October 2013

A HIGH-PERFORMANCE AND LOW-POWER DELAY BUFFER

GOPALA KRISHNA.M

Dept. of ECE, MIC College of Technology, Vijayawada, AP, India, gopal.ece16@gmail.com

UMA SANKAR.CH

Dept. of ECE, Universal College of Engineering, Guntur, AP, India, sankarch.4u@gmail.com

NEELIMA. S

Dept. of ECE, Gandhiji Institute of Science & Technology, Jagaaiahpet, AP, India, neelima.sayana@gmail.com

KOTESWARA RAO.P

Dept. of ECE, Madhira Institute of Technology & Sciences, Kodad, AP, India, hodecemitsg7@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.interscience.in/ijeee>

Part of the [Power and Energy Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

KRISHNA.M, GOPALA; SANKAR.CH, UMA; S, NEELIMA.; and RAO.P, KOTESWARA (2013) "A HIGH-PERFORMANCE AND LOW-POWER DELAY BUFFER," *International Journal of Electronics and Electrical Engineering*: Vol. 2 : Iss. 2 , Article 2.

DOI: 10.47893/IJEEE.2013.1072

Available at: <https://www.interscience.in/ijeee/vol2/iss2/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Interscience Journals at Interscience Research Network. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Journal of Electronics and Electrical Engineering by an authorized editor of Interscience Research Network. For more information, please contact sritampatnaik@gmail.com.

A HIGH-PERFORMANCE AND LOW-POWER DELAY BUFFER

¹GOPALA KRISHNA.M, ²UMA SANKAR.CH, ³NEELIMA.S & ⁴KOTESWARA RAO.P

¹Assistant Professor, Dept. of ECE, MIC College of Technology, Vijayawada, AP, India

²Assistant Professor, Dept. of ECE, Universal College of Engineering, Guntur, AP, India

³Assistant Professor, Dept. of ECE, Gandhiji Institute of Science & Technology, Jaggaiahpet, AP, India

⁴Associate Professor, Dept. of ECE, Madhira Institute of Technology & Sciences, Kodad, AP, India

Email:gopal.ece16@gmail.com, sankarch.4u@gmail.com, neelima.sayana@gmail.com, hodecemitsg7@gmail.com

Abstract: In this paper, presents circuit design of a low-power delay buffer. The proposed delay buffer uses several new techniques to reduce its power consumption. Since delay buffers are accessed sequentially, it adopts a ring-counter addressing scheme. In the ring counter, double-edge-triggered (DET) flip-flops are utilized to reduce the operating frequency by half and the C-element gated-clock strategy is proposed. Both total transistor count and the number of clocked transistors are significantly reduced to improve power consumption and speed in the flip-flop. The number of transistors is reduced by 56%-60% and the Area-Speed-Power product is reduced by 56%-63% compared to other double edge triggered flip-flops. This design is suitable for high-speed, low-power CMOS VLSI design applications.

Keywords: C-element, delay buffer, first-in-first-out (FIFO), gated-clock, ring-counter.

1. INTRODUCTION

The latest advances in mobile battery-powered devices such as the Personal Digital Assistants and mobile phones have set new goals in digital VLSI design. These goals include the need for high-speed digital circuits at low power consumption. Flip-flops and latches are used as the storage elements in a clocking system. A careful design of storage elements will contribute in the increased performance and reduced power consumption of a VLSI system. The former approach is convenient since SRAM compilers are readily available and they are optimized to generate memory modules with low power consumption and high operation speed with a compact cell size. The latter approach is also convenient since shift register can be easily synthesized, though it may consume much power due to unnecessary data movement.

Since the ring counter is made up of an array of D-type flip-flops (DFFs) triggered by a global clock signal and all except one DFFs have a value of "0," it is possible to disable the clock signal to most DFFs. Such a gated-clock ring counter is implemented in [6] to compose a low-power first-in-first-out (FIFO) memory. In this paper, we propose to use double-edge-triggered (DET) flip-flops instead of traditional DFFs in the ring counter to halve the operating clock frequency. A novel approach using the C-elements instead of the R-S flip-flops in the control logic for generating the clock-gating signals is adopted to avoid increasing the loading of the global clock signal. In addition to gating the clock signal going to the DET flip-flops in the ring counter, we also proposed to gate the drivers in the clock tree. The technique will greatly decrease the loading on distribution network of the clock signal for the ring counter and thus the overall power consumption. The same technique is applied to the input driver and

output driver of the memory part in the delay buffer. In a delay buffer based on the SRAM cell array such as the one in [6], the read/write circuitry is through the bit lines that work as data buses. In the proposed new delay buffer, we use a tree hierarchy for the read/write circuitry of the memory module. For the write circuitry, in each level of the driver tree, only one driver along the path leading to the addressed memory word is activated. Similarly, a tree of multiplexers and gated drivers comprise the read circuitry for the proposed delay buffer. Simulation results show the effectiveness of the above techniques in power reduction. As an example, a 256 x 8 delay buffer chip is designed and fabricated. Measured results indicate its much better power performance than the same-size delay buffer based on existing commercial SRAM.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II first introduces the conventional architecture for implementing delay buffers. Next, the proposed delay buffer using the new ring counter and gated driver trees for the read and write circuits of the memory module is described in Section III. Section IV then presents experimental results of the new delay buffer. Also, comparison in power and area of the new delay buffer with conventional SRAM-based delay buffers are given. Section V then concludes this paper.

2. CONVENTIONAL DELAY BUFFERS

The simplest way to implement a delay buffer is to use shift registers as shown in Fig. 1. If the buffer length is and the word-length is N, then a total of N_b , DFFs are required, and it can be quite large if a standard cell for DFF is used. In addition, this approach can consume huge amount of power since on the average $N_b/2$ binary signals make transitions in every clock cycle. As a result, this implementation is

usually used in short delay buffers, where area and power are of less concern.

Figure 1: Delay buffer implemented by shift registers

A. Propagation Delay Expression and Minimum Delay Buffer Insertion for RC Interconnects:

The propagation delay of an interconnect of length with buffers can be modeled as the sum of delays of the individual inverter-interconnect segments, as shown in Fig. 2. Further, the delay of each inverter-interconnect segment is typically modeled as the sum of delays of the inverter t_{inv} and the interconnect t_{wire} [4].

Figure 2: Buffer inserted RC distributed interconnect of length l with m buffers of size W

The buffer delay expressions presented are for a rising input signal transition. Delay for a falling input can be obtained using similar analysis. Devices are modeled using the th-power law model [14]. The propagation delay of the inverter can be obtained from inverter output voltage $V_{DS}(t)$, as follows:

$$t_{pd} = m \cdot (t_{inv} + t_{wire}). \quad (1)$$

$$t_{inv} = t_{0.5V_{DD}} - \frac{t_r}{2} \quad (2)$$

where $V_{DS}(t_{0.5V_{DD}}) = 0.5V_{DD}$, and t_r is the 0%–100% rise time of the input signal.

SRAM-based delay buffers are more popular in long delay buffers because of the compact SRAM cell size and small total area. Also, the power consumption is much less than shift registers because only two words are accessed in each clock cycle: one for write-in and the other for read-out. A binary counter can be used for address generation since the memory words are accessed sequentially.

Though the SRAM-based delay buffers do away with many data transitions, there still can be considerable power consumption in the SRAM address decoder and the read/write circuits. In fact, since the memory words are accessed sequentially, we can use a ring counter with only one rotating active cell to point to the words for write-in and read-

out. This method, known as the pointer-based scheme [5], is illustrated in Fig. 2. The bottom row of D-type flip-flops is initialized with only one “1” (the active cell) and all the other DFFs are kept at “0.” When a clock edge triggers the DFFs, this “1” signal is propagated forward. Consequently, the traditional binary address decoder can be replaced by this “unary-coded” ring counter. Compared to the shift register delay buffers, this approach propagates only one “1” in the ring counter instead of propagating N b -bit words. Obviously, with much less data transitions, the pointer-based delay buffers can save a lot of power. As shown in Fig. 3, when the input of the first DFF in a block is asserted, it sets the output of the R-S flip-flop to “1” at the next clock edge. Thus, the incoming “1” can be trapped in that block and continue to propagate inside the block. On the other hand, the successful propagation of “1” to the first DFF in the next block can henceforth shut down the unnecessary clock signal in the current block.

Figure 3: Ring counter with clock gated by R-S flip-flop

3. PROPOSED DESIGN

In the proposed delay buffer, several power reduction techniques are adopted. Mainly, these circuit techniques are designed with a view to decreasing the loading on high fan-out nets, e.g., clock and read/write ports. We propose to replace the R-S flip-flop by a C-element and to use tree-structured clock drivers with gating so as to greatly reduce the loading on active clock drivers. Additionally, DET flip-flops are used to reduce the clock rate to half and thus also reduce the power consumption on the clock signal. The proposed ring counter with hierarchical clock gating and the control logic is shown in Fig. 4. Each block contains one C-element to control the delivery of the local clock signal “ $CLK_{i,j}$ ” to the DET flip-flops, and only the “CKE signals along the path passing the global clock source to the local clock signal are active. The “gate” signal ($CKE_{i,j}$) can also be derived from the output of the DET flip-flops in the ring counter. The C-element is an essential element in asynchronous circuits for handshaking. One of its implementation is shown in Fig. 5(a) [7]. The logic of the C-element is given by

$$C^+ = AB + AC + BC$$

Where A as well as B are its two inputs and C^+ as well as C are the next and current outputs. If $A=B$, then the next output C^+ will be the same as A. Otherwise $A \neq B$, and C^+ remain unchanged. Since the output of C-element can only be changed when $A=B$, it can avoid the possibility of glitches, a crucial property for a clock gating signal.

Figure 4: (a) Ring counter with clock gated by C-elements, (b) tree-structured clock drivers with gating, and (c) control logic for clock enable signals

In order to reduce more power, we replace DFFs by double-edge-triggered flip-flops [8] [see Fig. 5(b)] and operate the ring counter at half speed. With such changes, the clock gating control mechanism in Fig. 4(a) is different from the one in Fig. 3. When the input of the last DET flip-flop in the previous block changes to “1” making both two inputs of the C-element the same, the clock signal in the current block will be turned on. When the output of the first

DET flip-flop in the current block is asserted, then both inputs of the C-element in the previous block go to “0” and the clock for the previous block is disabled.

Figure 5: Circuit diagrams of (a) the C-element [7] and (b) the double-edge-triggered Flip Flop

To save area, the memory module of a delay buffer is often in the form of an SRAM array with input/output data bus as in [6]. Special read/write circuitry, such as a sense amplifier, is needed for fast and low-power operations. However, of all the memory cells, only two words will be activated: one is written by the input data and the other is read to the output. Driving the input signal all the way to all memory cells seems to be a waste of power. The same can be said for the read circuitry of the output port. In light of the previous gated-clock tree technique, we shall apply the same idea to the input driving/output sensing circuitry in the memory module of the delay buffer. The memory words are also grouped into blocks. Each memory block associates with one DET flip-flop block in the proposed ring counter and one DET

flip-flop output addresses a corresponding memory word for read-out and at the same time addresses the word that was read one-clock earlier for write-in. Fig. 6(a) depicts the tree-structured hierarchy of tri-state inverters used for delivering the input word to the addressed memory word.

Figure 6: (a) Gated-driver tree of input driving circuitry and (b) its timing diagram

4. SIMULATION RESULTS

A delay buffer based on the proposed techniques is designed and implemented in 0.18- μm CMOS technology. The standard 6-T SRAM cell is used in the delay buffer. Eight DET flip-flops, eight memory words, and associated control logic are designed in a full-custom fashion and grouped as one block. We have simulated the proposed delay buffer with various lengths in 0.18 μm CMOS technology. The word-length is set to 8 bits. The area and power consumption are estimated from post layout simulation. In addition, we compared the simulated results with the values provided by a commercial SRAM compiler in the same technology. Since in each clock cycle, one read and one write operations are necessary for the delay buffer of length N , either one two-port SRAM with N words or two one-port SRAMs each with $N/2$ words is required. Fig. 7(a) shows the simulated power consumption at 135-MHz operating frequency and 1.8-V supply voltage. Fig. 7(b) depicts their occupied area. From Fig. 7, we can see that the proposed delay buffer outperforms both the two-port and single-port SRAM-based delay buffers in terms of power consumption. In addition, the area of the proposed delay buffer is smaller than

the SRAM-based delay buffers when the buffer length is shorter than 256. Fig. 8 shows the total power consumption in normal operation mode and the leakage power consumption in idle (disabled clock) mode for 90-nm and 65-nm technology, respectively. Note that the total power consumption in normal operation mode is not logarithmically proportional to the length of the delay buffer.

Figure 7: Simulated results of (a) power and (b) area of various delay buffers versus different lengths

Instead, due to the quad tree structure for all the driving circuitry, delay buffers of length N have approximate dynamic power because basically these two cases activate the same number of drivers. We can see that the superiority of the proposed circuit is still obvious in 90-nm technology in that the leakage power is almost negligible. Even in the more advanced 65-nm technology, the leakage power can be controlled to within an acceptable level for medium-length delay buffers with the dual- V_t approach. For longer-length delay buffers and for more advanced technology, other leakage reduction

techniques such as the “sleep” transistors in SRAM (Latch) cells can help to reduce leakage power [9].

Figure 8: Simulated power (with leakage power) of the proposed delay buffer architecture in (a) 90-nm CMOS technology and (b) 65-nm CMOS technology

5. CONCLUSION

We presented a low-power delay buffer architecture which adopts several novel techniques to reduce power consumption. The ring counter with clock gated by the C-elements can effectively eliminate the excessive data transition without increasing loading on the global clock signal. The gated-driver tree technique used for the clock distribution networks can eliminate the power wasted on drivers that need not be activated. Another gated-demultiplexer tree and a gated-multiplexer tree are used for the input and output driving circuitry to decrease the loading of the input and output data bus. All gating signals are easily generated by a C-element taking inputs from some DET flip-flop outputs of the ring counter. Measurement results indicate that the proposed architecture consumes only about 13% to 17% of the conventional SRAM-based delay buffers in 0.18- m CMOS technology. Further simulations also demonstrate its advantages in nanometer CMOS technology.

If optimization is applied it is possible to achieve much higher speeds with the proposed Gated Driver while keeping the power consumption low. It is then concluded that the proposed Gated Driver appears to be the most suitable delay element in high-speed, low-power VLSI applications.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their comments which were very helpful in improving the quality and presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES:

1. K. Zhang, U. Bhattacharya, Z. Chen, F. Hamzaoglu, D. Murray, N. Vallepalli, Y.Wang, B. Zheng, and M. Bohr, “SRAM design on 65-nm CMOS technology with dynamic

- sleep transistor for leakage reduction,” *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 895–901, Apr. 2005.
2. E. K. Tsern and T. H. Meng, “A low-power video-rate pyramid VQ decoder,” *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 31, no. 11, pp. 1789–1794, Nov. 1996.
3. M. L. Liou, P. H. Lin, C. J. Jan, S. C. Lin, and T. D. Chiueh, “Design of an OFDM baseband receiver with space diversity,” *IEE Proc. Commun.*, vol. 153, no. 6, pp. 894–900, Dec. 2006.
4. N. Shibata, M. Watanabe, and Y. Tanabe, “A current-sensed high-speed and low-power first-in-first-out memory using a wordline/bitline-swapped dual-port SRAM cell,” *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 735–750, Jun. 2002.
5. J. Yuan and C. Svensson, “High-speed CMOS circuit technique,” *IEEE J. Solid-state Circuits*, vol. 24, pp. 62–71, 1989.
6. V. Adler and E. G. Friedman, “Uniform repeater insertion in RC trees,” *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I, Fundam. Theory App.*, vol. 47, no. 10, pp. 1515–1523, Oct. 2000.
7. R. Hosain, L. D. Wronshi, and A. Albicki, “Low power design using double edge triggered flip-flop,” *IEEE Trans. Very Large Scale Integr. (VLSI) Syst.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 261–265, Jun. 1994.

AUTHORS PROFILE:

Gopala Krishna.M, working as Assistant professor in MIC College of Technology, has 3 years of Teaching Experience. He received his B.Tech degree in ECE from Anurag College of Engg, Kodad in 2009.

UmaSankar.CH, working as Assistant professor in Universal college of Engg, received his B.Tech degree in ECE from Anurag College of Engg, Kodad in 2009 and M.Tech degree from JNTUH in 2011.

Neelima.S, working as Assistant professor in Gandhiji Institute of Science & Technology, has 6 years of Industry and Teaching Experience. She received her M.Tech degree in VLSI from JNTUK in 2011.

Koteswara Rao.P, working as Associate professor in Madhira Institute of Science & Technology, has 12 years of Teaching Experience. He received his B.Tech degree in ECE from Bapatla Engg College in 1993 and M.Tech degree from JNTUK in 2009.