STUDYING OF THE EFFECT OF BOUNDARY CONDITIONS ON HEAT TRANSFER IN SOIL IN THE IGDIR REGION (EASTERN ANATOLIA, TURKEY)

ИЗУЧЕНИЕ ВЛИЯНИЯ ГРАНИЧНЫХ УСЛОВИЙ НА ТЕПЛОПЕРЕНОС В ПОЧВЕ В ИГДИРСКОМ РЕГИОНЕ, ВОСТОЧНОЙ АНАТОЛИИ (ТУРЦИЯ)

F. D. Mikailsoy

Ф. Микаилсой

Iğdır University, Agricultural Faculty, 76000, Iğdir, Turkey,

fariz.m@igdir.edu.tr

Университет Игдир, сельскохозяйственный факультет, 76 000, г. Игдир, Турция

Experimental investigations were carried out to establish the influence of boundary conditions at depth in soil on the solution of inverse problems of modeling of heat transfer in soils. Soil temperature was measured by waterproof, portable temperature sensors according to the soil profile in the field. For this purpose, 1 soil profile in the land at different depths ($x=0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 40, 60$ cm) thermal sensors (Temperature recorder Elitech RC-4) have been installed to measure soil temperatures depending on time and depths. Based on these data, the thermal diffusivity in soils was calculated using the classical (layered) and proposed (point) methods developed for the case with one and two harmonics, and they were compared and the calculated characteristics were compared with the experimental results. It was found that the proposed point methods best reflect the movement of heat in the soil profile.

Проведены экспериментальные исследования по установлению влияния граничных условий на теплоперенос в почве. Температуру почвы измеряли водонепроницаемыми переносными датчиками температуры в соответствии с профилем почвы в поле. Для этого был установлен 1 профиль почвы на разных глубинах ($x = 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 40, 60$ см), термодатчики (регистратор температуры Elitech RC-4) для измерения температуры почвы в зависимости от времени и глубины. На основании этих данных была рассчитана теплопроводность в почвах с использованием классических (слоистых) и предложенных (точечных) методов, разработанных для случая с одной и двумя гармониками. Расчетные характеристики сопоставлены с результатами эксперимента. Установлено, что предлагаемые точечные методы лучше всего отражают движение тепла в профиле почвы.

Keywords: soil, heat, modeling, boundary conditions, thermal diffusivity.

Ключевые слова: почва, тепло, моделирование, граничные условия, теплопроводность.

<https://doi.org/10.46646/SAKH-2020-1-227-230>

Soil temperature is one the most important factors affecting physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of soils. The main thermal characteristics of soil are the coefficients of volumetric heat capacity, thermal conductivity, thermal diffusivity, thermal absorptivity (assimilability), heat flow on the soil surface and the damping depth of diurnal temperature waves. Knowing the above characteristics of the soil can bring a solution to such a modern problem as forecasting the thermal regime of soils [1, 3-4]. Determination of these parameters is important to understand behavior of soil thermal regime and manage soil temperature at field scale. Several methods are available to model soil thermal properties, including apparent diffusivity, from observed soil temperature [1-5]. All of these researchers and others noted that most of these models are based on solutions of the one-dimensional heat equation with constant diffusion. In modeling heat-transfer processes in soil, there is a need for analysis of solution of heat-transfer equations, since for practical calculations of a thermal regime, use can be made of approximate solutions that have a simpler form and possess a sufficient accuracy, which must be, as far as possible, in fuller conformity with the physical picture of the processes of heat propagation in soil. To this end, it is expedient to make an analysis of the impact on heat transfer in soil using simplify models most frequently used in practice and to assess the influence of boundary conditions, individual terms, and coefficients of differential equations, and the effects of the dimensions of the region on the process being described.

The objective of this study was investigate the influence of boundary conditions in the soil depth under natural conditions on the development of methods to determine the soil's thermal diffusivity based on solution of inverse problems of a heat-transfer equation.

In this case, the thermal conductivity and diffusion coefficient were predicted on the undisturbed soil profile by four different classical and two improved methods, and the results were compared.

The research was carried out by opening a profile at 2 m length and 60 cm width in the land of Iğdır University Agricultural Application and Research Center located within the borders of Melekli Municipality of Iğdır Province. The geographical location of the study area is between $39^{\circ},1 - 39,5^{\circ}$ East longitude and $44^{\circ} - 44,2^{\circ}$ North latitude. Located at

850 m above sea level, the Iğdir region covers approximately 3.588 (1.479) km² plain exhibiting a no uniform characteristic in terms of topography (Fig. 1).

Figure 1 – Location of study area

Thermal sensors used for measuring and recording soil temperature in the soil profile with the depths of 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 40 and 60 cm. In this study, soil temperature was measured with a portable thermal Sensor (Elitech RC-4 Mini temperature data logger). The sensor registers and stores temperature measurements in its memory. Recorded temperature degrees are stored directly and can be downloaded by users via data cable (Fig. 2).

Figure 2 – Placing the thermal sensors (Elitech RC-4 Mini temperature data logger) in soil profile

Assuming that a soil is vertically homogeneous, the one-dimensional distribution of the temperature field is described by the following equation of heat conduction [3, 7]:

$$\partial T / \partial t = \kappa \cdot \partial^2 T / \partial z^2 \quad (\kappa = \lambda / C_v) \quad (1)$$

Where, κ : Thermal diffusivity, m²/s; λ : The thermal conductivity, W/(m·°C); $C_v = P_b \cdot C_m$: Volumetric heat capacity (J/m³·°C); P_b : The soil bulk density (kg/m³) C_m : The specific heat capacity (J/kg·°C).

In the present work, were used the four (the so-called) classical algorithms and two improved method, were used to estimate the thermal diffusivity κ , based on solving of equation (1). Below are the formulas that are used for the case when the daily temperature variation on the soil surface is represented by one and two harmonics.

These algorithms for calculating the apparent thermal diffusivity of soil κ are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 – Six algorithms to determine the Thermal Diffusivity κ

No.	Name	Formula	References
Classical algorithms			
1	Amplitude algorithm	$\kappa = \frac{\pi}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{(z_2 - z_1)}{\ln^2 \left[\frac{T_{\max}(z_1) - T_{\min}(z_1)}{T_{\max}(z_2) - T_{\min}(z_2)} \right]}$	Carslaw and Jaeger, 1959
2	Phase algorithm	$\kappa = \frac{\pi}{\tau_0} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{\varphi_2 - \varphi_1} \right)^2$	Nerpin and Chudnovskii, 1967
3	Arctangent algorithm	$\kappa = \frac{\pi (z_2 - z_1)^2}{\tau_0 \cdot \arctan^2 \left[\frac{(T'_1 - T'_3)(T''_2 - T''_4) - (T'_2 - T'_4)(T''_1 - T''_3)}{(T'_1 - T'_3)(T''_1 - T''_3) + (T'_2 - T'_4)(T''_2 - T''_4)} \right]}$	Kaganov and Chudnovsky, 1953

4	Logarithmic algorithm	$\kappa = \frac{4\pi \cdot (z_2 - z_1)^2}{\tau_0 \cdot \ln^2 \left\{ \frac{[T_1(z_1) - T_3(z_1)]^2 + [T_2(z_1) - T_4(z_1)]^2}{[T_1(z_2) - T_3(z_2)]^2 + [T_2(z_2) - T_4(z_2)]^2} \right\}}$	Kolmogorov, 1950
Improved algorithms			
5	Methods-1	$\kappa = \frac{\pi}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{(2z_*)^2}{\ln^2 \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^4 [T(z_*, t_i^*) - T(z_*, t_{i+4}^*)]^2 / 4T_a^2 \right\}}$	Mikayilov and Shein, 2010
6	Methods-2	$\kappa = \frac{\pi}{\tau_0} \cdot \left(\frac{L}{b_1^*} \right)^2$	

where; $T_{\min}(z)$ and $T_{\max}(z)$ – are the minimum and maximum temperature values during the measurement at the depths $z=z_1$ and $z=z_2$ respectively, τ_0 – period of heat wave, $T_1(z_1)$ and $T_1(z_2)$ in the formulas (3)-(4) are the soil temperature values at the depths $z=z_1$ and $z=z_2$, respectively, at the time at the time moment (for our example $\tau_0 = 24$ h and $t_1=6, t_2=12, t_3=18$ and $t_4=24$ h).

The performance of four methods was evaluated by Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r), Coefficient of Determination (R^2), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE, σ), Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE, A), and Theil's U Statistic (UII).

Vertical changes of soil properties in soil profile and soil column are depicted in Table 2.

Table 2 – Some physical and chemical properties of research soil

Depth (z)	Clay	Silt	Sand	BD*	OM	q	Cv
m	%			kg m ⁻³	%	m ³ m ⁻³	kJ m ⁻³ °C
0-10	28.60	32.10	39.80	0.9743	1.40	0.2406	1757.5904
20-25	25.50	29.50	45.10	1.0491	2.35	0.2584	1901.3979
30-35	14.20	19.60	66.30	1.1581	3.07	0.2672	2033.1646
50-60	22.45	33.35	44.20	1.3431	1.60	0.1870	1820.3163
0-60	22.69	28.64	48.85	1.1312	2.11	0.2383	1878.1173

*BD: Bulk density; OM: Organic matter; θ : Volumetric water content; C_v : Volumetric heat capacity

To determine the parameters of the soil's active surface (T_0 , T_1 , and ε_1), we adopted one and two harmonics in condition (2). Using the measurement results for $x = 0$, that is, $T(x = 0, t)$ and using the least squares method, we determined the parameters of the temperature distribution of the surface of the in studied soils. Table 2 gives results of calculation of the parameters, and also statistical characteristics of approximation between – the initial data, and – the data computed from formula (2) for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$.

As can be seen from Table 3, the introduction of the second harmonic makes it possible to determine with high accuracy the parameters of the temperature distribution on the soil surface.

Table 3 – Parameters of the field soils surfaces and model performance

№	The Parameters at the soil surface	Numbers of harmonics			
		m=1		m=2	
1	Mean Temperature at soil surface (T_0), °C	T_0	26.8488	T_0	26.8488
2	Amplitude of oscillations of the soil surface temperature (T_1), °C	T_1	19.5764	T_2	5.5732
3	Phase shift	ε_1	2.4929	ε_2	-1.0678
№	Statistical approximation parameters				
1	Coefficient of Determination, %	R^2	91.77	R^2	99.21
2	Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) T in t	σ	4.54	σ	1.48
3	Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE), %	A	18.37	A	3.91
4	Normalized Standard Error or Theil's U Statistic	UII	0.1359	UII	0.0421

Mean values for soil thermal diffusivity (κ), thermal conductivity (λ), and damping depth (d), calculated by amplitude, phase, arctangent and logarithm methods, are given in Table 4.

After determining the values of the thermal diffusivity κ , using the classical and improved formulas (1-6) listed in Table 1, the values of $T(z, t)$ for the depths of 5, 10, 15, 20, 40, and 60 cm were calculated for the soil temperature.

Table 4 – The mean values of the thermal diffusivity (κ), thermal conductivity (λ), damping depth (d), and heat absorptivity (e) of studies soils, calculated by six different methods.

№	Type of methods	C_v	$10^{-6} \cdot k$	λ	d	e
		kJ/(m ³ ·°C)	m ² /s	W/(m·°C)	m	W·h ^{0.5} /(m ² ·°C)
Classical Methods						
1	Amplitude	1878.1173	0.5416	1.0172	0.1220	23.0362
2	Phase	1878.1173	6.2780	11.7908	0.4155	78.4300
3	Arctangent	1878.1173	13.2986	24.9764	0.6048	114.1498
4	Logarithm	1878.1173	0.4889	0.9183	0.1160	21.8878
Improved Methods						
5	Methods-5	1878.1173	0.2878	0.5405	0.0890	16.7917
6	Methods-6	1878.1173	0.2701	0.5074	0.0862	16.2693

A comparison of numerical calculations revealed that improved methods 1 and 2, with a comparison with all classical methods, give satisfactory results (see Table 5). Therefore, when modeling heat transfer in soils, it is necessary to take into account conditions of the second kind on the lower boundary. And to determine the parameter thermal diffusivity it is advisable to use Method 2.

Table 5 – Values of parameters σ and A for comparing methods 1-6

z	σ^*				A			
depth	Numbering of methods				Numbering of methods			
m	1	4	5	6	1	4	5	6
0.05	3.876	3.836	3.940	1.560	12.534	12.196	11.876	3.841
0.10	3.927	3.780	3.448	2.068	12.301	11.661	10.980	4.645
0.15	3.728	3.610	3.408	2.603	11.727	11.717	12.284	6.175
0.20	3.364	3.279	3.168	2.457	11.667	11.721	12.006	5.745
0.40	3.151	3.160	3.158	1.640	12.586	12.657	12.664	3.739
0.60	3.992	3.993	3.993	2.219	16.596	16.596	16.597	5.302

*RMSE (σ)–Root Mean Squared Error; MAPE (A)–Mean Absolute Percentage Error.

When modeling heat transfer in soils, it is necessary to take into account conditions of the second kind on the lower boundary. And to determine the parameter thermal diffusivity it is advisable to use Method 2.

In fact, at certain depths, convective heat transfer is absent and the heat flux is zero, so it is necessary to accept the boundary conditions of the second kind i.e., conditions (5).

If the depth of profile is relatively small, then it is advisable to use Method 1, since this method also takes into account the values of the amplitude of the soil surface.

REFERENCES

1. Carslaw, H. S., Jaeger, J.C. Conduction of heat in solids/H. S. Carslaw, J.C. Jaeger. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1959. – 487 p.
2. Kolmogorov, A.N. On the question of determining the coefficient of thermal diffusivity of the soil/A.N. Kolmogorov// Izv. Acad. Sci. USSR. Geogr.Geophys. 1950. – Vol. 2. No. 14. – P. 97-99 [in Russian].
3. Mikayilov, F.D., Shein, E.V. Theoretical bases the experimental methods definitions of thermal diffusivity of soil/ F.D. Mikayilov, E.V. Shein// Eurasian Soil Science. 2010. – Vol. 43. No. 5. – P. 536–544.
4. Nerpin, S.V., Chudnovskii A.F. Soil physics/ S.V. Nerpin, A.F. Chudnovskii. Moscow: Nauka, 1967. – 584 p. [in Russian].
5. Tong, B., Gao, Z., Horton, R., Wang, L. Soil apparent thermal diffusivity estimated by conduction and by conduction–convection heat transfer models/B. Tong, Z. Gao, R.Horton, L. Wang//J. Hydrometeorol. 2017, – Vol. 18, No. 1. – P. 109-118.

**ОЦЕНКА ВЛИЯНИЯ ТЕМПЕРАТУРЫ СРЕДЫ И ПЛОТНОСТИ ПОСАДКИ
НА ПАРАМЕТРЫ РОСТА МРАМОРНОГО РАКА *PROCAMBARUS VIRGINALIS*
(DECAPODA, CAMBARIDAE)**

**THE ASSESSMENT OF THE INFLUENCE OF THE ENVIRONMENT TEMPERATURE
AND THE DENSITY OF THE LANDING ON THE GROWTH PARAMETERS OF
MARBLE CRAYFISH *PROCAMBARUS VIRGINALIS* (DECAPODA, CAMBARIDAE)**

**О. А. Бодиловская¹, А. П. Голубев¹,
К. В. Климова¹, К. П. Верещагина¹, А. Д. Мутин²
О. А. Bodilovskaya¹, A. P. Golubev¹,
K. V. Klimova¹, K. P. Vereshchagina², A. D. Mutin²**

¹Белорусский государственный университет, МГЭИ им. А. Д. Сахарова БГУ,
г. Минск, Республика Беларусь

²Научно-исследовательский институт биологии Иркутского государственного университета,
г. Иркутск, Россия
_olga_iseu@tut.by;

¹Belarussian State University, ISEU BSU, Minsk, Republic of Belarus

²Institute of Biology at Irkutsk State University, Irkutsk, Russia

В экспериментах определено влияние плотности посадки особей и температуры среды (воды) на параметры роста (длительность межлиночного периода, масса особей и прирост массы после линьки) мраморного рака *Procambarus virginalis*. Выявлено, что содержание раков в малых сосудах (площадь дна 5,7 см²) негативно сказывается на их росте и в итоге приводит к гибели. Эксперимент показал, что особи *P. virginalis* из летней серии линяют чаще, чем из зимней. В среднем длительность межлиночного периода в зимнее время (17–20°C) в 1,5 больше, чем в летнее (22–30°C). При этом повышение температуры свыше 25°C приводит к увеличению межлиночного периода.

The influence of the environment temperature and the density of the landing on the growth parameters (duration of the inter-molting period, weight of individuals and weight gain after molting) of marble crayfish *Procambarus virginalis* in laboratory experiments have been estimated. It was revealed that the cultivation of crayfish in small vessels (bottom area 5.7 cm²) negatively affects their growth and ultimately leads to death. The experiment showed that individuals of *P. virginalis* from the summer series molt more often than from the winter one. Average duration of the inter-molting period in winter (17–20 °C) is 1.5 times longer than the summer (22–30 °C). In this case, temperature rise over 25 °C leads to an increase in the inter-molting period.

Ключевые слова: мраморный рак, линька, межлиночный период, рост, температура.

Keywords: marble crayfish, molting, the inter-molting period, growth, temperature.

<https://doi.org/10.46646/SAKH-2020-1-231-234>

Ракообразные представляют большой интерес для фундаментальных научных исследований. Изучение параметров роста и размножения ракообразных в лабораторных условиях является ценным для понимания механизмов распространения видов и сопутствующему процессу приспособления к новым условиям обитания. Примером такого распространения служит мраморный рак *Procambarus virginalis*. Этот вид вызывает особый интерес среди ученых, поскольку является единственным известным партеногенетическим видом отряда десятиногих раков. Молекулярно-генетические исследования показали, что *P. virginalis* произошел от содержащихся в аквариумах особей двуполого *Procambarus fallax* (Hagen, 1980) в результате геномной мутации. Мраморный рак широко используется для содержания аквариумистами-любителями и, время от времени, интродуцируется в естественные водоемы и садовые пруды [1, 2]. *P. virginalis* появился на территории Европы в начале 1990-х годов [3] и стал быстро распространяться благодаря способности размножаться при помощи партеногенеза [4, 5]. Инвазия *P. virginalis* может пагубно сказаться на аборигенных видах речных раков Беларуси – *Astacus leptodactylus* и *A. Astacus*.

Прогноз распространения мраморного рака и разработка методов его сдерживания в природных водоемах возможны лишь на основе надежных данных по его биологии и экологии, а также данных о диапазонах важнейших факторов среды (температура, концентрация кислорода, pH и др.), в пределах которых особи этого вида могут не только выживать, но и размножаться. *Procambarus virginalis* также является модельным видом для проведения молекулярно-генетических исследований, что подразумевает содержание особей данного вида в лабораторных условиях. При этом данных о методах культивирования явно недостаточно.