Original Investigations

What is the optimum number of follicular flushing in mono-follicular IVF cycles in poor responder women population?

Ertaş et al. Follicular flushing in mono-follicular IVF cycles

Sinem Ertaş¹, Başak Balaban¹, Bülent Urman^{1,2}, Kayhan Yakın^{1,2}

¹American Hospital, Women's Health Center, İstanbul, Turkey

²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Koç University Faculty of Medicine, İstanbul, Turkey

Address for Correspondence: Sinem Ertaş

Phone: +09 0535 921 40 60 e-mail: drsinemertas@gmail.com ORCID ID: orcid.org/0000-0002-1699-616X

DOI: 10.4274/jtgga.galenos.2021.2021.0016

Received: 20 January, 2021 **Accepted:** 29 April, 2021

Abstract

Objective: The assessment of the optimal number of follicular flushing on retrieval rate and quality of oocytes in mono-follicular IVF cycles.

Material and Methods: This is a retrospective analysis of 246 oocyte pick-up procedures in mono-follicular IVF cycles of 226 poor responder women. The primary endpoint was oocyte retrieval rate in the initial aspirate versus subsequent flushing episodes. The secondary endpoints were oocyte maturity, fertilization rates and embryo cleavage.

Results: The procedure was successful in 187 cycles (76%), out of which 160 M-II oocytes were retrieved. Retrieval rates were similar for natural and modified natural cycles ($p=0.595$). The initial aspirate provided 54% of the total yield and the rest was obtained from up to four episodes of flushing. Follicular flushing increased oocyte recovery rate from 41.1% to 76%. None of the oocytes retrieved after three flushes fertilized. Oocyte maturity, fertilization and embryo cleavage rates were comparable for oocytes from the initial aspirate and one to two episodes of flushing. Oocytes obtained after the third flushing episode developed into poor quality embryos.

Conclusion: Flushing confers a benefit in mono-follicular IVF cycles in poor responder women but flushing more than four times is futile.

Keywords: Oocyte retrieval, fertilization in vitro, assisted reproductive technologies

Introduction

Since the early days of human IVF, ovarian stimulation cycles have gradually replaced natural cycles owing to the benefits of increased oocyte yield and improved pregnancy rate (1). Although natural cycle IVF has regained attention in parallel with the increased interest in minimal ovarian stimulation strategies, in many clinics, it is considered as the last resort

for women who do not respond to ovarian stimulation with more than a single follicle. Despite a bleak prognosis, a considerable number of women opt for multiple treatment attempts with their own oocytes before convincing themselves to proceed with oocyte donation and some others do not or cannot consider this option due to personal, religious, or legislative reasons.

The success of natural cycle IVF is impeded, however, by high cancellation rates because of premature ovulation, failed oocyte retrieval, and fertilization or cleavage problems (2). As the success is dependent on the retrieval of the oocyte presumed to be in the single growing follicle, flushing is commonly performed when the initial aspirate is negative. However, data regarding the benefit of flushing during oocyte retrieval is not conclusive and is mainly derived from stimulated cycles with multiple growing follicles (3, 4). Excessive flushing is associated with long operative times and wasted flushing medium. Prompted by the scarcity of data, we retrospectively analyzed our mono-follicular IVF cycles to assess the optimal number of flushing.

Material and Methods

Study population and participants

This is a retrospective analysis of 279 oocyte pick-up (OPU) procedures performed in a tertiary care infertility center between January 2016 and December 2018 for natural (n=126) and modified natural (n=153) IVF cycles. Data regarding female age, body mass index (BMI), serum estradiol (E₂) level and diameter of the follicle at the time of ovulation trigger, number of flushes, oocyte maturity, fertilization and embryo quality were extracted from the electronic database. In the beginning of IVF treatment, all patients gave the informed consent that their data without their personal information can be used for research projects in the future in our clinic. Treatment cycles of patients with more than one growing follicle (n=8) and premature ovulation (n=25) were excluded from the analysis. The study group included 99 natural and 147 modified natural IVF cycles.

During natural and modified natural IVF cycles; ultrasonographic monitorization was started on the second or third day of menstruation to exclude the presence of ovarian cysts that may be confused with a growing follicle. In the presence of a sonolucent structure >10 mm in size serum estradiol was measured to differentiate between a growing follicle and a cyst.

Ovulation was triggered with 250 µgr of recombinant human chorionic gonadotropin (Ovitrelle®, Merck-Serono, Italy) when the mean follicle diameter reached or exceeded 16 mm. In modified natural IVF cycles, 75 IU recombinant FSH (Gonal F®, Merck-Serono, Italy) and gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist (Cetrotide®, Merck-Serono, Italy) was started when the follicle reached 12 mm in diameter. Ovulation was triggered with 250 µgr of recombinant human chorionic gonadotropin (Ovitrelle®, Merck-Serono, Italy) when the mean follicle diameter reached or exceeded 16mm. Indomethacin suppositories (Endol sup®, 100 mg, Deva, Turkey) were administered every 12 hours starting with the ovulation trigger and continued until egg collection. Oocyte retrieval was performed under local anesthesia 34-36 hours after triggering ovulation, using a 17-gauge double-lumen needle (K-OPSD-1735-B-L, Cook, Australia), connected to a vacuum pump (K-MAR-5200, Cook, Australia). The aspiration pressure was set at 150 mmHg. The follicle was aspirated and an additional 1.5 cc (this is the volume of the aspiration tubing of the needle) of flushing medium was given and aspirated again to retrieve the oocyte-cumulus corona complex (OCCC) if trapped in the aspiration tubing. This was referred to as the aspirate. If no OCCC was observed, flushing was affected using a specifically formulated medium (ASP, Vitrolife, Sweden) that was prewarmed to 37 °C. The maximum number of flushes was six. OCCCs were denuded after at least 2 hours of incubation. Following maturation assessment; all metaphase II (M-II) oocytes were fertilized by standard intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI). Fertilization was assessed 16-17 hours after ICSI, and the presence of two pronuclei

represented normal fertilization. Embryos were cultured for 3 to 5 days, depending on the primary physician's preference.

Figure 1 shows the flowchart of the inclusion and exclusion of patients from the study.

Statistical analysis

The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to check for normality of distribution. All continuous variables displayed a normal distribution. Continuous variables are represented as mean $\pm$ SD while categorical variables are described as frequency with rate. The Student's t test for normally distributed continuous data and Chi Square or Fisher's exact tests for categorical data were used, where appropriate.

The primary endpoint was oocyte retrieval rate in the initial aspirate versus subsequent flushing episodes. The secondary endpoints were oocyte maturity, fertilization and embryo cleavage rates. Correlation and logistic regression analyses were used to assess the factors related to oocyte retrieval. Several literature-derived and biologically plausible confounders were determined (female age, BMI, natural or modified natural cycle, peak E₂ level, diameter of the follicle at the time of triggering ovulation). All p-values were two-sided and $p < 0.05$ was considered significant. Statistical analyses were carried out using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 24.0 (IBM: Chicago, IL, USA).

The local research ethics committee approved the study (2020.181.IRB1.049).

Results

The study group included 246 OPU procedures in 226 women, for 99 natural cycles and 147 modified natural IVF cycles. Baseline characteristics of all cycles and their outcomes are reported in Table 1. Seven women had multiple treatment attempts. The procedure was successful in 187 (76%) cycles, out of which 160 M-II oocytes were retrieved (including five that were developed in-vitro from M-I oocytes). The fertilization rate was 53.1% (85/160). On the third day of in-vitro culture, these 85 zygotes developed into 23 (27.1%) grade-I and 55 (64.7%) grade-II embryos whereas seven (8.2%) showed cleavage arrest.

Table 2 shows the number of oocytes, M-II oocytes, zygotes and cleaved embryos generated from the oocytes collected from the initial aspirate and subsequent flushing episodes. The initial aspirate contained approximately half of the total oocyte yield (101/187). The first, second, third and fourth flushing provided 46 (24.5%), 19 (10%), 14 (7.5%) and 7 (4%) oocytes, respectively. No oocytes were recovered thereafter.

Figure 2 depicts cumulative percentages of the oocytes retrieved. The odds of retrieving an oocyte was 0.07 (95% CI: 0.05-0.11), if no flushing is performed ($p=0.0001$).

Among a priori selected confounders, the follicle diameter was positively correlated with the chance of retrieving an oocyte ($r=.185$, $p=0.040$). None of the other factors were related with success in oocyte retrieval (female age: $r=-.030$, $p=0.635$; BMI: $r=.043$, $p=0.503$; peak E₂ level: $r=-.099$, $p=0.126$; natural vs modified natural cycle $p=0.595$). The lowest E₂ level in a cycle with a M-II oocyte retrieval was 139 pg/ml.

Oocyte maturity was gradually decreased subsequent flushing episodes but the difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.577$). Fertilization rates of M-II oocytes obtained from the initial aspirate and one to three episodes of flushing were comparable ($p=0.971$). None of the five oocytes obtained from the fourth flush was fertilized.

Cleavage rate of embryos derived from oocytes retrieved from the initial aspirate and one to two episodes of flushing however, was significantly higher compared to those of embryos derived from the oocytes obtained from the third flushing episode (50%, 3/6), $p=0.006$).

Discussion

This study shows that follicular flushing increased oocyte recovery rate in mono-follicular IVF cycles. However, no oocytes were retrieved after four flushing episodes. Oocytes obtained after the first two flushing either failed to be fertilized or developed into poor quality embryos.

The benefit of routine flushing in OPU is controversial (3, 4). Published reports are concentrated mainly on data derived from multi-follicular growth in stimulated cycles. The latest Cochrane meta-analysis including 10 randomized controlled trials in 928 women reported no difference in oocyte yield between direct aspiration versus follicular flushing of multiple follicles (5). Observational studies suggest a potential benefit in cycles with only a few growing follicles (3, 6, 7). However, data on natural IVF cycles are very limited. Our study shows a clear benefit from flushing in natural and modified natural cycles as flushing increased the oocyte retrieval rate from 41.1% (101/246) to 76% (187/246). Similarly, Mendez Lozano et al. showed an increase in the oocyte yield from 46.8% to 84.6% in minimally stimulated cycles (8) and von Wolff et al. reported an increase from 44.5% to 80.5% in mono-follicular cycles (9). A recent randomized trial showed significant increase in the mature oocyte retrieval rate by flushing (77.1% versus 59.3%) (10). Compared to previous reports, the rate of mature oocyte retrieval was lower in our study (65%, 160/246). This might stem from the differences in patient characteristics as the study groups were much younger in these three studies as the mean female age was 33.5 (20-37), 37.0 (28-45) and 35.0 (18-42), respectively (8-10).

Despite the suggested benefit of flushing in minimally stimulated or natural IVF cycles, there is no consensus on the optimal number of flushing attempts. When the initial aspirate does not contain the oocyte, it is likely that the very first flushing would drive the oocyte that remains in the dead space within the lumen of needle or connecting system into the collecting tube. A prospective study on stimulated IVF cycles, 40% of the oocytes were obtained in the primary aspirate and 41.3% in the dead space of the collecting system (11). We observed that the last flushing episode that yielded an M-II oocyte was the fourth and an oocyte with fertilization capacity was the third. No oocytes were retrieved after the fourth flushing episode. These findings were in comparable with previously published reports. Mendez Lozano et al. harvested 55.5% of oocytes in the direct aspirate, and 44.5% from follicular flushing (80.3% in the first, 10.7% in the second, 5.8% in the third and 2.9% in the fourth flushing (8). Bagtharia and Haloob reported that direct aspiration provided 40% of the oocytes and the rate was increased to 97% after two to four flushing (12). Von Wolff et al. retrieved 44.5% of oocytes in the primary aspirate, 20.7% in the first, 10.4% in the second and 4.3% in the third flushing episode (9). Xiao et al. was able to collect an oocyte until the ninth flushing episode but suggested that the reasonable number of flushing was four (13). Kohl Schwartz et al. reported that the majority of mature oocytes were retrieved in three flushing episodes (10).

Another concern related with oocytes obtained with flushing is their quality. A prospective study on 300 embryos generated from oocytes retrieved either in initial aspirate or flushing episodes showed that viability, fertilization capacity and cleavage rates were lower in oocytes harvested through flushing (11). During flushing; intra-follicular pressure increment, procedure time length, paracrine milieu change due to dilution may cause damage the oocyte, either fracturing the zona or stripping the cumulus mass (4, 14). In contrast, Kohl Schwartz et al. showed no association between the number of flushing and quality of embryos (OR:1.39; 95% CI:0.93-2.11) (10). We observed that the last flushing episode that yielded an M-II oocyte was the fourth and an oocyte with fertilization capacity was the third. However, fertilization rates in oocytes obtained from the first three flushing episodes were comparable and cleavage rates in embryos generated from the oocytes retrieved in the first two flushing episodes were similar.

Study Limitation

Our study has limitations due to its retrospective data collection design. As the study is based on a heterogenous group of poor responder women, the results cannot be generalized to women with good ovarian reserve undergoing natural cycle IVF.

Conclusion

In conclusion, follicular flushing confers a benefit in mono-follicular natural or modified natural IVF cycles in poor responder women, however, flushing more than four times is practically futile.

Acknowledgments

The authors would gratefully acknowledge embryology unit for their valuable support during data acquisition.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they do not have any conflict of interest in regard to this article.

Funding

No funding was either sought or obtained for the study.

References

1. Pelinck M, Hoek A, Simons A, Heineman M. Efficacy of natural cycle IVF: a review of the literature. *Human reproduction update*. 2002;8(2):129-39.
2. von Wolff M. The role of Natural Cycle IVF in assisted reproduction. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*. 2019;33(1):35-45.
3. Hill MJ, Levens ED. Is there a benefit in follicular flushing in assisted reproductive technology? *Current Opinion in Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2010;22(3):208-12.
4. Neumann K, Griesinger G. Follicular flushing in patients with poor ovarian response: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reproductive biomedicine online*. 2018;36(4):408-15.
5. Georgiou EX, Melo P, Brown J, Granne IE. Follicular flushing during oocyte retrieval in assisted reproductive techniques. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2018 (4).
6. Levens ED, Whitcomb BW, Payson MD, Larsen FW. Ovarian follicular flushing among low-responding patients undergoing assisted reproductive technology. *Fertility and sterility*. 2009;91(4):1381-4.
7. Levy G, Hill MJ, Ramirez CI, Correa L, Ryan ME, DeCherney AH, et al. The use of follicle flushing during oocyte retrieval in assisted reproductive technologies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Human reproduction*. 2012;27(8):2373-9.
8. Lozano DHM, Scheffer JB, Frydman N, Fay S, Fanchin R, Frydman R. Optimal reproductive competence of oocytes retrieved through follicular flushing in minimal stimulation IVF. *Reproductive biomedicine online*. 2008;16(1):119-23.
9. Von Wolff M, Hua YZ, Santi A, Ocon E, Weiss B. Follicle flushing in monofollicular in vitro fertilization almost doubles the number of transferable embryos. *Acta obstetrica et gynecologica Scandinavica*. 2013;92(3):346-8.
10. Kohl Schwartz A, Calzaferri I, Roumet M, Limacher A, Fink A, Wueest A, et al. Follicular flushing leads to higher oocyte yield in monofollicular IVF: a randomized controlled trial. *Human reproduction*. 2020;35(10):2253-61.
11. El Hussein E, Balen AH, Tan SL. A prospective study comparing the outcome of oocytes retrieved in the aspirate with those retrieved in the flush during transvaginal ultrasound directed oocyte recovery for in-vitro fertilization. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. 1992;99(10):841-4.

12. Bagtharia S, Haloob A. Is there a benefit from routine follicular flushing for oocyte retrieval? Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology. 2005;25(4):374-6.
13. Xiao Y, Wang Y, Wang M, Liu K. Follicular flushing increases the number of oocytes retrieved in poor ovarian responders undergoing in vitro fertilization: a retrospective cohort study. BMC women's health. 2018;18(1):186.
14. Kovacs G. Oocyte collection 48. Textbook of Assisted Reproductive Techniques, Vol-2 (Clinical Perspectives). 2018;1:604.

Table 1. Characteristics of all cycles and their outcomes

Variable	All
Number	246
Female age (years)	40.1 ± 4.6 (27-49)
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	27.5 ± 3.9 (18.7-43)
Number of previously failed cycles	2.3 ± 1.6 (1-8)
Follicle diameter on hCG day (mm)	17.9 ± 0.9 (16.5-19.5)
Peak E ₂ level (mIU/L)	245.2 ± 56.8 (139-413)
*Values are represented as number or mean ± standard deviation (range)	

Table 2. Oocyte yield, M-II oocytes, zygotes and cleaved embryos generated from the oocytes collected from the initial aspirate and subsequent flushing episodes

Flushing episode	Oocyte yield [n (%)]	M-II oocyte [n (%)]	Fertilization [n (%)]	Cleavage [n (%)]
Initial aspirate	101 (54)	90 (89.1)	50 (55.5)	47 (94)
1 st	46 (24.5)	39 (84.8)	20 (51.3)	20 (100)
2 nd	19 (10)	15 (78.9)	9 (60)	8 (88.9)
3 rd	14 (7.5)	11 (78.6)	6 (54.5)	3 (50)
4 th	7 (4)	5 (71.4)	0	0
Total	187	160 (85.6)	85 (53.1)	78 (91.8)

Figure 1. Flowchart of the study population

Figure 2. Cumulative percentage of oocyte recovery in 246 procedures