

Research Article

On the comaximal (ideal) graph associated with amalgamated algebra

Zinat Rastgar¹, Kazem Khashyarmanesh^{1,*}, Mojgan Afkhami²

¹Department of Pure Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O. Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Iran

²Department of Mathematics, University of Neyshabur, P.O. Box 91136-899, Neyshabur, Iran

(Received: 30 June 2022. Received in revised form: 26 September 2022. Accepted: 27 September 2022. Published online: 5 October 2022.)

© 2022 the authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY (International 4.0) license (www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism of the commutative rings A and B with identities. Let J be an ideal of B . The amalgamation of A with B along J with respect to f is a subring of $A \times B$ given by $A \bowtie^f J := \{(a, f(a) + j) \mid a \in A, j \in J\}$. In this paper, we investigate the comaximal ideal graph and the comaximal graph of the amalgamated algebra $A \bowtie^f J$. In particular, we determine the Jacobson radical of $A \bowtie^f J$, characterize the diameter of the comaximal ideal graph of $A \bowtie^f J$, and investigate the clique number as well as the chromatic number of this graph.

Keywords: comaximal graph; comaximal ideal graph; amalgamated algebra.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C25, 13A15, 13B99.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, A and B denote commutative rings with non-zero identities and $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism with the condition $f(1_A) = 1_B$. Also, assume that J is an ideal of B .

The amalgamation of A with B along J with respect to f is a subring of $A \times B$, which is defined as follows:

$$A \bowtie^f J := \{(a, f(a) + j) \mid a \in A, j \in J\}.$$

In the case when f is the identity homomorphism and I is an ideal of A , the subring

$$A \bowtie I := \{(a, a + i) \mid a \in A, i \in I\}$$

of $A \times A$ is called the amalgamated duplication of A along I .

The concept of amalgamated algebra was introduced and studied by D'Anna, Finocchiaro, and Fontana in [4,5]. Clearly if $J = 0$, then $A \bowtie^f J \cong A$. Also, $A \bowtie^f J \cong A \times B$ whenever $J = B$. For detail about amalgamated algebra, the reader is referred to [4–6].

Let R be a commutative ring with nonzero identity and $U(R)$ be the set of unit elements of R . Also, let $\text{Jac}(R)$ denote the Jacobson radical of R and $Z(R)$ be the set of all zero divisors of R . Moreover, $V(J)$ stands for the set of all prime ideals of R containing the ideal J . In [12], Sharma and Bhatwadekar defined the comaximal graph of R , denoted by $\Gamma(R)$, whose vertices are all the elements of R and two distinct vertices a and b are adjacent if and only if $Ra + Rb = R$. In 2008, Maimani et al. in [9], studied and investigated the induced subgraph of $\Gamma(R)$ on the set $R \setminus (U(R) \cup \text{Jac}(R))$, which is denoted by $\Gamma'_2(R)$. In [14], Ye and Wu defined the comaximal ideal graph of the commutative ring R , denoted by $\mathcal{C}(R)$. The vertex set of $\mathcal{C}(R)$ consists of all proper ideals which are not contained in the Jacobson radical of R , and two distinct vertices I_1 and I_2 are adjacent if and only if $I_1 + I_2 = R$. Recently, in [13], some properties of the comaximal graph of an amalgamated algebra are investigated. The reader is referred to [8,9,14,15] for further detail on comaximal graphs.

The concept of the zero-divisor graph of a ring R was introduced by Beak in [2] and further studied by Anderson and Naseer in [1]. The definition of the zero-divisor graph of a ring R given by Anderson and Naseer is as follows. The zero-divisor graph of R is the undirected graph with vertices $Z(R) \setminus \{0\}$, and two distinct vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $xy = 0$. The zero-divisor graph of an amalgamated algebra is studied in several papers; for example, see [7,10,11].

In this paper, we study the comaximal ideal graph and the comaximal graph of the amalgamated algebra. In Section 2, we study some algebraic properties of $A \bowtie^f J$. Especially, we determine the Jacobson radical of $A \bowtie^f J$. In Section 3, we characterize the diameter of $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$ and investigate the clique number and the chromatic number of this graph. Finally, in Section 4, we study some properties of $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$.

*Corresponding author (khashyar@ipm.ir).

Now, we recall some definitions and notations on graphs by following the book [3]. The distance between two distinct vertices a and b , denoted by $d(a, b)$, is the length of the shortest path connecting a and b , if such a path exists; otherwise, we use $d(a, b) := \infty$. The diameter of a graph G is $\text{diam}(G) = \sup\{d(a, b) : a \text{ and } b \text{ are distinct vertices of } G\}$. The girth of G , denoted by $\text{gr}(G)$, is the length of the shortest cycle in G , if such a cycle exists; otherwise, we use $\text{gr}(G) := \infty$. We use the notations K_n and $K_{m,n}$ to denote the complete graph with n vertices and the complete bipartite graph with part sizes m and n , respectively. The graph $K_{1,n}$ is known as the star graph. The vertex x of degree n is called the center of $K_{1,n}$. A subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is called a clique if the subgraph induced by S is complete. The number of vertices in the largest clique of the graph G is called the clique number of G and is denoted by $\omega(G)$. A coloring of a graph G is an assignment of colors (elements of some set) to the vertices of G , one color to each vertex, so that adjacent vertices are assigned distinct colors. If n colors are used, the coloring is referred as an n -coloring. If there is an n -coloring of a graph G , then G is called n -colorable. The minimum n for which a graph G is n -colorable is called the chromatic number of G , which is denoted by $\chi(G)$.

2. Jacobson radical of $A \bowtie^f J$

In this section, we study the Jacobson radical of $A \bowtie^f J$ and specify the conditions under which $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a prime ideal. The results of this section are used in determining the diameter of $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$. In the following proposition, which is taken from [5], the structures of the maximal and prime ideals of $A \bowtie^f J$ are specified.

Proposition 2.1 (see [5], Proposition 2.6). *Set $X := \text{Space}(A)$, $Y := \text{Space}(B)$, $W := \text{Space}(A \bowtie^f J)$, and*

$$J_0 := \{0\} \times J(\subseteq A \bowtie^f J).$$

For all $P \in X$ and $Q \in Y$, set

$$\begin{aligned} P'^f &:= P \bowtie^f J := \{(p, f(p) + j) \mid p \in P, j \in J\}, \\ \bar{Q}^f &:= \{(a, f(a) + j) \mid a \in A, j \in J, f(a) + j \in Q\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following statements hold.

1. *The map $P \mapsto P'^f$ establishes a closed embedding of X into W , so its image, which coincides with $V(J_0)$, is homeomorphic to X .*
2. *The map $Q \mapsto \bar{Q}^f$ is a homeomorphism of $Y \setminus V(J)$ onto $W \setminus J_0$.*
3. *The prime ideals of $A \bowtie^f J$ are the type P'^f or \bar{Q}^f , for P varying in X and Q in $Y \setminus V(J)$.*
4. *Let $P \in \text{Space}(A)$. Then, P'^f is a maximal ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$ if and only if P is a maximal ideal of A .*
5. *Let Q be a prime ideal of B not containing J . Then, \bar{Q}^f is a maximal ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$ if and only if Q is a maximal ideal of B .*

In particular, $\text{Max}(A \bowtie^f J) = \{P'^f \mid P \in \text{Max}(A)\} \cup \{\bar{Q}^f \mid Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)\}$.

In [13, Proposition 3.2], the Jacobson radical of the ring $A \bowtie^f J$ is determined as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism and let J be an ideal of B . Then*

$$\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = \left\{ (a, f(a) + j) \mid a \in \text{Jac}(A), j \in J, f(a) + j \in \bigcap_{Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)} Q \right\}.$$

The following lemma has an important role in Theorem 2.2. Note that when $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism, then $A \bowtie^f J$ is isomorphic to the amalgamated duplication $B \bowtie J$. So, by assuming this hypothesis, the statements concern essentially the more special case of the amalgamated duplication (and not the general case of amalgamated algebra).

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that f is an isomorphism from A to B . If $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = P \bowtie^f J$, for some prime ideal P , then $P = \text{Jac}(A)$.*

Proof. Assume that P is a prime ideal such that $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = P \bowtie^f J$. By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = \left\{ (a, f(a) + j) \mid a \in \text{Jac}(A), j \in J, f(a) + j \in \bigcap_{Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)} Q \right\}.$$

Since f is an isomorphism, it's clear that $f(\text{Jac}(A)) \cong \text{Jac}(f(A))$ and $f(A) \cong B$. Now, if $a \in \text{Jac}(A)$, then $f(a) \in \text{Jac}(B)$ and clearly $\text{Jac}(B) \subseteq \cap Q$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. For each $a \in \text{Jac}(A)$ with $f(a) + j \in \cap Q$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$, we have

$$j \in J \cap \left(\bigcap_{Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)} Q \right).$$

Suppose that a an arbitrary element of P . Since $(a, f(a) + j) \in P \bowtie^f J$, we have $(a, f(a) + j) \in \text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$ for every $j \in J$. So $a \in \text{Jac}(A)$. Hence $P \subseteq \text{Jac}(A)$. Now, assume that $r \in \text{Jac}(A)$ be an arbitrary element. Hence $(r, f(r) + 0) \in \text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$. By our assumption, $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = P \bowtie^f J$. Hence $r \in P$ and so we are done. \square

In the next two theorems, we describe situations under which $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a prime ideal.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that f is an isomorphism from A to B . Then $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = P \bowtie^f J$, where P is a prime ideal if and only if $P = \text{Jac}(A)$ is a prime ideal and $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$.*

Proof. First, assume that $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = P \bowtie^f J$, where P is a prime ideal. By Lemma 2.1, we have $\text{Jac}(A) = P$. Now, we show that $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$. Since $(0, j) \in P \bowtie^f J = \text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$ for every $j \in J$, we have $J \subseteq \cap Q$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. Thus $\text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J) = \emptyset$. Hence $J \subseteq Q$, for every $Q \in \text{Max}(B)$. Therefore $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$.

Conversely, suppose that $\text{Jac}(A) = P$ is a prime ideal and $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$. Then $\text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J) = \emptyset$. Hence $\cap Q = B$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. Since $\text{Jac}(A)$ is a prime ideal, one can easily see that

$$\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = \{(a, f(a) + j) \mid a \in \text{Jac}(A), j \in J, f(a) + j \in B\}$$

is a prime ideal which is equal to $P \bowtie^f J$. \square

Example 2.1. *Let $A = B = \mathbb{Z}_8$, $P = J = \langle 2 \rangle$. In this case, we can describe explicitly and easily the prime spectrum of $\text{Spec}(A)$ (or $\text{Spec}(B)$) and the prime spectrum of $\text{Spec}(A \bowtie^f J)$. Clearly $P = \text{Jac}(A)$ is a prime ideal and $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$. Hence, by Theorem 2.2, $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = P \bowtie^f J$ which is a prime ideal.*

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that f is an isomorphism from A to B . If $J \cap (\cap Q) \notin \{\{0\}, J\}$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$, then $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is not a prime ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$.*

Proof. Assume that $J \cap (\cap Q) \notin \{\{0\}, J\}$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. So there exist non-zero element j_1 in $J \cap (\cap Q)$ and $j_2 \in J \setminus \cap Q$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. Let $a \in \text{Jac}(A)$. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1. There exists $b \in \cap Q \setminus \text{Jac}(B)$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. Then $(a, f(a) + j_2)(f^{-1}(b), b + j_1) \in \text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$. Since $b \notin \text{Jac}(B)$ and $\text{Jac}(B) \cong \text{Jac}(A)$, we have $(f^{-1}(b), b + j_1) \notin \text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$. Since $a \in \text{Jac}(A)$ and f is an isomorphism, $f(a) \in \text{Jac}(B) \subseteq \cap Q$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. Thus $f(a) + j_2 \notin \cap Q$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. Otherwise $j_2 \in \cap Q$ which is impossible. Hence $(a, f(a) + j_2) \notin \text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$. Therefore in this case $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is not a prime ideal.

Case 2. $\cap Q \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. Since $\text{Jac}(B) \subseteq \cap Q$, we have $\cap Q = \text{Jac}(B)$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. Hence $V(J) = \emptyset$ which implies that $J = B$. Thus $A \bowtie^f J \cong A \times B$. Since f is an isomorphism, $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) \cong \text{Jac}(A \times B) \cong \text{Jac}(A) \times \text{Jac}(A)$. It is straight forward to check that $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is not a prime ideal. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that f is an isomorphism from A to B . Then $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = \bar{P}^f$, where P is a prime ideal of A not containing J , if and only if $P = \text{Jac}(B)$ is a prime ideal and $J \cap (\cap Q) \in \{\{0\}, J\}$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$.*

Proof. First, suppose that $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = \bar{P}^f$ where P is a prime ideal of A not containing J . By Lemma 2.2,

$$J \cap (\cap Q) \in \{\{0\}, J\},$$

where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. Now, Assume that p is an arbitrary element in P . Since $(f^{-1}(p), p + 0) \in \bar{P}^f$ and $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = \bar{P}^f$, we have $f^{-1}(p) \in \text{Jac}(A)$. Thus $p \in f(\text{Jac}(A))$. Since f is an isomorphism, $p \in \text{Jac}(B)$. Let $x \in \text{Jac}(B)$ be an arbitrary element. Clearly $(f^{-1}(x), x + 0) \in \text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = \bar{P}^f$. Then $x \in P$. Hence $P = \text{Jac}(B)$.

Conversely, suppose that $P = \text{Jac}(B)$ is a prime ideal and $J \cap (\cap Q) \in \{\{0\}, J\}$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. We show that $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = \bar{P}^f$. We have two cases.

Case 1. $J \cap (\cap Q) = \{0\}$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. If there exist $a \in \text{Jac}(A)$ and $j \in J$ such that $f(a) + j \in \cap Q$, then $j \in \cap Q$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. Thus $j = 0$ which implies that $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = \{(a, f(a)) \mid a \in \text{Jac}(A)\}$. Clearly, in this situation $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a prime ideal. If $J = 0$, then it is easy to see that $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = \bar{P}^f$. Now, suppose that $J \neq 0$. By Theorem 2.2, $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) \neq K \bowtie^f J$, where K is a prime ideal of A . Assume that $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = \bar{Q}^f$, for some prime ideal Q . We prove that $Q = P$. We have $(f^{-1}(p), p + 0) \in \bar{Q}^f$ for every $p \in P$. So $p \in Q$. Hence $P \subseteq Q$. Also, $(f^{-1}(q), q + 0) \in \bar{Q}^f$ for every $q \in Q$. Thus $f^{-1}(q) \in \text{Jac}(A)$. Since f is an isomorphism, $q \in \text{Jac}(B)$. Therefore $Q = P$.

Case 2. $J \subseteq \cap Q$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. Then $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$. In this situation, by Theorem 2.2, $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = P \bowtie^f J$. One can easily prove that $\bar{P}^f = P \bowtie^f J$. □

Example 2.2. Let $A = B = \mathbb{Z}_6$, $p = J = \langle 2 \rangle$, and $q = \langle 3 \rangle$. In this case, we can describe explicitly and easily the prime spectrum of $\text{Spec}(A)$ (or $\text{Spec}(B)$) and the prime spectrum of $\text{Spec}(A \bowtie^f J)$. Clearly $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J) = 0$ which is not a prime ideal. One can easily see that none of the conditions of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 hold for $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$.

We end this section with the following lemma which explains a situation when $A \bowtie^f J$ has no proper ideal.

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $I \trianglelefteq A \bowtie^f J$, $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$ and $(1, 1 + j) \in I$. Then $I = A \bowtie^f J$, where $f(1_A) = 1_B$.

Proof. Assume that $j \in J$. Since $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$, $1 + j$ is a unit element in B . So there exists $u \in B$ such that $(1 + j)u = 1$. Clearly $(1, 1 + (-ju)) \in A \bowtie^f J$. We have

$$(1, 1 + j)(1, 1 + (-ju)) = (1, 1 - ju + j - j^2u) = (1, 1 + 0) = 1_{A \bowtie^f J},$$

because

$$-ju + j - j^2u = -ju(1 + j) + j = 0.$$

Hence $(1, 1) \in I$ and therefore $I = A \bowtie^f J$. □

3. Diameter, clique number, and chromatic number

In this section, we study some basic properties of the comaximal ideal graph of $A \bowtie^f J$.

Remark 3.1. Suppose that $I \trianglelefteq A \bowtie^f J$. Then one can easily check that

$$I_1 = \{\alpha \in A \mid (\alpha, f(\alpha) + \beta) \in I, \text{ for some } \beta \in J\}$$

is an ideal of A . Also, if I is an ideal of A , then it is easy to see that $I \bowtie^f J$ is an ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$.

Lemma 3.1. The graph $\mathcal{C}(A)$ is isomorphic to an induced subgraph of $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$.

Proof. Assume that $\{J_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of distinct vertices of $\mathcal{C}(A)$. Then, by Remark 3.1, $\{J_i \bowtie^f J\}_{i \in I}$ are distinct vertices in $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$. Now, let G be the induce subgraph of $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$ with vertex set $\{J_i \bowtie^f J\}_{i \in I}$. Clearly $|V(\mathcal{C}(A))| = |V(G)|$. Also, one can easily check that J_i is adjacent to J_j in $\mathcal{C}(A)$ if and only if $J_i \bowtie^f J$ is adjacent to $J_j \bowtie^f J$ in $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$, for each distinct i and j in I . Hence the result holds. □

In the following, we investigate the completeness of $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$.

Proposition 3.1. If $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a complete graph, then $\mathcal{C}(A)$ is a complete graph.

Proof. If A is a local ring, then clearly $\mathcal{C}(A)$ is a null graph, and so there is nothing to prove. Now, assume that A is not local and that I and I' are two distinct vertices in $\mathcal{C}(A)$. Then $I \bowtie^f J$ and $I' \bowtie^f J$ are two distinct vertices in $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$. Since $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a complete graph, we have $I \bowtie^f J + I' \bowtie^f J = A \bowtie^f J$. So there are elements $(a, f(a) + j) \in I' \bowtie^f J$ and $(b, f(b) + \gamma) \in I \bowtie^f J$ such that

$$(a, f(a) + j) + (b, f(b) + \gamma) = 1_{A \bowtie^f J} = (1, 1).$$

Hence $a + b = 1_A$, which implies that $I + I' = A$. Therefore $\mathcal{C}(A)$ is a complete graph. □

In [14], the completeness of the comaximal ideal graph is investigated.

Theorem 3.1 (see [14], Theorem 4.6). *Let R be a commutative ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $C(R)$ is a complete graph.
- (ii) $\text{diam}(C(R))=1$.
- (iii) $C(R) \cong K_2$.
- (iv) $R \cong F_1 \times F_2$, where F_1 and F_2 are fields.

It is easy to deduce the following corollary from Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.1. *Let A be a non-local ring such that $C(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a complete graph. Then $A \cong F_1 \times F_2$, where F_1 and F_2 are fields.*

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that A is a non-local ring such that $C(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a complete graph. Then $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$.*

Proof. Assume that $C(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a complete graph. Then, by [14, Theorem 4.6], $C(A \bowtie^f J) \cong E_1 \times E_2$, where E_1 and E_2 are two fields. Therefore $A \bowtie^f J$ has exactly two maximal ideals. Also, by Corollary 3.1, $A \cong F_1 \times F_2$, where F_1 and F_2 are fields. So, by Proposition 2.1, $F_1 \times \{0\} \bowtie^f J$ and $\{0\} \times F_2 \bowtie^f J$ are two maximal ideals of $A \bowtie^f J$. Thus again, by Proposition 2.1, there exists no maximal ideal of type \bar{Q}^f , or \bar{Q}^f is one of the maximal ideals $F_1 \times \{0\} \bowtie^f J$ or $\{0\} \times F_2 \bowtie^f J$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$.

Now, if there exists $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$, then $J \not\subseteq Q$ and also \bar{Q}^f is a maximal ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$. So there is $\beta \in J \setminus Q$, which implies that $(0, \beta) \notin \bar{Q}^f$. Since $(0, \beta) \in F_1 \times \{0\} \bowtie^f J$ and $(0, \beta) \in \{0\} \times F_2 \bowtie^f J$, we have $\bar{Q}^f \neq F_1 \times \{0\} \bowtie^f J$ and $\bar{Q}^f \neq \{0\} \times F_2 \bowtie^f J$, which is a contradiction. Thus $Q \notin \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. Therefore $\text{Max}(B) \subseteq V(J)$. Hence, for each ideal Q of $\text{Max}(B)$, we have $J \subseteq Q$, which implies that $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$. □

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that $C(A)$ is a complete graph, and that $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$. Then $C(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a complete bipartite graph, where $f(1_A) = 1_B$.*

Proof. Let $C(A)$ be a complete graph, and suppose that $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$. Then $A \cong F_1 \times F_2$, where F_1 and F_2 are fields. Hence $F_1 \times \{0\} \bowtie^f J$ and $\{0\} \times F_2 \bowtie^f J$ are two maximal ideals of $A \bowtie^f J$. We claim that $A \bowtie^f J$ has exactly two maximal ideals. Assume on the contrary that there is a maximal ideal M such that $M \not\subseteq F_1 \times \{0\} \bowtie^f J$ and $M \not\subseteq \{0\} \times F_2 \bowtie^f J$. Thus there exists an element $((e_1, e_1), f(e_1, e_2) + j)$ in M , where $e_1 \neq 0$ and $e_2 \neq 0$. Since

$$((e_1, e_1), f(e_1, e_2) + j) \cdot ((e_1^{-1}, e_1^{-1}), f(e_1^{-1}, e_2^{-1}) + 0) \in M,$$

we have $((1, 1), f(1, 1) + jf(e_1^{-1}, e_2^{-1})) \in M$. So, by Lemma 2.3, we have $M = A \bowtie^f J$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie^f J)| = 2$, and so $C(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a complete bipartite graph. □

In the following theorem, we completely determine the case under which the graph $C(A \bowtie^f J)$ is complete.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that A is a local ring and f is an isomorphism. Then $C(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a complete graph if and only if A is a field and $J = B$.*

Proof. Let (A, M) be a local ring and let $C(A \bowtie^f J)$ be a complete graph. So, by [14, Theorem 4.6], we have $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie^f J)| = 2$. It is clear that $J \neq \{0\}$. Otherwise $A \bowtie^f J \cong A$ which is a contradiction with the fact that $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie^f J)| = 2$. If $M \neq \{0\}$, then $0 \bowtie^f J$ is an ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$ which is contained in $M \bowtie^f J$. We know that $J \not\subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$. Otherwise, $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie^f J)| = 1$. So $0 \bowtie^f J \not\subseteq \text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$. Thus $0 \bowtie^f J$ and $M \bowtie^f J$ are two vertices which are not adjacent and this is a contradiction. So $M = \{0\}$. Hence A is a field. Since $J \neq 0$ and f is an isomorphism, we have $J = B$.

Conversely, assume that A is a field and $J = B$. Since f is an isomorphism, B is a field. Hence it's clear that $C(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a complete graph. □

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that A is a non-local ring and $f(1_A) = 1_B$. Then $C(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a complete graph if and only if $C(A)$ is a complete graph and $J = 0$.*

Proof. First suppose that $C(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a complete graph. Then, by Proposition 3.1, $C(A)$ is a complete graph. So $A \cong F_1 \times F_2$, where F_1 and F_2 are fields. Also $A \bowtie^f J \cong E_1 \times E_2$, where E_1 and E_2 are fields. Hence $(F_1 \times F_2) \bowtie^f J \cong E_1 \times E_2$, which implies that the maximal ideals $(F_1 \times \{0\}) \bowtie^f J$ and $(\{0\} \times F_2) \bowtie^f J$ of the ring $(F_1 \times F_2) \bowtie^f J$ are in the correspondence with the maximal ideals $E_1 \times \{0\}$ and $\{0\} \times E_2$ of $E_1 \times E_2$. Since $(0, 1) \in \{0\} \times E_2$ and $(1, 0) \in E_1 \times \{0\}$, we have that the

elements $((0, 1), f(0, 1) + j)$ and $((1, 0), f(1, 0) + t)$, belonging to $(\{0\} \times F_2) \bowtie^f J$ and $(F_1 \times \{0\}) \bowtie^f J$, respectively, for some $j, t \in J$. Hence, for every $a \in F_1, b \in F_2$ and $l \in J$, we must have the following equations:

$$((0, 1), f(0, 1) + j)((0, b), f(0, b) + l) = ((0, b), f(0, b) + l) \tag{1}$$

$$((1, 0), f(1, 0) + t)((a, 0), f(a, 0) + l) = ((a, 0), f(a, 0) + l). \tag{2}$$

From Equation (1), we have

$$f(0, b) + lf(0, 1) + jf(0, b) + jl = f(0, b) + l \quad \forall b \in F_2, \forall l \in J. \tag{3}$$

In the Equation (3), by putting $l = 0$, we get the relation $jf(0, b) = 0$, for all $b \in F_2$. Now, since $f(1, 0) + f(0, 1) = (1, 1)$, by Equation (3), we have $jl = lf(1, 0)$, for all $l \in J$. Thus $l(j - f(1, 0)) = 0$ for all $l \in J$. So $J(j - f(1, 0)) = 0$.

Now, from the Equation (2), we have the relation

$$f(a, 0) + lf(1, 0) + tf(a, 0) + lt = f(a, 0) + l \quad \forall a \in F_1, \forall l \in J.$$

By applying a similar method which was used in the previous paragraph, we have $tf(a, 0) = 0$, for all $a \in F_1$, and $J(t - f(0, 1)) = 0$. Since $jt - jf(0, 1) = 0$ and $jf(0, 1) = 0$, we have $jt = 0$. Also, we must have

$$((0, 1), f(0, 1) + j)((1, 0), f(1, 0) + jt) = (0, 0).$$

Thus, $jf(1, 0) + tf(0, 1) = 0$, and so $j(1 - f(0, 1)) + t(1 - f(1, 0)) = 0$. Hence, $j = -t$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= J(t - f(0, 1)) \\ &= J(-j - 1 + f(1, 0)) \\ &= J(-1 - (j - f(1, 0))) = -J \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $J = 0$, and the result holds.

For the converse implication, assume that $J = 0$ and that $\mathcal{C}(A)$ is a complete graph. Then $A \bowtie^f J \cong A$, which implies that $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J) \cong \mathcal{C}(A)$. Therefore $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is also a complete graph. □

By [14, Theorem 4.5], $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a complete bipartite graph if and only if $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie J)| = 2$. In the following proposition, we determine the conditions under which $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie J)| = 2$.

Proposition 3.3. *The graph $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie J)$ is complete bipartite if and only if one of the following conditions holds.*

1. *A is a local ring with $|\text{Max}(B)| - |\text{Max}(B/J)| = 1$.*
2. *$\mathcal{C}(A)$ is a complete bipartite graph and $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie J)$ is a complete bipartite graph. Then $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie J)| = 2$. If A is local, then we can obtain the maximal ideal \bar{Q}^f , where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$. So $|\text{Max}(B)| - |\text{Max}(B/J)| = 1$. Otherwise, if the statement (1) is not hold, then we have $|\text{Max}(A)| = 2$. Thus $|\text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)| = 0$, and so $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(B)$. Conversely, if one of the conditions (1) or (2) holds, then $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie J)| = 2$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie J)$ is a complete bipartite graph. □

Assume that $A \bowtie^f J$ is a ring with $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie^f J)| = n$, where $2 \leq n < \infty$, and f is an isomorphism. If $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie^f J)| = 2$, then $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a complete bipartite graph. Now, if $A \cong F_1 \times F_2$ and $J = 0$, where F_1 and F_2 are fields, then, by Theorem 3.3, $\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) = 1$. Also if A is a field and $J \neq 0$, then by Theorem 3.2, we have $\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) = 1$. Otherwise, $\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) = 2$. Now, assume that $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie^f J)| \geq 3$. By [15, Proposition 2.6] we have $\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) = 3$.

Now, assume that the ring $A \bowtie^f J$ has infinity many maximal ideals. It is easy to see that in this situation, $\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) \geq 1$. In the following theorem, we characterize the situations under which the diameter of $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is two or three.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $A \bowtie^f J$ be a ring with infinity many maximal ideals and f be an isomorphism. Then $\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) = 2$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds.*

1. *$\text{Jac}(A)$ is a prime ideal and $J \subseteq \text{Jac}(A)$.*
2. *$\text{Jac}(B)$ is a prime ideal and $J \cap (\cap Q) \in \{\{0\}, J\}$, where $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$.*

Also, $\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) = 3$ if and only if none of the conditions (1) and (2) hold.

Proof. By [15, Corollary 3.6], we have $\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) = 2$ if and only if $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a prime ideal and, by [15, Corollary 3.7], we have $\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) = 3$ if and only if $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is not a prime ideal. Now, by Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, we have $\text{Jac}(A \bowtie^f J)$ is a prime ideal if and only if one of the conditions (1) or (2) holds, and so we are done. \square

In the next theorem, we determine the chromatic and clique numbers of $\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)$, denoted by the symbols χ and ω .

Theorem 3.5. *In the comaximal ideal graph of $A \bowtie^f J$, the following statements hold.*

1. $\chi(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) = \chi(\mathcal{C}(A)) + \chi(\mathcal{C}(B)) - \chi(\mathcal{C}(B/J))$.
2. $\omega(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) = \omega(\mathcal{C}(A)) + \omega(\mathcal{C}(B)) - \omega(\mathcal{C}(B/J))$.

Proof. By [15, Proposition 2.6], we have $\chi(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) = \omega(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) = |\text{Max}(A \bowtie^f J)|$. So it is enough to compute the number of maximal ideals of $A \bowtie^f J$. We know that

$$\text{Max}(A \bowtie^f J) = \{P \bowtie^f J \mid P \in \text{Max}(A)\} \cup \{\bar{Q}^f \mid Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)\},$$

where $\bar{Q}^f = \{(a, f(a) + j) \mid a \in A, j \in J, f(a) + j \in Q\}$. Since $|\{P \bowtie^f J \mid P \in \text{Max}(A)\}| = |\text{Max}(A)|$ and

$$|\{\bar{Q}^f \mid Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)\}| = |\text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)|,$$

we have $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie^f J)| = |\text{Max}(A)| + |\text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)|$. Now, consider an arbitrary maximal ideal $M \in \text{Max}(B)$. Since $J \leq B$, we have either $J \subseteq M$ or $J \not\subseteq M$. If $J \subseteq M$, then M/J is a maximal ideal of B/J . Hence

$$|\text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)| = |\text{Max}(B)| - |\text{Max}(B/J)|.$$

Thus, $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie^f J)| = |\text{Max}(A)| + |\text{Max}(B)| - |\text{Max}(B/J)|$. We claim that do not exist maximal ideal $P \in \text{Max}(A)$ and $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$ such that $\bar{Q}^f = P \bowtie^f J$. By contrary, assume that there exist maximal ideals $P \in \text{Max}(A)$ and $Q \in \text{Max}(B) \setminus V(J)$ such that $\bar{Q}^f = P \bowtie^f J$. Since $(0, j) = (0, f(0) + j) \in P \bowtie^f J$, for every $j \in J$, we have $(0, j) \in \bar{Q}^f$, for every $j \in J$. So $f(0) + j \in Q$, for every $j \in J$. Hence $J \subseteq Q$, which is a contradiction. Therefore

$$\chi(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) = |\text{Max}(A \bowtie^f J)| = \chi(\mathcal{C}(A)) + \chi(\mathcal{C}(B)) - \chi(\mathcal{C}(B/J))$$

and

$$\omega(\mathcal{C}(A \bowtie^f J)) = |\text{Max}(A \bowtie^f J)| = \omega(\mathcal{C}(A)) + \omega(\mathcal{C}(B)) - \omega(\mathcal{C}(B/J)). \quad \square$$

4. Some properties of $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$

In this section, we study some properties of the comaximal graph of amalgamated duplication $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$. In the next two propositions, we provide the condition under which $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,n}$ or $K_{2,n}$.

Proposition 4.1. *If $J = 0$, then $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is a star graph if and only if $\Gamma'_2(A)$ is a star graph. Otherwise, $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is a star graph if and only if $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. If $J = 0$, then $A \bowtie J \cong A$. Hence $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J) \cong \Gamma'_2(A)$. Therefore $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is a star graph if and only if $\Gamma'_2(A)$ is a star graph. Next, assume that $J \neq 0$ and that $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Hence $J \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Since $A \bowtie A \cong A \times A$, we have $A \bowtie J \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J) \cong \Gamma'_2(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$, which is isomorphic to the star graph K_2 . Conversely, suppose that $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is a star graph. So $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ has a center vertex, say $(a, a + j)$, for some $a \in A$ and $j \in J$. Since

$$J \not\subseteq \bigcap_{Q \in \text{Max}(A) \setminus V(J)} Q,$$

there is $(0, j_1) \notin \text{Jac}(A \bowtie J)$. Since $(0, j_1)$ is not unit, $(0, j_1) \in V(\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J))$. Thus $(a, a + j)$ is adjacent to $(0, j_1)$, which implies that $a \in U(A)$. Clearly $a + j$ is not unit, because $(a, a + j)$ is a vertex. If $a + j \neq 0$, then since $(a, 0) \in V(\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J))$, we have $(a, a + j)$ is adjacent to $(a, 0)$. Hence $a + j$ is unit, which is impossible. Thus $a + j = 0$ and the center vertex of $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is of the form $(a, 0)$, where $a \in U(A)$. Now, since $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is a star graph, $|U(A)| = 1$. If $A \setminus U(A) \neq \{0\}$, then there exists a non-zero $b \in A \setminus U(A)$ such that $b \notin \text{Jac}(A)$. So we find a vertex $(b, b) \in V(\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J))$ which is not adjacent to $(a, 0)$, and this is impossible. Thus $A \setminus U(A) = \{0\}$. Therefore $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and the result holds. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that n is a positive integer with $n > 1$. If $J = 0$, then $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is $K_{2,n}$ if and only if $\Gamma'_2(A)$ is $K_{2,n}$. Otherwise, $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is $K_{2,n}$ if and only if $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$.*

Proof. If $J = 0$, then $A \bowtie J \cong A$. Hence $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J) \cong \Gamma'_2(A)$. Therefore $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is $K_{2,n}$ if and only if $\Gamma'_2(A)$ is $K_{2,n}$. Now, assume that $J \neq 0$ and that $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is a complete bipartite graph $K_{2,n}$. Suppose that X is the part of size two in $K_{2,n}$. Let $(a, a + j_1), (a, a + j_2) \in X$, for some $a \in A$ and $j_1, j_2 \in J$. Then there exists $j \in J$ such that $(0, j) \notin \text{Jac}(A \bowtie J)$. Since $(0, j)$ is not unit, $(0, j) \in V(\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J))$. Thus $(a, a + j_1)$ is adjacent to $(0, j)$. Hence $a \in U(A)$ and $a + j_1$ is not unit, because $(a, a + j_1)$ is a vertex. If $a + j \neq 0$, then since $(a, 0) \in V(\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J))$, we have $(a, a + j_1)$ is adjacent to $(a, 0)$. This implies that $a + j_1$ is unit and it is impossible. Thus $a + j_1 = 0$. Similarly $a + j_2 = 0$. Since $|X| = 2$, one can see that $|U(A)| = 2$. Let $X = \{(a, 0), (b, 0)\}$, where $a, b \in U(A)$. If there exists a non-zero element x in $A \setminus U(A)$, then (a, x) is a vertex in $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ which is adjacent to neither $(a, 0)$ nor $(b, 0)$, which is impossible. So $|A \setminus U(A)| = 1$, which implies that $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$. Clearly, if $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, then $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$. \square

In the following, we study the girth of $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$. By [15, Proposition 2.6], we have $\text{gr}(\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)) = 3$ if and only if $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie J)| \geq 3$. Also, if $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie J)| = 1$, then the vertex set $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is empty.

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie J)| = 2$. Then $\text{gr}(\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)) = \infty$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds.*

1. $J = 0$ and $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times F$, where F is a field.
2. $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $J \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Also, $\text{gr}(\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)) = 4$ if and only if none of the above conditions hold.

Proof. Assume that $|\text{Max}(A \bowtie J)| = 2$. Then $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is a complete bipartite graph. Hence, by Proposition 4.1 and [9, Proposition 2.4], $\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,n}$, where $n \geq 1$ if and only if one of the conditions (1) or (2) holds. So $\text{gr}(\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)) = \infty$ if and only if one of the conditions (1) or (2) holds. Otherwise $\text{gr}(\Gamma'_2(A \bowtie J)) = 4$. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors are deeply grateful to one of the referees for careful reading of the manuscript and helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] D. D. Anderson, M. Naseer, Beck's coloring of a commutative ring, *J. Algebra* **159** (1993) 500–514.
- [2] I. Beck, Coloring of commutative rings, *J. Algebra* **116** (1988) 208–226.
- [3] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory With Applications*, Elsevier, New York, 1976.
- [4] M. D'Anna, C. A. Finocchiaro, M. Fontana, Amalgamated algebras along an ideal, In: M. Fontana, S. E. Kabbaj, B. Olberding, I. Swanson (Eds.), *Commutative Algebra and Its Applications*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2009, 155–172.
- [5] M. D'Anna, C. A. Finocchiaro, M. Fontana, Properties of chains of prime ideals in an amalgamated algebra along an ideal, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **214** (2010) 633–1641.
- [6] M. D'Anna, C. A. Finocchiaro, M. Fontana, New algebraic properties of an amalgamated algebra along an ideal, *Comm. Algebra* **44** (2016) 1836–1851.
- [7] S. Kabbaj, A. Mimouni, Zero-divisor graphs of amalgamations, *Math. Scand.* **123** (2018) 174–190.
- [8] S. Kavitha, R. Kala, A note on comaximal ideal graph of commutative rings, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.* **17** (2020) 453–460.
- [9] H. R. Maimani, M. Salimi, A. Sattari, S. Yassemi, Comaximal graph of commutative rings, *J. Algebra* **319** (2008) 1801–1808.
- [10] H. R. Maimani, S. Yassemi, Zero-divisor graphs of amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212** (2008) 168–174.
- [11] A. Mallika, R. Kala, A note on zero-divisor graph of amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.* **14** (2017) 18–26.
- [12] P. K. Sharma, S. M. Bhatwadekar, A note on graphical representation of rings, *J. Algebra* **176** (1995) 124–127.
- [13] H. Shoar, M. Salimi, A. Tehrani, H. Rasouli, E. Tavasoli, Comaximal graph of amalgamated algebras along an ideal, *J. Algebra Appl.*, In press.
- [14] M. Ye, T. Wu, Co-maximal ideal graphs of commutative rings, *J. Algebra Appl.* **11** (2012) #1250114.
- [15] M. Ye, T. Wu, Q. Liu, J. Guo, Graph properties of co-maximal ideal graphs of commutative rings, *J. Algebra Appl.* **14** (2015) #1550027.