January 2012

The Dynamic Simulation of the Three-Phase Brushless Permanent Magnet AC Motor Drives Using Lab View

Sangam Singh

Dept. of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, SRM University, Chennai, India, singh.sangam@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.interscience.in/ijmie>

Part of the [Manufacturing Commons](#), [Operations Research](#), [Systems Engineering and Industrial Engineering Commons](#), and the [Risk Analysis Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Singh, Sangam (2012) "The Dynamic Simulation of the Three-Phase Brushless Permanent Magnet AC Motor Drives Using Lab View," *International Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering*: Vol. 1 : Iss. 3 , Article 2.

DOI: 10.47893/IJMIE.2012.1032

Available at: <https://www.interscience.in/ijmie/vol1/iss3/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Interscience Journals at Interscience Research Network. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering by an authorized editor of Interscience Research Network. For more information, please contact sritampatnaik@gmail.com.

The Dynamic Simulation of the Three-Phase Brushless Permanent Magnet AC Motor Drives Using Lab View

Sangam Singh

Dept. of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, SRM University, Chennai, India
E-mail : singh.sangam@gmail.com

Abstract - In this paper, a mathematical model of the three-phase brushless permanent magnet AC motor drives in abc reference frame is described. A computer simulation of the motor drive is provided which utilized Lab VIEW software. The simulation can be conveniently used to study the dynamic as well as the Steady-state performance of the three-phase permanent magnet AC motor drives; with either trapezoidal or sinusoidal back emfs, under various operating conditions. The simulation results have been given in this paper.

Index Terms: Permanent Magnet AC motor drives, Brushless Trapezoidal Permanent Magnet Motor, Brushless Sinusoidal Permanent Magnet motor, Lab VIEW, Virtual Instruments.

I. INTRODUCTION

There is a requirement to increase the efficiency of AC industrial drives, small or large scale, due to the increased awareness about the energy conservation world-wide. The recent advancements about the permanent magnet materials, the switching power devices and the microelectronic technology have greatly contributed to the new energy efficient and high performance electrical drives, such as Brushless permanent Magnet AC (PMAC) motor drives. These motors have higher efficiency and higher power factor, and their output power per mass and volume are much greater than their counterparts. Furthermore, they have superior dynamic performance, which make them suitable for high performance motor drives.

Depending upon the stator winding arrangement and the shape and the location of the permanent magnets on the rotor, the motors can be broadly classified into two groups. The first group possesses trapezoidal back emfs and is called Brushless Trapezoidal Permanent Magnet (BTPM) Motor, which is also known as Brushless DC Motor. The second type possesses sinusoidal back emfs and is called Brushless Sinusoidal Permanent Magnet (BSPM) motor.

Since BSPM employs a sinusoidal variable frequency PWM inverter as a power supply, the motor is also called Brushless Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor. The principal differences between the two types of motors are the accuracy of the rotor position sensor and their respective control requirements. In order to produce constant electromagnetic torque, they require

sinusoidal or rectangular excitation currents respectively. Any deviation from these ideal current excitations produces torque pulsations. Computer simulation is a very useful technique to analyze the behavior of the motor drive without implementation of the hardware. In addition to this, the drive simulations can easily be forced to operation under the extreme conditions without the fear of damaging the motor drive as in the practical systems.

Although there have been many simulation studies in the literature to predict the behavior of the Brushless PMAC motors, not many studies are user friendly and produce accurate results that can imitate the real drives. In this paper, the Lab VIEW (Laboratory Virtual Instrument Engineering Workbench) software is used as a graphical programming language. In comparison with the other software tools, the simulation with Lab VIEW provides easy debugging features and user-friendly environment. The main objective of this work is to create a general Simulation tool for both types of the PMAC motors, which can be utilized to study the dynamic as well as the steady-state performance under the various excitation modes and the load conditions.

II. THE MOTOR DRIVE MODEL

In order to obtain a general dynamic model for the BSTM and BTPM motors, the three-phase abc modeling approach is used in this paper. Since the rotor of a PMAC motor has high receptivity, the effects of the stator currents on the total flux distribution may be ignored under the normal operating conditions. Therefore, a network consisting of a winding resistance,

an equivalent winding inductance, can model the three-phase star-connected PMAC motor and a back emf source per phase, all connected in series. In this work, it is assumed that the stator resistances of all the windings are equal and the self and the mutual inductances are constant. Therefore, the voltage equations in the matrix form of a three-phase PMAC motor are expressed as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_1 \\ i_2 \\ i_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} L & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & L \end{bmatrix} \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} i_1 \\ i_2 \\ i_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Here V_1 , V_2 , and V_3 are the phase voltages; R is the winding resistance; i_1 , i_2 , and i_3 are the line currents; L is the equivalent winding inductance; and e_1 , e_2 , and e_3 are the back emfs of the phases.

In the PMAC motors, because of the large air gap between stator and rotor, the saturation is neglected. Therefore, the flux linkages become a linear function of the phase currents, and hence the electromagnetic torque is given by:

$$T_e = \frac{1}{\omega_r} (e_1 i_1 + e_2 i_2 + e_3 i_3) \quad (2)$$

Here ω_r is the angular speed of the rotor.

However, in order to study the transient behavior of the PMAC motors, the mechanical state equation must be also known, that is given as

$$T_e - T_l = J \frac{d\omega_r}{dt} \quad (3)$$

Here T_l is the load torque; J is the inertia of the motor and the connected load.

As mentioned earlier the two groups of motors (BTPM and BSPM) possess different back emf waveforms.

If the stator windings of the three-phase motor are symmetrically displaced, the ideal back emf equations of the BSPM motor can be given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_m \sin(\theta_e) \\ E_m \sin(\theta_e - 2\pi/3) \\ E_m \sin(\theta_e - 4\pi/3) \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

For the BTPM motors, however, the back emf waveform of one phase is given in piecewise linear form as:

Note that Eq. 5 should be repeated to define the other two phases of the motor simply by shifting 120 degree electrical. Moreover, please remember that the

practical back emf waveforms always deviate from these ideal assumptions. In these cases, the back emf waveforms may be modeled by using a look-up table or multiple harmonic components of the real waveform.

In Eqns. 4 and 5, E_m is the maximum value of the back emfs that can be given by

$$E_m = k_e \omega_r \quad (6)$$

Where k_e is the back emf constant, and θ_e is electrical rotor position that is given by:

$$\theta_e = p\theta_r = p \int \omega_r dt \quad (7)$$

Here θ_r is the mechanical rotor position and p is the number of pole pairs of the motor.

Generally, three-phase brushless PM motors are powered from the three-phase inverters. Therefore, to obtain a complete drive simulation, the inverter should also be modeled.

The inverter that is controlled by the switching signal provides the desired terminal voltage to each phase winding of the motor. In practical motor drives, the Motor is normally connected the star, and the star point is normally left floating (which means that the star point is not linked to anywhere in the power circuit).

Therefore, due to the inverter switching the star point Voltage varies and the effective winding voltage depends on the star-point voltage, which should also be determined in the simulation. Due to the symmetry in the motor windings, only one of the phase (Phase 1) voltages is analysed below, which can be repeated for the other two phases. If V_a is the terminal voltage of the motor and V_s is the floating star-point voltage of the motor, both relative to the mid point of the DC link voltage of the inverter, the phase voltage V_1 can be given as

$$v_1 = v_a - v_s \quad (8)$$

$$v_s = \left(\frac{v_a + v_b + v_c}{3} \right) - \left(\frac{e_1 + e_2 + e_3}{3} \right) \quad (9)$$

The terminal voltage V_a is determined by the switching states of the phase, which can be either $\pm V_{dc}/2$. For the star-connected PMAC motor, it is always true that the summation of the line currents equals to zero. Therefore, when all three phases conduct current, the floating star-point voltage of the motor can be easily derived from the three-voltage equation that is given in Eq.1.

Similarly, if only two of the phases (say Phase 1 and 2) are conducting currents, the floating star-point voltage of the motor can be derived as

$$v_s = \left(\frac{v_a + v_b}{2} \right) - \left(\frac{e_1 + e_2}{2} \right) \quad (10)$$

The Table 1 summarizes the estimated star point and phase voltage values of the inverter driven motors [2, 3], which are also used in the simulation model of the motor drive.

TABLE 1

The summary of the star point and the phase voltages

TRAPEZOIDAL BACK EMFs	SINUSOIDAL BACK EMFs
$e_1 + e_2 + e_3 \neq 0$ (except zero crossing instants)	$e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 0$
$v_n, v_b, v_c = \pm V_{dc} / 2$	
$v_i = K [(v_a + v_b + v_c) - (e_1 + e_2 + e_3)]$	
If $i_1 = 0$ $K = 1/2$ then $v_1 = e_1, v_2 = v_b - v_c, v_3 = v_c - v_a$	
If $i_2 = 0$ $K = 1/2$ then $v_1 = v_a - v_c, v_2 = e_2, v_3 = v_c - v_a$	
If $i_3 = 0$ $K = 1/2$ then $v_1 = v_a - v_c, v_2 = v_b - v_c, v_3 = e_3$	
If $i_1 \neq 0, i_2 \neq 0, i_3 \neq 0$ $K = 1/3$ then	
$v_1 = v_a - v_c, v_2 = v_b - v_c, v_3 = v_c - v_a$	

III. THE VIRTUAL INSTRUMENT OF THE DRIVE

The programs in Lab VIEW applications are called Virtual Instruments (VI). Similar to a subroutine used in the C language, any VI in Lab VIEW can be used as a sub-VI in the block diagram (where the programming is done) of a high-level VI. The sub-VIs can also be called from the inside of another sub-VI, and there is no limit to the number of sub-VI used in Lab VIEW. This hierarchical nature provides a very flexible and powerful programming environment. A number of sub-VIs is implemented in this study. The VI named "motor" is based on the motor's functions that are summarized in the previous sections. The "motor" sub-VI consists of four-computation subsection. The first section calculates the electrical rotor position according to the Equation.7. The second section produces the back emfs by using the Equation.4 or 5. The three phase voltages are estimated in the third section based on the formulas given in Table 1. Finally, the section four solves the differential equations (Equation.1) and computes the electromagnetic torque of the motor (Equation.2).

The "motor" sub-VI is customized and six inputs and four outputs are defined, which are used to link to

the other sub-VIs in the program. One of the inputs is the array of the inputs for the switching signals, which are used to link the control signals of the power devices in the inverter. The parameters of the motor and the calculation interval are the other two inputs in the sub-VI. In order to set the initial values at the top-level VI, the final step values of the currents and the rotor position also provided as the inputs to the "motor" sub-VI. An input signal named "mode" is defined to select a BTPM or a BSPM motor to be simulated. The outputs of the "motor" sub-VI include the line currents, the phase voltages, the torque and the rotor position. Similar to the practical motor drive system, the "control" sub-VI is implemented as the current controller of the motor. From the control point of view, both the BSPM and BTPM motors can accommodate the identical current controller. The only difference is that they need either sinusoidal or rectangular current reference signals respectively. Therefore, the first function of the "control" sub-VI is to generate the three-phase current reference signals. The reference current waveforms can be either as ideal sine waves or as piecewise rectangular waveforms, which can be expressed per phase as shown below.

$$i_1 = I_m \sin(\theta_e) \quad (11)$$

$$i_1 = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 < \theta_e \leq \frac{\pi}{6} \\ I_m & \frac{\pi}{6} < \theta_e \leq \frac{5\pi}{6} \\ 0 & \frac{5\pi}{6} < \theta_e \leq \frac{7\pi}{6} \\ -I_m & \frac{7\pi}{6} < \theta_e \leq \frac{11\pi}{6} \\ 0 & \frac{11\pi}{6} < \theta_e \leq 2\pi \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Here I_m is the amplitude of the stator current command. As stated previously, because of the three-phase symmetry, only one phase of the current reference signal is given above. The other two phases can be obtained by shifting Eqns.11 and 12, 120degree electrical angle. Although there are various current control schemes used in practice, which force the actual current to follow the reference signal, only two of the commonly used schemes are implemented here: the Hysteresis Current Controller and the PWM Current Controller. The "control" sub-VI also contains the Hysteresis Current Controller and the PWM Current Controller, which to produce the switching signals required by the "motor" sub-VI.

The "control" sub-VI has six inputs and one output. The rotor position and the amplitude value of the current command are the two inputs that are used to generate

the reference current signals. The line current inputs are used as the current feedback signals (which simulate the current transducers in practice) for the controller. The parameters of the controller and the calculation interval are two other inputs. In order to distinguish the motor and controller types, two "mode" input signals are also implemented.

Figure. 1 shows the block diagram of the VI that can be used to simulate the steady-state operation of the PMAC motor drives. There are four "waveform graphs" in this block diagram, which display the line currents, the phase voltages, the electromagnetic torque Per-phase or all three phase currents and voltages can be displayed in the graph.

Figure 1: The block diagram of the drive for the steady-state operation.

In order to simulate the transient performance of the motor drive while maintaining the simplicity, the VI shown in Figure.1 is integrated into the "drive" sub-VI that has five inputs and three outputs. The inputs are the current command, the angular speed, the rotor position, the currents and the calculation interval. The outputs include the torque, the rotor position and the currents.

The inputs and outputs of rotor position and currents are used to set the initial values. Furthermore, two additional sub-VIs are built to solve the mechanical equation (Equation.3) and to simulate a PI speed regulator.

Figure 2: The closed-loop system VI.

All of the above mentioned three sub-VIs are linked to obtain a closed loop drive VI for the PMAC motors (Figure. 2). This final VI can be used to study the various operating modes of the PMAC motor drives as mentioned previously.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

The simulation results presented in this paper have been specifically chosen to demonstrate some of the typical operations that occur in the operation of the motors. The results provide a confirmation of the validity of the current, the voltage, and the torque estimation of the drive in both the steady state and the transient operation.. The below motor parameters are used to simulate both the BTM and BSPM motor drives in this paper.

The test motor name plate data and measured parameters:

Torque constant, $K_t = 0.310 \text{ Nm/A}$

Back emf constant, $k_e = 0.417 \text{ V/rad/s}$

Moment of inertia, $J = 0.0008 \text{ kgm}^2$

Number of poles $P = 8$

Winding resistance, $R = 0.8 \text{ W}$

Equivalent winding

Inductance, $L = 3.12 \text{ mH}$

Firstly, the "drive" VI was used to simulate the steady-state operation of the motor. To obtain the simulation results, the parameters of the drive must be set on the Front Panel (the user interface) of the VI. The input parameters are classified into three groups.

The first group is the motor parameters, which include the number of pole pairs, the winding resistance R , the equivalent winding inductance L , the back EMF constant k_e , and the DC link voltage of the inverter. The second group contains the current controller's parameters, which are the modulation frequency of the PWM Current Controller, the hysteresis bandwidth of the Hysteresis Current Controller and the phase advance/delay angle of the current command. The third group is called the "mode" parameters, which are used to select the type of the back emf (trapezoidal or sinusoidal), the wave shape of the reference current (rectangular or sinusoidal), and finally the type of the current controller (hysteresis or PWM). In addition to the above parameters, the reference speed, reference current and integration time step are set on the Front Panel of the VI.

Figure. 3 shows the simulated results under the steady state operating condition of the test motor. Phase1

voltage, Line 1 current and the total electromagnetic torque of the BTPM motor.

Figure 3: The simulated waveforms under the steady-state operations of the BTPM motor: 500 rpm, Vdc = 50 V, Hysteresis Current Controller with a bandwidth of $\pm 0.2A$, Im(ref) = 5A, no phase advance or delay angle.

Figure 4: The simulated waveforms under the steady-state operations of the BSPM motor: 500 rpm, Vdc = 50 V, Hysteresis Current Controller with a

bandwidth of $\pm 0.2A$, Im(ref) = 5A, no phase advance or delay angle

Figure. 4 shows the simulated results under the steady state operating condition of the test motor. Phase1 voltage, Line 1 current and the total electromagnetic torque of the BSPM motor

Figure 5: The simulated waveforms under steady-state operation for the similar settings for BTPM motor as in Fig. 3 but without the current control.

Figure 6: The simulated waveforms under steady-state operation for the similar settings for BSPM motor as in Fig. 4 but without the current control.

Figure 7: The simulated waveforms under the transient operation of the BTM motor drives, $V_{dc} = 56$ V

Figure 8: The simulated waveforms under the transient operation of the BSPM motor drives, $V_{dc} = 56$ V

Figure.7 &8 illustrates the results obtained under the transient operation of the motor drives. In this test, the motor accelerates from standstill up to the constant speed of 500 rpm. In this mode of operation, since the current demand was set to a maximum, and DC link voltage was kept constant, after starting of the motor, the current profile reduces as the speed increases.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The paper demonstrated that the complex drive structure that exist in the BTM and BSPM motor drives can be simplified by using the graphical programming language Lab VIEW. The simulation structure in Lab VIEW provides easy debugging and a very friendly user interface. The simulation tool can work under the steady state as well as the dynamic operating conditions and can provide an accurate analysis tool for the brushless PMAC motor drives. In addition, the simulation tool can be used to study further control and parameter estimation Concepts in the motor drives.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jacek F. and Mitchell W., "Permanent Magnet Motor Technology," Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1997.
- [2] Ertugrul N., "Position Estimation and Performance Prediction for Permanent-Magnet Motor Drives," Ph.D. Thesis, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, UK, 1993.
- [3] Ertugrul N., Eric Chong, "Modeling and Simulation of an Axial Field Brushless Permanent Magnet Motor Drive," European Power Electrical Conference, Trondheim, Norway, 1997.
- [4] Azizur M. R. and Ping Z., "Analysis of Brushless Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, Vol. 43, No.2, April 1996.
- [5] Lab VIEW Tutorial Manual, National Instruments 1996.
- [6] T.M. Jahns, "Torque production in permanent magnet synchronous motor drives with rectangular current excitation," IEEE Trans. Industry Application, Vol. 20, No. 4, July/Aug., 1984, pp. 803-813.
- [7] G.R. Slemon, "Achieving a constant power speed range for PM drives," IEEE Trans. on Industry

- Applications, Vol. 31, No. 2, pp.368-372, March April 1995.
- [8] S.D. Sudhoff, E.L. Zivi, and T.D. Collins, "Start up performance offload-commutated inverter-fed synchronous machine drives," IEEE Trans. Energy Conversion, Vol. 10, No. 2, June 1995, pp. 268-274.
- [9] S.D. Sudhoff, K.A. Corzine, and H.J. Hegner, "A flux-weakening Strategy for current-regulated surface mounted permanent-magnet machine drives," IEEE Trans. Energy Conversion, Vol. 10, No. 3, Sept. 1995. DD. 431-437.
- [10] K.i. Korzine, S. D. Sudhoff, and H.J. Hegner, "Analysis of a current regulated Brushless DC drive," IEEE Trans. Energy Conversion, Vol. 10 No. 3, Sept. 1995, pp. 438-445.
- [11] Jilay, R.Krishnan, "Modeling, simulation, and analysis of Permanent-magnet motor drives, Part II; The brushless DC motor drive," IEEE Trans. Industry Applications, Vol. 25, No. 2, Marc April 1989, pp.274-279.
- [12] B.R. Pelly, Thyristor phase controlled converters and cycloconverters, Wiley Interscience, 1971.