EFFECT OF SILICON NUTRIENT AND SALINITY (ABIOTIC STRESS) ON TOMATO PLANT (*Solanum lycopersicum*)

NURAAINA ATIQA AB GHANI, ASAMOAH FREDERICK OSEI, CHONG SOK LENG AND
SITI NORDAHLIAWATE MOHAMED SIDIQUE*

Laboratory Laboratory for Pest, Disease and Microbial Biotechnology (LAPDiM), Faculty of Fisheries and Food Science,
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT), 21030 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia.

*Corresponding author: dahliasidique@umt.edu.my

<http://doi.org/10.46754/umtjur.2021.10.005>

Abstract: Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) imports have increased in Malaysia due to high demand. One of the constraints to local production is excessive salinity in soils that leads to toxicity in crops, reduction in soil fertility and reduction of availability of water to tomato plant that is known to be sensitive to high salinity. Nevertheless, silicon nutrient proven could protect plants from abiotic stress. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to determine the plant growth of tomato plant treated with silicon (Si) under salinity stress and to observe the physical changes of stems. A total of ten treatments (T1 – T10) were applied with different concentrations of silicon: 0.5% Si (v/v), 1.5% Si (v/v), 2.5% Si (v/v), potassium silicate as positive control whereas negative control (only water and 0.5% NaCl) and a mixture of equal volumes of the silicon and potassium silicate treatments with 0.5% NaCl. The treatments were applied once a week (40 ml for each plant pot). Throughout this study, plant growth data was collected (plant height, diameter of stems, time of anthesis, number of fruits, and chlorophyll content). Results showed that with 0.5% Si (v/v) and 1.5% Si (v/v) (with 0.5% NaCl), the tomato plants grow well especially in plant height, number of leaves and chlorophyll contents. Furthermore, cross section of stems showed a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) in stem diameter among treated plants [2.5% potassium silicate (T4), 0.5% Si (v/v) + 0.5% NaCl (T6) and 2.5% potassium silicate + 0.5% NaCl (T9)] and control (0.5% NaCl). However, the largest vascular bundle width was recorded in plants treated with 1.5% Si (v/v). This study has proven that tomato plants could uptake silicon and improve the plant growth under salinity stress conditions and giving potential for Si as biostimulant to other Solanaceae family (potato, pepper and eggplant).

Keywords: Tomato, silicon, silicic acid, salinity and abiotic.

Introduction

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) belongs to the family Solanaceae and its genus, *Solanum*, is the largest genus in the Solanaceae family (Bergounoux, 2014). Fresh tomatoes are widely used for cooking, canning, juices, pulp and sauces and a very good source of lycopene, β -carotene and vitamins (A and C) (Bergounoux, 2014; Massaretto *et al.*, 2018).

The production and marketing of tomatoes in Malaysia over the past decade has become a serious concern with a decrease in production and an increase in importation (Islam *et al.*, 2012). One of the key constraints to tomato production is the salinity levels of the growing media. Saline soils generally occur in low rainfall region in Malaysia and the global climate change

causing precipitation changes that will impact on soil salinization and agriculture production (Teh & Koh, 2016). Increasing salinity causes reduction in leaf photosynthesis (Maggio *et al.*, 2007), leaf transpiration and plant water status (Romero-Aranda *et al.*, 2001; Maggio *et al.*, 2004) which give an impact on tomato plant yield.

Researchers have reported on the importance of silicon application to crop production (Miyake & Takahashi, 1986; Ma *et al.*, 2004; Datnoff *et al.*, 2007; Epstein, 2009; Adrees *et al.*, 2015). Although it is not considered an essential plant element, the effect of silicon application ameliorating metal toxicities (Hammond *et al.*, 1995; Iwasaki *et al.*, 2002) and overcoming salinity stress in plants has been intensively studied (Ma,

2004; Liang *et al.*, 2007; Zhu & Gong, 2014). Silicon has been reported to reduce salt stress in wheat (Ahmad *et al.*, 1992), barley (Liang *et al.*, 1998), mesquite (*Prosopis juliflora*) (Bradbury & Ahmad 1990), spinach and tomato (Gunes *et al.*, 2007; Hoffmann *et al.*, 2020). Si application could reduce water loss from plants and increase the plant water status in salinity stress conditions (Avestan *et al.*, 2019).

Moreover, polymerization of silicic acid within the apoplast, leads to the formation of an amorphous silica barrier (biosilicification) (Exley, 2015), which can help alleviate abiotic stresses from potential toxicants such as aluminum (Al), manganese (Mn), cadmium (Cd), zinc (Zn), and sodium (Na), into the symplast and/or transpiration stream (Rogalla & Römhild, 2002; Wang *et al.*, 2004; Fauteux *et al.*, 2005; Saqib *et al.*, 2008; Ma *et al.*, 2015; Guerriero *et al.*, 2016). In addition, Si also increased cell-wall binding of Na⁺ in the root whereas its transport to the shoot proven decreased (Ahmad *et al.*, 1992; Saqib *et al.*, 2008). This study was therefore designed to observe the effect of silicon nutrient in tomato

plant growth that is classified as sensitive to high salinity.

Materials and Methods

Planting Material and Experimental Plot Design

Seeds of the tomato hybrid Super Star (Green World Genetics Sdn. Bhd.) was chosen and seed viability was tested. The study was in two phases: the first phase was a seed germination study (20 days) and the second phase was the 100 days field assessment (plant growth). The tomato plants were grown inside a polybag with a standard growth media ratio 3:2:1 (top soil: sand: compost) and arranged in a completely randomised design block (CRBD).

Treatments and Treatment Preparation

The silicon nutrient Sika (72% silicic acid) was obtained from Siben Ltd. (Taiwan) whereas the potassium silicate and sodium chloride were both from R&M chemicals (UK). The treatments were prepared with distilled water and applied as scheduled (Table 1).

Table 1: Ten treatments were applied in this study by applying silicon (Si), potassium silicate (positive control) and negative control (NaCl and only water) in the second phase of plant growth (100 days)

*Treatment	Detail
T1	0.5% silicon (v/v)
T2	1.5% silicon (v/v)
T3	2.5% silicon (v/v)
T4	2.5% Potassium silicate (positive control)
T5	Only water (negative control)
T6	0.5% silicon (v/v) + 0.5% NaCl
T7	1.5% silicon (v/v) + 0.5% NaCl
T8	2.5% silicon (v/v) + 0.5% NaCl
T9	2.5% Potassium silicate + 0.5% NaCl
T10	0.5% NaCl (negative control)

*40 ml per plant pot

Germination Test

In the germination study, 1.5 mL, 2.5 mL and 5 mL of the 0.5% Si (v/v) treatments were given to each 10 seedlings (four replicates)

grown inside peat moss media. The number of seeds germinating per treatment replication was counted and recorded whereas seedlings were observed and, data was collected every four days for 20 days.

Field Assessments

Data was collected on plant growth parameters such as plant height, diameter of stem, and leaf chlorophyll content. Data on anthesis, fruiting and the cross section of stem (vascular bundle) was assessed as well.

Plant Height and Stem Diameter

The plant height and stem diameter were measured with a measuring tape on the 100th day after transplanting. Plant height was measured from the media surface to the top of the plant canopy while stem diameter was measured 10 cm above the growing media surface.

Leaf Chlorophyll Content

Measurement of leaf chlorophyll content was determined by using SPAD-502 chlorophyll metre (Konica Minolta, Japan). The SPAD 502 meter is an alternative method for the measurement of relative leaf chlorophyll levels since the conventional method is destructive. The SPAD values were recorded once every two weeks.

Anthesis and Fruiting

The number of flowers appearing and setting fruits were counted and recorded for each treatment replication. Fruit set was then calculated; $TFs/TFr \times 100$ where TFs: the total number of fruits setting per plant and TFr: the total number of flowers appearing on the same plant (Alburquerque *et al.*, 2004).

Physical Changes of Stems

A cross-section of the stems of the tomato plants from each treatment was made and observed under the microscope. The sections were transferred gently into a petri dish and a drop of toluidine blue stain was added. After five minutes, stain was removed by blotting with filter paper. Distilled water was added into the petri dish for cleaning the stains and this was repeated until the excess stain was washed

out. The sections were then transferred gently onto a microscopic slide and a filter paper was used to blot out the excess water. Two drops of mountant were added (glycerol:water, 1:1) and then covered with a coverslip. The sections were observed at 4 x magnification under a compound microscope and photos were taken. The thickness of the vascular bundle of the sections was then measured.

Statistical Analysis

Data was computed and graphs were generated with Microsoft Excel files. ANOVA was carried out with SPSS version 20.0 and values were compared with Tukey's HSD. Differences were considered significant when the P value was less than 0.05.

Results and Discussion

Germination Test

Results from the germination test showed that each treatment had different effects on the rate of germination of the tomato seeds. The application of 2.5 ml showed the greatest performances in seed germination except T1 (Figure 1). Nevertheless, T1 was the greatest in seed germination compared to others when amount of Si was 1.5 ml (Figure 1). There was a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) of 1.5 ml Si treated plants with plants given abiotic stress (T10-0.5% NaCl) (Figure 1). Results also showed that seedling growth was better for 1.5 ml of Si and 2.5 ml compared to the highest volume being applied, 5 ml (Figure 1) for both Si treated plants and mixed with NaCl. Only 2.5 ml potassium silicate with an add on 0.5% NaCl seedlings were well germinated (Figure 1).

The toxic effects of sodium on seeds will prevent germination, and high salinity had been proven to reduce enzymatic actions that are favourable for seed germination (Romero *et al.*, 2001). Thus, the improvement in the germination values recorded in the silicon treated seeds can therefore be attributed to Si ions having the ability to prevent the Na ions

absorption (Hashemi *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, under salt stress, Si had caused increase of K, Ca and Mg due to a salt dilution effect that will improve seedlings growth (Li *et al.*, 2015).

Figure 1: Mean number of seeds germinated after being treated with different amounts of 0.5% Si (v/v) and 0.5% NaCl (salinity stress) for 20 days (treatments were given at a four-day interval)

Plant Height and Stem Diameter

Differences in plant height were observed between the treatments with some plants showing a faster growth rate on day 60 after transplanting (Figure 2). Results showed that there was a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) of the plant height T10 (0.5% NaCl) with other treatments T1, T2 and T6 [0.5% silicon (v/v); 1.5% silicon (v/v) and 0.5% silicon (v/v) + 0.5% NaCl, respectively] (Fig. 3). There is a

possible indication that Si could improve saline condition, especially T6 with additional 0.5% silicon (v/v) tomato plants were growing well (Figure 3). Also, T6 stem diameter (7.48cm) was significantly different ($P < 0.05$) with T10 (5.08 cm) (Figure 4). The others great stem diameter were significantly different ($P < 0.05$) with T10 e.g., T4 (2.5% potassium silicate) and T9 (0.25% potassium silicate + 0.5% NaCl), 7.80 cm and 7.38 cm, respectively (Figure 4).

Figure 2: Plant height of tomato plants was observed on day 60 after transplanting and treated with silicon and potassium silicate (Table 1). Only plants given silicon were growing well (T6, T7, T8 and T9) under abiotic stress compared to control T10 (0.5% NaCl)

Saberi *et al.* (2011) had reported that both tomato and forage sorghums stem diameter was one of the growth parameters which decreased with increasing salinity. However, Epstein (1999) indicated that the favourable effects of Si on crop plants seem to originate from reinforcement of the cell walls due to deposition of Si in form of amorphous silica ($\text{SiO}_2 \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and opal phytoliths which increases the plant growth. Thus, increases in catalase activity of the whole plant and cell wall under stress conditions may likely be the cause of growth in plant height

and stem diameter after silicon treatments had been reported in tomato and barley (Liang *et al.*, 2003; Al-aghabary *et al.*, 2005). Lee *et al.* (2010) found that the application of silicon to plants increases endogenous gibberellins and reduces the levels of ABA and proline in plants under stress that improve plant growth. In addition, potassium is recognized as an essential macronutrient for optimal plant growth by promoting cell growth and contributed to development of plants (White & Karley, 2010; Oosterhuis *et al.*, 2014).

Figure 3: Mean of tomato plant height (cm) after plants were given silicon, potassium silicate and NaCl (Table 1) for 100 days (40 ml per plant pot)

Figure 4: Mean of tomato stem diameter after plants were given silicon, potassium silicate and NaCl (Table 1) for 100 days (40 ml per plant pot)

Leaf Chlorophyll Content (SPAD Units)

There were significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in chlorophyll content between some of the treatments from week four until week 14 (Table 2). In week four, T1 treated plants [0.5% Si (v/v)] recorded the highest chlorophyll content then in week 8, T6 [(0.5% Si (v/v) + 0.5% NaCl)] followed by T2 [(1.5% Si (v/v))] for week

12 and week 14 (Table 2). The result from plants treated with 0.5% NaCl (T10) showed the least chlorophyll content in SPAD units in week four until week 14 (Table 2). However, Si and potassium silicate showed a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) (T6, T7, T8 and T9) when applied into tomato plants with abiotic stress conditions (applied 0.5% NaCl) (Table 2).

Table 2: Mean of leaves chlorophyll content (SPAD units) from week four until week 14 of tomato plants growing

Treatment	Week 4	Week 8	Week 12	Week 14
T1 [0.5% silicon (v/v)]	36.80±0.83a	49.43±0.93a	55.91±2.24ab	59.07±0.83ab
T2 [1.5% silicon (v/v)]	34.94±1.27a	46.9± 0.58ab	59.97±2.88a	61.64±1.54a
T3 [(2.5% silicon (v/v))]	35.05±0.65a	47.73±2.78a	49.13±2.18ab	56.55±2.72ab
T4 (potassium silicate; positive control)	35.41±2.31a	50.73±1.63a	51.74±2.23ab	57.72±1.75ab
T5 (only water; negative control)	33.86±2.04ab	51.49±2.02a	53.79±2.74ab	61.10±1.98a
T6 [0.5% silicon (v/v) + 0.5% NaCl]	32.14±1.4ab	49.76±2.13a	56±2.70ab	58.22±0.85ab
T7 [1.5% silicon (v/v) + 0.5% NaCl]	33.44±0.92ab	45.95±0.91ab	54.09±2.39ab	56.03±1.24ab
T8 [2.5% silicon (v/v) + 0.5% NaCl]	35.74±1.75a	46.11±1.8ab	54.26±2.20ab	55.04±0.92ab
T9 (potassium silicate) + 0.5% NaCl)	34.34±2.09ab	48.51±1.6a	54.35±2.60ab	61.27±0.52a
T10 (0.5% NaCl; negative control)	29.96±2.11b	43.80±1.77b	50.18±2.24b	51.35±0.98b

Values are means ± standard error. Values with different letters are statistically significantly different at $p < 0.05$

Silicon application has been proven to influence the absorption of plant macronutrients and micronutrients that enhances the photosynthetic rates of plants (Liang *et al.*, 1996; Adams, 2002; Savvas *et al.*, 2002). The micronutrient Ferum (Fe) is one of the most essential for increasing the concentration of photosynthetic pigments and found to be absorbed well together with Si (Teixeira *et al.*, 2020). In strawberry plant, chlorophyll content, leaf number, leaf area and petiole length were increased significantly when potassium silicate was applied (Dehghanipoodeh *et al.*, 2018).

Anthesis and Fruiting

Results showed that the highest number of flowers was from the tomato plants treated with 2.5% potassium silicate (T4) whereas there were no flowers in plants treated with NaCl (T10) as well as no fruits until at the end of 100 days (Table 3). The greatest percentage of fruit set (63.6%) was T9 (potassium silicate + 0.5 % NaCl) and followed by T3 (41.6%) and, T4 (36.8%) whereas others were below 30% of fruit set (Table 3). In addition, fruits that had been affected with blossom end rot were not properly intact.

Table 3: The flower and fruit setting of tomato plants days after transplanting until final harvesting (100 days)

Treatment	Total Flower (TF)	Intact Fruit (IF)	Damaged Fruit (DF)	Total Fruit (TFr)	Fruit Set Percentage (%)
T1 [0.5% silicon (v/v)]	17	3	2	5	29.4
T2 [1.5% silicon (v/v)]	15	4	0	4	26.6
T3 [2.5% silicon (v/v)]	12	3	2	5	41.6
T4 (positive control; potassium silicate)	19	4	3	7	36.8
T5 (negative control; only water)	17	4	1	5	29.4
T6 [0.5% silicon (v/v) + 0.5% NaCl]	12	3	0	3	25.0
T7 [1.5% silicon (v/v) + 0.5% NaCl]	8	1	1	2	25.0
T8 [2.5% silicon (v/v) + 0.5% NaCl]	13	1	0	1	7.70
T9 [positive control; potassium silicate + 0.5% NaCl]	11	4	3	7	63.6
T10 (negative control; 0.5% NaCl)	0	0	0	0	0

Previous study of silicon fertilization in the form of potassium and calcium silicates showed that it could increase the tomato yield and reduce the occurrence of cracked fruits (Marodin *et al.*, 2014). Similar results with Si applied directly to soil bed that showed tomato yield could reach up to 15.9%, compared to on average by 12.0% without Si (Liang *et al.*, 2015).

Stem Tissues

The thickest vascular bundle was T2 [1.5% Si (v/v)] tomato plants and there was a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) of vascular bundle thickness between T10 (0.5% NaCl) and other treatments (Figure 5a). Microscopic view showed thicker

stem epidermis of Si treated plants than control (without Si) (Figure 5b).

Several works have confirmed that Si supported plant cell division, nutrients absorption and plant enzyme activation are causing physical changes in plant parts (Savvas *et al.*, 2002; Liang *et al.*, 2003; Al-aghabary *et al.*, 2004). Rice with problem of Si deficiencies have reduced vascular bundle formation and low lignin levels leading to plant logging (Ma *et al.*, 2002; Inanaga *et al.*, 2002). This study showed potential of silicon and potassium silicate to improve vascular bundle, and consequently reduce the deleterious effect of the saline conditions.

Figure 5: (a) Mean of vascular bundle size and values with different letters are significantly different statistically at $p < 0.05$ (See Table 1; treatment T1 – T10). The thickness of stem epidermis staining with toluidine blue (arrow) showed differences between control (without silicon, b) and plants with silicon (c and d)

Conclusion

This study has confirmed that although silicon is not classified as an essential plant nutrient, it is beneficial to improve growth and development of tomato plants especially under abiotic stress conditions. Moreover, the positive effects observed in the Si treated tomato plants indicated they could uptake silicon in silicic acid form despite the low capacity as Si-accumulator plant, by improving vegetative growth. Therefore, supplementing Si and P concentrations could

aid tomato cultivars under NaCl stress. Further Si research on other Solanaceae family such as potato, pepper and eggplant will give benefits in controlling biotic and abiotic stress.

Acknowledgements

We thank staff and postgraduates in Laboratory for Pest, Disease and Microbial Biotechnology (LAPDiM) and crop science laboratory, Faculty of Fisheries and Food Science, UMT for their assistance throughout this study.

References

- Adams, P. (2002). Nutritional control in hydroponics. In: Savvas, D. and Passam, H. C. (eds), *Hydroponic Production of Vegetables and Ornamentals*. Athens, Greece, Embryo Publications. p.211-261.
- Ahmad, R., Zaheer, S. H., & Ismail, S. (1992). Role of silicon in salt tolerance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Plant Science*, 85, 43-50.
- Al-aghaby, K., Zhu, Z., & Shi, Q. (2005). Influence of silicon supply on chlorophyll content, chlorophyll fluorescence, and antioxidative enzyme activities in tomato plants under salt stress. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 27(12), 2101-2115.
- Albuquerque, N., Burgos, L., & Egea, J. (2004). Influence of flower bud density, flower bud drop and fruit set on apricot productivity. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 102(4), 397-406.
- Avestan, S., Ghasemnezhad, M., Esfahani, M., & Byrt, C. (2019). Application of Nano-Silicon Dioxide Improves Salt Stress Tolerance in Strawberry Plants. *Agronomy Journal*, 9 (5), 246.
- Bélanger, R. R., Bowen, P. A., Ehret, D. L., & Menzies, J. G. (1995). Soluble silicon: Its role in crop and disease management of greenhouse crops. *Plant Disease*, 79(4), 329.
- Bélanger R. R., Dik A. J., & Menzies G. J. (1998). Powdery mildews: recent advances toward integrated control. In: Boland G. J. and Kuykendall L. D., (eds), *Plant-microbe Interactions and Biological Control*. New York, Marcel Dekker. pp. 89-109.
- Bergougnoux, V. (2014). The history of tomato: from domestication to biopharming. *Biotechnology Advances*, 32(1), 170-189.
- Bradbury, M., & Ahmad, R. (1990). The effect of silicon on the growth of *Prosopis juliflora* growing in saline soil. *Plant and Soil*, 125(1), 71-74.
- Cuartero, J., & Fernández-Muñoz, R. (1998). Tomato and salinity. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 78(1-4), 83-125.
- Dehghanipoodeh, S., Ghobadi, C., Baninasab, B., Gheysari, M., & Shiranibidabadi, S. (2018). Effect of silicon on growth and development of strawberry under water deficit conditions. *Horticultural Plant Journal*, 4(6), 226-232.
- Epstein, E. (1999). Silicon. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 50(1), 641-664.
- Exley, C. (2015). A possible mechanism of biological silicification in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 6:853.
- Fauteux, F., Rémus-Borel, W., Menzies, J. G., & Bélanger, R. R. (2005). Silicon and plant disease resistance against pathogenic fungi. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 249, 1-6.
- Guerriero, G., Hausman, J.-F., & Legay, S. (2016). Silicon and the plant extracellular matrix. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7:463.
- Gunes, A., Inal, A., Bagci, E. G., & Pilbeam, D. J. (2007). Silicon-mediated changes of some physiological and enzymatic parameters symptomatic for oxidative stress in spinach and tomato grown in sodic-B toxic soil. *Plant and Soil*, 290(1-2), 103-114.
- Hashemi, A., Abdolzadeh, A., & Sadeghipour, H. R. (2010). Beneficial effects of silicon nutrition in alleviating salinity stress in hydroponically grown canola, *Brassica napus* L., plants. *Soil Science & Plant Nutrition*, 56(2), 244-253.
- Hammond, K. E., Evans, D. E., & Hodson, M. J. (1995). Aluminium/silicon interactions in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) seedlings. *Plant and Soil*, 173(1), 89-95.
- Hertwig, B., Streb, P., & Feierabend, J. (1992). Light dependence of catalase synthesis and degradation in leaves and the influence of interfering stress conditions. *Plant Physiology*, 100(3), 1547-1553.

- Hoffmann, J., Berni, R., Hausman, J. F., & Guerriero, G. (2020). A Review on the Beneficial Role of Silicon against Salinity in Non-Accumulator Crops: Tomato as a Model. *Biomolecules*, 10, 1284.
- Inanaga, S., & Okasaka, A. (1995). Calcium and silicon binding compounds in cell walls of rice shoots. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 41(1), 103-110.
- Islam, G. M. N., Arshad, F. M., Radam, A., & Alias, E. F. (2012). Good agricultural practices (GAP) of tomatoes in Malaysia: Evidences from Cameron Highlands. *African Journal of Business Management*, 6(27), 7969-7976.
- Lee, S. K., Sohn, E. Y., Hamayun, M., Yoon, J. Y., & Lee, I. J. (2010). Effect of silicon on growth and salinity stress of soybean plant grown under hydroponic system. *Agroforestry Systems*, 80(3), 333-340.
- Li, H. Zhu, Y. Hu, Y. Han, W., & Gong, H. (2015). Beneficial effects of silicon in alleviating salinity stress of tomato seedlings grown under sand culture. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, 37, 71.
- Liang, Y. (1999). Effects of silicon on enzyme activity and sodium, potassium and calcium concentration in barley under salt stress. *Plant and Soil*, 209, 217-224.
- Liang Y. C. (1998). Effects of Si on leaf ultrastructure, chlorophyll content and photosynthetic activity in barley under salt stress. *Pedosphere*, 8, 289-296.
- Liang, Y., Sun, W., Zhu, Y.-G., & Christie, P. (2007). Mechanisms of silicon-mediated alleviation of abiotic stresses in higher plants: a review. *Environmental Pollution*, 147, 422-428.
- Liang, Y., Nikolic, M., Bélanger, R., Gong, H., & Song, A. (2015). Effect of silicon on crop growth, yield and quality. *Silicon in Agriculture*. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 209-223,
- Ma J. F., & Takahashi E. (2002). *Soil, Fertilizer, and Plant Silicon Research in Japan*. Elsevier B.V. Amsterdam, p. 274.
- Ma, J. F. (2004). Role of silicon in enhancing the resistance of plants to biotic and abiotic stresses. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 50(1), 11-18.
- Ma, J., Cai, H., He, C., Zhang, W., & Wang, L. (2015). A hemicellulose-bound form of silicon inhibits cadmium ion uptake in rice (*Oryza sativa*) cells. *New Phytologist*, 206, 1063-1074.
- Maggio, A., De Pascale, S., Angelino, G., Ruggiero, C., & Barbieri, G. (2004). Physiological response of tomato to saline irrigation in long-term salinized soils. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 21, 149-159.
- Maggio, A., Raimondi, G., Martino, A., & De Pascale, S. (2007). Salt stress response in tomato beyond the salinity tolerance threshold. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 59(3), 276-282.
- Marodin, J. C., Resende, J. T., Morales, R. G., Silva, M. L., Galvão, A. G., & Zanin, D. S. (2014). Yield of tomato fruits in relation to silicon sources and rates. *Horticultura Brasileira*, 32(2), 220-224.
- Massaretto, I.L., Albaladejo, I., Purgatto, E., Flores, F.B., Plasencia, F., Egea-Fernández, J.M., Bolarin, M.C., & Egea, I. (2018). Recovering tomato landraces to simultaneously improve fruit yield and nutritional quality against salt stress. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9, 1778.
- Miyake, Y., & Takahashi, E. (1986). Effect of silicon on the growth and fruit production of strawberry plants in a solution culture. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 32(2), 321-326.
- Oosterhuis, D., Loka, D., Kawakami, E., & Pettigrew, W. (2014). The physiology of potassium in crop production. *Advances in Agronomy*, 126, 203-234.

- Rogalla, H., & Römheld, V. (2002). Role of leaf apoplast in silicon-mediated manganese tolerance of *Cucumis sativus* L. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 25, 549–555.
- Romero-Aranda, M. R., Jurado, O., & Cuartero, J. (2006). Silicon alleviates the deleterious salt effect on tomato plant growth by improving plant water status. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 163(8), 847-855.
- Romero-Aranda, R., Soria, T. & Cuartero, J. (2001). Tomato plant-water uptake and plant-water relationships under saline growth conditions. *Plant Science*, 160(2), 265-272.
- Saberi, A. R., Siti Aishah, H., Halim, R. A., & Zaharah, A. R. (2011). Morphological responses of forage sorghums to salinity and irrigation frequency. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 47: 9647-9656.
- Saqib, M., Zoerb, C., & Schubert, S. (2008). Silicon-mediated improvement in the salt resistance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) results from increased sodium exclusion and resistance to oxidative stress. *Functional Plant Biology*, 35, 633–639.
- Savvas, D. (2002). Nutrient solution recycling. p.299-343. In: Savva, D. and Passam, H. C. (eds). *Hydroponic Production of Vegetables and Ornamentals*. Athens, Greece, Embryo Publications.
- Stamatakis, A., Papadantonakis, N., Savvas, D., Lydakis-Simantiris, N. & Kefalas, P. (2003, July). Effects of silicon and salinity on fruit yield and quality of tomato grown hydroponically. In *International Symposium on Managing Greenhouse Crops in Saline Environment* 609 (pp. 141-147).
- The S. Y., & Koh H. L. (2016). Climate change and soil salinization: impact on agriculture, water and food security. *International Journal of Agriculture, Forestry and Plantation*, 2 (February), 1-9.
- Teixeira, G.C.M., de Mello Prado, R., Oliveira, K.S. *et al.* (2020). Silicon Increases Leaf Chlorophyll Content and Iron Nutritional Efficiency and Reduces Iron Deficiency in Sorghum Plants. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 20, 1311–1320.
- Wang, Y. X., Stass, A., & Horst, W. J. (2004). Apoplastic binding of aluminum is involved in silicon-induced amelioration of aluminum toxicity in maize. *Plant Physiology*, 136, 3762–3770.
- White, P. J., & Karley, A. J. (2010). *Potassium Cell Biology of Metals and Nutrients*. Berlin: Springer, 199–224.
- Zhu, Y., & Gong, H. (2014). Beneficial effects of silicon on salt and drought tolerance in plants. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 34, 455–472.