for 3D printing technologies is the construction of medical devices and biological tissues and organs. Bioprinting is defined as the positioning of biochemicals, biological materials, and living cells for the generation of bioengineered structures (i.e., additive manufacturing) of biological and biologically relevant materials with the use of computer-aided transfer and build-up processes [17-21].

^{*} Corresponding author.

Kumari Priya, Applied Science, Amity School of Applied Sciences, Amity University Mumbai, Unnamed Road, Maharashtra 410221, India

E-mail address: priyachoudhary005679@gmail.com

Basic Principles Of 3D-Bioprinting

3D bioprinting is based on the layer-by-layer precise positioning of biological constituents, biochemicals and living cells, by spatial control of the placement of functional constituents of the fabricated 3D structure.

3D bioprinting is based on three fundamental approaches:

1. Biomimicry or biomimetics,
2. Autonomous self-assembly, and
3. Mini tissue building blocks, as described extensively elsewhere.

The process principally involves preparation, printing, maturation, and application. This can be summarized in the three key steps:

- Pre-bioprinting involves creating the digital model that the printer will produce. The technologies used are Computed Tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans [22,23].
- Bioprinting is the actual printing process, where bio ink is placed in a printer cartridge and deposition takes place based on the digital model.
- Post-bioprinting is the mechanical and chemical stimulation of printed parts so as to create stable structures for the biological material.

How does Bioprinting Work ?

Several bioprinting methods exist, based on either extrusion, inkjet, acoustic, or laser technologies. Despite the various types, a typical bioprinting process has a more-or-fewer standard series of steps:

3D Imaging: To get the exact dimensions of the tissue, a standard CT or MRI scan is used. 3D imaging should provide a perfect fit of the tissue with little or no adjustment required on the part of the surgeon.

3D Modelling: A blueprint is generated using AutoCAD software. The blueprint also includes layer-by-layer instruction in high detail. Fine adjustments may be made at this stage to avoid the transfer of defects [25-26].

Bio ink Preparation: Bio ink is a combination of living cells and a compatible base, like collagen, gelatine, hyaluronan, silk, alginate or nanocellulose. The latter provides cells with scaffolding to grow on and nutriment to survive on. The complete substance is based on the patient and is function specific.

Printing: The 3D printing process involves depositing the bio ink layer-by-layer, where each layer has a thickness of 0.5 mm or less. The delivery of smaller or larger deposits highly depends on the number of nozzles and the kind of tissue being printed. The mixture comes out of the nozzle as a highly viscous fluid.

Solidification: As deposition takes place, the layer starts as a viscous liquid and solidifies to hold its shape. This happens as more layers are continuously deposited. The process of blending and solidification is known as crosslinking and may be aided by UV light, specific chemicals, or heat (also typically delivered via a UV light source) [27-29].

2. Various Techniques

1. Inkjet-Based Bioprinting

Inkjet bioprinting allows for the precise positioning of cells, with some studies achieving as few as a singular cell per printed droplet. Cells and biomaterials are patterned into a desired pattern using droplets, ejected via thermal or piezoelectric processes. Inkjet bioprinting is of great interest as it exhibits high resolution and cell viability. With this process, accurate position of multiple cell types is possible. However, the limitations of vertical printing and restricted viscosities may mean that inkjet bioprinting needs to be combined with other printing techniques for future developments [30].

2. Laser-Based Bioprinting

Stereolithography (SLA) is a technique that uses ultraviolet (UV) or visible light to cure photosensitive polymers in a layer-by-layer fashion. This nozzle-free technique eliminates the negative effects of shear pressure encountered when using nozzle-based bioprinting. It offers a fast and accurate fabrication, with resolutions ranging between 5–300 μm .

Polymerization occurs at the top of the bio ink vat where the biomaterial is exposed to the light energy. After each layer is polymerized, the platform supporting the structure will be lowered in the vat, enabling a new layer to be photopolymerized on top.

3. Laser-based bioprinting

Laser-assisted printing was initially developed to deposit metals onto receiver sheets. Laser-assisted bioprinting (LAB) consists of three parts: a donor-slide (or ribbon), a laser pulse and a receiver-slide. A ribbon is made of a layer of transparent glass, a thin layer of metal, and a layer of bio ink. The bio ink is transferred from the ribbon onto the receiver slide when the metal layer under the hydrogel is vaporized by a laser pulse. This scaffold-free technique has very high cell viabilities (>95) and a resolution between 10–50 μm . Some studies using LAB have demonstrated an accuracy of a singular cell per droplet. LAB has the ability to position multiple cell types with a high degree of accuracy, with several studies demonstrating singular the capability of positioning a singular cell per droplet. However, it is an expensive process to perform and suffers from low stability and scalability. It has shown great potential when combined with other bio fabrication techniques [31].

4. Extrusion-Based Bioprinting

Extrusion-based printing is a pressure-driven technology. The bio ink is extruded through a nozzle, driven either by pneumatic or mechanical pressure, and deposited in a predesigned structure. The main advantage of extrusion bioprinting is the ability to print with very high cell densities. Despite its versatility and benefits, it has some disadvantages when compared to other technologies. The resolution is very limited, as a minimum feature size is generally over 100 μm , which is a poorer resolution than that of other bioprinting techniques. Extrusion printing can be regarded as a promising technology that allows the fabrication of organized constructs at clinically relevant sizes within a reasonable time frame. However, selection of biomaterial and bio ink concentration is important for the survival of the cells during fabrication, as

well as the maintenance of cell viability and functionality post-printing.

Why Is Bioprinting Important ?

The greatest importance of bioprinting lies in the resulting tissue-like structures that mimic the actual micro- and macro-environment of human tissues and organs. This is critical in drug testing and clinical trials, with the potential, for example, to drastically reduce the need for animal trials.

When living tissues and organs need not come from humans, this budding technology offers other massive opportunities. One example is testing treatment for diseases using artificially affected tissues.

The process could also eradicate the headaches associated with organ donation and transplantation. Apart from the lack of available organs, the entire process is criticized from a moral and ethical perspective.

Organ replacement is the main objective, but tissue repair is also possible in the meantime. With bio ink, it's much easier to solve problems on a patient-specific level, promoting simpler operations.

5. Applications

Few of the main application areas of bioprinting:

1. Artificial organs are one of the greatest drivers of the technology due to the high rise of vital organ failure. Availability of 3D printed organs helps to solve organ-related issues faster and quicker, which is important to patients, their families, and healthcare systems.
2. Development of tissues for pharmaceutical testing, when 3D printed, is a more cost-effective and ethical option. It also helps in identifying side effects of drugs and allows recommended drugs to be administered to humans with validated safe dosages.
3. Cosmetic surgery, particularly plastic surgery and skin grafting also benefits from the technology. In this particular application, bio printed skin tissue could be commercialized. Some 3D printed tissues are already being bio printed for research on therapeutic purposes.
4. Bone tissue regeneration as well as prosthetics and dental applications.

There are various other uses and applications of bioprinting, including producing foodstuffs such as meat and vegetables.

3. Conclusion

Additive manufacturing has been heavily applied to tissue engineering over the past decade. Bioprinting enables the production of scaffolds with a homogeneous distribution of cells throughout a scaffold. An organized distribution of different cell types can be positioned within the supporting material, mimicking tissues with multiple cell types or the interface between two tissues. While the choice of material and design impact the viability and proliferation of the printed cells, the different techniques have also shown variable cell activities post-fabrication. Bioprinting is still under development and has many bridges to cross before entering the clinical world, particularly as an in situ direct application. From this brief review, it is concluded that differ-

ent applications require different fabrication techniques, depending on required resolution, speed, cost, the ability to print vertically etc. Future developments are now concentrating on the combining of techniques to work in a complementary fashion to optimize the process of creating tissue-mimicking structures.

Ethics Approval And Consent To Participate

Not Applicable

Consent for Publication

Not Applicable

Conflict Of Interest

The authors confirmed that this article content has no conflict of interest.

Funding

None

References

- Li J, Chen M, Fan X, Zhou H. Recent advances in bioprinting techniques: approaches, applications and future prospects. *J Transl Med*. 2016;14:271. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Cubo N, Garcia M, Del Canizo JF, et al. 3D bioprinting of functional human skin: production and in vivo analysis. *Biofabrication*. 2016;9:015006. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Vijayavenkataraman S, Lu WF, Fuh JY. 3D bioprinting of skin: a state-of-the-art review on modelling, materials, and processes. *Biofabrication*. 2016;8:032001. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Xiongfa J, Hao Z, Liming Z, Jun X. Recent advances in 3D bioprinting for the regeneration of functional cartilage. *Regen Med*. 2018;13:73–87. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Wang X, Ao Q, Tian X, et al. 3D bioprinting technologies for hard tissue and organ engineering. *Materials (Basel)* 2016;9:pii:E802. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Izadifar M, Chapman D, Babyn P, et al. Uv-assisted 3D bioprinting of nanoreinforced hybrid cardiac patch for myocardial tissue engineering. *Tissue Eng Part C Methods*. 2018;24:74–88. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Hoch E, Tovar GE, Borchers K. Bioprinting of artificial blood vessels: current approaches towards a demanding goal. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2014;46:767–778. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Wang Z, Liu Y, Luo H, et al. Is a three-dimensional printing model better than a traditional cardiac model for medical education? A pilot randomized controlled study. *Acta Cardiol Sin*. 2017;33:664–669. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Christensen A, Rybicki FJ. Maintaining safety and efficacy for 3d printing in medicine. *3D Print Med*. 2017;3:1. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Di Prima M, Coburn J, Hwang D, et al. Additively manufactured medical products – the fda perspective. *3D Print Med*. 2016;2:1–6. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Hull CW. Apparatus for production of three-dimensional objects by stereolithography. Google Patents. 1986;US 4575330 A [Google Scholar]
- Groll J, Boland T, Blunk T, et al. Biofabrication: reappraising the definition of an evolving field. *Biofabrication*. 2016;8:013001. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Lee J, Sing S, Zhou M, Yeong W. 3D bioprinting processes: a perspective on classification and terminology. *Int J Bioprint*. 2018;4:151. [Google Scholar]
- Murphy SV, Atala A. 3D bioprinting of tissues and organs. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2014;32:773–785. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Nakamura M, Iwanaga S, Henmi C, et al. Biomaterials and biomaterials for future developments of bioprinting and biofabrication. *Biofabrication*. 2010;2:014110. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Zhang WJ, Liu W, Cui L, Cao Y. Tissue engineering of blood vessel. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2007;11:945–957. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Colunga T, Dalton S. Building blood vessels with vascular progenitor cells. *Trends Mol Med*. 2018;24:630–641. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Teixeira AI, Nealey PF, Murphy CJ. Responses of human keratocytes to micro- and nanostructured substrates. *J Biomed Mater Res A*. 2004;71:369–376. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Discher DE, Janmey P, Wang YL. Tissue cells feel and respond to the stiffness of their substrate. *Science*. 2005;310:1139–1143. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Derby B. Printing and prototyping of tissues and scaffolds. *Science*. 2012;338:921–926. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Chia HN, Wu BM. Recent advances in 3D printing of biomaterials. *J Biol Eng*. 2015;9:4. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Elomaa L, Yang YP. Additive manufacturing of vascular grafts and vascularized tissue constructs. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev*. 2017;23:436–450. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Kesari P, Xu T, Boland T. Layer-by-layer printing of cells and its application to tissue engineering. *Mater Res Soc Symp Proc*. 2005;845:111–117. [Google Scholar]
- Nakamura M, Nishiyama Y, Henmi C, et al. Ink jet three-dimensional digital fabrication for biological tissue manufacturing: analysis of alginate microgel beads produced by ink jet droplets for three dimensional tissue fabrication. *J Imaging Sci Technol*. 2008;52:1–16. [Google Scholar]
- Nishiyama Y, Nakamura M, Henmi C, et al. Development of a three-dimensional bioprinter: construction of cell supporting structures using hydrogel and state-of-the-art inkjet technology. *J Biomech Eng*. 2009;131:035001. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Norotte C, Marga FS, Niklason LE, Forgacs G. Scaffold-free vascular tissue engineering using bioprinting. *Biomaterials*. 2009;30:5910–5917. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Chua CK, Yeung WY. *Bioprinting. Principles and Applications*. Singapore: World Scientific; 2015. [Google Scholar]
- Centola M, Rainer A, Spadaccio C, et al. Combining electrospinning and fused deposition modeling for the fabrication of a hybrid vascular graft. *Biofabrication*. 2010;2:014102. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Skardal A, Zhang J, Prestwich GD. Bioprinting vessel-like constructs using hyaluronan hydrogels crosslinked with tetrahedral polyethylene glycol tetracrylates. *Biomaterials*. 2010;31:6173–6181. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Itoh M, Nakayama K, Noguchi R, et al. Scaffold-free tubular tissues created by a bio-3d printer undergo remodeling and endothelialization when implanted in rat aortae. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0136681. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Pinnock CB, Xu Z, Lam MT. Scaling of engineered vascular grafts using 3d printed guides and the ring stacking method. *J Vis Exp*. 2017:121. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- McElheny C, Hayes D, Devireddy R. Design and fabrication of a low-cost three-dimensional bioprinter. *J Med Device*. 2017;11:0410011–0410019. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

Submit your manuscript to Boston science publishing journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your manuscript at [bostonsciencepublishing.us](https://www.bostonsciencepublishing.us)