Characterization of a plankton community in a fish farm

Caracterização da comunidade planctônica em sistema de piscicultura

Lúcia Helena Sipaúba Tavares¹, Rodrigo Ney Millan¹ and Rachel Magalhães Santeiro²

¹Laboratório de Limnologia e Produção de Plâncton, Centro de Aquicultura, Universidade Estadual Paulista – UNESP, CEP 14884-900, Jaboticabal, SP, Brazil
e-mail: sipauba@caunesp.unesp.br, rodrigomillan@yahoo.com.br

²Instituto Superior de Ciências e da Saúde – INCISA, Av. Barão Homem de Melo 4324, 1 andar, CEP 30450-250, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil
e-mail: rachelsanteiro@yahoo.com.br

Abstract: Aim: The objective of this study was to analyze the composition of phytoplankton and zooplankton communities related to the dynamics of a fish farm; **Methods:** Samples were collected every other day, within a period of twenty consecutive days, during the dry and rainy seasons. Two samples were collected upstream from the fish pond (reservoir); the other four samples were collected in the fish farm area; **Results:** Rotifera and Chlorophyceae species were found in high densities at almost all sampling sites during both seasons under analysis. The higher phytoplankton species richness from site P₃ to P₆ was influenced by the management employed within the fish farm. The zooplankton community showed low values of density, species richness and equitability during the dry season; **Conclusions:** The continuous water flow and the addition of fertilizers (organic and inorganic) in the fish ponds had an influence on the plankton community, leading to a reduction in water quality and Cyanobacteria dominance.

Keywords: fish ponds, plankton, aquaculture, diversity, richness.

Resumo: Objetivo: O presente estudo objetivou conhecer a composição das comunidades fitoplanctônica e zooplanctônica em função da dinâmica de uma estação de piscicultura; **Métodos:** Foram realizadas amostragens a cada dois dias, por vinte dias consecutivos em duas épocas do ano: seca e chuvosa, em dois pontos a montante da piscicultura (reservatório) e outros quatro dentro da piscicultura; **Resultados:** As espécies de Rotifera e de Chlorophyceae foram encontradas em maior densidade nos dois períodos estudados, em quase todos os pontos de coleta. Elevada riqueza de espécies fitoplanctônicas foi observadas a partir do P₃ até P₆, influenciada pelo manejo empregado dentro da estação de piscicultura. A comunidade zooplanctônica mostrou baixos valores de densidade, riqueza de espécies e equitabilidade durante a estação seca; **Conclusões:** O fluxo contínuo de água e a adição de fertilizantes (orgânico e inorgânico) nos tanques de piscicultura influenciaram a comunidade planctônica com empobrecimento da qualidade da água e dominância de Cyanobacteria.

Palavras-chave: viveiros, plâncton, aquicultura, diversidade, riqueza.

1. Introduction

The plankton community is one of the main sources of energy flow in water environments. Its composition and density are relevant to detect-albeit partially-changes in the environment. Fluctuations in plankton communities in fish farms indicate the organisms' dependence on the physical and chemical conditions and on the management employed, which lead to great oscillations caused by the very dynamics of the fish ponds. Human activities are a highly determining factor in the limnology of these artificial shallow systems and in establishing their productivity.

The overall objective of organic and inorganic fertilizers is to increase fish farm productivity. A common practice in fish farms is the fertilization of ponds to enhance algae growth and promote the production of zooplankton suitable for larvae.

Several studies carried out in freshwater environments have established that the growth of phytoplankton may be controlled to a large degree by the limitation of nutrients, availability of light and the composition and abundance of zooplankton (Basualto et al., 2006).

The structure and functioning of the zooplankton community with regard to species composition and the processes that control changes in the density of each species are affected by several factors, such as the nature and availability of food resources, types of predatory interaction in the water environment, physical and chemical aspects of water, and anthropogenic changes.

In tropical shallow water systems, the role of rainy and dry seasons, and mixing have a greater impact on plankton biomass than inter-seasonal variations (Zimba et al., 2003).

Due to management in fish culture, a high level of the farm's primary productivity is maintained. As a rule, productivity in meso-oligotrophic farms is positively correlated with the richness of zooplankton species, whereas primary productivity is correlated with changes in the composition of zooplankton species (Dodson et al., 2009).

Analysis of the plankton community in fish farm systems is an important tool to evaluate water quality conditions, as changes in nutrient concentrations determine changes in species composition. The current study analyzes the influence of management adopted at the fish farm in the structure (composition and density) phytoplankton and zooplankton communities at six different sites that receive and/or distribute water to the entire fish farm.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study area

The current work was carried out at Furnas Hydrobiology and Hatchery Station (20° 40' S and 46° 19' W), Furnas Hydroelectric Plant (São José da Barra, MG, Brazil). The fish farm is located on the left bank of the Grande River, 2 km from the Furnas Reservoir, which provides water to the station's ponds. Continuous water flow provides a 5% daily exchange rate of the rearing volume.

Sampling sites were allocated from the reservoir where the water is harvested (P_1) for the fish farm up to the effluent outflow site (P_6) of the fish farm to the Grande River. Each fish pond has a separate water inflow system, but the water outflow pipe system of the tanks converges along the fish farm passing through sites (P_3 , P_4 , P_5 and P_6) sampled within the fish farm. The fish farm has 34 ponds with area of 200 m², 2 ponds with area of 1,000 m², 160 ponds with area of 10 m², 9 ponds with area varying between 150 and 400 m², 6 ponds with approximately 2,500 m², and a 5,670 m² decantation

pond (P_5). The ponds presented average depth varying from 1.00 to 5.00 m (P_5). The sampling sites are ponds that receive and/or distribute water for the many different sections of the fish farm, except for site P_5 , which is a decantation pond. Water samples were collected from six sampling sites, as follows: site 1 (P_1), close to the impounding of source water (Furnas Reservoir); site 2 (P_2), a water distribution center to ponds and breeding ponds of the fish farm; site 3 (P_3), which receives effluent of the larva culture ponds; site 4 (P_4), which receives the effluent of the plankton production ponds; site 5 (P_5), in the lake which, besides having fish, receives water from the entire fish farm; and site 6 (P_6), where water is discharged from the fish farm directly onto the receiving water body (Grande river) without any prior treatment. Collections were undertaken every other day, during 20 consecutive days, during the rainy (February/02) and dry (August/02) seasons.

2.2. Management

Populations in the ponds comprised 'tilapia' (*Oreochromis niloticus*), 'trairão' (*Hoplias larcidae*), 'pintado' (*Pseudoplatystoma corruscans*), 'pacu' (*Piaractus mesopotamicus*), 'curimba' (*Prochilodus lineatus*), 'piapara' (*Leporinus obtusidens*), 'carpa' (*Ciprinus carpio*), 'dourado' (*Salminus brasiliensis*), and 'piau' (*Leporinus friderici*). The estimated total weight of fish reached 3 t. Continuous water flow comes from water at the Furnas Reservoir. Fish were fed a supplementary diet containing 15% crude protein at the rate of 3% average live weight.

Fertilization in the ponds was done by chemical fertilizer (25.6 kg of simple super phosphate and 48 kg of ammonium sulfate), and by organic fertilizer, adding 100 kg of pig dung in natura at approximately 7-day intervals, only to plankton ponds (near P_4).

2.3. Plankton

At site P_1 , the plankton sample was taken in vertical hauls from the water column with totally filtered 45 L for the zooplankton and 25 L for the phytoplankton. At the other sites (P_2 - P_6), the plankton was sampled at the sub-surface with totally filtered 7.5 L by a Van Dorn bottle (2.5 L). Samples were obtained using 25 µm and 55 µm-mesh nets, and preserved in lugol's solution and formalin (4%), respectively, for quantitative and qualitative analysis of phytoplankton and zooplankton. Data were expressed in abundance percentage: specimens × 10³.L⁻¹ for phytoplankton, and specimens.L⁻¹ for zooplankton. Zooplankton organisms were counted in Sedgewick-Rafter

Cell and examined under 100× magnification. Total sample count by sedimentation and density were calculated according to APHA (1989). Phytoplankton samples were identified and counted under an inverted microscope (400× magnification) using 10 mL Uthermöhl sedimentation chambers. Transects of each sample were counted to an error of less than 20% (Lund et al., 1958). Frequency occurrence was also estimated for total phytoplankton and zooplankton organisms, divided into three categories: constant (50% or above), common (between 10 and 50%), or rare (between 1 and 10%) (Sampaio et al., 2002).

2.4. Hydrological variables

Water samples were collected at a depth of 0.10 m, with the exception of P₅ at 2.5 m, using a 5 L Van Dorn bottle. Nitrate and ammonium were determined according to Mackereth et al. (1978). Total phosphorus and orthophosphate were determined according to Murphy and Riley (1962). Temperature, dissolved oxygen and pH were measured in situ using a Horiba U-10 water quality checker.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The diversity index (H') (Shannon-Weaver), equitability and richness (total number of species) were used to analyze the diversity of phytoplankton and zooplankton between seasons and among sites (Odum and Barret, 2007). The ecological index values were obtained by estimating the mean values obtained for each season (dry and rainy) at each analyzed site (P₁ to P₆). The criteria of Lobo and Leighton (1986) were applied to describe species dominance and abundance. Species were considered abundant when the number of specimens was higher than the mean density of all species; they were dominant when the density was higher than 50% of the total number of specimens. Pearson's correlation ($p < 0.05$) was used to find out the levels of significance of the relationship between groups of phytoplankton and zooplankton and environmental variables, by using the Statistics 6.0 program. Two-way ANOVA analysis was undertaken with physical and chemical variables to compare sites and seasons, and their interaction (Zar, 1996). Significance level was $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

Table 1 presents means of physical-chemical variables and inorganic nutrients during the dry and rainy seasons, with minimum and maximum values during the study period. No significant difference

($p > 0.05$) was observed among sites and between seasons for pH. There was an increasing trend in inorganic nutrients starting at site P₃, with the exception of nitrate (P₂). The mean concentrations of dissolved oxygen exceeded 4.3 mg.L⁻¹.

Rotifera species were dominant among the zooplankton community during the study period, with the exception of P₁, where Cladocera was dominant during the dry season, and P₂ and P₃, where Copepoda were dominant during the dry and rainy season, respectively (Figure 1). Cladocera, namely *Bosmina* sp. (520 specimens.L⁻¹), were predominant at P₁ during the dry season; at P₂ and P₃ Copepoda were represented by *Argyrodiaptomus furcatus* (162 specimens.L⁻¹) and *Thermocyclops* sp. nauplii (65 specimens.L⁻¹), respectively, during the dry and rainy seasons. Although Cladocera were present at all sampled sites during the rainy season, they were absent during the dry season, appearing only at P₁, P₂ and P₅. Copepoda were absent at P₃ and P₆ during the dry season, when Rotifera, namely *Hexarthra intermedia* and Bdelloidea species, made up more than 80% of organisms (Figure 1).

The zooplankton community was represented by 40 taxa, of which 30 belonged to Rotifera, 7 to Cladocera and 3 to Copepoda (Table 2). Rotifera species (*Anuraeopsis navicula*, *Ascomorpha ecaudis*, *Brachionus calyciflorus*, *Keratella americana* and *Trichocerca similis*) occurred at all sites throughout the study period, generally characterized as rare or common. Cladocera were constant only at site P₁ associated directly with nitrate and P₂ with ammonium during the dry season, represented by *Bosmina longirostris* and *Bosmina* sp., respectively (Table 2). The high frequency of *Bosmina* sp. during the rainy season at P₁ and P₂ was directly correlated with total phosphorus and orthophosphate (Tables 2 and 3). *Daphnia* sp., *Mesocyclops* sp., *Conochilus unicornis*, *Trichocerca* sp. were found only at P₁ (Table 2); *Asplanchna* sp. and *Brachionus* cf. *urceolaris* were found at P₂ when inverse relationships were observed with ammonium, total phosphorus and orthophosphate, during the rainy season (Tables 2 and 3). *Cephalodella* sp., *Lecane* cf. *levistyla*, and *Lecane* sp. were only found at P₃ during the rainy season, associated with the highest mean concentrations of ammonium (48.5 µg.L⁻¹) and temperature (25.8 °C) observed during the study period (Tables 1 and 3). High concentrations of nitrate (219.9 µg.L⁻¹) influenced the high abundance of Rotifera species during that period at site P₃ (Tables 2 and 3; Figure 1).

Table 1. Mean maximum and minimum (in parenthesis), temperature (°C), pH, DO (mg.L⁻¹) and nutrients (µg.L⁻¹) during the dry (D) and rainy (R) seasons, at different sites (P₁-P₆) of fish farm, and results of Two-way ANOVA among sites and seasons, where: Total Phospho. = Total Phosphorus; Orthophospha. = Orthophosphate; DO = dissolved oxygen; significant = p < 0.05; not significant p > 0.05.

Variables	P ₁		P ₂		P ₃		P ₄		P ₅		P ₆		ANOVA
	D	R	D	R	D	R	D	R	D	R	D	R	
Temperature	20.9 (20-21)	23.3 (23-24)	21.8 (21-22)	23.9 (23-25)	22.3 (21-23)	25.8 (25-27)	22.2 (22-24)	25.8 (25-28)	22.8 (22-25)	26 (25-28)	23 (22-25)	26.5 (25-29)	p < 0.05
pH	6.4 (6.1-7)	6.4 (6.1-6.9)	7 (6-7.3)	6.8 (6.3-7.1)	7 (6-7.8)	7 (6.3-7.2)	7.1 (6.3-7.6)	7 (6.6-7.7)	6.7 (6.4-7.3)	6.9 (6.5-7.6)	7.1 (6.8-7.3)	7.1 (6.8-7.4)	p > 0.05
DO	5.6 (4.2-10.2)	5.6 (4.8-7.1)	7.8 (6.5-8.0)	7.5 (6.5-7.7)	7.3 (6.6-7.5)	6.8 (4.8-7.3)	7.3 (7.0- 7.6)	6.8 (4.5-8.2)	4.3 (2.5-5.6)	6.5 (3.7-7.8)	7.1 (6.8-7.6)	7.1 (3.2-7.6)	p < 0.05
Ammonium	7.1 (2-18)	17 (3-110)	5.1 (1-10)	8.4 (2-16)	154.6 (16-1,397)	48.5 (5-103)	70.3 (4-355)	42.6 (12-101)	141.3 (9-241)	42.8 (5-95)	138.2 (44-245)	26.9 (8-86)	p < 0.05
Nitrate	136.1 (100-167)	237.2 (166-292)	144.3 (106-190)	257.2 (178-299)	149.6 (77-216)	219.9 (154-282)	142.6 (103-179)	190.4 (103-253)	130.4 (101-166)	157.2 (88-221)	126.9 (100-149)	150.1 (82-209)	p < 0.05
Total Phospho.	11.4 (6-16)	12.6 (3-37)	10.9 (5-20)	9.7 (4-23)	61.7 (18-186)	99.5 (22-831)	40.6 (24-77)	103.2 (25-753)	76.4 (57-100)	54.1 (30-99)	77.1 (55-94)	53.7 (27-88)	p < 0.05
Orthophospha.	6 (2-10)	3.3 (1-8)	5.7 (3-9)	3.2 (1-8)	44.7 (11-178)	64 (9-595)	19.4 (7-64)	56.8 (5-491)	13.0 (8-18)	7.5 (2-29)	11.4 (7-18)	6.6 (1-23)	p < 0.05

Figure 1. Relative abundance (%) of zooplankton (Zoo) and phytoplankton (Phyto) at the sites (P₁-P₆) during the dry and rainy seasons.

Table 3. Pearson's correlation between environmental variables and phytoplankton and zooplankton groups in the dry (D) and rainy (R) seasons at the sites (P₁-P₆), where: TP = total phosphorus; Ortho. = orthophosphate; Temp.= temperature; Amm. = ammonium; and p < 0.05.

Variables	P ₁		P ₂		P ₃		P ₄		P ₅		P ₆	
	D	R	D	R	D	R	D	R	D	R	D	R
Phytoplankton												
Bacillariophyceae and nitrate	0.11	—	0.55	—	—	0.78	—	0.23	0.40	0.37	—	—
Bacillariophyceae and Temp..	—	—	0.39	—	—	—	—	-0.09	—	—	—	—
Bacillariophyceae and Ortho.	—	—	—	1.00	0.65	—	—	0.27	—	—	—	0.32
Bacillariophyceae and Amm.	—	—	—	—	—	—	-0.68	0.25	—	—	0.25	0.64
Bacillariophyceae and TP	0.90	—	—	1.00	0.48	—	—	0.45	—	0.30	—	—
Chlorophyceae and nitrate	-0.74	0.31	—	—	—	0.42	—	0.55	—	—	0.37	0.40
Chlorophyceae and Ortho.	0.54	0.47	—	1.00	0.89	0.39	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chlorophyceae and TP	—	—	—	1.00	0.96	—	—	—	—	0.30	—	—
Cyanobacteria and nitrate	—	0.61	0.37	—	0.68	—	0.20	—	—	0.37	—	—
Cyanobacteria and Temp.	—	0.51	—	—	0.80	0.61	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cyanobacteria and Ortho.	—	0.93	—	1.00	-0.54	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cyanobacteria and Amm.	—	0.86	—	—	0.62	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.40
Cyanobacteria and TP	0.90	0.67	-0.33	1.00	-0.49	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Zooplankton												
Cladocera and nitrate	0.08	-0.01	—	-0.48	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-0.40
Cladocera and Temp.	—	-0.46	—	-0.54	—	0.73	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cladocera and Ortho.	—	0.12	—	0.15	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cladocera and Amm.	—	-0.01	0.54	-0.34	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.78
Cladocera and TP	—	0.09	—	0.14	—	0.38	—	—	—	—	—	0.45
Copepoda and nitrate	0.20	—	0.46	—	—	—	—	0.43	—	—	—	0.41
Copepoda and Ortho.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.85
Copepoda and TP	—	0.38	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.44
Rotifera and nitrate	0.19	—	—	—	—	0.16	0.25	—	0.67	—	—	—
Rotifera and Temp.	—	—	-0.78	-0.37	—	0.50	0.70	—	—	—	0.50	—
Rotifera and Ortho.	-0.65	0.64	-0.30	-0.30	—	-0.24	—	—	—	—	-0.56	—
Rotifera and Amm.	—	—	0.31	-0.04	—	0.12	—	0.70	—	—	-0.61	—
Rotifera and TP	—	0.38	—	-0.35	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.44

Among the phytoplankton community, the dominant species were: *Phormidium* sp. at site P₃, correlated with nitrate, ammonium and temperature during the dry season; *Synedra* sp. at site P₃, correlated with nitrate during the rainy season; and *Chlorella* sp. at site P₁, correlated with nitrate and orthophosphate during rainy season (Tables 3 and 4). Among the zooplankton community, only copepod *Argyrodiaptomus furcatus* was dominant at site P₆ during the rainy season, as a function of orthophosphate (Tables 2 and 3).

Chlorophyceae were abundant at all sites during both seasons, except at P₃ during the dry season and P₄ during the rainy season (Figure 1). Predominance of Cyanobacteria occurred during the dry period due to the high density of *Microcystis* sp. (285 specimens × 10³.L⁻¹) (Table 3) and Bacillariophyceae at P₄ during the rainy season due to *Synedra* sp. (1,465 specimens × 10³.L⁻¹). The dominance of Cyanobacteria at site P₃ during the dry season coincided with the high mean levels of

ammonium (154.6 µg.L⁻¹), nitrate (149.6 µg.L⁻¹) and temperature (22.3 °C) (Tables 1 and 3; Figure 1). The high abundance of Bacillariophyceae at P₄ during the rainy season was related to nutrients, especially total phosphorus (Table 3; Figure 1).

Fourteen algae species occurred at all sampled sites (Table 4). However, only *Chlorella* sp. and *Crucigena* sp. were present in both seasons at all sites; *Chlorella* sp. occurred generally between 10 and 50% of the total number of organisms and *Crucigena* sp. occurred below 10% (Table 4). As a rule, Zygnematophyceae species were less than 10% of total algae, with the exception of *Staurostrum* sp. at site P₆ during the dry season (Table 4). Euglenophyceae species occurred only at sites P₄, P₅ and P₆ in both seasons (Table 4; Figure 1) in which nitrate rates were above 126 µg.L⁻¹ (Table 1).

The ecological indices species richness, Shannon's diversity and species equitability generally showed the highest values for the phytoplankton community

Table 4. Species composition (+, -), frequency of occurrence (F) and abundance of phytoplankton taxa in the dry (D) and rainy (R) seasons at the sites (P₁-P₆), where: + = presence; - = absence; A = abundant; d = dominant; C = constant; c = common and r = rare.

Taxa	P ₁				P ₂				P ₃				P ₄				P ₅				P ₆			
	D	F	R	F	D	F	R	F	D	F	R	F	D	F	R	F	D	F	R	F	D	F	R	F
Cyanobacteria																								
<i>Anabaena</i> sp.	+	r	-		+	r	-		+	r	-		+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r
<i>Aphanothece</i> sp.	+	r	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		-		-	
<i>Borzia</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		-		-		+	r	-	
<i>Chroococcus</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		-		-	
<i>Lyngbya</i> sp.	+	r	-		-		-		-		+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	-		+	r
<i>Merismopedia</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r
<i>Microcystis</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		+	C	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	c	+	r
<i>Oscillatoria</i> sp.	-		+	r	-		+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	-		+	r	+	r	-	
<i>Phormidium</i> sp.	+	r	-		-		-		d	c	-		-		-		+	r	+	r	+	r	-	
<i>Spirulina</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		-		+	r	-		-	
Bacillariophyceae																								
<i>Amphipleura</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		-	
<i>Asterionella</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	+	r	+	r	-		+	r	-	
<i>Aulacoseira</i> sp.	+	r	+	r	-		+		+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r
<i>Cyclotella</i> sp.	+	r	-		-		+	r	-		-		-		-		+	r	+	r	-		-	
<i>Cymbella</i> sp.	-		+	r	+	c	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	-		+	r	+	r	+	r
<i>Diatomella</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		-		-		+	r	-	
<i>Frustulia</i> sp.	-		-		-		+	r	+	r	-		+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r
<i>Gomphonema</i> sp.	-		-		+	r	-		+	r	-		-		-		-		-		-		-	
<i>Melosira</i> sp.	+	r	-		-		-		-		-		+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	-		+	r
<i>Surirella</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		+	r	+	r	-		+	r	-	
<i>Synedra</i> sp.	-		+	r	-		+	r	-		d	c	-		+	C	-		+	r	-		+	r
Chlamydomphyceae																								
<i>Pandorina</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		+	r	-		+	r	-		+	r	-		+	r	-	
Chlorophyceae																								
<i>Ankistrodesmus</i> sp.	+	r	-		-		-		+	r	-		-		+	r	+	r	+	r	-		-	
<i>Botryococcus</i> sp.	+	r	-		+	r	-		+	r	-		+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r
<i>Chlorella</i> sp.	+	C	d	C	+	c	+	C	+	r	+	c	+	C	+	c	+	c	+	c	+	c	+	c
<i>Closteriopsis</i> sp.	+	r	+	r	+	c	+	r	-		-		+	r	+	c	-		+	c	+	r	+	c
<i>Coelastrum reticulatum</i> (Dangeard)	-		-		-		-		-		+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	-		+	r	-	
<i>Coelastrum</i> sp.	+	r	+	c	+	r	+	r	+	r	-		+	c	-		+	c	+	c	+	c	+	r
<i>Crucigenia</i> sp.	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r
<i>Dictyosphaerium</i> sp.	+	r	+	r	-		-		+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r
<i>Eutetramorus</i> sp.	+	r	-		-		+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r
<i>Franceia</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		+	r	-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-	
<i>Kirchneriella</i> sp.	+	r	-		-		+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r
<i>Monoraphidium</i> sp.	+	r	+	r	-		+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r
<i>Pediastrum duplex</i> Meyen	-		-		+	r	-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		+	r	-	
<i>Pediastrum simplex</i> (Meyen)	-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		-		+	r	-		+	r	-	
<i>Pediastrum</i> sp. Meyen	+	r	+	r	-		+	r	+	r	-		+	r	+	r	-		+	r	-		+	r
<i>Scenedesmus ecornis</i> (Ehrenberg) Chodat	+	r	+	r	-		-		+	r	+	r	-		+	r	+	r	+	r	-		+	r
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i> (Turpin) Brébison	+	r	+	r	+	r	+		+	r	+	r	+	r	-		+	r	+	r	+	c	+	r
<i>Tetraëdron</i> sp.	-		+	r	-		-		+	r	-		+	r	+	r	-		-		+	r	+	r
<i>Tetrastrum triangulare</i> (Chodat) Komárek	+	r	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	+	r	-		-	
Dinophyceae																								
<i>Ceratium</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		-		-		-		-		-	
Euglenophyceae																								
<i>Euglena</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	+	r	+	r	-	
<i>Phacus</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	+	r	+	r	-		+	r
<i>Trachelomonas</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		-	
Oedogoniophyceae																								
<i>Bulbochaete</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		+	c	-		-		-		+	r	-	r	-	
<i>Oedogonium</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		+	r	-		+	c	-	
Zygnematophyceae																								
<i>Closterium</i> sp.	-		-		+	r	-		+	r	-		+	r	-		+	r	-		-		-	
<i>Cosmarium</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	+	r	-		-		-		-	
<i>Micrasterias</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		+	r	-		-		-		-		-		-		-	
<i>Mougeotia</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		-		-		-		-	
<i>Staurastrum</i> sp.	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	-		+	r	+	r	+	r	+	r	+	c	+	r
<i>Zygnema</i> sp.	-		-		-		-		-		-		+	r	-		-		-		-		-	

during the dry season. Lower values for zooplankton occurred during the dry season, with mean species richness of 7.9 and 0.56 for diversity, when compared with the rainy season (20.5 and 1.08, respectively). The values of species richness for zooplankton and diversity indices were highest during the rainy season. The equitability and diversity values for the zooplankton community decreased from site P_1 to P_6 , with a slight increase at P_5 during the dry season (Figure 2).

4. Discussion

Due to the management employed at the fish farm with the addition of feed and fertilizers to increase productivity, there were high levels of nutrients in the water, which directly interfered in

the plankton community. Sites P_1 and P_2 (source water), which do not receive the allochthonous matter of the fish farm, showed lower nutrient levels than sites located within the fish farm (P_3 to P_6); the sites near the plankton production ponds (P_3 and P_4) generally showed the highest concentration of nutrients due to the organic matter (pig dung) resulting from the fertilization of these ponds.

The role of fertilizers in increasing fish production has been emphasized, and fertilizers with ammonium sulfate stimulate phytoplankton growth and zooplankton production. The combined action of nitrogen and phosphorus stimulates high production as dominance of Cyanobacteria in the fish farm (Dhawan and Laur, 2002).

The influence of fertilizers in the plankton community was observed in this study where Cyanobacteria was dominant at site P_3 , and only Rotifera were observed at that site during the dry season, while Cyanobacteria showed a positive correlation with ammonium, nitrate and temperature, and an inverse correlation with total phosphorus and orthophosphate. The dominance of Cyanobacteria only at P_3 during the dry season was due to the presence of *Microcystis* sp. It is well known that the N:P ratio is also an important factor for the dominance of Cyanobacteria (Imai et al., 2009). Water temperature is another possibly important controlling factor for the seasonal succession of *Microcystis* species. The preferred temperature range of *Microcystis* species is from 24 to 34 °C; changing water temperature is one important factor controlling the composition of Cyanobacteria (Imai et al., 2009).

Phytoplankton growth and development are mainly steered by available solar energy input, hydrodynamic forces such as stratification and mixing in the resulting levels of nitrogen and phosphorus (Chellappa et al., 2009).

There was an abundance of Bacillariophyceae at site P_4 during the rainy season, directly related with nutrients (particularly total phosphorus), as this site received organic residue (pig dung) from the plankton cultivation ponds. Inorganic nutrients are regarded as the main source of diatom sustenance; past and present studies have focused on the availability and uptake of organic substrates by diatoms as means of diversifying from conventional trophic sources (Loureiro et al., 2009).

There was a high abundance of Chlorophyceae (except for sites P_3 and P_4) in the phytoplankton community and Rotifera, with the exception of P_1 and P_2 during the dry season. The sites that supply

Figure 2. Ecological indices (richness, diversity and species equitability) of zooplankton and phytoplankton during the dry and rainy seasons at the different sites (P_1 - P_6) in the fish farm.

water (P_1 and P_2) for the fish farm during the dry season were represented especially by Cladocera (*Bosmina* sp.) and Copepoda (*Argyrodiaptomus furcatus*), which are characteristic of the water impounding reservoir, an oligo-mesotrophic environment. In the rainy season, the increase in water flow in the reservoir led to the appearance of Rotifera that predominated in the fish farm throughout the study period. It was also observed that, starting at site P_3 during the dry season and site P_4 during the rainy season, Rotifera represented over 70% of total individuals present in the zooplankton community, emphasizing the effect of the management applied at the fish farm, contributing to a favorable environment for r-strategist organisms. High concentrations of total phosphorus, ammonium and high temperature promote species with a fast reproduction rate and short life span, such as Rotifera (Walz et al., 1995).

The continuous water flow at the fish farm, allied with the rainy season, favored water mixture. Thus, greater diversity and richness of zooplankton species was observed in that period. This increased species diversity, as has been observed with moderate disturbances found in many running water ecosystems (Chellappa et al., 2009). Fish farms normally receive great quantities of allochthonous matter, consisting of feed, fertilizers and food remains, but the rainfall, a characteristic phenomenon during the period of higher fish production (extensive feed supply), has a positive effect on fish farms (Sipaúba-Tavares et al., 2007).

Higher phytoplankton richness starting at site P_3 to P_6 was influenced by the management applied within the fish farm to feed the fish and fertilize the fish ponds. Fertilization is so far the most useful technique to make up or provide the essential needed nutrients to enhance the natural productivity through production of aquatic biota, which serve either directly or indirectly as fish food (Begum et al., 2007).

Generally, equitability and diversity values for the zooplankton community were low, with a decreasing trend in the dry season. There was a decreasing diversity (H') trend from P_1 to P_6 , with variation between 1.14 and 0.16 during the dry season and between 1.45 and 0.69 during the rainy season. The seasonal variation was considered different among the study sites and as a further indication of temporal heterogeneity. A total of 48 taxa for phytoplankton and 23 for zooplankton

in the dry season, and 39 and 36 in the rainy season were considered in the fish farm.

The present study clearly indicated that Chlorophyceae *Chlorella* sp. overwhelmingly dominated during the dry and rainy seasons, except for site P_3 in the dry season, when *Microcystis* sp. was constant and *Pormidium* sp. was dominant in the phytoplankton community. In the zooplankton community, Rotifera *Keratella americana* was common at almost all sites during the rainy and dry seasons.

The article emphasized that continuous water flow and the management employed at the fish farm influenced the plankton community, especially at the sites near the larva culture and plankton culture ponds (P_3 and P_4), where pig dung is added and consequently, high levels of nutrients are observed with dominance of Cyanobacteria and presence of *Thermocyclops* sp. Furthermore, in the effluent (P_6) of the fish farm there was an increase in species richness and diversity of the phytoplankton community when compared to water inflow (P_1 and P_2) at the fish farm and an increase in species richness, equitability and diversity of the zooplankton community during the rainy season. The composition and structure of plankton communities reveal changes in water quality, especially with regard to organic matter inputs. The latter causes disturbances in the system and provokes destabilizing situations in the communities.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Furnas Hydrobiology and Hatchery Station (MG, Brazil) for its logistical support of the experiment; CNPq (Brazilian Council for Scientific and Technological Development) for its support and funding to Rachel M. Santeiro.

References

- American Public Health Association – APHA, 1989. *Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater*. 16 ed. Washington: AWWA. 268 p.
- BASUALTO, S., TAPIA, J., CRUCES, F., BERTRAN, C., SHZATTER, R., PENA-CORTES, F. and HANNSTEIN, E., 2006. The effect of physical and chemical parameters on the structure and composition of the phytoplankton community of lake Budi (IX Region, Chile). *Journal of the Chilean Chemical Society*, vol. 51, no. 3, p. 993-999.
- BEGUM, M., HOSSAIN, MY., WAHAB, MA., AHMED, ZE., ALAM, MJ., SHAH, MR. and JASMINE, S., 2007. Effects of iso-nutrient fertilization on plankton production in earth ponds

- of Bangladesh. *Pakistan Journal Biological Sciences*, vol.10, no. 8, p. 1221-1228.
- CHELLAPPA, NT., CÂMARA, FRA. and ROCHA, O., 2009. Phytoplankton community: indicator of water quality in the Armando Ribeiro Gonçalves reservoir and Pataxó channel, Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, vol. 69, no. 2, p. 241-251.
- DHAWAN, A. and LAUR, S., 2002. Pig dung as pond manure effect on water quality, pond production and growth carp in poly-culture system. *NAGA ICLARM Quaternary*, vol. 25, no.1, p. 11-14.
- DODSON, SI., NEWMAN, AL., WILL-WOLF, S. ALEXANDER, ML., WOODFORD, MP. and van EGEREN, S., 2009. The relationship between zooplankton community structure and lake characteristics in temperature lakes (Northern Wisconsin, USA). *Journal of Plankton Research*, vol. 31, no. 9, p. 93-100.
- IMAI, H., CHANG, KH., KUSABA, M. and NAKANO, SI., 2009. Temperature-dependent dominance of *Microcystis* (Cyanophyceae) species: *M. aeruginosa* and *M.wesenbergii*. *Journal of Plankton Research*, vol. 31, no. 2, p. 171-178.
- LOBO, E. and LEIGTON, G., 1986. Estructuras comunitarias de las fitocenosis planctonicas de los sistemas de desembocaduras de rios y esteros dela zona central de Chilean. *Revista Biología Marina*, vol. 22, no. 1, p 1-29.
- LOUREIRO, S., JAUZEIN, C., GACÉS, E., COLLOS, Y., CAMP, J. and VAQUÉ, D., 2009. The significance of organic nutrients in the nutrition of *Pseudo-nitzschia delicatissima* (Bacillariophyceae). *Journal of Plankton Research*, vol. 31, no. 4, p. 399-410.
- LUND, JWG., KIPLING, C. and LE CREN, ED., 1958. The inverted microscope methods of estimating algal numbers and statistical basis of estimation by counting. *Hydrobiologia*, vol. 11, no. 3-4, p. 143-170.
- MACCKERETH, FJH., HERON, J. and TALLING, FJ., 1978. *Water analyses: some revised methods for limnologists*. London: Kendall Titus Wilson & Sons. 117 p.
- MURPHY, J. and RILEY, JP., 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural water. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. 162-176.
- ODUM, EP. and BARRETT, GW., 2007. *Fundamentos de ecologia*. São Paulo: Thomson Learning. 612 p.
- SAMPAIO, EV., ROCHA, O., MATSUMURA-TUNDISI, T. and TUNDISI, JG., 2002. Composition and abundance of zooplankton in the limnetic zone of seven reservoirs of the Paranapanema river, Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, vol. 6, no. 3, p. 525-545.
- SIPAÚBA-TAVARES, LH., GUARIGLIA, CST. and BRAGA, FMS., 2007. Effects of rainfall on water quality in six sequentially disposed with continuous water flow. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, vol. 67, no. 4, p. 643-649.
- WALZ, W., SARMA, SSS. and BENKER, U., 1995. Egg size in relation to body size in rotifers: an indication of reproductive strategy? *Hydrobiologia*, vol. 313/314, p. 165-170.
- ZAR, JH., 1996. *Biostatistical analysis*. 3 ed. New York: Prentice Hall. 622 p.
- ZIMBA, PV., MISCHKE, CC. and BRASHEAR, SS., 2003. Pond age-water column trophic relationships in channel catfish *Ictalurus punctatus* production ponds. *Aquaculture*, vol. 219, no. 1-4, p. 291-301.

Received: 01 September 2009

Accepted: 30 April 2010