Current Status of Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis and Multi-Dimensional Liquid Chromatography as Proteomic Separation Techniques

Xianquan Zhan^{1, 2, 3, 4*}

¹Key Laboratory of Cancer Proteomics of Chinese Ministry of Health, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, PR China

²Hunan Engineering Laboratory for Structural Biology and Drug Design, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, PR China

³State Local Joint Engineering Laboratory for Anticancer Drugs, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, PR China

⁴The State Key Laboratory of Medical Genetics, Central South University, PR China

Article Information

Received date: Oct 14, 2015

Accepted date: Oct 15, 2015

Published date: Oct 16, 2015

*Corresponding author

Xianquan Zhan, Key Laboratory of Cancer Proteomics of Chinese Ministry of Health, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, PR China, Tel: +86-731-84327905; Fax: +86-731-84327905; Email: yjzhan2011@gmail.com

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

Editorial

Proteomics is very important component in the era of post-genomics because it can address functions of genes and some important non-gene-determined biological issues such as Post-Translational Modifications (PTMs), splicing, translocation, and spatial structure. Proteome is very complex, including multiple parameters such as kind of proteins, copy number of each protein, PTMs, isoforms, spatial structure of each protein, protein-protein interaction, and protein-other molecule interaction, etc. Moreover, proteome is dynamic, and alters with different conditions such as different physiological processes, different pathological processes, and different disease status, etc [1-3]. Measurement of proteomic alteration would lead to discovery of important protein biomarkers for a given condition. Also, protein abundance alters in a huge range among different proteins within a proteome. Proteomic separation, identification, and bioinformatics are basic techniques in the field of proteomics. The protein species in a proteome must be separated prior to identification. The common proteomic separation techniques include Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis (2DGE) [4,5] and Multi-Dimensional Liquid Chromatography (MDLC) [6,7]. However, 2DGE and MDLC have their own advantages and disadvantages, and cannot be replaced with each other.

2DGE separates proteins based on two basic features of proteins – Isoelectric Point (pI) in the direction of Isoelectric Focusing (IEF) and Molecular Weight (Mw) in the direction of Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) [4]. 2DGE is an extensively used separation technique in the field of proteomics since the terms “proteome” and “proteomics” were proposed in 1994 [8]. Four main contributions of 2DGE in the field of proteomics are (1) 2DGE-based reference map of a proteome to expand proteome database [9,10], (2) 2DGE-based comparative proteomics to identify Differentially Expressed Proteins (DEPs) between a given condition and controls [11,12], (3) 2DGE-based Western blot coupled with a specific antibody to visually detect a kind of PTM in a proteome [13-15], and relatively quantify PTM-differential proteins between a given condition and controls [16,17], and (4) 2DGE-based Western blot coupled with a specific protein antibody to visually detect isoforms of that given protein in a proteome [18,19]. World-2DPAGE Constellation (<http://world-2dpage.expasy.org>) collected many 2DPAGE resources [20], including SWISS-2DPAGE (<http://world-2dpage.expasy.org/swiss-2dpage>), World-2DPAGE Repository (<http://world-2dpage.expasy.org/repository>), World-2DPAGE Portal (<http://world-2dpage.expasy.org/portal>), and World-2DPAGE List (<http://world-2dpage.expasy.org/list>). Of them, World-2DPAGE list contains references to known 2DPAGE database servers and 2DPAGE related servers and services, currently up to 60 databases and nearly 400 gel images, which is the most complete 2DPAGE list. World-2DPAGE portal is a dynamic and virtual portal, which integrates over 250 maps for 23 species; totally including nearly 40,000 identified spots, and is the biggest gel-based proteomics dataset available from a single interface. Two-dimensional difference in-gel electrophoresis (2D DIGE) is an improved 2DGE method, which uses fluorescence dyes to label protein samples, and then equally mixes the labelled protein samples for 2DGE. 2D DIGE significantly lets spot-matching much easier, protein quantification more accurate and uses less amount of protein sample, compared to the classic 2 DGE. However, 2DGE and 2D DIGE are very labor-intensive, time-consuming, and low-throughput. It is difficult to distinguish the co-migration and overlapping of proteins with similar pI and Mr values which results in multiple proteins in

one single spot [15], to well-separate the extremely acid/basic proteins and extremely high/low-mass proteins, and to detect low-abundance proteins [1] although besides wide-range gradient IPG strip such as pH 3-10, a series of medium- and narrow-range of IPG strips, for example, pH 3-7, pH 4-7, pH 6-9, pH 6-11, pH 3.5-4.5, pH 4-5, pH 4.5-5.5, pH 5-6, and pH 5.5-6.7 IPG strips are developed to improve separation capability in a limited range of proteins [5,21]. Therefore, 2DGE and 2D DIGE are limited in maximizing the coverage of a proteome relative to MDLC. However, 2DGE has its own advantages in visualization of protein component of a proteome, detection of protein isoforms or variants that are mainly derived from splicing and PTMs [13-17,22]. Protein isoforms or variants are very important issue because different isoforms or variants of a given protein are associated with a given condition such as a corresponding pathophysiological status, which play important roles in multiple biological processes [23,24].

MDLC coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (MDLC-MS/MS) technique is superior to 2DGE in maximizing the coverage of a proteome and detecting low-abundance proteins [6,7]. The basic procedure of that strategy includes enzymatic peptides from a complex proteome, followed by separation in the first-dimension with any one of several different chromatography's, and in the second-dimension with reversed-phase chromatography; the separated enzymatic peptides are on-line input into mass spectrometer for MS/MS analysis, followed by protein identification with database search. MDLC-MS/MS-based proteomics techniques were developed rapidly, mainly including stable isotope-labeled MDLC-MS/MS [25] such as ICAT (Isotope-Coded Affinity Tags) [26-29], ¹⁸O [30,31], ICPL (Isotope-Coded Protein Labeling) [32,33], IPTL (Isobaric Peptide Termini Labeling) [34,35], iTRAQ (Isobaric Tags For Relative And Absolute Quantification) [36,37], TMT (peptide Tandem Mass Tag) [38,39], and SILAC (Stable Isotope Labeling Of Amino Acids In Cell Culture) [40,41], and non-labeled MDLC-MS/MS such as label-free [42,43], SRM/MRM (Selected or Multiple Reaction Monitoring) [44,45], SWATH (Sequential Window Acquisition Of All Theoretical Spectra) [46,47], and AQUA (Absolute Quantification) [25,48], according to whether the sample is isotope-labeled or not. Those MDLC-MS/MS techniques have extensively used in the field of proteomics because of their high-throughput, high-accuracy, and high-sensitivity in analysis of a proteome, and that they easily overcome the disadvantages of 2DGE and 2D DIGE. However, MDLC is extensively used to separate the enzymatic peptide mixture, whereas 2DGE is extensively used to separate and visualize the intact protein mixture. MDLC is limited in identification of isoforms or variants of a given protein.

In summary, 2DGE is limited in maximizing proteome coverage but has its advantage in analysis protein isoforms or variants that are mainly derived from splicing and different PTMs. MDLC has its significant advantage in maximizing proteome coverage but is limited in analysis of intact protein isoforms or variants. Therefore, 2DGE and MDLC are complementary proteomic separation techniques with their own advantages and disadvantages in analysis of a proteome.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the grants from China "863" Plan Project (Grant No. 2014AA020610-1 to X. Z.), Xiangya Hospital Funds for Talent Introduction (to X.Z.), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81272798 and 81572278 to X.Z.),

and Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 14JJ7008 to X. Z.).

References

- Zhan X, Desiderio DM. Comparative proteomics analysis of human pituitary adenomas: current status and future perspectives. *Mass Spectrom Rev*. 2005; 24: 783-813.
- Anderson L, Seilhamer J. A comparison of selected mRNA and protein abundances in human liver. *Electrophoresis*. 1997; 18: 533-537.
- Gygi SP, Rochon Y, Fianza BR, Aebersold R. Correlation between protein and mRNA abundance in yeast. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1999; 19: 1720-1730.
- O'Farrell PH. High resolution two-dimensional electrophoresis of proteins. *J Biol Chem*. 1975; 250: 4007-4021.
- Görg A, Obermaier C, Boguth G, Harder A, Scheibe B. The current state of two-dimensional electrophoresis with immobilized pH gradients. *Electrophoresis*. 2000; 21: 1037-1053.
- Wolters DA, Washburn MP, Yates JR. An automated multidimensional protein identification technology for shotgun proteomics. *Anal Chem*. 2001; 73: 5683-5690.
- Aebersold R, Mann M. Mass spectrometry-based proteomics. *Nature*. 2003; 422: 198-207.
- Wilkins MR, Sanchez JC, Gooley AA, Appel RD, Humphrey-Smith I. Progress with proteome projects: why all proteins expressed by a genome should be identified and how to do it. *Biotechnol Genet Eng Rev*. 1996; 13: 19-50.
- Zhan X, Desiderio DM. A reference map of a human pituitary adenoma proteome. *Proteomics*. 2003; 3: 699-713.
- Wang X, Guo T, Peng F, Long Y, Mu Y, Yang H, et al. Proteomic and functional profiles of a follicle-stimulating hormone-positive human nonfunctional pituitary adenoma. *Electrophoresis*. 2015; 36: 1289-1304.
- Moreno CS, Evans CO, Zhan X, Okor M, Desiderio DM. Novel molecular signaling and classification of human clinically nonfunctional pituitary adenomas identified by gene expression profiling and proteomic analyses. *Cancer Res*. 2005; 65: 10214-10222.
- Evans CO, Moreno CS, Zhan X, McCabe MT, Vertino PM. Molecular pathogenesis of human prolactinomas identified by gene expression profiling, RT-qPCR, and proteomic analyses. *Pituitary*. 2008; 11: 231-245.
- Zhan X, Desiderio DM. The human pituitary nitroproteome: detection of nitrotyrosyl-proteins with two-dimensional Western blotting, and amino acid sequence determination with mass spectrometry. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2004; 325: 1180-1186.
- Zhan X, Desiderio DM. Linear ion-trap mass spectrometric characterization of human pituitary nitrotyrosine-containing proteins. *Int J Mass Spectrom*. 2007; 259: 96-104.
- Peng F, Li J, Guo T, Yang H, Li M, Sang S, Li X, Desiderio M, Zhan X. Nitroproteins in human astrocytomas discovered by gel electrophoresis and tandem mass spectrometry. *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom*. 2015; DOI: 10.1007/S13361-015-1270-3.
- Miyagi M, Sakaguchi H, Darrow RM, Yan L, West KA. Evidence that light modulates protein nitration in rat retina. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2002; 1: 293-303.
- Turko IV, Li L, Aulak KS, Stuehr DJ, Chang JY, Murad F. Protein tyrosine nitration in the mitochondria from diabetic mouse heart. Implications to dysfunctional mitochondria in diabetes. *J Biol Chem*. 2003; 278: 33972-33977.
- Kohler M, Thomas A, Püschel K, Schänzer W, Thevis M. Identification of human pituitary growth hormone variants by mass spectrometry. *J Proteome Res*. 2009; 8: 1071-1076.
- Prasanna L, Miesek DE, Hinderer R, Michon J, Geiger JD. Identification of beta-tubulin isoforms as tumor antigens in neuroblastoma. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2000; 6: 3949-3956.

20. Hooglanda C, Mostaguira K, Appela RD, Lisaceka F. The World-2DPAGE Constellation to promote and publish gel-based proteomics data through the ExPASy server. *J Proteomics*. 2008; 71: 245-248.
21. Görg A, Postel W, Günther S. The current state of two-dimensional electrophoresis with immobilized pH gradients. *Electrophoresis*. 1988; 9: 531-546.
22. Zhan X, Giorgianni F, Desiderio DM. Proteomics analysis of growth hormone isoforms in the human pituitary. *Proteomics*. 2005; 5: 1228-1241.
23. Rogowska-Wrzesinska A, Le Bihan MC, Thaysen-Andersen M, Roepstorff P. 2D gels still has a niche in proteomics. *J Proteomics*. 2013; 88: 4-13.
24. Stastna M, Van Eyk JE. Analysis of protein isoforms: can we do it better? *Proteomics*. 2012; 12: 2937-2948.
25. Chahrour O, Cobice D, Malone J. Stable isotope labelling methods in mass spectrometry-based quantitative proteomics. *J Pharm Biomed Anal*. 2015; 113: 2-20.
26. Sethi S, Chourasia D, Parhar IS. Approaches for targeted proteomics and its potential applications in neuroscience. *J Biosci*. 2015; 40: 607-627.
27. Fan X, Zhou S, Wang B, Hom G, Guo M, Li B, et al. Evidence of highly conserved β -crystallin disulfidome that can be mimicked by in vitro oxidation in age-related human cataract and glutathione depleted LEGSKO mouse lens. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2015.
28. Gygi SP, Rist B, Gerber SA, Turecek F, Gelb MH. Quantitative analysis of complex protein mixtures using isotope-coded affinity tags. *Nat Biotechnol*. 1999; 17: 994-999.
29. Shiio Y, Aebersold R. Quantitative proteome analysis using isotope-coded affinity tags and mass spectrometry. *Nat Protoc*. 2006; 1: 139-145.
30. Miyagi M, Rao KC. Proteolytic ^{18}O -labeling strategies for quantitative proteomics. *Mass Spectrum Rev*. 2007; 26: 121-136.
31. Capelo JL, Carreira RJ, Fernandes L, Lodeiro C, Santos HM, Simal-Gandara J. Latest developments in sample treatment for ^{18}O -isotopic labeling for proteomics mass spectrometry-based approaches: a critical review. *Talanta*. 2010; 80: 1476-1486.
32. Biniössek ML, Lechel A, Rudolph KL, Martens UM, Zimmermann S. Quantitative proteomic profiling of tumor cell response to telomere dysfunction using Isotope-Coded Protein Labeling (ICPL) reveals interaction network of candidate senescence markers. *J Proteomics*. 2013; 91: 515-535.
33. Brunner A, Kellermann J, Lottspeich F. A practical guide to the ICPL-ESIQuant software for ICPL-based quantitative proteomics. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2014; 1844: 21-28.
34. Koehler CJ, Strozynski M, Kozielski F, Treumann A, Thiede B. Isobaric peptide termini labeling for MS/MS-based quantitative proteomics. *J Proteome Res*. 2009; 8: 4333-4341.
35. Arntzen MØ, Koehler CJ, Barsnes H, Berven FS, Treumann A. IsobariQ: software for isobaric quantitative proteomics using IPTL, iTRAQ, and TMT. *J Proteome Res*. 2011; 10: 913-920.
36. Evans C, Noirel J, Ow SY, Salim M, Pereira-Medrano AG. An insight into iTRAQ: where do we stand now? *Anal Bioanal Chem*. 2012; 404: 1011-1027.
37. Aggarwal K, Choe LH, Lee KH. Shotgun proteomics using the iTRAQ isobaric tags. *Brief Funct Genomic Proteomic*. 2006; 5: 112-120.
38. Rauniyar N, Yates JR. Isobaric labeling-based relative quantification in shotgun proteomics. *J Proteome Res*. 2014; 13: 5293-5309.
39. O'Brien DP, Timms JF. Employing TMT quantification in a shotgun-MS platform. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2014; 1156: 187-199.
40. Bell-Temin H, Culver-Cochran AE, Chaput D, Carlson CM, Kuehl MN. Novel molecular insights into classical and alternative activation states of microglia as revealed by SILAC-based proteomics. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2015.
41. Hoedt E, Zhang G, Neubert TA. Stable Isotope Labeling by Amino Acids in Cell Culture (SILAC) for quantitative proteomics. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2014; 806: 93-106.
42. Guo H, Isserlin R, Lugowski A, Kuzmanov U, Emili A. Large-scale label-free phosphoproteomics: from technology to data interpretation. *Bioanalysis*. 2014; 6: 2403-2420.
43. Matzke MM, Brown JN, Gritsenko MA, Metz TO, Pounds JG, Rodland KD, et al. A comparative analysis of computational approaches to relative protein quantification using peptide peak intensities in label-free LC-MS proteomics experiments. *Proteomics*. 2013; 13: 493-503.
44. Colangelo CM, Chung L, Bruce C, Cheung KH. Review of software tools for design and analysis of large scale MRM proteomic datasets. *Methods*. 2013; 61: 287-298.
45. Lemoine J, Fortin T, Salvador A, Jaffuel A, Charrier JP. The current status of clinical proteomics and the use of MRM and MRM (3) for biomarker validation. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn*. 2012; 12: 333-342.
46. Gillet LC, Navarro P, Tate S, Röst H, Selevsek N. Targeted data extraction of the MS/MS spectra generated by data-independent acquisition: a new concept for consistent and accurate proteome analysis. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2012; 11: O111.
47. Lambert JP, Ivosev G, Couzens AL, Larsen B, Taipale M, Lin ZY, et al. Mapping differential interactomes by affinity purification coupled with data-independent mass spectrometry acquisition. *Nat Methods*. 2013; 10: 1239-1245.
48. Muntel J, Fromion V, Goelzer A, Maaß S, Mäder U, Büttner K, et al. Comprehensive absolute quantification of the cytosolic proteome of *Bacillus subtilis* by data independent, parallel fragmentation in liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS (E)). *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2014; 13: 1008-1019.