Journal section: Oral Medicine and Pathology
Publication Types: Research

doi:10.4317/medoral.19623
<http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4317/medoral.19623>

Proposal for a new staging system for osteoradionecrosis of the mandible

Kemal H. Karagozoglu ¹, Hannah A. Dekker ¹, Derek Rietveld ², Remco de Bree ³, Engelbert A.J.M. Schulten ¹, Saara Kantola ⁴, Tim Forouzanfar ¹, Isaïc van der Waal ¹

¹ VU University Medical Center, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery/ Oral Pathology and Academic Centre of Dentistry Amsterdam (ACTA), Amsterdam, the Netherlands

² Vrije Universiteit VU medical center, Department of Radiotherapy

³ Vrije Universiteit VU medical center, Department of Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery

⁴ Oulu University Hospital, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Diseases, Oulu, Finland

Correspondence:

VU medical center

Dept. of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

Oral Pathology

P.O.Box 7057

1007 MB, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

i.vanderwaal@vumc.nl

Karagozoglu KH, Dekker HA, Rietveld D, de Bree R, Schulten EAJM, Kantola S, Forouzanfar T, van der Waal I. Proposal for a new staging system for osteoradionecrosis of the mandible. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2014 Sep 1;19 (5):e433-7.

<http://www.medicinaoral.com/medoralfree01/v19i5/medoralv19i5p433.pdf>

Received: 28/10/2013

Accepted: 22/11/2013

Article Number: 19623 <http://www.medicinaoral.com/>
© Medicina Oral S. L. C.I.F. B 96689336 - pISSN 1698-4447 - eISSN: 1698-6946
eMail: medicina@medicinaoral.com

Indexed in:

Science Citation Index Expanded
Journal Citation Reports
Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed
Scopus, Embase and Emcare
Indice Médico Español

Abstract

A new staging system for osteoradionecrosis of the mandible has been retrospectively applied to a group of 31 patients. In this system clinicoradiographic signs and symptoms are incorporated in a simplified manner. For imaging purposes the use of plain radiographs such as periapical films and panoramic radiographs is recommended, mainly because of their readily availability.

The presented staging system seems well reproducible, facilitating the comparison of study groups dealing with the various issues of osteoradionecrosis of the mandible. It is yet to be evaluated whether the presently proposed staging system is useful for management purposes.

Key words: Osteoradionecrosis, jaw bones, mandible, staging, classification.

Introduction

Osteoradionecrosis (ORN) of the jaws is a relatively rare but serious complication in patients who have been irradiated in the head-and-neck region. Its onset is usually after the first year of irradiation. There are several hypotheses on the etiology and pathogenesis of ORN. Since ORN rarely arises in the maxilla most studies are related to ORN of the mandible. Management is cum-

bersome and varies from a conservative approach with or without the use of antibiotics and/or hyperbaric oxygen (HbO) treatment to surgery. In an attempt to prevent the disease much attention is paid to elimination of potential odontogenic inflammatory foci prior to radiotherapy and, in case of surgical dental procedures after radiotherapy, to the prophylactic use of antibiotics and peri-operative HbO treatment.

ORN has been defined in various ways. Wong *et al.* defined ORN as “a slow-healing radiation induced ischemic necrosis of bone with associated soft tissue necrosis of variable extent occurring in the absence of local primary tumour necrosis, recurrence or metastatic disease” (1). Chranovic *et al.* suggested to add to this definition a minimum period of bone exposure of three months (2). Store and Boyson defined ORN as “radiological evidence of bone necrosis within the radiation field, where tumour recurrence has been excluded” (3). The National Cancer Institute defined ORN as “a disorder characterized by a necrotic process occurring in the bone of the mandible”.

In most of the reported cases of ORN no clear diagnostic criteria have been mentioned. Instead, the diagnostic criteria of ORN have often more or less been included in a staging system. In fact, numerous attempts have been made to stage the disease (2,4-10).

The aim of the present study is to evaluate the value of a new staging system, based on a combination of 1) clinico-radiographic findings, and 2) symptoms, such as pain and presence or absence of oral and/or cutaneous fistulas, in patients suffering from ORN of the mandible.

Patient and Methods

For the purpose of this study ORN has been defined as “radiation induced necrosis of bone”. A diagnosis of ORN was rendered in the presence of exposed bone, with or without changes on a plain radiograph such as a periapical film or panoramic radiograph, having excluded the presence of tumour tissue, either being a second primary or a recurrence. For the purpose of this study a definitive diagnosis of ORN has been made in case of presence of exposed bone for at least one month.

In the period 2005-2010 a total of 50 consecutive patients with ORN of the mandible have been registered in the database of the VU University Medical Center in Amsterdam, the Netherlands and retrospectively evaluated. Nineteen patients were excluded because of recurrent disease (n=10) or incomplete clinical and radiographic documentation (n=9). As a result 31 patients were included, 27 men and four women. The age at the time of the diagnosis of ORN varied from 42 years to 83 years, the mean age being 63 years.

Management of the patients with ORN ranged from observation, the use of various types of antibiotics prescribed in various dosages and routes of administration (orally or systemically), and various surgical procedures with or without peri-operative HbO treatment. In dental implant surgery in patients who had previously received more than 50 Gy irradiation, peri-operative HbO treatment was routinely given (20 sessions preoperatively and 10 sessions postoperatively). Since the focus of this study is on the evaluation of a new staging system of ORN rather than on reporting treatment results, no detailed data on treatment modalities will be provided.

End-points of the staging procedure were 1) clinically resolved condition for a duration of at least one year, 2) segmental resection, 3) death, or 4) end of follow-up.

The staging system is depicted in table 1. In this system clinico-radiographic signs and symptoms are incorporated. Staging has been performed at baseline and at various time intervals after the diagnosis of ORN has been established. In case of doubt between two stages the lower stage has been allotted.

The design of this retrospective study adheres to the code for proper use of human material of the Dutch Federation of Biomedical Scientific Societies (<http://www.federa.org>).

Table 1. Staging system for osteoradionecrosis of the mandible.

Stage 0: Exposure of mandibular bone for less than one month; no distinct changes on plain radiographs (panoramic radiograph or periapical film).
Stage I: Exposure of mandibular bone for at least one month; no distinct changes on plain radiographs (panoramic radiograph or periapical film). Asymptomatic otherwise, e.g. no pain or presence of cutaneous fistulas (I A), or symptomatic, e.g. pain or presence of cutaneous fistulas (I B).
Stage II: Exposure of mandibular bone for at least one month; distinct changes present on plain radiographs (panoramic radiograph or periapical film), but not involving the lower border of the mandible. Asymptomatic otherwise, e.g. no pain or presence of cutaneous fistulas (II A), or symptomatic, e.g. pain or presence of cutaneous fistulas (II B).
Stage III: Exposure of mandibular bone for at least one month; distinct changes on plain radiographs (panoramic radiograph or periapical film), involving the lower border of the mandible, irrespective of any other signs and symptoms.
Note: In case of doubt about the presence and/or extent of radiographical bone involvement, the lower stage should be allotted.

Results

The results of the staging procedure are depicted in table 2. Twelve patients were staged as stage I, showing exposure of mandibular bone without distinct changes on plain radiographs (Fig. 1), 15 patients were staged as stage II, showing distinct radiographic changes on plain radiographs but not extending into the lower border of the mandible (Fig. 2), and five patients were staged as stage III at the time of the diagnosis of ORN, showing radiographic involvement of the lower border of the mandible (Fig. 3).

The mean follow-up period of the entire group of patients was almost 36 months, while the mean interval between stage I and stage II and between stage II and stage III amounted 12.7 months and 17.2 months, respectively. In seven patients, evolving directly from stage I to stage III, apparently no radiographs at stage II had been made. In these cases the mean interval between stage I and stage III amounted 19.8 months. In table 3 the reasons for end of follow-up are shown.

Table 2. Stage at first diagnosis of osteoradionecrosis (ORN) and during follow-up of 31. Patients.

	No. of patients	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	std. deviation
Stage at first diagnosis of ORN					
Stage I	12				
A	4				
B	3				
n.s.	5				
Stage II	14				
A	1				
B	7				
n.s.	6				
Stage III	5				
Follow-up period (months)		0,16	166,5	35,9	34,9
Interval end of radiotherapy and diagnosis of ORN (months)		0,36	82,6	22,5	20,8
Interval between stages (months)					
Interval stage I – stage II	7	1,6	27,7	12,7	10,8
Interval stage II – stage III	12	1,2	53,8	17,2	16,1
Interval stage I – stage III	7	3,8	58,9	19,8	21,7

n.s.= not specified in the record.

Fig. 1. Stage I; exposure of mandibular bone (A); no distinct radiographic changes on the panoramic view (B).

Fig. 2. Stage II; exposure of mandibular bone (A); distinct involvement of the mandibular bone but not extending into the lower border (B).

Fig. 3. Stage III; extensive osteoradionecrosis of the mandible involving the lower border.

Table 3. Reasons for end of follow-up in patients with osteoradionecrosis (n=31).

	Number of patients	percent
Segmental resection	14	45,2
Stable or unstable clinical situation, still in follow-up	8	25,8
Stable clinical situation, end of follow-up	3	9,7
Deceased	5	16,1
Lost to follow-up	1	3,2
Total	31	100,0

Discussion and Conclusions

For reporting purposes it seems advisable to observe a minimum time period of bone exposure, e.g. one month, before applying a definitive diagnosis of ORN in the absence of radiographic changes. Within the period of the first month the diagnosis ORN can then be regarded as a tentative, provisional one. However, when radiographic changes are observed already at the first visit of the patient, there is no need to observe such a period of one month before applying a definitive diagnosis of ORN. In rare cases there may be distinct radiographical bone destruction (stages II and III) during follow-up in the absence of clinically exposed mandibular bone, being asymptomatic otherwise. In the present study these patients have been labeled as having stable disease (Table 3). In four patients the mandibular bone exposure had resolved while the radiographic changes remained present to some extent.

It is well appreciated that conventional radiographic imaging, such as orthopantomography, may result in an underestimation of the extent or severity of ORN. Interpretation of possible changes in the midline of the mandible can be difficult at times. Also the presence of dental implants may hamper proper evaluation of pos-

sible alterations of the surrounding bone. Furthermore, there may be a considerable intraobserver and interobserver variation in the evaluation of periapical films and panoramic radiographs. To some extent, these possible biases may be minimized by the recommendation to downstage the interpretation of the radiographic findings in case of doubt.

In spite of possibly more informative imaging tools such as CT scanning, positron emission tomography (PET), MRI, and radionuclide bone scanning, a panoramic radiograph and/or a periapical film is at present still the most readily available imaging tool worldwide.

In the paper by Chrcanovic et al. an excellent overview is given of the various staging systems of ORN that have been proposed in the past (2). Their criticism is that some of these systems are based on response to treatment and, therefore, can only be used retrospectively. It seems preferable, indeed, to use a system that can be used prospectively as was done in the present study. Our staging system seems well reproducible, facilitating the comparison of study groups dealing with the various issues of ORN of the mandible. It is yet to be evaluated whether this staging system is valuable for management purposes.

References

1. Wong JK, Wood RE, McLean M. Conservative management of osteoradionecrosis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 1997;84:16-21.
2. Chrcanovic BR, Reher P, Sousa AA, Harris M. Osteoradionecrosis of the jaws--a current overview--part 1: Physiopathology and risk and predisposing factors. *Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2010;14:3-16.
3. Store G, Boysen M. Mandibular osteoradionecrosis: clinical behaviour and diagnostic aspects. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci.* 2000;25:378-84.
4. Marx RE. A new concept in the treatment of osteoradionecrosis. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1983;41:351-7.
5. Coffin F. The incidence and management of osteoradionecrosis of the jaws. *Br J Radiol.* 1983;56:851-7.
6. Epstein JB, Wong FL, Stevenson-Moore P. Osteoradionecrosis: clinical experience and a proposal for classification. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1987;45:104-10.
7. Glanzmann C, Grätz KW. Radionecrosis of the mandibula: a retrospective analysis of the incidence and risk factors. *Radiother Oncol.* 1995;36:94-100.
8. Schwartz HC, Kagan AR. Osteoradionecrosis of the mandible: scientific basis for clinical staging. *Am J Clin Oncol.* 2002;25:168-71.
9. Notani K, Yamazaki Y, Kitada H, Sakakibara N, Nakamura M. Management of mandibular osteoradionecrosis and the method of radiotherapy. *Head Neck.* 2003;25:181-6.
10. Chang DT, Sandow PR, Morris CG, Hollander R, Scarborough L, Amdur J, et al. Do pre-irradiation dental extractions reduce the risk of osteoradionecrosis of the mandible?. *Head Neck.* 2007;29:528-36.