A Study on Mineralisation of Poly cis 1,4 isoprene (NR) and Synthetic Rubber Gloves (SRG) by the Bacterial Consortium

Veenagayathri Krishnaswamy* and Nikita Ahongsangbam

Department of Biotechnology, Stella Maris College, Tamil Nadu, India

Article Information

Received date: Sep 23, 2017

Accepted date: Oct 30, 2017

Published date: Nov 03, 2017

*Corresponding author

Veenagayathri Krishnaswamy,
Department of Biotechnology, Stella
Maris College, Chennai-83, India,
Tel: 0-91-044-222359029;
Email: veenagayathri@yahoo.com

Distributed under Creative Commons
CC-BY 4.0

Keywords *Enterobacter cloacae*;
Microbacterium laevaniformans;
Methylobacterium rhodesianum;
Mineralisation; Natural Rubber; 16s r
RNA sequencing

Abstract

Objective: The aim of the study was to isolate bacterial consortium from the effluent contaminated site that is used in the mineralizing both natural rubber and synthetic rubber, to optimise the growth conditions for efficient mineralisation and to biochemically characterise and to use 16s RNA sequencing for identifying the bacterial strains.

Materials and Methods: Natural rubber mineralizing bacterial consortium was isolated from effluent contaminated soil. The mineralisation study was performed for five days at every 24 h interval. Optimization studies were performed with different parameters such as varying concentrations of latex, pH, carbon sources, nitrogen sources, mixed carbon and nitrogen source and different temperature. The bacterial consortium mineralizing nr latex was used to mineralize Synthetic Rubber Gloves (SRG) using the same medium for 40 days at every 5 days interval. Effect of pre-treatment was studied by pre-treating the SRG with acetone and exposing it to sunlight. Mineralisation of the Rubber was confirmed by spectrophotometric and Fourier Transform Infra-Red (FTIR) studies.

Results: Isolated organism was identified as *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Microbacterium laevaniformans* and *Methylobacterium rhodesianum*. Maximum mineralisation of (1.66×10^{-4}) was shown on the 4th day of incubation. Conformation of NR degradation was done by FTIR analysis that shows the presence of aldehyde and ketone produced due to bacterial degradation. The parameters giving optimum results were concentration of latex -1%, pH- 8.5, carbon source- Xylose, nitrogen source - Ammonium Nitrate, temperature- 37°C. Maximum mineralisation of synthetic rubber was shown on the 20th day (1.3×10^{-4}) . Among the pre-treated and the untreated samples most prominent distortions were visible on the surface of the sunlight sample when visualized under scanning electron microscopy.

Conclusion: From the present investigation, it can be concluded that the isolated bacterial consortium containing the strains *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Microbacterium laevaniformans* and *Methylobacterium rhodesianum* were able to mineralize natural rubber as well as synthetic rubber. This could be applied in the removal of waste rubber products present in the environment.

Introduction

Natural rubber latex is produced by over 2,000 plant species, and its main constituent is poly (cis-1,4-isoprene), a highly unsaturated hydrocarbon [1].

Gutta-perch is a natural rubber source composed of trans-1,4-polyisoprene, a structural isomer that has similar, but not identical, properties. Natural Rubber Latex (NRL) is a particular kind of rubber derived from the milky sap of the *Hevea brasiliensis* tree that is refined and treated to form the raw liquid latex used to make gloves. It is also used to manufacture a number of products as diverse as tyres, clothing, medical products and toys [2].

India, Thailand and Vietnam are among the largest natural rubber producing countries. In India Kerala state contributes about 90% of India's total production of natural rubber. Both states of Kerala and Tamil Nadu contribute around 86% of the growing area of natural rubber. Rubber usage is one of the most important worldwide waste disposal problems [3]. After the usage of these natural rubber products, their disposal is a major worldwide solid waste problem. Rubber has a complex structure because of the chemical cross linking. It becomes very difficult to simply melt and reshape these rubber products, as it can be done with thermoplastic materials like polyethylenes and polypropylenes. Reuse of these rubber materials is possible with special processes like grinding, pyrolysis and other thermal methods. During the different treatment methods, the material may be broken down to a certain extent but it will not have the original characters. Natural rubber products like tyres contain around 1.5% by weight of hazardous substances [4].

Rubber products such as balloons, rubber sheets, bags, tubes and gloves, bands and others, are freely thrown in to the environment, which possesses great threat to the animals. Rubber being a natural polymer when consumed by animals may lead to death. The only way to scrap this rubber is incineration; however, the process is not simple, as the incinerator must be designed to control air emissions and should be equipped with scrubbers to remove contaminants such as chlorine.

Chlorine emissions generally come from burning of waste rubber products and scrap that contain chloroprene polymers. The scrubbers generate an acidic product that may have to be neutralized prior to discharge. Almost all rubber compounds contain some type of fillers, either carbon blacks, clays, calcium carbonates or hydrated silica compounds. When these rubber compounds are burned, they generate ash which may contain heavy metals, like zinc. Thus, incineration is not a only good solution for handling rubber waste [5].

The only way of overcoming such environmental problem is provided by microbial transformation of rubber into useful products [6]. There is some most specific importance of biotechnological processes when compared to chemical and physical ones as it is eco-friendly and less cost involved. Biotransformation of compounds does not produce any harmful or toxic chemicals and is normally not energy intensive. However, there are still some obstacles, mostly sensitivity of microorganisms toward many chemical substances, including rubber additives, which are used to improve stability and function of tires over a wide range of temperature.

Deterioration of rubber products using microbes has attracted much interest in solving the environmental problem [7] and many studies have been carried out on the degradation of both pure rubber elastomers and vulcanized rubber products. Further it becomes important to study the molecular and biochemical aspects in the field of microbial degradation of natural and synthetic polyisoprenoids in order to find ways to solve the global problem of excess rubber material.

Biodegradation of polyisoprene products has been studied since the beginning of the last century, as the treatment method is more natural and clean but nearly all of the studies were restricted to rubber degradation. Many efforts were undertaken to isolate suitable rubber-degrading bacteria and to unravel the molecular mechanism of biodegradation. With solid-state polymers (vulcanized and unvulcanized rubber) or NR latex as substrates, more than 100 bacteria were isolated [7-11].

As this rubber material are difficult to destroy or reuse, there is a need to find out more ways that are environmental friendly and that takes lesser time in the degradation process. Hence this present work focuses on mineralisation of natural rubber by bacterial consortium isolated from textile effluent contaminated soil. The isolated bacterial consortium was optimised for their growth conditions and their mineralisation ability was confirmed by FTIR analysis. The bacterial consortium was optimized for (pH, temperature, carbon, nitrogen and mixed carbon and nitrogen) and for optimum concentration of mineralisation of natural rubber. The isolated consortium was also applied in the mineralisation of Synthetic Rubber Gloves (SRG). Synthetic rubber mineralisation was analysed using scanning electron microscopy. Further the bacterial strains present in the consortium were identified by biochemical characterisation and 16s rRNA sequencing. Such isolated bacterial consortium could be applied in the treatment of rubber contaminated soil

Materials and Methods

Sample collection

Collection of latex material: The liquid sample of latex was collected from rubber plantation area in Wayanad. The latex was mixed with

an ammonium solution to prevent its coagulation while it is being transported from its collection site.

Collection of synthetic rubber sample: Synthetic rubber sample was obtained from Kimberly-Clark purple Nitrile-xtra powder-free exam gloves which were supplied by Sudhagar Biologicals, Chennai.

Collection of soil samples: The soil samples were collected from textile effluent contaminated soil under aseptic conditions in zip lock covers. It was transported to the laboratory immediately and preserved in refrigerator for further analysis.

Acclimatization

The bacterial consortium mineralizing the Natural Rubber was isolated in the present study by acclimatizing the consortium in 100 ml of Mineral Salts Medium (MSM). Media composition of the mineral salts medium (g/l) Di-potassium hydrogen phosphate (K_2HPO_4)-1.0, magnesium sulphate ($MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$)-0.5, potassium nitrate (KNO_3)-1.0, distilled water (H_2O)-1000 ml, pH 7.0 [12]. The MSM was sterilised and supplemented with 1% latex solution. 5 g of the collected soil sample was added to the medium and it was incubated for 48 h. The bacterial strains in the consortium were morphologically observed under light microscope every 24 h interval. The culture from MSM was serially diluted and pour plate technique was performed on sterile mineral salts medium agar plates for the dilutions 10^{-6} , 10^{-7} and 10^{-8} . A viable cell count was performed by plating the bacterial strains in MSM and agar containing latex. Bacterial strains which were morphologically different were identified and pure cultures were made. These were used for further experiments and also stored as glycerol stock.

Determination of the molecular weight of the NR-latex solution

For evaluation of the average molecular weight of the collected NR-latex solution a capillary Ubbelohde viscometer was used. First stock of 2% (w/v) concentration of latex solution was prepared. Then it was diluted with distilled water at different concentrations like 0.8, 1.6, 2.4, and 3.2 (v/v). Then according to the time taken by each of those samples to reach their specific end point in the viscometer, the specific viscosity was calculated for each sample.

The formula used for the calculation of the specific viscosity is given by:

$$\text{Specific viscosity } \eta_{sp} = t_s - t_o / t_o$$

Where, t_s is the time taken by the sample and t_o is the time taken by distilled water. The intrinsic viscosity (η) was calculated to be 0.405.

Calculation of the molecular weight of the natural rubber

The method widely used in the determination of the molecular weight is based upon the determination of the intrinsic viscosity $[\eta]$ of a polymer in the solution through measurements of the solution viscosity. Molecular weight is related to $[\eta]$ by the mark-houwink equation given as,

$$[\eta] = kmv^\alpha$$

Where, mv is the viscosity – average molecular weight. Both k and α are empirical constants that are specific for a given polymer,

solvent and temperature. The molecular weight of the natural rubber latex used was found to be 21379.62.

Determination of the mineralization rate of the natural rubber latex and growth pattern of the bacterial consortium

The mineralization rate of natural rubber latex was determined by the titrimetric method [13] and the growth pattern of the bacterial consortium was observed by analyzing the growth OD every 24 h for 5 days. Evidence for mineralization of the poly (cis-1,4-isoprene) and poly (trans-1,4-isoprene) hydrocarbon chain was obtained by the determination of the respiratory CO₂ released during the cultivation of the cells in the presence of Poly (cis-1,4-isoprene) as sole carbon source. Determination was carried out in a tightly closed glass bottles by using the property Ba(OH)₂ to precipitate CO₂ into BaCO₃, 50 ml of mineral salts medium was sterilized and dispensed in sterile bottles with 1% latex. Further bacterial consortium was inoculated in the respective bottles. The bottles were connected to tubes containing 15 ml of 0.2M Barium Hydroxide solution. Bottles containing the MSM and test tubes containing Ba(OH)₂ where fixed with silicon tubes and sealed with parafilm to collect the CO₂. The bottles containing mineralised latex were quantified by estimating the presence of BaCO₃ which was titrated against 1N HCl. Mineralization was calculated using the following formula:

Mineralization (%CO₂) = Required amount of HCl (ml) x 1 / C content of amount of poly (1, 4- isoprene) applied (mmol) x 2.

As an indicator, phenolphthalein was added and the end point was determined by alteration of the colour from pink to colourless [13].

Mineralization of different concentrations of latex

To study the mineralization of different concentrations of NR latex (1%, 2%, 3% & 4%), the bacterial consortium was inoculated in each of the concentrations mentioned. Then the MSM containing bottles were connected to the tubes containing Ba(OH)₂ using silicon tubes and these were properly sealed with parafilm. The set up was kept for incubation at room temperature. Mineralization was studied and quantitative estimation of BaCO₃ was done by titrating it against 1N HCl [13] every 24 h for 5 days.

Effect of different pH and temperature on the growth of bacterial consortium

Effect of varying pH and temperature was studied on the growth and mineralisation by the bacterial consortium, media was prepared in different pH ranging from 8 to 9.5 (8, 8.5, 9, 9.5) and different temperatures (22°C, 37°C, 45°C). Mineralization of the latex was studied and quantitative estimation of BaCO₃ was done by titrating it against 1NHCl [13] every 24 h interval for 5 days.

Effect of varying the carbon and nitrogen sources on the growth of bacterial consortium

At the optimum concentration of NR 1%, effect of different carbon and nitrogen sources were studied. The carbon sources (Sucrose (S), Maltose (M), Xylose (X), Maltose (M)) and nitrogen sources (Peptone (P), Ammonium Nitrate (AN), Yeast extract (Y), Ammonium Oxalate (AO)) of 1% concentration were supplemented

in the MSM and which was inoculated with the bacterial consortium. Mineralization was studied and quantitative estimation of BaCO₃ was done by titrating it against 1N HCl [13] every 24 h of interval for 5 days. Further studies were carried out with optimum concentrations of mixed carbon and nitrogen sources as mentioned above.

Conformation of natural rubber mineralization by Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

Chemical changes that arose directly on the natural rubber surface as a result of the mineralization were determined using FTIR spectroscopy. The natural rubber samples were studied in transmittance spectra in IR range 4000 to 400 nm [14].

Acclimatization of NR mineralizing bacterial consortium to degrade SR gloves

Synthetic Rubber Gloves (SRG) pieces of 5 mm (L × B) were used in the mineralization study. Acclimatized NR mineralizing bacterial consortium was inoculated in the SRG containing MSM for a period of 10 days. Further the growth of the bacterial consortium was observed every 24 hours under light microscopy. Mineralization study was performed with SRG as a sole carbon source for every 5 days interval for 40 days. This was kept at 37°C and agitated for 120 rpm on a rotary shaker. After incubation the distortion on the synthetic rubber was observed under Scanning Electron Microscopy [15].

Effect of pre-treatment of the Synthetic Rubber (SR) gloves for mineralisation

Synthetic rubber present in the MSM was pre-treated by exposing to sunlight for 3 days for every 3 h and with acetone (2 ml) till it was completely evaporated. To study the mineralization of SR, the pre-treated synthetic rubber gloves pieces and untreated synthetic rubber were inoculated in the MSM containing bacterial consortium in each flask in duplicates [16,17]. Synthetic rubber gloves inoculated in MSM in the absence of bacterial consortium served as abiotic control. The ability of bacterial consortium to utilise the SR as carbon source in the MSM was observed by staining with Schiff's reagent and visualising under scanning electron microscopy.

Staining of rubber degrading colonies by Schiff's reagent method

The actively growing colonies of the bacterial consortium on the rubber surface were visualized clearly by staining with Schiff's reagents. The purple colour produced by the reagent shows the evidence that polyisoprene oligomers containing aldehyde group were produced and accumulated during the microbial mineralization of rubber [7].

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) for analysis of distortion in SRG

SRG after incubation with the bacterial consortium were taken and prepared for SEM by an initial fixation in 2% Glutaraldehyde. Samples were dehydrated in graded series of ethanol 30, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90 and with absolute ethanol. The samples were let to dry. The samples were mounted on aluminium stubs using electrically conducting carbon and sputter coater with approximately 15 nm gold with argon gas as the ionising plasma. Imaging was performed using a scanning electron microscope [18-20].

Morphological and biochemical characterisation

Gram staining, motility test and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) were performed to study the morphological characteristics of the bacterial isolates following which biochemical characterization was done (with Himedia, India) to identify the phenotypic characters. Catalase, Oxidase, Urease, IMViC, Indole production, MRVP, Citrate utilization and Triple Sugar Iron agar tests were performed to determine the biochemical characteristics of the isolate. After 24 h incubation at 37°C, the colour change observed was determined as positive/negative result. Genus level identification of the unknown bacterial strain was accomplished by using Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology. Antibiotic sensitivity of the bacterial species was also tested by Kirby Bauer's procedure to find out the resistant pattern to various antibiotics.

Molecular characterisation of individual isolates

Chromosomal DNA was isolated from the pure strain by the standard phenol/chloroform extraction method. The 16s rRNA gene was amplified from the chromosomal DNA using Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). The sequencing of the target gene was done using Bigdychemistry, and performed as per the manufacturer's protocols (Applied Biosystems 3730xl DNA analyzer).

Phylogenetic analysis

The output file of sequence alignment was used to compute Phylogenetic trees for aligned sequences of 16s r-DNA sequencing results of the three bacterial strains (NPKVG1, NPKVG2 and NPKVG3). Neighbour joining method was used for tree building with MEGA 6 software. To access the reliability of the Phylogenetic tree, MEGA provides bootstrap test which used the bootstrap resampling strategy. The user has to input the number of replicates. In this experiment, 500 replicates were used.

Results

Isolation and screening NR degrading bacterial consortium

The NR mineralizing bacterial consortium was enriched and isolated from textile effluent contaminated sites. The NR mineralizing bacterial consortium which was morphologically different was identified and pure cultures of individual strains were made. Initially six bacterial strains were screened and isolated out of which only three strains were able to mineralise natural rubber latex [21,22]. These three bacterial strains were named NPKVG1, NPKVG2 and NPKVG3 were able to grow on the MSM agar plate containing latex. Figure 1 shows the growth of isolated bacterial consortium at dilution 10^{-7} in MSM agar plate.

Growth of the bacterial consortium on NR

The isolated bacterial consortium was inoculated in mineral salts medium with latex and their growth pattern was studied. Figure 2 shows the growth pattern of isolated bacterial consortium. The maximum growth was observed on the 3rd day (0.299). The growth of bacterial consortium decreased from 4th day (0.289) and finally depleted from the 5th day (0.268) of incubation. Hence further mineralisation studies of NR by the bacterial consortium were carried out for the end of 5 days and the mineralization were analyzed at 24 h interval.

Figure 1: Enriched bacterial consortium in MSM agar plate containing latex.

Mineralization of different concentrations of natural rubber latex

To study the growth of the bacterial consortium on different concentrations of latex (1%, 2%, 3%, 4% and 5%), bacterial consortium was inoculated in Mineral Salts Medium containing different concentrations of latex. Figure 3 shows mineralization and growth on different concentrations of latex by the bacterial consortium. The bacterial consortium showed maximum growth on the 3rd day (0.299) and mineralization of (1.66×10^{-4}) on the 4th day on 1% of latex concentration. At 2 % and 3% concentrations of NR, the mineralization of latex varied from (1.35×10^{-4}) and (1.38×10^{-4}) on 3rd day respectively with growth OD of 0.158 on 3rd day, 0.129 on 4th day respectively. At 4% concentration of latex the bacterial consortium showed a maximum mineralisation of (1.23×10^{-4}) on 3rd day with a growth OD of 0.128 [23-25].

Effect of varying pH

To study the growth of the bacterial consortium and mineralization of latex on different pH (8, 8.5, 9 and 9.5) of MSM the bacterial consortium was inoculated in MSM with 1% latex solution at different pH. Figure 4 shows the growth of bacterial consortium and mineralization of latex at different pH. Maximum growth and mineralization was seen in pH 8.5. Maximum growth on 3rd day (0.192) and mineralization of (1.3×10^{-4}) on the 4th day was observed [26].

Effect of varying the temperature

To study the growth of the bacterial consortium and mineralization

Figure 2: Growth of bacterial consortium on natural rubber latex.

Figure 3: Mineralization of different concentrations of Natural rubber latex by the Bacterial consortium.

of latex on different temperature (28°C, 37°C, and 45°C), the bacterial consortium was inoculated in mineral salts medium with 1% latex solution and incubated at different temperature. Figure 5 shows the growth of bacterial consortium and mineralization of latex at different temperatures. Efficient growth and mineralization was observed at 37°C, maximum growth on 4th day (0.211) and mineralization of (1.59×10^{-4}) on the 3rd day [27].

Figure 5: Effect of varying the temperature on mineralization of NR.

Optimization of different carbon and nitrogen sources at 1 % natural rubber

To study the effect of different carbon sources (Sucrose (S), Lactose (L), Xylose (X), and Maltose (M) and nitrogen sources (Peptone (P), Ammonium Nitrate (AN), Yeast extract (Y), Ammonium Oxalate (AO) on the growth of the bacterial consortium and mineralization of latex. The carbon and nitrogen sources were inoculated at 1% concentration.

Figure 4: Effect of different pH on mineralization of NR.

Figure 6: Effect of different carbon sources on mineralization of NR.

Figure 7: Effect of different nitrogen sources on mineralization of NR.

Effect of different carbon sources on mineralisation of NR

Figure 6 shows the growth and mineralization in different carbon sources. Efficient growth and mineralization was observed in the

Figure 8: Effect of mixed carbon and nitrogen sources on mineralization.

Figure 9: Conformation of NR mineralisation by FTIR.

presence of Xylose as the carbon source, maximum growth on 3rd Day (0.180) and mineralization of (1.54×10^{-4}) on the 3rd Day.

Effect of different nitrogen sources on mineralisation of NR

Figure 7 shows the growth and mineralization of natural rubber in different nitrogen sources. Efficient growth of bacterial consortium and mineralization of latex was observed in the presence of ammonium nitrate as the nitrogen source, maximum growth on 3rd day (0.404) and mineralization of (1.71×10^{-4}) on the 5th day [28].

Effect of mixed carbon and nitrogen sources on mineralization of NR

Figure 8 shows the growth and mineralization in optimum mixed carbon and nitrogen sources. Efficient growth of bacterial consortium and mineralization of latex was observed in the presence of xylose as the carbon source and ammonium nitrate as the nitrogen source, maximum growth on 3rd day (0.194) and mineralization of (1.54×10^{-4}) on the 4th day was observed.

Figure 10: Mineralisation of synthetic rubber gloves by the bacterial consortium.

Figure 11: Production of aldehydes by Schiff's reagent test.

Conformation of natural rubber degradation by Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis was done to detect the mineralization of poly-cis-isoprene after culturing in MSM, on the basis of changes in the functional groups. FTIR spectrum (Figure 9) on the 1st day of mineralisation of NR showed wavelength of 1531.55 cm^{-1} and 1670.43 cm^{-1} having C-H Stretching of medium intensity and C-O stretching of strong intensity respectively. On the same day of incubation wavelength of 1678.14 cm^{-1} having C-N Stretching of variable intensity was shown. On the 5th day of mineralisation of NR showed wavelength of 1532.51 cm^{-1} and 1689.72 cm^{-1} having C-H Stretching of medium intensity and C-N stretching of variable intensity respectively. Wavelength of 1674.28 cm^{-1} having C-O Stretching of very strong intensity was also shown on the same day. Thus, indicating the presence of aldehydes and ketones formed during the mineralisation of NR [1,29].

Acclimatization of NR mineralizing bacterial consortium to degrade SR gloves

The Bacterial consortium acclimatized in NR containing MSM was grown in the MSM containing Synthetic rubber gloves. The flask containing SRG that were not inoculated with Bacterial consortium served as abiotic control. The Synthetic rubber degradation was studied for 40 days and the mineralization was observed as distortions. Their growth pattern was monitored by means of growth OD values (0.211) which shows that there was constant increased in their growth till 30 days after which the growth decreased. Maximum mineralization was observed on 20th day (1.3×10^{-4}) and maximum growth of 0.211 was observed on 30th day. Figure 10 shows mineralisation of SRG by the isolated Bacterial consortium.

Staining of rubber degrading colonies by Schiff's reagent method

Figure 12: SEM micrographs of sunlight pre-treated SRG.

Figure 13: SEM micrographs of acetone pre-treated SRG.

SRG which were inoculated with bacterial consortium turned to purple colour and there was no colour formation in the control. Formation of purple colour in the mineralized sample is due to the presence of aldehyde and ketone group which is produced as a result of degradation of cis-1, 4-polyisoprene units. Figure 11 shows the production of aldehydes by Schiff's reagent test.

Effect of pre-treatment of the synthetic rubber gloves on the mineralization of SRG

Effect of pre-treatment on the mineralisation was observed by visualising the distortions caused due to the growth of the bacterial consortium on the synthetic rubber gloves as the sole carbon source.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) for analysis of distortion in SRG

Distortions caused due to mineralisation were observed under Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). Most prominent distortions were observed on the sunlight treated SRG next was of the acetone treated and the least was of the untreated SRG. Figure 12 shows the SEM micrographs of sunlight pre-treated SRG. Figure 12 (a) SEM micrograph of uninoculated SRG, Figure 12 (b) SEM micrograph at 100 µm showing the distortion, Figure 12 (c) SEM micrograph at 40 µm showing the distortion. Figure 13 shows the SEM micrographs of acetone pre-treated SRG. Figure 13 (a) SEM micrograph of uninoculated SRG, Figure 13 (b) SEM micrograph at 100 µm showing the distortion, Figure 13 (c) SEM micrograph at 40 µm showing the distortion. Figure 14 shows the SEM micrographs of untreated SRG. Figure 14 (a) SEM micrograph of uninoculated SRG, Figure 14 (b) SEM micrograph at 100 µm showing the distortion, and Figure 14 (c) SEM micrograph at 40 µm showing the distortion [13,30].

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) analysis of the bacterial strains

SEM analysis was carried out to study the variation in morphological structures of both the individual bacterial as well as

Figure 14: SEM micrographs of untreated SRG.

Figure 15: Morphology of the individual bacterial strains and consortium under 11000 x magnification.

Figure 16: Antibiotic sensitivity tests by isolated bacterial consortium.

the bacterial consortium on mineralisation. The ultra structure of the bacterial strains was observed under 11000 x magnifications. Figure 15 shows the structure of the bacterial strain NPKVG 1, NPKVG 2, NPKVG 3 and the bacterial consortium respectively under 11000 x magnifications. It was seen that the individual bacterial strains NPKVG 1, NPKVG 2, NPKVG 3 and the consortium showed rod shaped morphology (Figure 16).

Molecular identification of the bacterial strains

DNA isolation and PCR amplification: The genomic DNA was isolated from each bacterial isolates. PCR amplification was performed and the unknown bacterial strains were identified through

Figure 17: Genomic DNA and PCR amplified products; lane 1= genomic DNA of NPKVG1; lane 2= PCR amplified product of NPKVG2; lane3=genomic DNA of NPKVG3.

Figure 18: Phylogenetic tree analysis of the bacterial strains.

16s r-DNA sequencing. The bacterial isolates were identified from the sequence using blast tool. Genomic DNA and PCR amplified products of the three bacterial isolates are shown in figure 17.

16s rRNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis: The identification of the bacterial strains was done by using blast tool available in the NCBI database. The sequences of the individual bacterial strains obtained from the 16 s rRNA sequencing were added in the blast software. The bacterial isolate NPKVG 1 was identified as *Enterobacter cloacae*, NPKVG 2 as *Microbacterium laevaniformans* and NPKVG 3 as *Methylobacterium rhodesianum*.

Table 1: Morphological and Biochemical characterisation of the isolated bacterial strain.

Tests	Isolated strains		
	NPKVG 1	NPKVG 2	NPKVG 3
Motility	+	-	-
Gram staining	-	+	-
Cell shape	Rods	Rods	Rods
Catalase	+	+	+
Urease	+	-	+
Indole	-	-	-
Methyl red	-	+	-
Voges-proskauer	+	+	+
Citrate utilisation	+	+	+
Starch hydrolysis	+	+	+
Triple sugar-iron agar	Alkaline slant and alkaline butt	-	-
Oxidase	-	+	+

Table 2: Antibiotic susceptibility test for all three bacterial isolates.

Antibiotics disc	NPKVG1	NPKVG2	NPKVG3
Tetracyclin (30 µg/disc)	6 Mm (s)	7 mm (s)	6 mm (s)
Erythromycin (15 µg/disc)	- (r)	- (r)	- (r)
Amikacin (10 µg/disc)	8 mm (s)	8 mm (s)	8 mm (s)
Ampicillin (10 µg/disc)	- (r)	- (r)	- (r)

Note: s = sensitive; r = resistance; - = no zone of lysis

The evolutionary relationship between the bacterial strains was determined by constructing a phylogeny tree. The sequences obtained were first converted into a FASTA format and the phylogeny tree was then converted by using the software mega 6.0. Figure 18 shows the phylogeny tree of the individual bacterial strains [31] (Tables 1 & 2).

Discussion

Rubber products are widely used in our daily life these products are mainly made up of Natural Rubber (NR) which is obtained from the latex of tree *Hevea brasiliensis* commonly called Rubber tree. The average composition of the natural rubber latex is 25- 30% polyisoprene, 1-1.8% proteins, 1-2% carbohydrates, 0.4-1.1% neutral lipids, 0.5-0.6% polar lipids, 0.4-0.6 inorganic components, 0.4% amino acids etc., and other 50-70% water.

Solid waste management of the natural rubber products becomes the most important problem. One of the solutions to reduce this problem is to reuse the used rubber. But due to the chemical cross linking formed during vulcanization it is not possible to simply melt and reshape the products as in case of polythene. So other alternatives such as microbial degradation of the product should be developed which is more eco-friendly technique. Microbial degradation is mainly carried out by various microorganisms such as bacteria and fungi [15].

There are number of literature reports on the microbial deterioration of Natural rubber by Bacterial and Fungal isolates. Most of the literature reports are on mineralisation of Bacterial and Fungal isolates as individual cultures. Hence in the present study an attempt has been made for the isolation of NR degrading Bacterial consortium which was effectively able to utilize both NR and SRG. In the present study 3 bacterial strains were isolated and were identified as *Enterobacter coloea*, *Microbacterium laevaniformans* and *Methylobacterium rhodesianum*.

Nayanshree et al. [15] isolated bacterial strain *Bacillus pumilus* which showed the capacity of degrading Natural rubber disc. Initial weight of the sample inoculated in MSM with *Bacillus pumilus* was 3 g which was reduced to 2.28 g, a total weight loss of 24%. In the present study the Bacterial consortium from the contaminated site which was able to utilise NR from the concentration of 1% to 5% and it was observed that 1% shows the maximum mineralisation (1.66×10^{-4}) on the 4th day of incubation [32].

Onyeagoro et al. showed the determination of intrinsic viscosity which can be used to find the molecular weight of natural rubber latex. This was done by finding the specific viscosity of the viscous liquid calculated from the time taken each sample in an Ubbelohde capillary viscometer. In the present study molecular weight of the NR latex was also calculated using Ubbelohde capillary viscometer. The molecular weight of the NR latex used in the present study showed 21379.62.

Glucose, fructose and arabinose supplementation has been reported to lower the extent of degradation while for the isolates it was almost not affected when lactose was supplemented in the medium [16]. Tween 80 (1%) has earlier been reported to increase the production of lipase from *Bacillus sp.* Effect of co-metabolites (Tween 20, Tween 80, glucose and yeast extract) on the degradation of polyurethane was checked. Both esterase and lipase activity were

maximum in the presence of glucose. Lipase activity decline after 1st week till end in the presence of Tween 80 whereas esterase activity increased after 2nd week till end in the presence of Tween 80. In the present study, rubber- degrading bacterial consortium was enriched by different carbon sources (sucrose, lactose, xylose, and maltose) and nitrogen (peptone, ammonium nitrate, yeast extract, ammonium oxalate) sources. From the experiments performed in the present study it was found that ammonium oxalate served as a better nitrogen source that enhances the mineralisation of latex at its maximum (1.71×10^{-4}) by the bacterial consortium. Likewise, xylose as a carbon, which was found to be the best carbon source giving maximum mineralisation (1.54×10^{-4}) that could enhance the mineralisation of natural rubber [33].

Nayanshree et al. [17] analysed the degradation of natural rubber by carrying out growth study in MSM, and mineralisation was confirmed by staining with Schiff's reagent, SEM, & FTIR studies. Peaks were observed at 1662.34 cm^{-1} having H-C=O, C-H stretch and C-O stretch which indicates the presence of aldehydes and ketones which confirms the degradation of rubber. In their study peaks of NR mineralisation were observed at 1646 cm^{-1} and 1651 cm^{-1} having H-C=O, C-H stretch and C-O stretch which indicates the presence of aldehydes and ketones which confirms the degradation of rubber. In the current work NR degradation was carried out in MSM supplemented with rubber latex as the carbon source and the bacterial consortium. Degradation was confirmed by FTIR analysis; peaks were observed C-H Stretching, C-O Stretching and C-N Stretching which indicates the presence of aldehydes and ketones which confirms degradation of NR which was again proved by Schiff's reagent.

In the mineralisation of Synthetic rubber gloves it was observed that till the 30th day growth of the Bacterial consortium increased after which it depleted. The bacterial consortium was able to form a biofilm on the latex gloves when SR was used as a substrate, hence they were able to use SR as carbon source and distortion was seen by Scanning Electron Microscopy.

Aamar Ali Shah et al. [18] studied the effect of pre-treatment of artificial rubber on the degradation process. The rubber samples were pre-treated with Sunlight, Acetone and UV-Light. The rate of degradation was analysed by measured the weight loss of the sample during mineralisation and by FTIR analysis. In the present study the effect of pre-treatment of SR on the degradation of SR was analysed and it was confirmed by staining with Schiff's reagent and Scanning Electron Microscopy.

Conclusion

Complex nature and structure of the polymers do not allow them to degrade, as the only solution in reusing them is by incineration process when it is melted they don't reshape in to their original structures.

Therefore, it is important to find a more economic and environmentally friendly treatment method, for which microbial degradation may be competitive method. The present study results show that the bacterial consortium which was isolated from the effluent contaminated soil was able to mineralize natural rubber latex. The bacterial consortium was optimized for their growth parameters (pH, temperature, carbon, nitrogen and mixed carbon and nitrogen sources). The isolated bacterial consortium could efficiently

mineralize up to 5% concentrations of NR latex. It could also be applied in the distortions of SRG within a period of one month we could get maximum distortion. Such promising bacterial consortium could be applied in the removal of rubber contaminated soil, which is eco-friendly and cost effective method compared to physico-chemical methods. Further such efficient bacterial consortium can be used in the remediation of solid waste which is said to be the potential threat to the environment. This research area could be further extended on the focus on the gene transfer mechanisms on the bacterial strains and on the biofilm production. Extended work on the study of the bio films on the degradation of artificial rubber would facilitate the development of better techniques for the bioremediation of rubber polluted sites and wastewaters.

References

- Rose K, Steinbüchel A. Biodegradation of natural rubber and related compounds: recent insights into a hardly understood catabolic capability of microorganisms. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2005; 71: 2803-2812.
- Heinz-Hermann Greve. Rubber 2. Natural. In: Ullmann's Encyclopaedia of Industrial Chemistry. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim. 2000.
- Linós A, Berekaa MM, Reichelt R, Keller U, Schmitt J, Flemming HC, et al. Biodegradation of cis-1,4-polyisoprene rubbers by distinct actinomycetes: microbial strategies and detailed surface analysis. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2000; 66: 1639-1645.
- Tsuchii A, Takeda K, Tokiwa Y. Colonization and degradation of rubber pieces by *Nocardia* sp. *Biodegradation.* 1996; 7: 41-48.
- Tsuchii A, Tokiwa Y. Microbial degradation of tyre rubber particles. *Biotechnol Lett.* 2001; 23: 963-969.
- Bode HB, Kerkhoff K, Jendrosseck D. Bacterial degradation of natural and synthetic rubber. *Biomacromolecules.* 2001; 2: 295-303.
- Tsuchii A, Suzuki T, Takeda K. Microbial degradation of natural rubber vulcanizates. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1985; 50: 965-970.
- Heisey RM, Papadatos S. Isolation of microorganisms able to metabolize purified natural rubber. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1995; 61: 3092-3097.
- Jendrosseck D, Tomasi G, Kroppenstedt RM. Bacterial degradation of natural rubber: a privilege of actinomycetes? *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 1997; 150: 179-188.
- Muralidharan M, Gayathri KV. Mineralisation of natural rubber (poly cis 1-4 isoprene) by co-cultured bacterial strains isolated from rubber plantation area. *International Journal of Biological Research.* 2016; 4: 1-9.
- Muralidharan M, Gayathri KV. Artificial Rubber mineralisation by co-cultured bacterial strains. *International Journal of Biological Research.* 2016; 4: 105-111.
- Lowe FC, Tan AM, John CK. Microbial degradation of natural rubber. *Journal of Natural Rubber Research.* 1992; 7: 195-205.
- Warneke S, Arenskötter M, Tenberge KB, Steinbüchel A. Bacterial degradation of poly(trans-1,4-isoprene) (gutta percha). *Microbiology.* 2007; 153: 347-356.
- Roy VR, Das M, Banerjee R, Bhowmick A. Comparative studies on rubber biodegradation through solid-state and submerged fermentation. *Process Biochem.* 2006; 41: 181-186.
- Nayanashree G, Thippeswamy B, Krishnappa M. Enzymatic studies on Natural rubber biodegradation by *Bacillus pumilus*. *International Journal of Biological Research.* 2014; 2: 44-47.
- Manna A, Paul AK. Degradation of microbial polyester poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) in environmental samples and in culture. *Biodegradation.* 2000; 11: 323-329.
- Nayanashree G, Thippeswamy B, Krishnappa M. Natural Rubber Biodegradation by *Cladosporium fulvum* and Enzymes responsible for Biodegradation. *International Journal of Advanced Research.* 2014; 2: 1206-1212.
- Shah AA, Hasan F, Hameed A, Ahmed S. Biological degradation of plastics: a comprehensive review. *Biotechnol Adv.* 2008; 26: 246-265.
- Arenskötter M, Baumeister D, Berekaa MM, Pötter G, Kroppenstedt RM, Linós A, et al. Taxonomic characterization of two rubber degrading bacteria belonging to the species *Gordonia polyisopenivorans* and analysis of hyper variable regions of 16S rDNA sequences. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 2001; 205: 277-282.
- Arvanitoyannis I, Kolokuris I, Nakayama A, Aiba S. Preparation and study of novel biodegradable blends based on gelatinized starch and 1,4-trans-polyisoprene (gutta percha) for food packaging or biomedical applications. *Carbohydr Polym.* 1997; 34: 291-302.
- Backhaus RA. Rubber formation in plants-a mini-review. *Israel Journal of Botany.* 1985; 34: 283-293.
- Berekaa MM, Barakaat A, El-Sayed SM, El-Aassar SA. Degradation of natural rubber by *Achromobacter* sp. NRB and evaluation of culture conditions. *Pol J Microbiol.* 2005; 54: 55-62.
- Enoki M, Doi Y, Iwata T. Oxidative degradation of cis- and trans-1,4-polyisoprenes and vulcanized natural rubber with enzyme-mediator systems. *Biomacromolecules.* 2003; 4: 314-320.
- Ibrahim EM, Arenskötter M, Luftmann H, Steinbüchel A. Identification of poly(cis-1,4-Isoprene) degradation intermediates during growth of moderately thermophilic actinomycetes on rubber and cloning of a functional lcp homologue from *Nocardia farcinica* strain E1. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2006; 72: 3375-3382.
- Linós A, Steinbüchel A. Biodegradation of natural and synthetic rubbers. *Biopolymers Online.* 2005; 321-359.
- Linós A, Berekaa MM, Steinbüchel A, Kim KK, Sproer C, Kroppenstedt RM. *Gordonia westfalica* sp. nov., a novel rubber-degrading actinomycete. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.* 2002; 52: 1133-1139.
- Berekaa MM, Linós A, Reichelt R, Keller U, Steinbüchel A. Effect of pretreatment of rubber material on its biodegradability by various rubber degrading bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 2000; 184: 199-206.
- Nayanashree G, Thippeswamy B. Natural rubber degradation by *Aspergillus niger* and *Penicillium* sp. *International Journal of Recent Scientific Research.* 2013; 4: 1337- 1341.
- Rose K, Tenberge KB, Steinbüchel A. Identification and characterization of genes from *Streptomyces* sp. strain K30 responsible for clear zone formation on natural rubber latex and poly(cis-1,4-isoprene) rubber degradation. *Biomacromolecules.* 2005; 6: 180-188.
- Shah AA, Hasan F, Akhter JI, Hameed A, Ahmed S. Degradation of polyurethane by novel bacterial consortium isolated from soil. *Annals of Microbiology.* 2008; 58: 381.
- Tsuchii A. Microbial degradation of natural rubber. *Progress in industrial microbiology.* 1995; 32: 177-187.
- Tsuchii A, Takeda K. Rubber-degrading enzyme from a bacterial culture. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1990; 56: 269-274.
- Shah Z, Hameed A, Hasan F. Effect of pretreatments on enhanced degradation of polyisoprene rubber by newly isolated *Bacillus* sp, strains S10. *Journal of the Chemical Society of Pakistan.* 2009; 31: 638-646.