Development of Laminar Box Setup for DSSI Analysis of G+3 Building with Isolated Foundation

Emperor Journal of Applied Scientific Research
ISSN No. 2581-964X(O)
2020, Vol. 2 Issue-08
© The Author(s) 2020
<http://ejasr.mayas.info>
<http://dx.doi.org/10.35338/EJASR.2020.2803>

Shabbir Ahmed Quadri¹, Moinuddin², Faisal³, Pandiri Yerra Rohan⁴, Angoth Lachiram⁵, Dheeraj Reddy D⁶ and Dr. Pallavi Badry⁷

^{1,2,3,4,5}UG Student, ⁶PG Student, ⁷Head of the Department

Department of Civil Engineering

Vidya Jyothi Institute of Technology

Aziz Nagar Gate, CB Post, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

Abstract

In remarkable soil structure affiliation examination, the development and the earth is shown in one go. Nevertheless, in fixed base examination, the plan considered is to be fixed and the presence of the earth is dismissed, which may not be the useful condition in the seismic circumstance. In this examination, the functional model is tried to make under seismic condition, where the presence of the earth is considered. As soil is considered in the examination, the impact of wave spread can be shown integrately. The laminar box is made by the arrangement rules to imitate the soil development association issue. The entire soil structure gathering is analyzed for seismic force which is made from the painstakingly collected vibration. A shake table is created to replicate shudders, seismic vibrations. In this examination, the scale down model of G+3 developing and arranged foundation system with 1:20 scale factor is made and the essential social affair close by the G+3 restricted foundation is presented in the laminar box course of action.

Keywords: Laminar box, scale down factor, soil structure correspondence, fixed base assessment, shake table.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quakes are quite possibly the most recognizably awful disasters which impact clearly human lives yearly around the planet. Countless people kick the container and billions of dollars are lost by the lawmaking bodies after such a disaster (for instance Kobe seismic quake 1995, Chi-Chi shudder 1999, and Tohoku quake 2011). Most of the establishment and tremendous endeavors are immovably affected by quakes (for instance dams, wastewater treatment plants, and nuclear power plants). Investigates in geotechnical field and the water source planning field saw unmistakable upgrades in the methodologies and strategies for analyzing the planning issues concerning dynamic effects and seismic execution of essential segments and issues. The reenacting of certifiable seismic quakes on different water fueled plans model (for instance gravity dams, multi-story building, and grades) under norms of genuine showing has extended over the latest twenty years. The genuine showing principles are for the most part used in various examinations which were coordinated on different restricted degree models tending to particular model scales around the universes. Different limits were assessed and inspected under both veritable quakes and single-repeat, sinusoidal waveform.

Seismic quake planning helps analyzing the coordinated effort between basic system and the ground, recollecting the results of shudders for structures. Possibly the primary concerns of quake planning is the real arrangement and advancement of designs according to development guidelines, to restrict hurt due to shudders. It is the quake engineer who ensures fitting arrangement of designs so they will restrict hurt due to shakes, but then not be senselessly expensive. Fixed Base Analysis and Soil Structure Interaction Analysis are the two examinations for the association.

Figure 1. Fixed Base Model and SSI Model

Static SSI tends to LL + DL with no commitment beginning from the most punctual stage, no shaking powers. The forces in the plan, are sent into the soil. Dynamic SSI tends to the DL + LL + vibrations are acting downwards, and shaking powers are acting upwards. In this, the Kinematic sway is more prevalent, causing addition in radiation damping (it is the Dissipation Of Energy which acts like a damper). So if the foundation is firm, it can't change the touches of soil.

Figure 2. Mechanism of SSI

The soil development coordinated effort effect can well be gotten likely by the laminar box course of action. Restricted degree research focus tests are decently precise for anticipating misshapening of a homogeneous soil model. In any case, a restricted scale soil segment is less best in quake research appeared differently in relation to an immense degree soil model. Genuinely, a colossal extension homogeneous soil model used in a laminar box test gives a prevalent depiction of the convincing confining tensions and breaking point conditions in free-field soil.

One of the key issues with structures failing during tremors is that the earth fails to hold the foundation. Shakes move the ground side to side and to a great extent at the same time. The force behind this improvement is adequately stunning to change fragile soil in a brief moment into a sand trap, discarding its ability to bear weight. It's adequate to quickly change skewed objections into torrential slides. This makes it imperative to appreciate the explanation behind dissatisfaction, along these lines this movement is copied using a laminar box which is put on a shaking table. The table reproduces the action of seismic quake by vibrating, as the holder vibrates the mistake reason for soil is known. This associates in choosing the purpose behind dissatisfaction of soil and it similarly energizes in finding answers for soil issues achieved by seismic quake.

Figure 3. Laminar Box Setup (Youd Et Al. (2002))

II. OBJECTIVES

To develop the test model of laminar box to achieve the soil compartment with reasonable breaking point conditions.

- To development a scale down model of G+3 diagram plan and foundation model through which the earth development correspondence probably can be cultivated.
- To find the planning properties of scale down soil in laminar box to impersonate the quake wave inciting through soil medium.
- To play out the cost examination.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Apart from experimental studies several researchers worked on the interaction analysis using different foundation system which is reflected in Table I.

Table I: Literature reviews on foundation system using interaction.

Researcher	Contribution	Foundation	Analysis
Shakib (2004)	Response of single storey asymmetrical structure	Raft	3-D Linear dynamic for both structure and soil
Wegner, Yao and Bhullar (2009)	Multistorey asymmetrical building with CG and CL different deviation	Raft	3-D non-linear dynamic analysis
Maridan and Tsai (2009)	Asymmetrical mid rise building with raft at different depths	Raft	3-D Spring dashpot modeling
Li (2009)	Single storey Asymmetrical Building with different dampers	Isolated	3-D Nonlinear
Sharma and Pandey, (2011)	Building on a slope	Isolated	3-D linear analysis
Muhammad (2011)	Asymmetrical 4 storey adjacent buildings	Springs	3-D impact analysis using spring and dashpots
Venkatesh, Gupta and Pandit (2012)	Loading asymmetry	Raft	3-D nonlinear analysis For soil and 2-D for structure
Yigit (2013)	Asymmetrical cluster of building	Shallow	3-D non-linear dynamic analysis
Tehrani and Khoshnoudian (2014)	Planer asymmetry with 5-15 storey building	Shallow	Pushover analysis
Sharma and Punit (2014)	Tall asymmetrical building for different shear wall configuration	shallow	3-D non-linear dynamic analysis
Isbiliroglu and Taborda (2014)	Group of asymmetrical small structure	Isolated	3-D nonlinear analysis
Irfan, Sunandan Reddy and Mythili (2014)	Soft storey effect including interaction	Isolated	3-D dynamic nonlinear Analysis
Akulwar (2015)	Loading Asymmetrical building for a different soil condition	Isolated	3-D dynamic nonlinear

IV. METHODOLOGY

The crucial stuff for the examination of essential one of a kind lead in quake planning is a shake table that can recreate the true tremor ground developments records. Concerning the materials to manufacture the soil compartment, according to the past coordinated assessment works (Ishimura et al., 1992; Taylor, 1997; Jakrapriyanun, 2002; Pitilakis et al., 2008; Chau et al., 2009), the laminar box worked for this examination has been created in a startling manner, the cases grew by and large includes layers of aluminum set equally. For this assessment the case contains "L" formed aluminum, outlined into a square shape. aluminum edges and versatile layers were used in a subbing plan Aluminum is used because it is for the most part light weight. Height of each layer was little which extended the versatility for the bending of soil inside. This aluminum diagram holds the flexible sturdily into the correct spot and gives extraordinary versatility during testing, it allows free improvement of soil along the get over cross fragment. The aluminum diagrams give even limitation of the soil, while the flexible layers license the holder to turn in a shear column way.

Figure 4. Concept of Laminar Box

Specifications and construction of laminar box

The outer components of the laminar box are 900mm x 400mm x 1100mm (length x width x stature),. the base of the container was outfitted with cross aluminum bars to hold the case back from pressing. The substantialness of the empty box is 19kg's which is exhaustive of the flexible strips, there are 21 layers of versatile strips which were acquainted in a subbing model with give incredible flexibility. Opening between the reformist layers is 10mm. The thickness of these versatile strips is 5mm. The mass and strength ascribes of the earth holder have been arranged with the end goal that the compartment and soil model normal frequencies organize. Therefore, size and mechanical properties of the aluminum rectangular void section housings and flexible layers were settled in arrangement measure. The case can pass on a most outrageous soil volume of 400kgs, box will be maintained on the shake table.

The underlying advance of improvement was, aluminum rectangular void zones were cut and entered. By then, cut and infiltrated aluminum zones were welded to shape the important aluminum rectangular edges for the laminar soil compartment improvement. After the edge is welded, versatile strips are uniformly hurried to each complete of the packaging, with an inch of an opening in the strips. While presenting the layers of versatile, they were pulled to an essential pressing factor, which isn't excessively close nor unnecessarily free. By then they were dashed to the farthest furthest reaches of the edge. The fundamental reason behind scattering the layers is to part with adequate flexibility to the earth and stay from rigidity, if the holder is firm, soil inside the case would not misshape and this would not give right characteristics during testing. Around 180 screws were used to clasp the flexible into place. As of now, using hardwood stumble plate of estimations 950mm x 450mm, a base plate was fabricated utilizing meager distance across hardwood nails. The base of the case was outfitted with cross aluminum bars to hold the compartment back from crushing. By then, the prepared base edge was put on top of the wood base plate and used as the model for exhausting the openings which are required for partner the base plat to the base packaging. Along these lines, M20 grade screws were experienced the openings and got to give a fix relationship between the base plate and the base packaging. The versatile strips were needed to be layered so that, it gives low adaptable immovability and low normal repeat for the holder. It in like manner reduces the issue of the compartment being unreasonably strong similar with the earth at high shear strains. The holder can be fixed and gotten on the shaking table using 4 M38 shocks.

Kinds of Desalination system

Fabrication and characteristics of shake table

The workplaces of this shaking table are of 950 mm x 450 mm, most outrageous allowable model heap of 30kgs and most prominent acceptable hard and fast weight which fuses structure and laminar box is 450kgs, extent of repeat from 0 to 20 Hz, most noteworthy plentifulness accelerating of 1.2g, and most extraordinary evacuating of 14mm.

Table 2: Geometrical details of Laminar box.

Length of base plank	1075 mm
Width of base plank	850 mm
PVC pipe length	1075 mm

Figure 5. Shaking arrangement with laminar box.

Model tests are crucial when the model lead is puzzling and difficult to appreciate the effects of different limits and the cycle provoking frustration of model at a continuous. By and large the breaking point conditions of a model issue are imitated in a restricted scale model. Model tests are e parceled into two groupings, specifically, those performed under gravitational field of earth (all around called shaking table tests) and those performed under higher gravitational field (pivot tests). Different works have been done to grasp the failure frameworks and direct of earth structures using shaking table tests.

Scale down factors for structure

The few examinations give the measurement investigation for model and model. The scale down system created by Tomažević and Velechovsky, 1992; Krawinkler (1979) is embraced for this investigation. AAs per study following point s are utilized

By Tomažević and Velechovsky, 1992; Krawinkler, 1979

- 1:2 – 1:4 This proportion is considered to contemplate the conduct of bars, segments or individual underlying individuals from the design. This proportion is utilized for tall structures and complex constructions.
- 1:6 - 1:10 This proportion is considered for mid-ascent structures with point by point study
- 1:12 – 1:20 This proportion is considered for extremely tall structures.
- Also for predominate symmetric structure for in general uprooting request including association
- 1:22 – 1:50 proportion isn't suggested as it doesn't give legitimate outcomes because of mass investment issue.
- Among the above proportions, 1:6 proportion is considered for the current examination as expected to comprehend by and large primary reaction.

Fabrication of structure

Aluminum posts of distance across 12mm were taken and cut into required lengths. 6 bars of length 480mm were taken and to that, 7 shafts of length 160mm were welded on a level plane to the part to make 1 story. Similarly, for the additional 2 stories, 7 posts for each floor were welded to the section. In addition, to finish the scale model, the housetop was moreover welded.

- 3.2m height for 1 story parceled by 1:20 ratio: $3200\text{mm} \div 20 = 160\text{mm}$ model stature for 1 story. Total 640mm height for G+3 structure.
- Height of 1 fragment is 160mm, (6 segments for each floor, 4 stories by and large, $6 \times 4 = 24$ portions in the development.) Diameter of section = $240 \div 1:20 = 12\text{mm}$.
- Total number of bars are ($7 \times 4 = 28$). Length of each shaft is 160mm, $160 \times 2 = 320\text{mm}$ complete length of development. Width of column = $240 \div 1:20 = 12\text{mm}$.
- Self weight of the structure is found to be 0.02540 kN.

Figure 6. 3D Scale down G+3 structure with scale factor 1:20

Table 3. Scale down of soil properties (Biot, 1993)

Soil type	Sandy silt	Saw Dust + Silt
Particle size	0.02 mm to 0.009 mm	0.02 mm to 0.009 mm = 7×10^{-6}
SBC	110 KN/M ²	$110 \times 9.81 / 20 = 53.95$
Plastic limit	0	0
Compaction	2 to 5%	2%
Density(g/cc)	1.8 to 1.99	$1.99 \times 9.81 \times 10^{-2} / 20 = 0.98$
Permeability(cm/sec)	2×10^{-2}	$2 \times 10^{-2} \times 9.81 \times 10^{-2} / 20 = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$

Design and scaling down of footing

The store got on each part of the development is taken as a data limit for plan of foundations. The equilibrium moreover scaled back to 1:20 to make reasonable with the plan which is furthermore scaled back as 1:20. The quick and dirty arrangement technique is explained yell as indicated by the standard given by IS 456 (2000). Center point load, $P = 0.02540$ KN, Size of Column = 12mm x 12mm, SBC = 110 KN/m².

Cost Analysis

Table 4. Cost analysis for the whole setup.

Sl. No	Item name	Cost per unit	No of units	Total cost
1.	Rubber strip	Rs 40/m	60m	Rs 2400/-
2.	Aluminium for laminar box	Rs 110/m	9.6m	Rs 1050/-
3.	Aluminium for structure	Rs 110/m	8.5m	Rs 935
4.	Transparent sheet with stitching	--	3m	Rs 900/-
5.	Wooden plank	--	2x (1 m ²)	Rs 1500/-
6.	PVC pipe	Rs 70/m	4m	Rs 280/-
7.	Miscellaneous	--	--	Rs 700/-
8.	Total Labour	--	--	Rs 3600/-
9.	Total cost			Rs 11,465/-

V. CONCLUSIONS

This assessment outlines detail plan and improvement procedure for a laminar soil compartment to be used in shaking table tests. A numerical 3D model of the laminar soil holder has been made using one dimensional packaging parts to exhibit aluminum rectangular void region edges and two dimensional shell segments to show versatile layers. By then, size and mechanical properties of the aluminum rectangular portion housings and flexible layers were settled in arrangement measure. Considering the arrangement results, the last game-plan and improvement nuances of the laminar soil holder have been proposed and outlined in laminar soil compartment advancement detail drawings. The earth development association examination is accomplished for G+3 working with restricted foundation structure. The construction and foundation are cut back by 1:20 a scale factor. The sandy buildup is taken for affiliation assessment as sandy dredges is more disposed to migrations and moves more shaking to the development. The laminar box is stacked up with 3 layers like with the unmistakable in gathering from base to top.

The shaking tables used have made a central obligation to the demonstration of quake planning during the past 100 years, their usage is right now being mandatory to copy the tremor wonder. In this, a comprehensive assessment on the model soil holder for seismic testing of geotechnical models is considered. Of course, pivot testing of geotechnical models are trying. The arranged and assembled laminar holder satisfies the conditions expected to really get free field ground development, as the aluminum diagrams give sidelong detainment of the earth, while the flexible layers grant the compartment to distort in a shear bar way. The flat advancements of the holder in shaking table tests are needed to be essentially vague from the free field improvements when in doubt.

Future scope

- The sensors can be presented, each story response and foundation responses can be assessed for controlled vibration, through DC motor.
- The effect can be perused for different soil conditions and isolated soil.
- The effect can be assessed for different shudder circumstance.

VI. REFERENCES

1. Casirati, M, Franchioni, G, Bousias, S, Seismic Tests on Shaking Tables, Paper no. 2047, Proceedings of the 11th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, 1996.
2. Engineering properties of soil (Book: Engineering Properties of Soils and Rocks by F. G. Bell, 2002.
3. Er. Puneet Sharma, Er. Ankit, Er. Ismit Pal Singh, Soil Structure Interaction Effect on an Asymmetrical R.C. Building with Shear Walls, IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering, January 2014.
4. Gibson AD. Physical scale modelling of geotechnical structures. Ph.D. CA: California Institute of Technology, 1997.
5. Hamzeh Shakib, Dynamic soil-structure interaction effects on the seismic response of asymmetric buildings, Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, July 2004.
6. Isbiliroglu Y, Taborda R and Bielak J, Multiple Structure Soil Structure Interaction and Coupling Effects in Building Clusters, Tenth U.S. National Conference on Earthquake Engineering Frontiers of Earthquake Engineering July 21-25, 2014.
7. J. L. Wegner, M. M. Yao and S. K. Bhullar, Dynamic wave soil structure interaction analysis of a two way asymmetric building system by DSSIA-3D, Journal of Engineering and Technology Research Vol.1 (2), May 2009.
8. Kramer SL. "Geotechnical earthquake engineering" (January 7, 1996).
9. Mohammed Irfan, Dr. Sunandan Reddy, K. Mythili, Evaluation of Seismic Response of symmetric and Asymmetric Multistoried Buildings, International Journal of Science Engineering and Advance Technology(IJSEAT), Vol 2, October 2014.
10. Nitish Kumar. S, Raveesh R. M, Praveen J. V, Study of Soil Structure Interaction Effect on Multi-Story RC Frame Structures Resting over Raft Foundation under Earthquake Caused Agitation. IJERT, Volume 05, Issue 06, 2016.
11. Pandey A.D, Prabhat Kumar and Sharad Sharma, Seismic Soil- Structure Interaction of Buildings on Hill Slopes, International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering, Volume 2, 2011.
12. Phani Kumar V, Saikiran K, Non-Linear Dynamic Analysis of Multistoried Reinforced Concrete Building by Considering Soil Structure Interaction, IJITEE, Volume-7 Issue-7, April 2018.
13. Prasad SK, Towhata I, Chandradhara GP, Nanjundaswamy P. Shaking table tests in earthquake geotechnical engineering. Curr Sci 2004;87(10): 1398-404.