EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS ON EXHAUST EMISSIONS OF DIESEL ENGINE FUELED WITH BIOGAS

B. Rama Krishna

Mechatronics Engineering Department,
Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technology, Gandipet, Hyderabad, India

M.V.S. Murali Krishna*

Mechanical Engineering Department,
Chaitanya Bharathi Institute of Technology, Gandipet, Hyderabad, India

P. Usha Sri

Mechanical Engineering Department, College of Engineering,
Osmania University, Hyderabad, India

*Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

In the scenario of depletion of fossil fuels, increase of fuel prices in International Market causing economic burden on developing countries like India and increase of pollution levels with fossil fuel, the search for alternative fuels has become pertinent. Gaseous fuels have many advantages than liquid fuels, as the pollutants emitted by gaseous fuels are low and less dangerous when compared with liquid fuels. The drawbacks associated with vegetable oils such as high viscosity and low volatility can be rectified to some extent by converting them into biodiesel. How they (biodiesel) cause combustion problems in diesel engine and hence call for low heat rejection (LHR) engine, which can burn low calorific value fuel, give high heat release rate and faster rate of combustion. They are many methods to induct gaseous fuels such as port injection, carburetion technique, injection of gaseous fuel at the near end of compression stroke etc.,. Investigations were carried out with biogas gas as primary fuel inducted by port injection and cottonseed biodiesel was injected into the engine in conventional manner. Particulate matter (PM), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), carbon mono oxide (CO) levels and un-burnt hydro carbons (UBHC) are the exhaust emissions from a diesel engine. They cause health hazards, once they are inhaled in. They also cause environmental effects like Green-house effect and Global Warming. Hence control of these emissions is an immediate effect and an urgent step. The pollutants of PM, NO_x, CO and UBHC were determined at full load operation of the engine with varied injection pressure and compared with diesel operation on conventional engine. The maximum induction of biogas was 35% of total mass of biodiesel as full load operation. Particulate emissions were determined by AVL Smoke meter, while other emissions

were measured by Netel Chromatograph multi-gas analyzer at full load operation. These pollutants were drastically reduced with induction of biogas and further reduced with an increase of injection pressure.

Keywords: Diesel, biodiesel, CE, LHR engine, Exhaust emissions.

Cite this Article: B. Rama Krishna, M.V.S. Murali Krishna and P. Usha Sri, Experimental Investigations on Exhaust Emissions of Diesel Engine Fueled with Biogas, *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology (IJMET)*, 12(7), 2021, pp. 1-9.

<https://iaeme.com/Home/issue/IJMET?Volume=12&Issue=7>

1. INTRODUCTION

The civilization of a particular country has come to be measured on the basis of the number of automotive vehicles being used by the public of the country. The tremendous rate at which population explosion is taking place imposes expansion of the cities to larger areas and common man is forced, these days to travel long distances even for their routine works. This in turn is causing an increase in vehicle population at an alarm rate thus bringing in pressure in Government to spend huge foreign currency for importing crude petroleum to meet the fuel needs of the automotive vehicles. The large amount of pollutants emitting out from the exhaust of the automotive vehicles run on fossil fuels is also increasing as this is proportional to number of vehicles. In view of heavy consumption of diesel fuel involved in not only transport sector but also in agricultural sector and also fast depletion of fossil fuels, the search for alternate fuels has become pertinent apart from effective fuel utilization which has been the concern of the engine manufacturers, users and researchers involved in combustion & alternate fuel research.

Rudolph diesel, the inventor of the engine that bears his name, experimented with fuels ranging from powdered coal to peanut oil [1]. Several researchers conducted investigations on biodiesel with conventional engine (CE) and reported that the performance marginally improved, along with reduction of particulate emissions. [2-8]. However, they further reported that NO_x emissions were marginally higher with biodiesel operation in comparison with neat diesel operation on CE.

The concept of LHR engine is to reduce heat loss to coolant by providing thermal insulation in the path of heat flow to the coolant. LHR engines are classified depending on degree of insulation such as low grade or LHR-1, medium grade or LHR-2 and high grade insulated engines or LHR-3 engine. Several methods adopted for achieving low grade LHR engines are using ceramic coatings on piston, liner and cylinder head, while medium grade LHR engines provide air gap in the piston and other components with low-thermal conductivity materials like superni, cast iron and mild steel etc and high grade LHR-3 engine is the combination of low grade and medium grade engines.

Experiments were conducted on low grade LHR engines with diesel and reported that diesel operation with LHR-1 engine improved performance and reduced particulate levels [9-11]. However, they increased nitrogen oxide levels (NO_x) levels.

Investigations were carried out with low grade LHR engines with biodiesel and reported that biodiesel operation with LHR-1 engine improved performance and reduced particulate emissions. [12-14]. However, they increased NO_x levels.

Investigations were carried out with biogas in conventional engine. The dual fuel mode exhibited lower peak values of heat release rate and also they reported the application of exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) to dual-fuel mode additionally decreased the in-cylinder pressure and increased the ignition delay. [15]. Dual fuel mode displayed lower emissions of NO_x and smoke opacity while HC and CO concentrations were considerably higher as compared to other fuels.

In dual fuel mode peak pressure and heat release rate were slightly higher compared to diesel and biodiesel mode of operation for all engine loads. [16]. Investigations were carried out with biogas, diesel-methane, and neat diesel operation in conventional engine [17]. They observed higher brake thermal efficiencies compared to diesel mode at high loads. Though volumetric efficiency was almost identical in diesel and diesel-CH₄ dual modes, exhaust gas temperatures were higher in diesel-biogas mode, followed by diesel-methane and diesel modes.[17]. NO_x is a strong function of local temperatures. They reported that in compression ignition engine at constant speed of 1500 r/min at full load both NO_x and soot missions were dropped, energy content rates in gas–fuel mixture compared to only diesel fuel[18].

However, little reports were available with the use of biogas and biodiesel. Hence authors have made work in this direction. It is attempted to determine the pollution levels of conventional engine with biogas and cottonseed biodiesel with varied injection pressure and compared the data with diesel operation on CE.

2.MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Experimental Set-up

Table.1 gives the details of the engine.

Details of the Engine

Description	Specification
Make	Mahindra & Mahindra
Number of cylinders	01
Number of Strokes	04
Ratio of bore to stroke	93 mm/92 mm
Power	6.6 kW (9 HP) at the rated speed of 3000rpm
Compression Ratio	18:1
Type of cooling Arrangement	Water cooling
Recommended Injection Pressure	190 bar
Recommended Injection Timing	27 degrees before top dead centre
Maximum Torque	30 Nm at 1800 rpm.

Fig.1 shows that the test engine (1) and the details of the CRDi engine are given in Table.1 It was located at Applied Thermo Dynamics Laboratory of MED, CBIT, Hyderabad. The engine was connected to power measuring device (2). The engine had computerized test bed. There was facility of loading the engine by means of variable rheostat. (3). Outlet jacket water temperature was indicated with temperature sensor (4). The flow of the coolant was measured with flow meter (5). The temperature of the exhaust gas was indicated with exhaust gas temperature sensor (6). The particulate levels were determined with AVL Smoke meter (7) at full load operation. The pollutants of CO and UBHC were determined by Netel Chromatograph multi gas analyzer (8) at full load operation. The range and accuracy of the analyzers in multi gas analyzer are shown in Tabl.2. EGR (9) system was employed in the system to reduce NO_x emissions. Air flow was measured with air flow sensor (10). Biodiesel tank (11), burette (12) and three way valve (13) were used to induct biodiesel into the engine in conventional injection system. Bypass system was provided for EGR system. Air box arrangement (14) along with water manometer was employed to measure air flow rate from atmosphere. Directional valves (15) were provided for bypass system b p Biogas clean from CO₂ was stored in a gas cylinder (16). Pressure regulator (17) was incorporated in the system. The pressure of the gas was noted in gas pressure sensor (18). The mass flow rate of the gas was noted by means of a rotometer (19). The flame arrestor (20) was employed in the gas circuit to ensure safety. Cam position sensor was used to measure injection timing. Crank position sensor was used to determine the

speed of the engine. Fuel temperature was determined with fuel temperature sensor. Gas was injected through gas injector.

Table.2 Range and accuracy of Analyzers

S.No	Name of the Analyzer	Principle adopted	Range	Accuracy
1	AVL Smoke Analyzer	Opacity	0-100 HSU (Hartridge Smoke Unit)	± 1 HSU
2	Netel Chromatograph CO analyzer	Infrared absorption spectrograph	0-10%	$\pm 0.1\%$
3	Netel Chromatograph UBHC analyzer	NDIR	0-1000 ppm	± 5 ppm
4	Netel Chromatograph NO _x analyzer	Chemiluminiscence	0-5000pm	± 5 ppm

Figure 2. Schematic diagram of experimental set up

1.Engine, 2.Electical Dynamometer, 3.Load Box, 4. Outlet jacket water temperature indicator, 5.Outlet-jacket water flow meter Orifice meter, 6.Exhaust gas temperature indicator, 7 AVL Smoke meter, 8. Netel Chromatograph multi-gas analyzer 9. . Heat exchanger , 10. Air flow sensor, 11.Biodiesel tank, 12. Burette, 13. Three-way valve, 14. Air box with manometer arrangement, 15. Directional valve, 16. Gas cylinder, 17. Pressure regulator, 18. Gas pressure sensor, 19.Flame arrestor and 20 Rotometer

The engine was provided with gravity lubrication system. Biogas was inducted through port injection at the near end of compression stroke of the engine. There was facility to increase injection pressure by means of sensor.

The test fuels of the investigations were i) neat diesel and ii) biogas and biodiesel. The configurations or the versions of the engine were normal or base engine and insulated engine. Pollutants of CO and UBHC were determined at full load of the engine, at different injection pressures with test fuels.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig.2 shows the variation of brake thermal efficiency (BTE) with brake mean effective pressure (BMEP) with conventional engine (CE) with various percentages of biogas induction along with diesel operation. BTE increased with an increase of BMEP up to 80% of the full load and beyond that load, it decreased with different percentages of induction of biogas. This is due to increase of fuel conversion efficiency and mechanical efficiency up to 80% of the full load causing increase of BTE. However, beyond 80% of the full load, decrease of fuel conversion efficiency and oxygen-fuel ratio made reduction of BTE. At all load, BTE increased with increase of induction of biogas up to 35%. This is due to improved oxidation reaction of CH₄ in biogas and O₂ in the combustion chamber. However, beyond 35% induction of biogas, BTE decreased at all load when compared with neat diesel operation on CE. This is due to reduction of ignition delay with biogas causing to produce peak pressure at an early stage. Hence the optimum induction of biogas was limited up to 35% of total consumption of biodiesel by mass basis along with diesel operation.

Figure 2 Variation of BTE with BMEP with diesel and biogas operation.

Fig.3 shows the variation of brake thermal efficiency (BTE) with brake mean effective pressure (BMEP) with conventional engine (CE) with various percentages of biogas induction along with biodiesel operation.

Figure 3. Variation of BTE with BMEP in CE with biogas and biodiesel

Variation of BTE with BMEP with biogas and biodiesel followed similar trends with diesel and biogas operation. However, the maximum or peak BTE was higher with biodiesel operation with biogas. This is due to improved oxidation reaction of CH₄ with oxygen present in the biodiesel. However, the optimum induction of biogas was limited up to 35% by mass basis with biodiesel operation.

Fig.4 presents the bar chart showing the variation of particulate emissions in Hartridge Smoke Unit (HSU) at full load with CE at maximum induction of biogas with varied injection pressure.

Figure 4. Variation of particulate emissions at full load with injection pressure.

Particulate emissions at full load decreased with increased injection pressure with different operating conditions of the engine. This is due to improved spray characteristics and atomization of the fuel spray which is penetrating through oxygen zone. Particulate emissions at full load decreased with increase of induction of biogas at different injection pressures. Improved oxidation reaction of CH₄ present in the biogas and oxygen present in the biodiesel caused reduction of particulate emissions at full load.

Figure 5 Variation of Nitrogen Oxide emissions at full load with injection pressure.

Fig.5 presents the bar chart showing the variation of NO_x levels at full load with CE at maximum induction of biogas with varied injection pressure. NO_x levels increased with increased injection pressure with test fuels. Increase of combustion temperatures increased NO_x levels with test fuels. NO_x levels decreased with induction of biogas. This is due to presence of oxygen in biodiesel improved combustion, due to enrichment of oxygen with oxidation reaction of CH_4 present in biogas with oxygen present with biodiesel.

Fig.6 presents the bar chart showing the variation of carbon monoxide (CO) emissions at full load with CE at maximum induction of biogas with varied injection pressure. CO emissions decreased with an increase of injection pressure with test fuels at different operating conditions of the engine. This is due to improved spray characteristics of the fuel. When the injection pressure increased, the depth of penetration of the fuel increased in oxygen zone leading to improve oxidation reaction of the fuel with oxygen with not only in the environment but also available with biodiesel causing improved combustion and reduced CO emissions. CO emissions decreased with biodiesel operation. This is due to improved combustion with presence of oxygen in biodiesel molecular composition. This is also due to improved cetane number of biodiesel causing improved combustion leading to reduce CO emissions. CO emissions reduced with biogas induction. This is due to improved oxidation reaction of CH_4 with oxygen available with biodiesel. Improved cetane (a measure of ignition quality of the fuel in diesel engine) number of biodiesel also improved combustion and thus reduced CO emissions.

Figure 6 Variation of CO emissions at full load with injection pressure.

Fig.7 presents the bar chart showing the variation of un-burnt hydro carbon (UBHC) emissions at full load with CE at maximum induction of biogas with varied injection pressure.

The UBHC emissions at full load followed similar trends with CO emissions. CO is formed due to incomplete combustion of the fuel, while UBHC emissions are formed due to accumulation of the fuel in the crevice volume. UBHC emissions decreased with increased injection pressure at different operating conditions of the engine with test fuels. This is due to improved oxidation reaction of the fuel with increased fuel spray characteristic of the fuel along with atomization characteristics of the fuel. When the fuel injection pressure increased, number of fuel particles will increase along with reduction of mass, having good exposure of the fuel with oxygen particles due to improved surface to volume ratio. UBHC emission decreased with biodiesel operation. Presence of oxygen in its molecular composition and high cetane number

of biodiesel improved combustion and hence reduced the un-burnt fuel in the crevice volume, thus reducing UBHC emissions. UBHC emissions at full load decreased with induction of biogas. High cetane number and presence of oxygen in the molecular structure of biodiesel caused improved oxidation reaction of CH₄ present in biogas and thus reduced accumulation of the fuel in the crevice volume leading to reduce UBHC emissions at full load with induction of biogas.

Figure 7 Variation of UBHC emissions at full load with injection pressure.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The maximum induction of biogas in conventional engine was 35% of total mass of diesel at full load operation. Particulate emissions, nitrogen oxide levels, carbon monoxide levels and un-burnt hydro carbons drastically decreased with dual fuel operation in comparison with neat diesel operation on conventional engine. Increased injection pressure from 190 bar to 270 bar marginally decreased pollutants with test fuels.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors thank authorities of Chaitanya Bharathi Institute of Technology, Hyderabad for providing facilities for carrying out research work. Financial assistance provided by All India Council for Technical Education (AICTE), New Delhi, is greatly acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cummins, C. Lyle, Jr. (1993). *Diesel's Engine, Volume 1: From Conception To 1918*. Wilsonville, OR, USA: Carnot Press,
- [2] Agarwal, A.K. (2006). Bio-fuels (alcohols and biodiesel) applications as fuels for internal combustion engines, *International Journal Energy Combustion Science*, 33, 233-271.
- [3] Rasim, B. (2011). Performance and emission study of waste anchovy fish biodiesel in a diesel engine, *Fuel Processing Technology*, 92, 1187-1194.
- [4] Jaichandar, S. and Annamalai, K. (2011). The status of biodiesel as an alternative fuel for diesel engine- An Overview, *Journal of Sustainable Energy & Environment*, 2, 71-75
- [5] Ridvan Arslan. (2011). Emission characteristics of a diesel engine using waste cooking oil as a bio-diesel fuel, *African Journal of Bio-Technology*, 10(9), 3790-3794.

- [6] Xue, J., Grift, T.E., Hansen, A.C. (2011). Effect of biodiesel on engine performances and emissions, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*,15, 1098–1116.
- [7] McCarthy, P., Rasul, M.G., Moazzem, S. (2011). Analysis and comparison of performance and emissions of an internal combustion engine fuelled with petroleum diesel and different bio-diesels, *Fuel*, 90, 2147–2157.
- [8] DurgaPrasada Rao, N., Murali Krishna, M.V.S., Anjeneya Prasad, B., and Murthy,P.V.K.(2014). Effect of injector opening pressure and injection timing on exhaust emissions and combustion characteristics of rice bran oil in crude form and biodiesel form in direct injection diesel engine. *IOSR Journal of Engineering* 4(2), 9-19 (Impact factor=1.6)
- [9] Parlak, A., Yasar, H., Idogan O. (2005).The effect of thermal barrier coating on a turbocharged Diesel engine performance and exergy potential of the exhaust gas. *Energy Conversion and Management*,46(3), 489–499.
- [10] Ekrem, B., Tahsin, E., Muhammet, C. (2006). Effects of thermal barrier coating on gas emissions and performance of a LHR engine with different injection timings and valve adjustments, *Journal of Energy Conversion and Management*, 47, 1298-1310.
- [11] Ciniviz, M., Hasimoglu, C., Sahin, F., Salman, M. S. (2008). Impact of thermal barrier coating application on the performance and emissions of a turbocharged diesel engine. *Proceedings of The Institution of Mechanical Engineers Part D-Journal Of Automobile Eng*,222 (D12), 2447–2455.
- [12] Rajendra Prasath, B., P. Tamilporai ,P., Mohd.Shabir, F. (2010). Analysis of combustion, performance and emission characteristics of low heat rejection engine using biodiesel, *International Journal of Thermal Sci*, 49, 2483-2490.
- [13] Mohamed Musthafa, M., Sivapirakasam, S.P. and Udaya Kumar.M. (2011). Comparative studies on fly ash coated low heat rejection diesel engine on performance and emission characteristics fueled by rice bran and pongamia methyl ester and their blend with diesel. *Energy*, 36(5).2343-2351.
- [14] Ratna Reddy, T., Murali Krishna, M.V.S., Kesava Reddy, Ch. and Murthy, P.V.K. .(2012). Performance evaluation of mohr oil based biodiesel in low grade low heat rejection diesel engine, *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*, 1(1), 80-94.
- [15] Abdelaal, M.M., Hegab,A.H. (2012). Combustion and emission characteristics of a natural gas-fueled diesel engine with EGR, *Energy Conversion and Management* 64 (1), 301–312.
- [16] Ramesha, D.K., Adhiviraj Singh Bangari, Chirag P. Rathod, Samartha Chaitanya R. (2015). Experimental investigation of biogas-biodiesel dual fuel combustion in a diesel engine, *Journal of Middle European Construction and Design of Cars* DOI: 10.1515/mecdc-2015-0003.
- [17] Feroskhan.M. and Saleel Ismail (2016), Investigation of the effects of biogas composition on the performance of a biogas–diesel dual fuel CI engine, Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group Biofuels, Vol 7,593-601, DOI:10.1080/17597269.2016.1168025.
- [18] Yasin Karagoz,Tarkan Sandalc, Umit O Koylu , Ahmet Selim Dalkılıcx and Somchai Wongwise (2016). Effect of the use of natural gas–diesel fuel mixture on performance, emissions, and combustion characteristics of a compression ignition engine - *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, Vol. 8(4) 1–13, 2016,DOI: 10.1177/1687814016643228,