Carpal Tunnel Release with a Minimally Invasive Surgical Approach at the Proximal of the Distal Wrist Crease: The Evaluation of the Efficacy of the Technique with Clinical and Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Minimal İnvaziv Cerrahi Yaklaşım ile Distal El Bilek Kırışıklığının Proksimalinde Karpal Tünel Gevşetmesi: Tekniğin Etkinliğinin Klinik ve Manyetik Rezonans Görüntüleme ile Değerlendirilmesi

Ahmet Yılmaz

University of Health Science Adana City Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Adana, Turkey

ÖZ

Objective: The surgical treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome is usually performed via standard open technique, limited incision and endoscopic procedures in the palmar region. In this prospective study, we aimed to evaluate the efficacy of minimally invasive surgical technique at the proximal of the distal wrist crease for carpal tunnel release with clinical and pre- and postoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings.

Methods: Following the diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome via clinical and electrophysiological examinations, carpal tunnel release was performed on 102 wrists of 65 patients with a mini-incision at the proximal of the distal wrist crease. Clinical assessment of the patients was made with the Boston Carpal Tunnel Questionnaire before surgery and at the third, sixth and twelfth months after surgery. Preoperative and postoperative third month MRIs were examined for presence of an increase in the signal intensity of the median nerve, palmar bowing of the flexor retinaculum or nerve flattening.

Results: There was a clinically significant difference between the preoperative and postoperative third month results ($p<0.001$). The findings from the preoperative MRIs have significantly declined in the postoperative MRIs ($p<0.001$). Patients were able to meet their physiological needs by the postoperative second day. None of the patients experienced pillar pain or scar tissue sensitivity. No resurgery was required.

Conclusion: Carpal tunnel release with a minimally invasive approach performed at the proximal of the distal wrist crease is an efficient method. Early return to physiological activities has increased the patient comfort.

Keywords: Carpal tunnel syndrome; decompression; minimally invasive surgical procedures; wrist; magnetic resonance imaging

ABSTRACT

Amaç: Karpal tünel sendromunun cerrahi tedavisi çoğunlukla palmar bölgede standart açık teknik, sınırlı insizyon ve endoskopik işlemler ile yapılmaktadır. Bu prospektif çalışmada, karpal tünel gevşetmesi için distal el bileği kırışıklığı proksimalinde minimal invaziv cerrahi tekniğin etkinliğini klinik ve pre ve postoperatif manyetik rezonans görüntüleme (MRG) bulguları ile değerlendirmeyi amaçladık.

Yöntemler: Klinik ve elektrofizyolojik çalışmalar ile karpal tünel sendromu tanısı konan 65 hastanın 102 eline, distal el bileği kırışıklığı proksimalinde yapılan mini insizyon ile karpal tünel gevşetmesi uygulandı. Olguların klinik değerlendirilmesi ameliyat öncesi ve ameliyat sonrası 3., 6. ve 12. ayda Boston Karpal Tünel Anketi ile yapıldı. Pre- ve postoperatif MRG değerlendirmesinde median sinir sinyal yoğunluğunda artış, fleksör retinakulumda palmar bowing ve sinirde yassılaşmanın varlığı araştırıldı.

Bulgular: Klinik değerlendirmede ameliyat öncesi ve ameliyat sonrası 3. ay değerleri arasında anlamlı fark vardı ($p<0.001$). Preoperatif MRG'de tesbit edilen bulgular postoperatif MRG'de anlamlı oranda azaldı ($p<0.001$). Hastalar fizyolojik ihtiyaçlarını postoperatif 2 gün içinde karşıladılar. Hiçbir hastada pillar ağrısı ve skar dokusu hassasiyeti görülmedi. Yeniden ameliyat gerekmedi.

Sonuç: Distal el bileği kırışıklığı proksimalinde, mini invaziv yaklaşımla uygulanan karpal tünel gevşetmesi etkin bir yöntemdir. Hastaların fizyolojik aktivitelerini erken başarmaları yaşam konforlarını artırmıştır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Karpal tünel sendromu, dekompresyon, minimal invaziv cerrahi işlemler, el bileği, manyetik rezonans görüntüleme

Geliş tarihi/Received: 08.03.2019 | **Kabul tarihi/Accepted:** 20.06.2019

Yazışma Adresi/Address for Correspondence: Ahmet Yılmaz, University of Health Science Adana City Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Adana, Turkey

E-posta/E-mail: ahmetyilmaz-dr@hotmail.com

Atıf/Citation: Yılmaz A. Carpal Tunnel Release with a Minimally Invasive Surgical Approach at the Proximal of the Distal Wrist Crease: The Evaluation of the Efficacy of the Technique with Clinical and Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Bakırköy Tıp Dergisi <https://doi.org/10.4274/BTDMJB.galenos.2019.20190308065947>

Introduction

Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) is the entrapment neuropathy of the median nerve due to the increased pressure in the carpal tunnel. It is the most common chronic compression neuropathy of the peripheral nerves, with a prevalence of 4% in the general population (1). Increasing pain at nights and paraesthesia in the area of the hand innervated by the median nerve are the most characteristic complaints (2). The etiological cause for CTS cannot be identified and has been defined as primary (idiopathic) in vast majority of the cases (3).

Conventional surgical treatment of CTS is usually performed with standard open technique, limited incision and endoscopic procedures (4). Surgical decompression of the carpal tunnel with a longitudinal incision results in a minimal rate of complications (1). However, carpal tunnel release via standard open surgery has been reported to result in delayed wound healing, scar tissue sensitivity, pillar pain and late return to work (1,5-7). Mini open palmar incisions, mini open transverse wrist incisions and endoscopic procedures allow for faster wound healing and return to work whereas scar tissue sensitivity and pillar pain will be still present (5,7,8,9) and endoscopic procedures have led to incomplete release of the flexor retinaculum and iatrogenic nerve injuries (10-14). On the other hand, carpal tunnel release via a mini longitudinal incision to the proximal of the wrist crease has been discussed in a limited number of studies (15,16). We performed decompression of the carpal tunnel with a mini-incision at the proximal of the distal wrist crease, in an attempt to avoid the pillar pain and scar tissue sensitivity seen post CTS surgery and to achieve patients' early return to their daily activities. We evaluated the efficacy of our method with clinical and pre- and postoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings.

Methods

In this prospective study, 65 consecutive patients (63 females, 2 males; mean age: 49.1 years, range: 37 to 68 years) with CTS who had undergone carpal tunnel decompression at the orthopedics clinic of our tertiary research and training hospital between October 2010 and December 2016 and followed up for 12 months were evaluated. Approval from the local research ethics committee was obtained before the study. A total of 102 hands were performed carpal tunnel surgeries; 37 patients (56.9%) underwent

bilateral and 28 patients (43.1%) underwent unilateral releases. Patients with diabetes mellitus, osteoarthritis, autoimmune disease, space occupying lesion on the wrist, cervical radiculopathy, tuberculosis tenosynovitis, or those who were pregnant or had a history of wrist trauma or carpal tunnel surgery were excluded. Existence of recurring or persistent paresthesia at the innervation area of the median nerve, history of pain, and disturbed sleep due to paresthetic complaint or pain was investigated for clinical diagnosis. Positive physical examination findings (Tinel's sign, Phalen test, carpal compression test, thumb abduction and opposition weakness and thenar atrophy) were investigated. Clinical diagnosis was made in existence of the combination of three or more of these symptoms and findings (5). In clinical staging of the CTS; cases with subjective symptoms alone were considered to be in the 'early stage', cases with combination of subjective symptoms and positive diagnosis tests for CTS were considered to be in the 'intermediary stage', and cases with weakness in abduction and opposition of the thumb and thenar atrophy in addition to the symptoms and positive diagnosis tests for CTS were considered to be in the 'advanced stage'. Nerve conduction studies (NCS) for all hands clinically diagnosed with CTS were performed. NCS grading was done according to Bland's criteria from "very mild" through "extremely severe" (17). Electrophysiological studies were repeated in cases initially diagnosed as early stage of CTS but without any response to three months-of conservative treatment. Of these cases, those which proceeded to the advanced stage during clinical evaluation and nerve conduction studies, those in the intermediary stage who did not respond to three months-of conservative treatment and those who were initially diagnosed at the 'advanced stage' were included in the study.

All patients planned to undergo surgery were evaluated using the Boston Carpal Tunnel Questionnaire (BCTQ), defined by Levine et al. (18), which included the symptom severity and functional capacity scales. MRIs of all hands diagnosed with CTS were taken within one week before surgery and were checked for the presence of an increase in the signal intensity of the median nerve, palmar bowing of the flexor retinaculum or nerve flattening. All patients gave written informed consent before surgery. The surgery was performed under local anesthesia in 93 hands and under general anesthesia in 9 hands, with a pneumatic tourniquet on the arms. An ulnarly curved incision, starting from 2 cm proximal of the distal wrist crease and ending

at the same crease, was made on the medial aspect of the palmaris longus (Figure 1). After observing the fusion of the palmaris longus muscle with the flexor retinaculum, the elevators were placed in a fashion that the palmaris longus muscle would be on the ulnar side. The proximal aspect of the transverse carpal ligament and the entry of the median nerve into the tunnel were exposed through the palmaris longus and flexor carpi radialis tendons. With a blunt dissection, using scissors and a periosteal elevator with a 4 mm-wide blunt tip, the carpal ligament

Figure 1: Mini-incision line over the wrist, with ulnarly curved

Figure 2: The picture shows the fully exposed transverse carpal ligament and the entry of the median nerve into the carpal tunnel

was released on the volar and dorsal sides and along the line thought to pass through the radial aspect of the fourth finger. The elevators were placed accordingly and the carpal ligament was fully exposed in a proximal to distal orientation (Figure 2). The distal aspect of the carpal ligament was clearly exposed. Using a right angle clamp, the distal part of the carpal ligament was released from the palmar aponeurosis with blunt dissection. The periosteal elevator was inserted through the carpal tunnel entrance and advanced distally and adjacent to the carpal ligament. Thus, the periosteal elevator was placed in a fashion to protect the median nerve. Attention was paid not to position the median nerve and palmar artery at the distal aspect of the carpal ligament incision to be made. Using a curved

Figure 3: Decompression of the carpal tunnel following the release of the transverse carpal ligament

Figure 4: Postoperative appearance

mayo scissors and keeping the curved shanks on the ulnar side, the transverse carpal ligament was cut off the ulnar side (Figure 3). The skin was closed and the hand and the wrist were bandaged (Figure 4). Bilateral CTS cases were applied the same procedure. The patients were discharged the same day.

The patients were instructed to use their hands for eating, dressing, and combing their hair the same day and were asked to meet their hygienic needs after putting on big gloves with assistance. Unilateral and bilateral carpal tunnel decompression cases' time to using their hands actively were recorded and the difference between the two groups was compared using the chi-square (χ^2)

test ($p < 0.05$). The BCTQ was given to all patients on the postoperative third month and their wrist MRIs were taken. The results of the questionnaire and MRI findings were compared to the preoperative ones. The BCTQ was repeated at the postoperative 6th and 12th month follow-ups. The repeated measures ANOVA was performed to determine the presence of any differences among the preoperative and postoperative 3rd, 6th and 12th month results of the questionnaire in terms of symptom severity and functional capacity scales ($p < 0.05$). Hands with an increase in the signal intensity of the median nerve and with persistence of palmar bowing of the flexor retinaculum and flattening of the median nerve revealed in the MR imaging, undertaken

Table 1. Boston carpal tunnel symptom severity and functional capacity scales (Mean $\pm$ SD)

Operated wrists (n=102)	x	Standard deviation	Test statistic	p	Test	Difference
Preoperative and postoperative follow-up symptom severity scales						
Preoperative symptom severity scale	3.685	0.302	F=8.327	<0.001	Repeated measures ANOVA	1-2, 1-3, 1-4
Symptom severity scale at the postoperative 3 rd month	1.180	0.130				
Symptom severity scale at the postoperative 6 th month	1.128	0.103				
Symptom severity scale at the postoperative 12 th month	1.099	0.085				
Preoperative and postoperative functional capacity scales						
Preoperative functional capacity scale	3.497	0.458	F=3.239	<0.001	Repeated measures ANOVA	1-2, 1-3, 1-4
Functional capacity scale at the postoperative 3 rd month	1.266	0.153				
Functional capacity scale at the postoperative 6 th month	1.183	0.131				
Functional capacity scale at the postoperative 12 th month	1.125	0.118				

Table 2. MRI findings before surgery and at the postoperative third month (%)

Operated wrists (n=102)	Number	Percentage	Test statistic	p	Test
Increase in signal intensity of the median nerve					
Preoperative	96	94.1%	X²=13.32	<0.000	Chi-square test
Postoperative	4	3.90%			
Bowling of the median nerve					
Preoperative	64	62.7%	X²=36.02	<0.000	Chi-square test
Postoperative	0	0%			
Flattening of the median nerve					
Preoperative	54	52.9%	X²=13.24	<0.000	Chi-square test
Postoperative	13	12.7%			

at the third month, were noted. Differences between the preoperative and postoperative MRI findings were compared using the chi-square (X2) test ($p < 0.05$).

Results

All patients had nocturnal pain and paresthetic complaints before surgery. In clinical examination, the Phalen test was positive in 91 (89.2%), Tinel's test in 82 (80.4%) and carpal compression test in 84 (82.4%) hands. Thenar atrophy was observed in 11 (10.8%) hands. Intermediary stage CTS in 76 (74.5%) and advanced stage CTS in 26 (25.5%) hands were diagnosed following preoperative clinical and electrophysiological staging of the patients. The outcomes of the preoperative clinical staging and electrophysiological staging were the same in all patients. Pain and paresthetic complaints were completely resolved in 97 of the 102 hands (95.1%) at the postoperative third month follow-up. There was a statistically significant difference between the preoperative and postoperative third month results of the Boston carpal tunnel symptom severity and functional capacity scales ($p < 0.001$). The results from the sixth and 12th month Boston Carpal Tunnel Questionnaires were even lower (Table 1).

All unilateral carpal tunnel decompression cases were able to eat, dress and meet their hygienic needs wearing gloves the day of surgery. Of the 37 bilateral CTS cases, 26 (70.3%) were able to eat, dress and meet their hygienic needs wearing gloves with assistance the day of surgery and the remaining 11 patients could achieve the same on the second postoperative day. Unilateral carpal tunnel release patients could use their hands actively after a mean period of 12.7 (range: 11 to 23) days while bilateral cases could actively use their hands after 13.1 (range: 11 to 34) days. The difference between the unilateral and bilateral groups' time to using hands actively was statistically insignificant ($p > 0.05$). The decreases in the postoperative third month MRI results of all three symptoms were statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) (Table 2). None of the patients had pillar pain or scar tissue sensitivity. No neurological or vascular complications were observed in any patient. No resurgery was required.

Discussion

Conservative treatment has been necessitated for the early and intermediary stage CTS patients. In CTS cases initially diagnosed as advanced stage and where conservative

treatment yields no results, surgical release of the transverse carpal ligament is consensually recommended. Mini palmar incision and endoscopic procedures have gained popularity over the standard open surgical carpal tunnel release in time (5,7). The leading reason for a revision surgery is irresolution of the complaints due to incomplete release of the flexor carpal ligament during primary surgery (7,11,12).

The efficacy of the surgical treatment of CTS was evaluated with clinical symptoms and findings in some studies (18,19). In some other studies, radiological examinations such as MRI (20) or ultrasonography (21,22) were utilized to support the clinical findings. MRI has been defined as a reliable technique in validating the incomplete release of the flexor retinaculum in postoperative assessments (23). Electrophysiological studies have been employed to assist with the clinical evaluations in postoperative follow-up (24,25). In clinical evaluation of the response to treatment in CTS, the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) have recommended the use of the BCTQ, defined by Levine et al. (18) which includes the symptom severity and functional capacity scales (26). Levine et al. performed the clinical evaluation of 38 CTS patients, followed up for a mean period of 14 months, with the BCTQ (18). In their study, the mean preoperative symptom severity score of 3.4 and functional capacity score of 3 receded to 1.9 and 2, respectively, in the postoperative period. In another study, the postoperative BCTQ scale scores were found significantly lower (19). In a comparison of the clinical results of carpal tunnel release performed with standard open surgery versus mini open surgery, postoperative 6th and 12th months results of the mini open surgery was significantly better (7). With our significantly better postoperative third month results in comparison to the preoperative values of the BCTQ, we can assert that clinical recovery in CTS is possible within the first three months. In our opinion, clinical evaluation should suffice in postoperative follow-up. The significant difference between our pre- and postoperative MRI findings can be considered important since it indicates the efficacy of our technique.

The release of the flexor retinaculum with the standard incision technique results in a bigger incision line, a pillar pain and scar tissue sensitivity that might last up to two years or a delayed return to daily activities (1). The prevalence of scar tissue sensitivity was reported as 10%, pillar pain as 5% and recurrence as 3.6% in the postoperative period (7). Recently, mini open palmar incisions (5,8,24) and

endoscopic release procedures (5,19) have been employed to avoid the unfavorable results of the standard open carpal tunnel surgery and a decrease was observed in the postoperative morbidity rates and in the time to return to work (1,7). The time to return to work reported to vary from three to six weeks after standard open carpal tunnel surgery is merely 10 to 21 days following mini open and endoscopic surgery (5,7,14). Both endoscopic and mini palmar incision carpal tunnel release procedures were reported to decrease the morbidity rate whereas pillar pain and scar tissue sensitivity rates ranging from 3.1 to 33% were reported with the same procedures (5,7,8). In addition, incomplete release of the carpal ligament in 0.5 to 1.2% (10-12), iatrogenic nerve injuries in 0.007 to 10% (10-14) and converting to open surgery due to failure in distinguishing the anatomical structures in 0.5 to 3.4% of the cases (10,12) following endoscopic carpal decompression were reported in various studies. Practicing the technique is challenging and has a long learning curve (27).

In some studies, carpal tunnel release was performed via transverse incisions performed at the wrist level (9,28). In a study comparing limited open carpal release with mini open transverse incision at the distal wrist crease, there was no difference in terms of functional recovery, and scar tissue sensitivity, pillar pain and recurrence rates were similar in both groups (9). In another study where conventional longitudinal incision and transverse mini incision at 1 cm proximal of the wrist crease were compared, less pillar pain and scar tissue sensitivity was reported after carpal ligament release performed via transverse incision. The authors attributed the low incidence of pillar pain following the transverse incision performed at the proximal part of the flexor ligament with the performance of the incision outside the pressure area of the hand. (28). Carpal tunnel surgery via a mini longitudinal incision to the proximal of the distal wrist crease has been discussed in a limited number of studies (15,16). In a study where a 1-cm incision at the proximal of the distal wrist flexion crease was performed using a surgical microscope, no pillar pain or scar tissue sensitivity was reported. The fact that the skin at the proximal of the wrist crease is thinner than the wrist and the palmar region was highlighted. Recurrence was reported in three cases. The authors stated that, in their technique, the proximal portion of the carpal ligament was dissected after it was exposed with a scalpel and subsequently the distal portion was dissected using surgical scissors. No information was provided regarding

the total exposure of the carpal ligament (15). In another study comparing the carpal tunnel release via incisions performed to the 2 cm proximal and 2 cm distal of the wrist crease, the pillar pain and scar tissue sensitivity following the carpal ligament release via proximal incision was significantly low. It was asserted that the mini incision at the proximal of the wrist reduced the scar tissue formation due to low tissue damage. No recurrence was observed in either of the groups (16).

The time to using hands actively in our study conforms to the findings of endoscopic and mini open carpal tunnel release procedures from the literature. However, our unilateral CTS cases were able to eat, dress and meet their hygienic needs wearing gloves the day of surgery and bilateral cases could achieve the same the first or second postoperative day; this was a significant finding in assessing the patient comfort. We believe that our minimally invasive surgical approach at the proximal of the transverse carpal ligament, in the thin dermis layer, was an important factor in not observing any pillar pain or scar tissue sensitivity. In carpal tunnel surgery, both the volar and dorsal side of the carpal ligament should be completely released and the proximal and distal of the ligament should be well exposed. Ample exposure of the transverse carpal ligament could be achieved with our surgical approach. The lack of a comparison group is a limitation of our study.

In conclusion, minimally invasive surgical technique at the proximal of the distal wrist crease for carpal tunnel release is an efficient method. No pillar pain or scar tissue sensitivity develops after surgery. Early return to physiological activities has increased the patient comfort.

References

1. Raja S, Carlos AJ. Carpal tunnel syndrome - current controversies. *Orthopaedics and Trauma* 2015;29:273-7.
2. Sucher BM, Schreiber AL. Carpal tunnel syndrome diagnosis. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am* 2014;25:229-47.
3. Thurston A. Carpal tunnel syndrome. *Orthopaedics and Trauma* 2013;27:332-41.
4. Huisstede BM, Randsdorp MS, Coert JH, Glerum S, van Middelkoop M, Koes BW. Carpal Tunnel Syndrome. Part II: Effectiveness of Surgical Treatments-A Systematic Review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2010;91:1005-24.
5. Aslani HR, Alizadeh K, Eajazi A, Karimi A, Karimi MH, Zaferani Z, et al. Comparison of carpal tunnel release with three different techniques. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2012;114:965-8.
6. Boya H, Ozcan O, Oztekin HH. Long-term complications of open carpal tunnel release. *Muscle Nerve* 2008;38:1443-6.

7. Tarallo M, Fino P, Sorvillo V, Parisi P, Scuderi N. Comparative analysis between minimal access versus traditional accesses in carpal tunnel syndrome: a perspective randomised study. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 2014;67:237-43.
8. Osei DA, Calfee RP, Stepan JG, Boyer MI, Goldfarb CA, Gelberman RH. Simultaneous Bilateral or Unilateral Carpal Tunnel Release? A Prospective Cohort Study of Early Outcomes and Limitations. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2014;96:889-96.
9. Cho YJ, Lee JH, Shin DJ, Park KH. Comparison of short wrist transverse open and limited open techniques for carpal tunnel release: a randomized controlled trial of two incisions. *J Hand Surg Eur* 2016;41:143-7.
10. Pajardi G, Pegoli L, Pivato G, Zerbinati P. Endoscopic carpal tunnel release: our experience with 12,702 cases. *Hand Surg* 2008;13:21-6.
11. Agee JM, McCarroll HR Jr, Tortosa RD, Berry DA, Szabo RM, Peimer CA. Endoscopic release of the carpal tunnel: a randomized prospective multicenter study. *J Hand Surg Am* 1992;17:987-95.
12. Müller LP, Rudig L, Degreif J, Rommens PM. Endoscopic carpal tunnel release: results with special consideration to possible complications. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2000;8:166-72.
13. Hankins CL, Brown MG, Lopez RA, Lee AK, Dang J, Harper RD. A 12-Year Experience Using the Brown Two-Portal Endoscopic Procedure of Transverse Carpal Ligament Release in 14,722 Patients: Defining a New Paradigm in the Treatment of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome. *Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery* 2007;120:1911-21.
14. Brown RA, Gelberman RH, Seiler JG, Abrahamsson SO, Weiland AJ, Urbaniak JR, et al. Carpal tunnel release. A prospective, randomized assessment of open and endoscopic methods. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1993;75:1265-75.
15. Isik HS, Bostanci U. Experience of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome that operated using a limited uni skin incision. *Turk Neurosurg* 2011;21:177-80.
16. Uçar BY, Demirtaş A, Bulut M, Azboy I, Uçar D. Carpal tunnel decompression: two different mini-incision techniques. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2012;16:533-8.
17. Bland JD. A neurophysiological grading scale for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Muscle Nerve* 2000;23:1280-3.
18. Levine DW, Simmons BP, Koris MJ, Daltroy LH, Hohl GG, Fossel AH, et al. A self-administered questionnaire for the assessment of severity of symptoms and functional status in carpal tunnel syndrome. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1993;75:1585-92.
19. Beck JD, Wingert NC, Rutter MR, Irgit KS, Tang X, Klena JC. Clinical outcomes of endoscopic carpal tunnel release in patients 65 and over. *J Hand Surg Am* 2013;38: 1524-9.
20. Momose T, Uchiyama S, Kobayashi S, Nakagawa H, Kato H. Structural changes of the carpal tunnel, median nerve and flexor tendons in MRI before and after endoscopic carpal tunnel release. *Hand Surg* 2014;19:193-8.
21. Abicalaf CA, de Barros N, Sernik RA, Pimentel BF, Braga-Baiak A, Braga L, et al. Ultrasound evaluation of patients with carpal tunnel syndrome before and after endoscopic release of the transverse carpal ligament. *Clin Radiol* 2007;62:891-4.
22. Karabay N, Kayalar M, Ada S. Sonographic assessment of transverse carpal ligament after open surgical release of the carpal tunnel. *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc* 2013;47:73-8.
23. Mesgarzadeh M, Triolo J, Schneck CD. Carpal tunnel syndrome. MR imaging diagnosis. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* 1995;3:249-64.
24. Kanatani T, Nagura I, Kurosaka M, Kokubu T, Sumi M. Electrophysiological assessment of carpal tunnel syndrome in elderly patients: one-year follow-up study. *J Hand Surg Am* 2014;39:2188-91.
25. Gaba S, Bhogeshia S, Singh O. Limited incision carpal tunnel release. *Indian J Orthop* 2017;51:192-8.
26. Keith MW, Masear V, Chung KC, Amadio PC, Andary M, Barth RW, et al. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Clinical Practice Guideline on The Treatment of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2010;92:218-9.
27. Uchiyama S, Nakamura K, Itsubo T, Murakami H, Hayashi M, Imaeda T, et al. Technical difficulties and their prediction in 2-portal endoscopic carpal tunnel release for idiopathic carpal tunnel syndrome. *Arthroscopy* 2013;29:860-9.
28. Teixeira Alves Mde P. Prospective comparative study between proximal transverse incision and the conventional longitudinal incisions for carpal tunnel release. *Rev Bras Ortop* 2015;45:437-44.